

ONE HUNDRED FOURTEENTH CONGRESS  
**Congress of the United States**  
**House of Representatives**

COMMITTEE ON ENERGY AND COMMERCE

2125 RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING  
WASHINGTON, DC 20515-6115

Majority (202) 225-2927  
Minority (202) 225-3641

May 26, 2015

Mr. Geoffrey H. Fettus  
Senior Attorney  
Natural Resources Defense Council  
1152 15th Street, N.W., Suite 300  
Washington, DC 20005

Dear Mr. Fettus:

Thank you for appearing before the Subcommittee on Energy and Power on Friday, April 29, 2016, to testify at the hearing entitled "H.R. 4979, the Advanced Nuclear Technology Development Act of 2016 and H.R. \_\_\_\_\_, Nuclear Utilization of Keynote Energy Policies Act."

During the hearing, Members asked you to provide additional information for the record, and you indicated that you would provide that information. Descriptions of the requested information are provided in the attached document. The format of your responses should be as follows: (1) the name of the Member whose request you are addressing, (2) the complete text of the request you are addressing in bold, and (3) your answer to that request in plain text.

To facilitate the printing of the hearing record, please respond to these requests with a transmittal letter by the close of business on June 9, 2016. Your responses should be mailed to Will Batson, Legislative Clerk, Committee on Energy and Commerce, 2125 Rayburn House Office Building, Washington, DC 20515 and e-mailed in Word format to [Will.Batson@mail.house.gov](mailto:Will.Batson@mail.house.gov).

Thank you again for your time and effort preparing and delivering testimony before the Subcommittee.

Sincerely,



Ed Whitfield  
Chairman  
Subcommittee on Energy and Power

cc: The Honorable Bobby Rush, Ranking Member, Subcommittee on Energy and Power

Attachment

## **Member Requests for the Record**

### **The Honorable Ed Whitfield**

1. Your testimony references a report from the Union of Concerned Scientists that showed mandatory hearings uncovered “multiple problems” during the hearings. When was this report issued?
  - A. Since 1992, are you aware of any other instances in which multiple problems were identified in the mandatory hearing on an uncontested license?

### **The Honorable Michael Doyle**

1. Mr. Fettus, in your testimony you oppose the removal of the mandatory public hearing included in Congressman Kinzinger’s draft bill. My understanding is this legislation wouldn’t remove the possibility of having a public hearing, and if affected parties request it, a hearing would still happen. Could you explain why this option is unsatisfactory to you?