

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

NEAL R. GROSS & CO., INC.

RPTS WALTER

HIF012030

H.R. \_\_, THE EPS IMPROVEMENT ACT OF 2016

TUESDAY, JANUARY 12, 2016

House of Representatives,

Subcommittee on Energy and Power,

Committee on Energy and Commerce

Washington, D.C.

The subcommittee met, pursuant to call, at 10:00 a.m., in Room 2322 Rayburn House Office Building, Hon. Ed Whitfield [chairman of the subcommittee] presiding.

Members present: Representatives Whitfield, Shimkus, Latta, Harper, McKinley, Ellmers, Flores, Mullin, Hudson, McNerney, Tonko, Engel, Green, Capps, Welch, Loeb sack, and Pallone (ex officio).

Also present: Representative DeGette.

Staff present: Nick Abraham, Legislative Associate, Energy

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

and Power; Will Batson, Legislative Clerk, Energy and Power and Environment and the Economy; Leighton Brown, Press Assistant; Allison Busbee, Policy Coordinator, Energy and Power; Rebecca Card, Assistant Press Secretary; Patrick Currier, Senior Counsel, Energy and Power; A.T. Johnston, Senior Policy Advisor; Dan Schneider, Press Secretary; Jen Berenholz, Minority Chief Clerk; Christine Brennan, Minority Press Secretary; Jeff Carroll, Minority Staff Director; Rick Kessler, Minority Senior Advisor and Staff Director, Energy and Environment; and Alexander Ratner, Minority Policy Analyst.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

1           Mr. Whitfield. I would like to call the hearing to order  
2 this morning, and today's hearing is going to be on the EPS  
3 Improvement Act of 2016. And I will introduce our witnesses after  
4 we have an opportunity to make an opening statement.

5           But this hearing this morning is going to be focused on our  
6 efforts to correct a little glitch in the 2005 Energy Policy Act  
7 relating to external power sources and solid state liquid lighting  
8 systems, and at this time I am going to call on Renee Ellmers to  
9 give her opening statement. She and Diana together, it is their  
10 bill and I want to give them an opportunity to talk about it.

11          Mrs. Ellmers. Thank you, Chairman Whitfield, so much for  
12 this opportunity and for holding this hearing today, and I want  
13 to thank our panel for being here as well. There are many people  
14 who have been working on this issue trying to correct the glitch  
15 in the regulations, coming up and helping to draft this  
16 legislation and make this hearing possible.

17          First, I would like to thank my colleagues, Mike Pompeo,  
18 Diana DeGette, Doris Matsui, and Charlie Dent, and their staff  
19 for their support and hard work throughout this process.  
20 Finally, but most importantly, Mr. Chairman, I would like to thank  
21 the committee staff itself. You have put up a great teamwork  
22 together on this issue and you have been wonderful in working with  
23 my staff and throughout this whole process. I am truly thankful

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

24 and grateful for their time and effort.

25           The EPS Improvement Act of 2016 is a bipartisan and common  
26 sense bill that would provide certainty to manufacturers and  
27 resolve the underlying issues of the DOE external power supply  
28 rule. In 2005, Congress directed the Department of Energy to  
29 develop energy efficiency standards for external power supplies  
30 and they developed a definition for EPS devices. DOE stated that  
31 the products that were intended to be covered by these standards,  
32 quote, convert household electric current into DC or lower power  
33 voltage to AC to operate consumer products such as laptop  
34 computers or smart phones. And that is pretty much the plan.

35           Years after the passage of the Energy Policy Act of 2005,  
36 new technologies arose such as OLED and LED drivers were  
37 introduced into the marketplace. We all know how quickly  
38 technology is advancing, and innovation. While the development  
39 of this technology increased energy efficiency, it has also caused  
40 uncertainty in the manufacturing sector as DOE roped in drivers  
41 as products to also be covered.

42           DOE is now attempting to regulate a product that was not in  
43 the marketplace at the time Congress initially directed the  
44 Department to set external power supply standards. Both  
45 manufacturers and the energy efficiency community agree that this  
46 was not the intent of Congress, as LED and OLED drivers were not

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

47 in the marketplace in 2005 when Congress directed DOE to develop  
48 these standards. DOE has continued with this misguided rule  
49 despite the distinct differences in the design and use of LED  
50 drivers to that of the design and use of EPS. One example  
51 of the differences is that EPS use single stage power conversion  
52 while LED drivers use a two stage power conversion. Thankfully,  
53 this legislation resolves the problem by excluding SSL drivers  
54 for this technology and prevents it from being included in other  
55 broad rulemaking. This regulation will not only stifle  
56 innovation but inject uncertainty into the manufacturing sector  
57 while creating to less energy efficiency products and higher  
58 energy prices for consumers.

59 Without congressional action by February 10th of this year,  
60 this rule could unintentionally threaten thousands of jobs. I  
61 look forward to hearing from our witnesses, and with that, Mr.  
62 Chairman, I yield back.

63 Mr. Whitfield. Well, thank you, Mrs. Ellmers, very much.  
64 We appreciate that. And at this time I would like to recognize  
65 the gentleman from California, Mr. McNerney, for five minutes.

66 Mr. McNerney. Well, thank you, Mr. Chairman. We are here  
67 today to hold the legislative hearing on the external power  
68 supply, or EPS Improvement Act, which addresses an important issue  
69 for LED innovation, manufacturers and future investments in this

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

70 exciting industry. The EPS Improvement Act would exempt  
71 electrical drivers that power solid state lighting products from  
72 the Department of Energy's energy conservation standard for  
73 external power supplies.

74 This targeted bill sponsored by my colleagues Renee Ellmers  
75 and Diana DeGette would amend the Energy Policy and Conservation  
76 Act to exclude LED drivers from standards that go into effect on  
77 February 10th of this year. Energy efficiency standards are  
78 important as they save consumers money on their energy bills and  
79 reduce greenhouse gas emissions.

80 It is estimated that the national appliance and equipment  
81 efficiency standards have saved, believe it or not, 5.4  
82 quadrillion BTUs of energy in 2014 alone. The standards enacted  
83 to date will save consumers and businesses more than \$1.1 trillion  
84 through 2035 -- I see heads nodding here -- and the technology  
85 innovation spurred by these standards is critical. We need to  
86 support innovation to address climate change with energy  
87 efficiency and renewable technology.

88 My Grid Innovation Caucus co-chairwoman, Congresswoman  
89 Ellmers, and I believe that we must promote technologies that help  
90 us adopt to our growing energy needs and provide additional  
91 options for consumers, businesses and the economy. And we must  
92 use the energy standards in a manner that does not confuse the

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

93 market. At the time the Energy Policy and Conservation Act was  
94 amended, LED drivers were an emerging technology but they still  
95 fell under the broad definition of an external power supply. LED  
96 drivers represent the next wave of lighting technology and  
97 capabilities enabling smart buildings, industry facilities and  
98 homes and reduce their costs and enhance their performance.

99 Investments in LED driver technology are robust and ongoing;  
100 new standards at this time could slow down additional investments.  
101 Leaving LED drivers in the EPS final rule could hinder the  
102 transition to more energy efficient lighting in the marketplace  
103 and increase energy use and the cost for consumers.

104 This legislation, however, does not grant the Department of  
105 Energy the authority to prescribe energy conservation standards  
106 down the road, or it does grant -- excuse me -- the DOE the  
107 authority to prescribe energy conservation standards down the  
108 road so that it can implement more appropriate standards for the  
109 LED industry when the time is appropriate. I support this EPS  
110 Improvement Act because it clarifies congressional intent by  
111 clarifying the statutory definition of external power supplies  
112 to exclude LED drivers. This measure was developed in  
113 consultation with the DOE and is supported by industry  
114 stakeholders. We should provide LED manufacturers market  
115 stability so they are able to improve technology that has already

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com



**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

116 been demonstrated in its ability to increase energy efficiency  
117 in consumer and commercial applications.

118 I thank our witnesses for joining us today and look forward  
119 to hearing your testimony. Thank you, and I yield back.

120 Mr. Whitfield. Thank you very much, Mr. McNerney. Mr.  
121 Upton is not here this morning. Is there anyone else on our side  
122 of the aisle that would like to make a comment about this hearing,  
123 the subject matter of this hearing? If not, then I will recognize  
124 the gentleman from New Jersey, Mr. Pallone, for five minutes.

125 Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I want to thank you  
126 and the ranking member of the subcommittee for holding today's  
127 legislative hearing on the EPS Improvement Act of 2010. This bill  
128 authored by Representatives Ellmers and DeGette would exempt LED  
129 consumer light bulbs from new mandatory efficiency standards for  
130 external power supplies. And the development of LED light bulbs  
131 has been an energy efficiency success story and I am concerned  
132 about any action no matter how well intentioned that might  
133 interfere with that success.

134 More than a decade ago, Congress amended the Energy Policy  
135 and Conservation Act to set efficiency standards for external  
136 power supplies. An external power supply, or EPS, is typically  
137 used to convert household electric current to help operate  
138 consumer products. For most Americans that means the big plugs

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

139 that are associated with laptop computers, home cordless phones,  
140 answering machines and the like. As part of this regulation, the  
141 DOE has moved forward on a plan to include power drivers for solid  
142 state lighting which are an integral part of highly efficient LED  
143 replacement light bulbs. In its comments with stakeholders it  
144 is clear that DOE needs statutory authority to alter the law's  
145 definitions.

146           Meanwhile, the National Electrical Manufacturers  
147 Association argued that Congress didn't intend to cover consumer  
148 LED light bulbs when it enacted EPACT 2005, or when it amended  
149 the law in the 2007 Energy Independence and Security Act. I am  
150 inclined to agree that Congress did not intend to capture LED light  
151 bulbs in the 2014 rule. The regulation of EPSs has been discussed  
152 at length both in this committee and within the stakeholder  
153 community. Never once had LED light bulbs been contemplated;  
154 instead, the discussion was focused on television sets, computers  
155 and stereo equipment.

156           So it is clear to me, however, that Congress' multiple  
157 efforts to legislate in this area over a short time frame has added  
158 confusion rather than clarity to the statute who explicitly carved  
159 out some things like medical devices from the definition of an  
160 EPS, but we did not carve out LED light bulbs. I think that had  
161 we known more about the workings of LED light bulbs at the time

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

162 we would have exempted them specifically from mandatory  
163 efficiency standards from the start.

164         So right now, a modern LED light bulb that replaces the kind  
165 of 60-watt light bulb we used in the last century will only consume  
166 nine watts of power to produce the same amount of light, last for  
167 a decade, and sells for as little as \$3.99. That is a great deal  
168 for any consumer and I see no benefit to the consumer, the  
169 environment or the economy from regulating the efficiency of these  
170 light bulbs at this time.         I am encouraged by today's  
171 legislative hearing to put this issue in perspective and I am  
172 hopeful we can work together to expeditiously move this bill  
173 forward. And I would just like now to yield the balance of my  
174 time to the lead sponsor of the legislation, the gentlewoman from  
175 Colorado, Ms. DeGette.

176         Ms. DeGette. Thank you very much for yielding to me, Ranking  
177 Member Pallone. I am really proud to be leading this bill with  
178 Representative Ellmers, truly working across the aisle,  
179 literally, today. And as has been said, this bill will allow the  
180 Department of Energy to provide, to prescribe a separate energy  
181 conservation standard for LED drivers.

182         As we have been discussing, when this committee wrote the  
183 Energy Policy and Conservation Act of 2005 it directed the  
184 Department of Energy to develop a conservation standard for

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

185 various external power supply products. That term was meant to  
186 cover products that convert household electric current in order  
187 to operate a consumer product like a laptop computer or a smart  
188 phone.

189 At that time in 2005, LED lighting was in its very early  
190 stages. And as much we try and often succeed, we didn't have a  
191 crystal ball to see into the future of LED lighting. So since  
192 that time because of the broad definition we created for external  
193 power supplies, emergent LED drivers were swept up into a  
194 conservation standard that just doesn't make sense. This means  
195 that although LED drivers are highly energy efficient they can't  
196 meet the EPS conservation standard and their ability to compete  
197 in the competitive lighting market is now an open question.

198 Well, it seems like a technicality, but the bill is actually  
199 vitally important. LED drivers represent the next wave of  
200 lighting technology allowing for better and faster Internet  
201 connections, enabling smart buildings, industry facilities and  
202 homes to reduce their costs, improving consumer experiences in  
203 the retail industry and even leading to even faster recovery times  
204 in hospitals by controlling the color and timing of the lights  
205 in recovery rooms.

206 It is estimated that switching to LED lighting could reduce  
207 national lighting electricity use by nearly one half by 2030.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

208 That is the annual equivalent to saving three quadrillion BTUs,  
209 which is worth \$26 billion in today's standards. So by passing  
210 the EPS Improvement Act of 2016 will let the LED lighting  
211 revolution continue, and in turn help lower energy prices for  
212 every American business.

213 I want to thank the panelists for coming today. I look  
214 forward to your testimony, and I yield back.

215 Mr. Whitfield. That concludes our opening statements. And  
216 before I introduce our panel of witnesses I do want to thank both  
217 the Democratic and Republican staff, certainly Diana DeGette and  
218 Renee Ellmers for working together on this important legislation.  
219 And we appreciate very much the National Electrical Manufacturers  
220 Association and the American Council for an Energy-Efficient  
221 Economy helping us to craft this legislation.

222 And we are delighted that we have two witnesses here today  
223 representing those organizations. First of all, we have Jennifer  
224 Amann who is the Buildings Program director at the American  
225 Council for an Energy-Efficient Economy, and then we have Dr.  
226 Pekka Hakkarainen who is vice president of Lutron Electronics.  
227 I think they are from Pennsylvania, I believe. And you are  
228 testifying on behalf of the National Electrical Manufacturers  
229 Association.

230 So we appreciate both of you being with us this morning, and

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

231 we look forward to your opening statement and your expertise in  
232 this area. And with that Ms. Amann, I will recognize you for your  
233 five-minute opening statement.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

234 STATEMENTS OF JENNIFER AMANN, BUILDINGS PROGRAMS DIRECTOR,  
235 AMERICAN COUNCIL FOR AN ENERGY-EFFICIENT ECONOMY; AND PEKKA  
236 HAKKARAINEN, VICE PRESIDENT, LUTRON ELECTRONICS

237

238 STATEMENT OF JENNIFER AMANN

239 Ms. Amann. My name is Jennifer Amann and I am --

240 Mr. Whitfield. Amann, I am sorry. Be sure and turn your  
241 microphone on.

242 Ms. Amann. I am the Buildings Program director for the  
243 American Council for an Energy-Efficient Economy, or ACEEE. We  
244 are a nonprofit organization that acts as a catalyst to advance  
245 energy efficiency policies, programs, technologies, investments  
246 and behavior. We were formed in 1980 by energy researchers.  
247 Personally, I have been involved in energy efficiency issues for  
248 the past 20 years with a focus on energy efficiency in buildings,  
249 appliances and equipment including lighting and electronics, the  
250 subjects of today's hearing.

251 National appliance and equipment efficiency standards are  
252 a proven energy saving policy. The first standards were  
253 established in 1987 and signed into law by President Reagan.  
254 ACEEE estimates that efficiency standards saved 5.4 quadrillion  
255 BTUs, or quads, of energy in 2014 alone. That is roughly five  
256 percent of total U.S. energy use in that year. Standards enacted

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

257 to date will save consumers and businesses more than \$1.1 trillion  
258 through 2035.

259 External power supplies, or EPS, are also known as power  
260 adapters, the small boxes on the cord of many small or portable  
261 electronic devices such as laptop computers, modems, cordless and  
262 cell phones. According to DOE annual shipments of these products  
263 number about 345 million units.

264 In the 1990s with the emergence of low cost chips and portable  
265 electronics, new EPS technologies were developed to significantly  
266 reduce the size of the products while offering better performance  
267 and improved energy efficiency. A standard for EPS would capture  
268 savings from new power supply technologies across all of the broad  
269 spectrum of products that utilize external power supplies much  
270 more effectively than establishing separate standards for each  
271 of the types of products, individual classes of products that use  
272 them.

273 The Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 established  
274 the first standard for external power supplies which took effect  
275 in 2008, and it also instructed DOE to complete future rulemakings  
276 to revise the standard as warranted. DOE estimates the standard,  
277 the initial standard, will save approximately 3.8 quads -- that  
278 is equivalent to the total energy consumption of the state of  
279 Pennsylvania -- and yield \$42.4 billion in energy savings for

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com



**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

280 products shipped from 2008 to 2032.

281 In February of 2014, DOE published a final rule revising the  
282 efficiency requirements for external power supplies, and these  
283 new standards take effect this February and they will reduce EPS  
284 energy use by 30 to 85 percent depending on the type of device.  
285 The new standard will yield consumer energy bill savings of  
286 approximately \$3.8 billion. So the EPS standard has been very  
287 effective in achieving the intended objectives of the rule.

288 But at the time that EISA was enacted, solid state lighting  
289 was very much in its infancy for general service lighting  
290 applications. There were few products on the market other than  
291 for niche applications. Today, a wide variety of solid state  
292 lighting products are available, market share is growing rapidly,  
293 and the efficiency of the technology now surpasses that of other  
294 light sources making it a very important contributor to reducing  
295 national electricity use.

296 Solid state lighting products use power supplies, or SSL  
297 drivers, to power LED lighting. The broad definition of EPS in  
298 EISA captures, or in the Energy Policy Act captures the power  
299 supplies used with solid state lighting, but the products are  
300 somewhat different from other products using EPS. And of  
301 particular note, these products do not perform and cannot be  
302 tested when disconnected from a power using load, so they can't

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

be shown to comply with some portions of the standard, and as a result the required efficiency requirements.

The bill under consideration would exempt those external power supplies that are used to power these lighting products from the existing EPS standards while ensuring that DOE retains the authority to set standards for these products in the future. If it is determined that there are wasteful LED power supplies on the market, DOE can then develop an appropriate test method and standard for these specific products.

The provision in the bill explicitly granting DOE authority to set future standards on these products is critical to ACEEE support for the bill. Absent passage of this technical correction, manufacturers would be at risk of selling LED lighting products that cannot be shown to meet the standard. ACEEE is satisfied with the outcome in this bill because it removes a potential obstacle to the continued growth of a leading energy efficiency technology while preserving DOE's ability to develop a standard on power supplies for these products in the future if warranted.

This concludes my testimony and I thank you for the opportunity to present these views.

[The prepared statement of Ms. Amann follows:]

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

326

\*\*\*\*\*INSERT\*\*\*\*\*

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

327 Mr. Whitfield. Well, thank you very much. And Dr.

328 Hakkarainen, you are recognized for five minutes.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

329 STATEMENT OF PEKKA HAKKARAINEN

330

331 Mr. Hakkarainen. Good morning, Chairman Whitfield and  
332 Ranking Member McNerney and members of the committee. My name  
333 is Pekka Hakkarainen. I am vice president at Lutron. I have been  
334 employed there for 25 years.

335 I want to first thank the committee for giving me the  
336 opportunity to testify on the EPS Improvement Act. The bill  
337 before you fixes a needed technical issue with the Department of  
338 Energy's February 2014 EPS energy conservation standard that goes  
339 into effect on February 10th of this year. I am here today  
340 testifying on behalf of Lutron Electronics and the National  
341 Electrical Manufacturers Association.

342 A number of NEMA's members who manufacture and distribute  
343 solid state LED lighting products are impacted by the DOE external  
344 power supply standard. My company Lutron Electronics is a  
345 privately held manufacturer founded in 1961 and is headquartered  
346 in Coopersburg, Pennsylvania. Our products range from consumer  
347 dimmers to motorized window shades to lighting management systems  
348 for both residential and commercial buildings, and they also  
349 include LED drivers. And we estimate that in the U.S. alone, our  
350 products save about \$1 billion a year in consumer electricity  
351 bills.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

352           In 2005, Congress amended the Energy Policy and Conservation  
353 Act to define and direct the Department of Energy to set standards  
354 for external power supplies, such as this device that I am holding  
355 here. An external power supply was defined as a device, a circuit  
356 that is used to convert household electric current into DC current  
357 or low voltage AC current to operate a consumer product. It can  
358 be readily seen that the definition of an external power supply  
359 uses the words "external, power, and supply," but as technology  
360 has advanced this definition has created significant confusion  
361 in the lighting industry.

362           According to the Department of Energy, the EPS products that  
363 were meant to be covered are those that as it says convert  
364 household electric current to operate a consumer product such as  
365 a laptop computer or a smart phone or an answering machine, et  
366 cetera. However, given the broad definition in EPACT 2005,  
367 additional products were brought into the definition of a covered  
368 product via the DOE rulemaking process.

369           In 2014, DOE issued a final rule for the latest round of  
370 standards for external power supplies. Despite Lutron and other  
371 companies asking in writing and in public meetings for the  
372 Department to clearly identify what types of products impacting  
373 lighting technologies might be covered as external power  
374 supplies, no clear answer was provided until the final rule was

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

375 issued. The final rule includes as regulated EPS certain drivers  
376 for solid state lighting products, such as perhaps this one, which  
377 industry and the efficiency community agree were never intended  
378 by Congress to be considered external power supplies.

379 The EPS Improvement Act resolves this unintended consequence  
380 by amending and clarifying the statutory definition of external  
381 power supply to exclude solid state lighting drivers that are  
382 designed to be connected to and power light-emitting diodes, LEDs,  
383 or organic light-emitting diodes, OLEDs that provide  
384 illumination. The bill then restates the conditions under which  
385 the DOE could undertake a rulemaking in the future for solid state  
386 drivers subject to current statutory requirements. Furthermore,  
387 the language also requires that DOE make public the testing  
388 procedure requirements for at least a year before any energy  
389 conservation standard for these technologies is prescribed.

390 This necessary fix has wide support. Not only does it have  
391 bipartisan support, but it also has support from both  
392 manufacturers and the energy efficiency community. And the same  
393 language has already passed the House by a voice vote as an  
394 amendment to H.R. 8, the North American Energy Security and  
395 Infrastructure Act of 2015.

396 Without action before February 10th, solid state drivers  
397 would be left in the EPS final rule which would be disruptive for

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

the transition to more energy efficient lighting in the marketplace. As has already been stated, LED drivers represent the next wave of lighting technology and capabilities, and significant investment in this technology is ongoing in industry. Anything that would slow this evolving and beneficial technology would threaten additional investment.

I want to lastly especially thank Representatives Ellmers, Dent, DeGette, Pompeo, and Matsui whose leadership is very much appreciated on this issue. Thank you, and I would be happy to answer any questions.

[The prepared statement of Mr. Hakkarainen follows:]

\*\*\*\*\*INSERT\*\*\*\*\*



This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

411 Mr. Whitfield. Well, thank you for your testimony. We  
412 appreciate it, as I said earlier, both of you being here today,  
413 and it is encouraging that when you get to a technical issue that  
414 the parties can come together and try to move expeditiously.

415 And one of the questions I would have for both of you, I have  
416 not had an opportunity to talk to Ms. DeGette or Mrs. Ellmers about  
417 it, but we do believe that we ought to pass this legislation  
418 through the House rather quickly, maybe even on suspension. And  
419 I was just curious, have you all been working on the Senate side  
420 at all about moving the bill over there? Whoever would like to  
421 respond to that.

422 Mr. Hakkarainen. Yes, we have been working on the Senate  
423 side. My colleagues from NEMA would be better experts on where  
424 exactly we stand over there.

425 Mr. Whitfield. Okay.

426 Ms. Amann. And I would say yes, we are just aware that there  
427 are efforts going on in the Senate. We haven't been as active  
428 as we are supporting the manufacturers' efforts in showing out  
429 support for it, but we are --

430 Mr. Whitfield. Okay, good. Now, Dr. Hakkarainen, if  
431 February the 10th rolled by and this regulation did go into effect  
432 and we were not able to get this legislation passed, what would  
433 be the practical impacts on, say, Lutron Electronics?

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

434 Mr. Hakkarainen. There would be uncertainty as to whether  
435 the EPS rule affects LED drivers and which ones. The Department  
436 of Energy has not provided industry sufficient guidance on that  
437 issue, and we are here to ask for clarity.

438 Mr. Whitfield. Would that interfere with your ability to  
439 sell the product?

440 Mr. Hakkarainen. Quite probably would, yes.

441 Mr. Whitfield. Okay. Yes.

442 Ms. Amann. I would just say, so DOE has a process for  
443 companies to request a waiver if they are not able to follow the  
444 test procedures for a certain product, but that would be very time  
445 consuming and resource intensive for the manufacturers and for  
446 DOE to have to deal with those waiver applications.

447 Mr. Whitfield. I would like to just ask you sort of a generic  
448 question about the American Council for Energy-Efficient Economy.  
449 I know you are a nonprofit group and I know you are involved in  
450 policy issues. But I notice that you talk about advancing energy  
451 efficiency technologies and investments. I was just curious, how  
452 do you all go about doing that advancing new technologies and  
453 investments?

454 Ms. Amann. Sure. So a lot of our work focuses on  
455 researching technologies and different mechanisms for bringing  
456 about energy efficiency, so on the investment side it could be

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

457 financing options that increase the adoption of efficient  
458 technologies. So we look at, we keep an eye out on emerging  
459 technologies that are entering the market. We work closely with  
460 utilities and other efficiency program administrators that are  
461 spending billions of dollars a year on energy efficiency to help  
462 them identify the best opportunities, the best markets to spend  
463 their money in and to advance those technologies.

464 Mr. Whitfield. But do you actually help on investments,  
465 like obtaining money?

466 Ms. Amann. Yes. We don't actually do any of that type of  
467 thing, but we do things like we hold every year an energy  
468 efficiency finance forum where we bring together folks in the  
469 finance community to talk about different types of like new loan  
470 structures, different types of financial mechanisms for  
471 increasing investment and energy efficiency.

472 Mr. Whitfield. And when will that be held this --

473 Ms. Amann. This year it will be in May or maybe early June.  
474 It is May or early June and it will be in Newport, Rhode Island.

475 Mr. Whitfield. Okay. I yield back the balance of my time  
476 and just kind of recognize Mr. McNerney for five minutes.

477 Mr. McNerney. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. It is ironic that  
478 the title, "External Power Supply" should apply to LEDs, because  
479 when you buy an LED at the store for your home it is all internal.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

480 You don't get an external supply. Does that seem ironic to you,  
481 or am I missing something here?

482 Mr. Hakkarainen. So in this case we are not actually talking  
483 about the light bulb that has the internal driver. You are quite  
484 right that --

485 Mr. McNerney. Okay.

486 Mr. Hakkarainen. -- those are the consumer products and  
487 they are not, in my understanding, affected by the EPS standards  
488 that the DOE has.

489 Mr. McNerney. So we are talking about the LEDs that are  
490 inside of --

491 Mr. Hakkarainen. But it affects products such as this, a  
492 separate driver that goes into a, more like a commercial grade  
493 luminaire lighting fixture where the LED lamps or strips are  
494 separately installed by the luminaire manufacturer.

495 Mr. McNerney. Okay. Ms. Amann, are the DOE's energy  
496 conservation standards that come into effect in February  
497 inappropriately suited for regulating LED drivers?

498 Ms. Amann. No, I don't believe so. It was never the  
499 intention of the law, I mean, of the rule to do that. And it was  
500 just an oversight, because these products weren't available in  
501 the market at that time. And so when I say that DOE estimates  
502 there are about 345 million power supplies sold each year, those

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

503 are the external power supplies like this. And that is what DOE's  
504 analysis is based on and that is what the efficiency community  
505 and manufacturers first discussed when we made a recommendation  
506 to comment on standard levels --

507 Mr. McNerney. Sure.

508 Ms. Amann. -- that were passed in 2007 under the EISA bill.

509 Mr. McNerney. Well, how does the rule disrupt the  
510 development of a power supply? I don't understand how an  
511 efficiency rule would disrupt the development of a better power  
512 supply.

513 Ms. Amann. So in this case because the technology for the  
514 solid state lighting driver is very different from the technology  
515 that is used in a standard external power supply, so the rule  
516 doesn't appropriately apply to this other technology.

517 For instance, for these products I think one of the big points  
518 is part of the standard establishes what we call a "no-load,@ a  
519 requirement for operation in no-load mode. So if you plug this  
520 into the wall and you had your phone plugged into it, once you  
521 took your phone away this would still be drawing power and you  
522 could set it, put it on a power meter and understand how much power  
523 it drew.

524 That is not the case with the solid state lighting drivers.  
525 They can't operate in no-load mode at all. So you can't even test

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

526 them under the rules as it is set out in the standard, so you can't  
527 show whether or not it can comply with the standard. And I would  
528 ask Pekka to correct me if I made any errors in my technical  
529 explanation, or if you could clarify anything.

530 Mr. Hakkarainen. No, that is fine.

531 Mr. McNerney. So the standards, I mean it is apples and  
532 oranges. They don't really apply to the same kind of technology.

533 Ms. Amann. That is right.

534 Mr. Hakkarainen. That is correct.

535 Mr. McNerney. And that would really hinder the development  
536 because the investment would dry up and so on. So how does the  
537 EPS Improvement Act change that? Did I call it the right thing?  
538 How does the EPS Improvement Act change that?

539 Mr. Hakkarainen. It changes the situation for LED drivers  
540 because it excludes them from the definition of an external power  
541 supply, and then it further directs DOE in the future to develop  
542 separate standards for LED drivers.

543 Mr. McNerney. So you believe that this actually removing  
544 a standard promotes stability and confidence in the market?

545 Mr. Hakkarainen. Correct.

546 Mr. McNerney. Okay. All right, Mr. Chairman, I yield back.  
547 Thank you.

548 Mr. Whitfield. The gentleman yields back. At this time I

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

549 recognize the gentle lady from North Carolina, Mrs. Ellmers, for  
550 five minutes.

551 Mrs. Ellmers. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and again thank you  
552 to our panelists today on this issue. This is certainly something  
553 that I have become educated on recently as it affects some of our  
554 businesses back home in District 2 of North Carolina. And again  
555 I thank you for your expert testimony in helping us to understand  
556 what it is that we are dealing with and why. Although the  
557 legislation and the actions were well intended, to direct the  
558 Department of Energy as again kind of a good problem as technology  
559 has advanced so quickly we are finding ourselves in this situation  
560 where we now have to modify the path going forward. So Dr.  
561 Hakkarainen, will you please take a moment to, and you did explain  
562 in your testimony the difference between the design and use of  
563 a typical EPS device compared to that of an OLED or LED driver  
564 or converter. Could you just expand on that a little bit more  
565 now?

566 Mr. Hakkarainen. Certainly. An external power supply such  
567 as this device here --

568 Mrs. Ellmers. This is the example that I have been given  
569 as well, so --

570 Mr. Hakkarainen. It takes household electric current, 120  
571 volts powered from a 120 volt supply, and converts it typically

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

572 to a DC voltage, to five volts, nine volts, something like that.  
573 And there is a single stage of power conversion in that process.  
574 In an LED driver there are two stages of power conversion. First,  
575 we convert from the AC power supply, which could be 120 volts but  
576 it is often actually 277 volts in commercial buildings, and  
577 converts that to a relatively high voltage DC power bus, as we  
578 say, inside the driver. And that is then further modulated to  
579 operate the LED lighting properly, to essentially to drive the  
580 LED lighting. So there are two stages of power conversion.

581 In addition, these modern LED drivers have other features  
582 as well, such as being connected to the external world, to the  
583 building infrastructure, to the Internet, for example. So there  
584 are additional features here that external power supplies  
585 typically don't have.

586 Mrs. Ellmers. So again, and I have got mine as well. So  
587 this driver, basically, and we said converter, driver, actually  
588 does more than that. And so basically it is stationary. It is  
589 in the ceiling providing the power supply for the lights  
590 themselves, the LED lights.

591 And so I just want to touch on the issue of the commercial  
592 component to this, because to me one of the big issues here is  
593 the uncertainty that our manufacturers are experiencing, but then  
594 you can see how it impacts any commercial development and the cost

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com



**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

595 as well. I mean, I could see that this could be very, very costly.

596 Am I correct in that?

597 Mr. Hakkarainen. It would certainly be costly. I am not  
598 even certain that it would be possible.

599 Mrs. Ellmers. Possible. And I did want to touch on that  
600 as well. I know Ms. Amann had discussed this, but basically as  
601 it is right now the way that the EPS rule stands there really isn't  
602 a way to have a standard test procedure; is that correct? And  
603 this will dramatically affect technology moving forward.

604 Mr. Hakkarainen. Correct.

605 Mrs. Ellmers. Correct. And Dr. Hakkarainen, is it fair to  
606 say that by encompassing LED and OLED drivers into the final EPS  
607 rule that it could potentially, I mean, we are basically saying  
608 that this is going to be counterproductive to the whole process,  
609 correct?

610 Mr. Hakkarainen. Yes, that is correct, because if LED and  
611 OLED drivers are not available then the energy efficiency on  
612 buildings decreases.

613 Mrs. Ellmers. Decreases. Well, I just, Mr. Chairman, I  
614 yield back. And again I thank the panel so much for their input  
615 and their testimony and your expert ability to help explain a very  
616 difficult technical process so that we can create better  
617 legislation and be working with our business communities. Thank

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

618 you so much.

619 Mr. Whitfield. Mrs. Ellmers yields back, so at this time  
620 I would like to recognize Ms. Capps for five minutes.

621 Ms. Capps. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, for holding this  
622 hearing, and I am going to thank our witnesses for your  
623 testimonies.

624 Investing in and implementing technologies that embrace and  
625 improve upon energy efficiency is critical. It is clear that this  
626 is not a simple task. Improvements must be made in every sector  
627 of our lives from every day consumer products to industrial  
628 applications. This is exactly why Congress first enacted  
629 legislation on improving energy efficiency and established much  
630 needed conservation measures.

631 And one of the most important questions when it comes to  
632 energy efficiency is how we can provide ample energy efficient  
633 and cost effective lighting for people all across the world. Our  
634 societies are built around an infrastructure that supports  
635 sufficient, affordable and reliable light. Just as it is  
636 across the world, the pursuit of innovations and efficient  
637 lighting has been and continues to be important to my  
638 congressional district. In fact, the community in my district  
639 where I live, Santa Barbara, has been instrumental in the  
640 development of LED technology, as you both know. Shuji Nakamura

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

641 is a professor in the materials science department at UC Santa  
642 Barbara, has spent decades working on LED technology including  
643 developing a process for producing the bright blue LED. And the  
644 blue LED in turn allowed for the development of the white LED,  
645 an incredibly efficient form of lighting that is changing the  
646 landscape of consumer and industrial lighting as we know it.

647 Recognizing the importance of this research, Professor  
648 Nakamura was awarded the Nobel Prize in physics in 2014 along with  
649 two other researchers. And my campus, the UC Santa Barbara,  
650 continues to lead the way in research into LED technologies.

651 Santa Barbara is also the home of the research lab for CREE,  
652 which is one of the market leading innovators of consumer LED  
653 technology. CREE was responsible for the production of the first  
654 LED that was appropriate for general consumer lighting and  
655 continues to lead the way in innovation production of energy  
656 efficient LEDs.

657 Again my district has been at the forefront of accessible  
658 lighting around the world. For example, the Institute for Energy  
659 Efficiency at UC Santa Barbara has worked with the nonprofit Unite  
660 to Light to provide reading lamps to people across the world which  
661 replaces dangerous kerosene lamps with solar charged LED reading  
662 lights. I have one of these in my home. They are very efficient.  
663 And these lights improve health and promote education by providing

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

664 safe and reliable lighting around the world. Unite to Light has  
665 distributed over 50,000 lights in 64 countries to date.

666 And these innovations are making a difference, and while we  
667 certainly need these innovators and entrepreneurs, we also need  
668 to ensure that we have a legislative landscape that supports and  
669 encourages the continued development of this and other similar  
670 technologies.

671 So Ms. Amann, based on the testimony you provided, it seems  
672 the current rule from the DOE has the potential to significantly  
673 impact the continued growth and availability of LED technology.  
674 Can you elaborate on how the availability of LED technology would  
675 be impacted by the existing rule in the absence of proposed  
676 legislation?

677 Ms. Amann. In the absence of the legislation there will be  
678 a lot of uncertainty for manufacturers, and as I mentioned before,  
679 the one remedy that they have is to go through the DOE and use  
680 the waiver process or a hardship process. So there is a way to  
681 get around it, but it would be quite complicated, complex and time  
682 consuming and very inefficient use of company resources and time  
683 as well as DOE resources and time in the appliance standards  
684 program.

685 So I think that there would be, there is a way to get around  
686 it, but it is not, it doesn't make sense. And this legislative

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

687 solution really helps us ensure that there is -- everybody can  
688 be focused on getting the efficient lighting out there, but also  
689 sets the authority for DOE to set standards in the future --

690 Ms. Capps. Okay.

691 Ms. Amann. -- as efficient technologies develop.

692 Ms. Capps. I wanted to ask Dr. Hakkarainen, would the  
693 legislation that we are discussing today help to ensure that  
694 research and implementation of technologies to improve LED  
695 lighting will continue and, if so, how?

696 Mr. Hakkarainen. It certainly will help ensure that and to  
697 the how we will be able to dedicate our technical resources to  
698 that development rather than dealing with the regulatory  
699 uncertainty. We all have limited resources and it is the same  
700 resources that would be required for both.

701 Ms. Capps. I appreciate that. Thank you very much. I  
702 yield back.

703 Mr. Whitfield. At this time I recognize the gentleman from  
704 Ohio, Mr. Latta, for five minutes.

705 Mr. Latta. Well, thank you very much, Mr. Chairman, and  
706 thanks to our panel for being with us today, really appreciate  
707 it. Sorry we are kind of in and out. We have another committee  
708 hearing running with the same thing downstairs.

709 But if I could, the lighting industry represents about 2,500

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

710 jobs in my home state of Ohio, and having talked with several of  
711 these manufacturers I have serious concerns with the external  
712 power supply energy conservation standard including LED and OLED  
713 technologies. And Dr. Hakkarainen, could you give us some  
714 examples in real-world applications of these products?

715 Mr. Hakkarainen. So in terms of real-world applications,  
716 I think the sort of examples I would like to give are commercial  
717 building projects where LED lighting is used today. So, for  
718 example, in your state in Ohio, Procter & Gamble headquarters and  
719 Eaton headquarters both use LED lighting today. In California  
720 there are lots of headquarters type projects such as Apple and  
721 salesforce.com and companies like that that have moved to LED  
722 lighting. Wells Fargo in North Carolina is another example. So  
723 they tend to be commercial buildings and industrial buildings.

724 A little bit of these types of LED driver products also make  
725 their way to residential buildings, but in residences we tend to  
726 have screw-in lamps more than the higher cost commercial grade  
727 products. Does that help?

728 Mr. Latta. Yes, thank you. And if I may, I continue with  
729 another question to you. Could you in regular terms explain to  
730 us again how these drivers are being impacted by the EPS rule?

731 Mr. Hakkarainen. They are being impacted today because the  
732 statutory definition of an external power supply is pretty broad

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

733 and DOE's general counsel has interpreted the statutory  
734 definition to bring in quite a large range of products. So the  
735 debate is indeed about which ones of these LED and OLED drivers  
736 are brought into the definition and there is not sufficient  
737 clarity for manufacturers today and that is why we are here asking  
738 you to provide that clarity.

739 Mr. Latta. Well, maybe if I could for both of you, Ms. Amann  
740 -- am I pronouncing your name correctly?

741 Ms. Amann. Amann.

742 Mr. Latta. Amann. Thank you. If you could both in  
743 summarizing your testimony for us here, but if there is one major  
744 thing you would like us to take away from here today what would  
745 that be from today's hearing?

746 Ms. Amann. Beyond the specifics of this issue I think it  
747 highlights one of the reasons that we are here today and we need  
748 legislation is because DOE doesn't have the authority to change  
749 the definition of a product if that definition is set in the  
750 statute.

751 So, I mean, one thing I think we can think about is where  
752 there are opportunities to allow DOE a little bit more leeway to  
753 adapt product definitions as the market changes and as new  
754 technologies are introduced as innovation continues to move  
755 forward.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

756 Mr. Latta. Thank you. Dr. Hakkarainen, would you like to  
757 comment?

758 Mr. Hakkarainen. I don't have really anything further to  
759 add. I think Jennifer said it very well.

760 Mr. Latta. Okay. Thank you very much. And Mr. Chairman,  
761 I yield back the balance of my time.

762 Mr. Whitfield. The gentleman yields back. At this time I  
763 recognize the gentleman from Texas, Mr. Green, for five minutes.

764 Mr. Green. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Ms. Amann, I am glad  
765 to see efficiency advocates in industry working side by side.  
766 Does the DOE currently support SSL technology?

767 Ms. Amann. Oh, absolutely. Absolutely. They are  
768 spending a lot of money under as mandated by Congress to do a lot  
769 of development in solid state lighting and have really made,  
770 really worked closely with industry to improve the market  
771 conditions and advance research and development on new  
772 technologies.

773 Mr. Green. Are the SSL technologies as energy efficient as  
774 possible or is there currently room for more improvement?

775 Ms. Amann. I think there is room for more improvement. The  
776 technology has been surprising everybody in terms of how fast they  
777 are meeting and exceeding their goals for efficiency  
778 improvements, and at this point it is exceeding almost all other

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com



**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

779 light sources in terms of its efficiency.

780 Mr. Green. Dr. Hakkarainen, do you have a sense as to why  
781 SSL was not included?

782 Mr. Hakkarainen. Why SSL was not --

783 Mr. Green. Was included in the -- DOE indicates here in here  
784 in their original NOPR they did not intend to include SSL products.

785 Mr. Hakkarainen. So I am not sure that I can answer that  
786 question, really. My sense is that DOE did not analyze any solid  
787 state lighting products in the development of the external power  
788 supply standard. But then because of the broad statutory  
789 definition of an external power supply they after the fact  
790 concluded that they may very well be in the scope.

791 Mr. Green. Okay. In your testimony you make references  
792 that the rulemaking could threaten future investments. Would you  
793 explain further what costs would be associated with SSL inclusion?

794 Mr. Hakkarainen. If solid state lighting drivers are  
795 included in the external power supply standard then the sort of  
796 costs, if it is even possible for drivers to meet the external  
797 power supply standard that is still a question in my mind, but  
798 if we found a way over time to get to that point then the driver  
799 devices would be significantly more expensive for consumers and  
800 they would take a long time for our technical staff to develop.

801 Mr. Green. Is it technically feasible to meet the

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

802 requirements of the DOE standard?

803 Mr. Hakkarainen. In my opinion at the moment, no.

804 Mr. Green. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I yield back.

805 Mr. Whitfield. The gentleman yields back. At this time I  
806 would call on the gentleman from Texas, Mr. Flores, for five  
807 minutes.

808 Mr. Flores. Mr. Chairman, thank you. I have no questions.

809 Mr. Whitfield. Mr. Harper, do you have any questions?

810 Mr. Harper. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. No questions for me  
811 either.

812 Mr. Whitfield. Well, that is the end of the questions of  
813 our subcommittee. And Ms. DeGette who is a co-sponsor of the bill  
814 is a member of the Energy and Commerce Committee. She is not a  
815 member of this subcommittee and I didn't want you all to think  
816 we were discriminating against her, so at this time I would like  
817 to recognize Ms. DeGette for five minutes.

818 Ms. DeGette. Mr. Chairman, I never think you are  
819 discriminating against me, and I really appreciate you letting  
820 me sit in on this hearing. This is one of these issues where in  
821 retrospect it seems so simple that it should have been right in  
822 the first place, and it wasn't right in the first place. And now,  
823 of course, it could both hurt what -- Ms. Amann, when I heard you  
824 talking about what the manufacturers would have to do to try to

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

825 get a waiver I was just imagining Cooper Lighting which is one  
826 of my, your members and one of my companies in Denver, trying to  
827 petition the DOE to get a waiver from this standard. And it is  
828 exactly why people get irritated with Congress. So I am really  
829 happy that Congresswoman Ellmers and I have been able to come  
830 together to solve this problem.

831 I just want to ask a couple of sort of broader questions.  
832 Ms. Amann, I wanted to ask you, in your testimony you noted that  
833 before the EPS standard was developed many external power supply  
834 devices still used decades-old technology. I am wondering if you  
835 could talk for a minute how the EPS standard has encouraged  
836 twenty-first century innovation.

837 Ms. Amann. Sure. So in the technology that had been used  
838 for power supplies I think we can all remember the really huge,  
839 bulky power supplies, and you could never even get two in your  
840 plug. They were hot. That is a very inefficient technology that  
841 had been used throughout most of the twentieth century.

842 So in the '90s when new technology was developed in response  
843 to low cost for chips, the emergence of portable electronics, for  
844 the first time people wanted to carry their electronics and their  
845 power supplies. We got these new innovations that made the  
846 supplies smaller and much more efficient -- much, much more  
847 efficient.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

848 But into the 2000s those products, there were still a lot  
849 of cheap consumer products that were using the bulky, the  
850 inexpensive old school technology, and so that is why the  
851 manufacturers of those power supplies, many of them in California  
852 and other states, came together to agree on power supply standards  
853 so that we could get this new technology out there into all the  
854 different products that use power supplies.

855 Ms. DeGette. And Mr. Hakkarainen, do you have anything to  
856 add to that? Did manufacturers like you work with the efficiency  
857 advocates in DOE to pioneer the new technologies?

858 Mr. Hakkarainen. Yes, we typically do work with, actively  
859 work with the energy efficiency community and certainly  
860 collaborate with DOE in their rulemaking processes. Relative to  
861 the external power supplies themselves, I am not sure I can answer  
862 that question because we don't actually manufacture those  
863 devices.

864 Ms. DeGette. Right, you do those. Yes.

865 Mr. Hakkarainen. But we manufacture LED drivers.

866 Ms. DeGette. Yes. And it seems to me that the EPS standard  
867 has been effective in sparking innovation, but then if we shoehorn  
868 the LEDs into that the trend could be reversed and ironically  
869 instead of supporting energy efficiency the EPS standard could  
870 actually inhibit that; is that correct?

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

871 Ms. Amann. Yes, I think so. And I would just point out,  
872 we had no idea how fast LEDs would develop and they weren't a  
873 product that was available at the time this was written. I mean,  
874 we didn't have iPhones then, smart phones. I mean, so much  
875 innovation has happened since the time that the standard was first  
876 adopted.

877 Ms. DeGette. Thanks. And did you want to add anything, Mr.  
878 Hakkarainen?

879 Thank you. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman, and I hope  
880 we can pass this on on suspension. And then I thought, I actually  
881 thought your question was the most important one is what do we  
882 do about the other body, because Chairman Upton and I are still  
883 trying to get our 21st Century Cures bill, which passed this  
884 committee unanimously, passed by the Senate. So if you figure  
885 out how to unlock this problem you can get that bill through too.  
886 Thank you. I yield back.

887 Mr. Whitfield. We feel quite confident that the Senate will  
888 recognize that we have perfected this legislation and they will  
889 adopt it.

890 But that does conclude today's hearing, and I want to thank  
891 our two witnesses for being with us and certainly want to reiterate  
892 our appreciation to Mrs. Ellmers and Ms. DeGette for sort of  
893 leading this charge on this. And with that the record will remain

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

894 open for ten days and that concludes today's hearing. Thank you  
895 very much.

896 [The bill The EPS Improvement Act of 2016 follows:]

897

898 \*\*\*\*\*INSERT\*\*\*\*\*

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

899

[Whereupon, at 10:58 a.m., the subcommittee was adjourned.]