```
1 {York Stenographic Services, Inc.}
```

- 2 RPTS BROWN
- 3 HIF112.030
- 4 MARKUP ON H.R. , THE RATEPAYER PROTECTION ACT OF 2015
- 5 WEDNESDAY, APRIL 22, 2015
- 6 House of Representatives,
- 7 Subcommittee on Energy and Power
- 8 Committee on Energy and Commerce
- 9 Washington, D.C.

- 10 The Subcommittee met, pursuant to call, at 10:04 a.m.,
- 11 in Room 2123 of the Rayburn House Office Building, Hon. Ed
- 12 Whitfield [Chairman of the Subcommittee] presiding.
- 13 Members present: Representatives Whitfield, Olson,
- 14 Barton, Shimkus, Pitts, Latta, Harper, McKinley, Pompeo,
- 15 Kinzinger, Griffith, Johnson, Long, Ellmers, Flores, Mullin,
- 16 Hudson, Upton (ex officio), Rush, McNerney, Green, Capps,
- 17 Doyle, Castor, Welch, Yarmuth, Loebsack, and Pallone (ex
- 18 officio).

19 Staff present: Nick Abraham, Legislative Clerk; Gary Andres, Staff Director; Charlotte Baker, Deputy 20 21 Communications Director; Sean Bonyun, Communications 22 Director; Leighton Brown, Press Assistant; Allison Busbee, 23 Policy Coordinator, Energy and Power; Karen Christian, 24 General Counsel; Tom Hassenboehler, Chief Counsel, Energy and 25 Power; Brittany Havens, Legislative Clerk; Kirby Howard, 26 Legislative Clerk; Peter Kielty, Deputy General Counsel; Mary 27 Neumayr, Senior Energy Counsel; Charlotte Savercool, 28 Legislative Clerk; Peter Spencer, Professional Staff Member, 29 Oversight; Jessica Wilkerson, Legislative Clerk; 30 Berenholz, Democratic Chief Clerk; Christine Brennan, 31 Democratic Press Secretary; Jeff Carroll, Democratic Staff 32 Director; Elizabeth Ertel, Democratic Deputy Clerk; Michael 33 Goo, Democratic Chief Counsel, Energy and Environment; 34 Caitlin Haberman, Democratic Professional Staff Member; 35 Ashley Jones, Democratic Director, Outreach and Member 36 Services; Rick Kessler, Democratic Senior Advisor and Staff 37 Director, Energy and Environment; John Marshall, Democratic

Policy Coordinator; and Tim Robinson, Democratic Chief

39 Counsel.

38

40 H.R. 41 Mr. {Whitfield.} I would like the Subcommittee to come 42 to order, and the Chair would recognize himself for an 43 opening statement. 44 This morning we are going to begin the markup of the 45 Ratepayer Protection Act, a bill that will add several 46 important safeguards to EPA's proposed Clean Power Plan for 47 existing electric generation. Now, we have had six hearings that relate to this issue, and we have heard from a lot of 48 legal experts, and, obviously, there are different views on 49 50 the legality of this Clean Air--Clean Energy Plan. But, I 51 must say, the disagreement has been pretty ferocious, and it-52 -many people view this is as an unprecedented action by EPA. 53 We have heard from state officials who have been warning 54 that the rules accelerated deadlines are not workable for 55 many of them, and are creating--will create significant 56 issues for them. We have heard from entities like Seminole Electric down in Florida about the loss of assets after 57 58 spending billions of dollars modernizing their equipment to 59 now find that they are going to lose these assets. We have 60 heard spokesmen for ratepayers concerned about increased electric rates and reliability problems. I think NERG today 61

- 62 just came out with another report expressing concern about
- 63 possible reliability issues because the Administration is
- 64 moving so quickly.
- As you know, when they finalize this rule, they are only
- 66 giving states 13 months to submit their state implementation
- 67 plan. Frequently they have up to 3 years to do that. Now,
- 68 if they do multi-state, they can get a couple years'
- 69 extension, but we have the states on a very short timeframe
- 70 here. So our bill basically, because of the controversy on
- 71 the legality, basically says the states do not have to comply
- 72 or submit an implementation plan until the courts have
- 73 exhausted--the ratepayers and others have exhausted their
- 74 remedies in the court system. Then the timeline would kick
- 75 in. Now, we also say to the state governors, many of them
- 76 have talked to us, many of them have filed suits, many of
- 77 them were going to file suits once the rule becomes final,
- 78 that if they show that rates and reliability would be
- 79 significantly and adversely affected by this rule, then they
- 80 can take action to delay implementation, and continue to work
- 81 with the EPA on that.
- And I don't know, many of you may have seen how China
- 83 recently has developed the Asian Investment and
- 84 Infrastructure Bank. The Obama Administration tried to

85 prevent countries from joining in. 57 countries have now 86 joined in to this bank. And one of the reasons the bank is being formed, but not the only reason, is because the 87 88 Administration, the Obama Administration, working with Jim 89 Yun Kim, the President of the World Bank, have told the 90 developing world that they are not going to be financing any 91 coal fired plants, which really has been unheard of in 92 developing countries. 93 And the developing countries are saying, you know, we 94 have millions of people that do not have access to any 95 electricity, or clean water, and view this as more important 96 to us than climate change. And yet the World Bank, and these 97 other--Asian Development Bank and others are not providing 98 any financing. So this China Investment and Infrastructure 99 Bank, they are going to start financing coal powered plants 100 in these--in the developing countries. And many of you may 101 have read just a couple of days ago where they reached an 102 agreement in Pakistan, and they were going to put up \$38 103 billion to build roughly 6,000 megawatts of coal generating 104 plants in Pakistan. And then they also were having 105 discussions with the Indian government, and in India they are 106 talking about developing 455 new coal generating plants.

So we find ourselves in the dichotomy of -- we have the

107

108 U.S.A., that is basically saying, we are going to shut down 109 coal plants. We have the developing areas of the world, and 110 other countries, wanting to build coal powered plants. So 111 that is why many of us view this energy plan by EPA and by 112 the President as being extreme, and that is why I think this 113 common sense legislation provides additional protections, and 114 slows down the rush for a while. 115 [The prepared statement of Mr. Whitfield follows:]

\*\*\*\*\*\*\* COMMITTEE INSERT \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

116

117 Mr. {Whitfield.} And with that, at this time I would like to recognize the gentleman from Illinois, Mr. Rush, for 118 his 5 minute opening statement. 119 120 Mr. {Rush.} I want to thank you, Mr. Chairman, for this 121 markup, and, Mr. Chairman, I would like to wish most of you 122 here a happy Earth Day, and to the others, the round Earth 123 doubters, and the flat Earth advocates. You know who you 124 are. Even after all these many hundreds of years, I still 125 would like to wish you all a happy flat Earth day. 126 Unfortunately, Mr. Chairman, as most of the world celebrates the 45<sup>th</sup> annual Earth Day, today we are here 127 128 marking up a bill that would undo much of the progress that 129 the Obama Administration has put forward to actually mitigate 130 some of the disastrous effects of climate change, and help 131 put our planet on a must--on a more sustainable path moving 132 forward. 133 Mr. Chairman, as both Ranking Member of this Subcommittee, as well as a co-chair of the Joint Bicameral 134 135 Task Force on Climate Change, I am proud to support the 136 efforts that the Obama Administration has initiated on both 137 the international and the domestic fronts to try and address 138 the worst impacts of this serious threat to Mother Earth. So

139 I am establishing the Climate Action Plan, including the 140 joint announcement with China establishing ambitious carbon 141 pollution reduction targets to making a national commitment 142 to the Green Climate Fund, up to and including putting forth 143 the Clean Power Plan. I applaud the leadership that 144 President Obama has demonstrated in the face of inaction by 145 Congress on this critical issue. 146 Now, Mr. Chairman, why is it so important that we act to 147 address this issue? Well, Mr. Chairman, the overwhelming 148 majority of the world's scientists, climatologists, and most 149 noted experts are all telling us the very same thing. 150 Climate Change is real, and we must act now, before it is too 151 late and too costly to do something about. From severe wildfires in the West, to more frequent flooding in the 152 153 Midwest, to sea level rise and greater storm damage along our 154 coasts, climate change has already taken a huge toll on our 155 nation, to the tune of billions of dollars in costs due to 156 water shortages, drought, livestock losses, damaged property, 157 and serious and tremendous health impacts. 158 Mr. Chairman, for the sake of all children, and our--all grandchildren, as well as for the health and welfare of our 159 160 planet as a whole, this round planet, Mother Earth, we cannot simply choose to put our collective heads in the sand. 161

162	Instead of fighting President Obama's efforts to address what
163	may be, in fact, the greatest threat to ourthat our country
164	faces, Congress, and this Subcommittee, should be supporting
165	him, partnering with him, applauding him, and we should do
166	our part to address climate change and lead our nation down
167	the path of sustainability.
168	So, unfortunately, Mr. Chairman, here we are on Earth
169	Day doing exactly the opposite of what the world is doing.
170	We arewhothey are proclaiming Mother Earth, and they are
171	indeed recognizing our obligation to protect our environment.
172	We are here today on this billand, Mr. Chairman, I must
173	urge all my colleagues to oppose this bill before us, and let
174	us get to work on real bipartisan legislation to move our
175	country forward in the right direction, even on this, the
176	45th anniversary of Earth Day. With that, I yield back.
177	[The prepared statement of Mr. Rush follows:]

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* COMMITTEE INSERT \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

178

Mr. {Whitfield.} Mr. Rush, I want to thank you for 179 reminding us it is Earth Day. We appreciate that very much, 180 181 and thank you for your comments. 182 At this time, are there are any members on our--Mr. 183 Latta is recognized for a 3 minute opening--184 Mr. {Latta.} Well, thank you, Mr. Chairman, I 185 appreciate that, and thanks for today, for holding this 186 markup. I have great concerns about the EPA's proposed Clean Power Plan, and I am glad we are here to consider the 187 188 Ratepayer Protection Act. Many states, including my state of 189 Ohio, believe that there are legal challenges of the Clean 190 Power Plan. I am glad that this bill allows for the 191 completion of judicial review on the final rule before states 192 would have to comply. Additionally, it is expected that the 193 final rule will have a very short timeline for submitting a 194 state implementation plan. The cost of challenging the rule 195 in court, while simultaneously rushing to comply with the 196 rule, will further strain state budgets. An accelerated timeline to comply also leads to concerns about grid 197 198 reliability. If plants are shut down in order to comply with 199 the final rule, but new infrastructure, including generation, 200 transmission, and distribution is not up and running,

201 reliability issues will affect the entire country. 202 Affordable and reliable power is vital to Ohio's economic development. For years Ohio's jobs have come 203 204 because of an abundance of low electric rates, which is 205 especially important for much of the energy intensive 206 manufacturing found in my district. Looking at this proposed 207 regulation, the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio predicted 208 wholesale market energy prices will increase 39 percent in 209 the year 2025, costing Ohioans approximately \$2.5 billion. 210 This major cost increase does not even take into account 211 things like the significant investments in upgrading the 212 transmission system that are needed. I am pleased that the 213 bill would give the governor of each state the ability to not 214 implement a specific state or Federal plan if the plan would 215 not--would adversely affect ratepayers' reliability. 216 The clean power plan is a misquided proposal by the EPA. 217 I look forward to advancing this legislation to protect the 218 ratepayers in Ohio. And, Mr. Chairman, I yield back. 219 [The prepared statement of Mr. Latta follows:]

220 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* COMMITTEE INSERT \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

221 Mr. {Whitfield.} Gentleman yields back, and I 222 mistakenly gave you 5 minutes instead of 3, but you were well 223 within the time limit, so thank you. 224 Are there any--Chair recognizes the gentleman from 225 California, Mr. McNerney, for a 3 minute opening statement. 226 Mr. {McNerney.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I must 227 say, regrettably, that I am disheartened that we are marking up a bill like this today. Global warming is progressing. 228 It is getting worse. We are already committed to significant 229 230 change. Encouraging more coal fired and other carbon 231 producing sources is just going to make it worse. And I-there are a couple things we could do. We could go with 232 carbon sequestration, but Republicans have very adamantly 233 234 opposed carbon sequestration. We could gradually reduce the 235 most polluting forms of energy. And now we are seeing that 236 you are going to adamantly oppose that. So I think we need 237 to re-think where we are going with this. 238 I know my friend from West Virginia has said that, jeez, 239 we are only putting 4 percent of the carbon emissions in the 240 country--in the world, but, you know, there is a delicate 241 balance that has existed for millions of years in the carbon 242 cycle, and putting 30 gigatons of carbon dioxide into the

- atmosphere every year is upsetting that balance. Carbon is

  accumulating in the atmosphere. It is changing the climate.

  We need to face this, and we are not going to face it by
- $246\,$  putting our heads in the sand. So, Mr. Chairman, I am sad to
- 247 say that I regret this action today. I yield back.
- 248 [The prepared statement of Mr. McNerney follows:]
- 249 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* COMMITTEE INSERT \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

250 Mr. {Whitfield.} The gentleman yields back. Thank you 251 very much. 252 Anyone seeking recognition on our side of the aisle? 253 The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Virginia, Mr. 254 Griffith, for 3 minutes. 255 Mr. {Griffith.} Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. 256 would have to say that this particular bill is not about the 257 debate regarding global warming, and what the United States 258 should do. This is about making sure we follow the law. As 259 you will recall, when Lawrence Tribe was in here, an 260 environmental leader, a lawyer, though, who believes in the Constitution, said, while I agree with the goal of what this 261 bill is trying to do, it doesn't follow the law, and you 262 can't burn the Constitution in order to meet the goals that 263 264 you want to meet. And that is what we are talking about. 265 And the legal basis for this law is extremely weak, and I 266 believe the EPA knows that. In fact, when you look at the evidence in this matter, you have a situation where the EPA, 267 268 in 1995, made an interpretation over the same dispute they 269 now claim they have authority in which to regulate under, and 270 came down on the other side. Now, that wasn't during a 271 conservative Republican administration. That was during the

272 Clinton Administration. 273 Further, 2008, dealing with the Clean Air Mercury Rule, 274 CAMR, a court ruled in New Jersey that -- according -- in view of 275 the plan text in the structure of Section 112, referring to 276 the Clean Air Act, we grant the petitions and vacate the de-277 listing rule. This requires vacation of CAMR's regulations 278 for both new and existing EGUs, electric generation units. 279 EPA promulgated the CAMR regulations for existing EGUs under 280 Section 111(d), but under EPA's own interpretation of the 281 section, it cannot be used to regulate sources listed under Section 112. 282 283 EPA thus concedes that if EGUs remain listed under 284 Section 112, as we hold, then the CAMR regulations for existing sources must fall. EPA appealed that case, but not 285 286 on that ground. They conceded the point on which they now wish to regulate. And then again, in 2011, the Supreme Court 287 288 of the United States, in a note, footnote seven in the 289 American Electric Power versus Connecticut case, said there 290 is an exception, EPA may not employ 7411(d) --111(d) if 291 existing stationary sources of the pollutant in question are 292 regulated under the National Ambient Air Quality standard 293 program. And it includes some other sections, but also 294 includes Section 112.

295 So the bottom line is that the EPA knows they are on 296 weak ground, so this bill is important, because the law is 297 weak, and the threat is great. The State Corporation and 298 Commission of Virginia estimated that just one of our many 299 power companies, Dominion Virginia Power, that it would cost 300 them substantial money, between 5.5 and \$6 billion, and they 301 state, and I quote them, ''Contrary to the claim that rates 302 will go up, but bills will go down, experiencing costs in 303 Virginia make it extremely unlikely that either electric 304 rates or bills in Virginia will go down as a result of this 305 proposed regulation. 306 Mr. Chairman, the law for the EPA is weak. The threat 307 to the states is great. This bill is a bill whose time is right, and whose merit is great. I urge that we pass this 308 309 bill forthwith. I yield back. 310 [The prepared statement of Mr. Griffith follows:]

311 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* COMMITTEE INSERT \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

312 Mr. {Whitfield.} Thank you, Mr. Griffith. 313 At this time the Chair will recognize the gentlelady 314 from Florida for a 3 minute opening statement. 315 Ms. {Castor.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and good 316 morning, colleagues. This bill is, unfortunately, tailor-317 made for a do nothing approach in the face of very serious 318 impacts of the changing climate. If adopted, it will cause 319 great harm to consumers. Do not be fooled by the title of 320 the bill. In a state like my home, the State of Florida, 321 unless the Congress meets the modern challenge of the 322 changing climate, we are going to heap new costs onto 323 taxpayers. Not just in my state, but all across the country. 324 And here are some of the huge costs we are looking at 325 alreadv. 326 With the changing climate, it is causing salt water 327 intrusion into our drinking water supplies in the State of 328 That is going to be very costly to prevent, and ultimately replace. Storm water infrastructure, often take 329 care of by local governments, and paid through storm water 330 331 fees or property taxes, are going to increase because of 332 flooding caused by changing climate. Our coastal resources, 333 you know, in Florida and many other states, we rely on our

334	beaches as the lifeblood of our economy. We are going to
335	face additional erosion of our coastal communities, and we
336	have got to begin to address those rising costs now. Look a
337	the cost of flood insurance. Look at the debate we had over
338	flood insurance in the last year. Property insurance rates
339	are going to go up, unless we meet this modern challenge.
340	We have got to unleash American ingenuity to meet the
341	modern challenge of the changing climate. Don't hamstring
342	American entrepreneurs and the entrepreneurial spirit of
343	Americans in the face of increased carbon pollution.
344	Americans want us to stay true to the Clean Air Act, take on
345	the challenge of the changing climate. And, unfortunately,
346	this bill just shirks that duty. I yield back.
347	[The prepared statement of Ms. Castor follows:]

348 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* COMMITTEE INSERT \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

349 Mr. {Whitfield.} Gentlelady yields back. The gentleman 350 from West Virginia is recognized for 3 minutes. 351 Mr. {McKinley.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and very 352 briefly, since my name was invoked over the 4 percent rule that we have been talking about for the last 4 years, over--I 353 354 do want to enter this discussion just for--briefly. One of 355 the things I am curious about, that I have not had an answer, 356 Mr. Chairman, both from the EPA and the folks on the other side of the aisle, a very simplistic question that I have 357 358 been waiting 4 years for an answer, and that is, if you go 359 back to the time of the last glaciation, when we had the 360 Bering Strait, the land bridge that connected North America 361 by land, it was because the Ice Age had--was pulling all 362 that -- well, we have lost the land bridge, and also there was 363 an article the other day about the -- the English Channel was 364 not a sea, it was merely a river back during that period of 365 time. 366 I am just curious, what happened to melt the glaciers 367 back 15,000 years ago? Because I don't think we had too many 368 power plants back then, and we didn't have SUVs running 369 around the highway. And -- so I am just curious, was it 370 possible that this was natural and cyclical? Because I

- 371 believe that the climate change is occurring, but this 372 approach that we have been using, the EPA has been using, attacking fossil fuels, for the last 10 years is misplaced. 373 374 And that is why I am excited about this particular legislation, but I am still waiting for an answer from 375 376 someone from the EPA, why did the glaciers melt 15,000 years 377 ago, when there weren't people, and there weren't coal fired 378 power plants, and there weren't millions of automobiles on 379 the highways? I am still waiting for an answer, so I am 380 looking forward to this, and the passage of this legislation. 381 Thank you. I yield back. 382 [The prepared statement of Mr. McKinley follows:]
- 383 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* COMMITTEE INSERT \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

384 Mr. {Whitfield.} Gentleman yields back. Does anyone else seek recognition on the -- anyone else have an opening? 385 386 The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Texas, Mr. 387 Flores, for 3--388 Mr. {Flores.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I appreciate 389 you holding today's markup. As we all know, energy is a key 390 basic building block for a healthy economy. A healthy 391 economy is what we need to be able to improve the lives of hardworking American families, and also to provide the 392 revenues for our Federal government so it can defend us, and 393 394 take care of its other basic responsibilities. 395 ERCOT, who is the Texas grid operator, estimates that the EPA's Clean Power Plan will increase cost to Texans by up 396 397 to 20 percent by the year 2020. And that is sort of the low 398 range, apparently, because many of you argued that the 399 percentage is too low, and the ultimate impact will be much 400 worse. And, again, this hurts hardworking American families, 401 particularly those at the lower end of the economy scale. 402 The EPA's back door cap and tax regulation will have 403 some of the most profound impacts on all sectors of the 404 economy, and it threatens grid reliability. I strongly support Chairman Whitfield and our Committee's efforts to 405

```
protect consumers from rate hikes, and to ensure access to
406
    affordable energy.
407
         Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.
408
    Thank you.
409
410
         [The prepared statement of Mr. Flores follows:]
    ******** COMMITTEE INSERT ********
```

411

Mr. {Whitfield.} Gentleman yields back. Is there 412 413 anyone else seeking recognition for an opening statement? 414 Seeing none, then that would conclude the opening statements. The Chair will call up the committee print, and 415 416 ask the Clerk to report. 417 The {Clerk.} Discussion draft, to allow for judicial 418 review of any final rule addressing carbon dioxide emissions 419 from existing fossil fuel fired electric utility generating 420 units before requiring compliance with such rule, and to 421 allow states to protect households and businesses from 422 significant adverse effects on electricity ratepayers or 423 reliability. 424 [The bill follows:] \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* TNSERT 1 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 425

426 Mr. {Whitfield.} Without objection, the first reading of the bill is dispensed with, and the bill will be open for 427 428 amendment at any point. So ordered. 429 Are there any bipartisan amendments to the bill? 430 Are there other amendments? 431 Mr. {Rush.} Mr. Chairman? 432 Mr. {Whitfield.} For what purpose does the gentleman 433 from Illinois seek recognition? 434 Mr. {Rush.} Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the 435 desk, Rush 01. 436 Mr. {Whitfield.} The Clerk will report the amendment. The {Clerk.} Amendment to the discussion draft of the 437 438 Ratepayer Protection Act of 2015, offered by Mr. Rush of 439 Illinois. 440 [The amendment of Mr. Rush follows:] \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* INSERT 2 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 441

442 Mr. {Whitfield.} Without objection, the reading of the amendment is dispensed with, and the gentleman from Illinois 443 is recognized for 5 minutes in support of his amendment. 444 445 Mr. {Rush.} I want to thank you, Mr. Chairman. 446 Chairman, the bill before us, which I would prefer to call 447 the Just Say No to the Clean Power Plan Act, would 448 effectively give governors the power to opt out of Federal 449 requirements of the Clean Power Plan if they decide that 450 complying with the plan would have an adverse effect on 451 either the state's ratepayers, or on the reliability of its 452 electricity system. 453 Unfortunately, Mr. Chairman, the language allowing a governor to opt out is ambiguous, and does not take into 454 455 account other costs that the states are already paying due to 456 the impacts of climate change. So, to address this issue, 457 Mr. Chairman, I am offering a--strike that--a straightforward 458 amendment that simply says that a governor must certify that, within his state, any ratepayer increases associated with 459 implementing a state or Federal plan would be greater than 460 461 any cost associated with responding to extreme weather events 462 associated with human caused climate change, taking into 463 account any costs that would be necessary to adapt to, or

464 associated with, increased sea level rise or flooding, any 465 costs that would be necessary to prepare for, or are associated with more frequent and intense storms, any costs 466 467 that would be necessary to fight, or are associated with more frequent and intense wildfires, and any costs that would be 468 469 necessary to adapt to, or are associated with, increased 470 drought. 471 Mr. Chairman, as any state that has had to deal with the 472 aftermath of any of these destructive extreme weather events 473 can attest, Americans are already shouldering the costs of 474 climate change, and these costs are getting worse. In fact, 475 according to the National Climate Assessment, if we do not 476 seriously invest in addressing climate change impacts now, we 477 can expect to see more expensive and costly future damages 478 affecting almost every facet of our society, from negative health impacts, to stressing our infrastructure and our water 479 480 systems, to harming our national security, up to and 481 including hurting our overall long term economic growth. 482 Mr. Chairman, we know that these are not just idle 483 threats, considering that the National Oceanic and 484 Atmospheric Administration just released a report stating 485 that the globally average temperature over land and ocean 486 surfaces for March 2015 was the highest for the month since

487 recordkeeping began way back in 1880. Mr. Chairman, 488 additionally, this same report shows that the first quarter of 2015, from January to March, also averaged record high 489 490 temperatures all around the world. So, Mr. Chairman, with 491 all these dire warnings coming from the world's foremost scientists, as well as from Mother Nature, we cannot allow 492 493 governors to just say no to reducing harmful pollutants from 494 their states simply because they might find acting to do so 495 to being too burdensome. 496 I urge all my colleagues to support my amendment to 497 ensure that the governors are accounting for their failure to 498 act to reduce harmful pollutants that impact the public good. 499 With that, Mr. Chairman, I yield back. 500 Mr. {Whitfield.} The gentleman yields back. The Chair 501 will recognize himself to respond to the amendment. 502 As was stated, the amendment would require a 503 certification by state governors that costs to rate payers 504 under the EPA's Clean Power Plan would exceed the costs 505 associated with responding to extreme weather events. And we 506 know from testimony, repeatedly, by EPA about the Clean Power 507 Plan that they did not think that this would have any 508 significant impact on climate change, and Gina McCarthy made 509 the comment that this is not about pollution control. Just

510 last week, Acting Assistant Administrator McCabe indicated 511 that EPA could not predict the impact of the rule on any of 512 its climate indicators. 513 So, while I have great respect and admiration for my 514 Ranking Member from Illinois, this amendment seems to be 515 distracting from the real issue that we are trying to 516 confront today, which are the complexities of EPA's pending 517 rule, the legal and feasibility questions, and the real world 518 impacts on states, on ratepayers, and on our ability to be 519 competitive in the global marketplace. So I would 520 respectfully urge my colleagues to vote no on the Rush 521 amendment. 522 Is anyone -- the Chair recognizes the gentleman from New Jersey, Mr. Pallone, for 5 minutes. 523 524 Mr. {Pallone.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I want to 525 strike the last word in support of Mr. Rush's amendment, but 526 I wanted to say in general that it is very disappointing to 527 me that we are here on Earth Day marking up a bill to gut EPA's Clean Power Plan proposal, and effectively amending the 528 529 Clean Air Act in a harmful and dangerous fashion. It used to 530 be that, even when Republicans spent significant time trying 531 to undermine our environmental statutes, they would at least 532 use Earth Day as a tool to trumpet some small consensus

533 environmental bills with small improvements, and now we don't 534 even get that. Now we have a Republican majority whose message seems to be, happy Earth Day, let us pollute the 535 536 planet. As I said in last week's hearing, this legislation 537 is not only dangerous, but also premature, unnecessary, and 538 poorly conceived. It asks us to legislate to address phantom 539 problems in a rule that has not yet been finalized, and it 540 gives individual governors the unfettered ability to thumb 541 their nose at the Clean Air Act. 542 Human induced climate change is real. It is happening 543 now. Only the irresponsible can claim otherwise. But the 544 purpose of this bill is to appease the climate deniers, and 545 give aid and comfort to those states who want to just say no 546 to addressing unchecked carbon pollution from the largest 547 sources in the United States. Yet the citizens of those 548 states will not be able to just say no to the worsening 549 effects of climate change such an action would bring, from 550 crippling drought to heat waves, from frequent extreme storms 551 to damaging sea level rise. In those states, families, 552 businesses, and others have no way to opt out of such 553 fundamental changes to our planet's climate system. 554 Last week the D.C. Circuit heard oral arguments on legal 555 challenges to EPA's proposed Clean Power Plan. Judge Thomas

556 Griffith, a Republican appointee, noted that ``typically we 557 are not in the business of quessing what the final rule would be like, and that seeking review of a proposed rule, you are 558 559 inviting us into a morass.'' Another Republican appointee, 560 Judge Bret Cavanaugh, said that if the court ruled at this 561 point, it would ``preclude the whole process that leads to a 562 final rule.'' And Judge Cavanaugh also pointed out that 563 ``you can't ask for a stay as soon as the final rule is out 564 there.'' 565 I agree that legal challenges to proposed rules are highly problematic, but what I find even more problematic is 566 the notion that the Congress would also attempt to legislate 567 away a proposed rule. Congress does not interfere when 568 neither EPA nor the courts have had a chance to do their 569 570 jobs. Litigants can and will challenge the final rule, and, 571 if necessary, the courts can use well established judicial 572 procedures to remedy the situation. Judge Griffith thinks 573 that the Court of Appeals reviewing a proposed rule is a morass, yet it is exactly that morass into which the 574 575 Whitfield bill would have us all jump headfirst. 576 According to EPA, the passage of this bill would be 577 disruptive. I think that is an understatement. This bill is 578 both disruptive and unhelpful to those in the electric power

579 sector who are trying to make the plans and long term capital 580 investments needed to ensure electric reliability and 581 affordability well into the future. A serious effort would include working with states, regional entities, FERC, and 582 583 electric generators to develop workable solutions to combat 584 carbon pollution in a reasonable way. It is clear that any 585 serious effort is exactly what this bill seeks to stop. 586 So finally let me just emphasize that I believe this rule will be upheld in court. This rule is not a violation 587 of the Constitution, nor is it the regulatory catastrophe 588 589 that the doomsayers predict. On the other hand, this 590 incredibly reckless, in my opinion, draft bill will provide 591 an incentive for polluters to run out the clock on litigation 592 so all deadlines in the rule would be extended as long as 593 possible, no matter how frivolous the challenge in regards to 594 the outcome. And efforts by my Republican colleagues to push 595 states to just say no, and refuse to cooperate with EPA and 596 the requirements of the Clean Air Act I think set a really 597 dangerous precedent, Mr. Chairman. So, considering it is Earth Day, I strongly urge my 598 599 colleagues to stop climate change obstructions. The Clean 600 Power Plan will give us a reasonable path forward to reduce 601 carbon pollution from the power sector. Just saying no

without a backup plan is not only reckless, but it condemns 602 603 our children and grandchildren to a world governed by the 604 disastrous impacts of climate change. So not only--I urge support for Mr. Rush's amendment, and continue to urge 605 606 opposition to the underlying bill. I yield back. Thank you. 607 Mr. {Whitfield.} Gentleman yields back. At this time 608 recognize the gentleman from Virginia, Mr. Griffith, for 5 609 minutes. 610 Mr. {Griffith.} Mr. Chairman, the gentleman previously 611 speaking indicated that Judge Griffith indicated that there 612 would be a -- that they were trying to encourage the courts to 613 get into a legal morass. The problem is the EPA has gotten 614 us all into this morass, and the courts have an opportunity 615 to act, but the rule has not yet been finalized, and that is 616 what Judge Griffith was debating, do we get into this mess 617 before the rule is final? The gentleman said we should let 618 the courts do their job. The problem is it will take 4 or 5 619 years for them to do their job, maybe 6, particularly if the current matter is set aside as not yet being right. 620 621 We are facing a morass in many of the states, 622 particularly--I know about Virginia. As I stated before, the 623 Virginia State Corporation Commission says just one of our 624 electric power companies is going to spend 5 to \$6 billion

trying to deal with this. The dates the EPA has--remember I 625 said they will take 5 or 6 years for the court case to get 626 627 through. One of those dates is that 13 months after they 628 finalize it, sometime this summer, according to Janet McCabe, 629 when she was in testifying last week, 13 months later the 630 states have to come up with a plan. And by 2020, as it 631 currently is understood, the states will have to start 632 implementing that plan. That is a morass. And the courts are probably sitting there, scratching 633 their heads, thinking to themselves, we are getting into this 634 635 morass because of an EPA rule. Why doesn't Congress do their job and take action? This bill is an attempt to say, okay, 636 637 the EPA is doing something that is totally unreasonable, and, as I stated before, very weak on the law, so they are going 638 639 to do something unreasonable, the least we can do, that is 640 until that legal discussion is finished, is to give the 641 states the ability to say, whoa, whoa, whoa, this is going to 642 create a morass for us. This is going to be a great threat 643 This is going to cost our ratepayers. 644 You know, it is not just the big electric companies. So 645 many think it is just a big electric company pay these--pays 646 these bills. No, they pass that on to the average citizen. 647 And my people, in the Ninth District of Virginia, cannot

648 afford to have Washington sending more bills to them putting them in a--in harm's way, making it so that they are having 649 650 to figure out how are they going to heat their homes in the 651 wintertime. Are they going to have to have a small kerosene 652 heater in one room to stay warm? I say no. I think the law 653 is extremely weak. I think this law will be--this rule will 654 be stricken down, this regulation the EPA has proposed will 655 be stricken down on the law itself. I don't think they have 656 the authority to go forward. 657 But what this bill says is, is that, before we go and 658 throw our ratepayers under the bus, 13 months to come up with a plan, 2020 for implementation, court case won't be decided 659 660 until 2021, in my opinion, maybe 2022. Everybody will have 661 already acted on this rule that does not have legal 662 authority. Before we throw our citizens, the poor hard working men and women of the Ninth Congressional District, 663 664 and all across the United States under that bus, let us give 665 them some reprieve. Let us have Congress act. Let us say, 666 whoa, if you think you have the authority, EPA, you go for 667 That is your decision. But let us make sure that you have that authority before we harm the people who are having 668 to pay their electric bills every month, that are going up 669 670 already because of EPA regulations, and will grow--go up

671 tremendously if this regulation goes forward, particularly 672 when we don't even know if it has legal authority. And I would submit it doesn't, but for those who do, why wouldn't 673 674 you be willing to wait to find out? I yield back. 675 Mr. {Whitfield.} Gentleman yields back. Is there 676 further discussion on the Rush amendment? Chair recognize 677 the gentlelady from Florida for 5 minutes. 678 Ms. {Castor.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I move to strike the last word, and speak in favor of the--this 679 important Rush amendment. The bill before us is the climate 680 681 change denial bill. It is a disservice to America. 682 costs on consumers, and it shirks our responsibility for 683 addressing the costly impacts of the changing climate. 684 bill we are considering today shows that the Republicans' 685 plan is to just say no, to let our children and grandchildren 686 suffer the effects of climate change without doing something 687 meaningful to protect them. Worse, the Republicans' plan is 688 to stop any meaningful action to reduce harmful carbon 689 pollution from the largest sources in the country. position is indefensible, and it will prove very costly. 690 691 Today's bill would essentially amend the Clean Air Act to 692 give a free pass to states that refuse to comply with the

requirements of the Clean Power Plan. Under the bill,

693

694 governors can opt out if they determine that implementing 695 carbon pollution requirements would adversely impact ratepayers in the narrow context as they define it. 696 Making the argument that any possible cost associated 697 698 with reducing carbon emissions to help stave off the worst 699 effects of climate change is especially myopic. The world's 700 leading scientists agree that warming temperatures that stem 701 from human caused climate change will contribute to more 702 frequent and intense extreme weather events and wildfires, 703 and they say that these trends will worsen as temperatures 704 rise. Scientists tell us that the signs of climate change 705 are already here, and I see it in my home state. That is not 706 news to American families, who are already dealing with 707 climate change impacts. And it was good to hear President 708 Obama, in his weekly address, say to all there is no greater 709 threat to our planet than the changing climate. The fact 710 that the climate is changing has very serious implications 711 for the way we live now. Stronger storms, deeper droughts, 712 longer wildfire seasons. 713 Just last year the United States experienced eight 714 severe weather, flood, and drought events. Oftentimes it is-715 -we are called upon to provide emergency aid. Let us factor 716 those costs in. Those events, just last year, caused at

717 least \$1 billion in damage across 35 states. Overall, these 718 disasters caused more than \$19 billion in damage. And 719 according to the Office of Management and Budget, over the 720 past decade extreme weather and fire alone have cost taxpayers \$300 billion. And we are not even counting the 721 722 everyday costs of the changing climate to the folks we 723 represent back home. 724 These events have cost Americans real money. These 725 examples are just a snapshot of the overwhelming costs that 726 we can expect in the future with exacerbated climate change. 727 Mr. Rush's amendment would ensure that the cost of extreme 728 weather events caused by climate change are taken into 729 account under the bill. If a governor wishes to opt out of 730 the carbon pollution reduction requirements of the Clean 731 Power Plan, they can certify that the cost to taxpayers is 732 far higher than any cost associated with extreme sea level 733 rise, flooding, storms, wildfires, and drought. It is only 734 logical to require a governor to consider all potential costs 735 when making a determination in the underlying bill. You cannot, and should not, assume that just saying to 736 737 acting on climate will cost nothing. It is said that an 738 ounce of prevention is worth a pound of cure, and that is especially true for the costs of a rapidly changing climate. 739

```
740
     Our actions to reduce carbon pollution today will help avoid
741
     the costs of the future, a cost that we will end up paying
742
     for catastrophic climate related disasters. So I hope my
743
     colleagues will join me in recognizing that climate change is
744
     real, it is urgent, and I hope you make it clear that no plan
745
     and no action isn't an option. I urge a yes vote on the Rush
746
     amendment, and yield back my time.
747
          Mr. {Whitfield.} Gentlelady yields back. Is there
748
     further discussion on the Rush amendment?
749
          If there is no further discussion, the vote will occur
750
     on the amendment.
751
          All those in favor of the Rush--yes? The gentleman
     requests a roll call vote. Would the Clerk call the roll?
752
753
          The {Clerk.} Mr. Olson?
754
          [No response.]
755
          The {Clerk.} Mr. Barton?
756
          [No response.]
757
          The {Clerk.} Mr. Shimkus?
          Mr. {Shimkus.} No.
758
759
          The {Clerk.} Mr. Shimkus votes no.
760
          Mr. Pitts?
761
          Mr. {Pitts.} No.
762
          The {Clerk.} Mr. Pitts votes no.
```

```
763
          Mr. Latta?
          Mr. {Latta.} No.
764
765
          The {Clerk.} Mr. Latta votes no.
766
          Mr. Harper?
767
          Mr. {Harper.} No.
768
          The {Clerk.} Mr. Harper votes no.
769
          Mr. McKinley?
770
          Mr. {McKinley.} No.
771
          The {Clerk.} Mr. McKinley votes no.
772
          Mr. Pompeo?
773
          Mr. {Pompeo.} No.
774
          The {Clerk.} Mr. Pompeo votes no.
775
          Mr. Kinzinger?
776
          Mr. {Kinzinger.} No.
777
          The {Clerk.} Mr. Kinzinger votes no.
          Mr. Griffith?
778
779
          Mr. {Griffith.} No.
780
          The {Clerk.} Mr. Griffith votes no.
781
          Mr. Johnson?
          Mr. {Johnson.} No.
782
783
          The {Clerk.} Mr. Johnson votes no.
784
          Mr. Long?
785
          Mr. {Long.} No.
```

```
786
          The {Clerk.} Mr. Long votes no.
787
          Ms. Ellmers?
788
          Mrs. {Ellmers.} No.
          The {Clerk.} Ms. Ellmers votes no.
789
          Mr. Flores?
790
791
          Mr. {Flores.} No.
792
          The {Clerk.} Mr. Flores votes no.
793
          Mr. Mullin?
794
          Mr. {Mullin.} No.
795
          The {Clerk.} Mr. Mullin votes no.
796
          Mr. Hudson?
797
          Mr. {Hudson.} No.
798
          The {Clerk.} Mr. Hudson votes no.
799
          Chairman Upton?
          The {Chairman.} Votes no.
800
801
          The {Clerk.} Chairman Upton votes no.
802
          Mr. Rush?
803
          Mr. {Rush.} Aye.
804
          The {Clerk.} Mr. Rush votes aye.
805
          Mr. McNerney?
806
          Mr. {McNerney.} Aye.
807
          The {Clerk.} Mr. McNerney votes aye.
808
          Mr. Tonko?
```

```
809
          [No response.]
810
          The {Clerk.} Mr. Engel?
811
          [No response.]
812
          The {Clerk.} Mr. Green?
813
          Mr. {Green.}
                        No.
814
          The {Clerk.} Mr. Green votes--
815
          Mr. {Green.} Sorry, aye.
816
          The {Clerk.} Mr. Green votes aye.
817
          Mrs. Capps?
818
          Mrs. {Capps.} Aye.
819
          The {Clerk.} Mrs. Capps votes aye.
820
          Mr. Doyle?
821
          Mr. {Doyle.}
                        Yes.
822
          The {Clerk.} Mr. Doyle votes aye.
          Ms. Castor?
823
824
          Ms. {Castor.} Aye.
825
          The {Clerk.} Ms. Castor votes aye.
826
          Mr. Sarbanes?
827
          [No response.]
          The {Clerk.} Mr. Welch?
828
829
          Mr. {Welch.} Aye.
830
          The {Clerk.} Mr. Welch votes aye.
831
          Mr. Yarmuth?
```

```
832
          Mr. {Yarmuth.} Aye.
833
          The {Clerk.} Mr. Yarmuth votes aye.
          Mr. Loebsack?
834
835
          Mr. {Loebsack.} Aye.
836
          The {Clerk.} Mr. Loebsack votes aye.
837
          Mr. Pallone?
838
          Mr. {Pallone.} Aye.
839
          The {Clerk.} Mr. Pallone votes aye.
840
          Chairman Whitfield?
841
          Mr. {Whitfield.} No.
842
          The {Clerk.} Chairman Whitfield votes no.
843
          Mr. {Whitfield.} Is anyone else seeking to vote?
844
     Clerk will report the result. Yeah.
845
          The {Clerk.} Mr. Chairman, on that vote there were 10
846
     yeas and 16 nays.
847
          Mr. {Whitfield.} The amendment is not agreed to.
848
          Are there further amendments to the bill?
849
          Mr. {Rush.} Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the
850
     desk, Rush 02.
851
          Mr. {Whitfield.} Clerk will report the amendment.
852
          The {Clerk.} Amendment to the discussion of the
853
     Ratepayer Protection Act of 2015, offered by Mr. Rush of
854
     Illinois.
```

855	[The	amendm	ent o	f Mr	. Rush	follows:]
856	****	*****	INSER'	т 3	*****	*****

857 Mr. {Whitfield.} Without objection, the reading of the amendment is dispensed with, and the gentleman from Illinois 858 is recognized for 5 minutes in support of his amendment. 859 Mr. {Rush.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Chairman, 860 861 instead of simply allowing governors to just say no to the 862 Clean Power Plan Act willy-nilly, if they decide that 863 complying with the plan would have select adverse effect on rates and reliability, I believe that it would make sense to 864 have them take into account the costs of the health impacts 865 866 associated with just saying no. Mr. Chairman, we all know that vulnerable populations, 867 868 including children, and the elderly, suffer 869 disproportionately from higher levels of smog and pollution, 870 and from longer and more severe heat waves. As the American 871 Lung Association reports, Mr. Chairman, pollution from carbon 872 emissions lead to climate change, and threatens the public 873 health by contributing to respiratory illnesses such as 874 asthma attacks, and also can lead to heat strokes, and to 875 premature deaths. 876 Mr. Chairman, my amendment simply states that a governor 877 must certify that withdrawal from a state or Federal 878 implementation plan would not have a significant adverse

879 effect on public health, taking into account avoiding asthma 880 attacks in children associated with implementing a plan, 881 avoiding heart attacks associated with implementing a plan, 882 fewer hospital emissions associated with implementing a plan, 883 and fewer missed school and work days associated with 884 implementing a plan. 885 Mr. Chairman, this issue is one that I am particularly 886 sensitive to, because my county, Cook County, is home to 887 113,276 children, and 340,225 adults with asthma, according to the most recent report, giving my city, the City of 888 889 Chicago, the dubious distinction of having one of the highest 890 asthma rates in the country. Additionally, Mr. Chairman, we 891 all know that low income communities, and communities of 892 color, are more likely to be impacted by carbon pollution 893 because power plants are more likely to be situated closer to 894 these communities. 895 So, Mr. Chairman, instead of risking the public health 896 by allowing governors to just say no to clean--to the Clean 897 Power Plan, let us offer some modicum of protection, some 898 protection to the most vulnerable among us by adopting my 899 amendment. Mr. Chairman, I urge all of my colleagues to 900 support my amendment in order to hold governors accountable 901 for their failure to act to reduce harmful pollutants that

- 902 impact the public good. With that, I yield back the balance
- 903 of my time.
- 904 Mr. {Whitfield.} Gentleman yields back the balance of
- 905 his time. Chair will recognize himself for 5 minutes to
- 906 respond to the gentleman's amendment.
- 907 As Mr. Rush said, this amendment would require
- 908 certification by state governors that failure to implement a
- 909 state or Federal plan would not have a significant effect on
- 910 public health. Really, the amendment is unnecessary, because
- 911 the bill already includes a provision that directs the state
- 912 governors to consult with public health officials within
- 913 their state regarding the impacts of a specific state or
- 914 Federal plan. Nothing in the bill affects any existing EPA
- 915 regulation that seeks to protect public health.
- 916 We have had six hearings, and developed an extensive
- 917 record on this proposed EPA regulation, and no one is talking
- 918 about its impact on health. So I would respectfully request
- 919 that members do not agree to the Rush amendment, and would
- 920 yield back the balance of my time.
- 921 Chair recognizes the gentleman from New Jersey to speak
- 922 on the Rush amendment for a period of 5 minutes.
- 923 Mr. {Pallone.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I would move
- 924 to strike the last word in support of Mr. Rush's amendment.

925 My Republican colleagues argue that this bill is needed to 926 protect everyday Americans from the dangerous EPA rules, but 927 This bill would block or needlessly that is not the case. 928 delay EPA regulations on carbon pollution from power plants 929 that are critical to protecting human health, the 930 environment, and our climate. 931 The legislation grants a blanket extension for all Clean 932 Power Plan compliance dates until all opportunities for legal 933 challenges have been exhausted, and this unprecedented 934 suspension of clean air regulations would occur regardless of a lawsuit's merits or likelihood of success. The bill also 935 936 allows governors to opt out of the Clean Power Plan 937 altogether, denying lifesaving benefits to the residents of 938 their states. So delaying or just saying not the Clean Power 939 Plan would have real life, tangible impacts on human health 940 and the environment in the United States. EPA estimates that in 2030 the Clean Power Plan will 941 942 avoid up to 3,300 heart attacks, prevent 150,000 asthma 943 attacks in children, lead to 2,800 fewer hospital admissions, 944 and avert 490,000 missed work or school days per year. These 945 benefits are worth an estimated \$93 billion per year, and 946 these are human health benefits that could be delayed, or 947 perhaps permanently lost if this bill takes effect.

948 health benefits potentially blocked by the bill are 949 especially important for the most vulnerable among us, our babies, our kids, seniors, and those with asthma. 950 951 The Rush amendment seeks to ensure that public health is 952 considered when making a decision to ignore the requirements of the Clean Power Plan. If a governor wants to exempt his 953 954 state from reducing harmful carbon pollution under the Clean 955 Air Act, then he has to certify that doing so would not 956 adversely impact public health. For decades the Clean Air 957 Act has saved lives by cleaning up dangerous air pollution. 958 This bill would undermine the quarantee that makes the Clean 959 Air Act work, and the assurance the EPA will step in to 960 protect public health from pollution if a state cannot, or 961 will not, do so. 962 The bill before us sets a dangerous precedent by 963 allowing any state to decide that meeting national clean air 964 standards is merely optional, that the health and well-being 965 of all Americans can be jeopardized by politics. hope that we could all agree that children shouldn't have to 966 wait for the conclusion of frivolous lawsuits to be able to 967 968 breathe clean air, or play outside without being exposed to 969 harmful pollutants. So I urge my colleagues to support the 970 Rush amendment, and, again, oppose the underlying bill.

```
971
     yield back.
972
          Mr. {Whitfield.} Gentleman yields back. Is there
     further discussion on the Rush amendment?
973
974
          Seeing none, the vote would occur on the amendment.
975
          All those in favor--the gentleman, Mr. Rush, requests a
976
     roll call vote. The Clerk will call the roll.
977
          The {Clerk.} Mr. Olson?
978
          [No response.]
979
          The {Clerk.} Mr. Barton?
980
          Mr. {Barton.} No.
981
          The {Clerk.} Mr. Barton votes no.
982
          Mr. Shimkus?
983
          Mr. {Shimkus.} No.
984
          The {Clerk.} Mr. Shimkus votes no.
985
          Mr. Pitts?
986
          Mr. {Pitts.} No.
987
          The {Clerk.} Mr. Pitts votes no.
988
          Mr. Latta?
989
          Mr. {Latta.} No.
990
          The {Clerk.} Mr. Latta votes no.
991
          Mr. Harper?
992
          Mr. {Harper.} No.
993
          The {Clerk.} Mr. Harper votes no.
```

```
994
           Mr. McKinley?
           Mr. {McKinley.} No.
995
996
           The {Clerk.} Mr. McKinley votes no.
997
           Mr. Pompeo?
998
           Mr. {Pompeo.} No.
999
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Pompeo votes no.
1000
           Mr. Kinzinger?
1001
           Mr. {Kinzinger.} No.
1002
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Kinzinger votes no.
1003
           Mr. Griffith?
1004
           Mr. {Griffith.} No.
1005
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Griffith votes no.
1006
           Mr. Johnson?
1007
           Mr. {Johnson.} No.
1008
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Johnson votes no.
1009
           Mr. Long?
1010
           Mr. {Long.} No.
1011
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Long votes no.
1012
           Mrs. Ellmers?
1013
           Mrs. {Ellmers.} No.
1014
           The {Clerk.} Ms. Ellmers votes no.
1015
           Mr. Flores?
1016
           Mr. {Flores.} No.
```

```
1017
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Flores votes no.
1018
           Mr. Mullin?
1019
           Mr. {Mullin.} No.
1020
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Mullin votes no.
1021
           Mr. Hudson?
1022
           Mr. {Hudson.} No.
1023
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Hudson votes no.
1024
           Chairman Upton?
1025
           The {Chairman.} Votes no.
1026
           The {Clerk.} Chairman Upton votes no.
           Mr. Rush?
1027
1028
           Mr. {Rush.} Aye.
1029
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Rush votes aye.
1030
           Mr. McNerney?
1031
           Mr. {McNerney.} Yes.
1032
           The {Clerk.} Mr. McNerney votes aye.
1033
           Mr. Tonko?
1034
           [No response.]
1035
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Engel?
1036
           [No response.]
1037
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Green?
1038
           Mr. {Green.} Aye.
1039
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Green votes aye.
```

```
1040
           Ms. Capps?
1041
           Mrs. {Capps.} Aye.
1042
           The {Clerk.} Ms. Capps votes aye.
1043
           Mr. Doyle?
1044
           Mr. {Doyle.} Yes.
1045
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Doyle votes aye.
1046
           Ms. Castor?
1047
           Ms. {Castor.} Aye.
           The {Clerk.} Mrs. Castor votes aye.
1048
1049
           Mr. Sarbanes?
1050
           [No response.]
1051
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Welch?
1052
           Mr. {Welch.} Aye.
1053
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Welch votes aye.
1054
           Mr. Yarmuth?
1055
           Mr. {Yarmuth.} Aye.
1056
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Yarmuth votes aye.
1057
           Mr. Loebsack?
1058
           Mr. {Loebsack.} Yes.
1059
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Loebsack votes aye.
           Mr. Pallone?
1060
1061
           Mr. {Pallone.} Aye.
1062
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Pallone votes aye.
```

```
1063
          Chairman Whitfield?
1064
          Mr. {Whitfield.} No.
1065
          The {Clerk.} Chairman Whitfield votes no.
          Mr. {Whitfield.} Does anyone seek to vote? Any
1066
     additional votes? If not, the Clerk will report the vote.
1067
1068
          The {Clerk.} Mr. Chairman, on that vote there were 10
1069
     ayes and 17 nays.
1070
          Mr. {Whitfield.} The amendment is not agreed to.
1071
          Are there further amendments to the bill? Chair
1072
      recognizes the gentleman from New Jersey.
1073
          Mr. {Pallone.} Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the
1074
     desk. I guess it is D--AMD 3.
1075
          Mr. {Whitfield.} The Clerk will report the amendment.
1076
          The {Clerk.} An amendment to the discussion draft of
1077
     the Ratepayer Protection Act of 2015, offered by Mr. Pallone
1078
     of New Jersey.
1079
           [The amendment of Mr. Pallone follows:]
1080
      ********** INSERT 4 *********
```

1081 Mr. {Whitfield.} Without objection, the reading of the amendment is dispensed with, and the gentleman from New 1082 1083 Jersey is recognized for 5 minutes on his amendment. Mr. {Pallone.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. My amendment 1084 1085 is identical to one recently offered by Senator Bennett, and 1086 approved during the budget process. It is simply--it is 1087 simple enough. It says, and I quote, ``The Federal 1088 Government should promote national security, economic growth, 1089 and public health by addressing human induced climate change 1090 through the increased use of clean energy, energy efficiency, 1091 and reductions in carbon pollution.'' 1092 This is clear and concise language, passed with the 1093 support of seven Republican Senators, along with all the 1094 Democratic Senators, and that group included staunch coal 1095 defenders like Senators Manchin and Heitkamp, and Republican 1096 Senators like Dean Heller, Mark Kirk, and Rob Portman. 1097 this--at a time like this, Mr. Chairman, it is best to quote 1098 Senator Manchin, who said, and I quote, ``There is no 1099 question that climate change is real, and that billions of 1100 people have impacted the world's climate. This amendment 1101 supports investment in clean energy technology, including 1102 advanced fossil energy, supports energy efficiency which

reduces carbon, and saves customers money. We can protect 1103 1104 the environment for future generations while ensuring that we 1105 have affordable and reliable energy sources today.'' That is 1106 Senator Manchin's quote. 1107 Mr. Chairman, I have already stated my opposition to 1108 your legislation. I believe it is fundamentally flawed, and 1109 acceptance of this amendment isn't going to change that fact. 1110 But I guess you have the votes to pass this bill without my 1111 endorsement, so I think we should be clear about where 1112 members of this Committee stand on the reality of human 1113 induced climate change, and whether or not it needs to be 1114 addressed. You, Mr. Chairman, have said that you are not a 1115 climate denier, and I believe you, so this should be a very 1116 easy vote. This amendment is a chance for members to stand 1117 up and be counted as someone who is not a climate denier, and 1118 wants to do something about human induced climate change. If 1119 that is your position, there should be no problem accepting 1120 this amendment. Of course, it wouldn't surprise me if some 1121 of my Republican colleagues oppose the amendment, because you 1122 are the majority, and that is your prerogative, but if you 1123 reject this amendment, then you will be counted as one who 1124 cannot affirm the simple fact that action on human induced 1125 climate change is needed. And, like Senator McConnell, you

1126 can be part of that just say no caucus. 1127 I know there are some Republicans who continue to 1128 dismiss the need to act on climate and deny the science, but 1129 hopefully there aren't too many who believe that. Again, it 1130 is the simple sense of the Congress. It doesn't change the 1131 substance of the bill, but I think it says a lot about where 1132 you stand on the issue of climate change. And so I would--I 1133 yield back at this point, Mr. Chairman. 1134 Mr. {Whitfield.} The gentleman yields back, and I want to thank the gentleman for offering the amendment. 1135 1136 You know, we all are focused on this issue of climate 1137 change, and I think all of us remember guite clearly that, 1138 when the EPA testified on this Clean Energy Plan, they all 1139 recognized that it was not going to really affect climate. 1140 The bill--I mean the regulation would not affect climate. So, as I said earlier today, here we have China entering 1141 1142 into an agreement in Pakistan to build 6,000 new megawatts of 1143 coal fired plants. We have China negotiating with India to 1144 build 455 possible new coal fired plants in India. We have 1145 this Asian Investment and Infrastructure Bank being formed 1146 because they recognize that the World Bank, under the 1147 leadership of President Obama, is not going to provide money

to developing countries to try to provide electricity to

1148

1149 their people. And we know that lack of electricity affects 1150 economic growth. It also adversely affects health. 1151 So America does not have to take a back seat to anyone 1152 on addressing climate change. In fact, we are in the 1153 forefront of it. So the question becomes, in my mind, and I 1154 understand that people disagree with this, but if we are out 1155 there leading already, we are doing more than anyone else, 1156 and developing countries of the world are trying to develop 1157 economically, and trying to generate electricity, and provide 1158 for better jobs, and health care, and clean water for their 1159 people. For this Administration to be taking the lead to 1160 prevent that, I do not think is wise. We have some of the 1161 cleanest coal technology in the world. 1162 As I said earlier, we have had witness after witness 1163 talk about the effect on rates, the stranded cost that they 1164 have involved in these assets. Seminole Electric out of 1165 Florida made a big point on that. The state regulators are 1166 asking us, just give us a little bit more time. And when the 1167 Clean Air Act was adopted, I don't think anyone really 1168 thought -- in fact, there were statements made that CO2 should 1169 not be regulated under the Clean Air Act. So, with the 1170 Massachusetts decision on the car exhaust systems, and the 1171 endangerment finding by EPA, we are now expanding this to all

- 1172 sectors of our society on CO2, and yet Congress has never 1173 spoken on it. So, in this bill, we are not trying to repeal the act, 1174 1175 we are simply saying, look, we know it is controversial. We 1176 know there are going to be lawsuits. Let us simply extend 1177 the time, let these lawsuits finish up. And then, if some 1178 governors do find that it significantly adversely affects 1179 their rates and their reliability, then they can take some 1180 actions as well. 1181 So--yeah, I will be happy to yield. 1182 Mr. {Pallone.} You know, I appreciate your comments, 1183 but I have to say, you know, from the very first day, when 1184 Gina McCarthy came in here, I think we had a hearing, you 1185 know, when she first announced the rule, or the proposed 1186 rule, she just went out of her way to make clear that, you 1187 know, she was trying to be as flexible as possible, give 1188 states a considerable amount of time to comment on this. 1189 There were going to be all kinds of ways that they could meet 1190 standards. And, again, that this is just a proposed rule, 1191 and, you know, they are going to take the comments and, you 1192 know, consider all these things before they finalize it.
- So I just don't understand why this legislation is necessary, because I disagree with you. I mean, if you

1195 really feel that we need time, we need flexibility, I think 1196 that the rule, and the EPA's intentions, are quite clear that 1197 that is what they have in mind. My fear is that, you know, 1198 that this bill basically denies all that, and says, you know, even before we finalize the rule, we want to get rid of this 1199 1200 thing, and we want to let, you know, governors have the 1201 ability to just say no, you know, as long as they keep suing. 1202 You know, it--I guess it bothers me, Mr. Chairman, 1203 because I know that historically, or at least since I have 1204 been here, you know, Republicans are always claiming that 1205 there is too much litigation, and everybody is suing 1206 everybody too often. And I am even, you know, I understand 1207 that, but it just seems like every opportunity, even before a 1208 rule is finalized, you know, the GOP wants to, you know, 1209 somehow protect the ability to constantly litigate, and 1210 litigate, and not do anything in the meantime. 1211 So I just--I can't agree with your analysis of what this 1212 bill is doing, particularly from the beginning, when the EPA 1213 Administrator came in here and made it quite clear that she 1214 was kind of bending over to do whatever she can to allow 1215 states flexibility. But, whatever, I yield. 1216 Mr. {Whitfield.} Reclaiming my time, I would just say 1217 that, yeah, EPA talks about flexibility, and yet they are

1218 dictating the caps for every single state. But then they 1219 say--and you can meet that cap any way that you want to. But 1220 under the rule, the mandatory goal set for each state would 1221 be fixed, and could not be changed. 1222 So, you know, we--I guess--and let me just say this 1223 about the rule not being filed yet. In my experience on 1224 these issues with the EPA, and we have looked at a lot of 1225 different rules, and the only one that they changed in any 1226 significant way that -- my recollection is was the -- rule. They 1227 had so much pressure that they did change it significantly 1228 from what they had originally, but other than that, what they 1229 have put out there is basically what they have ended up with. 1230 I see my time has expired. Does anyone else seek 1231 recognition to speak on--1232 Mr. {Rush.} Mr. Chairman? 1233 Mr. {Whitfield.} Well, I have had my 5 minutes, so I 1234 will recognize Ms. Capps, seeks recognition to address the 1235 Pallone amendment for 5--1236 Mrs. {Capps.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I do ask 1237 permission to strike the last word in support of the Pallone 1238 amendment. And, as the Ranking Member has said, this 1239 amendment would simply state that climate change is real, and

that we should work to address is. Kind of a bottom line

1240

1241 statement. I believe climate change is actually one of the 1242 most urgent and serious problems we face, yet this underlying 1243 bill effectively prevents EPA from taking action to address 1244 it. 1245 The irony of this deeply flawed and misquided 1246 legislation being marked up today, which happens to be Earth 1247 Day, I think can't be ignored. For 45 years Earth Day has 1248 been an opportunity for individuals around the planet to come 1249 together to place environmental concerns at the forefront of 1250 the collective global consciousness. It was actually first 1251 established largely in response to a devastating oil spill in 1252 my district offshore in 1969, but the message of Earth Day is 1253 just as relevant today. In fact, I believe it might be more 1254 so. 1255 It is a day to recognize that our actions do have 1256 consequences, and that we must take responsibility for what 1257 we have done, and what we are continuing to do to this 1258 planet. As policymakers, we do have a responsibility to 1259 create policies that advance the best interests of current 1260 and future generations, including when it comes to climate 1261 change. Scientists agree, climate change is real. And not 1262 only is our climate changing, but human activities, 1263 particularly from the power sector, are contributing to this

1264 Temperature extremes are becoming more frequent, 1265 severe storms are becoming commonplace, oceans are becoming 1266 more acidic, and there is not enough water where we need it, 1267 and too much water where we don't want. 1268 Beyond changes to our environment, climate change has 1269 implications for health, for food security, and the 1270 availability of clean water. Studies have shown that climate 1271 change can lead to higher rates of asthma, reduce crop 1272 yields, increase the risk for harmful algal blooms to poison 1273 our reservoirs. Clearly climate change is not just an 1274 environmental issue. It is a social and economic issue as 1275 The impacts of climate change are disproportionately 1276 affecting individuals who can least afford to address them. 1277 It is already costing our economy billions of dollars in 1278 storm damage, flooding, harmful algal blooms, and much more. 1279 We owe it to future generations to start taking 1280 responsibility for our actions now, and work to reduce the 1281 carbon emissions that are driving climate change. 1282 Ratepayer Protection Act before us does not present a clear 1283 path forward, and does not present any real solutions to the 1284 problems we face. Instead of working to determine the best 1285 course forward, this legislation would derail the Clean Power 1286 Plan before it is even finalized.

1287 Climate change is a time sensitive issue. We cannot 1288 afford to keep saying that the solutions are too hard, and 1289 leave a legacy of inaction. We do have a responsibility to our constituents to find solutions that help to mitigate the 1290 1291 causes of climate change -- to impacts that we are seeing now, 1292 and will certainly see in the future. We should be debating the merits of concrete actions to combat climate change, not 1293 1294 simply endorsing inaction. 1295 So I urge my colleagues to support this basic Pallone 1296 amendment, and oppose the Ratepayer Protection Act. And I 1297 yield either to a colleague, or yield back. 1298 Mr. {Whitfield.} Gentlelady yields back. At this time 1299 recognize the gentleman from West Virginia for 5--Mr. {McKinley.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I don't think 1300 1301 I will need all 5 minutes. I -- there were just two points I 1302 wanted to raise. One is we keep hearing about asthma, and my 1303 son is an asthmatic, and I am obviously very sensitive to 1304 that issue. But in this particular rule that has been 1305 issued, if you have read the 130 pages, you will find nothing 1306 in the rule that says that reducing the CO2 emissions is 1307 going to reduce asthma. There is nothing in the rule. 1308 Second point, and I think it gets down to try to put 1309 this thing into context, if in America we were to shut down

1310 every coal fired power plant in America, every one of them, 1311 the United Nations statistics, not a Republican think tank, 1312 or a Democrat think tank, but from the United Nations, 1313 indicates that we would lower the CO2 emissions in the globe 1314 by 2/10 of 1 percent. That is it, 2/10 of 1 percent. If we 1315 stopped all coal fired power plants in America, let alone 1316 just reduced them, 2/10 of 1 percent. And we are willing to 1317 try and put our economy at risk in America, that depends on 1318 low cost energy, for 2/10 of 1 percent. I think that is 1319 dangerous, and it is ill conceived, and it is politically and 1320 ideologically driven, rather than based on science. 1321 the United Nations' statistics, 2/10 of 1 percent. That is 1322 why I am supporting the bill. I yield back my time. 1323 Mr. {Whitfield.} Gentleman yields back. Mr. Doyle is 1324 recognized for 5 minutes. 1325 Mr. {Doyle.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I support the 1326 gentleman's amendment, but I want to speak on the issue 1327 generally. I would just say to my Republican colleagues that 1328 we can't ignore the impact of rising CO2 levels. And I would 1329 say to my Democratic colleagues that we can't dismiss the 1330 fact that fossil fuels are going to be our major power source 1331 for decades to come. It just seems to me that for too many 1332 years here in Congress this argument has been black or white,

1333 either stop the use of fossil fuels tomorrow and face serious 1334 reliability, safety, and cost issues, or just ignore the 1335 science that exists that says our climate is getting warmer. 1336 We need a plan. I would say to my Republican friends, doing 1337 nothing is not a plan. And I would say to Democrats that for 1338 our constituents, who haven't seen their paychecks go up in 1339 15 years, we need a climate change plan that Americans can 1340 afford. 1341 You know, we have made a lot of headway into making all 1342 sources of energy more sustainable, but we need to do much 1343 I don't know what technologies we haven't yet 1344 discovered, but I do know that we have the best researchers 1345 and scientists in the world, and I know we are not doing 1346 enough in supporting that research. The quadrennial energy 1347 report released by the Administration yesterday highlights 1348 the lack of investment we have made into our nation's energy 1349 infrastructure. And I want to urge all my colleagues to pay 1350 great attention to this report. I strongly believe we need 1351 to help scientists find cleaner and better ways to make all 1352 sources of energy, fossil fuels included, cleaner, more 1353 reliable, and more affordable. So my question to all of you 1354 is, where is the investment? Where is the funding for 1355 research and development to make sure that we continue to

1356 have a balanced national energy plan that does truly rely on 1357 an all of the above approach to provide affordable, reliable 1358 power, but in a cleaner and more sustainable way? Think about this, colleagues. Mr. McKinley is right. 1359 1360 You could shut down every coal plant in the United States. 1361 You are not solving climate change. They are going to burn 1362 coal in China, and India, and all over this world, so what 1363 would -- we should be doing? We should have our best and 1364 brightest minds--this should be the mission to Mars, to come 1365 up with the technology that helps us reduce carbon emissions 1366 from the burning of fossil fuels. And when we develop that 1367 technology, we can sell it to every other country in the 1368 world, and then you will start to address climate change. 1369 For Democrats or Republicans to sit here and do nothing 1370 for the next 40 years to make fossil fuels burn more 1371 efficiently and cleaner is to put a death sentence on future 1372 generations not only in our country, in the world. And I 1373 would say to you today, I don't see a single bill or 1374 appropriation that is doing that. We have national labs in this country. We have entrepreneurs. We have scientists 1375 1376 that could tackle this problem if we made it a national 1377 priority. I can't think of anything more important for the 1378 Federal Government to--and let me tell you, folks, it is not

1379 going to happen in the private sector. The private sector is 1380 not going to fund this kind of basic research. 1381 Either we put our money where our mouth is, and fund the 1382 basic research, and say, this is the mission to Mars, we want 1383 five demonstration projects over the next 5 years to come up 1384 with a technology that will help us reduce carbon emissions 1385 from fossil fuels, and then I think Americans can say that we 1386 are serious about wanting to do something for this problem. 1387 I don't see a serious plan for either party in this Congress, 1388 and it is time we put our money where our mouth is and just 1389 cut this endless discussion. That -- I have been on this 1390 Committee 15 years, and this is like Groundhog Day every time 1391 we talk about climate change here. It is the same old 1392 arguments on both sides. Let us put our money where our 1393 mouth is. Let us fund some research. Let us figure this out. Technology is the answer to every one of these things. 1394 1395 Every source of power has risk. You--whether it is 1396 solar, wind, nuclear, gas, oil, there is risk. 1397 mitigate risk is through technology. This country has always been a leader in that. Let us develop the technology to save 1398 1399 the entire world, and let us sell that technology, and make a 1400 bunch of money in the process. But let us get to work and do 1401 it now. I yield back.

```
1402
          Mr. {Whitfield.} Thank you, Mr. Doyle. Thank you. Are
1403
      there any--the gentleman from Illinois is recognized for 5
1404
     minutes.
1405
           Mr. {Shimkus.} And I will be real brief. Thank you,
1406
     Mr. Chairman.
                     I want to thank my colleague from
1407
      Pennsylvania. He is very impassioned, and he has seen
1408
     passion on both sides. I would just--just for the newer
1409
     members, the Federal Government tried to do that. We had a
1410
     project funded by the Federal Government through the
1411
      Department of Energy called FutureGen, and--which President
1412
     Bush stopped. And then we had a project, FutureGen 2.0, that
1413
      President Obama just stopped. So it hasn't been a commitment
1414
     by us in authorization or money, at least on that. That
1415
     major one was gasification of coal, and then carbon
1416
      sequestration, and the other one was turning an old power
1417
     plant into also gasification, and then carbon sequestration.
1418
      The Executive Branch on both parties has not had the will to
1419
      see this project through.
1420
           So--and I would yield to my colleague--
1421
          Mr. {Pallone.} I didn't mean to interrupt you, but--
1422
          Mr. {Shimkus.} Well, you did.
1423
          Mr. {Pallone.} Are you done? If--when you are done, I
```

1424

will talk.

```
Mr. {Shimkus.} No, I am done.
1425
1426
           Mr. {Pallone.} All right. No, I just wanted to, you
1427
      know, I really appreciate what Mr. Doyle said, because he is
1428
      absolutely right. And, you know, regardless of what prior
      administrations have done, Democrat or Republican, the way
1429
1430
     Mr. Shimkus described, I really think we should--both sides
1431
      should look at this 5 year plan, I guess, that Secretary of
1432
      Energy put out yesterday, because it does actually make some
1433
      great suggestions in terms of investment, and infrastructure,
1434
      and energy infrastructure, that could be, you know, that
1435
      could lead to legislative proposals.
1436
           And I know that Chairman Upton, as well as Chairman
1437
      Shimkus, and our Ranking Member, Bobby Rush, have all talked
1438
      about possibly putting together legislation. So I think
1439
      that, you know, we should definitely take up what you are
1440
      suggesting, Mr. Doyle. And--regardless of what has been done
1441
      in the past, or not been done in the past, as Mr. Shimkus
1442
      said, this is -- something that we should be looking at for the
1443
      Committee to take some action.
1444
           Mr. {Shimkus.} I will reclaim my time, and I will yield
1445
     back.
1446
           Mr. {Whitfield.} Gentleman yields back.
1447
```

Mr. {Rush.} Mr. Chairman?

```
1448
          Mr. {Whitfield.} Yes?
1449
           Mr. {Rush.} Move to strike the last word.
1450
          Mr. {Whitfield.} Gentleman is recognized for 5--
1451
           Mr. {Rush.} Mr. Chairman, I want to applaud the--my
1452
      friend from Pennsylvania, his passion, and his vision for the
1453
      future of the nation. And I also want to just add a comment
1454
      that Rodney King--ask the question that Rodney King asked
1455
      some years ago, why can't we all just get along?
1456
          Mr. Chairman, I really support Ranking Member Pallone's
1457
      amendment. That is the question at hand right now. And this
1458
      just say no bill that is before us is nothing more than a way
1459
      to block the EPA from implementing its Clean Power Plan.
1460
     bill before us delays compliance for however long it takes to
1461
      complete all litigation, no matter how frivolous the lawsuit,
1462
      or--and even if all arguments against the plan are on the
1463
      losing side. The bill then tells states they can simply opt
1464
      out of the pollution reduction requirement of both the state
1465
     plan and a Federal plan anyway. All of that legal
1466
     maneuvering is just a complicated way of giving states, and
      their climate denying governors, an excuse to do nothing to
1467
1468
      control carbon pollution.
1469
          Mr. Pallone's amendment is an opportunity for all
```

members to affirm their belief in human-induced climate

1470

1471 change, and agree that the government should play a role in the reduction of carbon emissions, no matter what state they 1472 1473 come from. And this is a simple statement of fact, and it 1474 should be a relatively easy vote. As been stated, we have 1475 debated similar amendments in the past, and, unfortunately, 1476 my Republican colleagues have voted them down time, and time, 1477 and time again. And, Mr. Chairman, I hope that some of them, 1478 in light of the passion that has been expressed here today, 1479 that some of them might be willing to change their minds. 1480 The exact same language, Mr. Chairman, that is in the Pallone 1481 amendment was approved by the -- by a majority of the Senate, 1482 including seven Republican Senators, and pro quo Democratic 1483 Senators. Such support for anthropogenic climate change, 1484 affirming the language, is truly a sign of the times. 1485 In fact, a recent poll conducted by the New York Times, 1486 the Stanford University, and the Research--Resources for the 1487 Future demonstrate that the current consensus view of climate 1488 change in America is such 81 percent of Americans believe 1489 that climate change is caused by human activity. By party, 88 percent of Democrats, 83 percent of independents, and 71 1490 1491 percent of Republicans say that climate change was caused at 1492 least in part by human activities. 74 percent of America 1493 says that the Federal Government should be doing a

1494 substantial amount to combat climate change. By party, 91 1495 percent of Democrats, 78 percent of independents, and 51 1496 percent of Republicans said that the government should be fighting climate change. 83 percent of Americans, including 1497 86 percent of independents, and 61 percent of Republicans, 1498 1499 say if nothing is done to reduce emissions, global warming 1500 will be very--or somewhat serious for us as a problem in the 1501 future. 1502 Mr. Chairman, this bill is an invitation for states to 1503 do nothing to reduce harmful climate emissions. Six out of 1504 10 Republican citizens understand that if nothing is done to 1505 reduce global warming, there will be serious problems in the 1506 future. Enacting this bill will ensure that -- what our future would be. I urge all my colleagues, Mr. Chairman, to vote in 1507 1508 favor of the Pallone amendment. It should not be a hard 1509 vote. It is an easy vote for all us who believe in taking 1510 action to fight human induced climate change. I yield back. 1511 Mr. {Whitfield.} Thank you, Mr. Rush, and thank all of 1512 you for your discussion on this issue. And, if there is no 1513 further discussion, the vote--the gentleman from Kentucky is 1514 recognized for 5--1515 Mr. {Yarmuth.} Move to strike the last word in support 1516 of the Pallone amendment. And I just wanted to take this

```
1517
      opportunity to respond to one thing that my friend from West
1518
     Virginia said, and that is -- when he cited the United Nations
1519
      figures, for the record, so that there is no misconception of
1520
      what the United Nations did, last November they issued a
1521
      report saying that there was an urgent need to combat climate
1522
      change, and recommended a 30 percent worldwide reduction in
1523
      carbon emissions. So the United Nations, very much on record
1524
      as recognizing the problem of climate change, and urging
1525
      action in that regard.
1526
           So I think this--the Pallone amendment is a reflection
1527
      of that same concern, and I urge its adoption. I yield back.
1528
           Mr. {Whitfield.} The gentleman yields back. If there
      is no further discussion, the vote would occur on the--the
1529
1530
      gentleman asked for a roll call vote. Would--the Clerk will
1531
      call the roll.
1532
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Olson?
1533
           [No response.]
1534
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Barton?
1535
           Mr. {Barton.} No.
1536
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Barton votes no.
1537
           Mr. Shimkus?
1538
           Mr. {Shimkus.} No.
1539
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Shimkus votes no.
```

```
1540
           Mr. Pitts?
           Mr. {Pitts.} No.
1541
1542
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Pitts votes no.
1543
           Mr. Latta?
1544
           Mr. {Latta.} No.
1545
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Latta votes no.
1546
           Mr. Harper?
1547
           Mr. {Harper.} No.
1548
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Harper votes no.
1549
           Mr. McKinley?
1550
           Mr. {McKinley.} No.
1551
           The {Clerk.} Mr. McKinley votes no.
1552
           Mr. Pompeo?
1553
           Mr. {Pompeo.} No.
1554
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Pompeo votes no.
1555
           Mr. Kinzinger?
1556
           Mr. {Kinzinger.} No.
1557
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Kinzinger votes no.
1558
           Mr. Griffith?
1559
           Mr. {Griffith.} No.
1560
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Griffith votes no.
1561
           Mr. Johnson?
1562
           Mr. {Johnson.} No.
```

```
1563
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Johnson votes no.
1564
           Mr. Long?
1565
           Mr. {Long.} No.
1566
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Long votes no.
           Mrs. Ellmers?
1567
1568
           Mrs. {Ellmers.} No.
           The {Clerk.} Ms. Ellmers votes no.
1569
1570
           Mr. Flores?
1571
           Mr. {Flores.} No.
1572
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Flores votes no.
1573
           Mr. Mullin?
1574
           Mr. {Mullin.} No.
1575
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Mullin votes no.
1576
           Mr. Hudson?
1577
           Mr. {Hudson.} No.
1578
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Hudson votes no.
1579
           Chairman Upton?
1580
           The {Chairman.} No.
1581
           The {Clerk.} Chairman Upton votes no.
1582
           Mr. Rush?
1583
           Mr. {Rush.} Aye.
1584
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Rush votes aye.
1585
           Mr. McNerney?
```

```
1586
           Mr. {McNerney.} Aye.
1587
           The {Clerk.} Mr. McNerney votes aye.
           Mr. Tonko?
1588
1589
           Mr. {Tonko.} Aye.
1590
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Tonko votes aye.
1591
           Mr. Engel?
1592
           Mr. {Engel.} Aye.
1593
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Engel votes aye.
1594
           Mr. Green?
1595
           Mr. {Green.} Aye.
1596
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Green votes aye.
1597
           Ms. Capps?
1598
           Mrs. {Capps.} Aye.
1599
           The {Clerk.} Ms. Capps votes aye.
1600
           Mr. Doyle?
1601
           Mr. {Doyle.} Yes.
1602
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Doyle votes aye.
1603
           Ms. Castor?
1604
           Ms. {Castor.} Aye.
1605
           The {Clerk.} Mrs. Castor votes aye.
           Mr. Sarbanes?
1606
1607
           [No response.]
1608
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Welch?
```

```
1609
           Mr. {Welch.} Aye.
1610
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Welch votes aye.
1611
           Mr. Yarmuth?
1612
           Mr. {Yarmuth.} Aye.
1613
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Yarmuth votes aye.
1614
           Mr. Loebsack?
1615
           Mr. {Loebsack.} Yes.
1616
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Loebsack votes aye.
1617
           Mr. Pallone?
1618
           Mr. {Pallone.} Aye.
1619
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Pallone votes aye.
1620
           Chairman Whitfield?
1621
           Mr. {Whitfield.} No.
1622
           The {Clerk.} Chairman Whitfield votes no.
1623
           Mr. {Whitfield.} Are there any members who would like
1624
      to cast a votes? If not, the Clerk will report the result.
1625
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Chairman, on that vote there were 12
1626
      ayes and 17 nays.
1627
           Mr. {Whitfield.} The amendment is not agreed to.
           The question would now occur on forwarding the committee
1628
1629
     print to the full Committee.
1630
           All those in favor respond by saying aye.
1631
           All those opposed, nay.
```

```
1632
           When will--we are not on tune here.
1633
           Mr. {Rush.} We want a roll call vote. That is why--
1634
           Mr. {Whitfield.} The gentleman requests a roll call
1635
     vote. The Clerk will call the roll.
1636
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Olson?
1637
           [No response.]
1638
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Barton?
1639
           Mr. {Barton.} Aye.
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Barton votes aye.
1640
1641
           Mr. Shimkus?
1642
           Mr. {Shimkus.} Aye.
1643
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Shimkus votes aye.
1644
           Mr. Pitts?
1645
           Mr. {Pitts.} Aye.
1646
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Pitts votes aye.
1647
           Mr. Latta?
1648
           Mr. {Latta.} Aye.
1649
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Latta votes aye.
1650
           Mr. Harper?
1651
           Mr. {Harper.} Aye.
1652
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Harper votes aye.
1653
           Mr. McKinley?
1654
           Mr. {McKinley.} Aye.
```

```
1655
           The {Clerk.} Mr. McKinley votes aye.
1656
           Mr. Pompeo?
1657
           Mr. {Pompeo.} Aye.
1658
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Pompeo votes aye.
1659
           Mr. Kinzinger?
1660
           Mr. {Kinzinger.} Aye.
1661
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Kinzinger votes aye.
1662
           Mr. Griffith?
1663
           Mr. {Griffith.} Aye.
1664
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Griffith votes aye.
1665
           Mr. Johnson?
1666
           Mr. {Johnson.} Aye.
1667
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Johnson votes aye.
1668
           Mr. Long?
1669
           Mr. {Long.} Aye.
1670
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Long votes aye.
1671
           Mrs. Ellmers?
1672
           Mrs. {Ellmers.} Aye.
1673
           The {Clerk.} Ms. Ellmers votes aye.
           Mr. Flores?
1674
1675
           Mr. {Flores.} Aye.
1676
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Flores votes aye.
1677
           Mr. Mullin?
```

```
1678
           [No response.]
1679
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Hudson?
1680
           Mr. {Hudson.} Aye.
1681
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Hudson votes aye.
1682
           Chairman Upton?
1683
           The {Chairman.} Aye.
1684
           The {Clerk.} Chairman Upton votes aye.
1685
           Mr. Rush?
1686
           Mr. {Rush.} No.
1687
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Rush votes no.
1688
           Mr. McNerney?
1689
           Mr. {McNerney.} No.
1690
           The {Clerk.} Mr. McNerney votes no.
1691
           Mr. Tonko?
1692
           Mr. {Tonko.} No.
1693
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Tonko votes no.
           Mr. Engel?
1694
1695
           Mr. {Engel.}
                         No.
1696
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Engel votes no.
1697
           Mr. Green?
1698
           Mr. {Green.}
                         No.
1699
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Green votes no.
1700
           Ms. Capps?
```

```
1701
           Mrs. {Capps.} No.
1702
           The {Clerk.} Ms. Capps votes no.
1703
           Mr. Doyle?
1704
           Mr. {Doyle.} No.
1705
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Doyle votes no.
1706
           Ms. Castor?
1707
           Ms. {Castor.} No.
1708
           The {Clerk.} Mrs. Castor votes no.
1709
           Mr. Sarbanes?
1710
           [No response.]
1711
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Welch?
1712
           Mr. {Welch.} No.
1713
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Welch votes no.
1714
           Mr. Yarmuth?
1715
           Mr. {Yarmuth.} No.
1716
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Yarmuth votes no.
1717
           Mr. Loebsack?
1718
           Mr. {Loebsack.} Yes.
1719
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Loebsack votes no.
1720
           Mr. Pallone?
1721
           Mr. {Pallone.} No.
1722
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Pallone votes no.
1723
           Chairman Whitfield?
```

```
1724
           Mr. {Whitfield.} Aye.
1725
           The {Clerk.} Chairman Whitfield votes aye.
           Mr. {Whitfield.} Now Mr. Mullin is here.
1726
1727
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Mullin?
1728
           Mr. {Mullin.} Aye.
1729
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Mullin votes aye.
1730
          Mr. {Whitfield.} Anyone else seeking recognition for a
1731
     vote?
1732
           Seeing none, that would conclude the vote, and the Clerk
1733
     will call--will report the result.
1734
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Chairman, on that vote there were 17
1735
     ayes and 12 nays.
1736
           Mr. {Whitfield.} The ayes have it, and the bill is
1737
     agreed to.
           Without objection, staff is authorized to make technical
1738
1739
      and conforming changes to the legislation approved by the
1740
      Subcommittee today. So ordered. And, without objection, the
1741
     Subcommittee stands adjourned.
1742
           [Whereupon, at 11:32 a.m., the Subcommittee was
1743
     adjourned.]
```