- 1 {York Stenographic Services, Inc.}
- 2 RPTS EDWARDS
- 3 HIF253.030
- 4 MARKUP ON H.R. 702, TO ADAPT TO CHANGING CRUDE OIL MARKET
- 5 CONDITIONS
- 6 THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 10, 2015
- 7 House of Representatives,
- 8 Subcommittee on Energy and Power
- 9 Committee on Energy and Commerce
- 10 Washington, D.C.

- 11 The Subcommittee met, pursuant to call, at 10:02 a.m.,
- 12 in Room 2123 of the Rayburn House Office Building, Hon. Ed
- 13 Whitfield [Chairman of the Subcommittee] presiding.
- Members present: Representatives Whitfield, Olson,
- 15 Barton, Shimkus, Pitts, Latta, Harper, McKinley, Pompeo,
- 16 Kinzinger, Griffith, Johnson, Long, Ellmers, Flores, Mullin,
- 17 Hudson, Upton (ex officio), Rush, McNerney, Tonko, Green,
- 18 Doyle, Castor, Welch, Loebsack, and Pallone (ex officio).

19 Staff present: Nick Abraham, Legislative Associate, 20 Energy and Power; Gary Andres, Staff Director; Will Batson, 21 Legislative Clerk; Leighton Brown, Press Assistant; Allison 22 Busbee, Policy Coordinator, Energy and Power; Karen 23 Christian, General Counsel; Tom Hassenboehler, Chief Counsel, 24 Energy and Power; Brittany Havens, Oversight Associate, 25 Oversight and Investigations; Kirby Howard, Legislative 26 Clerk; A. T. Johnston, Senior Policy Advisor; Peter Kielty, 27 Deputy General Counsel; Brandon Mooney, Professional Staff 28 Member, Energy and Power; Tim Pataki, Professional Staff Member; Graham Pittman, Legislative Clerk; Dan Schneider, 29 30 Press Secretary; Jen Berenholz, Democratic Chief Clerk; 31 Christine Brennan, Democratic Press Secretary; Jeff Carroll, 32 Democratic Staff Director; Elizabeth Ertel, Democratic Deputy 33 Clerk; Michael Goo, Democratic Chief Counsel, Energy and 34 Environment; Tiffany Guarascio, Democratic Deputy Staff Director and Chief Health Advisor; Caitlin Haberman, 35 36 Democratic Professional Staff Member; Rick Kessler, 37 Democratic Senior Advisor and Staff Director, Energy and 38 Environment; John Marshall, Democratic Policy Coordinator; 39 Alexander Ratner, Democratic Policy Analyst; and Tim

Robinson, Democratic Chief Counsel.

40

41 H.R. 702 42 10:02 a.m. 43 Mr. {Whitfield.} I would like to call this markup to 44 order and recognize myself for 5 minutes. You might want to 45 start the clock. 46 Today this subcommittee begins the markup of H.R. 702, 47 to adapt to changing crude oil market conditions. This 48 bipartisan bill would put an end to the outdated restrictions 49 on the export of American oil, and I want to thank Mr. Barton 50 for introducing it and for the other members who support it. 51 Allowing oil exports would provide a major boost for jobs and 52 the economy, help keep gasoline affordable, and strengthen 53 our national security. I urge all of my colleagues to 54 support this common sense and long-overdue measure. 55 America is a Nation that supports free trade and open markets. Millions of Americans, from farmers to automakers, 56 57 enjoy the benefits of a global marketplace and customer base. 58 However, Congress created an exception for oil exports back 59 in 1975. At the time, we feared that America was running out 60 of oil, that we would become increasingly dependent on OPEC 61 countries. However, over the years, many of the laws that 62 were enacted during this period of our history have been

- 63 repealed. Price controls are a good example. However, the
- 64 restrictions on oil exports remain on the books.
- Over the last decade, advances in drilling technology
- 66 have resulted in dramatic production increases. This is
- 67 especially true of the lighter grades of crude not suitable
- 68 for most domestic refiners but very much in demand around the
- 69 world.
- 70 The oil and natural gas sector has been a rare bright
- 71 spot in the economy and has been one of our best job
- 72 creators. However, with oil prices at their current low
- 73 levels, we have seen the pace of new drilling activity drop
- 74 off, and some of those jobs have been lost. Oil export
- 75 restrictions magnify the impact of the price decline.
- Opening up new markets for American oil would create an
- 77 additional demand and spur increases in oil production.
- 78 According to a study from IHS, lifting the export ban would
- 79 create an average of 394,000 additional jobs through 2030
- 80 with a peak of 1 million in 2018. These are high-paying jobs
- 81 that would already have been in existence today except for
- 82 the ban on oil exports.
- It is worth noting that these jobs are not concentrated
- 84 in oil-producing regions but are spread throughout the
- 85 country. Many have raised concerns about the impact of oil

- 86 exports on the price of gasoline. However, a large number of
- 87 studies have concluded that oil exports would not raise
- 88 prices at the pump, and may actually lower them as more oil
- 89 becomes available on the world market.
- 90 The economic benefits alone should make lifting export
- 91 restrictions an easy choice, but the energy diplomacy and
- 92 security benefits are also an example of a valuable benefit.
- 93 Lifting oil export restrictions would allow the United States
- 94 to help counter the influence of Russia, OPEC, and
- 95 potentially Iran. And I think it is also ironic that the
- 96 recent agreement negotiated by the Obama administration which
- 97 will allow Iran to start exporting their oil products while
- 98 here in the United States, we are still prevented from doing
- 99 that.
- 100 The pro-exports consensus is a broad one and includes
- 101 groups as diverse as the Brookings Institution, the
- 102 Bipartisan Policy Center, The Heritage Foundation. Oil
- 103 exports also enjoy support from numerous high-ranking Obama
- 104 and Clinton Administration officials as well as many who
- 105 served under both of the Bush Administrations.
- 106 For the economic and geopolitical benefits of oil
- 107 exports, I urge my colleagues to take this long overdue step
- 108 and support H.R. 702.

109	[The prepared statement of Mr. Whitfield follows:]
110	******* COMMITTEE INSERT ********

111 Mr. {Whitfield.} And with that, I yield back the 112 balance of my time and would like to recognize at this time 113 the Ranking Member from Illinois, Mr. Rush, for his opening 114 statement. 115 Mr. {Rush.} I want to thank you, Mr. Chairman, for 116 holding this important markup on this important bill, H.R. 117 702, legislation to prohibit restrictions on the export of 118 crude oil introduced by my good friend and colleague, and I 119 might add, and in some minds, the greatest Congressman from 120 Texas next to Jim Wright. 121 Mr. {Barton.} What about Sam Rayburn? 122 Mr. {Rush.} Or Sam--123 Mr. {Barton.} What are you going to ask for? 124 Mr. {Rush.} Well, just hold on now. 125 Mr. {Whitfield.} He said Jim Wright and Sam Rayburn. 126 Mr. {Rush.} Don't be too hasty now. We are 127 negotiating, right? Mr. Chairman, this is an issue that I 128 have spent much time considering in light of the new American 129 energy renaissance and the increased production of both 130 natural gas and shale oil. I believe the time may be right 131 for modifications to our Nation's 40-year ban on crude oil 132 exports.

133 Mr. Chairman, as I have stated many times before, I support an all-of-the-above energy policy. In practice, that 134 135 means I wholeheartedly support President Obama's Climate 136 Action Plan including the joint announcement with China 137 establishing emissions carbon pollution reduction targets, 138 the national commitment to the Green Climate Fund and of 139 course the President's signature environmental achievement, 140 the Clean Power Plan. 141 Mr. Chairman, I believe each of these initiatives both 142 individually and collectively will go a long way in helping 143 to transition not only the United States but countries around 144 the globe to more sustainable, cleaner, and greener energy 145 sources. 146 With that said, Mr. Chairman, the reality is that fossil 147 fuels are going to be in the energy mix both domestically and 148 globally for the foreseeable future. And it is critically 149 important to my constituents and to the economy as a whole 150 that all segments of the population are able to benefit from 151 the tremendous opportunity available within the oil and gas 152 industries as well as the alternative energy industry. 153 So Mr. Chairman, while I am not able to support H.R. 702 154 at this very moment, my office has been working with and will 155 continue to work with my friend, the esteemed gentleman from

156 Texas, Mr. Barton's office, to see if we can come up with a 157 proposal that I am comfortable with that would warrant my support for lifting the ban. I think it would be beneficial 158 159 to have more time, Mr. Chairman, to fully discuss this issue 160 before the Full Committee. We need more time. Specifically, 161 Mr. Chairman, businesses around the country have repeatedly 162 reached out to my office for help in finding pathways to 163 securing prime contracts and subcontracts within the energy 164 supply chain. We all understand that awarding contracts to 165 minority firms can result in a multiplier effect on jobs and economic opportunities for communities that need assistance 166 167 the most. 168 Mr. Chairman, before opening the door to this global 169 market, I must feel confident that underrepresented 170 communities all around this country would indeed benefit from 171 the opportunities that will come from lifting this ban. 172 another way of speaking, Mr. Chairman, cut us in or just cut 173 it out. 174 So Mr. Chairman, I will remain engaged on this matter, 175 and I will maintain an open-door policy for stakeholders who would like to discuss either side and all sides of this 176 177 particular issue. Hopefully again, Mr. Chairman, the 178 majority will give us more time to work through these

- 179 important issues. It is my hope that by the time this bill 180 moves through the Full Committee, all of my concerns and all 181 the concerns of the members of this subcommittee on this side 182 of the aisle would have been addressed and we would be able 183 to fully confirm our stance affirmatively on this issue or 184 not affirmatively on this issue. 185 I thank you, Mr. Chairman. I yield back. 186 [The prepared statement of Mr. Rush follows:]
- 187 ********* COMMITTEE INSERT **********

188 Mr. {Whitfield.} Thank you, Mr. Rush. At this time I recognize the chairman of the Full Committee, Mr. Upton, for 189 190 5 minutes. 191 The {Chairman.} Well, thank you, Mr. Chairman. You 192 know, America's energy landscape has certainly changed 193 dramatically since 1975 when President Ford signed the export 194 ban into law. And few back then could have imagined a 195 domestic oil glut jeopardizing new drilling and the jobs that 196 go with it, but that is the situation many experts say we 197 face today. The growing supply of American oil is outpacing domestic demand and in fact needs a new outlet. 198 199 There is widespread support for oil exports. Left-200 leaning organizations like the Brookings Institution and the 201 Progressive Policy Institute have joined center and right-202 leaning groups in saying that oil exports would, in fact, 203 create jobs and boost economic growth. The CBO, GAO, and 204 most recently the Energy Information Administration have all 205 concluded that oil exports would not raise the price at the 206 pump and may actually save consumers money. And foreign 207 policy experts across the political spectrum have determined that oil exports would enhance America's standing in the 208

- 209 world. And I would note the President's agreement with Iran
- 210 now allows Iran to export oil as well.
- 211 So it is important that we pass this legislation through
- 212 the subcommittee today. I am glad to say that the chief
- 213 sponsor of the bill, Mr. Barton, I congratulate his
- 214 enthusiasm. He said that they would be able to work with
- 215 both sides, and without his efforts we would not be here
- 216 today. And I congratulate his efforts, and I look forward to
- 217 the vote that we have later this morning as the bill moves
- 218 through the legislative process. And I yield back.
- 219 [The prepared statement of Chairman Upton follows:]
- 220 ******** COMMITTEE INSERT *********

221 Mr. {Whitfield.} The gentleman yields back. At this 222 time I recognize the gentleman from New Jersey, Mr. Pallone, 223 for 5 minutes. 224 Mr. {Pallone.} Thank you, Chairman Whitfield, and also 225 our ranking member for today's markup. As I have said 226 before, it is not a bad idea to reconsider the merits of a 227 policy enacted in the wake of the 1973 oil embargo. 228 world is very different than it was 40 years ago. 229 Unfortunately I believe that the majority has rushed to mark 230 up this legislation, cutting this committee's consideration 231 short. 232 Our energy picture is evolving rapidly. The worldwide 233 crude oil prices are at their lowest level in 5 years. U.S. 234 gas prices have been hovering around \$2 per gallon, at least 235 in New Jersey. Domestic oil production has increased 236 dramatically in recent years while demand has declined, 237 thanks to increased fuel efficiency standards for our cars 238 and trucks. And all this is good news for consumers in the 239 near term. However, there is no quarantee that these 240 conditions will last. So I believe that it is imperative for 241 Congress to consider a host of factors before permanently 242 dismantling our Nation's ability to restrict oil exports as

- 243 proposed by H.R. 702.
- 244 First, we should consider the impacts on consumers. How
- 245 would easing restrictions affect the price of crude oil and
- 246 gasoline? Proponents argue that allowing unrestricted
- 247 exports of crude oil would lead to low oil prices and a lower
- 248 price at the pump. But a recent Energy Information
- 249 Administration study found that changes to U.S. oil export
- 250 policy will have little to no impact on the future price of
- 251 oil. What we do know is that changes in our crude oil policy
- 252 will lead to a significant payday for oil producers with
- 253 increases in annual profits approaching \$30 billion by 2025.
- 254 Increased crude exports certainly help oil companies but do
- 255 they really benefit the consumer?
- Next we should also consider the impacts on our refinery
- 257 capacity and associated jobs. Refinery capacity is a
- 258 critical element of our infrastructure and can be an
- 259 important source of good-paying, middle-class jobs. And both
- 260 our infrastructure and jobs have grown over the past 2 years
- 261 due to increased production. Both would be in jeopardy if we
- 262 hastily removed crude export restrictions, like the steel
- 263 workers. I want to keep and grow refining jobs here in the
- 264 United States. Unrestricted exports of crude oil could mean
- 265 exporting those jobs and losing out on critical investments

266 in future refining capacity.

267 And finally, Mr. Chairman, we must seriously consider 268 the environmental and climate impacts of lifting the export 269 In today's world, we can't consider energy policy as 270 distinct from environmental policy. They are linked. And 271 each is a facet of the other. Increasing crude oil exports 272 means increasing domestic production of crude oil which has 273 impacts on climate change, on public health and worker 274 safety, on property owners, and on protection of our water 275 supplies. Yet the bill before us prohibits any official of the Federal Government from imposing or enforcing any 276 277 restriction on the exports of crude oil in any circumstances, 278 not for reasons of national security, not for adverse 279 consumer impacts, not for public safety, and certainly not 280 for impacts to the environment. And I think this is 281 dangerous and misguided. Too often we eagerly embrace short-282 term profits and benefits without understanding the costs of 283 our actions. We should not make such a mistake again here. 284 Instead we should take the long view to ensure we fully 285 understand and consider the enduring consequences of our actions and choose the cleanest and most sustainable path 286 287 forward. And that is the essence of common sense energy 288 policy.

289	So I don't believe the potential impacts of H.R. 702 on
290	the economy, on consumers, and on the environment can be
291	considered acceptable. Therefore, I would urge my colleagues
292	to vote no on this legislation. And I would yield back the
293	balance of my time.
294	[The prepared statement of Mr. Pallone follows:]

******** COMMITTEE INSERT ********

295

296 Mr. {Whitfield.} The gentleman yields back. At this 297 time I recognize the gentleman from Texas, the primary 298 sponsor of the legislation, Mr. Barton, for 3 minutes. 299 Mr. {Barton.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you and 300 Chairman Upton for scheduling the markup today. I want to 301 thank Ranking Member Rush for his kind comments and open 302 mind. I want to thank Ranking Member Full Committee Pallone 303 for his reasoned thoughts about this issue. 304 This has been a long day coming, Mr. Chairman. 305 December of 1975 when President Ford signed the bill that 306 restricted exports of crude oil from the United States, we 307 were importing almost 2/3 of our oil. We didn't have the 308 capability to export crude oil. We had just come out of the 309 Arab oil embargo of the early '70s. We thought our oil base 310 was in decline. 311 Mr. Chairman, that is wrong. The United States of 312 America has the largest oil and gas reserve base of any 313 nation in the world including Russia and Saudi Arabia. Not 314 only that, Mr. Chairman, we have the best technology in the world, and not only that, Mr. Chairman, we have the best 315 316 workforce in the world, the best group of people that know 317 how to innovate and know how to utilize this resource base.

318 We are the only Nation in the world, Mr. Chairman, that has the capability to substantially increase our oil production. 319 320 The Saudis can't do it. The Russians can't do it. 321 Iraqis can't do it. The Iranians can't do it. The Mexicans 322 can't do it. No other nation in the world has the capability 323 that the United States of America has to substantially increase our oil production. But in order to do that, Mr. 324 325 Chairman, we simply must repeal this outdated ban on crude 326 oil exports. 327 The bill before us today would do that. It is a bipartisan bill. We have almost 10 percent of the Democratic 328 329 Caucus as an original co-sponsor of the bill. That is not 330 happenstance. Henry Cuellar from South Texas who has the 331 Eagle Ford shale in his Congressional District has been a 332 tireless worker encouraging Democrats to sign onto the bill. 333 Just this week the Blue Dog group in the House of 334 Representatives has endorsed the bill. How many bills do we 335 have in this Congress, Mr. Chairman, that the Blue Dogs have 336 endorsed on the Democratic side and the Republican Study 337 Committee has endorsed on the Republican side? Not many. 338 This is a simple issue. We have an abundance of crude 339 oil. Our refineries are running at 97 percent capacity, 97

percent. They are not going to lose refinery jobs if we

340

- 341 repeal the ban on crude oil exports. They are going to
- 342 maintain those jobs.
- 343 This is a bill that will help every state in the Union,
- 344 Mr. Chairman, not just Texas. The state that is second
- 345 helped--Texas is helped, but so is California. So is
- 346 Illinois. So is Missouri. Now you go across the board.
- 347 Every state in the Union will create jobs, create economic
- 348 activity if we pass this legislation.
- So Mr. Chairman, I am very happy that you are going to
- 350 mark the bill up, and I promise my friends on the Democratic
- 351 side, and they are my friends, it is going to be an open
- 352 process. It is going to be a bipartisan process. And
- 353 ultimately it is going to be a successful process. One of
- 354 the greatest moments I have had as a congressman, Mr.
- 355 Chairman, was taking the Energy Conference Report for the
- 356 2005 Energy Policy Act to the House Floor to have John
- 357 Dingell sign it. I hope that Chairman Upton gets to take a
- 358 conference report in the next 3 months and asks Mr. Pallone
- 359 to sign it. And at that time I hope that Frank will sign it.
- 360 With that, Mr. Chairman, I yield back.
- [The prepared statement of Mr. Barton follows:]

362 ********** COMMITTEE INSERT *********

363 Mr. {Whitfield.} The gentleman yields back. I would 364 remind all members also that opening statements will be part 365 of the record. And are there further opening statements at this point? The gentleman from Texas, Mr. Green, is 366 367 recognized for 3 minutes. 368 Mr. {Green.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and ranking 369 member for holding the markup today. Today we have to discuss an issue that is very important to the district I 370 371 represent. Over my 20 years in Congress, I have at one time 372 represented 5 refineries and still represent many people who 373 work there. But what people forget is Houston isn't the 374 refining capital of the world. We are actually the energy 375 capital of the world. Our district is home to many upstream 376 drillers, mid-stream transporters, and storage companies as 377 well. All these companies have a stake in the policies we 378 are discussing today. The producers are in the field 379 creating jobs and are directly responsible for the U.S. 380 position as the number one producer of oil and gas in the world. And Texas has a lot to do with that. 381 382 The pipeline and storage companies are building like 383 crazy in our district. I visited a facility last year in our 384 district that was completed. It will hold 700,000 barrels of

385 oil. Under current law, mid-stream companies are investing and build splitters to export condensate that is allowed 386 387 under current law. 388 I know approximately 10 announced projects that are set to come on line in the next 2 years. Under current law, our 389 390 refineries are investing in expanding. There have been 10 391 other announcements in the gulf and across the country that 392 would add additional capacity. Under current law, we can and 393 are exporting crude oil to Mexico and Canada, all these 394 thanks to the U.S. oil and gas production. 395 Our production has been an enormous boon to the economy 396 of our district, but I also know the upstream folks are facing domestically constrained markets in an internationally 397 398 challenging marketplace. So the United States lacks that 399 infrastructure. Infrastructure is needed to move the product 400 from non-traditional drilling areas to where the refining 401 capacities are. 402 North Dakota does not have a pipeline that leaves North 403 Dakota so everything comes out in either truck or train car. 404 We need to invest in pipeline capacity and hope that it will address our in this energy package. We also need to 405 406 understand the international marketplace and the complexity

407

of oil as a commodity.

408	I want to produce at home and provide for the domestic
409	needs and then supply our friends around the globe, but I
410	don't also want to become just a resource Nation and lose
411	these downstream jobs and manufacturing jobs that we have in
412	our refining and even our chemical industry.
413	I remember two empty office buildings in the 1970s, and
414	I sure liked those chemical and refinery jobs coming in our
415	district instead of leaving it.
416	I am open to working on the export issue, but we have to
417	create a policy that not only reflects the last 30 years but
418	also looks into the future for the next 30 years. As we move
419	forward to Full Committee, I encourage us to sit down and
420	engage in the issue and craft a common sense legislation that
421	will not just benefit producers but benefit everybody along
422	the supply line, including the consumers.
423	And with that I will yield back.
424	[The prepared statement of Mr. Green follows:]

********* COMMITTEE INSERT *********

425

426 Mr. {Whitfield.} The gentleman yields back. Are there 427 other members on the Republican side that would like to make an opening statement? The gentleman is recognized for 3 428 429 minutes. 430 Mr. {Flores.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. The American 431 energy revolution has dramatically improved our energy 432 security at home and overall economic opportunity for hard-433 working Americans. The United States is now the number one 434 producer of oil and gas in the world. Unfortunately current policies reflect a 1970s era of scarcity, and these policies 435 436 desperately need to be updated to reflect our current reality 437 of energy abundance. 438 Several major studies over the past year, including CBO, 439 IHS, Brookings, Columbia University, and the national 440 security experts from both Republican and Democratic 441 administrations all agree on a key issue: American consumers 442 and households all benefit if we repeal the outdated ban on 443 crude oil exports. 444 President Obama's former top economic advisor, Larry 445 Summers, even agrees that we need to lift this ban. It is 446 also notable that we are considering this bill today as 447 debate on the Iran deal is moving forward. Why are some now

- 448 so eager to lift Iranian sanctions to allow their oil to
- 449 access the world market, yet our own domestic producers
- 450 continue to face internal sanctions. Gasoline prices are set
- 451 by Brent global prices. Allowing our domestic producers to
- 452 increase global supply will bring overall energy prices down
- 453 for hardworking Americans.
- The administration's own Energy Information
- 455 Administration stated in a recent report that lifting the
- 456 crude export ban could reduce gasoline prices.
- So we talked about the long view here or you have heard
- 458 people mention the long view, and you have heard people talk
- 459 about trying to benefit their communities, particularly those
- 460 in lower income and lower middle-class families. Let's walk
- 461 through the benefits of this deal. This benefits American
- 462 consumers by overall energy prices from what they buy every
- 463 day. This helps those families get what they need today for
- 464 economic security.
- 465 Number two, it benefits the American energy producer and
- 466 allows them to further reinvest in our domestic energy
- 467 structure furthering our energy security.
- Number three, it benefits our geopolitical standing and
- 469 strengthens our ties with global friends and allies and hurts
- 470 those who are adverse to American interest.

471	And number four, for those people that care about the
472	downstream energy industry, think about this. This also
473	benefits our downstream refining community because lower
474	prices for their products stimulate high demand for their
475	products, their refined products. That gives them more
476	financial capital to reinvest in hiring skilled American
477	workers and to reinvesting in their operations. These are
478	four critical reasons where everybody wins out of this, and
479	think we need to keep these things in mind.
480	Mr. Chairman, thank you for holding today's markup, and
481	I hope that we have a strong bipartisan vote in favor of Mr.
482	Barton's bill. I yield back.
483	[The prepared statement of Mr. Flores follows:]

********* COMMITTEE INSERT ********

484

485 Mr. {Whitfield.} Thank you, Mr. Flores. At this time I 486 recognize the gentleman from Pennsylvania, Mr. Doyle, for 3 487 minutes. 488 Mr. {Doyle.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. The energy 489 landscape in this country and around the world has undeniably 490 changed since we first limited oil exports in 1975. Our 491 energy portfolio has diversified, adding new sources and 492 utilizing new technologies. But while we could talk for days 493 about the change we have seen in the energy sector over the 494 last 4 decades I think we need to talk about the incredible 495 change we have seen in just the past year. 496 A little over a year ago in the summer of 2014, West 497 Texas Intermediate Crude was trading at over \$100 a barrel. 498 Today it is below \$50. Our committee cannot just be 499 reactionary to oil prices. We need to think about the big picture, the next 5, 10, 20, or 50 years. And I think this 500 501 bill can have some real negative consequences now and in the 502 future. 503 People on this committee know I am an all-of-the-above guy when it comes to energy, and I voted in favor of many 504 505 bills from both sides of the aisle including dealing with 506 exports. But I have serious concerns about this bill. We

507 have been blessed with an incredible abundance of resources 508 in our country, but we have to make sure we are making smart 509 decisions on how to use them. And although we are very 510 fortunate with these abundant resources, let's not forget 511 that our country is still using much more oil that what we 512 are producing. 513 In June of this year the most recent data we have, the 514 United States used 16.9 million barrels of oil a day, but we 515 only produced 9.3 million barrels. So we talk about energy 516 independence, we are still a long ways from energy 517 independence when we have that kind of a spread. 518 Our top priority as a government needs to be ensuring 519 that America has a strong source of stable energy for years 520 and decades to come regardless of the political and religious 521 turmoil around the world. If we are going to export oil, we 522 need to do so prudently and ensure that we keep good family 523 sustaining jobs here at home. I know a lot of hard-working 524 men and women on the other side of my state whose family rely 525 on jobs in the refineries. Lifting the ban could shift their 526 jobs overseas along with our oil. The transportation of oil from the gulf to U.S. refineries also supports the American 527 528 shipbuilding industry and steel-making industry as well as jobs in the maritime trades. Lifting the ban could destroy a 529

- 530 lot of U.S. jobs in those industries, too. I think we need
- 531 to make sure that we do right by hard-working Americans in
- those jobs as well.
- 533 So in short, Mr. Chairman, I think this bill needs some
- 534 work, and I think we need some time to sit down and work on a
- 535 bill that takes all of these things into consideration before
- 536 we move forward to the full committee. I thank you, and I
- 537 yield back.
- [The prepared statement of Mr. Doyle follows:]
- 539 ******** COMMITTEE INSERT *********

540 Mr. {Whitfield.} The gentleman yields back. At this time I recognize the gentleman from Illinois, Mr. Shimkus, 541 542 for 3 minutes. 543 Mr. {Shimkus.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I will be 544 brief. First of all, this is a good bill, and it will help 545 continue to create jobs or save jobs because obviously in the 546 oil fields right now, there is a decline and a loss of jobs. 547 Illinois has the Illinois basin. We are marginal producers, 548 and our producers are asking us to lift this ban. Crude oil 549 is a commodity product just like corn or beans and should be 550 sold on the world market. The Brent pricing will be lower 551 based upon new supply that goes in. So the world price of 552 crude oil will go down. And the last thing that I talked 553 about quite a bit in all the hearings was more crude oil on 554 the world market really will help protect our allies and 555 friends from rogue nations that use crude oil to extort 556 public policy in an international arena. 557 And for that reason, I appreciate ranking member or I 558 mean Chairman Emeritus Barton's bill, and I look forward to support and I yield back my time. 559 560 [The prepared statement of Mr. Shimkus follows:]

561 ******** COMMITTEE INSERT *********

562 Mr. {Whitfield.} The gentleman yields back. At this time the chair recognizes the gentlelady from Florida, Ms. 563 564 Castor, for 3 minutes. 565 Ms. {Castor.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and good 566 morning. Members, as currently crafted, I believe this bill 567 is an unconscionable giveaway to the big oil companies at the expense of American consumers, America's national security 568 interest, and decades of U.S. policy to encourage America's 569 570 energy independence. You simply cannot ignore the fact that 571 America remains heavily dependent on imports of crude oil, 572 over 25 percent today. And although proponents argue that a 573 ban is in place, that is not accurate. Current law allows 574 thoughtful and strategic exports to our allies. And in fact, 575 those exports have been increased over the past few years. 576 Regarding consumers, any claims that sending American 577 oil overseas would help consumers are entirely unsupported. 578 Instead, what the studies show is that exporting American oil 579 would feed the uncertainty of oil markets and American 580 consumers. Further allowing more crude oil exports could result in \$8.7 billion less investment and U.S. refining 581 582 capacity over the next 10 years. 583 Those are American jobs, and CBO estimates that if the

584 restrictions on crude oil exports are lifted, the prices of 585 domestic light crude oil seen by some U.S. crude oil producers and petroleum refineries would rise. 586 587 When it comes to national security, we have got to be 588 very careful here because export of America's crude oil is 589 rife with unknown and unintended consequences. Who will 590 really benefit? It is likely the Chinese. We are currently 591 locked in a geopolitical struggle with China. China is known 592 for its exploitation of natural resources all across the 593 globe in Africa and South America. Why would we help them 594 gain a strategic foothold on America's natural resources? I 595 think that should give everyone pause. 596 My overriding concerns are with the American consumers 597 and America's national security, and I urge the committee to 598 carefully rethink the policy direction on this bill. And I 599 yield back. 600 [The prepared statement of Ms. Castor follows:]

******* COMMITTEE INSERT *********

601

```
Mr. {Whitfield.} The gentlelady yields back. Are there
602
603
    any other members seeking recognition for an opening
604
    statement?
         Seeing none, the Chair would call up H.R. 702 and ask
605
606
    the Clerk to report.
607
         The {Clerk.} H.R. 702, to adapt to changing crude oil
    market conditions.
608
609
          [The bill follows:]
610
    *********** INSERT 1 *********
```

611 Mr. {Whitfield.} Without objection, the first reading of the bill is dispensed with, and the bill will be open for 612 613 amendment at any point. So ordered. 614 Are there any bipartisan amendments to the bill? 615 Seeing none, are there any amendments to the bill? 616 Seeing none, Mr. Barton, really did his work. I can see 617 that. 618 The question now occurs on forwarding H.R. 702 to the 619 Full Committee. 620 All those in favor, say aye. 621 All those opposed, nay. 622 The ayes appear to have it. The ayes have it, and the 623 bill is favorably reported. 624 Without objection as staff is authorized to make 625 technical and conforming changes to the legislation approved 626 by the subcommittee today, so ordered. And without 627 objection, the subcommittee stands adjourned. [Whereupon, at 10:34 a.m., the Subcommittee was 628 629 adjourned.]