- 1 {York Stenographic Services, Inc.}
- 2 RPTS ALDINGER
- 3 HIF195.030
- 4 OVERSIGHT OF THE PIPELINE SAFETY, REGULATORY CERTAINTY, AND
- 5 JOB CREATION ACT OF 2011 AND RELATED ISSUES
- 6 TUESDAY, JULY 14, 2015
- 7 House of Representatives,
- 8 Subcommittee on Energy and Power
- 9 Committee on Energy and Commerce
- 10 Washington, D.C.

- 11 The subcommittee met, pursuant to call, at 10:16 a.m.,
- 12 in Room 2123 of the Rayburn House Office Building, Hon. Ed
- 13 Whitfield [Chairman of the Subcommittee] presiding.
- 14 Members present: Representatives Whitfield, Olson,
- 15 Shimkus, Pitts, Latta, Harper, McKinley, Kinzinger, Griffith,
- 16 Johnson, Long, Flores, Mullin, Hudson, Upton (ex officio),
- 17 Rush, McNerney, Tonko, Green, Capps, Sarbanes, Loebsack, and

- 18 Pallone (ex officio).
- 19 Staff present: Nick Abraham, Legislative Associate,
- 20 Energy and Power; Will Batson, Legislative Clerk; Leighton
- 21 Brown, Press Assistant; Allison Busbee, Policy Coordinator,
- 22 Energy and Power; Tom Hassenboehler, Chief Counsel, Energy
- 23 and Power; A.T. Johnston, Senior Policy Advisor; Brandon
- 24 Mooney, Professional Staff Member, Energy and Power; Mark
- 25 Ratner, Policy Advisor to the Chairman; Dan Schneider, Press
- 26 Secretary; Caitlin Haberman, Democratic Professional Staff
- 27 Member; Ashley Jones, Democratic Director of Communications,
- 28 Member Services and Outreach; Rick Hessler, Democratic Senior
- 29 Advisor and Staff Director, Energy and Environment; John
- 30 Marshall, Democratic Policy Coordinator; and Alexander
- 31 Ratner, Democratic Policy Analyst.

32 Mr. {Whitfield.} I would like to call the hearing to 33 order this morning, and I would like to recognize myself for 34 a 5-minute opening statement. 35 This morning, we are going to be conducting an oversight 36 hearing on the Pipeline Safety, Regulatory Certainty, and Job 37 Creation Act of 2011, and its implementation of the Pipeline 38 and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA). I 39 certainly want to welcome the interim Executive Director of 40 PHMSA, as well as a second panel that includes 41 representatives of the oil and natural gas industry, local 42 government and witnesses with other perspectives. It has been more than 3 years since the Pipeline Safety 43 44 Act was enacted, and PHMSA's implementation has not been 45 satisfactory. Many of the mandates; at least 17 out of 42 46 included in the Pipeline Safety Act, have not been completed, 47 including several described as key mandates with potentially 48 large impacts on pipeline operations nationwide. 49 potential consequences of these delays are serious. 50 a significant oil spill occurred in Santa Barbara, 51 California, and just days ago the same company reported another incident in Illinois. The committee has written 52

- 16 letters both to PHMSA and this company seeking more
 17 information. It cannot be said for certain that full
 18 implementation of the Pipeline Safety Act would have made a
 19 difference in Santa Barbara or any other individual oil spill
 19 or natural gas pipeline explosion. Nonetheless, we owe it to
 19 the American people to ensure that all reasonable steps are
 19 taken to ensure the safety of the Nation's pipelines. The
- 60 Pipeline Safety Act includes a number of such steps that have 61 yet to be put into action.
- The increase in domestic oil and natural gas production is clearly good news, but it does not present—but it does present significant infrastructure challenges. Whether it is oil, refined products, or natural gas, there is inherent risk in moving high volumes of product through aging and sometimes inadequate infrastructure. Part of the answer lies in constructing new pipelines and replacing old ones, but
- 69 perhaps even more important is applying new technology to 70 ensure the safety of the existing system.
- Many experts believe that pipelines are the safest means of transporting natural gas and liquid hydrocarbons, but the Santa Barbara spill and other recent incidents underscore the fact that there is considerable room for improvement. It is

- 75 important to understand why the timely implementation of the
- 76 Pipeline Safety Act has proven so difficult, and particularly
- 77 since more money has been given to PHMSA, and we would like
- 78 to know how PHMSA can expedite this matter.
- 79 And as we begin to look ahead to reauthorization of this
- 80 same statute, we are also interested in learning about
- 81 PHMSA's priorities and if additional legislative steps should
- 82 be taken.
- 83 [The prepared statement of Mr. Whitfield follows:]
- 84 ******** COMMITTEE INSERT ********

85 Mr. {Whitfield.} We have two panels of witnesses this 86 morning, and I will be introducing them right before they give their opening statement. 87 88 At this time, I would like to recognize the gentleman 89 from Illinois, Mr. Rush, for 5 minutes. Mr. {Rush.} Well, thank you, Mr. Chairman, for holding 90 91 this important and timely hearing today on the issue of 92 pipeline safety oversight. 93 Mr. Chairman, in the past, the issue of pipeline safety 94 has been one that we have worked on in a bipartisan matter, and it is my hope and my expectation that we will continue to 95 96 work on this important matter in the same tradition as we 97 address this very, very important issue in this Congress. 98 Mr. Chairman, unfortunately, the issue of pipeline 99 safety too often takes a backseat to other pressing matters 100 until there is an accident or there is a tragedy that focuses 101 the public's attention back on this issue. Of course, 102 today's hearings take place against a backdrop of the major 103 Santa Barbara pipeline breach that spilled 100,000 gallons of 104 crude oil in May, polluting the Pacific Ocean, damaging 105 Southern California beaches, and killing hundreds of

- 106 thousands of wildlife.
- 107 Additionally, Mr. Chairman, just over the weekend there
- 108 was another smaller, but no less troubling spill, in my home
- 109 state of Illinois from a station operated by the Plains All
- 110 American, the very same company that owned the California
- 111 pipeline that ruptured just 2 months ago. Two ruptures in 2
- 112 months, Mr. Chairman.
- 113 So, Mr. Chairman, while this subcommittee continues to
- 114 debate issues such as fast-tracking the pipeline permitting
- 115 process, I think that it is imperative that we also examine
- 116 the safety mechanisms we currently have in place to ensure
- 117 that they are working effectively. And whether there are
- 118 deficiencies in areas of resources or leadership, I believe
- 119 there is much more work to be done in order for Americans to
- 120 feel a greater sense of confidence in the agencies that are
- 121 responsible for pipeline safety oversight.
- Once again, Mr. Chairman, I applaud you for holding this
- 123 timely hearing today, and I look forward to hearing from all
- 124 of our expert witnesses.
- 125 And with that, I yield back. I yield to Mrs. Capps for
- 126 what time I have left.
- 127 [The prepared statement of Mr. Rush follows:]

128 ******** COMMITTEE INSERT *********

129 Mrs. {Capps.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you, 130 Ranking Member, Mr. Rush, for holding this hearing and giving 131 me the opportunity to provide an opening statement. 132 I want to thank Chairman Upton and Ranking Member 133 Pallone for a strong leadership within our committee on these 134 issues, and for working with me to incorporate oversight of 135 the recent oil spill in my district into this hearing. And I 136 want to welcome my constituent, Dianne Black, from the County 137 of Santa Barbara, who will be testifying on the second panel 138 today. 139 And as was already mentioned, nearly 2 months ago, over 140 100,000 gallons of crude oil spilled from the ruptured Plains 141 All American pipeline into the coastline of my district. The 142 oil gushed from the ruptured pipeline, flowed down a hill, 143 through a culvert, onto the beach and into the ocean along 144 the pristine Gaviota Coast. From there, tens of thousands of 145 gallons of oil spread for miles down the coast, closing 146 popular beaches, valuable fisheries, devastating wildlife, 147 and bringing back horrible memories of the Platform A 148 disaster more than 45 years ago. 149 Since that devastating oil spill in 1969, the Santa

- 150 Barbara community has dedicated itself to learning from that
- 151 tragedy and working to ensure that it does not happen again.
- 152 Sadly, even in a community as determined as ours, May 19
- 153 reminded us that spills are inevitable as long as we continue
- 154 to depend on oil for all of our--for so much of our energy
- needs.
- I know the Plains spill in my district certainly is not
- 157 the first pipeline failure, nor will it be the last. Time
- 158 and time again, we have seen oil and gas pipelines fail,
- 159 causing irreparable harm to lives, property, and the
- 160 environment. Just last Friday, as was mentioned as well, we
- 161 saw yet another oil spill in Illinois from a Plains pipeline;
- 162 the very same company responsible for the spill in my
- 163 district. These spills remind us that we have a
- 164 responsibility to do everything we can to prevent spills from
- happening, but also to be prepared to minimize the damage
- 166 when they do occur. That is why today's hearing and our work
- 167 to reauthorize federal pipeline safety programs are so
- 168 important. As a result of the Plains spill in my district we
- 169 have already gained valuable insights and identified
- 170 weaknesses that must be addressed.
- 171 I want to thank Chairman Upton, Ranking Member Pallone

172 for working with me to fully investigate this spill, ensure 173 this Administration is following through on its overdue 174 pipeline safety reforms. 175 Over the years, regardless of who is in the White House, 176 federal pipeline regulations have been weak and ineffective. 177 There is a reason that the company that built the pipeline 178 that ruptured in my district sued in 1998 to have it be 179 regulated by the Federal Government, rather than the County 180 of Santa Barbara. They knew federal regulators would ask 181 fewer questions, impose fewer restrictions. This cannot be 182 allowed to continue. I recognize progress has been made in recent years. 183 We still have a long way to go. While PHMSA has certainly 184 185 dragged its feet in implementing key reforms, Congress has 186 also failed to provide the agency with the resources it needs 187 to meet the growing demand. I often hear many of my 188 colleagues tout efforts to support the rapid growth in 189 domestic oil and gas development and pipeline construction in 190 recent years. While I don't share necessarily their 191 enthusiasm for this development, I hope we can all agree that 192 we must also support efforts to ensure federal regulators 193 have the resources they need to keep pace with this growth.

194 We simply can't have one without the other. 195 Mr. Chairman, these are just a few of many issues I hope 196 this committee can examine closely as we work to reauthorize 197 pipeline safety programs. These issues have traditionally 198 been very strongly bipartisan. I hope that continues 199 throughout this process. 200 And I apologize for going way over Mr. Rush's time, and 201 I yield back. 202 [The prepared statement of Mrs. Capps follows:]

******* COMMITTEE INSERT ********

203

204 Mr. {Whitfield.} The gentlelady yields. 205 At this time, recognize the gentleman of the full 206 committee, Mr. Upton, for 5 minutes. 207 The {Chairman.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 208 So today, this subcommittee returns to the very 209 important issue of pipeline safety, and I welcome the Interim 210 Executive Director Stacy Cummings of the Pipeline and--of 211 PHMSA to this hearing, and I look forward to the prompt 212 confirmation of a permanent administrator, as much work needs 213 to be done in the months ahead. 214 This committee has a long, bipartisan history on pipeline safety issues, including passage of the Pipeline 215 Safety, Regulatory Certainty, and Job Creation Act of 2011, 216 217 Upton-Dingell. That law held particular significance to me, 218 as it came in the aftermath of a serious oil spill into a 219 tributary of the Kalamazoo River just outside of my district 220 in Michigan. Following the spill, I worked closely with my 221 friend, John Dingell, on a bipartisan basis, as we also 222 worked closely with our friends on the Transportation and 223 Infrastructure Committee to get the Pipeline Safety Act on 224 the books, signed by President Obama. The law contains

225 numerous provisions designed to reduce the likelihood of 226 similar pipeline spills, and minimize the impact of those 227 when they do occur. However, the Pipeline Safety Act will 228 not achieve its primary objectives until it is fully 229 implemented, and I am most disappointed that more than 1/3 of 230 its requirements remain incomplete long after congressionally 231 mandated deadlines have passed. This includes several of the 232 law's most important mandates, such as automatic and remote-233 controlled shutoff valves, leak detection, accident and 234 incident notification, excess flow valves, and maximum 235 allowable operating pressure. Some of these provisions, I am 236 convinced, would have made a difference in the recent oil 237 spill in Santa Barbara had they been implemented by PHMSA in 238 a timely manner. 239 In the last couple of days, PHMSA has announced 240 proposals for two of these overdue mandates, and while these 241 late steps are certainly in the right direction, there is no 242 question something needs to change with the way PHMSA is 243 implementing the Pipeline Safety Act. I intend to ask 244 questions to find out what more Congress can do to speed up 245 the implementation of those requirements. 246

The urgency for pipeline safety is greater than ever.

247 With record levels of natural gas and liquid hydrocarbons 248 being produced in this country and throughout North America, 249 the volumes traversing pipelines are setting records. And 250 although pipelines are among the safest means of transport, 251 the Santa Barbara spill is a harsh reminder that rigorous 252 risk-based enforcement needs to be a priority. 253 This committee takes pipeline safety very seriously. 254 That is why we insist that new pipelines be built with state-255 of-the-art safety features. It is also why we passed the 256 Pipeline Safety Act to improve the safety of the 2-1/2 257 million miles of existing pipelines throughout the country. 258 This includes many old and potentially vulnerable pipelines, 259 such as one that carries oil beneath the Upper Peninsula and 260 the Lower Peninsula through the Straits of Mackinac in 261 I think we can all agree that it is much, much Michigan. 262 better to be in a position to prevent incidents before they 263 happen rather than to respond after they occur. 264 In the last hour, the State of Michigan released its own report on pipeline safety, including specific recommendation 265 266 on the Straits Pipelines, as well as other steps that can be 267 taken to improve safety, including a better relationship 268 between the state and PHMSA. I look forward to studying the

269 report and commend the state for its commitment to pipeline 270 safety. 271 As we look ahead to continued implementation of the 272 Pipeline Safety and to the law's reauthorization, we will 273 insist on greatly improved performance from PHMSA, and this 274 hearing is certainly an important step towards getting us to 275 where we need to be. 276 And I will yield the minute if any of my side wants a 277 minute. If not, I will yield back the balance of my time. 278 [The prepared statement of Mr. Upton follows:]

******* COMMITTEE INSERT *********

279

280 Mr. {Whitfield.} The gentleman yields back the balance 281 of his time. 282 At this time, recognize the gentleman from New Jersey, 283 Mr. Pallone, for an opening statement. 284 Mr. {Pallone.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I appreciate you and Mr. Rush holding this long-overdue oversight hearing 285 286 on the 2011 Pipeline Safety Act. 287 The vast network of transition pipelines in this country are considered by most Americans as out of sight and out of 288 289 mind, but when something goes wrong, the presence of these 290 facilities can make themselves known in the most devastating and sometimes deadly ways. Unfortunately, there are one too 291 292 many examples of this since 2010, first in San Bruno, 293 California, then in Marshall, Michigan, and most recently in 294 Santa Barbara. There the rupture of a Plains All American 295 pipeline spilled over 100,000 gallons of crude oil onto the 296 coastline. And I am no stranger to the damage that can be 297 caused by pipeline failures. Twenty-one years ago, a 298 pipeline exploded in my district in Edison, New Jersey, 299 destroying around 300 homes, melting cars, and lighting up 300 the sky from New York to Pennsylvania. Unfortunately, that

301 loud and powerful explosion was met with a staggering level 302 of inaction by the Department of Transportation's Office of 303 Pipeline Safety, and its parent organization, the Pipeline 304 and Hazardous Material Safety Administration, or PHMSA. 305 Two decades and four reauthorizations later, PHMSA has 306 made little progress. The shortfalls of the agency have 307 drawn the attention of industry and safety advocates alike, 308 as well as Democrats and Republicans. I am deeply concerned 309 about PHMSA's inability to carry out its mission, numerous 310 safety recommendations, or congressional mandates. Almost 5 311 years after the last reauthorization, it is especially 312 troubling how many mandates have yet to be implemented by the agency. Many of the outstanding requirements are critically 313 314 important to safety, such as those dealing with operating 315 pressure, leak detection, and automatic or remote-controlled 316 shut-off valves. The lack of inaction on automatic and 317 remote-controlled shut-off valves is particularly galling 318 considering the NTSB recommended expanded use of these damage 319 prevention technologies 20 years ago. I remember that during 320 the whole New Durham explosion, again, in my district in 321 Edison.

Even more troubling is the discovery that OMB is also to

322

323 blame. Last night, the committee inadvertently received a 324 version of PHMSA's testimony that had been marked up by OMB, 325 and OMB's comments clearly showed concern over being called-326 out over this outrageous delay, asking whether PHMSA has a 327 ``planned response to a question about why this rule has been 328 under EO 12866 review so long. If so, could you provide a 329 summary of that response?'' 330 Perhaps, Mr. Chairman, we need to get OMB up here to 331 explain to the American people and this committee as to why 332 they have held up these proposed rules for so long. Progress 333 must finally be made to help ensure the safety of our 334 pipeline system, and I hope that this hearing leads to that. 335 It is--I am not--I am about to yield, Mr. Chairman, but 336 I just want to say, you know, I was first elected to Congress 337 not long before that explosion in Edison, and we were very fortunate that -- I think one person had a heart attack and 338 339 lost their life because of the explosion, but there wasn't 340 anybody who directly was impacted, although, obviously, that 341 person was who had the heart attack. And for several years 342 after that, you know, we made a number of recommendations 343 including the remote shut-off valves, but you--I really feel 344 like, you know, there is a lot of hoopla when these

345	explosions or tragedies occur, but then not much happens
346	afterwards in terms of preventing them again. So hopefully,
347	we will get something out of this hearing today and the
348	committee's action.
349	Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I yield back.
350	[The prepared statement of Mr. Pallone follows:]
351	*********

352 Mr. {Whitfield.} The gentleman yields back. 353 And that concludes the opening statements. 354 So on our first panel, we have one person, and that is 355 Ms. Stacy Cummings, who is the Interim Executive Director for 356 the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration. 357 So, Ms. Cummings, if you would come forward, and you will be recognized for 5 minutes for your opening statement, 358 359 and at the end of that time, we will give members an 360 opportunity to ask you some questions. So thank you very 361 much for being with us, and be sure to get your microphone up 362 close and turn it on. And you are recognized for 5 minutes.

363 ^STATEMENT OF STACY CUMMINGS, INTERIM EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, 364 PIPELINE AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS SAFETY ADMINISTRATION 365 Ms. {Cummings.} Thank you for that introduction. 366 Chairman Upton, Ranking Member Pallone, Chairman 367 Whitfield, Ranking Member Rusk, members of the subcommittee, 368 thank you for inviting me to testify today on the Pipeline 369 and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration's progress in 370 implementing the Pipeline Safety Act of 2011, and thank you 371 for providing PHMSA with the tools we need to execute our 372 pipeline safety mission. 373 My name is Stacy Cummings. I am the Interim Executive 374 Director of PHMSA. In May, I traveled to the site of the 375 Plains Pipeline oil spill in Santa Barbara, witnessing for 376 myself its effect on the environment and nearby communities. 377 The spill disrupted businesses, threatened wildlife, and 378 impacted local residents and tourism. This spill was 379 unacceptable, and Americans deserve to be confident that the 380 pipelines in their communities are operating safely. 381 What I saw in Santa Barbara as well was PHMSA's rapid 382 and comprehensive response to the spill. Immediately

383 following notification, PHMSA personnel were on the scene, 384 where we remain actively involved now. Our inspectors 385 continue to conduct a comprehensive investigation into the 386 cause of this failure, and we continue to support the unified 387 command's spill response efforts, lead by the Coast Guard and 388 PHMSA quickly issued a corrective action order to 389 Plains Pipeline, and the affected pipeline remains shut down 390 under our authority. We will make sure that the operator 391 identifies the root cause of the failure, and mitigates any 392 additional risks before we allow them to restart that 393 pipeline. 394 I was very impressed by our PHMSA team in the western 395 region, as well as throughout the country. It is truly an 396 honor to lead a workforce so clearly dedicated to its safety 397 mission. Here in Washington, PHMSA continues to take action 398 to successfully meet the requirements of each mandate in the 399 Pipeline Safety Act. I speak for the entire agency when I 400 say that we share your concern and sense of urgency. We are 401 committee to satisfying every mandate. 402 The rulemaking process is methodical, inclusive, and 403 transparent. It enables PHMSA to fully consider stakeholder 404 input. In the past month, PHMSA has welcomed new executive

405 leadership, and with the support of the Office of Management 406 and Budget, we have issued two proposed rules and one final 407 Those rules address three mandates from the Pipeline rule. 408 Safety Act, and five NTSB recommendations. We continue to 409 work to advance significant rulemakings on natural gas and 410 hazardous liquid pipelines. These proposed rules are 411 anticipated to be published by the end of this year. But 412 rulemaking is not the only tool that PHMSA uses to improve 413 pipeline safety. As we saw in Santa Barbara, pipeline 414 failures don't wait on the rulemaking process, and neither 415 will PHMSA. We are first and foremost a safety agency. We 416 execute our mission on several fronts with one goal in mind; 417 to drive down the pipeline failure rate to zero. In addition 418 to developing safety regulations, we focus on reducing risk 419 by reaching out to the regulated community to ensure that 420 they both understand and comply with federal safety 421 regulations. We conduct integrated inspections, we hold 422 operators accountable through enforcement, we provide grants 423 to our local and state pipeline safety partners, and we also 424 fund research to develop innovative safety solutions. For 425 these reasons, pipeline failures are low probability events, 426 even ask risk factors increase. Unfortunately, when pipeline

- 427 failures do occur, they can be fatal and costly. At PHMSA, 428 we think that one pipeline failure is one too many. 429 PHMSA was founded just 10 years ago, and yet so much has 430 changed since then. Our Nation's energy supply and 431 transportation pipeline network continue to grow 432 exponentially. These changes are tremendous opportunities 433 for our Nation, and provide an opportunity for PHMSA to 434 evolve with the industry we regulate. Whether it is through 435 smarter data or funding research for better detection 436 technology, PHMSA will weigh and act on a range of options 437 for implementing innovative pipeline safety solutions. 438 is committed to working with this committee to ensure that we 439 are well positioned to adapt to a modern and evolving 440 infrastructure. Americans deserve to be confident that PHMSA 441 is protecting people and the environment. We take this 442 charge very seriously.
- [The prepared statement of Ms. Cummings follows:]

Again, thank you for your support. I look forward to

446 ************* INSERT 1 *********

answering your questions.

443

444

447 Mr. {Whitfield.} Ms. Cummings, thank you very much. 448 And I am going to defer my questions, but I am going to 449 recognize at this time Mr. Olson of Texas for 5 minutes. 450 Mr. {Olson.} I thank the chair. And welcome, Director 451 Cummings. It is always great to have someone who served our 452 Navy, as the daughter of a career naval officer. 453 Ms. {Cummings.} Thank you. 454 Mr. {Olson.} My home State of Texas is no stranger to pipelines. According to EIA, we have nearly 60,000 miles of 455 456 gas pipelines. Oil lines cover the state as well. They are 457 vital to our economy, to the Nation's economy, as they carry 458 the products that keep America moving. 459 It is not just oil and gas in pipelines. Water 460 pipelines can blow out as well. We saw that last year when 461 Sunset Boulevard became Sunset River. A 93-year-old pipeline 462 blew out, sending 20 billion gallons of water all over the 463 campus of UCLA. We know that pipelines are the safest way to 464 move oil and gas, but just like airplanes, when the worst happens, it can be tragic. People can be killed. Eight 465 people lost their lives in San Bruno. Eight people in their 466 467 homes. And that is why we should always keep moving forward

468 with pipeline safety. 469 It seems clear that PHMSA was never going to make our 470 deadlines that we imposed with the most recent Pipeline 471 Safety bill. And when PHMSA has a long list of new rules to 472 write, do you try to triage them and focus on the ones that 473 are more important to keeping people safe? How are you 474 working through that list? 475 Ms. {Cummings.} Thank you for that question, 476 Congressman. Yes, we had 42 mandates in the 2011 Pipeline Safety Act, of which we have completed 26. We are working on 477 478 every single one of those 42 mandates, and in the case of the 479 mandates that are going to be addressed through rulemakings, 480 we haven't been waiting on the rulemaking process to get 481 information out to our stakeholders, to the industry, and to 482 the public. We have sanctioned studies, and we have issued 483 reports to Congress. We have held public meetings and 484 workshops. We have issued advance notices of proposed 485 rulemaking to help us gather stakeholder data, and in some 486 cases we have proposed rules that we have issued, like the 2 487 that we were able to issue in the last month. Those are all 488 very important ways that we get information out to the

stakeholders and to industry as we continue to work to

489

- 490 fulfill the remainder of the 42 mandates, again, many of them
- 491 with formal rules that we are in process working on.
- 492 Mr. {Olson.} So 42; 26 down, 16 to go--
- 493 Ms. {Cummings.} Right.
- 494 Mr. {Olson.} --if my math is right. Keep working hard
- 495 on that.
- 496 Ms. {Cummings.} We will.
- 497 Mr. {Olson.} My second question, I hope to ask this to
- 498 the second panel as well, how--if I call the city hall in the
- 499 village of Pleak back home in Texas, and ask Mayor Bittner or
- 500 Fire Chief Gania has PHMSA reached out to you about pipeline
- 501 safety, what do you think they will say? Put it another way,
- 502 how much have you done outreach to the first responders and
- 503 leaders at local communities?
- Ms. {Cummings.} I hope that what he will say is, yes,
- 505 absolutely, PHMSA has reached out. We reach out to our
- 506 stakeholders in many ways. I mentioned a couple of them
- 507 being public meetings and workshops. We partner with the
- 508 first responder community to make sure that they have access
- 509 to training. We also have several grants. About 1/3 of our
- 510 budget goes to grants. And some of those grants are
- 511 technical assistance grants where the mayor or the first

512 responder community can actually apply for a grant with PHMSA 513 to get technical assistance to provide training, to do 514 outreach to the public, to invest in equipment that is going 515 to help them respond to a pipeline accident if it were to 516 occur. So there are many opportunities for stakeholders such 517 as your mayor and the first responder community to interact 518 with PHMSA, and for us to help them be prepared. Mr. {Olson.} I will check with them and confirm those. 519 520 Ms. {Cummings.} Thank you. 521 Mr. {Olson.} Great, thanks for that answer. 522 question, you have always kept the focus on risk-based 523 standards over the years, and that is appropriate, but how does this risk-based standards agenda move forward, evolve 524 525 with these new rulemakings? 526 Ms. {Cummings.} One of the most important changes to 527 the pipeline safety program over the last 10 to 15 years has 528 been our implementation of integrity management. Integrity 529 management is a risk-based approach where we require the 530 operator to identify, assess, and mitigate risks associated 531 with their specific pipeline. The operator is in the best 532 position to understand the uniqueness of the location, the 533 condition of their pipeline, as well as what is moving

- 534 through their pipeline. And so our program for integrity
- 535 management is our risk-based approach, and we are going to
- 536 continue to improve it, enhance it, and expand it through the
- 537 rulemakings that you are going to be seen proposed over the
- 538 next year.
- Mr. {Olson.} Thank you.
- I am out of time. Yield back.
- Mr. {Whitfield.} At this time, recognize the gentleman
- 542 from Illinois, Mr. Rush, for 5 minutes.
- Mr. {Rush.} Ms. Cummings, I really want to thank you so
- 544 much for being here today with us, and I know your job is not
- 545 an easy one and you are the Interim Executive Director, and I
- 546 enjoyed our discussions--recent discussions.
- 547 And I would like to-before I begin, if you give me an
- 548 update on the pipeline meeting that happened on Friday near
- 549 Highland, Illinois. Is the spill contained. And I have
- 550 heard that the oil has reached a tributary of Silver Lake
- 551 concerning the water supply for the citizens of Highland, and
- 552 I would like to know if the water supply is safe, has it been
- 553 affected, and give me an update if you will on the clean-up
- 554 efforts that your--that PHMSA is conducting there or
- overseeing there near Highland, Illinois.

- 556 Ms. {Cummings.} Happy to, and thank you for the 557 question. 558 Mr. {Rush.} Yeah. 559 Ms. {Cummings.} On Friday in Pocahontas, Illinois, a pipeline fitting a pump station blew out, discharging about 560 561 100 barrels of crude onto the ground. The spill did migrate 562 down a ditch into a creek, as you mentioned, and it did 563 threaten the water source. It was heading in the direction 564 of a reservoir that held a water source for Highland, Illinois. We were notified of the spill through the National 565 566 Response Center, which is the appropriate way to be notified. 567 We immediately sent a PHMSA investigator to be on the scene, 568 and that investigator was on the scene by 4:00 p.m. that very 569 An incident command center was set up. The State of 570 Illinois had the lead on that. And what they did was they 571 managed the response, they immediately went in to stop the 572 flow of oil, and they were able to stop the flow of oil 573 before it got to the point where it threatened the water 574 source. So as was confirmed to me yesterday through an 575 update from our team, the water source was--oil did not get 576 into the water source--into that reservoir.
- 577 The clean-up effort did work. They used booms, and then

578 for the past several days, they have been using different 579 methods to recover the oil. And they are in the process of 580 continuing to do that. 581 I can get you a very, very specific update in writing 582 for the record if you would like, but I would like to point 583 out that this was Plains Pipeline, which is the same operator 584 from the Santa Barbara spill. 585 Today, we are issuing a corrective action order to 586 Plains specifically related to this spill in Illinois, and I 587 sent a letter yesterday to the CEO of Plains Pipeline, and he 588 and his team are going to be here in D.C. at PHMSA, and we 589 are going to talk to them on Friday about their safety 590 record, safety culture, and what they are doing to address 591 these two issues, but also the safety of their entire system. 592 Mr. {Rush.} In your opinion, do most mayors, county 593 executives, local leaders even know what pipelines are in 594 their jurisdiction and what those pipelines are carrying, and 595 if they wanted to obtain this information, can they get it 596 from PHMSA? 597 Ms. {Cummings.} Yes. We have an online tool called the 598 National Pipeline Mapping System, NPMS. That tool in its

entirety is limited in access, but what we do is we have

599

- 600 individual access for people based on the information that
- 601 they need to know. So a local executive, a local emergency
- 602 responder, or a state-level emergency responder or executive
- 603 would have the ability to apply for a password--
- 604 Mr. {Rush.} Um-hum.
- Ms. {Cummings.} --and they would have role-based access
- 606 to the information that they need to identify what pipelines
- 607 are in their area. And if anybody needs any help accessing
- 608 that or getting access, we would be happy to provide that
- 609 assistance.
- 610 Mr. {Rush.} Can you--most people--most citizens of my
- 611 state would really be surprised to know the number of
- 612 pipelines, an enormous amount of pipelines that are located
- 613 in the State of Illinois. Do you have any idea about the
- 614 percentage of the Nation's pipelines that go through the
- 615 State of Illinois?
- Ms. {Cummings.} I think you asked the percentage of the
- 617 2.6 million?
- 618 Mr. {Rush.} Yes.
- 619 Ms. {Cummings.} No, I can't tell you the exact
- 620 percentage. I can absolutely get you that for the record,
- 621 but I agree with you, there are many pipelines in the State

- 622 of Illinois that we regulate as well as that are regulated by
- 623 the state.
- Mr. {Rush.} I was told, Mr. Chairman, that in one part
- of my district, Will County, 1/8 of the state's entire
- 626 pipelines go through--and this is a small quadrant of my
- 627 district in the State of Illinois.
- I want to thank you again, Ms. Cummings. And I yield
- 629 back, Mr. Chairman.
- 630 Mr. {Whitfield.} At this time, recognize the chairman
- of the full committee, Mr. Upton, for 5 minutes.
- The {Chairman.} Again, appreciate the hearing. And as
- 633 I indicated in my statement, there are a number of us that
- 634 are not happy with the failure to really implement a number
- 635 of the issues that were signed into law a number of years
- 636 ago. Literally about 1/3 as we calculate, 1/3 of some of
- 637 those regulations.
- So I guess I have a question. As we look to
- 639 reauthorizing this bill, which, as you know, expires the end
- 640 of September, should we provide--should we be providing more
- 641 direction to PHMSA to order the -- to prioritize the
- 642 outstanding mandates, or should we allow PHMSA to finalize
- 643 the regs required by the 2011 law before determining what if-

- 644 -changes need to be made? What is your preferred--what is 645 your suggested course? 646 Ms. {Cummings.} We do have significant progress that we 647 have made on the mandates, over and above the ones that we 648 have completed, and we do appreciate your patience in 649 completing those mandates. Every single one of them is 650 critical and important for pipeline safety. Each one of 651 those mandates are as important to PHMSA as they are to you, 652 and we have a plan moving forward to complete them. We do think that during the reauthorization process, we 653 654 would like to sit down with the committee staff, we would like to sit down with you, talk about specific ways that we 655 656 can use reauthorization to help us expand pipeline safety, 657 improve pipeline safety, but we do recognize that we have a 658 lot of work to do and we are very, very focused on it, and we 659 have a plan to complete every one of these mandates. 660 The {Chairman.} So one of the easiest ones, in my book, 661 to implement was the change that we did that a company had to 662 report formally, on a timely basis--
- Ms. {Cummings.} Um-hum.
- The {Chairman.} --and we changed that to say it had to
- 665 be within an hour.

666 Ms. {Cummings.} Um-hum. 667 The {Chairman.} We look at the Santa Barbara spill a 668 couple of weeks ago, and that spill, as I understand it from 669 talking to Lois Capps, what went on for many, many hours. Ι 670 mean how is it not easy to say it has to be done within 1 671 hour, and--you know, it doesn't happen, here we are 4 years 672 later. I mean that was one of the big issues that we had in 673 the Kalamazoo River spill in Calhoun County back in 2010. A 674 company--they knew--they did know that it was going on but they didn't--you know, they didn't report it until even 675 676 perhaps a couple of days later. \$1 billion in clean-up. 677 Ms. {Cummings.} PHMSA agrees that timely notification is absolutely critical because the sooner we begin response 678 679 in the rare but -- and unfortunate circumstance of a pipeline 680 rupture. Earlier this month, we issued a proposed rule that will require operators to notify as soon as practicable, but 681 682 not more than 1 hour after a detection--after they have 683 detected a release, to the National Response Center. So we are proud to have gotten that proposed rule issued. 684 issued earlier this month. 685 The {Chairman.} But why did it take so long? I mean it 686 687 was--that was the intent, I mean that was the--what we

```
688
     discussed. I mean it was in black and white--
689
          Ms. {Cummings.} Um-hum.
690
          The {Chairman.} -- and 4 years--
691
          Ms. {Cummings.}
                          I--
692
          The {Chairman.} --I mean is OMB that slow? Do I need
693
     to go back to Sylvia Burwell, when she was there, to--
          Ms. {Cummings.} I understand your--and I sense your
694
695
     frustration that it has taken us this long to have the
696
     proposed rule out, but I would like to point out that we have
697
     issued multiple safety advisories, and we have shared with
698
     the industry how critical it is that time--for timely
699
     notification.
700
          As we execute the investigation into the incident at
701
     Santa Barbara, and also in Illinois, we are absolutely going
702
     to be looking at timelines and notification as part of our
703
     corrective action order, and we do expect operators to be
704
     notifying the NRC as soon as possible so that they can begin
705
     that clean-up.
706
          The {Chairman.} Okay, let me ask one last question in
707
     my remaining minute. In the current Integrity Management
708
     Program for inspecting for oil and gas pipelines, is there a
709
     priority for increased inspections or shut-off valves on
```

- 710 pipelines that are over 30 years old? So not new ones--
- 711 Ms. {Cummings.} Um-hum.
- 712 The {Chairman.} --but--the ones that were made more
- 713 than 30 years ago, or more than a mile under water. So I
- 714 look at the difference between--in the Straits of Mackinac,
- 715 it is more than a mile. I look at the Chesapeake Bay, I am
- 716 not sure if there are pipelines there or not. I look at
- 717 pipelines that are going out in the ocean. Is there any
- 718 regulations that are pending or that you are reviewing that
- 719 would look at existing pipelines of somewhat older nature--
- 720 Ms. {Cummings.} Um-hum.
- 721 The {Chairman.} --in certainly environmentally
- 722 sensitive area, and if not, should we be looking at those
- 723 here as we look to reauthorize the bill?
- 724 Ms. {Cummings.} I am going to ask if I can respond to
- 725 you on the record on that specific question on whether or not
- 726 that is in the regs now or the regs that we are planning.
- 727 The {Chairman.} Do you think that is a good idea that
- 728 we do that?
- 729 Ms. {Cummings.} I think that a risk-based approach
- 730 looks at probability and consequence, and that we should be
- 731 looking at everything that impacts the probability of a

- 732 pipeline failure as well as the consequence. So proximity to
- 733 water as well as age impact both likelihood and consequence.
- 734 So those sound like intriguing ideas, but I would definitely
- 735 like to talk to the technical experts before providing you
- 736 with a specific--
- 737 The {Chairman.} I look forward to your response.
- 738 Ms. {Cummings.} Thank you so much.
- 739 The {Chairman.} Thank you. I yield back.
- 740 Mr. {Whitfield.} At this time, the chair recognizes the
- 741 gentleman from California, Mr. McNerney, for 5 minutes.
- 742 Mr. {McNerney.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And thank
- 743 you, Ms. Cummings, for testifying this morning.
- I would like to know a little bit about how you
- 745 prioritize your inspections. Is there a way for you to
- 746 determine what pipelines are most at risk? Could you explain
- 747 that a little please?
- 748 Ms. {Cummings.} Yes, absolutely. Thank you. We have--
- 749 we prioritize our inspections using a risk model driven by
- 750 data, and so we have about 26 different elements that look at
- 751 the condition of the pipe, the age of the pipe, what is being
- 752 moved in the pipe, as well as past performance, and how--what
- 753 information we have learned through the inspections that we

```
754 have already provided. And we use that information and put
```

- 755 it into an algorithm, and that algorithm gives us basically
- 756 advice on which pipelines--companies, which operators, we
- 757 should be inspecting. We then have our regional directors
- 758 who are experts and who really know the system, use that
- 759 information as input to create their annual plan to make sure
- 760 that we are visiting those pipeline operators that at higher
- 761 risk more frequently--
- 762 Mr. {McNerney.} Okay.
- 763 Ms. {Cummings.} -- and to make sure that we are--
- 764 Mr. {McNerney.} So how much transparency is--does that
- 765 algorithm have? Is that something that is publicly
- 766 available?
- 767 Ms. {Cummings.} I am not sure that it is publicly
- 768 available, but I would be happy to schedule a briefing with
- 769 you or your staff to go over that algorithm and how we use
- 770 it.
- 771 Mr. {McNerney.} Okay, that might be a good idea.
- 772 Ms. {Cummings.} Sure.
- 773 Mr. {McNerney.} Is PHMSA moving forward with
- 774 regulations on automatic shut-down valves and remote-control
- 775 valves?

```
776
          Ms. {Cummings.} We are. In 2012, we provided a report
777
     to this committee. It helped us to inform our thinking on
778
     automatic shut-off valves, remote-controlled shut-off valves,
779
     and other safety technology, to the technical feasibility of
780
     it, the operational feasibility, as well as economic. So we
781
    are working on several rules right now. One of them looking
782
     at specifically leak detection in valves. The others looking
783
     at how we can improve integrity management, which does
784
     include the operator evaluating the usefulness and the safety
785
    benefit of valves. So we are working on that, and we hope to
786
     get those proposed rules issued--
          Mr. {McNerney.} Okay, thank you.
787
788
          Ms. {Cummings.} --as soon as possible.
789
          Mr. {McNerney.} How is PHMSA doing with regard to
790
     controlling fugitive gas emissions and safety of pipelines
791
     that are serving fracking production?
792
          Ms. {Cummings.} Can I get back to you on the record on
793
     that question?
794
          Mr. {McNerney.} I suppose you can. In fact, yes, I
795
     would sav--
796
          Ms. {Cummings.} Thank you.
```

Mr. {McNerney.} --you should.

797

```
798
          Ms. {Cummings.}
                          Thank you.
799
          Mr. {McNerney.} Does PHMSA have the resources to
800
     complete rulemaking on a timely basis?
801
          Ms. {Cummings.} We are so grateful that in 2015, our
802
    budget provided us with the resources we need to hire 109 new
803
    people for the pipeline program. While 80 percent of those
    positions are going to be resources in the field to support
804
805
     inspections and enforcement, 20 percent of them are going to
806
    be at headquarters, and those positions are specifically
807
     going to help support our regulatory agenda, economic
808
     analysis, training, certification, and support of our state
809
    partners.
810
          Mr. {McNerney.} So, now, these are--you said you hired
811
     100-and-some people, are those mostly technical people, or
812
     are they management?
813
                                    They are almost--a great
          Ms. {Cummings.} Um-hum.
814
    proportion of them are technical, yes. So in the field, the
815
     80 percent of the 109; engineers, auditors, inspectors, and
816
     enforcement tech.
817
          Mr. {McNerney.} What about the -- what are the
     requirements for PHMSA issuing a corrective action order?
818
```

Ms. {Cummings.} The requirement for a correction--

819

- 820 corrective action order is imminent hazard, and that is part
- 821 of our statutory authority. So the time when you will most
- 822 likely see us issue a corrective action order is going to be
- 823 after a pipeline failure, such as the one in Santa Barbara or
- 824 the one in Illinois.
- Mr. {McNerney.} But you can and have issued those
- 826 before failures?
- Ms. {Cummings.} We can if we have evidence of an
- 828 imminent hazard, but more likely it is going to be issued
- 829 after. And--
- 830 Mr. {McNerney.} So you don't have any historical
- 831 examples of issuing one before an accident?
- Ms. {Cummings.} I don't have any examples for you right
- 833 now. I will ask my technical team and get back to you on the
- 834 record if we have an example of that.
- Mr. {McNerney.} Well, okay. In the remaining 40
- 836 seconds, please explain a little bit about the rulemaking
- 837 process.
- 838 Ms. {Cummings.} Um-hum, sure. Before we are ready to
- 839 issue a rule, and we are putting together the information, we
- 840 are generally going to do public workshops, we are going to
- 841 do studies, and sometimes we are going to issue an advanced

- 842 notice of proposed rulemaking, which is more of a wide open 843 request to stakeholders to provide us information to inform 844 the rulemaking process. We are going to take all that 845 information, we are going to put it together into a rule that 846 has the safety requirements, safety benefits, and also the 847 economic benefits. So what is the cost versus the safety 848 benefit of the regulation? We issue that proposed regulation 849 to the Federal Register. Again, we get stakeholder input. 850 We put that stakeholder input together, and we have 2 851 advisory committees that we consult with at--when we are 852 moving towards the final rule stage. We use them to advise us on the benefit of the rule, the safety requirements that 853 854 we have put together, as well as the operational ability to 855 implement, and then the economics, the cost of it. Once we issue a final--856 857 Mr. {McNerney.} I am going to have to yield back, Mr.--858 Ms. {Cummings.} Okay. Thank you. 859 Mr. {McNerney.} I have run out of time. Thank you, Mr. 860 Chairman.
- Mr. {Whitfield.} She was giving great detail.
- At this time, I recognize the gentleman from Illinois,
- 863 Mr. Shimkus, for 5 minutes.

- 864 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And welcome, Mr. {Shimkus.} 865 Ms. Cummings. 866 Ms. {Cummings.} Thank you. 867 Mr. {Shimkus.} The spill in Illinois is located in my 868 district. I talked with the city manager over--actually, 869 yesterday morning. I think one of the interesting things was 870 Keystone goes through the -- that area also, and Keystone had 871 provided them with a response trailer in which they were able 872 to deploy with their firefighters to ensure the protection of the water supply, which is called Silver Lake. And so I 873 874 would concur with what you concluded, that they--there is no threat to their drinking water. And their response was as 875 per you would expect when you have pipelines. We do have a 876 877 lot of pipelines. We have the Patoka Terminal. So these 878 things happen, and they are regretful, but we--the issue is 879 responding. I think those of us who were here when we passed 880 the last piece of legislation were hoping that -- we know we 881 are not going to be perfect, but we want, obviously, to have 882 a better process in place to be able to ensure that we can 883 identify these before the accident occurs. Hence, all these
- The first issue that I would like--you have answered

884

lines of questions.

886 some of them in your testimony, but could any of the Pipeline 887 Safety Act mandates have made a difference, do you know, in 888 the recent pipeline breaks, whether that is in California or 889 the one recently in Illinois? 890 Ms. {Cummings.} Because those two investigations are 891 still going on, I don't think I can presuppose what the cause 892 was, but we will absolutely be looking through our 893 investigation at the condition of the pipe, the -- we will be 894 looking at their inline inspection results, we will be 895 looking at what happened in the control room, how guick were 896 they to respond, did they follow their emergency response 897 plans, and the--as well as the placement and how quick they 898 closed valves and pumping stations. So I would be happy to, 899 once the investigation is complete, do an analysis of the 900 results compared to the rulemakings and the mandates that we 901 currently have--902 Mr. {Shimkus.} Yeah, that would be helpful. I think--I 903 can't speak for California, but I know Plains put up a Web 904 site to give the public access, whoever knew about it, you 905 know, to go to the Web site to get a current update on their 906 response, and I think that has been very helpful.

I was going to ask about where--but you answered this

907

- already too, that you--there will be a correction action order probably conducted.

 Ms. {Cummings.} Um-hum.
- 911 Mr. {Shimkus.} Can you talk through some of the
- 912 criteria that may be involved in that and maybe some of the
- 913 benchmarks, because now what we want to do is make sure there
- 914 is some certainty--
- 915 Ms. {Cummings.} Um-hum.
- 916 Mr. {Shimkus.} --obviously, from the local community
- 917 that it is ready to go and up and running?
- 918 Ms. {Cummings.} Absolutely. The corrective action
- 919 order is being issued today by our regional director to
- 920 Plains. And so I can tell you in general what is going to be
- 921 in it. The--they were still working on it, the specifics, as
- 922 I was coming over to this hearing. But we are going to be
- 923 looking at what was the cause. We are going to be looking
- 924 at--because it was a pipe fitting, to look and see where else
- 925 in the network those pipe fittings are so that we can make
- 926 sure that this event won't happen somewhere else on the
- 927 network--on the pipeline network. We are going to be looking
- 928 at their emergency response notification, when did they
- 929 notify, what was the timing, when did they know. And we will

930 be looking for them to use third party analysis similar to 931 what we have required from Plains in Santa Barbara, and 932 provide those results to us so that we can perform our 933 investigation. Mr. {Shimkus.} And lastly, we understand that you are 934 935 having difficulty collecting data from states and localities 936 about drinking water supplies and other ecological 937 information. How can we help, or what can we do to help get 938 the states to provide the information you need to do based 939 upon previous--past legislation and your intent on analysis? 940 Ms. {Cummings.} Right. Yes, through our National 941 Pipeline Mapping System, in trying to complete the mandates, 942 and also just create a good system that we can use but also 943 that is transparent, we have had some issues getting access 944 to the right data at a--especially in a cost-effective 945 manner. We would love help in figuring out the best way to 946 get that data. We are looking at information collections 947 right now, but I would love to take you up on your offer, and 948 maybe through our reauthorization discussion or just 949 individually, if we can come up with some ways to make that 950 path cleaner, that would be great.

Mr. {Shimkus.} Well, and that is why we have these

951

- 952 hearings too, to find out ways we can work together and be
- 953 helpful. So I am sure the committee is taking notes, and we
- 954 can follow up with that.
- 955 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I yield back.
- 956 Mr. {Whitfield.} The gentleman yields back.
- 957 At this time, recognize the gentleman from New Jersey,
- 958 Mr. Pallone, for 5 minutes.
- 959 Mr. {Pallone.} In the--Ms. Cummings, in the 2002
- 960 reauthorization, Congress added language to Section 60112 of
- 961 the law to make it easier for the Secretary to take
- 962 corrective action against a pipeline that poses a threat to
- 963 life, property, or the environment, and because the
- 964 department doesn't issue licenses to pipeline operators, this
- 965 authority is perhaps the closest tool the Secretary has to
- 966 suspending or revoking a license. I know that PHMSA used
- 967 this authority to address threats posed to Santa Barbara by
- 968 two of the lines operated by Plains All American, but I am
- 969 concerned that perhaps the hurdle for using this authority is
- 970 still too high, and that the Secretary isn't able to take
- 971 advantage of it as often as may be needed to protect the
- 972 public and our environment.
- 973 So my question is, do you need more flexibility or

974 different, more useable tools to quickly address the threat 975 of unsafe facilities? 976 Ms. {Cummings.} The imminent hazard requirement is what 977 we would use in order to issue a corrective action order in 978 order to shut down a pipeline. We do have a lot of tools in 979 our toolbox, but I think--I would very much like to have the 980 opportunity to talk in more detail about that specifically, 981 and whether or not there was a way for us to use that 982 emergency in advance of an accident. That is something we 983 would like to talk about in the future. But we are not 984 afraid to use corrective actions when we need to--corrective 985 action orders, and we have a lot of other tools in our 986 toolbox that we continue to use for strong enforcement. 987 Mr. {Pallone.} All right. And Congress will need to 988 reauthorize the Pipeline Safety Act soon, and yet, as a 989 number of us noted in our opening statements, PHMSA has yet 990 to complete some of the most critical rulemakings mandated by 991 the 2011 Act, including work surrounding leak detection, a 992 very important matter in light of the recent incident in 993 Santa Barbara. Not only does the Pipeline Safety Trust flag 994 this inability to complete its rulemakings as a problem, but 995 industry also views this as a matter that is hurting safety,

996 as evidenced in Mr. Santa's testimony. My understanding is 997 that these rulemakings have been held up in review at OMB due 998 to open cost benefit analysis questions. So if you could 999 answer my question. What are these open benefit cost 1000 analysis questions referred to in the comment? 1001 Ms. {Cummings.} Well, we work with our interagency 1002 partners, OMB being one of those partners, to ensure that we 1003 are speaking with one voice on policy matters. We value the 1004 input of OMB. Whenever we go through the process of working 1005 a rule through OMB, it always ends up being a better product. 1006 Some of the things that they would ask us questions about 1007 through the rulemaking process are going to be what are the 1008 assumptions that we used in order to generate the cost versus 1009 the benefit. They are going to be looking at the data that 1010 we used to justify the benefit that we have calculated. And 1011 we always get good information from OMB, and we reply as 1012 quickly as we possibly can, as do they, we work together to 1013 come up with the best possible rule. It is very important to 1014 us that when we do issue a rule, we get it right. 1015 Mr. {Pallone.} But how can these questions remain open 1016 for so long, and how have you tried to resolve them, and 1017 maybe most importantly, how can we help you? I mean perhaps

1018 it is not your agency's fault, these rules have yet to see 1019 the light of day, but you need to tell us what is going on 1020 and how we can help you get the job done. 1021 Ms. {Cummings.} I appreciate that offer for support 1022 from this committee. We believe that we have a very good 1023 relationship with OMB, and as ii said, we believe we get very 1024 valuable information back through the process. In my 1025 experience, we have been working very closely with OMB and 1026 they have been very cooperative in helping us move our rules 1027 forward, but again, we want to make sure we get the rules 1028 right, and the very--the methodical, open and transparent 1029 process enables us to do that with stakeholder input. 1030 Mr. {Pallone.} Is there any way that we can help you 1031 though because, you know, they have remained open for so 1032 long, and I know you say you are trying to resolve them, but 1033 how are we going to resolve it and how can we help you? 1034 Ms. {Cummings.} I think having oversight hearings like 1035 this are a great way to do that. It brings visibility to 1036 PHMSA as an agency and also to the mandates of the Pipeline 1037 Safety Act. And I think that we have a great plan moving 1038 forward, and that you are going to see some proposed rules 1039 out of us, as you have in the last month. I think you are

1040 going to see them for the rest of the year. 1041 Mr. {Pallone.} Okay, thank you. 1042 Ms. {Cummings.} Yeah. 1043 Mr. {Whitfield.} At this time, recognize the gentleman 1044 from Pennsylvania, Mr. Pitts, for 5 minutes. 1045 Mr. {Pitts.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 1046 Madam Director, the notices of proposed rulemaking 1047 announced in the last 2 weeks on accident notification and 1048 excess flow valves show some incremental progress to address 1049 safety, however, there are many significant rules still 1050 pending. 1051 My question is, when can we expect these rules to be 1052 published, and will PHMSA commit to sharing a timeline or 1053 schedule for completion? 1054 Ms. {Cummings.} Yes, we have several rules that we ae 1055 actively involved in the rulemaking process. We have a Web 1056 site that the Department of Transportation keeps up-to-date, 1057 and that Web site will provide the schedule of where the rule 1058 is and when we anticipate proposing it or issuing it. 1059 addition, we at PHMSA have a Web site that we keep up-to-date 1060 on every mandate, all of the 42 mandates, as well as other

activities in the Pipeline Safety Act. We keep that up-to-

1061

1062 date as well. And so at any time, if you wanted information 1063 about our progress on the mandates, or where we are in the 1064 rulemaking process, that is very transparent and it is 1065 available on our Web site. But we would be happy to come and 1066 provide you with a detailed brief of each of our rules, what 1067 we are looking at for the rules, and the schedule. 1068 Mr. {Pitts.} Thank you. Last year, Congress increased 1069 PHMSA's fiscal year 2015 budget by 23 percent, and PHMSA has 1070 committed to hiring over 100 new personnel to conduct 1071 inspections and handle enforcement cases. What progress has 1072 PHMSA made to hire and train new personnel, and, you know, 1073 what can Congress do to help expedite the process? 1074 Ms. {Cummings.} Yes, we are so grateful that in the 1075 2015 fiscal year, we have enough funding to hire 122 new 1076 positions, with 109 of them being in the pipeline program. 1077 mentioned earlier that 80 percent of those are going to be in 1078 the field. We--between onboard and people that have accepted 1079 positions, we are at 46 percent fill rate. We have a very 1080 robust strategy to bring the remaining -- the remainder of 1081 those positions onboard. We are doing things like holding 1082 veterans fairs, we are doing recruitment, we have looked at 1083 our requirements to make sure that we are reaching the

1084 breadth of the folks out there who would be interested in 1085 coming to work for PHMSA. We have even requested direct hire 1086 authority, which we haven't received, but that would be very 1087 helpful in targeting those engineering skillsets that we are 1088 looking for at PHMSA. And as far as training, we have a very 1089 robust training program. We are going to train those new 1090 employees in a bit of a boot camp scenario so that they are 1091 being trained together, and so that they become valuable 1092 parts of our inspection team as soon as possible. 1093 Mr. {Pitts.} Thank you. Section 4 of the Pipeline 1094 Safety Act required PHMSA to issue regulations if appropriate 1095 requiring the use of automatic or remote-controlled shut-off 1096 valves in new or entirely replaced transmission pipelines. 1097 This regulation could improve the ability of pipeline 1098 operators to quickly stop the flow of crude oil or natural 1099 gas in the event of an accidental release. Operator delay in 1100 shutting down pipeline flow has been identified as the 1101 exacerbating factor in a number of recent pipeline failures, 1102 most probably in the September 2010 natural gas pipeline in 1103 San Bruno, California, when it took an hour and a half to 1104 manually close the valve. In 2010 and '11, PHMSA issued 1105 notices of proposed rulemaking for both gas and liquid

1106 pipelines, and both announcements made clear that some 1107 changed the requirements for automatic or remote-controlled 1108 valves was being considered. PHMSA studied the issue, it has 1109 reported to Congress, so why, years later, hasn't PHMSA 1110 finalized their regulation? 1111 Ms. {Cummings.} We have issued studies, reports to 1112 Congress, and advanced notice of proposed rulemaking. 1113 rules that I spoke to you about, that we have a plan moving 1114 forward and we are working to propose, do address things like 1115 integrity management, increasing the breadth of our 1116 regulations, as well as automatic and remote-controlled shut-1117 off valves, leak detection, and other safety technologies 1118 that are available in the market but we will propose in those 1119 rules very soon. 1120 Mr. {Pitts.} Is PHMSA reviewing the need to propose 1121 changes to existing exceptions from federal regulation for 1122 gathering lines, and if so, when will this review conclude? 1123 Ms. {Cummings.} We are. We are looking at that as well 1124 as looking at how that might inform the rulemaking process. 1125 I can get back to you on exactly when we are going to be 1126 releasing that information, but we are working on it and we 1127 have a plan to release it.

- 1128 Mr. {Pitts.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. My time has
- 1129 expired.
- 1130 Mr. {Whitfield.} The chair now recognizes the gentleman
- 1131 from New York, Mr. Tonko, for 5 minutes.
- 1132 Mr. {Tonko.} Thank you, Mr. Chair. And, Director
- 1133 Cummings, thank you for joining us. I have to state, I don't
- 1134 envy your position today, having to appear before this
- 1135 committee and defend the agency and, frankly, its weak, as I
- 1136 would see it, record of achievement. That is not a
- 1137 reflection on you, but of the failure of the Administration
- 1138 and Congress to support this agency and the vital safety
- 1139 mission that PHMSA serves.
- 1140 Mr. Weimer, in his testimony, said there is plenty of
- 1141 blame to be shared for the slowness in implementing pipeline
- 1142 safety initiatives. I agree. Placing blame doesn't solve
- 1143 any problems. Aggressive, faster action is what we need.
- 1144 That requires additional sustained resources for your agency,
- 1145 our job here in Congress, and it requires PHMSA, DOT, and the
- 1146 Administration truly to put safety first.
- 1147 We have been installing and conveying petroleum,
- 1148 petroleum products, and natural gas for pipelines for more
- 1149 than 60 years, and I simply do not believe we have to

1150 continue to tolerate the number of accidents that occur as a 1151 basic cost of doing business. 1152 Section 8 of the 2011 Pipeline Safety Act directed the 1153 agency to study leak detection systems used by hazardous 1154 liquid pipeline operators, and to issue regulations to 1155 require lead detection on these pipelines and/or to set leak 1156 detection standards. The fact that Congress needed to put 1157 this into law in 2011 is disappointing. It seems to be a 1158 basic safety requirement that should have been in place some 1159 time ago, and I believe PHMSA completed that required study 1160 at the end of 2012, as you indicated. That is correct, 1161 right? 1162 Ms. {Cummings.} That is correct, 2012. 1163 Mr. {Tonko.} So when are we going to see a proposed 1164 rule, a final rule? 1165 Ms. {Cummings.} The status of the rulemakings that I 1166 spoke of earlier are proposed -- notice of proposed 1167 rulemakings. We at PHMSA, the current team, the executive 1168 team, as well as the career staff, are focused and are 1169 completely committed to getting those rules -- those proposed 1170 rules complete, getting them issued, and then guickly turning

around, looking at stakeholder feedback, and turning them

1171

1172 into rules. We are absolutely committed to that, and we have 1173 a plan to do it. 1174 Mr. {Tonko.} Well, we are going to watch closely. 1175 Apparently, damage to pipelines by excavation continues to be 1176 one of the main causes of significant pipeline incidents. As 1177 I stated earlier, we have been building and using pipelines 1178 for a very long time. How detailed and accurate are the maps 1179 of the existing pipeline network? 1180 Ms. {Cummings.} The--I spoke earlier about the National 1181 Pipeline Mapping Program that we have, and as you point out, 1182 the availability of data there is as good as the data that 1183 comes into it. It is complete from a federal perspective of our oversight, but there are--there is more information that 1184 we would like to collect. Data is one area that I think we 1185 1186 have room to improve, and I think we have room to work 1187 together to improve. Being able to have complete access and 1188 visibility and transparency across the country, and also to 1189 be able to evaluate through our data, our data systems and 1190 analytics, those are all very important and things that we 1191 are looking to do in the future, and it would be great to 1192 work with this committee to figure out ways to use data more

1193

effectively.

1194 Mr. {Tonko.} Um-hum. Thank you. Most decisions about 1195 zoning and development are made at the local level. Is there 1196 a way to better engage our local officials? Do mayors and 1197 planning boards have access--appropriate access, great access 1198 to information that impacts their communities? 1199 Ms. {Cummings.} Um-hum. That is a great question. Part of our Stakeholder Outreach Program at PHMSA looks at 1200 1201 all sorts of things, ways that we can get information out, 1202 public workshops, local open houses, as well as the grant 1203 programs that we have, specifically around things like 1204 excavation damage. We also look at ways that we can provide 1205 information to localities about planning and development as 1206 people start to move closer to pipelines that have been there 1207 for a very long time, and we do a lot of outreach related to 1208 that, as well as support to local planning. Our state 1209 partners are critical in our National Pipeline Safety 1210 Program, and so any way that way improve that collaboration, 1211 improve that relationship, we want to do that, and we are 1212 definitely open to ideas for how we could do that better. 1213 Mr. {Tonko.} And I thank you. 1214 It seems as though I am almost out of time here. I 1215 would just encourage us to move along with the final plans

- 1216 that will be presented, the proposals that you have talked
- 1217 of, and to be able to go forward and address safety to the
- 1218 max.
- 1219 And with that, I--thank you, Mr. Chair, I yield back.
- 1220 Mr. {Whitfield.} The gentleman yields back.
- 1221 At this time, recognize the gentleman from Ohio, Mr.
- 1222 Latta, for 5 minutes.
- Mr. {Latta.} Well, thank you, Mr. Chairman. And thanks
- 1224 very much to our witnesses for being with us today.
- 1225 Appreciate your testimony today.
- 1226 And I was interested in your testimony where you cited
- 1227 that we have about 2.6 million miles of pipeline right now in
- 1228 the Nation, and that--also that the mileage is going up every
- 1229 year from the past where we were doing, it looks like you
- 1230 said, between 3 to 4,000 miles, and now to about 3,500 or
- 1231 7,500 miles--
- 1232 Ms. {Cummings.} Um-hum.
- 1233 Mr. {Latta.} --per year. And I know that we have had
- 1234 the Secretary of Energy in and he talked about the energy
- 1235 boom, of course, that is occurring in this country on the
- 1236 natural gas and on the oil side, which makes it essential
- 1237 that we have the pipelines out there to move the energy that

1238 is--that we have. And, of course, like across Ohio and 1239 across my district there are new pipelines being proposed, 1240 and I was wondering if you could -- again, from your testimony, 1241 you state that you would like to work again more with 1242 Congress to explain those risk reduction proposals. So if 1243 you, again, could give more information to us on that, what 1244 those proposals might be on those reductions. 1245 Ms. {Cummings.} Sure. You did mention new construction 1246 and growth of the network. We do intend to spend about 25 1247 percent of our inspection time supporting and looking at new 1248 construction pipelines. The best time to ensure that a 1249 pipeline is safe is during construction, and before it 1250 actually goes into operation. And so the regulations that we 1251 have overseeing new construction as well as our inspection 1252 and enforcement are critical to long-term pipeline safety. 1253 From a risk perspective, some of the things that we are 1254 working on in terms of integrity management are to improve 1255 the actual integrity management process, but also to expand 1256 it into areas that -- where integrity management isn't in place 1257 Integrity management puts the onus on the right now. 1258 operator to identify risk and--they use risk models in order 1259 to do that so that they can prioritize their investment in

- 1260 safety in their pipelines.
- One of the things we are doing in September is we are
- 1262 going to hold a workshop, and we are going to look at risk
- 1263 modeling across multiple industries, and we are going to see
- 1264 how we, PHMSA, can take that information and then share it
- 1265 back out with the industry so they can improve their risk
- 1266 models, because the best way to implement integrity
- 1267 management is to properly identify, properly assess risk,
- 1268 that way they can mitigate it. And our goal is to prevent a
- 1269 failure before it happens. And that is what risk management
- 1270 enables us to do in the Pipeline Safety Program.
- 1271 Mr. {Latta.} Well, thank you. And I know it has come
- 1272 up a little bit before in regards to the whole question about
- 1273 the Call Before You Dig--
- Ms. {Cummings.} Um-hum.
- 1275 Mr. {Latta.} --and I know that, you know, around the
- 1276 areas you have the signs up that--I know that companies have
- 1277 about the--call the 811 number. And also I see in your
- 1278 testimony that 28.3 percent of all distribution incidents
- 1279 were caused by the excavation damage, and that cost \$25
- 1280 million in damages, 1 fatality, and 15 injuries. And you
- 1281 state that, you know, you are working hard to raise that--the

- 1282 811 awareness, but could you give more detail about what you 1283 are doing to raise that with the local communities again 1284 because, again, for a lot of us, we came from local 1285 governments at one point in our lives, and I was a county 1286 commissioner, and we had the planning commission that we all 1287 served on, but what are you doing to really get that 1288 information out, because I know that you talked about the 1289 mapping--1290 Ms. {Cummings.} Um-hum. 1291 Mr. {Latta.} -- and trying to find that information out, 1292 not only what Mr. Shimkus had brought up about in regards to 1293 water, but others about existing pipelines, but what are we 1294 doing to try to get that -- you know, for that 811 information 1295 for people to know that, you know, because a lot of times 1296 when people think about Call Before You Dig, they are 1297 thinking, well, before I go out in my backyard and I am going 1298 to dig a new hole for a tree, that I don't want to hit some 1299 kind of a, you know, an electric line. But now we are 1300 talking about those transmission lines out there with 1301 excavation. 1302 Ms. {Cummings.} Yes. One of the greatest causes of--
- 1302 Ms. {Cummings.} Yes. One of the greatest causes of-1303 most frequent causes of pipeline failure is excavation

- 1304 damage. And we have our 811 Program which we oversee in 1305 conjunction with Common Ground Alliance, a not-for-profit 1306 group that works on 811. We do have a grant program 1307 associated with excavation damage, as well as Call Before You 1308 We have been doing a lot of outreach. You might have 1309 seen the Triple Crown winner was wearing an 811 hat this 1310 year. You will see that we are having an 811 day at National 1311 We are trying to use media as well as our local Stadium. 1312 relationships with the local Common Ground Alliance folks to 1313 get the word out to use 811 and Call Before You Dig. It has 1314 been proven through a study that if you use 811, it is over 1315 99 percent effective. So what we need is for people to be 1316 making the call, because we know that if you make the call, 1317 that it is going to be effective.
- 1318 Mr. {Latta.} Well, thank you very much.
- 1319 And, Mr. Chairman, I yield back.
- 1320 Mr. {Whitfield.} The gentleman yields back.
- 1321 At this time, I recognize the gentleman from Texas, Mr.
- 1322 Green, for 5 minutes.
- 1323 Mr. {Green.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- Ms. Cummings, I don't think it is a secret that I am a
- 1325 big supporter of pipelines. I represent a district in north

1326 Houston and east Houston where I have never not lived on 1327 pipeline easements in my life, so I have a pretty good 1328 understanding about the utility of pipelines. It is the 1329 safest way to move a product. And I guess that is why I am 1330 disappointed that we haven't dealt with the requirements from 1331 the previous reauthorization to the -- now, and because -- since 1332 I live there and work there, you know, I think that we need 1333 quicker response. In the 4 years since we reauthorized 1334 pipeline safety last time, and due to the rapid expansion of 1335 oil and gas production, the U.S. will need to build thousands 1336 more miles of new pipelines, including gathering and 1337 transmission lines. Additionally, our power generation 1338 sector increasingly relies on natural gas as we need more distribution lines as well. But we need to make sure these 1339 1340 pipelines continue to be the safest mode for that 1341 transportation, compared to rail and truck. We must also 1342 address the replacement of the pipelines. Our infrastructure 1343 continues to age. And PHMSA recently discussed a plan called 1344 hazardous liquids integrity verification process. What has 1345 the reception been to that plan from the stakeholders? 1346 Ms. {Cummings.} The hazardous liquid proposed rule is 1347 one of the rules that I have been talking about. We did

```
1348
      issue an advanced notice of proposed regulation--proposed
1349
      rulemaking. We did get stakeholder input, and we have used
1350
     that to put back into the rulemaking process. We hope to be
1351
      issuing a proposed rule on that within the year, and we are
1352
     working hard on that. Stakeholder input was an important
1353
     part of that process. If you would like, I can put together
1354
     a briefing that specifically identifies all the stakeholder
1355
      input that we have gotten, but I think that -- and I know you
1356
     have another panel that will have industry reps, I think that
1357
      industry is as anxious as we are at PHMSA to have regulatory
1358
      certainty, and I think that the plan that we have moving
1359
      forward will enable that regulatory certainty. And so we
1360
      appreciate your support in giving us the time to complete
1361
      that plan and getting that information --
1362
           Mr. {Green.} Well, we may take you up on that.
1363
      is not with the committee, we have a Natural Gas Caucus here-
1364
1365
           Ms. {Cummings.} Um-hum.
1366
           Mr. {Green.} --that we may ask you to--
1367
           Ms. {Cummings.} Okay.
           Mr. {Green.} --come over.
1368
1369
           Ms. {Cummings.} Okay.
```

- 1370 \qquad Mr. {Green.} When discussing pipeline replacement, is
- 1371 the cost recovery a major issue?
- 1372 Ms. {Cummings.} In--yes, absolutely. We issued in 2011
- 1373 a call to action, and a majority of the states have responded
- 1374 to that, but as you point out, replacement of old pipes has a
- 1375 cost to it, and a lot of our stakeholders are municipalities
- 1376 and they have to do that through--figuring out ways to
- 1377 recapture the cost. So that is an issue that the--that those
- 1378 stakeholders are working.
- 1379 Mr. {Green.} When--what agencies are primarily
- 1380 responsible for setting these policies? I know it could be
- 1381 state and federal, or both.
- Ms. {Cummings.} Um-hum.
- 1383 Mr. {Green.} Is that true on the policies for pipeline
- 1384 replacement?
- 1385 Ms. {Cummings.} I am not sure I understand your
- 1386 question. Sorry.
- 1387 Mr. {Green.} Okay. I assume if they are interstate
- 1388 pipeline--
- 1389 Ms. {Cummings.} Um-hum.
- 1390 Mr. {Green.} --you know, it is federal, but in some
- 1391 cases it is also a state authority, and I know in Texas,

- 1392 still a misnomer, our Railroad Commission is actually our
- 1393 pipeline agency.
- Ms. {Cummings.} Um-hum.
- 1395 Mr. {Green.} But how do you work with other states
- 1396 that--states who may have a--you know, interest in it.
- 1397 Ms. {Cummings.} Right. Sorry about that. Of the 2.6
- 1398 million miles, while we issue regulations and are responsible
- 1399 for pipeline safety across the country, we rely on our state
- 1400 partners to oversee and regulate 80 percent of those
- 1401 pipelines, and so we have a strong relationship with the
- 1402 states. We provide them training and certification. We also
- 1403 provide them grant funding. We evaluate them on a regular
- 1404 basis to make sure that they have the skills and tools that
- 1405 they need, and we also have a mentor program that we use to
- 1406 improve state performance when it comes to the oversight, the
- 1407 regulation of pipelines.
- 1408 Mr. {Green.} Okay. Mr. Chairman, I am almost out of
- 1409 time, but I have questions that I would like to submit on
- 1410 PHMSA's leak detection integrity management rules guidelines,
- 1411 and also the coordination for it. I would be glad to submit
- 1412 those questions. Thank you.
- 1413 Ms. {Cummings.} Thank you.

- 1414 Mr. {Whitfield.} Thank you.
- 1415 At this time, recognize the gentleman from West
- 1416 Virginia, Mr. McKinley, 5 minutes.
- 1417 Mr. {McKinley.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 1418 This issue is particularly important to us in West
- 1419 Virginia as we are trying to transport the Marcellus and the
- 1420 Utica gas throughout the state, and finish the network of
- 1421 piping, but it seems a few months don't go by without another
- 1422 leak, another explosion, another fire. We just had one last
- 1423 week again. It just seems to be one after another, and I
- 1424 don't know how we are going to stem the loss of confidence of
- 1425 the American public that we are doing all that we should be
- 1426 doing to do this, because it is almost like a--it is as
- 1427 though someone is willing to let these things happen because
- 1428 then people turn on fossil fuels, whether that is oil or gas.
- 1429 So I am hoping it is not part of a plan here that--to slow
- 1430 the implementation.
- 1431 How would you grade the fact--I was a little startled
- 1432 when 17 of the 42 standards have not been met. How would you
- 1433 grade the performance of the agency? Would you give yourself
- 1434 an A for what you have done?
- 1435 Ms. {Cummings.} Out of the 42 mandates, we have

```
1436
     completed--
1437
          Mr. {McKinley.} How would you grade yourself?
1438
          Ms. {Cummings.} --26, but we--
1439
          Mr. {McKinley.} How you grade your--would the--are you
1440
      doing an A grade, a B, how would you grade yourself?
1441
           Ms. {Cummings.} I have been very, very impressed with
1442
      the staff that I have met at PHMSA, and when it comes to
1443
     commitment to safety and desire--
1444
          Mr. {McKinley.} How would you grade yourself--
1445
          Ms. {Cummings.} --to get these rules done--
1446
          Mr. {McKinley.} --I--you have--
1447
          Ms. {Cummings.} --I would definitely give us--
           Mr. {McKinley.} --evaded twice already the question
1448
1449
     that was asked of you--
1450
          Ms. {Cummings.} Um-hum.
1451
          Mr. {McKinley.} --on both sides of the aisle.
                                                            What is
1452
     the schedule--
1453
          Ms. {Cummings.} Um-hum.
1454
           Mr. {McKinley.} -- and you avoided it, very effectively
1455
      I might add. But now--I am going to ask that same question,
1456
     but right now first, how would you grade your performance?
1457
     Do you think it is a passing grade, is it a C, a B, how would
```

```
1458
      you grade--did you do what you were asked to do?
1459
          Ms. {Cummings.} We are--
1460
          Mr. {McKinley.} Yes or no, did--A or B?
1461
          Ms. {Cummings.} We have not completed all of the
1462
     mandates that you have asked us to complete, but we are
1463
     absolutely making progress on every single one of them--
1464
           Mr. {McKinley.} I hear--
1465
          Ms. {Cummings.}
                           --and--
1466
           Mr. {McKinley.} --so you are not--you are going to try
1467
      to--you are going to play that game with me too, I guess. So
1468
      the other two questions were when is the schedule? Are you
1469
      going to finish at the end of this year, is it going to be
1470
      spring of next year, when? Don't tell me to go find it
1471
     myself on a Web site. When--I am asking you, when will you
1472
     be finished?
1473
           Ms. {Cummings.} I can tell you that we have a plan, and
1474
      I can tell you that we are working with our stakeholders to
1475
      go through the methodical rulemaking process, and that we--
1476
      every single person at PHMSA is absolutely committed to
1477
      getting that plan done.
1478
           Mr. {McKinley.} I am sure you said that back in--2
1479
      years--you or your agency said over the last 3 years, but
```

1480 this has been since 2011, and I am sure the low-hanging fruit 1481 was already picked to make that happen, but we have 17 more 1482 that probably are contentious. When will they be finished, 1483 when will they be approved? You blamed OMB. I wish OMB were 1484 here, that--whether or not they would accept that 1485 responsibility, but you threw them under the bus. So I am 1486 just trying--what do we have to do to--I want to restore the 1487 trust of the American public that enough is enough, and I am 1488 not getting confidence at all from you. It is every evasive 1489 on this. So how would you do it? If you are not going to 1490 answer the question, you are going to tell me go find it 1491 yourself. Is that correct? Go find it myself? 1492 Ms. {Cummings.} What I wanted to express when I was 1493 telling you about the Web site was that we are being as 1494 transparent as possible by posting that information on the 1495 Web site. The status of every single individual rule, where 1496 it has been and where it is going, and how long it has been 1497 there, is absolutely available. It is a very transparent 1498 We have a plan moving forward. As I said, we have process. 1499 two rules that I think are close to being complete within the 1500 year -- I mean the -- within this calendar year, and we have some 1501 other rules that we are working very hard on at PHMSA to get

```
1502
     complete, and to have our economic analysis complete so that
1503
     we can move to issuing those proposed rules.
1504
           Mr. {McKinley.} So you are -- are you suggesting that by
1505
      the end of next year you may have all 42 mandates complete?
1506
           Ms. {Cummings.} I know that the rulemaking process that
1507
     we are currently going through is going to address 11 of the
     mandates, and that we have reports that are currently being
1508
1509
      finalized that are going to address four of the mandates.
1510
     And so yes, we are making progress on absolutely every single
1511
      one of them. I simply can't look into the future and see
1512
     what stakeholder input we may get, what new technology might
1513
     be developed, or what new requirements might come up to
1514
      change our priorities to say exactly when all 42 will be
1515
      done, but I can tell you that every single person at PHMSA
1516
      is--
1517
           Mr. {McKinley.}
                           Well, I hope--
1518
           Ms. {Cummings.} --committed at getting--
1519
           Mr. {McKinley.} --your priorities--
1520
           Ms. {Cummings.} --to getting them done.
1521
           Mr. {McKinlev.}
                           I hope your priorities are
1522
      reestablishing the confidence of the American public, that we
```

are doing everything we can to give them pipeline safety.

1523

1524 Ms. {Cummings.} And that is what we want as well. 1525 Mr. {McKinley.} I yield back my time. 1526 Ms. {Cummings.} Thank you, Congressman. 1527 Mr. {Whitfield.} At this time, recognize the gentlelady 1528 from California, Mrs. Capps, for 5 minutes. 1529 Mrs. {Capps.} Thank you, Ms. Cummings, for your 1530 testimony. My questions today, because there are still so 1531 many unanswered ones about the causes of and responses to the 1532 May 19 Plains oil spill in my district, will have to do with 1533 that particular incident. 1534 I know the investigation, not the rulemaking 1535 necessarily, but the investigation takes time. 1536 important to get the facts right, but it has been 2 months 1537 since the spill occurred on the pristine coastline, Gaviota 1538 Coast, my district. When will this investigation be 1539 completed and the results shared with the public? 1540 Ms. {Cummings.} Thank you, Congresswoman Capps. 1541 before I answer, I would just like to thank you for your 1542 support of the agency, as well as the time you have spent 1543 with our PHMSA employees and talking to them out in San 1544 Diego. They appreciated it, and I hope you found, as I have,

how dedicated to safety those PHMSA staff are, and that they

1545

- 1546 take this oil spill personally, just like you do.
- The investigation will take time. There are many
- 1548 aspects that will require third party evaluation, as well as
- 1549 research into different parts of the organization about what
- 1550 happened. Some of the things that we are specifically
- 1551 focused on is that third party evaluation of the condition of
- 1552 the pipe. I think the last I heard, that is going to take a
- 1553 couple of months to get the report from the third party
- 1554 evaluator.
- 1555 Mrs. {Capps.} Okay.
- 1556 Ms. {Cummings.} The third party evaluation of the
- 1557 inline inspection, that is going to take a couple of months.
- 1558 Mrs. {Capps.} All right.
- 1559 Ms. {Cummings.} A corrective action order can actually
- 1560 be in place for several months, and--
- 1561 Mrs. {Capps.} Okay.
- 1562 Ms. {Cummings.} --it is not until--go ahead.
- Mrs. {Capps.} I don't want to cut you off, but I do
- 1564 want--
- Ms. {Cummings.} Um-hum.
- 1566 Mrs. {Capps.} --to ask some other questions as well,
- 1567 and so I now have a timeframe. And thank you. Please keep

1568 this--my office and my constituents--that is a good way to do 1569 it, and this committee updated as to how things progress. 1570 I must say the information we have so far is very 1571 troubling. Makes us question the condition of -- now I 1572 understand it is millions of miles of pipeline running 1573 through our country. As you know, Plains did an inline 1574 inspection of line 901, May 5, and this is just 2 weeks 1575 before that particular -- that very line ruptured. 1576 inspection showed 45 percent mental loss at the rupture site, 1577 yet now we are now shocked to discover that the actual level 1578 of corrosion was over 80 percent when the ruptured pipe was 1579 evacuated. I have seen it myself. 1580 Ms. Cummings, you -- were you surprised by the disparity, 1581 and is this a common occurrence? 1582 Ms. {Cummings.} The inline inspection tool provides a 1583 great deal of data, and that data has to be analyzed by 1584 experts in order to determine what the data is telling them. 1585 They also go out and actually do digs and look at those 1586 anomalies to compare them to the data to make sure that they 1587 are getting the right information. I think that the results 1588 of an inline inspection need to be looked at from an expert 1589 on the data and an expert on pipelines--

```
1590
          Mrs. {Capps.} Okay. Now you--I am cutting you off
1591
     again, but--
1592
          Ms. {Cummings.} Sorry.
1593
          Mrs. {Capps.} --you are making it sound so obtuse.
                                                                 Ιt
1594
      is a disparity.
1595
          Ms. {Cummings.} It is a disparity.
           Mrs. {Capps.} And it is disturbing, and it is not the
1596
1597
     only time it happened. Plains has reported that the inline
1598
      inspection also overestimated the amount of corrosion at
1599
      other points along the pipeline. We would rather have an
1600
      overestimate than--of a corrosion than underestimate, but
1601
     these inline inspections are clearly not very accurate.
1602
     Whether the issue is with the technology, system operators,
1603
     or both, there is clearly a problem here. So what is PHMSA
1604
      doing to improve the accuracy? I mean either over or under--
1605
          Ms. {Cummings.} Um-hum.
1606
           Ms. {Capps.} --what is--what can we do to improve the
1607
      accuracy of the inline inspections in general?
1608
           Ms. {Cummings.} To your point of the investigation,
1609
      that will be part of our investigation, but looking at inline
1610
      inspection and other assessment tools across the board, our
1611
```

research and development budget in our program is a

1612 partnership with industry where we identify those areas where 1613 we can use our funds to invest in future safety technologies, 1614 and one of the technologies we invest in a lot is inline 1615 inspection and other assessments. And so your support of our 1616 research and development program is actually the best way for 1617 us to be able to improve that assessment data, and get closer 1618 to reality, and to be able to mitigate risk. 1619 Mrs. {Capps.} Thank you. In addition, and I hope I can 1620 get my third question out here, in addition to corrosion on 1621 line 901, dozens of corrosion problems have been found on an 1622 adjacent line 903, a longer and wider segment of pipe 1623 connected to the ruptured line 901. These numerous problems 1624 have been outlined in your corrective action order, and I 1625 have your submission of those to us here, and want to submit 1626 them for the record here. Plains has even acknowledged the 1627 problem by accelerating the frequency of its inline 1628 inspections after its 2012 inspection found 41 anomalies 1629 serious enough to require excavation. Yet, despite the 1630 pattern of corrosion and failure to accurately measure the 1631 level of corrosion on the rupture site, PHMSA is still 1632 allowing Plains to operate 903 line to reduce pressure. 1633 is PHMSA allowing line 903 to operate when it has the very

- 1634 same corrosion disparities and problems as the pipeline that
- 1635 ruptured? What assurances can you provide that line 903
- 1636 won't have the same problems that line 901?
- 1637 Ms. {Cummings.} As you pointed out in our correction--
- 1638 corrective action order, we did notice similarities between
- 1639 901 and 903, and so we took that imminent hazard and we
- 1640 applied it to line 903, and we have put additional
- 1641 requirements on Plains. The--901 is empty. 903--line 903
- 1642 does have oil in it. They are not operating it in regular
- 1643 course of action. About 100 miles away from the coast, just
- 1644 a portion of 903 is intermittently being operated, but the
- 1645 rest of 903 remains shut down. And they will only be able to
- 1646 operate under our authority if we allow them under the
- 1647 corrective action order.
- 1648 Mr. {Whitfield.} Gentlelady's time has expired.
- 1649 At this time, I would like to recognize the gentleman
- 1650 from Virginia, Mr. Griffith, for 5 minutes.
- 1651 Mr. {Griffith.} Thank you very much. Appreciate you
- 1652 being here.
- Mr. McKinley touched on it. Others have touched on it.
- 1654 Mrs. Capps, in her questions, although she didn't say it
- 1655 directly. One of the big concerns here is that we passed a

1656 law 4 years ago in an attempt to make the pipelines safer. 1657 We are still trying to get those regulations implemented. 1658 They are not ready yet. And there is a confidence, or a lack 1659 of confidence, from the public. 1660 Now, I am going to take just a second and go to a 1661 different subject. I promise you it is relevant. The EPA's 1662 Clean Power Plan, the final rules are not out yet, some 13 to 1663 16 months after that rule comes out, the states have to come 1664 up with their plan, and by 2020 they have to start 1665 implementing that plan. As a result, in part of that 1666 pressure being placed on electric generation companies, 2 1667 major pipelines, see, I told you I would bring it around, 2 major pipelines have been proposed coming through my region 1668 1669 of the state. I represent a big chunk of one of them, and 1670 the other one affects constituents of mine, even if they 1671 don't--even if it doesn't actually come through my district. 1672 Their concern, in many ways, is about safety. And I have 1673 been communicating this morning with one of those 1674 constituents. Her farm is going to be affected by the 1675 Atlantic Coast Pipeline. And there are real concerns there,

and part of the concern, and the lack of confidence, is the

states and individuals are having to deal with the

1676

1677

1678 consequences of these EPA regulations faster than you can get 1679 the regulations for safety that we passed 4 years ago 1680 implemented. What do I say to them when they say to me, you 1681 know, in rural areas, the shut-offs are only 20 miles apart. 1682 Twenty miles apart. That is a long way on a rural road if 1683 you have to get from point A to point B, that is not 1684 following a road. What do I say to them about the safety 1685 components when you all can't even get the regs out that we 1686 thought were necessary that you get out 4 years ago, because 1687 of previous safety problems? How do I make my constituents 1688 feel like if somebody is putting a 42 inch natural gas 1689 pipeline through their property or near their property, or 1690 they are near one of the compressing and pumping stations, 1691 how do--how can they feel safe, what can you say to them, 1692 because I will tell you that this one constituent in 1693 particular is watching, so look at the camera and tell her 1694 how can she feel safe if her farm is now going to be 1695 dissected or cut through by a pipeline? 1696 Ms. {Cummings.} Well, PHMSA is first and foremost a 1697 safety agency, and the safety of those pipelines are what we 1698 think about 100 percent of the time. And while we are 1699 working on those 42 mandates, and working on getting those

1700 regulations out, we have a lot of experience with new 1701 construction because we spend about 25 percent of our 1702 inspection time with new construction, looking at how they 1703 are implementing our regulations, how they are testing to 1704 make sure they are safe, and what we have done is we have 1705 actually learned a lot about new construction that we have 1706 put out through safety advisory bulletins, that we have put 1707 out to the industry in different ways that helps to ensure 1708 that we learn a lesson. 1709 Mr. {Griffith.} And I know that you are trying, but it 1710 doesn't instill confidence when we hear about other pipeline 1711 problems in other parts of the country, when we hear that 1712 pipelines that may have problems are still being used, and 1713 now they are saying they want to bring a pipeline through our 1714 area. It causes great concern on the safety factor. 1715 about the shut-offs in rural areas, should they be closer 1716 together. Is that something that we should be working on in 1717 Congress? 1718 Ms. {Cummings.} So I think we talked earlier about a 1719 2012 report that talked about automatic shut-off valves, and 1720 it talked about them being technically, operationally, and 1721 economically feasible, but not in all cases. And so we will

- 1722 be putting out proposed rules that will capture the right
- 1723 stakeholder information to make sure that we put out
- 1724 regulations that are going to meet the safety requirements
- 1725 without creating unintended consequences.
- 1726 Mr. {Griffith.} Well--and I appreciate that. Another
- 1727 question I get on a regular basis as a result of being right
- 1728 in the eye of the storm for major pipelines coming through
- 1729 the area is why are we cutting through new paths? Is there
- 1730 some safety reason why you don't want to collocate natural
- 1731 gas pipelines together, because we are cutting through a
- 1732 bunch of new paths, not following the lines that are already
- 1733 there?
- 1734 Ms. {Cummings.} Um-hum. We as a safety agency, we
- 1735 don't have a primary lead role in the permitting and--
- 1736 Mr. {Griffith.} I understand that. My question is, is
- 1737 there a safety concern with collocation?
- 1738 Ms. {Cummings.} Um-hum, I understand. Can I get back
- 1739 to you on the record? I don't know the answer to that
- 1740 question, I am sorry.
- 1741 Mr. {Griffith.} All right, I would greatly appreciate
- 1742 it because I probably have--I know I have at least one
- 1743 watching, but I have a lot of constituents who want to know

- 1744 the answer to that question.
- 1745 Ms. {Cummings.} Okay.
- 1746 Mr. {Griffith.} With that, I see my time is up. And,
- 1747 Mr. Chairman, I appreciate you having this important hearing,
- 1748 and I yield back.
- 1749 Mr. {Whitfield.} At this time, recognize Mr. Loebsack
- 1750 for 5 minutes.
- 1751 Mr. {Loebsack.} Thank you, Mr. Chair. Good to see you,
- 1752 Ms. Cummings.
- 1753 Ms. {Cummings.} Thank you.
- Mr. {Loebsack.} I am from Iowa, and I think a lot of us
- 1755 on both sides of the aisle have a lot of concerns being from
- 1756 these rural areas--
- 1757 Ms. {Cummings.} Um-hum.
- 1758 Mr. {Loebsack.} --and I echo many of those concerns
- 1759 that have been already mentioned today. I think I mentioned
- 1760 to you before your testimony that we have a proposed Bakken
- 1761 Pipeline that would extend from northwest Iowa down through
- 1762 my district, much of my district, all the way down to
- 1763 southeast Iowa, and it would bring that crude from North
- 1764 Dakota, transport it eventually to points of the east and
- 1765 south. And, you know, it--I just have a question sort of

1766 from your perspective, you know, you mentioned that you are 1767 involved in safety and all the rest. Eventually, this is 1768 going to be approved or not by the Iowa Utilities Board, 1769 there is not a federal role as far as approval of this 1770 pipeline is concerned, but can you talk to me a little bit 1771 about sort of the safety concerns that you folks basically 1772 have supervision over when it comes to something like this? 1773 Ms. {Cummings.} Sure. Thank you. The most important 1774 thing that we can do is to build safety and build quality 1775 into the pipeline before it actually goes into operation. 1776 And so the requirements in our regulations for new 1777 construction, a lot of them are prescriptive. So they are 1778 looking at things like the material in the weld, and making 1779 sure that they are properly installed by qualified people to 1780 install them. We also require a hydro test to make sure 1781 that, before the pipeline goes into operation, that it is 1782 safe and that there are no leaks. We would encourage new 1783 construction pipeline to do inline assessments, and to really 1784 understand the attributes of the pipeline so that when 1785 integrity management principles are applied to that pipeline, 1786 that there is a baseline that they can use going forward to 1787 look at trends and to be able to identify that risk. And our

```
1788 goal is to prevent pipeline failures, and integrity
```

- 1789 management and risk management, and inline assessments and
- 1790 other assessments are the way that we do that.
- 1791 Mr. {Loebsack.} So as the regulations currently exist,
- 1792 encourage is the word--the best word that you can use at this
- 1793 point?
- 1794 Ms. {Cummings.} On the hydro test and on the other
- 1795 requirements, those are prescriptive, those are requirements.
- 1796 Mr. {Loebsack.} Um-hum.
- 1797 Ms. {Cummings.} We have shared information. There is
- 1798 information available in partnership with industry on best
- 1799 practices, and when we identify issues that we see are common
- 1800 across different new construction, whether they are directly
- 1801 applicable to our prescriptive regulations, or whether they
- 1802 are best practices, we have ways that we can share them with
- 1803 our stakeholders to make sure everyone is aware through
- 1804 safety advisories or through workshops.
- 1805 Mr. {Loebsack.} And so I think that is one of the big
- 1806 concerns that I am hearing--
- 1807 Ms. {Cummings.} Um-hum.
- 1808 Mr. {Loebsack.} --expressed throughout my district is
- 1809 the whole safety issue, leakage, all those things.

1810 Ms. {Cummings.} Um-hum. 1811 Mr. {Loebsack.} And if, in fact, the Iowa Utilities 1812 Board does approve this pipeline, I am going to want to make 1813 sure that my constituents get the best information possible. 1814 I don't--opponents are never going to be convinced this thing 1815 is going to be entirely safe--1816 Ms. {Cummings.} Um-hum. 1817 Mr. {Loebsack.} --but using the word encourage doesn't 1818 give me a lot of confidence when I go home and then say to 1819 them, well, you know, the regulators are telling me they are 1820 going to encourage folks to do this or that. So I would hope 1821 that there would be something much stronger than that in the 1822 regulations. And I do want to, of course, agree with folks 1823 here today who have expressed concerns that, you know, we 1824 haven't seen the final, you know, rulemaking actually done 1825 yet for a number of these things, and I just want to 1826 encourage you, obviously, to use that word, because that is 1827 all I can do to make sure that we finish this up. 1828 I have one other question having to do--I don't think it 1829 has been brought up yet today. I served on the Armed 1830 Services Committee for 8 years. Traveled overseas quite a 1831 lot. I am not on the Homeland Security Committee, but, you

1832 know, I have a map, an alleged map at least, of pipelines 1833 that are in Iowa, and I didn't get that map because I am 1834 Member of Congress and because I have some kind of security 1835 clearance to have access to that map. I have a lot of 1836 concerns about access to information that is open to the 1837 public, especially when it comes to potential terrorist 1838 threats. Talk to me about that. Talk to us about that. How 1839 this information that could be used by potential domestic or 1840 international terrorists to disrupt a pipeline gas or oil, or 1841 whatever the case may be, how is that information--how are we 1842 sure that information is not available to folks out there who 1843 want to do harm to our country? 1844 Ms. {Cummings.} The National Pipeline Mapping System does have rule-based access, meaning that the information 1845 1846 that is available to the public is information that we have 1847 deemed is not security-sensitive. We take that threat very 1848 seriously as well, and this committee and our statutory 1849 authority has given us the ability to redact information that 1850 we make public to make sure that security sensitive 1851 information is not included in public release. 1852 information that is available to local lawmakers, to local 1853 emergency responders, they apply for that access, and they

- 1854 are only given that access when we verify their identity and
- 1855 their need to have that type of information.
- 1856 Mr. {Loebsack.} Okay. Thank you.
- 1857 Ms. {Cummings.} Thank you.
- 1858 Mr. {Loebsack.} Thank you for your testimony.
- 1859 And I yield back, Mr. Chair.
- 1860 Mr. {Whitfield.} The chair recognizes the gentleman
- 1861 from Ohio, Mr. Johnson, for 5 minutes.
- 1862 Mr. {Johnson.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And, Ms.
- 1863 Cummings, thanks for joining us today.
- In your response to Representative Olson a little bit
- 1865 ago, you said that PHMSA is looking at how to improve and
- 1866 expand--improve, expand, and enhance risk-based management.
- 1867 Would you explain a little bit more in detail what you mean
- 1868 by that? How are you going to go about doing that?
- 1869 Ms. {Cummings.} Sure. We have several ongoing
- 1870 rulemakings that have been the topic of discussion this
- 1871 morning, and integrity management, which is our risk-based
- 1872 approach to pipeline safety in high consequence areas, is--
- 1873 relies on that risk-based approach. And those rules are
- 1874 going to be proposed to expand where we are using those risk-
- 1875 based methodologies, meaning on the percentage of pipe that

1876 we are looking at to apply those integrity management 1877 principles where it makes sense, and also to improve 1878 integrity management. I mentioned that in September, we are 1879 going to be holding a workshop, and we are going to be 1880 bringing in folks from across different industries such as 1881 aviation, the energy industry, other--nuclear, for example, 1882 who use risk-based approaches, and look at how they model 1883 risk because in order to mitigate the proper risk, in order 1884 to, you know, avoid a pipeline failure, you need to be 1885 identifying the right risk, assessing that risk, and then 1886 mitigating it properly for your pipeline. And so those are 1887 some of the examples of ways that we are going to be 1888 improving integrity management, both internal to PHMSA and 1889 our oversight, but also integrity management in the industry. 1890 Mr. {Johnson.} Are you including cost in that analysis 1891 and in the improvement effort, and are you having difficulty 1892 in incorporating cost into a risk-based regulation? 1893 Ms. {Cummings.} Our statutory authority for PHMSA 1894 requires that we--our regulations have benefits that exceed 1895 costs. So that is part of our statutory requirement. 1896 yes, we are looking at the cost to implement these 1897 improvements versus the safety benefit. So in order to do

1898 that, we have a team of economists and they look at past 1899 performance, so the likelihood--risk goes back to likelihood 1900 and consequence, the likelihood and the cost of that 1901 consequence, and then the safety requirements we put in 1902 place, how much it costs to implement them, and we do a 1903 comparison of the benefit versus the cost. 1904 Mr. {Johnson.} Okay. All right, Section 21, Ms. 1905 Cummings, directed PHMSA to review and report to Congress on 1906 existing federal and state regulations for all gathering 1907 lines. With the report, which was submitted more than 1 year 1908 late, PHMSA stated that it is considering the need to propose 1909 additional regulations to ensure the safety of natural gas 1910 and hazardous liquid gathering lines. So is PHMSA reviewing 1911 the need to propose changes to existing exemptions from 1912 federal regulations for gathering lines, and if so, when will 1913 this review conclude? 1914 Ms. {Cummings.} Yes, the report that you are referring 1915 to we delivered to Congress earlier this year, and what we 1916 found is that some gathering lines are actually--have the 1917 same attributes as transmission lines as far as size and 1918 amount carried. And so as a result of that report, we are 1919 looking at our regulations and we expect to propose in our

- 1920 future rulemaking proposals in the near future, to look at
- 1921 how we can capture more information about gathering lines, as
- 1922 well as what parts of our regulations should be applied to
- 1923 gathering lines based on what we learned in that report.
- 1924 Mr. {Johnson.} Okay. All right. Mr. Chairman, I yield
- 1925 back.
- 1926 Mr. {Whitfield.} The gentleman yields back.
- 1927 At this time, recognize the gentleman from Maryland, Mr.
- 1928 Sarbanes, for 5 minutes.
- 1929 Mr. {Sarbanes.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you for
- 1930 being here.
- 1931 Can you give us a sense of how much pipeline
- 1932 infrastructure overall is within the jurisdiction of your
- 1933 agency, kind of describe that quickly?
- 1934 Ms. {Cummings.} Sure. There are 2.6 million miles of
- 1935 pipeline in the Nation. While we as a federal regulator, we
- 1936 issue regulations across the entire Nation for those
- 1937 pipelines, from an oversight and enforcement perspective, we
- 1938 partner with the states. So the states actually oversee 80
- 1939 percent of those 2.6 million pipelines. We enforce and
- 1940 oversee 20 percent. But the states are very close partners
- 1941 of ours. We provide them with training, certification, as

- 1942 well as grant funding, and we provide them mentorship as
- 1943 well.
- 1944 Mr. {Sarbanes.} So do you consider--I mean your direct
- 1945 oversight is with respect to 20 percent, but--
- 1946 Ms. {Cummings.} That is right.
- 1947 Mr. {Sarbanes.} --presumably, you consider yourself as
- 1948 having oversight responsibility with respect to the 100
- 1949 percent acting in partnership.
- 1950 Ms. {Cummings.} Correct. In partnership with our
- 1951 states.
- 1952 Mr. {Sarbanes.} Yeah. And can you give me a sense of
- 1953 the actual amount of FTE, or sort of what the capacity of
- 1954 your compliance and enforcement staff is within your agency
- 1955 specifically?
- 1956 Ms. {Cummings.} Sure. We are very grateful that this
- 1957 year our 2015 budget enabled us--gave us the funding we
- 1958 needed to hire 109 new people into the pipeline part of our
- 1959 organization. Our field staff prior to that influx of new
- 1960 people was about 135 people. We are bringing in that 109 new
- 1961 people, so we are almost doubling our enforcement staff with
- 1962 the positions that our--were appropriated--the funds that
- 1963 were appropriated this year for new positions. So we have in

the past, I would say if you are looking at legacy--1964 1965 Mr. {Sarbanes.} Um-hum. 1966 Ms. {Cummings.} --30, 35 or so positions. 1967 Mr. {Sarbanes.} In any event, I would imagine that you 1968 have some reasonable expectation that the industry, the pipeline industry itself, will come with kind of a good faith 1969 1970 commitment to meeting the standards that apply to it. 1971 Nevertheless, we have seen companies, like the Plains All 1972 American Pipeline and others, that don't have a great track 1973 record when it comes to putting these kinds of things in 1974 place and adhering to them. And I was wondering whether--1975 what your thoughts might be on ways to create more 1976 accountability within the industry itself, within these 1977 companies, so that the sort of compliance and accountability 1978 arm within those organizations has a heightened sense of 1979 responsibility. That could include things like certifying 1980 that they are meeting certain standards as a corporation, for 1981 example, an understanding that there might be consequences 1982 for not stepping up to that statement of accountability. 1983 Have you thought about things like that that could improve 1984 what I would say in the best sense could be cooperation 1985 between the agency's oversight and the industry's own

1986 responsibility to come in and step up to its accountability? 1987 Ms. {Cummings.} Absolutely. An operator is 100 percent 1988 responsible for the safety of the pipelines that they 1989 operate, and they do so under our enforcement and under our 1990 guidance and regulations. One thing that we at PHMSA were 1991 happy to see is, in the last week, API released a safety 1992 management system best practices document that really was the 1993 industry coming together and looking at a tool, safety 1994 management systems--1995 Mr. {Sarbanes.} Um-hum. 1996 Ms. {Cummings.} --that has been successful in other 1997 industries, and it would take sort of the things we have been 1998 talking about today, about integrity management being risk-1999 driven, and really take that to another level. So I think 2000 that industry--I believe that the industry is responsible for 2001 safety. I think that they know they are responsible for 2002 safety. This is a great step that they took in partnership 2003 with us. We were on the teams putting this together. The 2004 combination of both prescriptive and performance-based 2005 regulations from PHMSA are another way that industry can, 2006 through our performance-based specifications, apply 2007 technology that is going to make the pipeline system, the

```
2008
     pipeline networks, safer.
2009
           Mr. {Sarbanes.} Right.
2010
           Ms. {Cummings.} And so, yeah, there is a lot we can do
2011
      together--
2012
           Mr. {Sarbanes.} Great. Okay.
2013
           Ms. {Cummings.} --to improve pipeline safety.
2014
           Mr. {Sarbanes.} Well, I appreciate that. And it will
2015
     be interesting to monitor how the industry fulfills those new
2016
     expectations, but I think that there could be a place in the
2017
      future if we don't see that kind of accountability that needs
2018
      to be there, for people within these organizations kind of
2019
     having to sign on the dotted line and certify that these
2020
      things are in place, and put their name as leaders of those
2021
      organizations behind those commitments.
2022
           Thank you, and I yield back.
2023
           Mr. {Whitfield.} At this time, recognize the gentleman
2024
      from Texas, Mr. Flores, for 5 minutes.
2025
           Mr. {Flores.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And thank you,
2026
     Ms. Cummings, for joining us today.
```

2027 A lot of great questions have been answered and so I
2028 just have a couple of things I would like to drill into that
2029 follow up a little bit on Mr. Sarbanes' question, but more

2030 closely to Mr. Pitts' questions. I think in answer--in 2031 response to one of Mr. Pitt's questions you said that the 2032 progress you have made to--hiring that 109 new people is 46 2033 percent, is that correct? 2034 Ms. {Cummings.} Correct. 2035 Mr. {Flores.} Okay, I just want to verify that. your response you also said something about requesting direct 2036 2037 hire approval. Can you tell the committee what does that 2038 give you, what does that mean, and how do you get that 2039 approval? 2040 Ms. {Cummings.} Direct hire authority is a tool in the 2041 Federal Government that allows us to streamline the hiring 2042 process. It is something that we had requested of the Office 2043 of Personnel Management. We heard back that it was not 2044 approved. We think that that is one tool that we were 2045 looking at, but we are looking at a lot of other tools to 2046 recruit for qualified and well-educated people who want to 2047 dedicate their life to public service, and dedicate their 2048 life to safety. So we are actively recruiting for positions 2049 across the country, as well as here in D.C. And I think I 2050 mentioned also that tomorrow we are going to be having a 2051 veterans hiring fair at the Department of Transportation.

- 2052 we are really focused on getting great people into the
- 2053 department, again, who are dedicated to public service.
- 2054 Mr. {Flores.} If you had had direct hire authority at
- 2055 the beginning of the fiscal year when you got the additional
- 2056 funding, where do you think you would be on the hiring today?
- 2057 Ms. {Cummings.} I think we would be much further along.
- 2058 I don't want to commit and say that we would be at 100
- 2059 percent right now. The--but we need to make sure that we are
- 2060 hiring the right people, and so a lot of the process is going
- 2061 through the reviewing resumes and making sure that we are
- 2062 doing good interviews, and that we are getting the right
- 2063 people with the right skills, but I do think we would make
- 2064 more progress. Thank you.
- 2065 Mr. {Flores.} That is all the questions I have. Thank
- 2066 you. I yield back.
- 2067 Mr. {Whitfield.} Thank you.
- 2068 At this time, recognize the gentleman from Oklahoma, Mr.
- 2069 Mullin, for 5 minutes.
- 2070 Mr. {Mullin.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And thank you,
- 2071 Ms. Cummings, for being here.
- You know, a lot of talk has been, obviously, on the
- 2073 pipelines and yet the pipelines still provide the best

2074 option, provide the resources we are needing to ship across 2075 this country from part A to part B. In fact, 99.9 percent of 2076 all of it in the pipelines, be it natural gas or oil, gets to 2077 its destination with zero incidents. And without question, 2078 it is a vital part of the infrastructure, and a competitive 2079 advantage we have in this country for our energy rates being 2080 at the level--at the low level that they are. And what we 2081 are talking about right now is going back to 2011 when there 2082 were 7 mandates--or 17 mandates that haven't been 2083 implemented, and we have talked a lot about that, but I don't 2084 know if we have really spent the time about the industry 2085 itself. See, as a business owner, the biggest problem we 2086 have is understanding where the regulatory environment is 2087 going, and it is certainty that we are needing. 2088 understanding of what are we going to have to comply with. 2089 When we are bidding a job, we have to understand what our 2090 cost is going to be. And since we have been waiting for 4 2091 years, for PHMSA to implement these, it is creating a 2092 tremendous amount of uncertainty of knowing where the 2093 industry can go. We know we are going to have to start 2094 building some pipelines in a serious way. I mean as this 2095 Administration's war on coal continues, we are going to have

- 2096 to get a lot more pipelines in the ground to provide the
- 2097 resources we are going to have to have.
- 2098 And, ma'am, the position that you are in is kind of in
- 2099 question. I mean you are filling in a position, and I
- 2100 commend you for that--
- 2101 Ms. {Cummings.} Thank you.
- 2102 Mr. {Mullin.} --but are you capable right now to steer
- 2103 the--to steer PS--what is it, PHMSA?
- 2104 Ms. {Cummings.} PHMSA, correct.
- 2105 Mr. {Mullin.} PHMSA? In the right direction. Are you
- 2106 able to make those decisions or are you guys going to be
- 2107 sitting there waiting for the next administrator to be
- 2108 assigned to you?
- 2109 Ms. {Cummings.} The President nominated Marie Therese
- 2110 Dominguez--
- 2111 Mr. {Mullin.} Right.
- 2112 Ms. {Cummings.} -- and we are anxiously awaiting her
- 2113 confirmation. She is serving right now as the deputy
- 2114 administrator at PHMSA. The career staff, the entire
- 2115 operation at PHMSA is fully dedicated to achieving these
- 2116 mandates, and regardless of the fact that we are in a
- 2117 transition does not stop those career employees that work for

2118 us from every day dedicating their time to safety and to 2119 completing these mandates. 2120 Mr. {Mullin.} Then why haven't they been completed? 2121 mean when the President puts out an order, it is done. I 2122 mean we seem to implement very complicated -- in fact, some 2123 regulations it is not even obtainable and we are already enforcing them. And we are talking about setting 17 mandates 2124 2125 back from 2011. And look, I am not pushing for them to be 2126 there, I am just saying that either tell the industry you are 2127 moving forward or you are not, or say, hey, we are going to 2128 take the best practices that you guys have already put in 2129 place, which this might be an idea. What you guys have done, 2130 you have improved safety in a tremendous amount so far on 2131 your own. The industry has on their own. Maybe we take 2132 their best business practice and say we are going to take 2133 this and apply this, and we are going to see how it moves 2134 forward, rather than keeping the industry in limbo. And I 2135 understand, ma'am, you are doing the best you can, but we are 2136 talking about an industry that is a vital resource that we 2137 have inside the United States, that provides the 2138 infrastructure and the resources that all of us use. I don't 2139 care what side of the aisle you fall on fossil fuels or not,

- 2140 you use them. So we are trying to make sure that we don't
- 2141 run short of that supply, and I am just wanting to make sure
- 2142 that we create the certainty for the industry that is needed
- 2143 to be there. And, Ms. Cummings, I really do applaud you
- 2144 because I think you are doing an outstanding job in the
- 2145 position that you are in, but I want to make sure that the
- 2146 industry isn't held hostage at this time too.
- 2147 So thank you for being here. And thank you, Chairman,
- 2148 for holding this important meeting.
- 2149 Mr. {Whitfield.} Well, thank you.
- 2150 And that concludes the questions, except for mine, and I
- 2151 am going to recognize myself for 5 minutes. But, Ms.
- 2152 Cummings, also I want to thank you for being with us and
- 2153 addressing the concerns of the committee.
- 2154 Ms. {Cummings.} Thank you.
- 2155 Mr. {Whitfield.} And I think it is quite obvious to
- 2156 everyone that one of the major concerns is that this Act was
- 2157 adopted in 2011, reauthorizing -- we have 16 mandates that
- 2158 really have not been addressed. And Mr. Mullin, I think,
- 2159 made an important point in his remarks, and that is that
- 2160 these pipeline companies, as they are involved in
- 2161 maintenance, constructing new pipelines, making improvements,

2162 the uncertainty of what is going to happen in these areas 2163 does present some problems for them. And I don't think any 2164 of us can say with certainty that the fact that these 16 2165 mandates have not been put in a regulation had anything to do 2166 with these spills. We don't know that. But, you know, one 2167 conclusion we can come up with and we know for a fact that 2168 you all cannot do any of your regulations, you can't make 2169 them final without a signoff of OMB, they have to be involved 2170 in that process, and every agency--and in Congress, we all 2171 have our priorities and I think everyone recognizes that the 2172 priority for this Administration is the Clean Energy Plan, 2173 and that is a priority for OMB, and EPA has been super 2174 aggressive in that area. And one conclusion that we can come 2175 up with is that MSHA and the regulations coming out of your 2176 agency is not the same priority as the Clean Energy Plan in 2177 this Administration. Now, I am not going to ask you to 2178 address it, but that is a conclusion -- a logical conclusion 2179 that we can come up with. 2180 So I want to thank you once again. We look forward to 2181 working with you as we move forward, and -- so you are -- you can 2182 be relieved at this time. And thank you for being with us. 2183 And I would like to call up the second panel of

2184 witnesses at this time. And if the second panel would just 2185 have their seat, and then I am just going to introduce you 2186 when you give your opening 5-minute statement, and then we 2187 will go from there. 2188 Okay, our first witness today is Mr. Stan Wise, who is a 2189 Commissioner with the Georgia Public Service Commission, and 2190 he is going to be testifying on behalf of the National 2191 Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners. 2192 Mr. Wise, thanks very much for being with us, and you 2193 will be recognized for 5 minutes. And we would just ask you 2194 to turn the microphone on, and just kind of watch when the 2195 red light goes on and your time has expired. But thanks for 2196 being with us, and you are recognized.

```
2197
      ^STATEMENTS OF STAN WISE, COMMISSIONER, GEORGIA PUBLIC
2198
      SERVICE COMMISSION (ON BEHALF OF THE NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF
2199
     REGULATORY UTILITY COMMISSIONERS); DONALD SANTA, PRESIDENT
2200
     AND CEO, INTERSTATE NATURAL GAS ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA; RON
2201
     BRADLEY, VICE PRESIDENT OF GAS OPERATIONS, PECO ENERGY (ON
2202
     BEHALF OF THE AMERICAN GAS ASSOCIATION); ANDREW BLACK,
      PRESIDENT AND CEO, ASSOCIATION OF OIL PIPE LINES; CARL
2203
2204
      WEIMER, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, PIPELINE SAFETY TRUST; AND DIANNE
2205
     BLACK, ASSISTANT DIRECTOR OF PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT, COUNTY
2206
     OF SANTA BARBARA, CALIFORNIA
2207
      ^STATEMENT OF STAN WISE
2208
           Mr. {Wise.} Well, good morning, Mr. Chairman, and
2209
     members of the committee. Thank you so much for this
2210
      opportunity to testify on this very important issue.
2211
           I am testifying on behalf of my state and NARUC, and let
2212
      first, let me just say that Georgia's Pipeline Safety Program
2213
      is one of the larger state programs based on service, miles,
2214
     mains, inspectors, and budget, and our program has been ahead
2215
```

of the curve on cast iron replacement and bare steel.

2216 have less than 5 miles remaining. We have done it over a 20-2217 year period. And let me say, in no small part, it is 2218 important that we recognize the relationship that our 2219 inspectors and our state has had with PHMSA, and the ability 2220 to go ahead and be able to do the job we can because of that 2221 important financial partnership as well as a sharing of 2222 information as we go along. 2223 But one issue that continues to cause problems for us is 2224 the increasing delays in receiving base grant reimbursements, 2225 and like other states over the past few years, the amount of 2226 time that Georgia has had to wait to get paid for enforcing 2227 these important pipeline safety rules has increased steadily. 2228 And in years past, our finance department could depend on 2229 timely payments, which is very important in tight state 2230 budget. This clearly is an issue that needs resolved. 2231 Nineteen states have adopted new civil penalty 2232 standards. Some states believe that it is more important to 2233 penalize earnings or rates of return rather than to simply 2234 levy fines. We also believe that the state damage prevention 2235 issue prevents some states from participating in the program, 2236 and ineligible for state one-call and preventive grants, 2237 because of state law. And these exemptions directly affect

2238 safety in those states, and is counterproductive to the goal 2239 of preventing damage. We do agree that PHMSA needs to 2240 publish the required study on automatic and remote coal--2241 remote-control shut-off valves. States need to know what 2242 this rate structure is, even if it raises rates in our state, 2243 for the stability that would be required for the installation 2244 and maintenance of these facilities. PHMSA has not published 2245 the evaluation of the current integrity management 2246 regulations, and whether or not these requirements should be 2247 expanded beyond the high consequence area. NARUC and its 2248 members are very interested in the findings of this study. High consequence areas, and they have not--PHMSA has not 2249 2250 updated the National Pipeline Mapping System to include the 2251 identification of high consequence areas. 2252 On leak detection, PHMSA has produced a report, but not 2253 yet published the notice of proposed rulemaking. 2254 language in the 2011 Act has caused financial difficulties in 2255 the states which I referenced, and specifically to Georgia, 2256 and they must issue waivers for the 36 more states each year. 2257 Gathering lines is certainly important in this new paradigm 2258 of oil recovery in our country, and we suggest that the 2259 gathering lines should be regulated in order to risk to the

2260	public.
2261	Notice of proposed rulemaking released on excess flow
2262	valves was released earlier this month. NARUC is currently
2263	reviewing that proposal.
2264	We also believe that PHMSA has not yet implemented
2265	regulations on maximum allowable operating pressure. We need
2266	to see these rules in a prudent and expeditious manner to
2267	ensure the public safety of these lines.
2268	Mr. Chairman, we specifically speak to safety and
2269	efficiency issues. It could be economic. These are
2270	important issues to our states. The implementation and the
2271	reauthorization of the Pipeline Safety Act is important to
2272	NARUC and our states, and we thank you for this time to be
2273	able to express our views.
2274	[The prepared statement of Mr. Wise follows:]
2275	********* INSERT 2 ********

Mr. {Whitfield.} Well, thank you, Mr. Wise.

And our next witness is Donald Santa, who is the

President and CEO of the Interstate Natural Gas Association

of America. Mr. Santa, thanks for being with us, and you are

recognized for 5 minutes.

2281 ^STATEMENT OF DONALD SANTA 2282 Mr. {Santa.} Good afternoon, Chairman Whitfield, and 2283 members of the subcommittee. My name is Donald Santa, and I 2284 am president and CEO of the Interstate Natural Gas 2285 Association of America, or INGAA. 2286 INGAA represents interstate natural gas transmission 2287 pipeline operators in the U.S. and Canada. The pipeline 2288 systems operated by INGAA's 25 member companies are analogous 2289 to the interstate highway system; transporting natural gas 2290 across state and regional boundaries. 2291 In the wake of the natural gas pipeline accident in San Bruno, California, in 2010, INGAA's Board of Directors 2292 2293 committed the Association and its member companies to the 2294 goal of zero pipeline safety incidents. While this is a 2295 tough and some would say impossible goal to meet, the 2296 emphasis is in the right place; a pursuit of excellence. 2297 While progress towards INGAA's goal of zero incidents 2298 must continue, whether or not new regulations are issued, it 2299 is important and desirable that there be consistency between 2300 the voluntary commitments in the INGAA action plan and the

2301	regulations that will implement the 2011 Pipeline Safety Act.
2302	INGAA has engaged in active dialogue with PHMSA and other
2303	stakeholders over the past 3 years to achieve this goal.
2304	This has been constructive, and we have every reason to
2305	believe that the comprehensive rule proposed soon will affect
2306	INGAA's input. Still, these proposed regulations are behind
2307	the schedule that Congress prescribed in 2011. INGAA
2308	acknowledges that regulation should be thoughtfully
2309	considered and include an analysis of costs and benefits.
2310	The practical consequences of this delay, however, is to
2311	erode the confidence of some pipeline companies that
2312	proceeding with the dedication of resources needed to
2313	implement the pipeline safety commitments will be consistent
2314	with the final rules adopted by PHMSA. This hesitancy is
2315	rooted in the perceived risk that the rules ultimately might
2316	compel repeating certain steps in the pipeline safety action
2317	plan. This is not insignificant. For example, testing
2318	pipelines for material strength is both costly and disruptive
2319	because pipelines need to be removed from operation to
2320	complete this testing. This do-over risk creates financial
2321	risk for pipeline operators and their customers, as well as
2322	the risk of more extensive operational disruptions that would

2323 be needed. This do-over risk should not be permitted to hold 2324 us back when we, as an industry and or regulators, should be 2325 moving forward. 2326 Our purpose here is to work collaboratively with PHMSA. 2327 Because the regulatory process indeed goes beyond what PHMSA can control, INGAA wishes to make the point that it is 2328 2329 critical that these natural gas pipelines safety regulations 2330 be completed in a workable and timely manner. It is worth 2331 recalling that the title of the most recent law reauthorizing 2332 the Pipeline Safety Act makes the point. It is the Pipeline 2333 Safety, Regulatory Certainty, and Job Creation Act of 2011. 2334 Regulatory certainty is necessary to move forward. 2335 INGAA supports the reauthorization of the Pipeline 2336 Safety Program during this Congress. My written statement 2337 includes some suggestions for the legislation, including 2338 providing some more definition for several key natural gas 2339 regulations. With further definition from Congress, we 2340 believe the proposed rules could be completed in a more 2341 timely manner, and the pipeline industry would have greater 2342 certainty about what future regulations would require. 2343 would allow operators to start working towards those 2344 requirements now, as opposed to just waiting until a set of

2345	regulations is final at an unknown date. We continue to
2346	believe that a reauthorization bill, and the accountability
2347	and oversight that comes with it, will help to get PHMSA back
2348	on track for meeting the safety mandates required in 2011. I
2349	would be happy to discuss this and further suggestions.
2350	Thank you for the opportunity to testify today, and I am
2351	happy to answer any questions of the subcommittee.
2352	[The prepared statement of Mr. Santa follows:]
2353	*******

2354	Mr. {Whitfield.} Well, thanks very much, Mr. Santa.
2355	And our next witness is Mr. Ron Bradley, who is Vice
2356	President of Gas Operations for PECO Energy, and I think you
2357	are testifying on behalf of the American Gas Association.

2358 ^STATEMENT OF RON BRADLEY 2359 Mr. {Bradley.} Good afternoon, Chairman Whitfield, and 2360 members of the committee. My name is Ron Bradley, and I 2361 serve as the Vice President of Gas Operations at PECO, which 2362 provides reliable electric and natural gas customer -- or 2363 service to more than 1.6 million electric customers, and more 2364 than 500,000 gas customers in southeastern Pennsylvania. 2365 appreciate the opportunity to testify on behalf of the 2366 natural gas distribution industry. 2367 PECO is a part of the Exelon family of companies. 2368 Exelon is the Nation's largest competitive energy provider. In addition to Exelon's generation, power and unregulated 2369 2370 businesses, our sister utilities include BGE in Baltimore, 2371 and ComEd in Chicago. Combined, we serve 6.6 million 2372 electric customers in Illinois, Maryland, and Pennsylvania, 2373 and more than 1.1 million natural gas customers in Maryland 2374 and Pennsylvania. 2375 Today, I am testifying on behalf of the American Gas Association which represents more than 200 local distribution 2376 2377 companies, also known as LDCs, which serve more than 71

million customers. 2378 2379 AGA's member companies operate 2.4 million miles of 2380 underground pipeline, safely delivering clean, affordable 2381 natural gas to residential, commercial, and industrial 2382 customers. LDCs provide the last critical link in the energy 2383 delivery chain, connecting interstate pipelines directly to 2384 homes and businesses. Our focus today is ensuring that we 2385 keep the gas flowing safely and reliably. 2386 As part of an agreement with the Federal Government, 2387 most states assume primary responsibility for safety 2388 regulation of LDCs, as well as intrastate transmission 2389 pipelines. Some governments are encouraged to adopt minimum 2390 standards promulgated by the U.S. Department of 2391 Transportation. Many states choose to adopt standards that 2392 are more stringent than federal requirements. Additionally, 2393 our companies are in close contact with state pipeline safety 2394 inspectors, working in a collaborative manner that provides 2395 for far more inspections than required under federal law. 2396 LDCs do not operate strictly in a compliance culture, 2397 but rather in a culture of proactive collaborative 2398 engagement. Each company employs trained safety 2399 professionals, provides ongoing employee evaluations and

2400 safety training, conducts rigorous system inspection, 2401 testing, maintenance, repair, and replacement programs, and 2402 educates the public on natural gas safety. AGA's commitment 2403 to enhancing safety adopted in 2011 provides a summary 2404 statement of these commitments. The Association has also 2405 developed numerous pipeline safety initiatives focused on 2406 raising the bar on safety, including peer-to-peer reviews and 2407 best practice forums that share best practices, and lessons 2408 learned throughout the industry. 2409 Each year, LDCs spend approximately \$19 billion on 2410 safety; one half of that on our voluntary activities. This 2411 number continues to escalate as work commences on newly 2412 approved accelerated pipeline replacement programs. 2413 The Pipe Inspection, Protection, Enforcement and Safety 2414 Act of 2006, and the Pipeline Safety, Regulatory Certainty, 2415 and Job Creation Act of 2011, both outline several programs 2416 that help continue to improve the safety of the industry. 2417 AGA member companies have implemented aspects of these 2418 programs either through DOT regulation or voluntarily. 2419 However, many of these programs are in their infancy in terms 2420 of implementation, and we encourage Congress to allow these 2421 programs to develop and mature. In the case of the

2422	unanimously passed 2011 Act, we dealt with a number of key
2423	issues. Several of the required regulations have yet to be
2424	finalized. Progress is being made, however, and thus, we
2425	believe it would be premature to make changes to the law at
2426	this time. For instance, the industry is experiencing
2427	significant uncertainty regarding PHMSA implementation of
2428	maximum allowable operating pressure, and the integrity
2429	verification programs. We are prepared to act, but
2430	regulatory certainty provided by implementation of regulation
2431	would be beneficial to the industry and customers alike.
2432	Layering new laws and regulations onto companies before
2433	existing regulations have been finalized and given a
2434	reasonable amount of time to work is likely to create
2435	uncertainty that undermines our shared safety goals. PHMSA
2436	has issued a number of significant guidance documents,
2437	released the results of congressionally mandated study on
2438	leak detection, and created a database to track progress in
2439	replacing cast iron.
2440	With regard to replacement of cast iron, the quantity of
2441	these mains continues to steadily decline, making up less
2442	than 3 percent of total mileage. There are 29,358 miles of
2443	cast iron still in use, and the industry estimates it will

2444	cost \$83 billion to complete that.
2445	We applaud the committee's focus on the common goal to
2446	enhance the safe delivery of this vital energy resource, and
2447	I am pleased to answer questions on these topics and other
2448	topics you may have.
2449	[The prepared statement of Mr. Bradley follows:]
2450	********* INSERT 4 *********

Mr. {Whitfield.} Thank you, Mr. Bradley.

And our next witness is Mr. Andy Black, who is the

President and CEO of the Association of Oil Pipe Lines, and

he had many productive years here at the Energy and Commerce

Committee, and welcome back, Mr. Black, and you are

recognized for 5 minutes.

```
2457
      ^STATEMENT OF ANDREW BLACK
2458
           Mr. {Andrew Black.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman, members.
2459
      I am Andy Black, President and CEO of the Association of Oil
2460
     Pipe Lines.
2461
           Mr. {Whitfield.} Is the microphone on?
2462
           Mr. {Andrew Black.} Yes, sir. Can you hear me better?
2463
      I am also testifying on behalf of API.
2464
           We represent transmission pipeline operators that
2465
      deliver crude oil, refined products like gasoline, diesel
2466
      fuel, and jet fuel, and natural gas liquids such as propane.
2467
     Our U.S. pipelines extend 192,000 miles, safely delivering
      14.9 billion barrels of crude oil and energy products a year.
2468
2469
           Pipelines play a critical role in delivering energy to
2470
     American workers and families. Americans use the energy in
2471
      our pipelines delivered in their cars and trucks to work or
2472
      driving on the job. Farmers use propane for rural heating
2473
      and crop drying. American workers use raw materials like
2474
      ethane for their good-paying manufacturing jobs.
2475
           Pipelines are an exceedingly safe way to deliver the
2476
      energy America needs. The average barrel of crude oil or
```

2477 petroleum products reaches its destination safely, greater 2478 than 99.999 percent of the time. Since 1999, pipeline 2479 incidents impacting the public or environment are down 50 2480 percent. Corrosion cost, pipeline incidents are down 76 2481 percent thanks to the widespread use of smart inline 2482 inspection to detect corrosion in pipes. Pipeline incidents 2483 caused accidentally by third party damage are down 78 2484 percent. But even with these improvements in pipeline safety 2485 over the last 15 years, we know today we need to keep 2486 improving pipeline safety further, and are committed to doing 2487 so. 2488 Last year, liquid pipeline operators spent more than 2489 \$2.2 billion evaluating, inspecting, and maintaining their 2490 pipelines. The spending shows that we are expending a great 2491 amount of resources to make pipeline incidents even rarer. 2492 I would like to share with you a number of the industry-2493 wide efforts we have underway to improve pipeline safety. 2494 Two years ago, liquid pipeline operators launched the 2495 Pipeline Safety Excellence Initiative. It includes shared 2496 pipeline safety principles, such as the goal of zero 2497 incidents. Pipeline Safety Excellence also embodies the work 2498 of nearly a dozen industry-wide groups to improve pipeline

2499	operations and safety. We are funding research and
2500	development on new and improved ILI smart pig technologies,
2501	developing new best practices to detect and respond to
2502	potential cracking in pipes, improving leak detection program
2503	management, and ensuring pipeline construction quality
2504	management. Strategic initiatives reflect review of safety
2505	performance data and lessons learned from pipeline incidents
2506	to make safety improvements. What results of the recent
2507	California release are not yet available of the
2508	investigation. We look forward to understanding the root
2509	causes of that incident, and addressing any recommendations
2510	for safety improvement industry-wide. Strategic initiatives
2511	also reflect lessons from safety investigators and address
2512	the recommendations of the NTSB and advisories from PHMSA.
2513	One of our most recent safety successes that PHMSA Executive
2514	Director Cummings mentioned is a new tool to manage
2515	comprehensively and holistically all of the different
2516	pipeline safety activities across the company. API
2517	recommended practice 1173. The NTSB recommended we develop
2518	this best practice for pipeline safety management system
2519	after the 2010 Marshall, Michigan, pipeline release.
2520	We embraced NTSB's recommendation and worked together

2521	with PHMSA, state regulators, natural gas pipeline operators,
2522	and process safety experts over a stretch of 2 years to reach
2523	an agreement on this important advance in pipeline safety.
2524	Over that time, we consulted with safety experts within other
2525	industries successfully using safety management systems to
2526	improve safety in their sectors. Our members are now
2527	planning aggressive implementation of this pipeline safety
2528	management system recommended practice because of the
2529	opportunity we have to improve pipeline safety industry-wide.
2530	Another success story is the work of our emergency
2531	response team. Local fire and police departments, especially
2532	volunteer departments in rural locations, told us they just
2533	didn't have the resources to obtain pipeline-specific
2534	emergency response training. We responded to this need by
2535	bringing pipeline training to them free of charge through an
2536	online course. This and other pipeline emergency response
2537	tools can be reached through the Web site,
2538	Pipelineemergencyresponse.com. For these efforts, I will
2539	proudly travel later this month to Nashville in the annual
2540	meeting of the National Association of State Fire Marshals to
2541	receive their Norm Mineta Excellence in Transportation Safety
2542	Award, presented this year to API and AOPL jointly for the

2543	work of our emergency response team. This award is given
2544	annually to an individual or team that has made a significant
2545	and lasting contribution to the safety of people, products,
2546	and materials in transit. Through this award, state fire
2547	marshals recognize individuals and teams that have encouraged
2548	transportation safety standards above what is required, and
2549	have worked to ensure the safety of emergency responders.
2550	As you can see, there is much work underway to improve
2551	pipeline safety performance. Thank you for the opportunity
2552	to testify.
2553	[The prepared statement of Mr. Andrew Black follows:]
2554	********

2555 Mr. {Whitfield.} Well, thank you, Mr. Black.

2556 And our next witness is Mr. Carl Weimer, who is the

2557 Executive Director of the Pipeline Safety Trust. Thanks for

2558 being with us, and you are recognized for 5 minutes.

2559 ^STATEMENT OF CARL WEIMER 2560 Mr. {Weimer.} Good afternoon, Chairman Whitfield, and 2561 members of the committee. Thank you for inviting me to speak 2562 here today. 2563 The Pipeline Safety Trust came into being after a 2564 pipeline disaster that occurred in 1999. While prosecuting 2565 that incident, the U.S. Justice Department was so aghast at 2566 the way the pipeline company had operated and maintained 2567 their pipeline, and the lack of oversight from federal 2568 regulators, that they asked the federal courts to set aside 2569 money from the settlement of that case to create the Pipeline Safety Trust as a watchdog organization over both the 2570 2571 industry and the regulators. We have been trying to fulfill 2572 that vision ever since. 2573 Reviewing the implementation of the 2011 Pipeline Safety 2574 Act is somewhat difficult because of the many required 2575 reports and changes to the regulations have yet to be 2576 produced. The slowness of the reporting and rulemaking process seems at odds with the public proclamations of 2577 2578 concern and action from the Administration. While many are

2579 frustrated by this slow progress, it is difficult to know 2580 exactly where to lay the blame. PHMSA is partially to blame, 2581 since they have been slow to produce the required reports and 2582 regulation, but they have also been clear with Congress for a 2583 number of years now that they lack the resources needed to 2584 complete their mission in a timely manner. We also have 2585 noted that many times regulations and reports, once produced 2586 by PHMSA, get significantly delayed by the Secretary's office 2587 itself, or by the White House's Office of Information 2588 Regulatory Affairs. It would appear there is plenty of blame 2589 to be shared for the slowness in implementing many important 2590 pipeline safety initiatives. 2591 Even with the slowness and delay, progress has recently 2592 been made, as evidenced by the reduction in the number of 2593 pipeline failures that involve both injuries and death to 2594 all-time low levels. Unfortunately, at the same time that 2595 the number of failures that injure people has been 2596 decreasing, the number of significant failures that dump 2597 products into the environment and damage property is 2598 increasing, as dramatically shown by the recent spill of 2599 crude oil into the ocean near Santa Barbara, and the second 2600 spill in just a few years of crude oil into the Yellowstone

2601 River. This increase in the overall significant failure rate 2602 shows that while the focus today maybe on PHMSA, ultimately, 2603 the companies that own and operate these pipelines are the 2604 ones that need to be held responsible for their failures. 2605 PHMSA has in play a number of significant rulemakings 2606 that may very well address many of the key issues that were 2607 asked to address in the 2011 Act; expansion of integrity 2608 management, leak detection, automated shut-off valves, gas 2609 gathering lines, excess flow valves, depth of burial of 2610 stream crossings, and verification of maximum allowable 2611 operating pressure. We say these issues may be addressed 2612 because at this point we really don't know. While PHMSA has 2613 started the rulemaking process for many of these issues, for 2614 the most of these items no actual rule or proposed rule has 2615 been produced. 2616 Some of these efforts started well over 4 years ago, and 2617 the exact nature of the hold-up is unclear. We ask that you 2618 help break this logjam of delay, and if that is not possible, 2619 Congress should include these specific rules in the statute 2620 as part of the upcoming reauthorization. 2621 Congress also asked for non-rulemaking studies and 2622 actions in the 2011 Act, which also have not been

2623 accomplished. The areas we are most concerned with include 2624 the available -- availability of meaningful facility response 2625 plans, maps of high consequence areas, a study of the 2626 sufficiency of regulations for transport of diluted bitumen, 2627 report on excavation damage, and an NTSB-requested audit of 2628 the Integrity Management Program. 2629 The report of gathering lines was recently submitted, 2630 but the gathering line issue is of particular importance to 2631 us since we see thousands of new miles of gas gathering lines 2632 going into the ground every year, with the majority of them 2633 being completely unregulated. 2634 With the large increase in new pipeline infrastructure in some parts of the country, the aging infrastructure in 2635 2636 need of replacement in other areas, and increased complexity 2637 of risk-based regulations, we were happy to see Congress 2638 provide a significant increase in PHMSA's budget for fiscal 2639 year 2015. This budget increase will allow PHMSA to add an 2640 additional 100-plus new positions, targeted inspections and 2641 enforcement, as well as more adequately compensating the 2642 states for their pipeline safety programs. It is now your job to ensure that PHMSA effectively expands and manages this 2643 2644 increased workforce in ways that help decrease the recent

2645	uptick in significant pipeline safety failures.
2646	As reauthorization of the National Pipeline Safety
2647	Program begins later this year, we would support a straight
2648	reauthorization of the current program to allow PHMSA to
2649	finally produce all the rules and reports previously
2650	requested, and address the long list of recommendations from
2651	the NTSB. For such a straight reauthorization to be
2652	successful, Congress needs to remain actively involved in
2653	oversight to ensure the Administration is doing the things
2654	they have been charged with.
2655	Thank you again for letting me testify today.
2656	[The prepared statement of Mr. Weimer follows:]
2657	********* INSERT 6 ********

```
2658
           Mr. {Whitfield.} Well, thank you.
2659
           And at this time, I would like to introduce Mrs. Capps
2660
     to introduce our final witness.
2661
           Mrs. {Capps.} Mr. Chairman, thank you for giving me
2662
     this privilege. And it is an honor to welcome our--to our
2663
     panel and to our discussion today Dianne Black, who is
2664
     Assistant Director of Planning and Development for the County
2665
     of Santa Barbara. And I know that she has worked for the
2666
     county for 30 years, and in that time, has had a lot to do
2667
     with various regulations having to do with pipeline safety,
2668
      and in her current role she has been in the middle of all the
2669
      spill recovery and response efforts, as well as pipeline
      safety having to do with our most recent incident on the
2670
2671
     Gaviota Coast.
2672
           Mr. {Whitfield.} Well, thank you. And you are
2673
      recognized for 5 minutes, Ms. Black.
```

2674 ^STATEMENT OF DIANNE BLACK 2675 Ms. {Dianne Black.} Thank you. Good afternoon, Chair 2676 Whitfield, and other members of the committee. Thank you for 2677 inviting me to testify today. My name is Dianne Black, I am 2678 the Assistant Director of the Planning and Development 2679 Department for the County of Santa Barbara in California. 2680 I have been involved in the emergency response, 2681 permitting, and recovery for the Refugio oil spill, which was 2682 the result of a ruptured pipeline onshore in our county. I 2683 have overseen the permitting of oil and gas facilities in the 2684 county for nearly 20 years, and I have been involved in other oil spill responses, including the Torch oil spill from 2685 2686 Platform Irene in 1997. I appreciate being here to share the 2687 experiences of Santa Barbara County in the review and 2688 permitting of oil and gas projects and associated pipelines. 2689 Now the disclaimer. Within the Refugio oil spill 2690 response, I may be a decision-maker again for either 2691 emergency permits or other types of permits, and if that 2692 occurs, I will need to approach each permit application on a 2693 case-by-case basis. As a practical matter, that means that

2694 today I can provide you with general information, but I can't 2695 discuss how I might act on an application without reviewing 2696 it and reviewing the public comment associated with it. 2697 With respect to the pipeline in Santa Barbara County 2698 that recently failed, the County of Santa Barbara entered 2699 into a settlement agreement with Celeron Pipeline Company in 2700 1988 concerning the presumption that the county is preempted 2701 by federal law from regulating the design and operation of 2702 that pipeline. That precluded the county from inspecting 2703 operations by, and most permitting of, what is now known as 2704 the Plains All American Pipeline, the line central to the 2705 Refugio spill. 2706 For the past decade or more, the county has not--to oil 2707 company applicants, the construction and safety systems 2708 required for inter and intrastate pipelines. 2709 subsequent to changes in federal law in 2002, the county has 2710 evaluated oil and gas projects, including associated pipeline 2711 systems, in their entirety as is required under the 2712 California Environmental Quality Act. The Federal Pipeline 2713 Safety Improvement Act of 2002 does not preempt local 2714 jurisdictions in California from their obligations under 2715 CEQA.

2716 Working with oil company applicants, this has resulted 2717 in oil companies in Santa Barbara County routinely including 2718 state-of-the-art leak detection and spill prevention 2719 technology, including automatic shut-off systems in their 2720 project descriptions, which are then analyzed under CEQA. 2721 Pipeline systems which include automatic shut-off systems 2722 minimize the potential impacts from oil spills, including 2723 biological hazardous materials and risk, air quality, and 2724 recreational impacts. 2725 Within the CEQA process, the County of Santa Barbara dos 2726 not dictate what equipment oil companies must use in their 2727 pipelines in order to minimize impacts from oil spills. 2728 Rather, it is the oil companies themselves, through their own 2729 engineers, who determine what technology to build into 2730 pipeline projects in order to minimize impacts from spills. 2731 Automatic shut-off systems rely on pipeline sensors which 2732 detected changes in the pressure and flow, which indicate 2733 when there may be a problem in the pipeline. When pressure 2734 or flow anomalies are detected, the system automatically 2735 shuts down the pumps and valves associated with the pipeline 2736 to limit the potential release of oil. Automatic shut-off systems are distinguished from remotely-operated systems by 2737

2738 the fact that automatic shut-off systems do not require human 2739 action, decision-making, or intervention to shut down the 2740 pipeline system. In other words, there are preset parameters 2741 which, if triggered, result in the pipeline system being 2742 automatically shut down without any human action. To be 2743 clear, the Plains All American Pipelines, both 901 and -- which 2744 was the subject of this spill, and 903, to which it connects, 2745 do not have automatic shut-off systems. With the exception 2746 of the Plains pipelines, all of the major transmission 2747 pipelines in the county are equipped with automatic shut-off 2748 These include all the pipelines that transport oil systems. 2749 and gas from the offshore platforms to facilities in Santa Barbara County, and you can see those on the map that I 2750 2751 provided for the record. 2752 Additional pipelines within the county that are equipped 2753 with automatic shut-off systems include -- the map that is on 2754 the screen, include line 96, which transports oil from the 2755 Ellwood Onshore Facility to Las Flores Canyon. Line 300, the 2756 onshore length of the pipeline from Platform Irene to the 2757 Lompoc Oil and Gas plant, and on to the Santa Maria Refinery. 2758 The Sisquoc Pump Station, which transports oil from the 2759 Sisquoc Pump Station to the Santa Maria Pump Station, and a

2760	permitted but not yet constructed pipeline in northern Santa
2761	Barbara County. Again, all of these automatic shut-off
2762	systems were incorporated into the project description for
2763	individual projects by oil company applicants prior to
2764	environmental review.
2765	That concludes my prepared comments, and I would be
2766	happy to answer questions.
2767	[The prepared statement of Ms. Dianne Black follows:]
2768	********

```
2769
          Mr. {Whitfield.} Well, thank you, Ms. Black. And thank
     all of you for your statements.
2770
2771
           And I will recognize myself for 5 minutes of questions.
2772
     Ms. Black, before you came today and listened to the
     testimony of Ms. Cummings, were you aware that 16 of the 42
2773
2774
      safety standards in the 2011 bill had not had final
2775
      regulations issued? Were you aware of that before?
2776
           Ms. {Dianne Black.} Mr. Chair, I was aware, but only
2777
     most recently had I become aware of that.
2778
           Mr. {Whitfield.} Because of this bill, all right.
2779
          Ms. {Dianne Black.} Right.
2780
           Mr. {Whitfield.} Well, do any of you have any
2781
      conclusions yourself as to why PHMSA has been not able to
2782
      complete these safety standards? Okay. All right.
2783
          Mr. Wise, on gathering lines, I know MSHA does not
2784
      require regulations of of gathering lines, but some states
2785
     have decided to regulate those. What--has Georgia taken
2786
     action on that or--
2787
           Mr. {Wise.} No, sir, because for the most part, we are
2788
     not the beneficiary of the opportunity to have gathering
2789
     lines. We are--
```

```
2790
          Mr. {Whitfield.} Right.
2791
          Mr. {Wise.} We are not a fracking state.
2792
          Mr. {Whitfield.} Yeah.
2793
          Mr. {Wise.} But we do think it is important. And
2794
      again, as I said in my summary, that it is about safety or
2795
     efficiency or both, and that if you are going to have
2796
     pipeline safety, that it is important that gathering lines
2797
     could and should be included in the states choose to enact
2798
     that level of regulation.
2799
           Mr. {Whitfield.} Yeah. Mr. Santa, do you or Mr.
2800
     Bradley or Mr. Black have any comments on gathering lines in
2801
      general?
2802
           Mr. {Santa.} Mr. Chairman, many of our associate
2803
      operators -- INGAA represents the operators of natural gas
2804
      transmission pipelines, in particular interstate transmission
2805
     pipelines. We do not represent the gathering segment of the
2806
      industry, so probably that question is best directed to those
2807
     who represent or are in that segment.
2808
          Mr. {Whitfield.} Okay. You--do you have any additional
2809
      comments you would like to make about it, Mr. Black?
2810
           Mr. {Andrew Black.} Well, oil gathering lines are
```

regulated to some extent by PHMSA if they are in a non-rural

2811

- 2812 setting, if they cross an environment, an unusually sensitive
- 2813 area, or exceed a certain diameter.
- 2814 Mr. {Whitfield.} Um-hum.
- 2815 Mr. {Andrew Black.} So more liquid is gathering
- 2816 regulations.
- 2817 Mr. {Whitfield.} Do any of you have any idea what
- 2818 percent of all the pipelines in America have these automatic
- 2819 cut-off--shut-off valves in them? Does anybody have any idea
- 2820 on that? Okay. Do you, Mr. Weimer, have any ideas on that?
- 2821 Okay.
- They are not required, and I know that MSHA has
- 2823 conducted a study on this, and I guess as a layman you would
- 2824 think that this would be of benefit, but I keep hearing from
- 2825 technical people that it is not always a benefit. Would
- 2826 anyone have a comment on that? Yeah, Mr. Black.
- 2827 Mr. {Andrew Black.} Liquid pipeline operators recommend
- 2828 and widely use automated remotely operated shut-off valves so
- 2829 that a trained control room operator can deploy that valve as
- 2830 part of a controlled shut-down of a pipeline. Long-haul,
- 2831 high pressure, liquid pipeline operators generally do not use
- 2832 automatic shut-off valves and don't recommend it because of
- 2833 the pressure surge that can be created from a quick shut-off

- 2834 of an automatic valve that is not part of a planned shutdown.
- 2835 We have analyzed and found nine releases in the past that are
- 2836 because of conditions similar to an automatic shut-off valve
- 2837 shutting. Found one rupture of--that put 4,000 barrels of
- 2838 refined products out on the right-of-way, that was caused
- 2839 because of conditions like automatic shut-off valves. So in
- 2840 liquids, long-haul, high pressure pipelines, automatic shut-
- 2841 off valves are not recommended.
- 2842 Mr. {Whitfield.} Now, corrosion of pipelines is a major
- 2843 concern, correct? And it is my understanding that in
- 2844 different geographical areas, there are different amounts of
- 2845 corrosion. Is that true or is that not true?
- 2846 Mr. {Santa.} Yes, Mr. Chairman, that is true because a
- 2847 lot of it has to do with the environment--
- 2848 Mr. {Whitfield.} Yeah.
- 2849 Mr. {Santa.} --in which the pipeline is located, the
- 2850 soil, things of that nature.
- 2851 Mr. {Whitfield.} And I have heard that in the Santa
- 2852 Barbara area that that is prone to a lot of corrosion there.
- 2853 Would anybody be able to confirm that or not? Okay.
- Okay, now, would you agree--all of you would agree that
- 2855 pipelines still is the safest way to transport this material.

```
2856
      Is that -- is everybody in agreement with that?
2857
           Mr. {Wise.} Yes, sir. You know, and clearly, you know,
2858
      and as I have directed in our state, that we have had an
2859
      aggressive replacement of bare steel and cast iron, and so
2860
      where we had a very safe program to begin with, it is even
2861
      safer today. And the One-Call Program has made it continue
2862
      to be safer.
2863
           Mr. {Whitfield.} Okay.
2864
           Mr. {Wise.} But it is an extraordinary delivery
2865
     process.
2866
           Mr. {Whitfield.}
                             Right.
2867
           Okay, Mrs. Capps, you are recognized for 5 minutes.
           Mrs. {Capps.} Thank you. I want to thank all the
2868
      witnesses for being here today and for your testimony, and
2869
2870
     particularly, of course, my constituent coming all the way
2871
      from California, and the fact that she testified in the state
2872
     panel just last week on this topic. And in the wake of our--
2873
      of the Plains oil spill on May 19 on our shoreline, there has
2874
     been a lot of discussion about the fact that the Plains All
2875
      American -- we have touched on it already, the Plains All
     American Pipeline is not equipped with an automatic shut-off
2876
2877
      system. And when questioned about this, that particular
```

```
2878
      company echoed the longstanding industry position that such
2879
      systems are not feasible for oil pipelines, yet Santa Barbara
2880
      County has demonstrated this claim is not true.
2881
           So, Ms. Black, you explained in your testimony that
2882
      there are numerous pipelines in Santa Barbara County that
2883
      employ automatic shut-off systems and state-of-the-art leak
2884
      detection technologies. Would you describe a few of the
2885
     projects currently using these advanced safety systems in our
2886
     county?
2887
           Ms. {Dianne Black.} I went over those very briefly in
2888
     my testimony, but I will--
2889
          Mrs. {Capps.} I know--
2890
           Ms. {Dianne Black.} --repeat it--
2891
          Mrs. {Capps.} --but--
2892
           Ms. {Dianne Black.} I will repeat it a bit more slowly
2893
      and emphasize that when I talk about automatic shut-off
2894
      systems, I really mean the whole system. So I have heard the
2895
      testimony about--from oil company representatives that they
2896
      feel it is not the best practice, and that there is some risk
2897
      involved. That has not been our experience. We have looked
2898
      at them as a system where the pump is shut off and then
2899
     valves are shut off sequentially. So I think we really have
```

2900 to talk about it as a system rather than valves. 2901 So in Santa Barbara County, in addition to the pipelines 2902 that come from the platforms and have shut-off systems, we 2903 have several pipelines, most recently line 96, which is 2904 associated with the Venoco's Platform Holly, transports oil 2905 from the Ellwood Onshore Facility to Las Flores Canyon, and 2906 then ties into the Plains All American Pipeline. That has an 2907 automatic shut-off system. Line 300, which is the onshore 2908 length of pipeline from Platform Irene to the Lompoc Oil and 2909 Gas Plant, and then on to the Santa Maria Refinery--2910 Mrs. {Capps.} Um-hum. 2911 Ms. {Dianne Black.} --has an automatic shut-off system. 2912 The Sisquoc Pipeline which transports oil from the Sisquoc 2913 Pump Station to the Santa Maria Pump Station, so pretty much 2914 onshore oil, also has an automatic shut-off system. And then 2915 we most recently permitted the Foxen Petroleum Pipeline, 2916 which was permitted with an automatic shut-off system. 2917 Mrs. {Capps.} And I would like to ask for these to be 2918 submitted for the record, Mr. Chairman. And I want to--just 2919 to follow up briefly, has the use of any of these advanced 2920 systems caused any secondary ruptures or other serious 2921 problems in the county?

```
2922
          Ms. {Dianne Black.} No, not in our entire history with
2923
     them.
2924
          Mrs. {Capps.} Okay. And oil development continues to
2925
      thrive in the area, am I correct on that?
2926
           Ms. {Dianne Black.} It does, as you can see from the
2927
     map, although offshore oil has somewhat declined--
2928
           Mrs. {Capps.} Right.
2929
          Ms. {Dianne Black.} --because of resources.
2930
           Mrs. {Capps.} And no pipeline operators have gone
2931
     bankrupt due to the cost of installing these systems, to your
2932
      knowledge?
2933
           Ms. {Dianne Black.} No.
2934
           Mrs. {Capps.} Okay. There has also been some confusion
2935
      regarding the definition of the term automatic. Plains and
2936
      others--other companies often refer to their systems as
2937
     automatic even though a human operator must still decide to
2938
     activate. That was the case with Plains. To clarify, the
2939
     automatic shut-off system installed in Santa Barbara County,
2940
      the ones you were describing, require no human intervention,
2941
      is that correct--
2942
          Ms. {Dianne Black.} That--
```

Mrs. {Capps.} --just to be clear?

```
2944
          Ms. {Dianne Black.} That is correct.
2945
          Mrs. {Capps.} And can you briefly explain how these
2946
      advanced systems work compared to those on the ruptured
2947
      Plains pipeline? Why are they so much more effective at
2948
      detecting and stopping spills?
2949
           Ms. {Dianne Black.} They are more effective because
2950
      they don't require human interaction or intervention.
2951
      shut off based upon preset parameters, so an operator isn't
2952
     having to make a decision that--
2953
           Mrs. {Capps.} All right.
2954
           Ms. {Dianne Black.} --an actual parameter has been
2955
      reached.
2956
           Mrs. {Capps.} Now, here is the other thing, and maybe
2957
      this goes to CEQA too, our local program. While the local
2958
      companies technically voluntarily install the more advanced
2959
      systems, it is clear that the law, both state and federal,
2960
     have played an important role. Can you elaborate on this,
2961
     what--I mean it is kind of like--it sets the standard and
2962
      everybody kind of gets onboard just because the consequences
2963
      of not doing so. So there is a self regulatory agency as
2964
     well. What is the policy mechanism that pushed these
2965
      companies to proactively include the state-of-the-art
```

- 2966 technologies in their project?
- 2967 Ms. {Dianne Black.} Well, the California Environmental
- 2968 Quality Act is a very powerful tool in California. The
- 2969 County of Santa Barbara reviews the whole of every project,
- 2970 whether it is something that is under our direct jurisdiction
- 2971 or not, we review it. And so pipeline companies in Santa
- 2972 Barbara County include in their project descriptions
- 2973 automatic shut-off systems to mitigate upfront the impacts of
- 2974 a potential spill.
- 2975 Mrs. {Capps.} I have used up my time, Mr. Chairman.
- 2976 Thank you very much.
- 2977 Mr. {Latta.} [Presiding] The gentlelady's time has
- 2978 expired and she yields back.
- 2979 And I would also like to thank our panel today for being
- 2980 here. It has been very informational.
- 2981 Mr. Wise, I think you were here for the testimony when
- 2982 Ms. Cummings was testifying, and she noted that there are
- 2983 issues with getting information from the states in a cost-
- 2984 effective way. Would you speak to the data you worked to
- 2985 provide to PHMSA?
- 2986 Mr. {Wise.} I am sorry, sir?
- 2987 Mr. {Latta.} Do you--on--Ms. Cummings noted that there

2988 are issues with getting information from the states, and -- in 2989 a cost-effective--and getting that information in a cost-2990 effective way. Would you be able to speak to the data that 2991 you worked to help to provide to PHMSA in their mapping and 2992 everything else? 2993 Mr. {Wise.} In my role as a commissioner, it is a state 2994 commission, we believe that our office of pipeline safety is 2995 extraordinary, and they do a great job of getting the 2996 information that is requested. And when there is an issue, 2997 PHMSA relates it to our staff and then we respond very 2998 quickly to that. And so I don't--I believe that we have a 2999 good working relationship, that they acknowledge the role 3000 that we played in Georgia to have a very safe delivery 3001 system, and I would be surprised to hear that, at least in my 3002 state, and haven't heard it from the other states, that there 3003 is a problem with information coming from the state 3004 commissions. 3005 Mr. {Latta.} Let me ask, also in your testimony that--3006 you said that PHMSA has not updated the National Pipeline 3007 Mapping System including the identification of the high 3008 consequence areas. Could you kind of go into that and the 3009 high consequence areas, and maybe what should be done?

3010 Mr. {Wise.} Well, again, there is going to be the high 3011 deliverability, and it is an issue that clearly is important 3012 to the states and the regulators, and I think it was a 3013 question that was asked of one of the representatives -- the 3014 members today about releasing that information to the public, 3015 and we are not knowledgeable of some of this mapping to this 3016 point, and believe it should be released. 3017 Mr. {Latta.} Well, thank you. 3018 Mr. Black, how do pipeline operators use the inline 3019 inspection of so-called smart pig technology to find problems 3020 in the pipelines? 3021 Mr. {Andrew Black.} Yeah, the smart pig device travels 3022 inside of the pipeline, gathering information about the 3023 pipeline as it goes. It uses technology to detect wall 3024 thickness, and different technologies to determine potential 3025 crack. And then the information that is provided from the 3026 onboard computer that has traveled through the pipeline is 3027 reviewed. That is raw data, it is terabytes of it, that 3028 third party experts, as Director Cummings said, then review 3029 and they determine whether the information that has been 3030 provided is just about the natural features of the pipe or is 3031 about something that an operator needs to consider

3032 addressing. And importantly also, the smart pig vendor and 3033 the pipeline company will uncover a section of the pipeline 3034 that has been tested and review the results of the inline 3035 inspection to field inspections to calibrate the accuracy of 3036 This has succeeded in reducing corrosion-caused the pig. 3037 incidents by more than 76 percent over the last 15 percent. 3038 And corrosion was really the reason for modern integrity 3039 management and the advent of this inline inspection 3040 technology. It has been proven successful. Got a lot of R&D 3041 right now to improve the ability of the machines to sense 3042 more, and then the analytics of the people to determine what 3043 the machines are telling us. 3044 Mr. {Latta.} Thank you. 3045 Mr. Santa, could you talk more about the 9-point 3046 pipeline safety action plan you mentioned, and what INGAA has 3047 done to educate the public about the safety measures that are 3048 undertaken with pipeline projects? 3049 Mr. {Santa.} Yes, sir. In the wake of the San Bruno 3050 tragedy in 2010, the INGAA Board committed to a set of 3051 voluntary commitments to improve pipeline safety. As I said 3052 in my testimony, it was anchored in the goal of zero 3053 incidents as the goal. That 9-nine program includes many of

3054 the elements that were addressed in the 2011 pipeline safety reauthorization, as well as some of the relevant NTSB 3055 3056 recommendations. I won't go through all of the 9 points. 3057 Two of them to point out is a commitment to expand the use of 3058 integrity management, the -- consequence areas. Another one is 3059 to perform the testing of pipe where it is necessary to 3060 verify the material strength of that pipe. We have engaged 3061 in an extensive outreach with pipeline safety stakeholders to 3062 keep them abreast of the progress that we are making there. 3063 Pipeline companies as part of their outreach to the public 3064 along their corridors communicate this, and also in 3065 connection with new pipeline projects, part of the outreach 3066 to the public includes addressing the safety of these 3067 systems. 3068 Mr. {Latta.} Well, thank you very much. 3069 And I am going to yield back, and recognize the gentleman from California for 5 minutes. 3070 3071 Mr. {McNerney.} Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 3072 I want to thank the panel. Very informative. I only 3073 have 5 minutes of questions, so I am a little disappointed. 3074 Mr. Cummings, I am going to start with you. I asked -- I 3075 mean Mr. Weimer. I asked Mrs. Cummings--or Ms. Cummings to

3076 describe the rulemaking process. Her answer was very 3077 roundabout, very hard to understand. Is it a transparent 3078 process and is there a good deal of room for improvement in 3079 that process? 3080 Mr. {Weimer.} Yes, we think there is a good deal of 3081 room for improvement. Parts of it are transparent when they 3082 do the advance notice of proposed rulemaking, and the notice 3083 of proposed rulemaking. It opens it up for public comments, 3084 and all those public comments are transparent. Where it kind 3085 of disappears from that transparency is in the interim. 3086 already--like on the liquid rule that was drafted almost 5 3087 years ago, they went through an advanced notice of proposed 3088 rulemaking, took lots of public comments, supposedly went 3089 back and then wrote a proposed rule, and then it disappeared 3090 into the black box somewhere between the Secretary's office 3091 and the White House. 3092 Mr. {McNerney.} Um-hum. 3093 Mr. {Weimer.} And that part of the process of where 3094 that is and why it has taken so long I--is, I think, why 3095 everybody up here has been frustrated. 3096 Mr. {McNerney.} And the agency wouldn't have any

3097

control over that.

3098 Mr. {Weimer.} Well, I think once PHMSA writes the rule 3099 and sends it up, it's over their head. So, you know--3100 Mr. {McNerney.} Um-hum. 3101 Mr. {Weimer.} --it is above their pay grade at that 3102 point. 3103 Mr. {McNerney.} Well, you mentioned that Congress 3104 should expedite the rulemaking or write rules into the 3105 legislation. Now, in my opinion, that would open up a lot of 3106 opportunity for legal action, you know, for law suits. 3107 Wouldn't that be the case? 3108 Mr. {Weimer.} Well, I think it is probably wise that 3109 you are not pipeline engineers and experts that -- so drafting 3110 rules might not be the best for Congress, but there are 3111 instances where that has been very successful. In the 2006 3112 Act, you put a rule right in there for excess flow valves 3113 that led to millions of excess flow valves being put on the 3114 new houses being constructed around the country that the NTSB 3115 says has saved lives. 3116 Mr. {McNerney.} Um-hum. 3117 Mr. {Weimer.} And so there are a few instances where 3118 specific rules that are pretty well clarified can be put

3119

right into the statute.

```
3120
          Mr. {McNerney.} Well, thank you. Well, we are seeing
3121
      an abundance of natural gas production now in this country,
3122
      do we have the capacity to manage that safely with minimum
3123
      leakage and minimum opportunity for accidents?
3124
           Mr. {Weimer.} Well, I think it is a good step forward.
3125
     The new budget that PHMSA was given to hire more inspectors
3126
     and enforcement folks, and to help support the states in that
3127
           I think the piece that is missing is the gathering
3128
      lines that has been talked about. When Ms. Cummings talks
3129
      about 2.6 million miles of pipelines in this country, she
3130
      isn't including the 2 or 300,000 miles of gathering lines
3131
      that are completely unregulated--
3132
           Mr. {McNerney.} Um-hum.
3133
           Mr. {Weimer.} --in most all states.
3134
          Mr. {McNerney.} Okay, thank you.
3135
          Mr. Black, I am going to follow up on the smart pig
3136
      question. Do you think that is the best technology that is
3137
      out there for inspecting pipes?
3138
           Mr. {Andrew Black.} Of the methods of conducting
3139
      integrity management, we have found inline inspection or
3140
      smart pigs to be the best. So now the research projects are
3141
      about how to make those pigs more capable, and to improve our
```

3142 capability to process that information. We find the 3143 hydrostatic pressure testing to be very helpful when 3144 commissioning a new pipeline, for understanding if it is 3145 ready for operation. 3146 Mr. {McNerney.} Is there any technology out there that 3147 you think is going to make it easier or cheaper to conduct 3148 testing? 3149 Mr. {Andrew Black.} Well, it is not getting cheaper to 3150 use these. The pigs are getting more specialized. Whereas 3151 there used to be one type of pig that did one type of data 3152 gathering, now operators are using multiple types of--3153 Mr. {McNerney.} Um-hum. 3154 Mr. {Andrew Black.} --technologies. That means 3155 multiple types of inspections or several in the same train 3156 with--inside a pipe. That is where technology is growing, 3157 and we are spending a lot of money on research and 3158 development and a consortia to try to improve that record 3159 further and drive down the number of incidents. 3160 Mr. {McNerney.} Okay. Mr. Santa, you mentioned that 3161 there is a need for consistency between voluntary actions and 3162 rules. How do you find the cooperation between the agency

3163

and the private sector?

- 3164 Mr. {Santa.} Mr. McNerney, as I mentioned in my 3165 testimony, INGAA and its members have engaged with PHMSA and 3166 other stakeholders following the 2011 reauthorization, and we 3167 found that to be a good and productive process, and we 3168 believe that our input will be reflected in the rules when 3169 they are proposed. By the same token, we need that certainty 3170 that comes with those proposed rules, and also as I noted, 3171 given the delays that have occurred and that may well 3172 continue, getting some items addressed in the reauthorization 3173 we think would be constructive. 3174 Mr. {McNerney.} I just want to say, Mr. Wise, I felt a 3175 lot of--or I detected a lot of frustration in your testimony 3176 about unpublished information that is out there, the delays, 3177 and I know you don't have time to answer on my 5 minutes, but 3178 I certainly appreciate your comments. 3179 Mr. {Wise.} Thank you, sir. 3180 Mr. {McNerney.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 3181 Mr. {Whitfield.} At this time, recognize the gentleman 3182 from Virginia, Mr. Griffith, for 5 minutes. 3183 Mr. {Griffith.} Thank you all very much for being here.
- I asked in the--to the earlier panel about collocation
- 3185 and if they knew of any safety concerns with locating within

3186 the same easement, and I will open that up to any of you all. 3187 Do you all know of any safety concerns, mainly talking about 3188 natural gas? Two pipelines, one in my district, one in just 3189 outside of my district, are being built in Virginia, are 3190 being proposed in Virginia as we speak. Anybody know why 3191 they can't collocate with other gas pipelines or in the same 3192 easement footprint? Any safety reasons anybody knows about? 3193 Mr. Bradley? 3194 Mr. {Bradley.} Yeah, I will take a shot at answering 3195 from the perspective of the natural gas distribution 3196 companies. We are in the streets with the water departments, 3197 with the sewer departments, and many times our infrastructure 3198 went in the same time. So you pick a year, 1950, 1940, so we 3199 are back together. We do that -- we try to go in together to 3200 make sure that we minimize the impact on the town, the 3201 neighborhood, and we keep costs down by sharing some of the 3202 restoration, repave kind of costs. With that being said, it 3203 is still important for us to make sure that there is some 3204 separation that is acceptable between the infrastructure. 3205 So we try to leverage the proximity in local build-up 3206 communities, and we do go in, not in the same trench but in 3207 the same street, we just keep the right separation.

- 3208 Mr. {Griffith.} Thank you very much. I appreciate it.
- 3209 Anybody else want to--
- 3210 Mr. {Santa.} I mean there are instances where natural
- 3211 gas transmission pipelines are collocated with other
- 3212 infrastructure, for example, sometimes the same corridors as
- 3213 electric transmission lines. There are issues there that
- 3214 need to be addressed in terms of the cathodic protection of
- 3215 the pipelines and things of that nature. So one needs to be
- 3216 mindful of that. But I think pipeline operators look for
- 3217 opportunities to use corridors that already have been used to
- 3218 avoid the disruption and the effects on the communities and
- 3219 the environment.
- 3220 Mr. {Griffith.} And assuming that there isn't a safety
- 3221 concern, that would be a wise path, would it not?
- 3222 Mr. {Santa.} For purposes of minimizing disruption, it
- 3223 would be, yes.
- 3224 Mr. {Griffith.} Absolutely. Appreciate that very much.
- 3225 Mr. Bradley, do local distribution companies pay user
- 3226 fees for the transmission lines?
- 3227 Mr. {Bradley.} By way of paying for the transmission
- 3228 service, we do--
- 3229 Mr. {Griffith.} Yes, sir.

```
3230
          Mr. {Bradley.} --pay user fees indirectly.
          Mr. {Griffith.} All right.
3231
3232
          Mr. {Bradley.} Yes.
3233
          Mr. {Griffith.} And you mentioned in your testimony
3234
      that there are several regulations that have just been
3235
      implemented and need time to work before assessing whether
3236
      additional changes need to be made to enhance safety. Can
3237
      you tell me what some of those regulations are?
3238
           Mr. {Bradley.} Could you say that again?
3239
           Mr. {Griffith.} Yes, sir. You said in your testimony
3240
      there are several regulations that have recently or just been
3241
      implemented and need time to work before assessing whether
3242
      additional changes need to be made to enhance safety.
3243
           Mr. {Bradley.} Yeah, thank you. So we are watching the
3244
      regulations around construction. There is a regulation that
3245
     was just released around construction around new
3246
      infrastructure. So, for example, there is a lot of gas main
3247
     being installed. There is a drive for it, not only from a
3248
      safety perspective, but from a brand new service to customers
3249
     perspective. And in doing that, a number of our local
3250
      distribution companies just aren't staffed for it, so we
3251
     contract the work out. We want to make sure that the
```

3252 contractors are qualified effectively to do the work. 3253 is a ruling out that we are reviewing right now that speaks 3254 to that. We want to make sure that it is going to hit the 3255 need that is required for this. 3256 Mr. {Griffith.} All right. And can you tell me how 3257 data is gathered and shared among the industry over time that 3258 might be used to enhance regulations in the future--3259 Mr. {Bradley.} Um-hum. 3260 Mr. {Griffith.} --or help us figure out what we ought 3261 to do? 3262 Mr. {Bradley.} HEA member companies are involved in a 3263 number of activities that support that, so we meet frequently 3264 for best practice reviews. We like to check in with each 3265 other to figure out who is doing whatever it is best. We 3266 look at benchmark data, we see who has that figured out, 3267 whether it is OSHA recordables, whether it is pipeline 3268 incidents, we bring people in to meet. One of the big issues 3269 that you have heard about is underground damages. So we want 3270 to understand the best performer relative to hits per 1,000 3271 ticket calls. We want to bring those in and talk to them. 3272 We do that frequently at the American Gas Association. 3273 addition, we do peer reviews -- peer - to - peer reviews with our

- 3274 companies.
- 3275 Mr. {Griffith.} Well, I do appreciate that.
- I do have another safety question one of my constituents
- 3277 has asked, because we are dealing with these pipeline issues,
- 3278 and particularly large natural gas pipelines. Right now,
- 3279 they are being told it is safe to drive over them when they
- 3280 put them in the ground. They are being told they can drive
- 3281 over it. Does anybody know of any reason why that would be a
- 3282 problem, because a lot of my folks are going to harvest
- 3283 timber once every 35 to 50 years. Would that be a problem
- 3284 for a timber truck to drive over one of these, or a fully
- 3285 loaded cattle truck?
- 3286 Mr. {Santa.} Mr. Griffith, I think those kind of issues
- 3287 would be addressed in the design of the pipeline, and there
- 3288 is a very extensive review of that that occurs at the Federal
- 3289 Energy Regulatory Commission, and also as part of that there
- 3290 is the application of the PHMSA pipeline safety rules during
- 3291 that process. So I do not think there is any reason for
- 3292 undue concern about that.
- 3293 Mr. {Griffith.} All right. I appreciate it very much.
- 3294 And I yield back.
- 3295 Mr. {Whitfield.} The gentleman yields back.

3296 At this time, recognize the gentleman from New York, Mr. 3297 Tonko, for 5 minutes. 3298 Mr. {Tonko.} Thank you, Mr. Chair. 3299 Mr. Weimer, your testimony points to a recent National 3300 Transportation Safety Board report on the integrity 3301 management of gas transmission pipelines in high consequence 3302 areas. You list seven areas that the NTSB identified for 3303 improvement in these system requirements. Has PHMSA acted on 3304 any of these recommendations at this point? 3305 Mr. {Weimer.} No, I--they are fairly new regulations 3306 and PHMSA hasn't acted on them. It is another one of those 3307 things that may be in some of the regulations they are 3308 working on. There was a lot of discussion as part of the 3309 advanced notice of proposed rulemaking on both the liquid and 3310 the gas side about strengthening some of the integrity 3311 management rules. So some of those things may be acted on, 3312 but they haven't been acted on yet. 3313 Mr. {Tonko.} Thank you. And there are several major 3314 natural gas pipeline projects, either proposed or underway, 3315 that will pass through my given congressional district. 3316 These projects are quite unpopular in the communities that

will be hosting them. And my constituents have raised a

3318 number of concerns about these projects, including the safety 3319 of the pipelines and the associated facilities. Compression 3320 stations, as an example. Also because these projects will 3321 pass through small communities, my concern is that they do 3322 not come under the high consequence area designation. For 3323 anyone impacted by an accident, there is no such thing as a low consequence area. And it sounds as if the Integrity 3324 3325 Management Program isn't achieving the additional safety we 3326 would all like it--like to see. 3327 So what standards, materials, and technologies are available to ensure greater safety of pipelines and their 3328 3329 associated facilities? 3330 Mr. {Weimer.} Well, I think the Integrity Management 3331 Program has achieved some of the things that it was set out 3332 to do, and the recent NTSB study that I had mentioned paints 3333 that picture, that for some time dependent flaws like 3334 corrosion, it has been pretty successful, and NTSB says that 3335 in those rural areas that are not currently covered under 3336 integrity management or those high consequence areas, 3337 expansion of those types of technologies into those areas 3338 would help. The problem they saw was that companies aren't 3339 really integrating other types of risks into their integrity

```
3340
     management very well. It has helped with some things, but
3341
     not across the board.
3342
          Mr. {Tonko.} Um-hum. And your testimony indicates that
3343
      significant incidents are increasing on pipelines in high
3344
      consequence areas, and apparently, excavation is one of the
3345
     main causes of significant pipeline incidents. So I gathered
3346
      from Mr. Cummings' response to my question to her about--or
3347
     Ms. Cummings' response to my question to her about whether
3348
      PHMSA has accurate and complete maps of pipeline locations,
3349
      that the answer is no.
3350
           Mr. {Weimer.} Yeah, and that is one of the rules they
3351
      are working on, strengthening that National Pipeline Mapping
3352
      System. The current plus or minus factor on a lot of that
3353
     maps is plus or minus 500 feet. So the pipeline could be,
3354
      you know, on the other side of the road or a different side
3355
      of the neighborhood than where--
3356
          Mr. {Tonko.} And--
3357
          Mr. {Weimer.} --it shows on their maps.
3358
           Mr. {Tonko.} And to the impacted communities, that is a
3359
      relevant situation.
3360
           Mr. {Weimer.} Right, and--
```

3361

Mr. {Tonko.} Yeah.

3362 Mr. {Weimer.} But the one thing to mention is that 3363 those maps should never be used for excavation. You know, if 3364 you really are going to go in and dig, you need to call 811 3365 to get a really accurate location of the -- where the pipeline 3366 is. 3367 Mr. {Tonko.} And I think it is easy to calculate that 3368 the efforts of PHMSA to communicate with local authorities 3369 about how to avoid excavation damage is handicapped by that 3370 lack of information. Frankly, this is shocking. At a 3371 minimum, we should know the location of the existing 3372 networks. Would this information help to avoid the problems 3373 we are seeing with excavation damage to pipelines? 3374 Mr. {Weimer.} Well, I think more accurate maps would 3375 help give people an idea of where the pipelines are in their 3376 area but really the way to get at the excavation damage is 3377 just for everybody to really understand that 811, Call Before 3378 You Dig. That is the accurate system that is going to really 3379 keep--3380 Mr. {Tonko.} And is it a resource problem when it comes 3381 to accurate mapping, or are there other barriers that face us 3382 in obtaining or organizing this information? 3383 Mr. {Weimer.} Yeah, I think to some degree it is a

resource problem. I have heard the industry talk about how

expensive it would be to go out and GIS their pipelines to

more accurate, you know--lots of companies have already done

that, but other companies haven't.

Mr. {Weimer.} The states--this is really a federal

- 3388 Mr. {Tonko.} Did the states do a better job?
- 3390 regulation of the NPS mapping, so it falls on PHMSA.
- 3391 Mr. {Tonko.} Thank you very much.
- 3392 Mr. Chairman, I see I have exhausted my time. I yield
- 3393 back.

- 3394 Mr. {Whitfield.} Thank you, Mr. Tonko.
- 3395 We were waiting for Mr. Green, who I was told was on his
- 3396 way.
- 3397 Mr. Weimer, one other question I would just like to ask
- 3398 you, you had mentioned in your opening statement that the
- 3399 public--the Pipeline Safety Trust was funded originally
- 3400 through the Department of Justice in a court case. I was
- 3401 curious, your funding today, is that through private
- 3402 donations or how is that done today?
- 3403 Mr. {Weimer.} Well, luckily, the Board members of the
- 3404 Pipeline Safety Trust, who were the families originally that
- 3405 had lost their children in that explosion, invested that

- 3406 money very wisely, so we received \$4 million from the Justice
- 3407 Department to create the trust. I think we have \$4.4 million
- 3408 of it today, so a large degree we live off that wise
- 3409 investment, and we also do get some grants, and we run an
- 3410 annual national conference that brings in some money also.
- Mr. {Whitfield.} Excellent. Okay, thanks.
- Mr. Green has appeared. So at this time, I would like
- 3413 to recognize the gentleman from Texas for 5 minutes.
- 3414 Mr. {Green.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 3415 Commissioner Wise, PHMSA has not published any
- 3416 information pertaining to valves, integrity management, or
- 3417 leak detection. Can you explain what steps the Georgia
- 3418 Public Service Commission can and has taken to address these
- 3419 issues without PHMSA action?
- 3420 Mr. {Wise.} We are very interested both in my state and
- 3421 the National Association on this information. We think it is
- 3422 vital to understand the impact and a potential rate structure
- 3423 impact on the LDCs, and the customers that pay their bills.
- Mr. {Green.} Um-hum. Okay. In your testimony you
- 3425 mentioned gathering lines. Can you explain your position on
- 3426 the issue of what PHMSA needs to do to fulfill its
- 3427 responsibilities?

3428 Mr. {Wise.} We believe the review should be left to the 3429 individual states as to the level of scrutiny and inspection 3430 of gathering lines. PHMSA should respond to that request. 3431 Georgia is not going to be one, we don't have gathering 3432 lines, but I think a number of states, for safety and 3433 integrity of the system, we should have some level of review. 3434 Mr. {Green.} Okay. Obviously, in Texas we have no 3435 shortage of gathering lines. 3436 Mr. Weimer, it seems that PHMSA is focused on public 3437 awareness but hasn't accomplished much by way of regulation. 3438 It seems that the industry has done quite a bit to address 3439 pipeline safety even without PHMSA. What are your thoughts 3440 on the industry actions? 3441 Mr. {Weimer.} Yes, public awareness has been a hard nut 3442 to crack and the industry has spent tens of millions of 3443 dollars on it. There are regulations that require the 3444 industry to reach out to a variety of stakeholders, the 3445 public, local public officials, or emergency responders, but 3446 there is no requirement on the other end that the local 3447 governments pay attention. So to some degree, the industry 3448 has been pushing out a lot of information, but it is falling 3449 in the hands of people that are way too busy already and it

3450 is not being paid attention to enough. Somehow, we need to 3451 learn to message better to all those local governments so 3452 they pay attention instead of waiting until something 3453 happens, like in Santa Barbara, and then all of a sudden they 3454 are paying lots of attention. 3455 Mr. {Green.} Well, it is frustrating though because I 3456 think I have been on the committee for about three or four 3457 pipeline reauthorizations, and this is, say, 4 years from 3458 when we last did it and they still haven't gotten most of the 3459 requirements that we wanted done in 2011. Do you think that 3460 two additional years would provide PHMSA the appropriate 3461 amount to finalize these outstanding policies? 3462 Mr. {Weimer.} I think it would provide them enough time 3463 to get most of that done. You know, it sounds like a lot of 3464 the new rules are about to rule out. Once the rule comes 3465 out, there is going to be another year for the public--for 3466 all the stakeholders to comment and for them to redraft the 3467 rule, so we are not going to really know what is and isn't in 3468 these rules for a couple of years, one way or another at this 3469 point. 3470 Mr. {Green.} Yeah. Mr. Santa, in your testimony you

discuss the PHMSA user fee. What benefits would increased

3472 assessments provide? 3473 Mr. {Santa.} The--Mr. Green, the user fee offsets the 3474 cost of the PHMSA Program and also is the source of a lot of 3475 the funds that PHMSA provides to the states in the form of 3476 state grants. Mr. {Green.} Um-hum. 3477 3478 Mr. {Santa.} And I know that Mr. Wise in his testimony 3479 on behalf of NARUC made the case for even more funding there. 3480 I think the point raised by INGAA in our testimony had to do 3481 with the equity of the way the user fee is structured by 3482 statute, that it is collected only from natural gas 3483 transmission pipeline operators, when in fact, the large 3484 majority of the funds collected via that fee are used for 3485 other purposes. And we raised questions as to whether or not 3486 this still legitimately constitutes a user fee. We pointed 3487 out that the Senate Appropriations Committee and the 3488 Transportation, Housing and Urban Development bill 3489 highlighted this issue. So I think it is an effective 3490 mechanism to fund the program, however, the equity of it in 3491 terms of the collection and the beneficiaries is something I 3492 think that needs to be addressed both as a matter of policy 3493 and also to continue to satisfy the law.

3494 Mr. {Green.} Would that be something we could do in a 3495 pipeline safety reauthorization? 3496 Mr. {Santa.} Yes, sir, I believe it is. 3497 something that -- in that language in the Senate Appropriations 3498 Bill, they noted that it was something for the authorizing 3499 committees to address. 3500 Mr. {Green.} Okay. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 3501 Mr. {Whitfield.} Thank you. 3502 At this time, I would recognize the gentleman from 3503 Illinois, Mr. Rush, for 5 minutes. 3504 Mr. {Rush.} Mr. Chairman, I know I am--I am going to be 3505 brief. 3506 I just have a question for Ms. Black. 3507 Mrs. Black, I want to thank you for being here, and all 3508 of our thoughts and prayers are with you and the Santa 3509 Barbara area as you continue to deal with the spill that 3510 caused so very much damage to your community. 3511 What was your initial reaction when you heard that 3512 Plains America, the same owners of the pipeline that ruptured 3513 in Santa Barbara just 2 months ago, was also responsible for 3514 another, although smaller, leak in Illinois this past

weekend, and are you satisfied with the corrective action

- 3516 that PHMSA imposed on Plains America? And lastly, do you
- 3517 feel that there is more to be done either from the standpoint
- 3518 of information-sharing, regulatory authority, additional
- 3519 resources, or any other area that could help empower local
- 3520 communities and help prevent future disasters?
- Ms. {Dianne Black.} That was a long question.
- 3522 Mr. {Rush.} Yes, it was.
- Ms. {Dianne Black.} So I will try to piece it apart and
- 3524 answer it.
- 3525 Mr. {Rush.} Yes, ma'am.
- 3526 Ms. {Dianne Black.} Please feel free to follow up if I
- 3527 miss pieces of it.
- 3528 Mr. {Rush.} Um-hum.
- 3529 Ms. {Dianne Black.} So reaction. I felt sorry for the
- 3530 community that also suffered. So that was really personal
- 3531 reaction.
- In terms of satisfaction with the corrective order, I
- 3533 will let you know. It depends on what sort of process is
- 3534 undertaken to recommission line 901. I am satisfied that it
- 3535 shut in right now. I am satisfied that Plains has not
- 3536 recommissioned line 903, at least as to the Sisquoc Pump
- 3537 Station. So I am satisfied with that right now, but I don't-

3538 -I am concerned about recommissioning that line without 3539 having the protection systems in place that are in place for 3540 other pipelines within the county. So I would be very 3541 satisfied if an automatic shut-off system were put into place 3542 on that pipeline. And I would be very satisfied to see the 3543 smart pig results and the interpretation of those results to 3544 see if there are other issues within the line. 3545 Mr. {Rush.} So you--the company--are you satisfied then 3546 with the level of responsibility that the company assumed --3547 that Plains America assumed and their subsequent actions in 3548 Santa Barbara, were they strong actions, corrective actions? 3549 Ms. {Dianne Black.} So the response in Santa Barbara 3550 County so far has really been clean-up efforts. And yes, I 3551 think that under unified command, Plains has done a good job 3552 of addressing clean-up concerns. What we haven't seen yet is 3553 the recommissioning efforts; what is going to happen when 3554 that pipeline is put back into service, and what sorts of 3555 systems will be in place then. 3556 Mr. {Rush.} Um-hum. Thank you very much. 3557 Mr. Chairman, these witnesses have been in the chair for 3558 a long time, and you have been in the chair for a long time,

so in the interest of time I am going to yield back the

```
3560
     balance of my time.
3561
           Mr. {Whitfield.} You know what, you are a fine
3562
     gentleman.
3563
           Mr. {Rush.} I thought so. I thought so.
3564
           Mr. {Whitfield.} Well, I want to thank the witnesses
3565
      for being with us today. We do value your input. And as we
3566
     move toward reauthorization, of course, your comments will be
3567
     useful.
3568
           I am also inserting into the record, at the request of
3569
     Mrs. Capps, the corrective action orders from the Pipeline
3570
     and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration to the Plains
3571
     Pipeline Company, without objection.
3572
           [The information follows:]
```

******* COMMITTEE INSERT ********

3574 Mr. {Whitfield.} And then we will keep the record open 3575 for 10 days for any additional materials. I know that one of 3576 the Democratic members had asked to submit some questions, I 3577 think, to Ms. Cummings, so that will be done as well. But thank you all. We look forward to working with you 3578 3579 as we move forward, and thanks for coming all the way from 3580 California and Washington State. 3581 And with that, the hearing is dismissed--adjourned, 3582 over. [Whereupon, at 1:25 p.m., the Subcommittee was 3583 3584 adjourned.]