1	NEAL R. GROSS & CO., INC.
2	RPTS WALTER
3	HIF177030
4	
5	
6	THE SHIFTING GEOPOLITICS OF OIL AND GAS
7	TUESDAY, JUNE 26, 2018
8	House of Representatives
9	Subcommittee on Energy
10	Committee on Energy and Commerce
11	Washington, D.C.
12	
13	
14	
15	The subcommittee met, pursuant to call, at 1:00 p.m., in
16	Room 2123 Rayburn House Office Building, Hon. Fred Upton
17	[chairman of the subcommittee] presiding.
18	Members present: Representatives Upton, Olson, Barton,
19	Shimkus, Latta, Harper, McKinley, Kinzinger, Griffith,
20	Johnson, Bucshon, Flores, Mullin, Hudson, Walberg, Duncan,
21	Walden (ex officio), McNerney, Peters, Green, Doyle, Welch,
22	Tonko, Kennedy, Butterfield, and Pallone (ex officio).

23	Staff present: Mike Bloomquist, Deputy Staff Director;
24	Samantha Bopp, Staff Assistant; Karen Christian, General
25	Counsel; Kelly Collins, Legislative Clerk,
26	Energy/Environment; Wyatt Ellertson, Professional Staff,
27	Energy/Environment; Margaret Tucker Fogarty, Staff Assistant;
28	Adam Fromm, Director of Outreach and Coalitions; Jordan
29	Haverly, Policy Coordinator, Environment; Bijan Koohmaraie,
30	Counsel, Digital Commerce and Consumer Protection; Mary
31	Martin, Chief Counsel, Energy/Environment; Sarah Matthews,
32	Press Secretary; Drew McDowell, Executive Assistant; Brandon
33	Mooney, Deputy Chief Counsel, Energy; Mark Ratner, Policy
34	Coordinator; Annelise Rickert, Counsel, Energy; Peter
35	Spencer, Professional Staff Member, Energy; Austin
36	Stonebraker, Press Assistant; Madeline Vey, Policy
37	Coordinator, Digital Commerce and Consumer Protection; Hamlin
38	Wade, Special Advisor, External Affairs; Caitlin Haberman,
39	Minority Professional Staff Member; Rick Kessler, Minority
40	Senior Advisor and Staff Director, Energy and Environment;
41	John Marshall, Minority Policy Coordinator; Alexander Ratner,
42	Minority Policy Analyst; Tuley Wright, Minority Energy and
43	Environment Policy Advisor; and Catherine Zander, Minority
44	Environment Fellow.

45 Good afternoon. Mr. Upton. Sorry we are a little delayed in starting but we had three votes on the House floor 46 and they are just finishing up. And so we will get started. 47 So, good afternoon, and, certainly, welcome to this 48 49 Energy Subcommittee hearing on "The Shifting Geopolitics of 50 Oil and Gas." 51 So this hearing is especially timely because here in D.C., right now, energy ministers and CEOs from around the 52 53 world are gathering for the 27th World Gas Conference to 54 examine important opportunities in energy trends happening across the globe. And while it's an international conference 55 56 for sure, the U.S.'s role as a world leader in energy is sure 57 to be the focus. 58 So, before we arrived at this current era of energy 59 abundance, some of you may remember that as little as a 60 decade ago America's energy landscape was in a lot different 61 state than it is today. 62 In 2005, American domestic oil and gas production was 63 declining and the country reached a point of peak reliance on 64 foreign sources of energy, and at that time we were importing 65 eight times more energy than we were exporting and we were becoming increasingly dependent on OPEC nations for our 66

57	energy needs.
58	It was right around that time that this important
59	technological breakthrough pioneered by American companies
7 0	namely, horizontal drilling and hydraulic fracturing
71	dramatically altered our energy outlook.
72	These technological breakthroughs led to a surge in
73	domestic oil and gas production, decreasing U.S. reliance on
7 4	energy imports.
75	As for today, and we will see that this trend has not
76	slowed down in fact, energy EIA projects that by 2022,
77	the U.S. will become a net energy exporter for the first time
78	in over half a century.
79	It should be noted that America's emergence as a major
30	energy supplier to the world is thanks, in part, to Congress
31	lifting the 40-year-old crude oil export ban in 2015, and I
32	would note, that was bipartisan. President Obama signed it
33	into law.
3 4	Removing the ban has enabled our companies to take
35	advantage of global energy markets and has resulted in more
36	American jobs for sure, a stronger economy for sure, lower
37	emissions, indeed, and it's helping to reduce our trade
3.8	deficit

89	The national security and energy security benefits
90	provided by the shale energy revolution cannot be overstated.
91	Every day, we are less dependent on foreign nations and
92	cartels, such as OPEC, to meet our domestic energy needs.
93	Instead, we are now employing American workers and
94	American technologies to harness our own standard and
95	abundant domestic resources in a way that is growing the
96	economy, protecting the environment, and improving our energy
97	security.
98	So today we are holding this hearing to take a closer
99	look at how the U.S.'s growing role as a global energy leader
100	is benefitting consumers and enhancing the nation's standing
101	on the geopolitical world stage.
102	To provide insight on these topics, we have a great
103	panel of four witnesses with extensive experience working in
104	and around the U.S. oil and gas industry.
105	As part of today's panel we have Dr. Daniel Yergin, a
106	Pulitzer Prize-winning author and a world-renowned energy
107	expert who many of us say literally wrote the book.
108	Dr. Yergin is joined by Harold Hamm, the CEO of
109	Continental Resources, which is a highly successful oil
110	exploration and production company that he himself founded,

111	and he had an instrumental role in making sure that we lifted
112	that crude oil ban.
113	We also have Dennis Arriola, the chief strategy officer
114	for Sempra, a Fortune 500 energy services company that serves
115	40 million customers consumers around the world.
116	And rounding up the lineup, we have got Dr. Kevin
117	Kennedy, a deputy director at the World Resources Institute,
118	a global research organization that spans more than 50
119	countries and focuses on the nexus of environment economic
120	opportunity and human wellbeing.
121	So I want to thank all of you for joining us, for
122	twiddling your thumbs for 30 minutes while we cast some
123	mighty important votes on the House floor, and I know yield
124	to the acting raking member of the subcommittee, Mr.
125	McNerney, our friend from California, taking Bobby Rush's
126	place this afternoon, who's getting married.
127	[The prepared statement of Mr. Upton follows:]
128	
129	**********INSERT 1*******

130	Mr. McNerney. Getting married Saturday.
131	Well, thank you, Mr. Chairman, for yielding to me. I
132	want to thank the panelists, and I think you're all
133	interesting and I am looking forward to hearing what you have
134	to say and I hope we get very diverse set of opinions about
135	the issues here.
136	It's important to have this conversation with industry
137	leaders and with policy leaders and with people that
138	understand the business.
139	We have world gas conference nearby and this is topical,
140	but the topic that's listed here the shifting geopolitics
141	of oil and gas is a little too narrow.
142	We should be including other topics like renewables,
143	storage, and other resources that are impacting our energy
144	markets. LNG and crude oil exports have changed in the last
145	decade.
146	When I first got elected in 2007, we were worried about
147	our dependence on foreign oil. That's changed. That's
148	possibly a good thing. But we continue to need a very
149	diverse we continue to need a very diverse energy mix. It
150	won't help us, I don't think, to depend become overly
151	dependent on oil and/or gas.

152	We need political compromise to get there. If one gide
132	We need political compromise to get there. If one side
153	or the other dominates, I think we are going to go down a
154	path that's unsustainable. So we need political compromise
155	on this and all the other issues including tax reform.
156	Renewables you know, the interesting things is that
157	the energy market is really shifting to electricity now. We
158	have electric vehicles growing, especially in California but
159	in other states as well.
160	So we are going to see more and more and dependence on
161	electricity as a product. It's not necessarily a resource a
162	but as a product. So we need to be we need to have
163	policies that's going to support that shift.
164	We have energy storage, another thing that's going to be
165	very important in terms of shifting where we get our energy
166	from.
167	Concerning the Paris agreement, the United States was a
168	leader in this agreement. We are still in the we are
169	still in terms of cities and states declaring that they are
170	going to continue to abide by the terms of the Paris Climate
171	Accord. I think that's very inspiring to me.
172	And I want to say to the witnesses, Dr. Yergin, your
173	scholarship has advanced the field of energy. I really

174	appreciate what you've been able to accomplish in terms of
175	providing the history.
176	And one of the things that strikes me about your
177	scholarship is that we see a cyclical market. The oil market
178	goes in very big cycles about every 10 or 15 years, and right
179	now we are on a low energy cost part of that cycle.
180	But I think that's probably going to change in a another
181	five years, based on history nothing more.
182	Mr. Kennedy, World Resources Institute climate
183	initiative is a the gold standard for providing advice. So
184	thank you for coming today.
185	Mr. Arriola, Sempra holds utilities in my home state and
186	has a diverse generation of energy assets here and abroad. I
187	hope it stays that way.
188	And Mr. Hamm, you have been a leader and I appreciate
189	what horizontal drilling has done to the energy markets in
190	this country. We need to keep an eye on that to make sure
191	that it doesn't cause problems in our states.
192	We know that there is problems with earthquakes. We
193	know that there is potential problems with groundwater
194	contamination and so on. So it's important to keep an eye on
195	that.

196	At any rate, I am going to yield if any Democrats want
197	to take a minute or two, and with that I yield back to the
198	chair.
199	Mr. Upton. The gentleman yields back. The chair
200	recognizes the chair of the full committee, Mr. Walden, for
201	an opening statement.
202	The Chairman. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. To
203	our panelists, welcome. Thank you for being here today and
204	sharing with us on these issues that are so important. We
205	have an excellent lineup of witnesses, Mr. Chairman, and we
206	are going to learn a lot.
207	This is, of course, a big week in Washington, D.C. It
208	is when America hosts the World Gas Conference. They call it
209	the Olympics of natural gas, and indeed, it is.
210	It brings together hundreds actually, thousands of
211	participants in energy ministers and CEOs from global energy
212	companies to discuss strategic, commercial and technical
213	issues facing this really important American industry.
214	It's been 30 years. Ronald Reagan was president the
215	last time America hosted this and the energy picture was
216	quite different then than it is today.
217	So it's kind of interesting to reflect back on those

218	times. But today, the United States is the world's number-
219	one producer of petroleum and natural gas. Our markets are
220	more open, transparent, and competitive than they've ever
221	been.
222	Prices for consumers are low and stable. There are
223	always ups and downs. But we have cut our imports by about
224	75 percent since they peaked in 2005, and if this trend
225	continues we will be net energy exporters in just a few short
226	years.
227	We got there by repealing the Jimmy Carter era supply
228	and price controls to encourage a free market for energy
229	commodities.
230	We have taken steps to improve our regulatory policies -
231	- we know we have a lot more to do there and reform our
232	outdated tax code to encourage domestic production.
233	Piece by piece, we have removed restrictions on energy
234	trade to allow American energy to compete in the global
235	marketplace, and Mr. Hamm's done a lot in that effort
236	globally.
237	Most recently, as members on this committee will
238	remember, we repealed the 40-year-old ban on crude oil. Now,
239	just two years later, we are exporting more than 12 million

240	barrels per day of crude oil to countries around the world.
241	This is big. The shale revolution, now 10 years in the
242	making, has had an enormously positive impact on the economy.
243	It's created hundreds of thousands of jobs, billions of
244	dollars in investment that wouldn't have happened were it not
245	for our energy abundance in the United States.
246	The jobs and investments are widespread. They are
247	across all sectors of the economy in all 50 states. It's
248	also had a big impact on our power sector and with the shift
249	to abundant and cheap natural gas we have seen a huge
250	reduction in our carbon emissions enormous.
251	In fact, the U.S. is leading the world in reducing
252	carbon emissions, and by a large margin. Since peaking in
253	2005, our carbon emissions have declined steadily and this
254	trend looks likely to continue.
255	This just goes to how you don't always a need a
256	government mandate to get it done if you believe in the free
257	market system and innovation that comes from it.
258	We can do a lot of clean up the environment and create
259	great American jobs and develop American energy. So we are
260	seeing these benefits today, and as we emerge as the world-
261	leading LNG exporter, our trading partners will share in this

262	good fortune.
263	The outlook for American energy is bright, with
264	plentiful reserves, a highly-skilled workforce, pro-growth
265	regulations.
266	Our energy production will continue to grow and, with
267	this growth, America will see even greater economic,
268	geopolitical, and environmental benefits along with
269	additional opportunities to help our American allies abroad
270	who are in need of reliable and affordable supplies of
271	energy, not held hostage for their energy by less than
272	friendly countries.
273	I'd like to thank the witnesses again for participating
274	today and we appreciate you sharing your experiences, your
275	knowledge, and your ideas with us about how we can continue
276	to grow American jobs, develop American energy, and enjoy the
277	economic and environmental benefits that come from that.
278	[The prepared statement of Chairman Walden follows:]
279	
280	********TNSERT 2******

281	The Chairman. With that, I've got a minute and a half
282	left. If anybody on our side wants to use that I'd be happy
283	to yield.
284	Mr. Barton, former chairman of the committee, the vice
285	chair of the full committee, I would yield such time as you
286	may use.
287	Mr. Barton. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I, basically,
288	just want to welcome the panel. I know three of you
289	personally and the fourth one I am sure I'd love to know
290	personally if I did.
291	So our committee, in the time I've been on it, starting
292	back when John Dingell was chairman, has led the Congress in
293	energy legislation, and we have gone from where in the '70s
294	and early '80s we were trying to restrict the use of things
295	like natural gas and put price controls on various things and
296	our natural resources because we thought that we were
297	entering an era of scarcity to the point where we are now in
298	an I won't say an era of surplus but in an era where we
299	are on the verge of being the dominant energy producer in the
300	world and, you know, I think that's a good thing, and you
301	gentlemen have helped lead that effort.
302	Of course, Mr. Yergin, he's probably the premier I

303	won't say statistician or historian but he's certainly one of
304	the leading experts in the world. So we are glad to have you
305	especially, sir.
306	And with that, Mr. Chairman, I yield back my two
307	seconds.
308	The Chairman. I yield back.
309	Mr. Upton. Time is expired. The chair would recognize
310	the ranking member of the full committee, Mr. Pallone from
311	New Jersey, for an opening statement.
312	Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. After nearly two
313	years of Republican control of all branches of government, my
314	Republican colleagues have little to show for their efforts,
315	and the little they have accomplished is benefitting the
316	wealthy to the detriment of the middle class and the
317	vulnerable.
318	Just think about it skyrocketing health care premiums
319	and growing numbers of the uninsured, a widely unpopular
320	Trump tax scam, trillions of dollars in new and mounting
321	debt, and now a devastating Trump-inflicted policy that
322	stripped thousands of children from their parents.
323	So faced with the failure of their policies, Republicans
324	have started to retreat to their safe spaces, including

325	proposing draconian cuts to Medicare, Medicaid, and Social
326	Security as part of their new budget and now, of course,
327	today's old favorite cheerleading for fossil fuels.
328	The latest version of this tired old story has
329	Republicans going so far as to trying to legislatively
330	blackmail states like New Jersey, that have stood up to the
331	administration's oil above all agenda, by imposing sizeable
332	fees on any state that refuses to rubber stamp President
333	Trump's offshore drilling expansion policy.
334	Early this year, New Jersey governor Phil Murphy
335	listened to our coastal communities and blocked offshore oil
336	and gas drilling in state waters.
336 337	and gas drilling in state waters.  The Jersey shore where I live is a priceless national
337	The Jersey shore where I live is a priceless national
337 338	The Jersey shore where I live is a priceless national treasure that is an engine for our tourism industry that
<ul><li>337</li><li>338</li><li>339</li></ul>	The Jersey shore where I live is a priceless national treasure that is an engine for our tourism industry that generates \$38 billion a year and one of the largest
<ul><li>337</li><li>338</li><li>339</li><li>340</li></ul>	The Jersey shore where I live is a priceless national treasure that is an engine for our tourism industry that generates \$38 billion a year and one of the largest recreational fishing industries in the nation.
337 338 339 340 341	The Jersey shore where I live is a priceless national treasure that is an engine for our tourism industry that generates \$38 billion a year and one of the largest recreational fishing industries in the nation.  That's all threatened by offshore drilling, which will
337 338 339 340 341 342	The Jersey shore where I live is a priceless national treasure that is an engine for our tourism industry that generates \$38 billion a year and one of the largest recreational fishing industries in the nation.  That's all threatened by offshore drilling, which will destroy our coastal economy. We simply don't need to risk
337 338 339 340 341 342 343	The Jersey shore where I live is a priceless national treasure that is an engine for our tourism industry that generates \$38 billion a year and one of the largest recreational fishing industries in the nation.  That's all threatened by offshore drilling, which will destroy our coastal economy. We simply don't need to risk the health and vitality of our coastal communities for the

347 dependence on fossil fuels. Then, in response, fossil fuel industry executives come to Congress to tell us that the only 348 349 solution to our problems is to ramp up drilling and decrease 350 restrictions on their industry in order to increase supply. 351 That's happening today, as reckless Republican policies have led to a significant jump in the price of gasoline since 352 353 In fact, the price of gas has gone up nearly 25 percent since President Trump took office. 354 355 You'd think that would lead to an effort to support 356 cleaner less gas-quzzling vehicles. But that logic is lost on President Trump and his ethically-challenged EPA 357 358 administrator, Scott Pruitt, who have gone completely in the 359 opposite direction. 360 They have moved aggressively against clean cars and a 361 diverse 21st century energy policy. Instead, President Trump 362 has worked tirelessly to put in place a 1950s approach to 363 energy that only an oil company could love and can best be 364 summed up by the words dig, drill anytime, anywhere, even if 365 it's in our coastal recreational waters. 366 Now, President Trump also made a foolish decision by announcing his intention to withdraw from the Paris Climate 367 agreement. That was an agreement we spent years negotiating 368

369	with the global community and was signed by, roughly, 200
370	countries.
371	By abandoning our friend and allies, we have ceded our
372	leadership on climate action and clean technology development
373	and deployment to China, and others.
374	We were the global leader, but now we don't even have a
375	seat at the table. What does that mean? That puts America
376	last and is, tragically, shortsighted.
377	Republican "oil above all" policies have always centered
378	on one thing putting the profits of oil tycoons and fossil
379	industry donors first, and the current rerun of this cliched
380	show should have been cancelled long ago. But, obviously, it
381	isn't.
382	I don't know if anyone else would like my time. If not,
383	I will yield back, Mr. Chairman.
384	Mr. Upton. I'd like to say the gentleman's time has
385	expired but I'll be polite.
386	[Laughter.]
387	The gentleman yields back.
388	So we are ready for the testimony. I appreciate all of
389	you sending up your testimony in advance. I was able to read
390	it last night.

391	It will be made part of the record in its entirety and
392	you'll each have five minutes to summarize that testimony and
393	expound how you might, and we will do questions.
394	And Dr. Yergin, you're first up.
395	Thank you. You got to hit that button to make sure that
396	you're on.

397	STATEMENTS OF DR. DANIEL YERGIN, VICE CHAIRMAN, IHS MARKET;
398	DENNIS ARRIOLA, CHIEF STRATEGY OFFICER, SEMPRA; DR. KEVIN
399	KENNEDY, DEPUTY DIRECTOR, U.S. CLIMATE INITIATIVE, WORLD
400	RESOURCES INSTITUTE; HAROLD HAMM, CEO, CONTINENTAL RESOURCES;
401	
402	STATEMENT OF DANIEL YERGIN
403	Mr. Yergin. Mr. Chairman, acting ranking member,
404	members of the subcommittee, it's really an honor to be here
405	and to have the chance to talk about this just dramatic
406	change that's happened in the United States and what it means
407	for our economy, for geopolitics, and the position of the
408	United States in the world.
409	As the chairman pointed out and Mr. Walden pointed out,
410	the World Gas Conference is here. It's 12,000 people from
411	around the world who have come to Washington.
412	I have just come over from it. The theme of this
413	conferences is fueling the future and now it's a very
414	different future because of the shale revolution in the
415	United States, and that has been one of the major themes.
416	This is, as was noted, the 10th anniversary, at least as
417	we see it at IHS Market, of what we have called the shale
418	gale, which was really changed the United States and the

419	energy picture to have profound consequences, although I
420	think the scale of the consequences would have been foreseen.
421	What's changed since 2008? Well, back in 2008, we were
422	going to be the largest importer of LNG in the world. Now we
423	are on the road to be one of the largest exporters and,
424	indeed, as noted, the largest producer of natural gas in the
425	world.
426	As many of you know, for four decades energy
427	independence was the cry but we always were going in the
428	other direction. The question only seemed to be how high
429	would our imports go.
430	But now in a decade, we have gone from importing on a
431	net basis 60 percent of or oil to 16 percent. Huge change.
432	Everyone knows that trade is a very big issue, so it's
433	noteworthy to observe that over this decade the change in the
434	U.S. oil position, along with changes in prices, has reduced
435	the nation's annual trade deficit by \$300 billion.
436	U.S. oil production has more than doubled in the decade,
437	and here's something striking. Next year, or maybe later
438	this year, the United States will become the world's largest
439	oil producer, ahead of Saudi Arabia and Russia.
440	It's been a major stimulus to the U.S. economy, not just

441 in the oil and gas sector, but because across the entire economy because of long supply chains, and I would say in 442 443 many states that -- where shale is not permitted there are 444 jobs that have been created, we calculated 50,000 jobs in the 445 state of New York because of the shale revolution. 446 Industries that were supposed to flee the United States 447 because of high energy costs are now here in the United States, spending tens of billions of dollars. 448 449 Also, this is having major geopolitical impacts that are 450 constructive for the United States. I can see it as I travel 451 around the world how there is a whole new degree of influence 452 that the United States has because of this revolution. 453 The turnaround in gas is just striking. The eight-year 454 period of 2000-2007, total U.S. gas production grew by 1 455 percent. Over the subsequent 10-year period it's grown by 40 456 percent, and we believe that it will grow by another 60 457 percent over the next 20 years. 458 So where would we be without this? Without the shale 459 revolution, the United States would be importing large 460 volumes of oil and gas. Our trade balance would be dramatically different. 461 Millions of jobs would not exist and the United States 462

463	would be less competitive. The domestic U.S. power markets
464	and the overall economy would look significantly different
465	without the shale revolution.
466	Similarly, the outlook would be different in terms of
467	the global economy and international relations both for
468	countries that produce oil and gas and for countries that
469	import them.
470	I just came from a meeting of APEC countries and the
471	role of the U.S. in terms of LNG is something that is now
472	very important to those Asian countries.
473	Certainly, without the shale gale we would be in a
474	different position internationally. This has brought a new
475	element of influence and independence for the United States.
476	It was so evident this morning, and U.S. LNG exports are
477	becoming a significant and positive factor in relations with
478	many countries and a key issue in discussions about trade.
479	So this new outlook for oil and natural gas has created
480	new possibilities for making progress towards national goals
481	of energy efficiency, cost efficiency, environmental
482	protection, global competitiveness, and energy security.
483	It is also contributing jobs and revenues to the economy
484	at the national, state, and local levels. In short, the

485	shale gale has put a powerful new wind at America's back.
486	Thank you.
487	[The prepared statement of Mr. Yergin follows:]
488	
489	********************

490 Mr. Upton. Thank you. Mr. Arriola.

491	STATEMENT OF DENNIS ARRIOLA
492	
493	Mr. Arriola. Mr. Chairman Chairman Upton, Acting
494	Ranking Member McNerney, and members of the subcommittee,
495	thank you for this opportunity to testify regarding U.S.
496	natural gas policy and how it affects our business.
497	My name is Dennis Arriola and I am the chief strategy
498	officer for Sempra Energy and I also have responsibility for
499	our external affairs in our South American operations.
500	Sempra, as you mentioned, is a Fortune 500 services
501	company based in San Diego, California, and we have
502	approximately 20,000 employees that serve more than 40,000
503	[sic] consumers around the world and we are the largest
504	utility holding company with the largest U.S. customer base.
505	And our utilities include Southern California Gas
506	Company, which is the largest natural gas distribution
507	company in the United States, San Diego Gas and Electric, and
508	Encore Electric Delivery Company in Texas.
509	And our energy infrastructure companies include our
510	investments in Mexico that help import U.S. natural gas and
511	petroleum products to that country and we have also have our
512	Sempra LNG and Midstream business.

And as Dr. Yergin mentioned, the timing of this hearing couldn't be better as the World Gas Conference is here in our nation's capital, and with the global triennial next stopping in the Republic of Korea and China, the conference this week in D.C. is the last time that the U.S. is going to have the opportunity to really help shape the discussion as the host country for years to come. And I can tell you that the world truly is watching what's going on in Washington this week. The outlook for domestic and international natural gas markets has never been better because of two key developments, and one which Dr. Yergin mentioned -- the shale energy boom -- but also the opportunity to export U.S. liquefied natural gas, or LNG. And we invest wisely and follow smart pro-market policies, there's little doubt that the U.S. will derive increased economic benefits, job growth, and even greater energy independence, and natural gas now serves as the leading fuel source for the industrial, commercial, and residential sectors of the U.S. economy. And this increased consumption is providing for significant job growth, boosting the economy, and lowering

air emissions, and natural gas is also ensuring greater

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

energy security and prosperity globally as well.

Now, we own two and operate two LNG facilities and we are in the process of permitting a third. Our Cameron LNG is in Louisiana -- is under construction. We currently have 10,000 workers on site and when it's completed and starts operating in 2019, the facility is going to create approximately 130 well-paying jobs in an area that really will benefit from them.

We are also pursuing our FERC permit for an LNG export facility located near Port Arthur, Texas, and again, this is going to be employing over 3,100 construction and engineering jobs and, on average over the four- to five-year period of build out it's going to create more than 200 permanent well-paying jobs.

Both of these projects together could help reduce our overall trade deficit by, roughly, \$16 billion annually, and these and other export facilities are going to promote new pipelines and maintain natural gas production for many states that are represented here on the subcommittee, including Ohio, Pennsylvania, New Mexico, Texas, and Louisiana, and it's also going to help continue the current cost advantage that benefits U.S. consumers.

Now, we have the potential to strengthen alliances with developed and developing countries by providing a safe and reliable resource to those countries.

But we've got to be able to build the infrastructure in this country to do that, and the U.S. gas exports can also help our European and Asian allies reduce their energy dependence on Russia.

And if we are to benefit from this opportunity, we've got to take advantage of it and the time is now, and one of the things that we were looking for from this subcommittee is to, along with the administration, figure out how we can expedite in a smart manner the permitting processes that are required here in this country to have that infrastructure, to help export good clean natural gas from the United States.

These delays jeopardize commercial agreements with our international trading partners and you can be sure that the other major LNG-exporting countries are doing everything possible to enhance their competitive position, and bureaucratic delays are not one of the challenges they have.

So I think we need to work together as a country to take advantage of this window of opportunity to make sure that when you enter into these contracts these are 20- to 30-year

579	contracts.
580	If we, as an American business community, can't get in
581	on time with these countries, we are going to get shut out
582	and we are not just shut out for a year we are shut out
583	for decades, and that means that the jobs that can be helping
584	certain parts of our country, the economic progress won't
585	come about.
586	And so what we need is to ensure that FERC maintains its
587	typical permit review schedule of no more than 18 to 24
588	months so that we can get this going.
589	Thank you.
590	[The prepared statement of Mr. Arriola follows:]
591	**********INSERT 4******

Mr. Upton. Thank you. Dr. Kennedy.

593	STATEMENT OF KEVIN KENNEDY
594	
595	Mr. Kennedy. Thank you, Chairman Upton, Mr. McNerney,
596	other members of the committee. I am very pleased to be
597	joining you here today for this hearing.
598	My name is Kevin Kennedy and I am deputy director for
599	the U.S. Climate Initiative at the World Resources Institute.
600	WRI is a global research organization that turns big ideas
601	into action at the intersection of the environment, economic
602	opportunity, and human wellbeing.
603	As Mr. McNerney noted, as we look at the energy
604	landscape in the United States today, it's important not just
605	to focus on the oil and gas industry but to consider the
606	broader context of changes that are happening across the
607	country.
608	America has seen significant progress on the development
609	and deployment of clean energy such as renewables, resulting
610	in large part from the leadership of states, cities, and
611	businesses, often acting with the support and cooperation of
612	the federal government.
613	When President Trump announced last year his intent to
614	withdraw from the international climate agreement, some

615	feared that this progress might slow.
616	On the contrary, that announcement catalysed continued
617	and expanded commitment from states, cities, and businesses
618	across the country.
619	Within days, over 1,200 leaders joined together to say
620	we are still in and committed to support climate action, and
621	as of this weekend, that declaration has been supported by
622	over 2,800 leaders.
623	I have been a core member of the research team behind
624	the America's Pledge Initiative, which was launched last July
625	by former Mayor Michael Bloomberg and Governor Jerry Brown,
626	to document the full range of climate and clean energy
627	actions being taken across America.
628	Our report last November showed that leaders
629	representing almost half the population and more than half of
630	the U.S. economy have committed to bringing down their
631	greenhouse gas emissions.
632	These leaders recognized that acting to support clean
633	energy and address climate change can go hand in hand with
634	economic growth and job creation.
635	While many of those signing declarations like we are
636	still in are doing so for environmental reasons. Others are

637 acting based primarily on the economic opportunities offered 638 by being leaders in clean energy. 639 They recognize that major countries around the world are 640 investing in renewables and other clean energy sources and 641 the global markets are shifting fast, and they want to see 642 their communities and the country lead rather than to follow. 643 This committee and Congress can be their partners in moving ahead on renewables, energy efficiency, and other 644 645 clean energy sources. I want to share just a few of the 646 stories today. In 2017, large corporate buyers in the U.S. like Google, 647 648 Kimberly-Clark, and General Motors, announced contracts for nearly 2.9 gigawatts of renewable energy -- an 80 percent 649 650 increase from the previous year, and this year they've 651 already announced deals for almost 2.5 gigawatts -- almost 652 matching last year's total just six months in. 653 The Republican mayor of Georgetown, Texas, said one of 654 the most important benefits of being 100 percent renewable is 655 the potential for economic development. Many companies are 656 looking to increase their green sources of power for both 657 office and manufacturing facilities. 658 Mayor Ross added that the city's move to 100 percent

659 renewables was chiefly a business decision based on cost and 660 price stability. 661 Looking to energy efficiency, the private sector has, again, been a leader. Almost 200 U.S. manufacturers have 662 663 committed to decreasing their energy intensity by 25 percent 664 over 10 years as part of the Department of Energy's Better 665 Plants program. 666 These companies have already saved -- already reported 667 \$3.1 billion in reduced energy costs. We also see meaningful moves in the auto industry. Ford plans to nearly double its 668 investment in electric vehicles in the next five years and GM 669 670 is working towards an all-electric zero tailpipe emissions future with 20 new electric vehicle models to be available 671 672 globally in the early 2020s. 673 The NEF projects that by 2040, 55 percent of new global 674 car sales will be electric. Those states that have put a 675 price on carbon have also seen both environmental and 676 economic benefits. 677 The Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative, known as RGGI, 678 prices carbon dioxide emissions from the electric sector, serving nine states from Maryland to Maine. RGGI states have 679 680 outperformed the rest of the country both environmentally and

681	economically.
682	During its first five years, emissions decreased 35
683	percent in RGGI states but only 12 percent elsewhere. At the
684	same time, RGGI state economies grew faster than the rest of
685	the country.
686	These are just a few of the good news stories about
687	state, local, and private sector movement towards renewables
688	and other forms of clean energy.
689	The degree of momentum behind this transformation and
690	the resulting economic benefits to local communities across
691	the country would be enhanced by federal support for
692	development and deployment of clean energy resources like
693	renewables and energy efficiency.
694	As other countries invest in clean energy, it's time for
695	this Congress and the administration to step up support for
696	states, cities, and businesses that are looking to seize the
697	economic opportunities presented by clean energy
698	transformation.
699	Thank you for your time.
700	[The prepared statement of Mr. Kennedy follows:]
701	
702	**************************************

703 Mr. Upton. Mr. Hamm, welcome.

704	STATEMENT OF HAROLD HAMM
705	
706	Mr. Hamm. Thank you, Chairman Upton, Acting Ranking
707	Member McNerney, and other members of the committee.
708	My name is Harold Hamm. It's my honor to address you
709	today on the miracle of American oil and gas and its global
710	impact.
711	As chairman of the Domestic Energy Producers Alliance
712	and CEO of the company that co-developed the first oil field
713	ever drilled exclusively with horizontal and a company that
714	is the largest leaseholder and most active driller and
715	largest producer both in the Bakken play in North Dakota and
716	the SCOOP/STACK and Springer plays of Oklahoma, I've been
717	able to pioneer and participate in the American energy
718	renaissance first hand.
719	I testified to the House Agricultural Committee on July
720	8th, 2015, about the American energy renaissance and,
721	particularly, we was asking for lifting of the ban on
722	exportation of crude oil, and it was granted. We got it
723	signed into law. President Obama signed it into law and I
724	appreciated that.
725	Of course, we have to remember back. It was on the

omnibus bill -- it's a little bit hard not to sign -- and a

727 two-year extension was given to wind and solar at that time 728 and, of course, two years times 10. It was a very costly 729 addition -- the cost renewals. 730 I said it then and I will say it again -- the American 731 energy renaissance is the single-most defining aspect on this 732 planet today that will shape the next 50 years ahead of us. In the past 10 years, the United States has undergone 733 734 unprecedented transformation, as Daniel said, and thanks to 735 the ingenuity of America's independent oil and gas natural producers -- oil and natural gas producers we are 736 737 transitioning from a consuming short supply nation to an 738 energy long supplier dominating the world oil market today. 739 Our country has rapidly gone from fears of energy 740 scarcity to understand that U.S. energy independence is well 741 within our reach. I think that will happen late 2020. 742 are a little ahead of the IEA on that, and during this Trump 743 administration we'll become not only energy dominant but 744 we'll become energy sufficient and independent in the future. 745 And so we have had to rely on other countries to fuel 746 our energy needs drastically in the past, primarily from the 747 Middle East.

726

748	The instability of shifting alliances wreaked havoc on
749	American foreign policy for decades, and that's been
750	complicated by Russia and their involvement as well in the
751	country's global affairs.
752	But the American energy renaissance is rapidly shaping
753	those complicated dynamics to align with the U.S. to produce
754	all the energy that it needs.
755	Our energy imports have dropped from over 30 percent of
756	energy consumed in 2007 to less than 8 percent currently, and
757	because of these production gains, the U.S. no longer has to
758	put American lives in the Middle East particularly.
759	The ban on exports of crude oil was lifted in 2015 we
760	talked about that and we are now on pace to become a net
761	energy exporter and provide our allies with a reliable
762	affordable supply of vital commodities like oil, LNG, and
763	other petroleum products, and we can also impact the world
764	with these clean fuels.
765	Rising U.S. oil production has have proven to be vital
766	in meeting global demand as production capacity while
767	eliminating dramatic price hikes have long been a hallmark of
768	global markets.
769	Total petroleum exports are now averaging close to 7

770	million barrels a day, roughly, 2 million of which is crude
771	oil.
772	The commitment of the Trump administration to repealing
773	unnecessary and in many cases archaic and onerous regulations
774	is the right move for America.
775	There are still a couple around the CAFÉ standards that
776	was approved back when everybody thought we was running out
777	of oil. Certainly, it is wreaking havoc with the on the
778	highways today and claim an additional 10,000 lives per year
779	due to the small size imposed by CAFÉ standards on vehicles.
780	Also, the archaic SCC rules need to be changed that
781	limit our production and booking just to five years. If we
782	are to be energy dominant, we certainly need to recognize
783	that.
784	And we've done it environmentally sound, no governmental
785	assistance or subsidies. It's all come from the independent
786	sector.
787	Thank you.
788	[The prepared statement of Mr. Hamm follows:]
789	
790	**************************************

791	Mr. Upton. Thank you all, and I you know, I remember
792	coming to Washington as a young staff I am still young
793	and I remember the gas lines.
794	I remember having a 1 at the end of my license plate
795	which allowed me to fill up, after an hour and a half, at a
796	Shell station around the corner her on Capitol Hill.
797	And, Mr. Arriola, I don't know how you did it but you
798	got one of the sharpest people in your organization in the
799	woman behind you, Maryam Brown.
800	She was one that worked hard with our Energy and
801	Commerce staff to actually develop the strategy that, I got
802	to tell you, was bipartisan the North American Energy
803	Independent Plan and laid it out.
804	We had testimony from Dr. Yergin back then, who
805	predicted that we could do this if you unshackle the
806	industry, and I got to tell you, nine years ago, the day that
807	President Obama was sworn in the gas price average gas
808	price, and that was before Memorial Day and before July 4th,
809	was \$3.84 a gallon, and it hurt a lot of people, particularly
810	the most vulnerable.
811	And as I recall, that summer gas prices got pretty close
812	to \$5.00 and even over in some areas like California even

813	maybe \$6.00 a gallon.
814	This last weekend, I was home in Michigan. I watched
815	gas prices at \$2.65. So forget inflation. I mean, it is so
816	we are so much better off, and the strategy that we laid
817	out, which, again, was bipartisan.
818	Mr. Hamm talked about it. President Obama signed it
819	into law to lift the crude oil and there were still some,
820	even from Texas, who voted against the omnibus, even though
821	that was part of it, despite Mr. Barton's pleading, and he
822	did a great job in lining up the support to get that done.
823	But where would we be today without this? And I guess
824	the other quick question that I have for Mr. Yergin, you said
825	in your testimony that we anticipate that U.S. production
826	could grow by another 60 percent over the next 20 years.
827	You know, I talk to my farmers who use natural gas to
828	dry their corn. You know, most of my constituents many of
829	them, anyway have natural gas for heating and cooling and
830	so those rates have gone down. They're not even a third of
831	what they were 10, 15 years ago.
832	Where are we headed with this continued new production
833	that in fact not only can we export and so help our balance
834	of trade, but what is that going to do for the average family

835	across the country?
836	Mr. Yergin. What it's meant is lower cost for
837	electricity, lower cost for heating, and it's also, because
838	of the impact on this economy, I mentioned in my written
839	testimony we've added about \$120 billion of new investment
840	directly in manufacturing, about maybe a same amount as
841	ancillary.
842	So it's created a lot of jobs. It's really been
843	beneficial across the board.
844	Mr. Upton. And it's done a lot for the climate as well.
845	I mean, we know that emissions CO2 emissions have
846	dropped by 30 percent. I think half of that is just by the
847	transformation to coal from coal, I should say.
848	Mr. Yergin. Well, I mean yes, if you look at it, our
849	emissions today are back to the level of the early 1990s
850	although our economy has almost doubled since that time and
851	gas has been an important part of that.
852	Mr. Upton. Mr. Hamm, you indicated in your testimony
853	that U.S. has the lowest gas and diesel prices in the
854	developed world. What are the biggest drivers of reducing
855	those prices and what can we do to continue to keep those
856	prices low?

857	Mr. Hamm. A lot of it has to do with the product that's
858	produced. Just like the Bakken you know, that's that
859	oil has no bottoms to speak of, no asphalt.
860	Basically, a lot of middle distillates, which is
861	gasoline, diesel, kerosene, and when that you know, prior
862	to it coming into existence back in 2007, you saw diesel
863	prices at \$4.00, \$4.50 a gallon, and that was because there
864	wasn't much you could wring out of a barrel of bitumen coming
865	in from Canada.
866	But with the addition of all this oil coming in in the
867	Bakken, that price went to \$2.25 and it did it very quickly.
868	And so as a result, we see both diesel and gasoline prices
869	the cheapest that you have seen.
870	And so we have the lowest gasoline prices in the world
871	that we are enjoying and this is with some state taxes on it,
872	and some of them, like, California, get pretty high.
873	Mr. Upton. So in my remaining 15 seconds, if the oil
874	price stays about the same where it is today is that
875	going to continue to see the exploration and the drilling and
876	the production of domestic resources versus going too low and
877	maybe shutting those off?
878	Mr. Hamm. You know, we have a great supply. You know,

879	I think we are all I am a geologist looking forward
880	with natural gas.
881	I think we've got a 100-year supply or more. You know,
882	I think we can produce 10 million barrels a day of crude oil
883	for the next 85 years. You know, again this is an entirely
884	new reservoir to explore.
885	Basically, what we've done in the past is explored what
886	leaked off of these giant shale fields where the oil was
887	generated. And so all we could do is maybe that 15 percent
888	that leaked off into upper reservoir traps we could go after
889	that.
890	Today, we can go into those resource beds like the
891	Woodford and the Bakken and produce the oil left in them. So
892	there's about 85 percent available. What can we get of that
893	20, 25, 30 percent? Sure.
894	Mr. Upton. My time has expired. Mr. McNerney.
895	Mr. McNerney. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Your time has
896	more than expired.
897	Excellent presentations. I really appreciate all of
898	your words. Dr. Yergin, the financial disclosure of climate
899	effects for oil companies is that possible to make that
900	happen? What would it how would it affect the economy?

901 How would it affect the companies and how can we get that information to shareholders if they're not going to be 902 903 able to produce that information? Mr. Yergin. I think a lot of that is being produced 904 905 Companies are preparing their financial disclosure 906 reports, their sustainability reports. 907 The question is does it go in the financial reports, does it go in others, and I think there's a process going on 908 909 with the climate-related financial disclosures to get the 910 right framework for meaningful information that's meaningful 911 for investors. So I think we have pointed to something 912 that's in process and being developed. 913 Mr. McNerney. Thank you. Do you -- in my opening 914 statement, I mentioned the cyclical nature of the oil 915 business economically. Do you see that happening now or do 916 you think because of the shale revolution that it's going to 917 flatten out a little bit? 918 Mr. Yergin. No, I think -- I think you hit it on the 919 nail. It's a cyclical business. It's always been a cyclical 920 business and if you look at the oil market today you see that 921 we've gone from that really big surplus that led to the 922 collapse with a tightening market and you have some very

923	important geopolitical things that are happening.
924	One is the rapid decline and collapse in Venezuela in
925	oil production, which is something that we can feel the
926	impact of in the next several months.
927	Just to give you the numbers, Venezuela was $22$ million
928	barrels three years ago. Today, it's 1.4. We think next
929	year it'll be at 800,000 and could be lower than that.
930	And the other thing that's, obviously, with the
931	sanctions coming on Iran, it's with a very different
932	pacing by this administration and the Obama administration,
933	right now there's uncertainty about that.
934	So I think, you know, whenever they say cycles have been
935	abolished I tend to think no.
936	Mr. McNerney. Thank you. You know, I believe one of
937	the big drivers to the shale revolution was the way that
938	ownership of the minerals below the surface as handled in
939	this country as compared to other countries.
940	Are other countries going to be able to carry on this
941	pick up the shale revolution or is that going to be
942	continuing to be driven in this country?
943	Mr. Yergin. Well, it's in Canada, but the kind of early
944	thoughts that it was going to spread rapidly around the world

945	has not borne out, partly for geological reasons, partly for
946	political reasons, and partly for the reason you point to
947	that the resources under the grounds are owned by
948	governments, not by the farmers who work on them.
949	I would say the area now that there's the greatest
950	optimism about is what's called the Vaca Muerta, which means
951	dead cow, which is an area of Argentina and that's where the
952	focus is.
953	But so far, this is really a North American deal.
954	Mr. McNerney. How about geopolitical leverage of our
955	oil export and natural gas export versus what's happening as
956	a result of us pulling out of Paris and sort of repercussions
957	of us pulling back with regard to being a leader on climate
958	change?
959	Mr. Yergin. I think there are kind of two separate
960	things. Obviously, Dr. Kennedy has described the latter.
961	But I am very struck when I go to a country like India
962	to see that, to them, it's very significant that there's this
963	whole new dimension to their relationship with the United
964	States that we are exporting gas to them.
965	We are actually exporting oil to them and it gives a
966	whole new strand to that relationship and a kind of dialogue

967	that wasn't there before, and I see that in many countries
968	around the world.
969	And I think that the you know, I don't know where we
970	are on trade right now but, certainly, LNG exports to China
971	were seen as one factor that changed the trade balance
972	between our two countries.
973	Mr. McNerney. Thank you. Dr. Kennedy, could you give
974	me some indication of the job creation per kilowatt hour
975	equivalent of renewables versus oil and natural gas?
976	Mr. Kennedy. I don't have those numbers off the top of
977	my head. But I would be happy to sort of go back to the
978	office and gather some of that information and provide it to
979	you, yes.
980	Mr. McNerney. Okay.
981	Mr. Arriola, I am concerned about Sempra's design of
982	selling off its renewables. Can you give me some clue where
983	Sempra is with regard to that process?
984	Mr. Arriola. I think what you're probably referring to,
985	Congressman, is a shareholder proposal that we received in
986	the last couple weeks from an investor group, and what I can
987	tell is Sempra is totally dedicated and committed to
988	continuing our focus with renewables.

989	In fact, if you look at and you know our company, San
990	Diego Gas and Electric, it's actually one of the leading
991	companies that procures renewable power on behalf of our
992	customers.
993	In fact, last year over 45 percent of the power that we
994	procured was renewables and we are continuing to focus on
995	what we can do from the battery storage technology standpoint
996	in looking at electric vehicles.
997	So Sempra, at its core, is focused on sustainability and
998	part of that is renewable energy as well.
999	Mr. McNerney. Okay. Thank you. Yield back.
1000	Mr. Olson. [Presiding.] The chair now calls upon the
1001	gentleman from Texas, the vice chairman of the full
1002	committee, Mr. Barton, for five minutes.
1003	Mr. Barton. I thank the gentleman from Fort Bend
1004	County, Texas, and I can't tell you how excited I am to have
1005	this hearing. It's really exciting for me.
1006	I've got all kinds of questions but I am going to
1007	since I only have five minutes I am going to try to be as
1008	quick as possible.
1009	Mr. Yergin and this would be also for Mr. Hamm
1010	what's with current technology and current resource base,

1011	what's the upper limit for oil production per day in the
1012	United States as compared to Saudi Arabia and Russia?
1013	Mr. Yergin. Well, as a famous wildcatter in Texas said
1014	about around 1900, on Dr. Drill knows for sure. But I think
1015	now an informed view, and I think we see it from even the
1016	Energy Information Administration and others, the U.S. today
1017	is a little short of 11 million barrels a day.
1018	It could be 14 or 15 million barrels a day. Russia is
1019	around 11 million. Saudi is, like, 10.3. So we are moving
1020	into this position very significant and, you know, you take
1021	the Permian in Texas, which Mr. Hamm referred to.
1022	Eight years ago, it was 900,000 barrels a day. It's
1023	2.5. We think another five years the Permian alone will be
1024	5.4 million barrels a day, which will make it larger than any
1025	country in OPEC except for Saudi Arabia.
1026	Mr. Barton. Mr. Hamm.
1027	Mr. Hamm. I don't have any change to that. Daniel is
1028	right on. You know, this year, best guess that we are going
1029	to grow about 900,000 barrels per day in the U.S.
1030	So, you know, there's some infrastructure things out
1031	there that, you know, will cap some of that that growth.
1032	But, you know, I don't see that number changing for a while.

1033	Mr. Barton. Is there any other country in the world
1034	that has a higher delta potential production increase than
1035	the United States?
1036	Mr. Yergin. Well, I will say that
1037	Mr. Barton. I hope the answer is no.
1038	Mr. Yergin. The answer is no. I don't think anywhere
1039	in the world has actually seen the kind of growth that we've
1040	seen in the United States in the last five or six years. It
1041	just doesn't happen anywhere else in the world. It's
1042	breathtaking.
1043	Mr. Barton. What is China's potential oil and gas
1044	production as a percent of its demand? Do they have the
1045	ability to produce what they consume in oil and gas or will
1046	they will they be a net importer of
1047	Mr. Yergin. No, they're going in just the opposite
1048	direction we are. They are now importing 75 percent of their
1049	oil and I think IEA has just said next year they're going to
1050	be the largest importer of natural gas, which has become a
1051	real imperative for them.
1052	So they're becoming more deponent and, you know, it's
1053	striking to see that part of their portfolio now is from the
1054	United States.

1055	Mr. Barton. This next question is for Mr. Arriola,
1056	since you're with Sempra. What's the 10-year outlook for LNG
1057	prices on the world market? I would assume they're going to
1058	come down as we ramp up our exports?
1059	Mr. Arriola. No, I think Congressman, I think you're
1060	correct. I think what we are finding is that as demand
1061	externally continues to increase, there's more production
1062	going on here domestically and it's helping to actually keep
1063	prices relatively flat or actually continuing to push them
1064	down, and as we see additional advances in technology I think
1065	it's really good for consumers long term.
1066	So what we are seeing in why countries on the outside
1067	are looking to buy U.S. natural gas is because of the
1068	stability of those prices.
1069	Mr. Barton. My assumption of course, I am not in the
1070	market like you are, but my assumption is that as we ramp up
1071	our expert terminal capability and capacity that those prices
1072	are going to come down, but they're going to level out, I
1073	hope, about halfway from where they were a couple years ago
1074	and where our domestic price is.
1075	So if we can get it \$7, \$8 in MCF equivalent, I think
1076	I hope it's somewhere in that range. Can U.S. exports be

1077	profitable in that price range?
1078	Mr. Arriola. What we are seeing from the market is that
1079	the demand is there, and Mr. Hamm knows probably better than
1080	anyone that the U.S. production market is continuing to look
1081	for more natural gas and oil, which they wouldn't be doing it
1082	if it weren't profitable.
1083	Mr. Barton. This is my last question and I am going to
1084	sort of pat myself on the back here. You know, I helped lead
1085	the fight, and a lot of members on the committee did, to
1086	repeal the ban on crude oil exports three or four years ago,
1087	and this committee also led the effort to make it possible to
1088	permit LNG terminals in a more timely fashion.
1089	What's the geopolitical significance of those two
1090	congressional actions?
1091	Mr. Yergin. I think the geopolitical position is
1092	strengthening the United States and strengthening our
1093	position in the world.
1094	I mean, it really gives us a whole new vocabulary to
1095	talk to countries about and the degree and a whole new
1096	category of kind of respect and a deeper relationship. So
1097	it's been proved to be, I think, very positive.
1098	Mr. Arriola. Congressman, what I would say to that is

1099	we talk to customers outside of the United States. They're
1100	looking for options. They're looking for options away from
1101	Russia and other countries and they want the United States to
1102	be one of those options.
1103	Mr. Yergin. If I could say one other thing it also -
1104	- there's a lot of contention in the relationship with China
1105	right now.
1106	But this has taken one issue of contention off the
1107	table, because if you go back eight, 10 years, there would
1108	still have to be this zero sum game between China and the
1109	United States for energy. That's completely gone, and I
1110	think that's something that improves our position.
1111	Mr. Barton. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
1112	Mr. Olson. Pat on the back complete. The chair now
1113	calls upon Mr. Peters from California for five minutes.
1114	Mr. Peters. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I thank the
1115	witnesses for being here. I will say hello to Mr. Arriola
1116	from San Diego, and congratulations on the SDG&E being at 45
1117	percent.
1118	I say it's the highest, the most renewable. If you know
1119	of a utility that's doing better you should unless you
1120	know that, you should say it's the highest, not one of the

1121	highest.
1122	Mr. Arriola. We'll do that in the future. Thank you.
1123	Mr. Peters. Okay. Thanks. Not that you're running for
1124	office.
1125	You referred to clean natural gas in your testimony. I
1126	think that implicit in that is the statement that natural gas
1127	burns cleaner than coal and I think most people would concede
1128	that.
1129	The thing that a lot of folks say, though, is that a lot
1130	of the benefit of natural gas is lost because of fugitive
1131	methane emissions that methane being a much more damaging
1132	agent to climate even than carbon dioxide in the short run.
1133	The flip side of that is if you could contain it if
1134	you could if you could keep methane from escaping you
1135	could have a good impact on the rate of climate change.
1136	Let me ask you kind of how do you think the United
1137	States is doing in terms of methane capture? And I would
1138	like you to address that I am going to ask the same
1139	questions of Mr. Kennedy.
1140	Mr. Arriola. You know, it's a great question,
1141	Congressman, because I think with all of the advancements in
1142	technology and all of the capital expenditures that we've had

1143	within our industries over the I would say over the last
1144	10 years, we have been able to identify and remediate
1145	substantially a lot of the methane emissions that come from
1146	the natural gas supply chain.
1147	And so when you compare the United States and this is
1148	based upon numbers that were coming out of the EPA, the
1149	United States is probably one of the lowest when you look at
1150	the overall supply chain probably close to 1 2 percent is
1151	the number that I've seen.
1152	What I would tell you is that there are additional
1153	opportunities for us to be able to capture methane emissions
1154	that don't necessarily come from the natural gas supply chain
1155	but, rather, from the agricultural industry, the water supply
1156	industry, landfills, and within our company we are actually
1157	looking at new technologies to try to gather this and really
1158	create renewable natural gas by using it for either
1159	transportation fuels and/or reinjecting it into our
1160	pipelines.
1161	So I think there's more opportunities there and we are
1162	focused on those.
1163	Mr. Peters. Just in terms of natural gas collection and
1164	distribution, it's my concern that the market may not provide

1165	sufficient incentives to look after that.
1166	Mr. Kennedy, what do you what would you tell me about
1167	methane capture?
1168	Mr. Kennedy. Thank you, Congressman. I would agree
1169	that both that there are many of the technologies that are
1170	available and can be used to help contain and capture the
1171	methane leakage from the oil and gas industry but they are
1172	often not being used to the degree that they should be.
1173	In a recent report just last week, some academic studies
1174	looking at the degree of methane leakage across the oil and
1175	gas industry are suggesting that it is much greater than EPA
1176	had been estimating.
1177	I have not had a chance to look in any depth at that
1178	study but am greatly concerned that that is an indication
1179	that while CO2 emissions are down because of the advantages
1180	on the combustion side between natural gas and coal that the
1181	methane leakage may in fact be using up much of that
1182	advantage or even all of that advantage.
1183	I would also agree that there's opportunities for
1184	renewable natural gas from other sources. We have done some
1185	recent work on that. So there's other opportunities to
1186	capture other methane.

1187	But the oil and gas industry has the ability, but needs
1188	a lot of attention to make sure that they really capture
1189	methane as they could.
1190	Mr. Peters. I would just say I would like to follow up
1191	with both of you or all of you about what we could do on
1192	that. I was invited to attend a conference held by Harvard
1193	Business School on America's unconventional energy
1194	opportunity, they called it.
1195	It was in it was in Mach of 2015 where they
1196	assessed that the cost of actually controlling this was very
1197	small in relation to the revenues and the profits.
1198	But I don't it just strikes me that we should come up
1199	with some regulatory regime because this is classic market
1200	failure, I believe, when the cost of capturing that few
1201	that little bit of methane gas may not be sufficient to
1202	induce someone to keep it from escaping and I think I
1203	think, frankly, Sempra's been pretty open to that and I look
1204	forward to working with you.
1205	Mr. Chairman, I yield back.
1206	Mr. Olson. Thank you. The chair now calls upon Mr.
1207	Shimkus from Illinois for five minutes, sir.
1208	Mr. Shimkus. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and my

1209	colleague's line of questioning from California.
1210	I do want to make a point on the renewable natural gas
1211	that in the RFS in the advanced bucket there are credits for
1212	renewable natural gas.
1213	That's actually a growing part of the advanced bucket of
1214	the RFS. So we should visit on that as we go down this
1215	route.
1216	A question I have I am going to focus on the refined
1217	products and exports for a minute and I would like to ask Dr.
1218	Yergin and Mr. Hamm what countries outside of North America
1219	do we ship refined products too?
1220	Mr. Yergin. Well, I don't have all of them in my head
1221	by any means. I know Latin America is a big source,
1222	sometimes Europe, perhaps even Asia.
1223	I think in terms of LNG exports, I think so far we've
1224	exported LNG to about 26 different countries.
1225	Mr. Shimkus. Mr. Hamm.
1226	Mr. Hamm. Yes. You know, the refineries in this
1227	country, 30 percent of it is owned by foreign governments and
1228	entities and they can ship wherever they want.
1229	They, basically, own those refineries. Much of it was
1230	built for their own oil, like the Canadians, Venezuelans,

1231	PEMEX. And so that oil, basically, is coming through,
1232	refined here and where it goes is any customer that they have
1233	around the world.
1234	And so about 5 million barrels a day is refined products
1235	that go to those customers wherever.
1236	Mr. Shimkus. Can anyone talk to me the difference
1237	between the, in essence, the refined product on the gasoline
1238	side for octane ratings the difference between the United
1239	States and the European market, and is there one? Does
1240	anyone know that?
1241	We've been working on it. Bill Flores and I are we
1242	are focusing on trying to revise the renewable fuel standard.
1243	As many of you people know, there is a push on looking at
1244	octane, and then the basic argument is this why not get
1245	our smartest petroleum engineers and our smartest engine
1246	engineers to work together to figure out what's the best
1247	product. It addresses maybe a CAFE issue. It might address
1248	a little carbon issue.
1249	So in our research we found out that in the United
1250	States our regular is 91 to 92 real octane number. The
1251	European gasoline is at a 95 real octane number.
1252	So the question would be do you see any benefits of a

1253	kind of a unified octane standard in just markets as far as
1254	exporting refined product to the European market if we had
1255	the same octane standard as they would have?
1256	My guess is that would be beneficial, just through
1257	simplicity of markets and commodity product. Is that
1258	farfetched?
1259	Dr. Yergin.
1260	Mr. Yergin. This is new to me. I feel I need to go
1261	back and ask my refinery my colleagues who work in
1262	refining that question and focus on it. I would be happy to
1263	
1264	Mr. Shimkus. Yes, I think well, we are putting a lot
1265	of time to it and I would appreciate any smart people looking
1266	at this.
1267	We are trying to address this obviously, the White
1268	House is and the secretary of ag and the secretary of energy
1269	and the EPA administrator.
1270	The industry is being whipsawed back and forth with
1271	different proposals and I think that's what happened when you
1272	don't have a legislative fix and a legislative schedule and
1273	agenda. So we have a lot of different stakeholders.
1274	The last thing for part of this is, and I direct this

1275	to you, Mr. Hamm, because we do talk about CAFÉ standards,
1276	and without a change in the fuel mix, as we are predicting,
1277	the way to reach CAFE standards is to have smaller lighter
1278	vehicles, which might address, one, safety issues for some,
1279	and then in rural America, a total rejection of because we
1280	like big trucks, big engines, big power aspects.
1281	Do you if in this issue of do you see a do
1282	you see a benefit in the aspect of CAFE if you have high-
1283	compression engines that can go further on the same amount of
1284	gas, as far as meeting CAFE standards?
1285	Mr. Hamm. You can only go so far with that technology
1286	and manufacturers have done what they could with high-
1287	compression engines and fuel injection and everything that
1288	goes along with that, and what it came down to was the shadow
1289	of a car gets smaller and smaller and smaller, and it's
1290	rejected by the buyers in America.
1291	So pretty soon everybody's buying SUVs. Everybody is
1292	buying pickup trucks or they're buying these little cars if
1293	that's all they can afford and putting their families in it.
1294	But what we've seen is that we've reduced we've
1295	reduced with seatbelts and airbags and everything else safety
1296	fatalities from 45,000 down to about 30,000, and that was the

1297	bottom, and now it's crept up due to the small size of these
1298	cars back up to 40,000.
1299	So it's killing 10,000 Americans per year, and we have -
1300	- that's the number of them because you have got a huge mix
1301	of bigger vehicles out there with these little cars. And
1302	they're made out of aluminum they crush up like a beer
1303	can, kill everybody inside.
1304	Mr. Shimkus. Yield back. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
1305	Mr. Upton. [Presiding.] Mr. Tonko.
1306	Mr. Tonko. Thank you, Mr. Chair. Earlier this month,
1307	Pope Francis met with some of the world's largest oil and gas
1308	companies to urge them to take the threat of climate change
1309	more seriously.
1310	But the consequences of climate change are not just
1311	moral or environmental-based. Any of the world's largest
1312	investors say it is an economic concern as well.
1313	Mark Carney, the governor of the Bank of England and
1314	chair of the G-20's Financial Stability Board, has made it
1315	clear that businesses should be assessing and disclosing
1316	climate related risks.
1317	A 2016 Black Rock Investment Institute report concluded
1318	that all investors should incorporate climate change

1319	awareness into their investment process and that advice is
1320	being heeded.
1321	Investors representing trillions in assets have urge
1322	this sector to be more transparent and take responsibility
1323	for its emissions.
1324	Dr. Kennedy, I listened as there was some discussion
1325	about shareholders in this whole arena, and shareholders have
1326	helped drive greater disclosures of companies' climate-
1327	related risks.
1328	How important is it for shareholders to be given this
1329	information when making decisions on how to invest their
1330	money?
1331	Mr. Kennedy. This is a topic that I've not gone into in
1332	depth. But what I would say is that our economic system, our
1333	investment system, which is often driven by short-term
1334	returns, has a great difficulty in dealing with longer-term
1335	challenges and longer-term economic risks like climate
1336	change.
1337	And so the more information that can be made available
1338	to investors about the implications of the investments and
1339	what those mean in the long term is going to be very

1341	Mr. Tonko. Thank you. And is there a risks to the
1342	United States economy if companies fail to make these
1343	disclosures?
1344	Mr. Kennedy. The risks of climate change itself are
1345	actually very great for the U.S. as we are already seeing
1346	significant impacts.
1347	From the changing climate, from increased severe
1348	weather, from increased sea level rise, storm surge, a wide
1349	variety of impacts are already starting to show up and we can
1350	only expect those to continue to increase over time,
1351	particulate if we don't find ways of reducing emissions.
1352	So the more that can be done from a variety of
1353	perspectives including in terms of how investment decisions
1354	are made is going to be critically important to address those
1355	real risks, going forward.
1356	Mr. Tonko. Thank you. According to Sempra's 2015
1357	corporate responsibility report, Sempra began responding to
1358	the annual carbon disclosure project CDP survey in
1359	2006, which reports the emissions of major companies and
1360	releases and assessment of their potential climate risks.
1361	Since 2015, Sempra has scored 100 out of 100 on
1362	disclosure. Mr. Arriola, why has Sempra made this a priority

1363	and do you believe that such disclosures are important across
1364	the energy sector?
1365	Mr. Arriola. Congressman, it's important to us because
1366	it's important to our consumers in the communities where we
1367	do business as well as to our shareholders.
1368	As you have mentioned, there's been a trend I would say
1369	over the last decade that really started in Europe but now
1370	has come more forcefully to the United States where investors
1371	and I am talking about large institutional investors
1372	really do want to understand what companies are doing to
1373	address climate change, but not just climate change how
1374	they're dealing with water, how they're dealing with
1375	diversity in companies really, both sustainability and
1376	corporate responsibility.
1377	And we this is something that we take very seriously
1378	in our company and actually on Friday we'll be releasing our
1379	most recent sustainability report, which I think continues to
1380	get better and better and it gets acknowledged by different
1381	organizations because we go beyond what we need to because we
1382	think it's important for investors to understand what we are
1383	doing on their behalf.
1384	Mr. Tonko. Thank you. And Mr. Hamm, Continental

1385	Resources is one of the largest non-responders to CDP's
1386	annual disclosure request. Any reason why you do not
1387	participate?
1388	Mr. Hamm. Excuse me. There's not any specific reason.
1389	You know, climate change I am a geologist. I believe that
1390	we can affect the climate and I certainly think that
1391	investors can pick or choose which company that they would
1392	want to invest in and who are the best stewards of the land
1393	and water, air.
1394	Continental certainly fits in at the top of that rank.
1395	With horizontal drilling, there's a lot of drilling that goes
1396	on but it certainly doesn't look like it.
1397	You know, the methane emissions that you talk about, our
1398	company has been doing green completions as long as I
1399	remember. So
1400	Mr. Tonko. But that being said, why not then respond to
1401	CDP's annual request?
1402	Mr. Hamm. You know, I don't believe we've had the
1403	specific annual request in that regard that I recall.
1404	Mr. Tonko. Okay. I yield back, Mr. Chair.
1405	Mr. Upton. Thank you. The chair would recognize Mr.
1406	Latta.

1407	Mr. Latta. Thanks, Mr. Chairman, and thank you very
1408	much for holding today's hearing and thank you very much for
1409	our panellists for being with us today.
1410	It's very, very important as we go forward with energy
1411	development in this country.
1412	Mr. Yergin, if I can start my questions with you. You
1413	know, we on this committee have a great opportunity to speak
1414	with political leaders and business leaders across the world,
1415	and it's very interesting through the last several years I've
1416	had the discussions I've had with those individuals
1417	they've all asked this one question how is it the United
1418	States has been able to do what you have done and be able to
1419	accomplish it so quickly.
1420	And then the next question would be is do we have any
1421	competitors or other countries that are out there that are
1422	trying to do the exact same, maybe to duplicate, you know,
1423	what we've done in this country and are they able to do that
1424	as we did in this country.
1425	Mr. Yergin. Do you mean in terms of the unconventional
1426	revolution or in general?
1427	Mr. Latta. Correct.
1428	Mr. Yergin. I think aside from what we've seen in

Canada, which is kind of like an offshoot of here, no, nobody else, and it's a combination of the resource base, the ecosystem, our legal system, our entrepreneurial system and the fact that we have all these supply chains to respond to it.

So that's why, you know, it is something you look at and you say things don't happen normally this fast and this has really happened fast.

Mr. Latta. Well, I know someone had asked a little bit earlier a question to you and you responded back about what's happening with Iran and in Venezuela.

Where do you see the United States -- I know this has come up in some of the other questions -- but when you're looking around the world -- our place in the world, because it's hard to explain to people that don't remember the mid-1970s and understand what happened in this country, and trying to explain to people that, you know, in some areas of the country either, A, you didn't buy gas on certain days because your license plate didn't end with a even or odd number, or, you know, people were just told we didn't any energy in this country.

But where do you -- you know, where do you see this

1451	country and what that means for us geopolitically then?
1452	Mr. Yergin. I think it's I mean, at that time the
1453	view was that, you know, we were just going to be held
1454	hostage that we'd lost control of our lives on a daily
1455	basis in terms of gas lines and in terms of our economy, and
1456	this has been such a turnaround.
1457	Ben Bernanke, when he stepped was at our conference
1458	just after he stepped down as head of the Federal Reserve,
1459	said this unconventional revolution was one of the most
1460	positive, if not the most positive thing, to have happened
1461	since the 2008 crisis.
1462	Clearly, people who don't remember, you know, it's
1463	you know, they see grainy images maybe on television of gas
1464	lines and said, what is that all about.
1465	So that's why it's such a big turnaround, and I think it
1466	took a few years psychologically for people to you know,
1467	for many people to realize that this is for real.
1468	Mr. Latta. Thank you. Mr. Hamm and Mr. Arriola, what
1469	growth have your companies seen in job creation and career
1470	opportunities as a result of the shale revolution?
1471	Mr. Arriola. Sure. Starting at Sempra Energy, if you
1472	would have looked at our company just over 10 years ago, our

1473 LNG business really didn't exist. 1474 So we've hired, I would say, hundreds of people to help 1475 develop our LNG projects in Mexico and Louisiana, and we are 1476 looking forward to hiring even more in Texas. 1477 I think that's probably the biggest part. I can't give 1478 you an exact number but it's in the hundreds, of well-paying 1479 jobs. 1480 Mr. Latta. Mr. Hamm. 1481 Well, you know, our company, like a lot of 1482 independents, you know, we've had good growth over this 1483 period of time and the industry in total has added over a 1484 million jobs -- you know, basically, every sector from the 1485 service sectors through B and P production and exploration, 1486 and so it's been a tremendous driver of the American economy. 1487 Mr. Latta. Well, I think what was just brought up is 1488 also important because I think that sometimes when we talk 1489 about a company and how many jobs are being created it's not 1490 all the folks out there who are, you know, in -- on the steel 1491 end and those who produce the pipe, and you name all the 1492 different things that have to be done to get there, and so

all of the other jobs that are the offshoots. So it's been a

great boon for the economy.

1493

1494

1495	Mr. Arriola. Congressman, if I could also just
1496	interject that
1497	Mr. Latta. Yes, absolutely.
1498	Mr. Arriola the jobs I was talking about were
1499	directly at Sempra.
1500	Mr. Latta. Right.
1501	Mr. Arriola. If you look at the projects that we've had
1502	for example, our Cameron LNG facility there are over
1503	10,000 people on the job today. Once we develop our Port
1504	Arthur facility, there will be over 3,000 jobs going for four
1505	to five years.
1506	So it's not necessarily just the jobs directly at our
1507	company that are important from an economic perspective but
1508	it's all of the jobs that get created by these projects.
1509	Mr. Yergin. We calculated a couple of years ago that it
1510	was well over 2 million jobs because the supply chain in the
1511	Middle West that was a very big beneficiary because of the
1512	supply chains, because of the manufacturing capabilities that
1513	fed into this.
1514	Mr. Latta. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. My time
1515	has expired.
1516	Mr. Upton. The gentleman from Texas, Mr. Green.

1517	Mr. Green. Thank you, Mr. Chairman and the ranking
1518	member, for holding this important hearing, and I am glad to
1519	know that the World Gas Conference is here in Washington.
1520	Dr. Yergin, I remember a few years ago we had one of our
1521	conferences in Houston and you interviewed our EPA
1522	administrator, Gina McCarthy, and I think that was the first
1523	time she went to an energy conference, and I appreciate the
1524	hospitality and also representing an area that deals with
1525	environmental issues every day because that's our job base,
1526	our refineries, our chemical plants in east Houston and
1527	Harris County.
1528	So Texas is the largest generator of wind power in the
1529	country right now and, hopefully, we can do other things.
1530	But what we've seen, though is that the mix of the
1531	electricity and we do need electricity plants to produce
1532	those for those electric cars and, you know, our choices
1533	are in Texas we have 20 percent nuclear power.
1534	That's the base power. You know, wind energy coal
1535	has actually gotten down lower because the price of natural
1536	gas is so cheap and that's why it's I think that mix works
1537	and we'll continue to see renewables pick up some.
1538	But it's easier to turn on a burner on a natural gas

1539	plant than it is to try and keep a coal storage facility.
1540	The our American energy renaissance because I've
1541	been in Congress since 1993 and it's been amazing what's
1542	happened. Mr. Arriola, in your testimony you talk about how
1543	the U.S. regulatory certainty at FERC could hinder U.S. LNG
1544	development in comparison to countries like Russia,
1545	Australia, Qatar, or Mozambique. What changes would you like
1546	to see in the regulatory process?
1547	Mr. Arriola. Thank you, Congressman. You know, I think
1548	we believe that it's important to have a very thorough and
1549	exhaustive review process on any permitting, especially from
1550	FERC and the DOE.
1551	What we'd encourage is just making sure that it's as
1552	streamlined as possible that it's efficient but that we
1553	check the box as quickly as we can that we don't recreate
1554	the wheel on every project, and I will give you an example.
1555	When we went through the process for our facility in
1556	Cameron, Louisiana, it took FERC 553 days to get through the
1557	entire project.
1558	As we are seeking approval here for our Port Arthur LNG
1559	facility in Texas, it's essentially the same engineering
1560	design that we are trying to duplicate in Texas. Right now,

1561	we are estimating that it's probably going to take closer to
1562	a thousand days.
1563	Now, granted, there are more projects that FERC is
1564	looking at and we've been working very constructively with
1565	their staff and everything.
1566	But I think part of it is just making sure that they
1567	have not just more resources but the right resources and that
1568	the agencies like FERC and DOE work together to eliminate the
1569	bottlenecks to the extent that they can.
1570	Mr. Green. Right now I've been told and if you could
1571	confirm it the Trump administration's approval time line
1572	for LNG export permitting trails that of the Obama
1573	administration. Is that correct?
1574	Mr. Arriola. That's our understanding.
1575	Mr. Green. I think that would shock a lot of us.
1576	Mr. Arriola. Yes.
1577	Mr. Green. And I want to make sure that FERC has the
1578	staff it needs to move efficiently through the permitting
1579	process.
1580	I've also in fact, this committee passed legislation
1581	on cross-border pipelines to sell natural gas from Texas or
1582	New Mexico to Mexico, and Mexico has literally, can only

1583 refine about 60 percent of their petroleum they need for 1584 diesel and gas and 40 percent of that comes from typically 1585 the refineries along the Gulf Coast. 1586 The Eagle Ford Basin doesn't stop at the Rio Grande 1587 River either and there'll come a time in the future that 1588 Houston's petrochemical industry may need the gas from Mexico 1589 and those pipelines could benefit us in our industry and east 1590 end. 1591 Mr. Mullin and I addressed this with the cross-border 1592 pipeline that passed the House last summer, and I am pleased 1593 that Senator Hoeven introduced companion language in the 1594 Senate last week to move it quickly to conference. 1595 Mr. Arriola, could you speak how our energy relationship 1596 with Mexico has shifted recently? What benefits does the 1597 U.S. stand to gain from an integrated North American energy 1598 market between Canada, Mexico, and the United States? 1599 Mr. Arriola. Sure, Congressman. I think it's -- you 1600 know, this truly has been a win-win situation between the 1601 United States and Mexico as it pertains to energy trade. 1602 In fact, in the most recent year that I've seen the 1603 numbers, there's actually been a trade surplus from U.S. 1604 products and services related to energy that go to Mexico.

1605	In fact, I think the last number what I saw was,
1606	roughly, an \$11 billion surplus on the side of the U.S. We
1607	are continuing to provide them with natural gas. We are
1608	continuing to provide them with other petro fuels sources,
1609	for example.
1610	If you look at gasoline, diesel, and other jet fuel
1611	resources, the United States has, roughly, a 90-day inventory
1612	supply. Mexico has two to three days.
1613	So there are more opportunities to build infrastructure
1614	in Mexico that can receive future U.S. products and that's
1615	one of the things that we are looking at.
1616	Mr. Green. Mr. Chairman, I know I am out of time but if
1617	
1618	Mr. Upton. We are going to have votes on the House
1619	floor shortly. So
1620	Mr. Green. Okay. Well, I was just going to say that
1621	it's not just Mexico. It's also LNG exports. In fact, my
1622	joke is anybody in Louisiana and Texas who has a five-foot
1623	ditch off the Gulf of Mexico they want an LNG export
1624	facility.
1625	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
1626	Mr. Upton. Gentleman's time has expired. Mr. McKinley.

1627	Mr. McKinley. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you for
1628	holding this meeting.
1629	As Dr. Yergin noted earlier in his testimony not only
1630	this time but previously, there is indeed an abundance of
1631	natural gas located in the Marcellus and Utica shale gases in
1632	West Virginia, Ohio, and Pennsylvania, and it's estimated
1633	that this region will produce about 37 percent of the
1634	nation's natural gas production by the year 2040.
1635	These shale gases underscore this potential of a
1636	historic renaissance that he referred to in American energy.
1637	But as we've heard earlier, the naysayer continue to trot out
1638	their tired disproven talking points.
1639	Unfortunately, the facts have proved otherwise. Just
1640	the last 10 years, CO2 emissions in America have gone down by
1641	20 percent.
1642	Shale gas has given the Appalachian area a breath of
1643	fresh air, perhaps a chance finally to transform and
1644	revitalize a whole region of the country, and subsequently
1645	Rick Perry and the DOE have concluded that there's a need,
1646	perhaps, to develop a second petro chemical center located in
1647	the Appalachian region.
1648	A recent study by HSS Market have concluded that the

1649 economic advantages of extracting ethane in the Appalachian region has concluded that the resin could be produced at 23 1650 1651 percent lower there than being shipped down to the Gulf Coast 1652 to the crackers and back up. So I think that was interesting 1653 conclusion with that. 1654 Now, we can achieve lower energy costs and dramatically 1655 decrease it if we take a different approach and work 1656 together. 1657 Congress should full innovate research to reduce emissions -- the concern, Dr. Kennedy, you're concerned about 1658 1659 -- if we just put the money into research. 1660 The technology of American scientists developing higher 1661 efficiency and low emissions could be sold around the world -1662 - marketed around the world -- and thereby address this 1663 worldwide concern about greenhouse gases, because we've got 1664 to remember the world is going to increase its energy 1665 production and use by 28 percent by 2040 and fossil fuels by 1666 will count still for 75 percent of the energy use. 1667 So what my concern is, if that's the case, what are we 1668 doing with China and India? Isn't it time that some of our

members recognize -- some of our members recognize that until

the rest of the world, especially India and China, produces

1669

1670

1671	electricity more cleanly, continuing to over regulate fossil
1672	be in America will have virtually no effect on the global
1673	environment.
1674	Therefore, shouldn't we be first innovate first, do
1675	the research, then regulate?
1676	So, Dr. Yergin, with this shale gas present, this
1677	revolution going on in Kentucky, Ohio, and West Virginia,
1678	what potential do you see for a potential petro chemical
1679	industry up in the Appalachian area and with projection
1680	they're saying as much as \$36 billion invested and maybe
1681	100,000 jobs? Do you believe that.
1682	Mr. Yergin. Some people see the Marcellus, now the
1683	region in the Utica as the largest gas field or gas
1684	concentration in the world.
1685	I thought that some companies had actually committed to
1686	build petro chemical facilities there. I thought Shell was
1687	doing it but you have a
1688	Mr. McKinley. Shell is doing it in Monaca,
1689	Pennsylvania. That's one portion of it, but there are
1690	others. I know they're doing some the ethane storage hub
1691	that we've been promoting here has been the the question
1692	is whether or not any of you have the realization that could

1693	this be a center of a secondary?
1694	We are not trying to replace Houston, but just is there
1695	a secondary is there a second possibility of a
1696	secondary?
1697	Mr. Yergin. Yes. Sure. I mean, the resources is so
1698	enormous there. I mean, as you said, it's going to be such a
1699	large part.
1700	Also, I did want to say you mentioned the R and D.
1701	One of the big themes over at the World Gas Congress has been
1702	specifically about methane and applying the technologies to
1703	address methane.
1704	So, I mean, there is definitely a research agenda to
1705	address the questions you're talking about including methane.
1706	Mr. McKinley. Dr. Arriola, any comments from you?
1707	Mr. Arriola. I really don't have anything to add on
1708	that other than given the infrastructure that we have and
1709	lacking pipelines in some parts of our country, it may make
1710	sense to develop those centers that you're talking about
1711	closer to the source themselves.
1712	So from an economies of scale standpoint, that could
1713	very well make sense.
1714	Mr. McKinley. Thank you, and I yield back my time.

1715	Mr. Upton. Gentleman yields back. The gentleman from
1716	Vermont, Mr. Welch.
1717	Mr. Welch. Thank you very much. I thank the panel.
1718	Mr. Yergin, your opening statement indicated with the
1719	shale gale it just has been a huge game changer in jobs and
1720	economic activity in our ability to go from being an importer
1721	to an exporter, maybe the largest one.
1722	One of the other issues, though, that I would like to
1723	focus on is energy efficiency. I mean, it's tremendous that
1724	we have these resources and it creates the economic activity
1725	and the jobs.
1726	But some of us are concerned also about carbon emissions
1727	and the impact that has, and my understanding is that the
1728	energy efficiency policies that we've been able, and I've
1729	worked a lot with Mr. McKinley on this actually energy
1730	efficiency has allowed us to save on the demand for energy.
1731	The Alliance to Save Energy says that if we tried to run
1732	today's economy without energy efficiency improvements that
1733	have taken place in '73, we'd need 55 percent more energy
1734	supplies than we now use.
1735	Could you describe what you understand to be the Trump
1736	administration policies on energy efficiency, A, and, B,

1737	whether even as we exploit the shale gale, does that suggest
1738	that we can take our eye off the importance of efficiency?
1739	Mr. Yergin. I am not really in a position to address
1740	what the you know, because I haven't studied what the
1741	Trump administration's specific policies are.
1742	I do agree with you. When I began my work in energy, it
1743	was based focused on energy efficiency and, off the top of
1744	my head, I would say that we are probably twice as energy
1745	efficient today as a country than we were, you know, a few
1746	decades ago.
1747	So I think energy efficiency is a very important you
1748	know, I regard energy efficiency as another energy source.
1749	Mr. Welch. And my is the energy companies have to be
1750	part of the solution. They have to be, and I am wondering
1751	you're over at the meeting with the gas folks 12,000
1752	people are there any topics that are being discussed
1753	specifically as to energy efficiency?
1754	Mr. Yergin. Well, in the speeches I heard, it was
1755	certainly said that, you know, this has to be a big part of
1756	the energy mix and, you know, if we hadn't become more
1757	efficient as a country, we would be in a we would also be
1758	in a very difficult place.

1759	The thing about energy efficiency so much, it's you
1760	know, it's it goes through the entire economy. It's
1761	decisions that people make when they build houses.
1762	It's new processes in industry. What's always struck me
1763	about it, it's a very decentralized activity. There has been
1764	a general trend towards being more efficient.
1765	Mr. Welch. Is there a place for appropriate regulation
1766	in order to meet energy efficiency standards, Mr. Yergin?
1767	Mr. Yergin. Yes. I mean, you can see it, for instance,
1768	in housing and other places and that regulation has been part
1769	of the mix.
1770	Mr. Welch. Thank you. Mr. Arriola, would you agree with
1771	that, I mean, as the representative of a major energy
1772	company?
1773	Mr. Arriola. Yes. What we see, Congressman, is a lot
1774	of that regulation happens state by state. So, for example,
1775	in California, whether it's the housing codes or through our
1776	Public Utilities Commission, there's a lot of work that goes
1777	on in energy efficiency.
1778	Mr. Welch. So, you know, there's
1779	Mr. Arriola. And our companies are directly involved to
1780	help facilitate that.

1781	Mr. Welch. All right. Mr. Hamm, I know you have been
1782	supportive of the president's deregulatory agenda. We've
1783	heard some testimony here about the appropriate use of
1784	regulations like Energy Star.
1785	Do you support that?
1786	Mr. Hamm. Well, you know, the best efficiencies is
1787	gained by the private sector. You know, for instance, in
1788	2014 we had 1,950 rigs working. Today, we've got a thousand
1789	rigs doing the same job.
1790	So, basically, you have got one rig as efficient as five
1791	were in the 1990s. So that's efficiencies that you can gain
1792	from the private sector
1793	Mr. Welch. Well, that's efficiency in the exploitation
1794	process, not in the use process, right? Now, do you believe
1795	that EPA has a role the Environmental Protection Agency
1796	in promulgating appropriate regulatory mechanisms for energy
1797	efficiency?
1798	Mr. Hamm. I don't think they EPA is there to enforce
1799	the law and basically ensure that rule of law is followed,
1800	and so they have that job to do and they do it sometimes very
1801	well, most of the time very well. But as far as efficiencies
1802	

1803	Mr. Welch. Right. I just have time for one more
1804	question. What's your view on the president's tariffs on oil
1805	exports to China or the China retaliatory tariffs on us
1806	with respect to our oil exports?
1807	Mr. Hamm. Well, right now, we don't have tariffs that
1808	apply to oil going to China, and we'll see if that happens or
1809	not.
1810	Mr. Welch. Are you are you okay with the tariffs?
1811	Do you think that's a good thing for business?
1812	Mr. Hamm. Am I okay with tariffs? I do not I think
1813	tariffs are counterproductive. I think everybody here
1814	believes that.
1815	What's going on right now is setting some of that
1816	straight. You know, the countries have gotten too carried
1817	away with tariffs in the past. Nothing was done about it,
1818	and so some of those corrections are being made today.
1819	Mr. Welch. I yield back. Thank you.
1820	Mr. Upton. Gentleman's time has expired. Mr. Griffith.
1821	Mr. Griffith. I thank the gentleman and appreciate it.
1822	Dr. Yergin, appreciate you being here today. I got a couple
1823	questions in that regard with the geopolitical aspects of all
1824	of this.

1825	So we've heard a lot about foreign countries, and Mr.
1826	McKinley touched base on it, and we were talking with one of
1827	the foreign countries earlier today.
1828	But isn't it true that if we were suddenly to cut off
1829	American gas exports that a lot of the countries,
1830	particularly some of the developing economies, would just
1831	continue to use coal from other parts of the world?
1832	Mr. Yergin. Well, I think I mean, we are just part
1833	and we are still a beginning part of the LNG market. We'll
1834	have more when you guys get going.
1835	But I think there is you know, there's a competition
1836	going on on a global basis for, you know, what's going to be
1837	the balance between coal and natural gas and renewables for
1838	developing countries, and I think many of them you know,
1839	there's definitely a push towards using more gas.
1840	We see 15, 20 countries are going to that don't
1841	import LNG now will import LNG because they want to have
1842	clean up and not use clean up their electric generation.
1843	Mr. Griffith. And one of the questions that I would
1844	have as we look at this is as we go to using the LNG, are we
1845	able to compete worldwide with that?
1846	Because, obviously, and I represent a coal district like

1847	Mr. McKinley does as well obviously, you know, it doesn't
1848	make sense very often unless you need some good high-quality,
1849	as they called it this morning, coking coal.
1850	But the what we call metallurgical coal in my neck of
1851	the woods unless you need that, if you're just buying
1852	steam coal, it's hard to buy that for basic energy in some
1853	parts of the world hard for us to ship it to them and
1854	compete against the Australians, and insert about four or
1855	five other countries.
1856	But from what I understand you correct me if I am
1857	wrong our LNG resources can reach worldwide and be pretty
1858	competitive wherever we go. Isn't that true?
1859	Mr. Yergin. Yes. We are quite competitive in the
1860	market. You know, we are maybe not as competitive as some
1861	pipeline suppliers in Europe.
1862	But there's definite I mean, I saw it this morning at
1863	this APEC conference with all these Asian countries. They're
1864	really interested in important LNG from the United States.
1865	Mr. McKinley. And I think that makes a lot of sense,
1866	and as a part of that, I would have to say that while I don't
1867	have any of the Marcellus.
1868	DOE, earlier this year, announced a project in

1869 conjunction with Virginia Tech in my district to investigate 1870 the resources -- the resource potential for reservoirs in the 1871 Nora Gas Field in southwest Virginia, and I am excited that 1872 this research is being conducted to improve our 1873 understanding. 1874 But it appears that we are down another level from what they've looked at before and so they're drilling some deep 1875 1876 mines or some deep wells to see what we have down there, and 1877 we are excited about that because we have coal bed methane 1878 but we haven't been doing much on any other gas. 1879 We don't have the Marcellus or the Utica shale. But we 1880 may have this and we are excited about that. We already have 1881 some petro chemicals -- Eastman Chemical, even though it's in 1882 Kingsport, Tennessee, and people say, why are you interested 1883 in it. 1884 They have 10,000 employees and a thousand of them drive 1885 the eight miles from my district to the plant. So we are 1886 excited about that. 1887 But I think it's important that we realize that, as we 1888 move forward, this is important for the world. I also would echo some of the comments my colleagues have made that we 1889

need to do the research, because it's not just the United

1890

1891 States that we are dealing with. 1892 It's the world and we are looking at global warming, et 1893 cetera. If we don't provide the research to burn our fuels 1894 more efficiently worldwide, and something that the rest of 1895 the world can also afford and obtain, then they're going to 1896 continue to burn coal. 1897 They're going to continue to burn all kinds of products 1898 and put stuff in the air, and I know it bores people but it's 1899 just my favourite factoid of all time. 1900 NASA did a study. They followed a sandstorm from 1901 Central Asia in the middle of the Gobi Desert, and it takes 1902 10 days for the air to get from the middle of the Gobi Desert 1903 to the eastern shore of Virginia, based on their satellite 1904 research. 1905 So we need to work on this from a worldwide viewpoint 1906 and not put American jobs out of business because we are 1907 trying to set the standard, because the rest of the world is 1908 looking for jobs, period, and if they have to use something 1909 improper or less efficient they'll do it. 1910 But if we can find a way to do it through research they'll share in that. Everybody wants to have a cleaner 1911 1912 world, but they want to have jobs first because the number-

1913	one the number-one thing is to have jobs and that helps
1914	your health as well.
1915	Wouldn't that be correct, Dr. Yergin?
1916	Mr. Yergin. Yes, and I love your factoid. I've never
1917	heard that before.
1918	Mr. McKinley. It's a fun factoid. All right. I yield
1919	back.
1920	Mr. Upton. Mr. Walberg.
1921	Mr. Walberg. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thanks to the
1922	panel for being here.
1923	Mr. Yergin, in your testimony you mentioned that by 2025
1924	as many as 4 million jobs direct, indirect, and induced
1925	could be supported by unconventional oil and gas activities.
1926	Could you explain in further detail for us the types of
1927	jobs that are supported by unconventional oil and gas
1928	activities?
1929	Mr. Yergin. Well, those three categories are really
1930	categories that the Department of Commerce uses. The direct
1931	jobs would be working on one of Harold Hamm's rigs, working
1932	in the oil field.
1933	Indirect would be kind of service jobs supporting that,
1934	and then the induced jobs is the money that flows into the

1935 community because, as we've seen in Pennsylvania, suddenly 1936 people are able to buy cars, are able to buy houses. 1937 Realtors service computer specialists in California, 1938 financial people in New York, and so that's kind of the 1939 methodology that's used for estimating that, and what it 1940 really says that these supply chains go all the way the across the country and the money that's being spent is 1941 1942 staying in this country and being distributed in our economy. 1943 Mr. Walberg. Moving along with that, several of you mentioned the numbers of jobs -- direct, indirect, in 1944 1945 construction as well as exploration for finding all that go 1946 on there. 1947 Let me ask Mr. Hamm and Mr. Arriola, what types of job 1948 training and recruitment efforts are you using to meet those 1949 needs? 1950 I mean, we see all across the vocational spectrum today 1951 a real lack of people to do the jobs -- real rural jobs that we need -- and what are you doing to train people for the 1952 1953 jobs? 1954 Mr. Arriola. Congressman, I can tell you, in the case 1955 of the LNG facilities that we are talking about, we work very closely, obviously, with our subcontractors that are hiring 1956

1957	people but we are also working directly with the trades to
1958	train people, whether it's welders, whether it's supply
1959	procurement experts.
1960	There's a whole host of jobs, whether they're low
1961	skilled or high skilled that we are trying to do, and I think
1962	one of the great things is we are creating a pipeline of
1963	skilled workers that especially in some of these areas
1964	that workers that wouldn't necessarily have opportunities
1965	that can now go from facility to facility to continue to
1966	build.
1967	So sometimes we think of these as temporary jobs but
1968	they're really skills that are being developed that can be
1969	utilized across the construction industry to continue to
1970	build energy infrastructure.
1971	Mr. Walberg. So you're working with the trades. What
1972	other I mean
1973	Mr. Arriola. We are working with the trades in the case
1974	of our utilities where we are enhancing pipelines to carry
1975	natural gas.
1976	We are working with the junior colleges and other
1977	organizations to identify people that are coming directly out
1978	of high school or junior colleges to give them the skills

1979	that they need to be able to be productive members of our
1980	team.
1981	Mr. Walberg. Mr. Hamm, I would ask you if you'd respond
1982	as well, especially since being an innovator innovation in
1983	directional drilling and all of that.
1984	Mr. Hamm. Thank you. What we've seen, really, that
1985	added so many new jobs is these very expensive petro chemical
1986	plants that have been added with all the natural gas
1987	resources that we have.
1988	In fact, it's been estimated that one out of eight
1989	people, you know, have been associated with our industry. So
1990	it's very intense, particularly in Houston and some of the
1991	areas that these plants locate.
1992	And so it's very intense. Vocational technical training
1993	has helped a great deal in places like Oklahoma. As far as
1994	our industry goes, it's been a great resource.
1995	But it seems like the you know, we are down to about
1996	3.8 percent of unemployment in this country now, which is
1997	wonderful, and the closer you get to the 3 percent level, the
1998	harder it is to find those employees that you need.
1999	But so far, we've been able to do it.
2000	Mr. Walberg. Recruit and train your own? Is that how

2001	you
2002	Mr. Hamm. We recruit and we've trained. We train both
2003	at the company level and then also we use technical schools
2004	for training as well.
2005	Mr. Walberg. Thank you. I yield back.
2006	Mr. Upton. Mr. Duncan.
2007	Mr. Duncan. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thanks, everyone,
2008	for being here. It's been a great hearing so far. As we all
2009	know, the United States is well on our way to becoming a net
2010	energy exporter by 2020 I think a natural gas exporter
2011	it was the first time last year first time since 1957, I
2012	believe.
2013	I commend the Trump administration's support for robust
2014	domestic energy production, which has consequently
2015	strengthened our leadership on the world stage.
2016	Mr. Arriola, you note in your testimony that the failure
2017	of the U.S. to seize the current LNG opportunity has
2018	international implications.
2019	I couldn't agree with you more, and besides the fact
2020	exporting gas to U.S. allies will contribute up to 452,000
2021	American jobs from 2016 and 2035, add about \$73.6 billion
2022	annually to the U.S. economy. It can also provide energy

2023 security to our allies.

Prior to serving on the Energy and Commerce Committee, I served as chairman of the Western Hemisphere Subcommittee on the House Foreign Affairs Committee and I utilized that role as that chairman to focus on energy opportunities in North and South America and how we can work to achieve not only America energy independence and energy security but also hemispheric energy security and independence.

In this region of the world, we have the ability through U.S. LNG exports to help the energy poor. Countries reduce their dependence on corrupt state-owned regimes and increase the quality of life for so many people around the world.

I talk about quality of life a lot of times, how energy can improve the quality of lives. Just in infant mortality rate -- through energy and a constant 24/7 baseload power supply that that energy, possibly with LNG natural gas-fired power plants providing that electricity can keep babies. Where you have intermittent power now in neonatal intensive care and incubators cannot run to keep those babies alive, you see a high infant mortality rate.

A lot of quality of life issues that we, as Americans, with our energy -- abundant energy resources, exporting those

2045	to our friends and allies around the world to improve the
2046	quality of life of folks elsewhere.
2047	So, Mr. Arriola, from your perspective, how can LNG
2048	terminals further open up access for U.S. LNG in these
2049	markets?
2050	Mr. Arriola. Well, Congressman, your point about
2051	natural gas and different types of energy impacting more than
2052	just the economic side is truly right on.
2053	In fact, I saw I spoke to a professor from the
2054	Stanford Natural Gas Initiative yesterday and he shared with
2055	me in a conference we were at that, although we don't talk
2056	about it very much, indoor air pollution is one of the
2057	largest killers in this world, and we don't think about it
2058	here in the United States because we have, for the most part,
2059	natural gas or electricity to help, from a cooking fuels
2060	perspective.
2061	But if you go to other countries developing
2062	countries, they use wood. They use dung. They use charcoal,
2063	and last year, roughly, 4 million people died from indoor air
2064	pollution caused by cooking fuel.
2065	Mr. Duncan. And I am glad you said that. I talk about
2066	that all the time. The indoor air quality is terrible when

2067	you're burning on wood, charcoal, dung, other things that
2068	people around the world have to cook on, and the fact that
2069	they don't have a 24/7 baseload power supply to keep their
2070	food fresh in a refrigerated environment.
2071	Mr. Arriola. So not only are we impacting economic
2072	prosperity around the globe by being able to export clean
2073	U.S. natural gas, but we are changing people's lives. We are
2074	changing the health and their livelihood.
2075	Mr. Duncan. Improving lives of so many people around
2076	the world through American resources that we take for
2077	granted. We take for granted that that light is going to
2078	come on when we flip the switch.
2079	We take for granted that the machines of industry to
2080	produce the widgets that America produces that that
2081	electricity is going to be there to provide for those
2082	machineries to turn on.
2083	But you know what? It's not just a third world problem.
2084	Even in second world and first world Europe they have
2085	problems with intermittency and power supplies.
2086	So this is geopolitics of American energy. When I was
2087	in Spain and I understand Portugal is the same way but
2088	they want to be the LNG importer for Western Europe because

2089	right now, Western Europe is relying on who? Russia.
2090	Russia is a gas station masquerading as a country. But
2091	they're providing that natural gas to Europe and they use the
2092	levers of influence of turning that spigot on and off to
2093	affect policy not only in Eastern Europe but in Western
2094	Europe, and as those pipelines continue to be built to
2095	provide that natural gas, Western Europe is looking west to
2096	the United States, a stable energy producer, an ally and a
2097	friend, to provide LNG so they can meet their energy needs
2098	and lessen their dependence not on the Middle East for their
2099	energy but lessen their dependence on Russia and their less
2100	dependence on Russian gas and more strong dependence on,
2101	possibly, hopefully, American LNG exports to provide that
2102	energy.
2103	So the geopolitics are real. I appreciate your
2104	comments, and let's improve the lives of folks around the
2105	world through American energy production.
2106	With that, Mr. Chairman, I yield back.
2107	Mr. Upton. The gentleman yields back. I regret to say
2108	that votes on the floor have started again. They're not
2109	going to be completed, they tell us, until after 4:00
2110	o'clock.

2111	I know a couple of our witnesses have to leave by 3:45.
2112	So I am going to ask that Mr. Olson, who's next in line for
2113	questions, take the chair and he will ask questions, at which
2114	point we will adjourn, and those members wishing to still ask
2115	questions will do it in writing, and if you could respond on
2116	a timely basis we'll adjourn rather than keep you here until
2117	4:15, knowing that all of you are pretty much gone.
2118	Mr. Olson.
2119	Mr. Olson. [Presiding.] I thank the chair, and welcome
2120	to our four witnesses.
2121	I want to start by saying congratulations to our friends
2122	at our good friends at Sempra. Big merger with Oncor.
2123	Congratulations.
2124	Mr. Arriola. Thank you.
2125	Mr. Olson. Mr. Arriola, your company is working on some
2126	significant LNG export terminals along the Gulf Coast. You
2127	mentioned Cameron being up and running, Port Arthur coming
2128	online.
2129	Can you talk about why getting American liquefied
2130	natural gas to market is time sensitive? Why does it matter
2131	how fast we ramp this production up? What markets are in
2132	jeopardy if we delay or drag this out?

2133	Mr. Arriola. Sure, Congressman.
2134	I think, as we've discussed, when foreign countries
2135	decide to enter into contracts for LNG, they're ordinarily in
2136	the 20- to 30-year time frame. And so as I am buying a
2137	product or a service for 20 or 30 years, I don't need to come
2138	back every year and re-up.
2139	And so as U.S. companies, including Sempra, look at
2140	building a project, whether it's in Cameron or in Port
2141	Arthur, we are really focused on trying to get all those
2142	contracts together up front so that we can get them financed
2143	and to build the project.
2144	If we can't get those projects this year or next year
2145	because somebody else has already signed up these 20- to 30-
2146	year contracts, we are out of the market, and the
2147	construction jobs that we've been talking about and the
2148	impact to the local economy goes away, or never develops, I
2149	should say.
2150	Mr. Olson. Yes. Thousands and thousands of American
2151	jobs. Mr. Hamm, the people back home in Texas 22 want me to
2152	thank you for your efforts in the Bakken shale play to change
2153	the entire world and America's energy future.
2154	We are now an energy dominant country because of you,

2155 Mr. Hamm, and a Texan named George Mitchell at the Barnett 2156 shale play. 2157 My question is, can you talk about the most important 2158 actions that the Trump administration can take to help you 2159 with oil and gas production? 2160 You mentioned adjusting the CAFE standards, ACC. 2161 about public lands, capturing other things? What can we do 2162 to help you out and make sure this production continues and 2163 doesn't get stifled by Washington, D.C.? 2164 Well, we have a friendly audience here that 2165 listened to us today, which is good. We need to do a lot 2166 with federal lands. They should also participate in this 2167 energy renaissance, and they haven't up to this point. 2168 The bulk of what has been done has been on fee lands, 2169 particularly in the Bakken and Texas, and we need to get it 2170 where permitting could be done rapidly instead of waiting, 2171 you know, six months to a year and so that's one thing we are 2172 working on that. 2173 And we have an audience that's listening and wanting to 2174 do the right thing. So I think we are moving in the right 2175 direction. We just need to keep the ball rolling to get it 2176 corrected while we are doing it.

2177	Mr. Olson. Yes, and thank you for your example of what
2178	the private sector can do. The private sector developed
2179	directional drilling and hydraulic fracturing.
2180	That wasn't something that came from D.C. That came
2181	from Harold Hamm and George Mitchell. So thank you for that.
2182	My final question is for you, Dr. Yergin. Let's talk
2183	about CERAWeek. It used to be CERADay, maybe CERAHour in 19,
2184	what, 83? You got that ball rolling, and now it rolled into
2185	the energy capital of the entire world Houston, Texas.
2186	Your testimony mentioned how the revolution in shale oil
2187	and gas the shale gale has had enormous impact on our
2188	relationships abroad.
2189	I've seen that firsthand. You mentioned India. I went
2190	there this past March. Their motto is, natural gas for today
2191	renewables for the future.
2192	But as you mentioned, right now they've signed a
2193	contract for 20 years of liquefied natural gas I think
2194	somewhere about 14.4 million metric tons from America to
2195	India. That helps them get their air cleaner. It helps them
2196	where they want to go.
2197	Also, as you mentioned, they've got, I heard, 2 million
2198	barrels of American crude oil that they haven't had for

2199	almost 50 years. They are taking the wood to OPEC and Russia
2200	with our energy.
2201	And so my questions are can you tell me more details
2202	about what that means for allies? We can help out India. We
2203	can help out South Korea, Japan, even help out China getting
2204	their air cleaner. How can we use this energy renaissance to
2205	make the world better?
2206	Mr. Yergin. I think, first of all, by helping to reduce
2207	conflict.
2208	Secondly, I think it builds confidence. I think it
2209	really what's happened here in the United States is
2210	actually a big contribution to energy security for the whole
2211	world and we benefit from that.
2212	So I think it radiates out from it, and I think what you
2213	described in India I've seen it in other countries, too
2214	it gives a they have a deeper relationship with the United
2215	States and it connects them more to us, and I think that's
2216	very beneficial for our overall political situation in the
2217	world.
2218	Mr. Olson. One example Mr. Shimkus signed this
2219	poster on the floor last week this big tanker ship called
2220	Independence was pulled into Lithuania.

2221	Probably 500 people normal people from Lithuania
2222	were greeting this tanker ship going, yay, yay. That's
2223	because they know that takes Mr. Putin's weapon away from him
2224	forever.
2225	Mr. Yergin. Well, I think it's I think it's true
2226	that what's happened with LNG to Europe and it's not only
2227	us but from others it's really, in a sense, depoliticized
2228	it turns Europe more into a gas market and takes out the
2229	kind of political implications for it.
2230	So I think it's something that's very welcome in those
2231	countries that they know that we are there and we are
2232	their friend.
2233	Mr. Olson. I am out of time.
2234	Just one warning, sir my Houston Astros will beat the
2235	Boston Red Sox again this year, going for the World Series.
2236	Mr. Yergin. What a forecaster.
2237	[Laughter.]
2238	Mr. Olson. Pursuant to committee rules, I remind
2239	members that they have 10 business days to submit additional
2240	questions for the record.
2241	I would ask that witnesses submit their answers their
2242	responses within 10 business days upon receipt of those

2243	questions.
2244	Without objection, this subcommittee is adjourned.
2245	[Whereupon, at 3:36 p.m., the committee was adjourned.]