- 1 {York Stenographic Services, Inc.}
- 2 RPTS EDWARDS
- 3 HIF042.020
- 4 FEDERAL EFFORTS ON MENTAL HEALTH:
- 5 WHY GREATER HHS LEADERSHIP IS NEEDED
- 6 WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 11, 2015
- 7 House of Representatives,
- 8 Subcommittee on Oversight and Investigations
- 9 Committee on Energy and Commerce
- 10 Washington, D.C.

11 The Subcommittee met, pursuant to call, at 10:05 a.m., 12 in Room 2123 of the Rayburn House Office Building, Hon. Tim 13 Murphy [Chairman of the Subcommittee] presiding.

Members present: Representatives Murphy, McKinley,
Burges, Blackburn, Griffith, Bucshon, Flores, Brooks, Mullin,
Hudson, Collins, Cramer, DeGette, Schakowsky, Tonko, Yarmuth,

17 Clarke, Kennedy and Pallone (ex officio).

18 Staff present: Gary Andres, Staff Director; Sean 19 Bonyun, Communications Director; Karen Christian, General 20 Counsel; Noelle Clemente, Press Secretary; Brad Grantz, 21 Policy Coordinator, Oversight and Investigations; Brittany 22 Havens, Legislative Clerk; Charles Ingebretson, Chief 23 Counsel, Oversight and Investigations; Peter Kielty, Deputy 24 General Counsel; Alan Slobodin, Deputy Chief Counsel, 25 Oversight; Sam Spector, Counsel, Oversight; Peter Bodner, 26 Democratic Counsel; Hannah Green, Democratic Policy Analyst; 27 Tiffany Guarascio, Democratic Deputy Staff Director and Chief 28 Health Advisor; Elizabeth Letter, Democratic Professional 29 Staff Members; Nick Richter, Democratic Staff Assistant; and 30 Una Lzo.

31 Mr. {Murphy.} Good morning. I now convene this 32 morning's hearing entitled ``Federal Efforts on Mental 33 Health: Why Greater HHS Leadership is Needed.'' 34 In December 2013, Laura Pogliano of Maryland sent to me 35 a poem she wrote about what it is like to raise a child with 36 schizophrenia, as opposed to other life-threatening 37 conditions. Here is an excerpt. Your child's illness is 38 afforded the cooperation of caregivers and parents to attend 39 to it. My child's illness is left to the right to refuse 40 care laws, leaving him to get as sick as he can possibly be, 41 and choose suicide, death, starvation and continued illness 42 with severe brain damage. Your child is never arrested or jailed because he is sick. My child is almost always 43 44 arrested at some point. Your child can have any bed in any 45 hospital in the country across the board. My child can only 46 have a psychiatric bed. And there is an estimated deficit of 47 100,000 beds in this country, and the wait for one can take 6 48 months or longer in some places. Your child can tell people 49 if he is sick. My child cannot, or he won't get a job or a 50 date or an apartment. Your child can get a fun trip

51 sponsored by an organization that assists sick children. My 52 child can't go on any trips usually, and neither can his 53 family.

54 Despite her struggles getting Zac into care, Laura 55 considered herself lucky, telling USA Today in November that, 56 even though her son's mental illness has driven her to 57 bankruptcy, sidetracked her career, and left her clinically 58 depressed, she called herself lucky, though Zac was in and 59 out of a hospital 13 times in 6 years. She said, even though he has fantasies that he is rich, hallucinations that he is 60 61 being followed, and delusions that his mother is a robot, 62 even though he has slept with a butcher knife under his 63 pillow, Laura considered herself lucky that Zac wasn't in 64 jail or homeless.

Last month, Zac was found dead in his apartment. He was23 years old.

67 Laura had dreams for her son, Zac, just like every 68 parent does. For countless parents, those dreams are 69 tragically cut short. She searched for help and faced 70 barriers to care. Federal laws, HIPAA laws, state laws. We 71 have criminalized mental illness so you can't get help unless

72 you are homicidal, suicidal, or you are well enough to 73 understand you have problems and ask for help. 74 This has been a growing problem since states closed down 75 their old asylums, as they should have, but what did the 76 Federal Government do here to take care of this problem, to 77 meet the needs of millions of Americans with serious mental 78 illness and their families? 79 Today, we will hear how our mental health system is an 80 abject failure for those families. Its failure is not a 81 democrat or republican issue; it knows no party label, and to 82 be honest, this spans multiple Administrations, but the cost 83 is enormous for the 10 million Americans with serious mental 84 illness. Those with schizophrenia die 25 years earlier than the rest of the population. Forty thousand people in this 85 86 country died last year from suicide, while another million 87 attempted it in the last year. And that is a trend that is getting worse. Rates of homelessness, incarceration, 88 89 unemployment, substance abuse, violence, victimization and 90 suicide amongst those with serious mental illness continue to 91 soar. These are the very human, very tragic, and very deadly 92 results of a very, very bad report card.

93 Today, thanks to a diligent year-long review of Federal 94 efforts related to severe mental illness conducted at the 95 bipartisan request of this committee, the Government 96 Accountability Office has produced unassailable evidence that 97 our mental health system is dysfunctional, disjointed, and a 98 disaster.

99 No Federal agency has had a more central role in the 100 disaster than the Department of Health and Human Services. 101 HHS is charged with leading the Federal Government's public 102 health efforts related to mental health, and the Substance 103 Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, otherwise 104 known as SAMHSA, which is required to promote coordination of 105 programs related to mental illness throughout the Federal Government. At the onset of our investigation 2 years ago, 106 107 we found it troubling that no one in the Federal Government 108 kept track of all the Federal programs serving individuals with severe mental illness. My colleague and I, 109 110 Representative Diana DeGette, asked GAO to take on this task. 111 Following a detailed survey of eight Federal departments, 112 including the Department of Defense, Veterans Affairs, HHS 113 and GAO, the GAO identified at least 112 separate Federal

114 programs supporting individuals with severe mental illness. 115 But most damming in this GAO report were these two principle 116 findings. One, interagency coordination for programs 117 supporting individuals with serious mental illness, a key 118 function of SAMHSA, is lacking. And number two, to see 119 whether programs specifically targeted at individuals with 120 serious mental illness are working, agencies evaluated fewer 121 than 1/3 of them. 122 Now, you can't manage what you don't measure. For 123 families who want and need treatment, HHS has given families bureaucracy, burdens and barriers instead. 124 125 We spend a lot of money in this country on mental

126 illness, and the term evidence is thrown around like candy to 127 prevent people from asking where is the real proof that this 128 works. GAO offered two recommendations to correct these 129 failings. HHS rejected them both. In each instance, HHS 130 dismissed GAO's concerns rather than presenting evidence to 131 dispute GAO's conclusions or volunteering improvements, or 132 having the humility to say maybe we ought to do something 133 about this.

134 When you have a mental health system that is as broken

135 as the one we face today, with a report card so tragic, you would think that the Federal agency charged with coordinating 136 137 a myriad of activities supporting individuals with severe 138 mental illness would be open to recommendations from an experienced, nonpartisan authority, steep in the practices of 139 140 good government. HHS, in rejecting both of GAO's 141 recommendations, and failing to identify any aspect of either 142 recommendation worth working with or leaning from, is 143 essentially say there is no room for improvement, and that 144 the agency is doing everything right at present. This is 145 unbelievable.

The hubris shown by HHS is downright insulting and callous to the millions of families and individuals suffering under this broken system. This is a clear example of unaccountable government; one that refuses to recognize its failings even when it is presented with constructive recommendations for improvement.

We want to help in this committee, this Congress wants to help, but we can't help you if you are not even willing to admit there is a problem. We are not talking simply about wasted dollars or lost program efficiencies. We are talking

156 about lives ruined, about dreams that are shattered, we are 157 talking about preventable tragedies and lives lost. 158 I have spoken before about individuals with 159 schizophrenia and bipolar disorders who aren't just in denial, but have the very real medical pathology that they 160 161 cannot recognize they have an illness. It is called 162 anosognosia, and it is a symptom found in stroke victims, 163 Alzheimer patients, and persons with schizophrenia. HHS and 164 SAMHSA are similarly in denial. You are so out of touch with 165 understanding their own failures that it causes greater pain to millions of American families. Meanwhile, the lives of 166 individuals with severe mental illness and their families 167 168 remain in the balance.

169 This morning, while we hear about the -- we will hear from 170 the author of the GAO report, as well as representatives from These include Dr. Linda Kohn, Director of Health Care 171 HHS. at GAO; Dr. Richard Frank, Assistant Secretary for Planning 172 173 and Evaluation at HHS; and Pamela Hyde, Esquire, the 174 Administrator of SAMHSA. I thank them all for joining us this morning, and I would like to give the ranking member an 175 opportunity to deliver remarks of her own. 176

```
177 [The prepared statement of Mr. Murphy follows:]
```

178

179 Ms. {DeGette.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

180 This is an issue that is important to both of us, and so 181 I am really glad that you have convened this hearing as part 182 of our continuing oversight of the Federal Government's 183 mental health programs.

This hearing, as the chairman mentioned, follows a report by the GAO released last week, which raises questions about the more than 100 programs that generally support individuals with serious mental illness, and 30 programs that specifically target those individuals.

In particular, the GAO report raises questions about the coordination and evaluation of mental health programs, and offers recommendations to help us improve the mental health system.

I look forward to hearing our witnesses' testimony today because they are very familiar with the report and the issues that it raises, and I know that we will all be able to see further insights and context for our understanding of the Federal role in mental health care.

198 The report provides us with an importance chance to

199 assess current Federal efforts to address mental health, and 200 to see where there is room for improvement in our system. 201 And I know we can all agree there is ample room for 202 improvement. I want to hear about how we can ensure that 203 Federal programs actually assist people who need them, and I 204 also think we need to talk about how to assess the efficacy 205 and cost of those programs.

206 While it is important to talk about providing services 207 and support to those with serious mental illnesses, I think 208 we also need to have a broader conversation about mental health in this country. According to the National Institute 209 210 of Mental Health, we have nearly 44 million individuals; 211 almost 19 percent of all U.S. adults, living with mental 212 illness every year. And, Mr. Chairman, as we have discussed, 213 sometimes if we can help folks in the early stages of mental 214 illness, then that helps us begin to prevent the 215 disintegration into very, very serious mental illness and 216 worse.

217 So we have spent a lot of time on this subcommittee 218 looking at mental health issues. We have learned about the 219 need to appropriately target mental health funding, and the

220 need to adequately fund mental health research. We have 221 learned about the importance of health insurance that 222 provides coverage for those with mental illnesses. I know, 223 Mr. Chairman, that you want to pass mental health legislation 224 that will make a real difference. I do too. I hope there 225 are ways that we can work through these issues and concerns 226 on a bipartisan basis, with the focus group that we have put 227 together over the last year. I think we should work together 228 to put the lessons learned in these Oversight hearings into 229 practice.

I want to thank all of the witnesses for being here today. It is important to hear from all of you. I know we can agree there is always room for improvement, and we look forward to hearing from you about how we can do that.

With that, I will yield the balance of my time toRepresentative Kennedy.

236 [The prepared statement of Ms. DeGette follows:]

238 Mr. {Kennedy.} I want to thank the ranking member, and 239 I thank the chairman for calling this important hearing. I 240 thank the witnesses for their testimony today, and for your 241 work on an extraordinarily important issue.

This report outlines alarming lapses in coordination at the Federal level. It raises questions about how Federal funds are being spent, and points a finger at our Nation's patchwork mental health system for failing to meet the needs of millions of Americans.

247 Back home, I see communities on the frontlines of a 248 growing crisis, looking for the Federal Government for 249 support. From substance abuse to at-risk youth, our failure 250 to delivery dependable, affordable and accessible mental 251 health care is costing lives back at home.

So instead of throwing in the towel, we should see this report as a rallying cry. We must do better, devote more resources to mental illness, invest in our efforts at improving coordination, evaluation and delivery of care. But for that to work, we need to know the scope of the problem and the range of our response. We must have the commitment

258	of our Federal partners to take on a growing problem.
259	Lasting mental health reforms are long overdue, and I look
260	forward to working with all of you. And I want to thank
261	again the chairman and ranking member for calling this
262	important hearing.
263	I yield back.
264	[The prepared statement of Mr. Kennedy follows:]

266 Mr. {Murphy.} Yields back. Thank you.

I now recognize the vice chair of the full committee,Mrs. Blackburn of Tennessee, for 5 minutes.

Mrs. {Blackburn.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I want to welcome our witnesses, and highlight a couple of things that have already been said that I think are important to all of us on the panel.

273 As the chairman mentioned, 10 million adults in the U.S. 274 had a serious mental illness during 2013. That should not be 275 lost on us. And we also were very concerned about 276 coordination of care, and we are going to have some questions 277 about that. I have discussed this with some of the mental health professionals in my district who are involved in this 278 279 coordination of care. And Ms. DeGette's comments are so on 280 point with so much of what we are going to look at, the money 281 that is spent. Your budget is a hefty budget for substance 282 abuse and mental illness, but the lack of coordination of 283 care, the lack of the resources meeting the needs at the local and state agencies, how this feeds through, it is--this 284

285 is something that does cause us concern. We are pleased to

286	hear from the GAO today, and look at howwe want to look at
287	where the recommendations the GAO has, how they have fallen
288	on deaf ears at HHS and SAMHSA, and we are concerned about
289	the delivery of parity, if you will, in mental illness and
290	addressing those needs, and we are concerned with what
291	appears to be a great deal of indifference wherewhen it
292	comes to just spending money but not getting results.
293	So I will yield back my time, Mr. Chairman, or yield to
294	whomever would like to have the time. And we look forward to
295	hearing from our witnesses.
296	[The prepared statement of Mrs. Blackburn follows:]

298 Mr. {Murphy.} Thank you. 299 Does anybody on this side wish to make any comments? If not, then we will proceed. Thank you. Does anybody--I am 300 301 sorry--302 {Voice.} Mr. Pallone. 303 Mr. {Murphy.} --Mr. Pallone. I am sorry, Mr. Pallone 304 is here now. I am sorry. Mr. Pallone will have--is 305 recognized for 5 minutes. 306 Mr. {Pallone.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you for convening the hearing today. And I am glad we are taking 307 308 this opportunity to examine how the Federal Government 309 supports individuals with serious mental illness, but also 310 looking into how we can strengthen our mental health system 311 for the future. We all agree that there are ways we can do 312 better. 313 The GAO report we are talking about today calls for 314 improved coordination and evaluation of Federal programs that 315 help those with serious mental illness. And these are valuable goals, but I want to make sure we don't discount the 316 work HHS, SAMHSA and other Federal agencies are already doing 317

318 in these areas.

The GAO report identified 112 programs across the 319 320 Federal Government that support those with serious mental 321 illness. Now, within that group, there are 30 programs that specifically focus on individuals with serious mental 322 323 illness. GAO, however, did not review the merits of--or 324 quality of these programs, so we should hear from HHS and 325 SAMHSA about the work they are doing, how these programs help 326 individuals with a variety of needs, and how these agencies 327 plan to build upon these programs moving forward.

It is also important to emphasize that HHS, SAMHSA and 328 their partners across the Federal Government do coordinate on 329 330 mental health programming. The GAO reports--or the GAO report notes that, and I quote, ``Staff from 90 percent of 331 332 the programs targeted serious mental illness reported 333 coordinating with their counterparts and other programs.'' HHS coordinates with a number of departments and 334 335 agencies, including the Department of Defense, the Department 336 of Veterans Affairs, the Department of Education, to carry out critical programs for individuals with serious mental 337 illness. SAMHSA also co-chairs the HHS Behavioral Health 338

339 Coordinating Council, which includes a Subcommittee on Serious Mental Illness. 340 341 The GAO report also noted that SAMHSA had completed, or was in the process of completing, nine program evaluations in 342 343 the past several years, and I look forward to hearing from 344 SAMHSA about the results of these evaluations, and how they 345 have improved program efficiency and effectiveness, as well 346 as how SAMHSA utilizes other monitoring and evaluation tools. 347 Notably, the GAO report did not review the programs that provide reimbursement of insured services for individuals 348 with serious mental illness, including Medicare and Medicaid. 349 350 These programs are a huge part of the work HHS does to 351 support early diagnosis and treatment of mental illness. And lastly, Mr. Chairman, I want to highlight the role 352 of the Affordable Care Act in guaranteeing coverage of mental 353 health services. Continuing implementation of the ACA will 354 355 go a long way in ensuring that people with serious mental 356 illness have access to the treatments they need. In fact, we 357 should support programs that focus on prevention and early diagnosis of mental illness. We can more effectively support 358 359 individuals with serious mental illness by treating them

360	early in the course of their illnesses, and altering the
361	trajectory of their condition.
362	So again, I want to thank our witnesses. And I would
363	like to yield my remaining time to the gentleman from New
364	York, Mr. Tonko.
365	[The prepared statement of Mr. Pallone follows:]

367 Mr. {Tonko.} I thank the ranking member of our Energy
368 and Commerce Committee for yielding. And I thank you, Mr.
369 Chair, and, Ranking Member DeGette, for holding this hearing
370 on such a critically important topic.

As I travel around my congressional district in the capital region of New York, I hear stories daily from individuals and families as they struggle with the ravages of mental illness. Their pain is indeed real, and we must commit this Congress to doing everything within its power to ease their burdens.

In that vein, I welcome today's hearing, and the 377 378 underlying GAO report that we are here to discuss as it 379 advances the conversation on some basic good governance 380 questions on how the Federal Government should approach programs aimed at helping individuals with serious mental 381 382 illness. And while I concur with the report's conclusion 383 that high-level coordination can be essential to identifying 384 gaps in services and evaluating overall efforts, it is important to keep in mind that coordination is not an end 385 386 unto itself. Where additional interagency coordination,

387 whether at the programmatic or department level, can be an 388 effective use of the Federal Government's time and money, and 389 more importantly, is beneficial to individuals with serious 390 mental illness, we should welcome it. Where it does not mean 391 that--meet that test, we should be--not be adding additional 392 layers of bureaucracy that divert time and resources from the 393 people that need it the most.

As such, I look forward to hearing from our witnesses today on where coordination efforts can be built upon so that we can have an improved outcome for those living with mental illness.

398 And I thank you and yield back the balance of my time.399 [The prepared statement of Mr. Tonko follows:]

401

Mr. {Murphy.} The gentleman yields back.

402 So at this point, we will proceed with testimony of our witnesses. I would now like to introduce the panel. 403 404 First, we have Dr. Linda Kohn, who is the Director with 405 the Health Care Team at the U.S. Government Accountability 406 Office, where she works on issues related to public health, 407 health information technology, and medical research programs. 408 Welcome. Dr. Richard Frank is the Assistant Secretary for 409 Planning and Evaluation at the U.S. Department of Health and 410 Human Services. In this role, he advises the Secretary on 411 development of health and disability, human services data, 412 and science policy, and provides advice and analysis on economic policy. Welcome here. And the Honorable Pamela 413 414 Hyde is accompanying Dr. Frank. Ms. Hyde is the 415 Administrator of the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, otherwise known as SAMHSA. Ms. Hyde 416 417 has more than 35 years of experience in management and 418 consulting for public health care and human service agencies. I will now swear in our witnesses. 419 420 You are all aware that the committee is holding an

421 investigative hearing, and when doing so, has the practice of 422 taking testimony under oath. Do any of you have any 423 objections to testifying under oath? Seeing that no one has an objection, the chair then advises you that under the rules 424 of the House and the rules of the committee, you are entitled 425 426 to be advised by counsel. Do any of you desire to be advised 427 by counsel during testimony today? And all the witnesses 428 decline. In that case, would you all please rise and raise 429 your right hand, and I will swear you in?

430 [Witnesses sworn]

431 Mr. {Murphy.} You are now under oath and subject to the
432 penalties set forth in Title XVIII, section 1001 of the
433 United States Code.

434 You may now each give a 5-minute summary of your written 435 statement. Please make sure the microphone is turned on and 436 close to your face.

437 Dr. Kohn, you may begin. The--make sure the microphone438 is on and pulled close.

439 Ms. {Kohn.} Is it on? Got it. Okay.

440 Mr. {Murphy.} Thank you.

<sup>441</sup> <sup>^</sup>TESTIMONY OF LINDA T. KOHN, PH.D., DIRECTOR, HEALTH CARE,
<sup>442</sup> U.S. GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTABILITY OFFICE; RICHARD G. FRANK,
<sup>443</sup> PH.D., ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR PLANNING AND EVALUATION, U.S.
<sup>444</sup> DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES; ACCOMPANIED BY
<sup>445</sup> PAMELA S. HYDE, J.D., ADMINISTRATOR, SUBSTANCE ABUSE AND
<sup>446</sup> MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

447 ^TESTIMONY OF LINDA T. KOHN, PH.D.

Ms. {Kohn.} Thank you, Chairman Murphy, Ranking Member 448 } DeGette, and members of the subcommittee. I am pleased to be 449 450 here today to talk about GAO's recent report on Federal programs related to serious mental illness. Our report calls 451 452 for leadership from HHS to coordinate Federal efforts in addressing the needs of this very vulnerable population. 453 454 Our report has three major findings, and I will touch 455 briefly on each. First, we found 112 programs across eight 456 different agencies that serve the needs of people with 457 serious mental illness, and 30 of these programs target or specifically focus on people with serious mental illness. We 458

459 believe it is unlikely that all the programs were identified because agencies had difficulty identifying them, not because 460 461 they weren't willing to, that was not an issue, but the agencies didn't always have information on the extent to 462 which a program was serving the seriously mentally ill, 463 464 although they knew that their programs were serving that 465 population; for example, a program related to homelessness. 466 The list we think is also incomplete because agencies 467 varied in how they decided which programs to include in their responses to us. So, for example, DoD identified all of 468 their suicide prevention programs in their list of programs 469 for the seriously mentally ill, but SAMHSA initially did not 470 471 because they saw the program as serving a broader population. 472 Subsequently, SAMHSA added these programs to the list.

There was another example, HUD and VA jointly administer a housing program for disabled veterans. VA put it on the list of programs, HUD didn't put it on the list of programs. So there are a number of examples like that, and it is that kind of variation that can limit comparability among similar programs. So this list is a starting point, not an ending point.

480 Our second objective related to coordination, and we found that while the staff involved in implementing these 481 482 programs reported taking steps to coordinate activities with staff in other agencies, we were unable to identify any 483 formal mechanism to support interagency coordination at a 484 higher level. And such coordination, GAO believes, could 485 486 help comprehensively identify the programs, resources, and 487 potential gaps or duplication in Federal efforts that support 488 the seriously mentally ill.

489 In the past, HHS has led the Federal Executive Steering Committee for Mental Health with members from across, with 490 491 members from across the Federal Government, but that group 492 hasn't met since 2009. HHS told us that another group, the Behavioral Health Coordinating Council, performed some of the 493 494 activities previously done by the Steering Committee, but that council is limited to HHS and doesn't have members from 495 496 across the Federal Government.

497 We identified examples of other interagency committees, 498 but they tended to be broader in scope, such as the focus on 499 homelessness or focused on a specific population such as 500 veterans. It is important to emphasize, and has been noted,

501 that the staff that carry out the programs reported to us 502 that they were working with colleagues in different agencies, 503 and trying to coordinate their efforts. That is a very 504 positive thing in place, however, staff at the program level are not necessarily in the right position to identify 505 506 possible gaps, potential duplication, whether Federal 507 resources are being spent wisely. Getting that kind of an 508 overarching perspective requires some higher level, 509 interagency coordination, and we called on HHS to establish a 510 mechanism for that. HHS did not agree because they said that coordination is already occurring at the programmatic level, 511 but for the reasons I noted, we continue to believe that 512 513 action is necessary.

Our third recommendation related to evaluation, and we 514 515 found that as of September 2014, across the 30 programs that 516 specifically target the seriously mentally ill, fewer than 517 1/2 had evaluations that were done in the last 5 years or 518 were underway. Of the completed evaluations, SAMHSA had 519 evaluated the greatest proportion of their programs, seven of the 13 programs they listed, and had two evaluations 520 underway. And there were a couple of other evaluations that 521

522 were done at DoD.

We recognize program evaluations can be costly and very 523 524 time-consuming, and that the agencies need to prioritize 525 those efforts. Our report also notes that the agencies reported to us that they do other program monitoring 526 527 activities. They look at data performance measures, they 528 stay on top of the literature to understand how to improve 529 programs and identify improvements, and again, that is a very 530 important component, but we don't believe that performance 531 monitoring takes the place of formal program evaluations that can examine the overall effectiveness of a program. 532

533 We called on four agencies that sponsor programs that 534 target the seriously mentally ill; specifically, DoD, 535 Justice, HHS and VA, to document which of their programs 536 should be evaluated and how often. DoD, Justice and VA 537 agreed with our recommendation; HHS did not agree, and 538 suggested our report overemphasized the role of evaluations, 539 but again, we believe to--we continue to believe that action 540 is needed.

541 That concludes my prepared remarks. Thank you very 542 much.

543 [The prepared statement of Ms. Kohn follows:]

545 Mr. {Murphy.} Thank you, Dr. Kohn.
546 Dr. Frank, you are recognized for 5 minutes.

|

547 ^TESTIMONY OF RICHARD G. FRANK, PH.D.

Mr. {Frank.} Good morning, Chairman Murphy, Ranking 548 } Member DeGette, and members of the subcommittee. My name is 549 550 Richard Frank, and I am the Assistant Secretary for Planning 551 and Evaluation. I am pleased to be here to discuss 552 coordination of care for people with serious mental illness. 553 I have dedicated much of my career to studying mental health 554 care and mental health policies, so it is gratifying to participate in a serious conversation on this issue. 555 556 The occasion that brings us here is the release of GAO's

report on efforts to coordinate care for people with serious 557 mental illness. Past GAO reports on serious mental illness 558 559 have had profound effects on this Nation's mental health 560 policy. I think of the 1977 report, returning the mentally 561 disabled to the community, government needs to do more, as 562 having set the standard. The GAO showed how government could 563 best support people with serious mental illness by improving the care they receive from community providers. 564

565 Today's report falls short of that earlier effort. It

566 doesn't adequately make the connection between government activities and meeting the complex needs of people with 567 568 serious mental illness. 569 In the time I have with you, I aim to make some of those connections; one, by offering a more complete view of HHS 570 571 programs that serve people with serious mental illnesses; 572 two, by describing the investments that we are making to 573 coordinate services for this population; and three, to 574 explain our evaluation efforts. 575 Serious mental illnesses are not a diagnosis. Serious mental illness is how we talk about a collection of 576

577 conditions and impairments that disrupt peoples' lives, much 578 as the chairman mentioned. Therefore, serious mental illness 579 does not fall easily into quantified categories of programs, 580 peoples and dollars.

581 Let me begin first by outlining the role of the Federal 582 Government in serving people with serious mental illnesses, 583 and putting that into context.

584 Medicare and Medicaid supplemental security income and 585 social security disability insurance are the largest sources 586 of public support for people with serious mental illnesses.

587 With regard to HHS programs that pay for and delivery mental health services, Medicare and Medicaid account for 40 percent 588 589 of national spending on mental health care, and an even 590 larger share of -- for care with -- for people with serious mental illnesses. All other Federal programs, including 591 592 SAMHSA's programs, account for 5 percent of spending. The 593 remaining 55 percent is made up of spending by private 594 insurance, state and local government expenditures, and out-595 of-pocket payments by households. By focusing on the 5 596 percent, the GAO report overlooks much of HHS activities regarding caregiving and support for people with serious 597 598 mental illnesses. HHS leadership recognizes the need to 599 coordinate services for this population. Coordination can be thought of in a number of ways. It can occur at the level of 600 601 the--of large Federal agencies, at the program level, at the provider level, or at the level of the individual 602 603 beneficiaries where providers, programs and people interact. 604 People do not live their lives according to program 605 boundaries, and we have learned not to run our programs as if they do. As a result, we have been making substantial 606 607 investments in new organizations and institutions that

608 coordinate public services at the level of the individual 609 beneficiary. A few important examples include SAMHSA's 610 Primary Behavioral Health Care Integration, or PBHCI, 611 Program, Medicaid Health Home, and the integrated care 612 demonstration for beneficiaries that are duly eligible for 613 Medicare and Medicaid. 614 The GAO report also raised the issue of evaluation to

615 develop evidence to guide program design and funding 616 decisions. We have, and are conducting a variety of 617 important and rigorous evaluations of programs that coordinate care for people with serious mental illnesses. 618 They include evaluations of programs run by SAMHSA, CMS, 619 Social Security, HUD, and by states using Federal program 620 funds. The results of evaluations have shaped legislation, 621 program design and regulations. 622

I will highlight two to give you a flavor of our efforts. First, ASPE has worked with SAMHSA to evaluate primary behavioral health care integration programs, showing how coordination across providers affects health and mental health of people with serious mental illnesses. Second, we will be evaluating early intervention programs for serious

629 mental illnesses, in conjunction with the Social Security 630 Administration and in relation to SAMHSA's block grant set 631 aside. In addition, SAMHSA, ASPE and CMS are jointly 632 developing new performance and quality measures that are 633 essential to conducting evaluations and monitoring progress. 634 This Administration has shown a deep commitment to 635 addressing mental health care, and support for serious mental 636 illnesses, specifically. It is that commitment that was an 637 important factor in my returning to work here at HHS. I am proud of the record to date, but I know we can do more. More 638 needs to be done, and I hope to join you in doing just that. 639 640 Thank you.

641 [The prepared statement of Mr. Frank follows:]

643 Mr. {Murphy.} Thank you.
644 Ms. Hyde, you are recognized for 5 minutes.

645 ^TESTIMONY OF PAMELA S. HYDE, J.D.

646 } Ms. {Hyde.} Good morning, Chairman Murphy, Ranking
647 Member DeGette, and members of the subcommittee. My name is
648 Pamela Hyde and I am the Administrator of the Substance Abuse
649 and Mental Health Services Administration.

650 In 2014, over 3/4 of SAMHSA's mental health funding was 651 targeted toward improving the lives of persons with serious 652 mental illness, or SMI. Individuals with SMI in their families, like those I have met, served and advocated for 653 654 over 4 decades, are the reason we are, at SAMHSA, working so hard to coordinate critical Federal programs to maximize the 655 impact on the ground for those who need it the most. For 656 example, SAMHSA and other HHS agencies work with the U.S. 657 658 Interagency Council on Homelessness, the Departments of 659 Veterans Affairs and Housing and Urban Development, to 660 prioritize the needs of veterans and individuals experiencing 661 chronic homelessness; many of whom have serious mental illnesses. Because of these joint efforts, 25,000 fewer 662 people experienced chronic homelessness in 2014 than in 2013, 663

664 and the number of homeless veterans has declined 33 percent. I also represent Secretary Burwell as co-chair of the 665 President's Interagency Task Force on Military and Veterans 666 Mental Health. Through this effort, SAMHSA is working with 667 the Department of Defense, VA, and the White House to address 668 669 the mental health needs of military families. SAMHSA also 670 leads the Interdepartmental Federal Working Group on suicide 671 prevention, and helps fund and support the Federal and 672 private sector collaboration that developed, and is beginning to implement the Surgeon General's national strategy on 673 suicide prevention. 674

In 2014, the National Suicide Prevention Lifeline,
funded by SAMHSA, and coordinated with the VA, served over
1.3 million Americans.

678 SAMHSA's Children's Mental Health Initiative coordinates 679 mental health, education, juvenile justice and human services 680 structures that serve young people with serious emotional 681 disturbances. Evaluations of this program have demonstrated 682 impressive results in improving functioning, reducing 683 arrests, suicidal thoughts and days spent in inpatient care, 684 and increasing family satisfaction with services.

Along with the Assistant Secretary for Health, I cochair the Secretary's Behavioral Health Coordinating Council, which includes a new subcommittee focused on the needs of persons with SMI, and other subcommittees that address issues affecting SMI individuals and their families across multiple programs.

691 SAMHSA also coordinates Federal efforts informally. For 692 example, SAMHSA worked with the Departments of Labor and 693 Education to develop and disseminate a toolkit about 694 supported employment for persons with SMI. In 2014, SAMHSA 695 implemented a new grant program to test how to help states 696 take this evidence-based practice to scale.

697 In 2014, SAMHSA also implemented new congressional language requiring that at least 5 percent of each state's 698 699 mental health block grant funds be used to provide treatment 700 and services for individuals with first-episode serious 701 mental illness. SAMHSA is coordinating with the National 702 Institute of Mental Health to provide guidance and technical 703 assistance to help states implement evidence-based 704 interventions to prevent the disability often associated with 705 early onset SMI.

706 Also new in 2014 is the President's Now is The Time 707 plan, which grew out of the tragedy in Newtown, Connecticut, 708 and received broad bipartisan support by Congress. This 709 series of programs allows us to increase the behavioral 710 health workforce, train and support school personnel, and 711 assist youth and young adults, especially those with serious 712 emotional disturbances, to be identified and receive the 713 treatment they need for emerging mental health and substance 714 use problems as they transition to adulthood. These new 715 programs necessitate robust interdepartmental coordination with other HHS agencies. The Departments of Education and 716 717 Justice, and state education and behavioral health entities, 718 as well as students, families and community responders. 719 And in collaboration with the Departments of Treasury 720 and Labor, SAMHSA and other HHS agencies have coordinated 721 efforts to help individuals with significant behavioral 722 health needs enroll in newly available affordable care 723 coverage, and to help plans and consumers know about their 724 obligations and rights under National parity legislation. 725 Even though much has been accomplished, we recognize the need to do more. The President's 2016 budget proposes a new 726

- 727 SAMHSA Crisis Services Program to bring together multiple state, Federal and community funding streams, and service 728 729 deliver infrastructures so that emergency rooms, inpatient 730 residential and treatment facilities, and jail cells will not be the only options for SMI individuals in crisis and their 731 732 families. 733 SAMHSA works every day to coordinate and collaborate 734 within the Federal Government and across the country to 735 assure evidence-based treatment is available and delivered so 736 individuals with SMI and their families can live satisfying and productive lives. We appreciate Congress' continuing 737
- 738 partnership in these efforts.
- 739 Thank you.
- 740 [The prepared statement of Ms. Hyde follows:]

742 Mr. {Murphy.} Thank you. I thank the witnesses for743 their testimony.

744 I am now going to recognize myself for 5 minutes. Just 745 for the record, I just want to make it clear, Dr. Kohn, you 746 have never treated a patient with mental illness, correct? 747 Ms. {Kohn.} No, I have not.

Mr. {Murphy.} Dr. Frank, you never have? You have never treated anybody with mental illness, right? That is not your field, correct? And, Ms. Hyde, it is not your--you have never treated anybody in the service for mental illness, correct? I just want to--

753 Ms. {Hyde.} I--right.

754 Mr. {Murphy.} Just want to be on the record. That way-755 -yes.

756 So, Dr. Kohn, despite HHS's disagreement with your 757 recommendations, does GAO stand by its report and its 758 recommendations?

Ms. {Kohn.} We do. We continue to believe that action
is needed in both areas. We think there can be greater
coordination to provide that overarching perspective. It is

762 not that we didn't acknowledge a number of--763 Mr. {Murphy.} Okay, just--I just need to have that yes 764 or no. I--765 Ms. {Kohn.} Yes. 766 Mr. {Murphy.} Thank you. Dr. Frank, in this 2006 book 767 that you wrote, Better But Not Well, you wrote that 768 individuals with a mental illness have flexible entitlements 769 to an array of largely uncoordinated programs and resources. 770 The resources flow from a dizzying range of Federal, state 771 and private organizations. Do you still believe that? Mr. {Frank.} I believe that continues to be. 772 773 Mr. {Murphy.} Your microphone is not--I want to post 774 these two posters. One is a--just a list of all the Federal 775 programs on the right there, and then I have put together, 776 based upon the GAO report, the organizational flowchart of the programs on the left, which--using your term dizzying 777 778 array. 779 So you still believe that? Yes? 780 Mr. {Frank.} I believe that there is a complex set of 781 needs provided by a complex set of organizations for people with serious mental illness. 782

783 Mr. {Murphy.} The law states that SAMHSA must promote the coordination of service programs conducted by other 784 785 departments, agencies and organizations, and individuals that 786 are or may be related to the problems of individuals suffering from mental illness. So yes or no, do you believe 787 788 SAMHSA is responsible for the interagency coordination of 789 mental health programs? Mr. {Frank.} I am focused with--790 791 Mr. {Murphy.} Well, it is a yes or no. I mean are--is-792 -I have just read you what is the regulations of law. Is 793 that true or not? 794 Mr. {Frank.} Well, SAMHSA has some responsibilities. 795 I--what I want to do is point out that it is very important 796 in our view how services actually get coordinated on the 797 ground for people, and part of that is--798 Mr. {Murphy.} That is a good point. 799 Mr. {Frank.} -- on a Federal level, but part of it is 800 also done in other places that involve Federal activities. 801 Mr. {Murphy.} Well, that is a good point. So let me 802 look at the bottom line here because I don't want to just talk about bureaucracy and the beltway and--people don't 803

804 understand that.

805 So first I have a slide up, heart disease mortality 806 rate. As you can see, it is going down over the last 10 807 years. Let us look at the next slide. Stroke mortality rate. That is going down. Next slide, HIV/AIDS mortality 808 809 rate, that is going down. Next slide, auto accident 810 mortality rate, that is going down. The next slide, cancer 811 mortality rate, that is going down. Now, none of these are 812 within your wheelhouse, but let us look at the next slide. 813 Wow. Suicide mortality rate, it is getting worse.

Ms. Hyde, you just talked about these programs you have; one of them being the suicide plans, and I think you even said you thought it was having some success, but I look at this as--do you intend to take any action to respond to either or both of the recommendations by GAO about the need to better evaluate and coordinate these programs?

Ms. {Hyde.} We have taken significant action in this arena and brought together a public-private partnership that has developed with the Surgeon General a national strategy for suicide prevention.

824 Mr. {Murphy.} Well, they said some of these--

825 Ms. {Hyde.} It is only a--

826 Mr. {Murphy.} --organizations haven't met for 5 years.

827 Ms. {Hyde.} It is only a couple of years old. We are 828 just beginning to--

829 Mr. {Murphy.} No, the--you--

830 Ms. {Hyde.} --implement--

831 Mr. {Murphy.} --these organizations have been in place 832 for a long time. The mandate of SAMHSA to meet has been in 833 place for a long time. The GAO report says that some of 834 these groups haven't met since 2009. Now, you said that 835 there is a new group which has met once in January. So when 836 you talk about coordination of these programs, I just want to 837 deal with--we are trying to help here, but I oftentimes tell 838 people when they come to this committee, if you want to meet 839 a friendly Congress, come in and say, you know what, we 840 messed up big time and we have to change this. But when you 841 give me this litany of all these successes, and I look at 842 that, that is 40,000 people died in this country last year. 843 Forty thousand. One point two million suicide attempts 844 requiring some help.

845 Now, if we were to also look at the employment rate

846 among the mentally ill, it also is getting worse. You also 847 have--states are saying a huge number of people in jails, 848 increase in homelessness. I don't know where these numbers 849 come from, but when I go around to different states, I am 850 sure where you are from, it is a problem. So you are 851 obligated under the law to coordinate these programs. You 852 have the Congressional Committee that has jurisdiction over 853 your agency. It is concerned over this lack of coordination 854 in this area. And here they have the nonpartisan Government 855 Accountability Office is concerned about this. The Assistant 856 Secretary for Planning and Evaluation of HHS sitting next to 857 you is concerned about this lack of coordination in this 858 area. So are you going to take action to change this 859 coordination, not to say we have done it in the past, 860 everything is fine, but are you going to make further changes 861 on coordination?

Ms. {Hyde.} You asked about one thing, and you made a comment about a separate thing. So we have taken significant action on suicide. We are concerned about those numbers and working on it. We have plans in place and a public-private partnership that is working to develop approaches to deal

867 with zero suicide and health care, and other clinical quidelines and other approaches to measuring and dealing with 868 869 getting people to pay attention --870 Mr. {Murphy.} Well, I--871 Ms. {Hyde.} --to suicide. So we have a lot of work 872 going on in--873 Mr. {Murphy.} I appreciate that, and I think--874 Ms. {Hyde.} --coordinating suicide efforts. You asked 875 a different question about a different entity. 876 Mr. {Murphy.} Well, it is all related here, and the issue too is, as Dr. Kohn also said, that at first, SAMHSA 877 couldn't even acknowledge that suicide was related to serious 878 879 mental illness is a problem. 880 I now--I am out of time. I will now recognize Ms. 881 DeGette for 5 minutes. 882 Ms. {DeGette.} Administrator Hyde, I will give you the 883 opportunity to respond to the second question that the chairman asked, if you would like to, very briefly. 884 885 Ms. {Hyde.} Yeah, we initially didn't--obviously, not everyone who has suicidal ideation, or decides that they may 886 want to take a plan or make a plan to hurt themselves, has a 887

888 serious mental illness, but about 90 percent of them do have 889 mental health issues. So when first asked was that an SMI 890 program, we were concerned with calling it an SMI program. 891 As we went through the work with GAO, the distinction between 892 a program that supports people with serious mental illness 893 versus a program that is specifically and only designated for 894 those individuals was made, and in that case, we brought out 895 program into that--into the SMI tent.

896 Ms. {DeGette.} And actually, that is a perfect seque, Dr. Kohn, to the question I wanted to ask you, which is, you 897 testified and your report really talked about how agencies 898 899 had difficulty identifying which programs served the 900 seriously mentally ill. Is that because of definitional 901 problems? In other words, you might have a program that has 902 a lot of mentally ill people it is serving, some of them 903 serious, some of them not, by definition. Is it a definitional issue sometimes? 904

905 Ms. {Kohn.} It may be sometimes. We provided a 906 definition of what we meant by program, what we meant by 907 serious mental illness, what we meant by serious emotional 908 disturbance, SED. We provided those definitions. So

909	sometimes it could be that thethere were definitional
910	issues and they counted the programs differently. Sometimes
911	an agency might have rolled up their programs into 1, another
912	one disaggregated the programs.
913	Ms. {DeGette.} Okay, so it is. Dr. Frank, I want to
914	ask you, throughout all of your agency's programs, is there
915	one clear definition of seriously mentally ill that all of
916	the different programs are broken into?
917	Mr. {Frank.} Again, Ias I mentioned in my testimony,
918	it is very difficult to draw a line around a program and say
919	that that is
920	Ms. {DeGette.} So your answer is no, it is not
921	specifically polled out?
922	Mr. {Frank.} We have a definition of serious mental
923	illness
924	Ms. {DeGette.} Right.
925	Mr. {Frank.}so we can identify the people and we can
926	identify the services they need, but there are many programs-
927	_
928	Ms. {DeGette.} But the programs aren't just separated
929	out for that.

930 Mr. {Frank.} The programs don't cut that--931 Ms. {DeGette.} Administrator Hyde, is this true in 932 SAMHSA as well? Ms. {Hyde.} That is correct. There are multiple 933 definitions of serious mental illness both in the law and in 934 935 peoples' parlance and what they--how they use that term. 936 Ms. {DeGette.} Do you think in evaluating the programs at your agencies, it would be important to make this 937 938 distinction or not? Yes or no will work here if you can do 939 that. 940 Ms. {Hyde.} For any particular program, yes. We are in the process of actually redefining SMI for purposes of the 941 942 block grants because the definitions and the DSM and the 943 standards for determining who has what diagnoses have 944 changed. 945 Ms. {DeGette.} And, Dr. Frank? 946 Mr. {Frank.} Could you repeat that--exactly the 947 question? 948 Ms. {DeGette.} Yeah, the question is do you think it 949 would be important to be able to more clearly identify illnesses--or treatments affecting seriously mentally ill 950

951 patients, or is that impossible?

952 Mr. {Frank.} I think the most important thing is to 953 identify the people and then we can sort of work up for the 954 programs--

955 Ms. {DeGette.} What the programs they need, okay. 956 One of the things Dr. Kohn talked about in her report 957 that really struck me was that a lot of the programs 958 throughout the Federal Government have really not been 959 evaluated for efficacy. And I am wondering, Administrator 960 Hyde, if you can talk about what she says, in particular, 961 about SAMHSA, because my--I am a very evidence-based person. 962 If you have a program targeted at the mentally ill in 963 general, the seriously mentally ill in particular, one might 964 think that you would want to have evidence that it works. 965 Ms. {Hyde.} If you look at the report, actually, SAMHSA 966 is doing a good job at evaluating our programs. And I am 967 very proud, actually, of the work we have done to create a 968 Center for Behavioral Health Statistics and Quality to actually develop our capacity to do quality measurement, and 969 970 to do evaluations.

971 Ms. {DeGette.} And so you think that kind of evaluation

972 is important? 973 Ms. {Hyde.} Absolutely, and we--974 Ms. {DeGette.} And--Ms. {Hyde.} -- are doing a lot of it. 975 976 Ms. {DeGette.} And, Dr. Frank, what about through the 977 other agencies? 978 Mr. {Frank.} Yes, we do a tremendous amount of 979 evaluation. 980 Ms. {DeGette.} Okay, but a lot of your programs have 981 not been evaluated--Mr. {Frank.} Well, actually--982 983 Ms. {DeGette.} --like that. 984 Mr. {Frank.} --I think that one of the problems in the report is when you overlook 89 percent of the money that we 985 986 spend, and pretend we don't evaluate there, you miss all the 987 evaluations we are doing. So we have lots of Medicaid--988 Ms. {DeGette.} But you--but of the ones you looked at--989 Mr. {Frank.} Well--990 Ms. {DeGette.} --some of them were not being evaluated. 991 Mr. {Frank.} Some of them were not--some of them--for example--992

993 Ms. {DeGette.} Do you intend to evaluate them? 994 Mr. {Frank.} Well, for example, let us take a 995 particular example. One of the four programs that they 996 pointed out was a technical assistance program. Okay? We don't usually evaluate technical--small technical assistance 997 998 programs, whereas we do evaluate treatment programs. And so 999 there is a distinction, and those were not brought out very 1000 clearly in the report. 1001 Ms. {DeGette.} If you could supplement your answers 1002 with more specific--1003 Mr. {Frank.} Yes. Ms. {DeGette.} --that would be helpful 1004 1005 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 1006 Mr. {Murphy.} Sure thing. Can I just ask, as a 1007 clarification, because as this hearing goes on we are going 1008 to need this distinction, when Congresswoman DeGette asked 1009 about defining things for serious mental illness, and you 1010 said we should identify the people, what does that mean? 1011 Mr. {Frank.} What I think is very important to do is, 1012 as you said earlier, work from the bottom line up. So let us 1013 find the people we are worried about here, people with

1014	serious mental illness, let us look at what they need, let us
1015	look at what they are getting, and then lookwhen they are
1016	not getting what they need, let us figure out how to fix
1017	that.
1018	Mr. {Murphy.} So you are acknowledging that is not
1019	taking place right now.
1020	Mr. {Frank.} Excuse me?
1021	Mr. {Murphy.} So you are acknowledging that is not
1022	taking place right now.
1023	Mr. {Frank.} I am acknowledging that itwell, as you
1024	held out, my view of this is better but not well, which
1025	means
1026	Mr. {Murphy.} All right.
1027	Mr. {Frank.}we are getting better.
1028	Mr. {Murphy.} Mrs. Blackburn, recognized for 5 minutes.
1029	Mrs. {Blackburn.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
1030	Let us stay with this issue of efficacy because I think
1031	it is so important. And, Ms. Hyde, I want to come to you on
1032	this. Your strategic plan, the 2011-2014 strategic plan,
1033	does acknowledge the need for coordination to solve the
1034	problems of homelessness, joblessness, educational challenges

1035 of the serious mental ill. The GAO report says this is not 1036 taking place, so we are wanting to see where the outcomes 1037 are. So does SAMHSA believe that the present state of 1038 program staff level, as opposed to agency level coordination, 1039 within and across different agencies, and Mr. Frank talked a 1040 little bit about this, that it is adequate to achieve the 1041 GAO-approved standards of interagency coordination, despite 1042 the concerns expressed by the GAO report?

1043 Ms. {Hyde.} I think we can always do better, but we do 1044 a significant amount of work with Justice, with VA, with DoD, 1045 with a number of other agencies that touch and work with our 1046 population--

1047 Mrs. {Blackburn.} Ms. Hyde, I--let me interrupt you right there. Yes, you are doing work, but we are not seeing 1048 1049 that you are achieving outcomes. Now, you get \$3.6 billion a 1050 year. How much of that money, and I want a detail on this, 1051 how much of that money is going to make it down to the local 1052 and state agency level to help with these problems, and how 1053 much of that are you all keeping here in D.C. over at the 1054 agency? I want to know where this money is going and where it is meeting the need, because we are not seeing the 1055

1056 outcomes. And you can submit that to me.

1057 Dr. Frank, let me come to you. You say serious mental 1058 illness is a collection of problems. And yes, you have 1059 substance abuse and mental health, we understand that. 1060 Should Congress help you out on this? Should we help you and 1061 legislate a definition of serious mental illness? Do you 1062 need us to do that to help you get to the point of saying 1063 here is a problem, we can define it, here is an action item, 1064 here is what the expected outcome. Yes or no? 1065 Mr. {Frank.} I don't think there is a lot of 1066 disagreement. I think there are ambiguities around the edges, but I would say that if you and I and the chairman and 1067 1068 the ranking member sat down, we would come to a 99 percent 1069 agreement on what we are talking about here. 1070 Mrs. {Blackburn.} Okay. Well, then let us pull

1071 Congress into this, and let us--as we are trying to get to a 1072 point of coordination, how about working with the Energy and 1073 Commerce Committee, or perhaps keeping an open mind to GAO's 1074 recommendations rather than rejecting them outright, so that 1075 we can say here is the definition of serious mental illness, 1076 and here is what the expected outcomes are going to be to

1077 help individuals. See, I don't think we are ever going to 1078 get to mental health parity unless we can do this. We can 1079 admit there is a problem in how we address it, how we expend 1080 these funds. 1081 So are you all willing to keep an open mind to the GAO's 1082 report say maybe we are not meeting the need, and maybe we 1083 are missing the mark on this one? Are you open-minded about 1084 that? 1085 Mr. {Frank.} Ms. Kohn? 1086 Mrs. {Blackburn.} Each of you. Go ahead. 1087 Mr. {Frank.} Yeah, okay. I am certainly open-minded 1088 to--I think the problem that we started the hearing off with 1089 that the chairman raised, which is what do we do for people 1090 on the ground, how do we coordinate their care, is absolutely 1091 something that we have an open mind about how to deal with. 1092 Mrs. {Blackburn.} Are you open-minded to working with 1093 us--1094 Mr. {Frank.} Absolutely. 1095 Mrs. {Blackburn.} --to get to the bottom of this? 1096 Okay, Ms.--1097 Mr. {Frank.} Absolutely.

1098 Mrs. {Blackburn.} Ms. Hyde? 1099 Mr. {Frank.} Can I add one other point? 1100 Mrs. {Blackburn.} Sure. 1101 Mr. {Frank.} I think the very important thing though is 1102 we need to talk about all of HHS programs, and all the tools 1103 we have in the toolkit in order to fix the problem, and not 1104 just focus on 11 percent of the action. 1105 Mrs. {Blackburn.} Yeah. 1106 Mr. {Frank.} We need to focus on 100 percent. 1107 Mrs. {Blackburn.} On the total thing. I appreciate 1108 that. Let me ask you this, Dr. Frank, I only have 24 seconds 1109 1110 left. If we were to move to zero-base budgeting, where you 1111 start from dollar one ever year and build out your programs 1112 based on what is working, would that be helpful to you? So 1113 would you have more flexibility there? 1114 Mr. {Frank.} I don't--I was reading Robert McNamara's biography the other day. I am not sure where I stand on 1115 1116 zero-base budgeting there. 1117 Mrs. {Blackburn.} Okay. I yield back. 1118 Mr. {Murphy.} Thank you.

1119	Now recognize the ranking member of the full committee,
1120	Mr. Pallone, for 5 minutes.
1121	Mr. {Pallone.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
1122	There are always going to be opportunities to strengthen
1123	and expand the Federal programs that serve individuals living
1124	with serious mental illness, and I know that the officials
1125	here from HHS would agree with that statement.
1126	So I would like to learn more about the new programs and
1127	other improvements that the department has made since fiscal
1128	year 2013 when GAO conducted its evaluation, and how the
1129	department plans to expand its work in the future.
1130	So in fiscal year 2014, SAMHSA implemented a new set-
1131	aside in the mental health services block grant requiring the
1132	states to use 5 percent of their block grant funds to support
1133	treatment for individuals in the early stages of serious
1134	mental illness.
1135	Administrator Hyde, can you describe how states will be
1136	using that funding, and how will SAMHSA be monitoring and
1137	evaluating this initiative?
1138	Ms. {Hyde.} First of allthank you for the question.
1139	First of all, we are very pleased with this set-aside

1140 approach. We are working with all 50 states and working with 1141 NIMH to provide guidance and technical assistance to them 1142 based on evidence-based approaches that NIMH has developed. 1143 Some of the states get very little money out of this 5 percent set-aside because the block grant is, frankly, not 1144 1145 enough money for the country. So to the extent that it is a 1146 very small amount, it is going to be hard in--to do a 1147 consistent evaluation. We are working with each state to try 1148 to make sure within their system they can identify what they 1149 are doing, and in some states, for example, they are actually 1150 putting their own money and multiplying these dollars by as 1151 much as seven times. So different states are going to have 1152 different capacity to give us, feed us back what they have 1153 been able to do with it. Some states, they will be able to 1154 train people on what the new evidence-based approaches are. 1155 And other cases, they will be able to actually put services 1156 on the ground. And in many states, Medicaid is going to pay 1157 for the actual service for some people, whereas the state will be using our dollars to evaluate, to oversee, to train, 1158 1159 and to direct the traffic.

1160 Mr. {Pallone.} Okay, thanks. In your testimony, you

1161 mentioned that many people living with serious mental illness 1162 are unemployed. And in fiscal year 2014, SAMHSA launched the 1163 Transforming Lives Through Supported Employment program to help address this problem. Can you elaborate on how this 1164 1165 program specifically supports individuals with serious mental 1166 illness, and what other partners does SAMHSA work with on 1167 this program? 1168 Ms. {Hyde.} Yes. This is a program that we work with 1169 the Department of Labor, and now with the--within HHS because 1170 the program has moved over to the Administration on Community 1171 Living to implement an evidence-based practice that we 1172 developed through evaluation and through research and 1173 approaches a few years ago to develop a toolkit that is 1174 actually specifically for people with serious mental illness, 1175 and specifically supports them in gaining and maintaining 1176 employment.

We have seen increases in employing using that approach. And so what we are trying to do with this very small amount of transformative money is help a state figure out how to take that to scale using their multiple systems and approaches within their state. So their labor departments,

1182 their job departments, the--whatever departments they have 1183 that make those things and those supports available in their 1184 state. 1185 Mr. {Pallone.} Okay. Last week, SAMHSA outlined 1186 additional plans to support individuals with serious mental illness in their--in its fiscal year 2016 budget request. So 1187 1188 I wanted to ask you if you could tell us about the 1189 demonstration program that SAMHSA has proposed to improve 1190 state and local responses to behavioral health crisis. 1191 Ms. {Hyde.} Yeah, the--thank you for that question. 1192 The crisis program which I mentioned is, again, one we are 1193 really excited about because there has been a lot of 1194 conversation about emergency room and appropriate use of 1195 emergency rooms, and that is the only option that people have, or people ending up in jails and prisons when they 1196 1197 really should be getting treatment, or lack of inpatient beds, and all of that, when you look at it, surrounds the 1198 1199 issue of how you deal with a crisis. How do you prevent it, 1200 how do you de-escalate it, and how do you follow up so that 1201 it doesn't happen again, and how do you engage the family as well as the individual in managing that process. 1202

1203 So we are proposing a crisis services system program to 1204 try to see if we can bring those multiple funding streams and 1205 multiple systems together in a few communities to test and 1206 demonstrate how best to do that. These are multifaceted 1207 systems that have to work with that. We do have some 1208 evidence that if we do it right, we can prevent the need for 1209 so many inpatient beds, and certainly prevent the boarding 1210 and other kinds of inappropriate emergency room use. 1211 Mr. {Pallone.} Did you want to mention any other 1212 initiatives that HHS hopes to launch or expand in the next 1213 fiscal year to support individuals with serious mental 1214 illness? 1215 Ms. {Hyde.} Well, we are expanding other areas for veterans' mental health, we are expanding mental health 1216 1217 workforce issues, because that is a huge and growing issue 1218 for our ability to meet goals. And we are actually also 1219 expanding tribal mental health issues to try to make sure 1220 that we can address mental health issues in Indian country, 1221 which have been sorely unaddressed, especially for young 1222 people who are dealing with suicide issues, bullying issues, job issues, and other things. 1223

1224 So we are trying very hard to focus on this transition 1225 aged youth. We also have a transition--healthy transitions 1226 program that we are going to continue in the next fiscal year 1227 through the President's budget. So trying to put all of that 1228 together to deal with that group or that young--set of young 1229 people, first episode issues and trying to prevent, as the 1230 chair said and Ms. DeGette said, to try to prevent it from 1231 getting to be a more serious problem later.

1232 Mr. {Pallone.} Thank you.

1233 Mr. {Murphy.} Thank you. Just as a follow up to 1234 something that Mr. Pallone had mentioned, and you talked 1235 about the block grant program, I want to clarify, in your 1236 draft block grant application here, when it comes to the 1237 block grants, you actually say that these block grants--you 1238 don't talk about being for serious mental illness. In fact, you say the opposite, ``About--it is about everyone, not just 1239 1240 those with illness or disease, but families, communities, and 1241 the whole population, with an emphasis on prevention and 1242 wellness.'' That is not serious mental illness. So I want 1243 to make it clear that when you are responding to Members on 1244 this, if it is partly related to mental illness, let us know

1245	that, but don't tell us the whole thing is related to that
1246	because it is not.
1247	Ms. {Hyde.} Mr. Chairman, the people with serious
1248	mental illness have been documented to have significant
1249	health problems. They die sooner
1250	Mr. {Murphy.} Yes.
1251	Ms. {Hyde.}than other people, and some cases with
1252	serious mental illness, years and years earlier, mostly from
1253	preventable health issues.
1254	Mr. {Murphy.} Right.
1255	Ms. {Hyde.} So our wellness efforts are definitely
1256	directed toward people with serious mental illness who, we
1257	don't want them to die
1258	Mr. {Murphy.} I
1259	Ms. {Hyde.}and we don't want them to have diabetes.
1260	Mr. {Murphy.} I will challenge that later, but I need
1261	to get on to the next Member.
1262	Mr. McKinley is recognized for 5 minutes.
1263	Mr. {McKinley.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And thank you
1264	for holding this hearing. I think it is something that I
1265	think you have been championing for the 4 years I have been

1266 in Congress, and I really applaud you for the efforts of 1267 trying to get better attention from serious mental illness. So congratulations on continuing to move this. 1268 1269 But, Ms. Kohn, I have a question of you, if you could. 1270 You heard a lot of the testimony. You--I saw you studying 1271 those charts that showed the mortality rate dropping, but 1272 suicide--and we have heard some folks here explain how they 1273 really are making progress. That is -- I guess that is the 1274 spin of Washington. 1275 So my question is, based on what you have heard, but what you have studied, what you have studied, do you believe 1276 that HHS and SAMHSA have done everything they can to reduce 1277 1278 the chance of duplication, and in particular, really 1279 supporting mental illness in this country? Do you think they 1280 are doing everything they can? Ms. {Kohn.} Our report acknowledges the variety of 1281 1282 activities they are undertaking right now, but we do believe there is room for improvement, particularly in areas related 1283 1284 to greater interagency coordination, and greater evaluation 1285 as part of helping uncover, develop, advance the data--the

1286 evidence base for treatment of mental illness--

1287 Mr. {McKinley.} Okay, thank you.

1288 Ms. {Kohn.} --and serious mental illness.

1289 Mr. {McKinley.} Ms. Hyde, you appeared at this

1290 committee back in 2013, and you acknowledged apparently

1291 during that, I wasn't on the committee at the time, that some

1292 of the organizations that have been--that SAMHSA is funding

 $1293\,$  may be running programs or expressing opinions that are at

1294 odds with SAMHSA. Is that still accurate?

Ms. {Hyde.} When we fund a program, we fund them for a specific activity. They may have positions that they take before Congress or in the Press, or any place else, that they have a right to take, that is not associated with our

1299 program.

Mr. {McKinley.} But are you funding agencies that--for example, one was apparently cited during that meeting that there was an example of--you were funding a group that encouraged individuals with serious mental illness to experiment going off their doctor-prescribed medicines. Ms. {Hyde.} We do not fund going off medications. We

1306 do fund assistance and helping--

1307 Mr. {McKinley.} But--

1308 Ms. {Hyde.} --people understand medications--1309 Mr. {McKinley.} But there--but you--1310 Ms. {Hyde.} -- and how best to work with their doctors. 1311 Mr. {McKinley.} But you are funding the National 1312 Coalition of Mental Health Recovery. There--Dr. Fischer has 1313 put out articles about how it is designed to help people--in 1314 their literature, their newsletter, how to come off their 1315 psychiatric medicine on their own. So--1316 Ms. {Hyde.} We do not fund that organization for--1317 Mr. {McKinley.} I am sorry, but--1318 Ms. {Hyde.} -- any of those positions. 1319 Mr. {McKinley.} --you are--you funded it to \$330,000. 1320 Ms. {Hyde.} I--if you listen to my whole sentence, I-we don't fund that organization--1321 1322 Mr. {McKinley.} Well, I saw you make fun of--1323 Ms. {Hyde.} -- for that position. Mr. {McKinley.} --the other--1324 1325 Ms. {Hyde.} We--1326 Mr. {McKinley.} --so I guess I need to get--because I 1327 saw your look, and I may be deaf but I can read body language and I saw your disgust with the question asked earlier. So I 1328

1329 am concerned that you are funding some of these programs, and 1330 I hope that you will be more cognizant, more careful about 1331 the agencies that you are funding. 1332 I am curious about one other that I haven't seen. Is 1333 SAMHSA taking a position on the -- I guess it is the medical 1334 use or maybe just the use of marijuana for relieving anxiety? 1335 Do you--has SAMHSA taken a position on whether or not 1336 marijuana is a drug that might help people with mental 1337 illness? 1338 Ms. {Hyde.} Our position on marijuana is that for young people, it is unacceptable and inappropriate in any case, in 1339 1340 any state, anywhere. And our efforts around marijuana are 1341 primarily around prevention and dealing with underage use 1342 where the evidence shows that it has negative educational and 1343 social and other implications for young people. Same is true 1344 of alcohol. 1345 Mr. {McKinley.} Okay, but I am just staying with 1346 marijuana--1347 Ms. {Hyde.} That is in our effort--Mr. {McKinley.} --that the epidemiological studies have 1348 1349 indicated that there is beyond a doubt that the marijuana use

1350	increases the risk of schizophrenia. Do you agree with that
1351	report that I have a copy of here?
1352	Ms. {Hyde.} We are concerned about the issues with
1353	marijuana, and we are working with NIDA and with other
1354	entities within HHS to look at
1355	Mr. {McKinley.} So do you fund
1356	Ms. {Hyde.}the research issues.
1357	Mr. {McKinley.} Do you fundI amyou know, we have
1358	such short time. You know the game here. Do you fund any
1359	organization that supports the use of marijuana as a
1360	treatment?
1361	Ms. {Hyde.} I don't know the answer to that.
1362	Mr. {McKinley.} Could you get back to me on that
1363	Ms. {Hyde.} I don't know whether or not the
1364	<pre>Mr. {McKinley.}please?</pre>
1365	Ms. {Hyde.}American Psychological Association
1366	supports it, and we do fund them.
1367	Mr. {McKinley.} Okay, in the time frame that I have
1368	Ms. {Hyde.} I don't know whether or not other
1369	organizations
1370	Mr. {McKinley.}Dr. Frank

1371 Ms. {Hyde.} --support that. 1372 Mr. {McKinley.} Dr. Frank, could you--if you could 1373 please, on the last--last week we had on a meeting here about the influenza and the vaccines, does--do you know of any 1374 1375 group that the HHS is funding along the same line of reason, 1376 anything that -- any group that we are funding that is 1377 advocating not using vaccines? 1378 Mr. {Frank.} I just--I don't know the answer to that 1379 question. I would be happy to find out and get back to you 1380 on it. 1381 Mr. {McKinley.} You understand the question? 1382 Mr. {Frank.} No, I understand the--I just don't know 1383 the answer. 1384 Mr. {McKinley.} Yeah, okay. If you could please. 1385 Mr. {Frank.} Yeah. Mr. {McKinley.} It would make a lot of--1386 Mr. {Frank.} I think it is a perfectly reasonable 1387 1388 question, I just don't know the answer. 1389 Mr. {McKinley.} Okay, if you could get back to us and--1390 Mr. {Frank.} Sure. 1391 Mr. {McKinley.} Thank you very much. I--

- 1392 Mr. {Murphy.} Thank you. Now recognize--
- 1393 Mr. {McKinley.} I ran over my time.
- 1394 Mr. {Murphy.} That is all right.
- 1395 Mr. Kennedy, you are recognized for 5 minutes.
- 1396 Mr. {Kennedy.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I thank
- 1397 the witnesses again for their testimony.

I just want to put the discussion today in context, which I think is an extraordinarily important discussion, and hopefully we can try to find some ways to work together on making sure that these programs are getting to an

- 1402  $\,$  extraordinarily--a population that needs some extra
- 1403 assistance.

But, Dr. Frank, I think in the HHS response letter, they put into context that--of Federal Government expenditures on mental health, Medicaid pays for about 27 percent, Medicare is about 13 percent, private insurance is about 26 percent, and all of the other programs that are subject to today's discussion are roughly 5 percent. Is that right?

1410 Mr. {Frank.} Correct.

1411 Mr. {Kennedy.} So the discussion that we are having 1412 here, as integral as it is to making sure the system works

1413 better, we are also talking about 5 percent of the overall 1414 mental health spending in this country. So if we are looking 1415 at a much more systemic approach, one would say we should 1416 also focus on the 95 percent of the rest of that funding, and 1417 how to reform that delivery system and make sure that care is 1418 much enhanced. Is that fair to say? 1419 Mr. {Frank.} Yes. I think that is exactly the point I 1420 was making, not to in any way diminish our need to pay 1421 attention to the 5 percent, but the other part, the other 40 1422 percent really needs attention, and that is why our 1423 integration efforts on health homes, on duals, on expending 1424 snips, on expending case management, are so important because 1425 they happen in that other part. 1426 Mr. {Kennedy.} When I am back home, doctor, I hear all 1427 the time about lack of beds, lack of availability at doctors, lack of wraparound services. It strikes me that a lot of 1428 1429 that has to do with incentives and the way the Federal 1430 Government reimburses doctors, hospitals, clinicians that are 1431 working in this field. You align those incentives properly, 1432 you are going to get the beds, the treatment facilities, the incentives for doctors to treat. Is that right--fair to say? 1433

1434 Mr. {Frank.} I am an economist and I believe that.

1435 Mr. {Kennedy.} Okay, thank you.

So with that as context, I do want to go back to the basis of the report for a quick minute. The report indicates, ``that coordination specific to serious mental illness was lacking among interagencies, committees'', but it goes on to say that, I believe again, ``staff from 90 percent of the programs targeted serious mental illness reported coordinating with the counterparts in other programs.''

The coordination we are talking about doesn't happen because it is legislated, it will only happen if there is--it will only be enhanced if there is a cultural change at some senior staff level, and a willingness to implement both the letter and the spirit of the law.

Dr. Frank, how can we engage senior staff, and does that interaction at the necessary--or the staff level suffice, or is more senior staff interaction necessary? We will start with you and go from there.

Mr. {Frank.} I think the--I think your point about culture is very important, and I think this Administration has been extraordinarily attentive to building that culture.

1455 Administrator Hyde has had a central role in that, taking 1456 snaps and reaching out far beyond their 5 percent there into 1457 Medicaid and into other areas. Our secretary is 1458 extraordinarily supportive of these matters. And so the 1459 result is we have tremendous amount of joint activities with 1460 HUD, with SSA, with Labor, with Treasury, et cetera, and it 1461 is really those types of focused working groups across the 1462 government that has really, I think, improved our ability to 1463 coordinate with--in a variety of problem-specific areas. 1464 Mr. {Kennedy.} Thank you, doctor. I will just stop you

1465 there because I have about a minute left. And, Ms. Hyde, if 1466 you have a response to that.

1467 Ms. {Hyde.} I think I would just echo Dr. Frank. The recommendation that was made was about a specific type of 1468 1469 infrastructure that we are--think isn't going to be the best 1470 way to address the issue on the ground. So that is the 1471 distinction we are trying to make here, that we have a lot of 1472 coordination going on, we believe in coordination, but the 1473 particular recommendation is the--and the approach seems just 1474 like more bureaucracy.

1475 Mr. {Kennedy.} So if that--if creating that--or

1476 strengthening that interagency working group from the senior 1477 level isn't the right way, and understanding that you are 1478 pushing coordination now, I realize I only have about 30 1479 seconds, but what would you suggest, in 30 seconds, to really 1480 push that out to the lowest levels on the ground and try to 1481 enhance that coordination even more so? I think it is hard 1482 to debate the fact that that is needed.

1483 Ms. {Hyde.} I think it is multifaceted. We have to 1484 have person-to-person interactions, we have to have working 1485 groups on specific issues as what we described, we have to 1486 have staff-to-staff programmatic interactions, and we have to 1487 push our grant programs to require coordination at the state and grantee level. So we are doing all of those things, and 1488 1489 trying to bring that together where it works on the ground 1490 for individuals.

1491 Mr. {Kennedy.} Thank you. I yield back my extra 71492 seconds.

1493 Mr. {Murphy.} Do you want Dr. Kohn to also answer your 1494 question too because she didn't get a chance to answer--

1495 Mr. {Kennedy.} Yeah, if you don't mind.

1496 Mr. {Murphy.} --that question?

1497 Mr. {Kennedy.} Thank you.

1498 Mr. {Murphy.} Dr. Kohn?

1499 Mr. {Kennedy.} Please.

1500 Ms. {Kohn.} Okay, sure. Thank you. I don't think what 1501 we are putting out here is an either/or, that if there is 1502 coordination at a local level that, therefore, coordination 1503 at the Federal level is unneeded, or vice-versa, that 1504 coordination at the Federal level will supplant the coordination that happens at the local level. I don't think 1505 1506 it is that kind of a trade-off there. And the concerns we 1507 were raising about lack of coordination at the Federal level inhibits our understanding of the Federal footprint in this 1508 1509 area. What are the programs in place, recognizing that there 1510 is a lot there in Medicare and Medicaid and Social Security, 1511 as the OMB letter in response to this committee had shown, 1512 but we didn't start from that spending side. We started from 1513 the programs, the population served. As Dr. Frank noted, 1514 people don't fall into neat program categories, and that is 1515 why that coordination becomes so important because the--that 1516 coordination helps identify if there is any potential overlap 1517 or duplication, are there gaps, are there programs that are

1518 complimentary that aren't being linked together, need some 1519 stronger linkages so we maximize our existing resources in 1520 our existing programs. If it is a gap and nobody is looking 1521 at it right now, then how does the coordination happen? It 1522 is by definition not visible. 1523 So the coordination we talk about is not instead of the 1524 coordination at the local level, it is in addition to. 1525 Mr. {Kennedy.} Thank you. Thanks for the extra time.

1526 Mr. {Murphy.} Thank you. Mr. Griffith, you are

1527 recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. {Griffith.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I appreciate having 5 minutes, but I wish I had a lot more. I would like to get the information that Mrs. Blackburn asked for earlier in regard to the money as it flows to the state and local levels as well. So when you report to her, if you could make sure I get a copy of that, I would greatly appreciate it.

I am going to need some yes-or-no answers because I have to fly through this because of the time limitations that we do have. But GAO noted that SAMHSA officials didn't--did not initially include any of their suicide prevention programs

1539	among those that can support individuals with serious mental
1540	illness. Isn't that true, Ms. Hyde, yes or no?
1541	Ms. {Hyde.} I explained why. Yes.
1542	Mr. {Griffith.} And SAMHSA explained to GAO that the
1543	suicide prevention services it administered were not limited
1544	only to individuals with serious mental illness, and served a
1545	broader population. That is also true, isn't it? Yes.
1546	Ms. {Hyde.} It does serve a broader population.
1547	Mr. {Griffith.} And at the subcommittee's hearing on
1548	suicide prevention held last September, the Chief Medical
1549	Officer of the American Foundation for Suicide Prevention
1550	noticednoted that in more than 120 studies of completed
1551	suicides, at least 90 percent of the individuals involved
1552	were suffering from a mental illness at the time of their
1553	deaths. And I thought you heardI thought I heard you say
1554	earlier that you agreed with that number, is that correct?
1555	Ms. {Hyde.} That is correct.
1556	Mr. {Griffith.} And my one concern there is that, of
1557	course, we had the 10 percent. Do youwould you also
1558	consider that 90 percent to be serious mentally ill, yes or
1559	no?

1560	Ms. {Hyde.} I don't think peopleI don't think
1561	researchers think that that is all serious mental illness as
1562	it might be defined in a functional level.
1563	Mr. {Griffith.} But it is pretty serious when somebody
1564	ends up dead, isn't it?
1565	Ms. {Hyde.} Absolutely. That is why we had the
1566	Mr. {Griffith.} All right.
1567	Ms. {Hyde.}conversation about
1568	Mr. {Griffith.} And
1569	Ms. {Hyde.}what to include in
1570	Mr. {Griffith.}after further discussion with GAO,
1571	SAMHSA included its suicide prevention programs, among those
1572	that can support individuals with serious mental illness.
1573	Isn't that also true?
1574	Ms. {Hyde.} I am sorry, can you repeat that question?
1575	Mr. {Griffith.} I can. After further discussion, you
1576	then submitted the suicide prevention programs, among those
1577	that can support individuals with serious mental illness,
1578	even though earlier you had not included them because you
1579	thought it was a broader audience. Isn't that true?
1580	Ms. {Hyde.} We were trying to understand

1581 Mr. {Griffith.} Yes or no.

1582 Ms. {Hyde.} --what GAO wanted, yes.

1583 Mr. {Griffith.} Okay. And DoD officials initially identified all of their suicide prevention programs as 1584 1585 supporting individuals with serious mental illness. Do you 1586 think that there might be some institutional bias on the part 1587 of SAMHSA in favor of dealing with mild as opposed to more 1588 severe behavior or health conditions that make it more 1589 difficult for SAMHSA to recognize and act upon the unique 1590 nature and impacts of serious mental illness or serious

1591 emotional disturbances?

1592 Ms. {Hyde.} Goodness, no. We were trying to be honest 1593 and fair about the answer to the question.

1594 Mr. {Griffith.} All right. And I appreciate that. 1595 Here is the reason that I am so concerned on these issues, and while I recognize that you all have said 1596 1597 previously that it is getting better but it is not fixed, I 1598 do appreciate that. I was a, what we call in our neck of the 1599 woods, a street lawyer for many years. I can still see the 1600 eyes of the mother who dealt with, while she was a client of 1601 mine, for years her paranoid schizophrenic son who ultimately

1602 committed suicide. I can see a former client standing in the 1603 courthouse with his son crouched on a bench because he was 1604 back into the court system, not in the mental health system 1605 but the criminal court system, yet again, and not knowing 1606 what to do. I can see the faces of the deputies as they 1607 started to go out of the building to deal with a fight in the 1608 parking lot--a verbal fight in the parking lot of the 1609 courthouse where a son and a father were having a verbal 1610 altercation after a hearing in the criminal court system, and 1611 I had to advise the deputies to back off because of the 1612 mental illness of the son. He had a--would have a violent reaction to the uniforms, not to the individuals but to the 1613 1614 uniforms, but he would not be violent with his father, and 1615 they agreed to do that. And then my wife, who continues to practice law although I have come here now, last week was 1616 1617 dealing with, in the juvenile system where she is a 1618 practitioner and a substitute judge, dealing with a child who 1619 attempted suicide, having a serious emotional disturbance, 1620 learning that they couldn't deal with one plan that the 1621 hospital had come up with because he hadn't been hospitalized twice in the last year, he had only been hospitalized twice 1622

1623 in the last 13 months. And when I said are there questions, 1624 I have HHS and SAMHSA coming in, are there questions I should 1625 ask about what we are going to do about this child who is 1626 someone I know, and who may very well end up being successful 1627 at some point if we don't do it right. I said are there 1628 questions I can ask, and her response was, no, they don't 1629 have anything to do with this.

1630 I ask you, do you believe that you all need to be 1631 coordinating to such an extent that experienced practitioners 1632 in law would know that you have something to do with it when 1633 there is a suicide attempt, or that there might be a program to help? I asked those questions. Nothing came back. And I 1634 1635 noticed in your report that you had something on the Garrett 1636 Lee Smith Youth Suicide Prevention Program listed in the study of--the GAO study, and I texted my wife and I said any 1637 of the contacts related to any of the cases you have done in 1638 juvenile court for the last 16 or 17 years, have you ever 1639 1640 heard of this. Answer is no. So I present you with this 1641 indictment, and I hope to get some response at a later time 1642 because my time is up.

1643 And I yield back.

1644 Mr. {Murphy.} Thank you.

1645 Now recognize Mr. Tonko for 5 minutes.

1646 Mr. {Tonko.} Thank you, Mr. Chair.

1647 Dr. Frank, has the failure of some of our states to 1648 expand Medicaid eligibility in accordance with the Affordable 1649 Care Act affected in any way the ability to treat those with 1650 mental illness or mental health disorders?

1651 Mr. {Frank.} Indeed it has. Just to give you a flavor.
1652 Among people with serious mental illness, in 2010 for

1653 example, call that the before period, there was about--nearly

1654 21 percent of them were uninsured and they were

1655 disproportionally low-income. And so, in fact, the states

1656 where you are seeing expansion are getting more of those

1657 people covered than the states that aren't. That opens up a

1658 lot of new opportunities for treatment because, as you know,

1659 Medicaid offers a broad package of services that are

1660 specifically, in many cases, tailored to people with serious

1661 mental illnesses.

Mr. {Tonko.} Um-hum. And would you have any data that are directly speaking to the mortality rates in those states that you could provide to the committee?

Mr. {Frank.} I think it is too early to tell now, but we--just so you will know, we are doing an evaluation of the Medicaid expansions, and we are doing segments of that evaluation that focus specifically on vulnerable populations like those with serious mental illness.

1670 Mr. {Tonko.} Um-hum. And if I could ask the three of 1671 you, and I will start with Dr. Kohn, how do you define 1672 serious mental illness?

Ms. {Kohn.} In our report, we used scientific definitions that we worked with SAMHSA to develop. It includes conditions such as major depression, bipolar disorder, schizophrenia, PTSD. We used a definition that goes about half a page of a footnote. It is a scientific definition.

1679 Mr. {Tonko.} Okay. Dr. Frank?

Mr. {Frank.} Well, rather than give you the science, I will give you sort of something that most of us would believe in sort of common parlance. So typically, I think schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, major depression, some forms of major depression, some forms of trauma, PTSD, and a variety of other things depending on their functional

1686 capacity is what I think we typically think of serious mental 1687 illness. 1688 Mr. {Tonko.} And, Administrator Hyde? 1689 Ms. {Hyde.} Generally, it is a combination of diagnosis and functioning and history. So you generally have to look 1690 1691 at all three of them to see what the functioning level is. 1692 The diagnosis is important but not in and of itself enough. 1693 Mr. {Tonko.} And so, therefore, is serious mental 1694 illness a static state? 1695 Ms. {Hyde.} Not necessarily. 1696 Mr. {Tonko.} Okay. Well, there has been a lot of 1697 emphasis today on SAMHSA's work on treating mental illness, 1698 and specifically the serious--serious mental illness, but we 1699 need to keep in mind, I believe, that these individuals 1700 represent a small portion of the overall population living 1701 with mental illness. And we also need to keep in mind that 1702 we will be more effective with these patients by treating 1703 them early in the course of their illness, and perhaps altering the trajectory of their condition, rather than 1704 1705 reacting to crisis situations that arise time and time again. 1706 SAMHSA plays an important role in the prevention and early

1707 detection of serious mental illness, and I have seen that in 1708 programs that reach my district. 1709 So, Administrator Hyde, can you discuss some of the ways 1710 that SAMHSA supports the prevention and early diagnosis of 1711 serious mental illness? 1712 Ms. {Hyde.} Yeah, thank you for that question. One of 1713 the ways we are doing that is to implement the RAISE Program, 1714 which is the evidence-based practice that NIMH has developed, 1715 that is interventions both medical and psychosocial 1716 interventions done at an earlier point in a--in the 1717 trajectory of an illness after a first episode. We are doing 1718 a lot of work in that area. We are also starting to look at what is called the prodrome, or the--prior to the first 1719 1720 episode. NIMH is beginning to work in this area, and we are 1721 working with them to try to identify what would be the best 1722 way to look at that issue. 1723 We are also looking at healthy transitions, or the 1724 transition that young people have from age 16 or so to 25,

1725 which is where a lot of this early first episode happens, and 1726 we are trying to put programs on the ground to make sure that 1727 those families and those young people are supported as they

1728 move into adulthood. And so there are a number of programs 1729 like that where we are trying to get upstream. We are also 1730 doing a lot of jail diversion work, trying to make sure that 1731 individuals who may be headed for jail because of a mental 1732 health issue can be diverted into treatment and to 1733 appropriate community-based supports instead of jail. Same 1734 thing is true with homelessness. People who are homeless on 1735 the streets with serious mental illness, if we can get them 1736 housed in evidence-based supporting housing programs we can 1737 see very good trajectories, reduction of emergency room use, 1738 et cetera. 1739 So we are--we have pieces of all of those kinds of 1740 programs working with our colleagues and other departments. 1741 Mr. {Tonko.} I thank you. And I, you know, believe we 1742 should not lose sight of the agency's other critical activities, and how they advance your mission as well. So I 1743

1744 thank you all for your responses.

1745 I yield back.

1746 Mr. {Murphy.} Thank you. And we are glad you moved 1747 forward on that program with RAISE. We know it is something 1748 that this committee has raised, the appropriators funded it,

1749	and we are glad you followed through on what Congress told
1750	you to.
1751	I now recognize Mrs. Brooks of Indiana for 5 minutes.
1752	Mrs. {Brooks.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you
1753	for holding this hearing.
1754	In August of last year, I hadI held a mental health
1755	listing session in Hamilton County, just north of
1756	Indianapolis, Indiana, and pulled together advocacy groups,
1757	family groups, doctors, and luckily, head of our state HHS
1758	component FSSA as a psychiatrist, Dr. John Wernert, and he
1759	participated in this session. And we talked about the
1760	pressing issues of mental health in our state and in our
1761	country, and ways that Congress could respond. And I have to
1762	tell you, a theme of that was the fragmentation issue. And
1763	even now, as still a relatively new Member of Congress, I am
1764	amazed at the number of people with mental health issues
1765	contact our offices, and come to our events, including
1766	recently a young woman who brought to a public meeting stacks
1767	and stacks and file folders of her correspondence with
1768	different agencies, trying to seek help for her
1769	schizophrenia. And it broke my heart. And then when I read

1770 this GAO report about the fragmentation, and would just ask 1771 all of you to look once again at the chairman's chart, and I would ask you to take that back to SAMHSA, and I applaud GAO 1772 1773 for putting together, or attempting to put together, the 1774 comprehensive inventory, but if healthy people in a 1775 discussion have a hard time getting through the bureaucracy, 1776 how do mentally ill people and seriously mentally ill people 1777 get help? 1778 And so, Dr. Kohn, I am--I was--why was it such a 1779 challenge in GAO's opinion to identify all of these different 1780 programs? What happened? Ms. {Kohn.} I don't think it had been asked before, so 1781 1782 OMB had identified where the spending was from the budget 1783 documents. I think this was one of the first times that the 1784 agencies were being asked, and so it took a lot of 1785 conversation. There was a lot of back-and-forth. We had to

1786 develop a questionnaire and go agency by agency by agency,

1787 and work with them to try to get the information.

1788 So I think in--to some extent, they hadn't been asked 1789 that before, at least not the folks we were talking to. 1790 Mrs. {Brooks.} If I could--there was an organization

1791 called the Federal Executive Steering Committee that you 1792 pointed out in your report that was in place after another 1793 analysis of our mental health system early in the 2000s, and 1794 it was in place from 2003 until 2008, and it seemed to bring 1795 together at very high levels the many agencies we are talking 1796 about, but it was disbanded or has not met since 2009. Is 1797 that correct, Dr. Kohn? 1798 Ms. {Kohn.} That is correct. It hasn't met since 2009. 1799 Mrs. {Brooks.} And so, Ms. Hyde, you indicate all of 1800 this coordination, but it seems to be at the highest levels 1801 only within HHS, is that correct? That--you--there--why was 1802 that disbanded? Why was the Federal Executive Steering 1803 Committee, which brought together at the highest levels, why 1804 was it disbanded?

1805 Ms. {Hyde.} The Steering Committee had accomplished a 1806 lot, but much of the coordination work had moved into the 1807 programmatic area.

1808 Mrs. {Brooks.} What do you think it accomplished? When 1809 we have seen the growing numbers, what did it accomplish and 1810 why would it disband?

1811 Ms. {Hyde.} Well, I think it had difficulty solving the

1812 problem. I think that is our whole point, is one Federal, 1813 high-level coordinating body by itself is not going to solve 1814 the problem. 1815 It had identified--that group did identify programmatic

1816 areas where coordination needed to happen, and that began to 1817 happen at the programmatic level. We haven't talked at all 1818 about what the issue beyond coordination is, which is the 1819 lack of services, the lack of support, and then as we are 1820 getting more people able to get access to coverage and 1821 services, then that is going to be a much bigger and more 1822 appropriate way to get services to people.

1823 Mrs. {Brooks.} Well, and I would agree that there are a 1824 lack of services and a lack of support, but when there are 1825 billions of dollars being spent, and I guess I want to ask 1826 you, Dr. Frank, because you talked about--and my time is 1827 running short, you talked about populations and programs specific to populations, well, what if you are a middle-aged 1828 1829 woman who is not a veteran, who is not a young person, who is 1830 not homeless, who is not in the workplace, what programs are 1831 there for people who don't fit into these populations? Mr. {Frank.} Thank you. I actually started exactly the 1832

1833 same place you do with a broken heart for these people and 1834 families that face these problems, and have trouble 1835 navigating their way through the system. I think that is 1836 exactly it. I think where we were uncomfortable with the GAO 1837 report was that there wasn't enough attention paid to that--1838 just--question you just asked which is, we have been trying 1839 to build health homes, we have been trying to build patient-1840 centered medical homes so that there would be a place that 1841 people could rely on to help them navigate the system, get 1842 them through, and make sure their care is coordinated across 1843 the realm. And that is really a lot of the plates we have 1844 been putting our investments in, coordination. 1845 Mrs. {Brooks.} Thank you. My time has run out. We have, obviously, much work to do. 1846 1847 I yield back. Mr. {Murphy.} Thank you. 1848 1849 Now recognize Mr. Yarmuth for 5 minutes. 1850 Mr. {Yarmuth.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I thank the

1851 witnesses for their testimony.

1852 You know, anybody who has been in this job for any 1853 period of time understands the extent to which mental illness

1854 impacts our various communities and the country as a whole. 1855 Tens of millions of people affected. And clearly, we have 1856 made progress. I was proud to have supported the Mental 1857 Health Parity Act that has made an enormous impact, and 1858 obviously embodying that in the Affordable Care Act with the 1859 expansion of Medicaid, in my state has made a remarkable 1860 difference. And, you know, I don't think any of us would 1861 disagree with the notion that coordination is important, and 1862 evaluation programs are important. We also can't lose sight 1863 of the amount of resources that are committed to these kinds 1864 of activities. And I am a member of the Budget Committee and 1865 I have seen how budget cuts have affected many areas of our 1866 social safety net and our human services initiatives. Now we 1867 are starting down the return of sequestration in October of 1868 this year, and we had an experiment with it a couple of years 1869 ago.

Dr. Frank and Administrator, would you talk to us about the impact of sequestration potentially on the treatment of mental illness throughout the country, and what happened a couple of years ago, what impact, if any, there was and what the new potential cuts are--how they could impact the same

1875 kind of care?

1876 Ms. {Hyde.} I can talk first about SAMHSA because that 1877 is the thing I know the best. But certainly, cuts in 1878 programs have made us tighten, it has made us do less grants, 1879 so less ability to help communities out there, less ability 1880 to do new programs. The one set of new programs we have been 1881 able to do is in the President's Now is The Time plan, which 1882 I described. So the--it also, frankly, makes us look--take a 1883 second look at how much money we spend on things that are not 1884 services, so it does make us tighten our evaluation efforts 1885 at times, and it just overall makes us deal with a system that is already significantly underfunded compared to a lot 1886 1887 of the other, heart disease and other mortalities that we are 1888 trying to deal with. So I actually could give you some 1889 comparisons between how much we spend for certain of these 1890 diseases and the numbers of people that we have associated 1891 with them, and I think you would be able to see what those 1892 impacts of those dollars are.

1893 Mr. {Yarmuth.} Dr. Frank, you want to comment? 1894 Mr. {Frank.} I would agree with that. I do think it 1895 has hurt our evaluation efforts a bit. I also think it shows

1896 up in exactly some of the places we have been talking about 1897 here because we work with HUD on supportive housing, we work 1898 with Labor on supportive employment type of activities, and 1899 each of those has been--had to scale back. And so, for 1900 example, our plans to end chronic homelessness by next year 1901 have had to get scaled back because the number of housing 1902 vouchers has been scaled back.

1903 Mr. {Yarmuth.} All right, thank you for that. Going 1904 back to the question of evaluation for a minute, Dr. Kohn, I 1905 haven't read the GAO report but it seems to me that it might 1906 be very difficult to accurately assess some of the efficacy 1907 of these programs because you are dealing with--say you are 1908 dealing with a homeless vet who--with PTSD, the program may 1909 be able to prevent that vet from committing suicide, but 1910 certainly hasn't cured his mental illness. Do you have a model for evaluation of an efficacy of serious mental health 1911 1912 programs in the GAO report, or--and I guess I would ask if 1913 you do, then I would have Dr. Frank and Ms. Hyde comment on 1914 whether this is a problematic thing.

1915 Ms. {Kohn.} The report doesn't tell the agencies in 1916 this area to evaluate all of their programs all the time. We

1917 say that the agencies need to prioritize when--which programs 1918 should be evaluated and what is a time schedule for that, 1919 because they are costly, they are time-consuming, and so we 1920 are just--told the agencies to prioritize which programs do 1921 need to be evaluated. 1922 Yes, GAO has a number of reports and guidance that it 1923 has issued in terms of best practices and evaluation. It 1924 includes having an outside agency doing the evaluation, 1925 identifying best practices, what works, what doesn't work in 1926 the program, making recommendations that the agency can act 1927 on in terms of how to improve the program. So there is quidance there. The other piece of the evaluation, of 1928 1929 course, is leadership in driving the evaluation, asking the 1930 question and hearing the answer. 1931 Mr. {Mr. Yarmuth.} My time is up, Mr. Chairman. I 1932 yield back. Thank you.

1933 Mr. {Murphy.} Thank you. I now recognize Mr. Mullin 1934 for 5 minutes.

1935 Mr. {Mullin.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

1936 If you could, could you put that up for me? Ms. Hyde, 1937 do you recognize what this is here?

1938 Ms. {Hyde.} Yes, it is a--yes. 1939 Mr. {Mullin.} It is a screenshot from SAMHSA's Web 1940 site. I believe it is called building blocks for a healthy 1941 future, is that correct? 1942 Ms. {Hyde.} That is correct. 1943 Mr. {Mullin.} Can you briefly tell me what the--what 1944 that Web site does? 1945 Ms. {Hyde.} It engages young people and their parents 1946 in emotional health development. We do have a responsibility 1947 to do prevention--1948 Mr. {Mullin.} What is the ages--1949 Ms. {Hyde.} --in young people. Mr. {Mullin.} --for that? 1950 1951 Ms. {Hyde.} I don't remember off the top of my head the 1952 complete age range, but it is the younger --Mr. {Mullin.} It is for substance abuse--1953 1954 Ms. {Hyde.} --it is the younger kids. 1955 Mr. {Mullin.} -- for young children from the age of --1956 Ms. {Hyde.} Yeah. 1957 Mr. {Mullin.} --3 to 6--Ms. {Hyde.} Yes. 1958

1959 Mr. {Mullin.} --which I am sure that is a high number 1960 that we have to deal with. I mean I have five kids from 10 vears to 4 years old, and I am sure there is a high rate of 1961 1962 substance abuse for 3-year-olds, yet do you know how much 1963 money we have spent on that Web site? 1964 Ms. {Hyde.} Actually, the science tells us that the 1965 earlier we start--1966 Mr. {Mullin.} No, I--1967 Ms. {Hyde.} --the better. 1968 Mr. {Mullin.} Do you know how much money we have spent 1969 on--1970 Ms. {Hyde.} I don't know that off the top of my head. 1971 I can tell you--1972 Mr. {Mullin.} Ma'am, you are the administrator. 1973 Ms. {Hyde.} --though that is important that we are--1974 Mr. {Mullin.} Ma'am--1975 Ms. {Hyde.} We are--1976 Mr. {Mullin.} --you are the administrator--1977 Ms. {Hyde.} Yes. 1978 Mr. {Mullin.} -- and you don't know how much that Web 1979 site costs. Because I went through that last night, and

1980 there is a whole bunch of songs on there which are all knock-1981 offs of Old McDonald and Yankee Doodle, and I have a 3-year-1982 old and I couldn't keep her attention for no time at all on 1983 that. And guess what, you have had 15,000 visitors, that is 1984 it, to that Web site for an average of 3 minutes, at a cost 1985 of \$436,000. Now, do you think that is using taxpayer money 1986 wiselv? 1987 Ms. {Hyde.} Actually, we are going through a--1988 Mr. {Mullin.} No, ma'am, that isn't what I asked you. 1989 Ms. {Hyde.} --something we call--1990 Mr. {Mullin.} I said do you think that is a good use of 1991 taxpayer money? 1992 Ms. {Hyde.} I don't know. Please let me finish the 1993 question and I will tell you. We are actually going through 1994 our Web sites right now. This is one of them. It is on the 1995 list to re-examine--1996 Mr. {Mullin.} Going through, ma'am--1997 Ms. {Hyde.} --whether or not--1998 Mr. {Mullin.} --the money is already spent. Was it a 1999 good use of taxpayers' money? \$436,000. 2000 Ms. {Hyde.} I--

2001 Mr. {Mullin.} A total of 15,000 visitors. In Oklahoma 2002 alone, that would provide 176 outpatient services for the 2003 mental ill for a full year.

Ms. {Hyde.} That is what we are assessing and 2004 evaluating right now. We are going through each of those Web 2005 2006 sites to determine whether or not they are appropriate or 2007 need to be continued, or eliminated or otherwise dealt with. 2008 Mr. {Mullin.} How long does it take, ma'am, because we 2009 are continually putting money in there? We are managing the 2010 Web site. And what we want to do is efficient and be more 2011 efficient.

We have heard throughout this entire hearing that we are 2012 2013 here to help. We understand there is an issue, but what has 2014 happened is we are running into a roadblock, and instead of 2015 you admitting that there is a problem, what ends up happening is you get defensive about it. That is not helpful. That 2016 doesn't prevent anything. All that does is cause a division 2017 2018 between us. We are not here to make you look bad, we are 2019 here to find out and see if you are being efficient with the 2020 money being spent. And so far what I am finding out is no, 2021 no, it is not. It is not being efficient.

2022 I have a big stake in this. I have five kids that go to 2023 school every single day. These are real issues facing every parent out there, and yet we are wasting money on a Web site, 2024 2025 or putting money out here, \$436,000, you don't even know how 2026 much you have spent, and you can't even tell me if it is 2027 being efficient. Instead, you are saying you are going 2028 through it and evaluating. We have heard that over and over 2029 again today. We are going through it, we are going through 2030 it, we are going through it. You know what, as a business 2031 owner, if everything I was being evaluated on, I was having 2032 to go back and re-evaluate it, I would deem that as a 2033 failure. Maybe it is time to relook at the whole program and 2034 say is it really delivering the services, is it really 2035 coordinating with officials on the mentally ill. So far what 2036 I have heard, the answer to that is no, absolutely not. 2037 Dr. Kohn, you had mentioned, let me find it here, you 2038 noted that part of the problem with tackling serious mental 2039 illness is the Steering and Coordinating Committees that has 2040 been established to handle the response to the mental illness 2041 over the past decade are no longer active or focused mainly

2042 on substance abuse. Is that correct?

2043 Ms. {Kohn.} That is correct.

2044 Mr. {Mullin.} Okay. I yield back. Thank you.

2045 Mr. {Murphy.} Thank you.

2046 Now recognize Ms. Clarke for 5 minutes.

2047 Ms. {Clarke.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I thank our 2048 witnesses for sharing your expertise with us this morning.

2049 My first question is to Dr. Frank. Unfortunately, many 2050 states have refused to expand Medicaid coverage under the 2051 Affordable Care Act, and according to the American Mental 2052 Health Counselors Association, nearly 3.7 million uninsured 2053 adults with serious mental health and substance abuse conditions will not be covered in states that failed to 2054 2055 expand Medicaid. To me, that decision is astoundingly 2056 shortsighted.

2057 Dr. Frank, why is Medicaid expansion so critical to this 2058 population?

2059 Mr. {Frank.} Well, in the chairman's opening remarks, 2060 he made a very strong case outlining how people experiencing 2061 serious mental illnesses have their work disrupted, have 2062 their education disrupted, have their functioning disrupted. 2063 And so people who have trouble attaching to the workforce,

attaching to the mainstream of society, tend to have low 2064 2065 incomes, tend to rely on public programs like Medicaid. And 2066 so people in those circumstances have a chance to get the 2067 best evidence-based treatment that they are covered by Medicaid, whereas if they don't, those chances are much 2068 2069 lower. And so I think that is why it is so important. 2070 Ms. {Clarke.} Thank you, Dr. Frank. 2071 I want to switch over to Administrator Hyde and ask a 2072 bit about living in a community setting. The report doesn't 2073 mention the Americans With Disabilities Act, the Olmstead 2074 decision, and how SAMHSA has had--has been in the forefront of pushing for a service system where people with serious 2075 2076 mental illness can live in a most integrated community 2077 setting. How does SAMHSA work to help people with serious

2078 mental illness living in the community?

2079 Ms. {Hyde.} Thank you for the question. We have taken 2080 a leadership role with a number of other Federal agencies 2081 both within HHS and outside, DOJ, Office of Civil Rights, to 2082 look at the Olmstead decision and try to implement it, and 2083 try to help states understand what they can do. We try to 2084 look at the housing needs and how people can develop housing,

we try to look at the employment needs and income needs and 2085 2086 how people can develop that, and we try to look at the social 2087 supports that individuals need in the community, and we try 2088 to--we provide training, and sometimes we--we call them policy academies, bringing states together so they can learn 2089 2090 from each other, and trying to make sure that they have the 2091 information they need and the program designs that they need, 2092 because there are evidence-based practices to try to develop 2093 that. We also try to bring things like HUD vouchers and 2094 other kinds of resources to the table that SAMHSA coordinates 2095 with but doesn't control.

Ms. {Clarke.} Well, that model is one that I think, 2096 2097 particularly in a place like New York City where I am from, 2098 is a preferable one. You know, there seems to be a reliance 2099 on the criminal justice system to sort of be that community 2100 living environment, and we have found that there have been a 2101 lot of challenges within our city's jail systems, for 2102 instance, with individuals who have been incarcerated and not 2103 treated, and the conditions under which they have had to live 2104 have really compounded their illnesses. So I want to commend you for your vision here, and make sure that as we go 2105

2106	forward, we look at a broader view of practices that do work.
2107	It is unfortunate that the report didn't mention it.
2108	I wanted to circle back. I know my colleague, Mr.
2109	Tonko, spoke to intervention, particularly in thein
2110	preventing recidivism. I want to talk about early
2111	intervention for children, and get a sense of the work of the
2112	programs that you are doing through SAMHSA in early
2113	intervention. Could you speak to a little bit of that as
2114	well?
2115	Ms. {Hyde.} Yes, thank you again for the question. If
2116	you are talking about young children, we have a program
2117	called LAUNCH
2118	Ms. {Clarke.} Yes, young children.
2119	Ms. {Hyde.}which is for zero to 8-year-olds.
2120	Ms. {Clarke.} Um-hum.
2121	Ms. {Hyde.} Specifically to build emotional health
2122	development and to look at early needs that might be emerging
2123	there. We have some new work that we are doing on the
2124	framework of Now is The Time to try to look at working with
2125	schools and communities to be able to identify emerging
2126	behavioral health issues before they become an issue. We

2127 have other prevention activities that IOM helped us look at, 2128 the Institute of Medicine, a few years ago, and bringing both 2129 behavioral health--well, substance abuse and mental health, 2130 because they often go together, so issues like what is 2131 happening in schools, bullying, parenting, bringing multiple 2132 systems together to help make sure that young person is able 2133 to grow and develop in a positive way. We are also doing a 2134 significant amount of work on trauma because we understand 2135 increasingly what trauma does to young people, and how it 2136 creates, actually, adult problems. We are also looking at 2137 the fact that, frankly, most adult behavioral health issues 2138 start before the age of 24, and in fact, 1/2 of them before 2139 the age of 14. So the younger we can start, the better we 2140 can build skills and resiliency, capacity, moving into 2141 adulthood.

2142 So we do a fair amount of that work. As I said earlier 2143 though, 3/4 of our dollars actually go toward persons, at 2144 least in our mental health environment, goes to persons with 2145 serious mental illness.

2146 Ms. {Clarke.} I thank you for your work, Administrator.
2147 And I yield back. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

2148 Mr. {Murphy.} Now recognize Mr. Collins for 5 minutes. 2149 Mr. {Collins.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 2150 If you could, Ms. Hyde, just kind of keep the questions 2151 as brief as you can because of the time. I am going to start 2152 with a fairly simple one. Could you give yourself a grade of 2153 1 to 10 on how good a job you are doing? 2154 Ms. {Hyde.} Tens being good? 2155 Mr. {Collins.} Um-hum. 2156 Ms. {Hyde.} I think we are doing 10. I think we have a 2157 lot--2158 Mr. {Collins.} Okay, you are a 10. 2159 Ms. {Hyde.} --more work to do. 2160 Mr. {Collins.} That is pretty arrogant in my book, but 2161 we will put that aside. So you have said you are 2162 underfunded, you need more money, so I am just going to dive 2163 right in and say, as you have looked at programs the last 2164 couple of years, which ones you have just said here, you are going to look at this. How many programs have you looked at 2165 2166 and terminated because they weren't a good use of taxpayer 2167 funds in the last 2 years? 2168 Ms. {Hyde.} We actually have several programs that have

2169	been proposed for reduction, some of which Congress has
2170	reduced, and others of which have continued to be funded.
2171	Mr. {Collins.} Could you give me a list, if you could,
2172	of those that have beenare being recommended and those that
2173	have actually had their reductions?
2174	Ms. {Hyde.} Okay.
2175	Mr. {Collins.} And when you say you are underfunded,
2176	are you constantly looking at and evaluating each program
2177	like the one that Representative Mullin said \$436,000, which
2178	I think it is pretty obvious was wasted money? Are you
2179	looking at those, and who is doing that evaluation?
2180	Ms. {Hyde.} Yeah, if you look at the GAO report, I
2181	think you will see that SAMHSA is actually doing more than
2182	Mr. {Collins.} Who in your organization? Do you have
2183	like certain people?
2184	Ms. {Hyde.} It depends on the situation. In some
2185	cases
2186	Mr. {Collins.} Well, either you do or you don't
2187	Ms. {Hyde.}we do it internally.
2188	Mr. {Collins.}have certain people.
2189	Ms. {Hyde.} Some cases ASPE does it, and other cases

2190 Mr. {Collins.} Who is going to evaluate this sing-along 2191 program? 2192 Ms. {Hyde.} Well, I--as I was trying to explain, we are 2193 starting the process of evaluating--2194 Mr. {Collins.} No--2195 Ms. {Hyde.} --that. 2196 Mr. {Collins.} --who? Who will evaluate that, how 2197 quickly will it be evaluated, and when could you provide this 2198 committee an answer on whether that program will be 2199 terminated and that money, since you are underfunded, 2200 redeployed? 2201 Ms. {Hyde.} We will be glad to answer that question for 2202 you. 2203 Mr. {Collins.} And when will I expect that answer? I 2204 mean you are a 10, so it should be tomorrow. Is that fair if you are a 10? If you were an 8, I could give you a week or 2205 2206 so but since you are a 10, is it fair to say you could get 2207 that to me tomorrow? Who is going to evaluate it, when will 2208 we get the answers? I am just asking you, can I get that 2209 answer tomorrow? 2210 Ms. {Hyde.} We will get you an answer as soon as we

2211 can.

2212 Mr. {Collins.} I quess the answer is no. Well, it is--2213 I think you just went from a 10 to about a 7. 2214 Over--as I look at doing evaluations, best practices, 2215 are you identifying best practices that other states can 2216 learn from? Like this state, this program in South Carolina 2217 is exceptional, they are really working well, let us roll 2218 this out across the country. Are you identifying actively 2219 best practices to assure that taxpayer money is being well 2220 spent, and since you are underfunded, it is even more 2221 important? Ms. {Hyde.} Yes, we have a registry of evidence-based 2222 2223 practices that we are actually in the process of redoing 2224 because we need to do a better job on that. 2225 Mr. {Collins.} You need to do a better job, so--but you are a 10, so that is interesting. Can you provide me a list 2226 2227 of the best practices that you have identified, very 2228 specific, not just general let us all do better, specific 2229 best practices that you have shared with other agencies? 2230 Could you get that to me tomorrow? You said you already have 2231 a list, could you get that to me tomorrow?

2232	Ms. {Hyde.} We will do our best to get it to you as
2233	soon as we can. I don't
2234	Mr. {Collins.} So you can't get it to me tomorrow. You
2235	just jumped from a 7 to a 5. I am asking for direct answers.
2236	You said you have it. If you have it, you should be able to
2237	get it to me at 1 o'clock this afternoon. So either you do
2238	or you don't have it. Do you have it?
2239	Ms. {Hyde.} We have the list. I don't know if I can
2240	Mr. {Collins.} So can you get it to me today?
2241	Mr. {Murphy.} Well, let us
2242	Ms. {Hyde.}do some electronic version
2243	Ms. {DeGette.} Mr. Chairman
2244	Mr. {Murphy.} Well, let
2245	Ms. {DeGette.}we have a standard practice in this
2246	committee
2247	Mr. {Murphy.} We will expect that.
2248	Ms. {DeGette.}for witnesses to respond to questions.
2249	Mr. {Murphy.} Thank you.
2250	Mr. {Collins.} Quickly and directly. I am just saying,
2251	do you have it?
2252	Ms. {Hyde.} WeI can get you a list of what we have,

2253 yes. 2254 Mr. {Collins.} Tomorrow? 2255 Mr. {Murphy.} I think she said she will get--2256 Ms. {DeGette.} Mr. Chairman--2257 Mr. {Murphy.} --that. We will expect that--2258 Ms. {DeGette.} --we have a standard practice, I would 2259 ask--I would urge all of the Members--2260 Mr. {Murphy.} Yes, I--2261 Ms. {DeGette.} --of this committee to hold to that 2262 standard practice --2263 Mr. {Murphy.} That is okay. We will expect that 2264 information. Okay. 2265 Ms. {DeGette.} -- and to respect the witnesses. Mr. {Collins.} Yes, and I would appreciate more direct 2266 2267 answers. I haven't actually had too many employees or witnesses who would say they walk on water, and on a scale of 2268 1 to 10 are a 10, so I am just taking you at your word. I 2269 2270 thought you were going to tell me you were an 8. I am 2271 surprised at the 10. 2272 So all I am suggesting is best practices work. You say you are underfunded. We have an example here of \$436,000 2273

- that I think, generally speaking, will come back, and I would like that as quickly as possible, as wasted taxpayer money that could been redirected elsewhere. So I would appreciate a prompt response as soon as you can get it to me, and that would be my request.
- 2279 And I yield back.

2280 Mr. {Murphy.} Thank you. We are going to do a second 2281 round of questions here. I know some Members are coming 2282 back--Mr. Cramer is here now. All right then, we will have 2283 Mr. Cramer. Go ahead, I will recognize you for 5 minutes. 2284 Mr. {Cramer.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you to 2285 the witnesses.

I just have one question for Ms. Hyde related to--I was reading the HHS budget justification, and in your opening, I think you said something to the effect that--and maybe you could tell me what you said, what percentage of the SAMHSA budget is--was dedicated last year to SMI? I think--Ms. {Hyde.} SAMHSA's budget is in four buckets.

2292 Generally speaking, we talk about the substance abuse part of 2293 our--

2294 Mr. {Cramer.} Right.

Ms. {Hyde.} --budget as being about--a little less than percent. So the vast majority of our budget is substance abuse. Of the 30 percent or so that is mental health, 3/4 of that goes to serious mental illness.

2299 Mr. {Cramer.} That is what I thought--okay, thank you 2300 for that clarification. Because I was--in the budget 2301 justification put out by HHS where it talks about SAMHSA, it 2302 never mentions serious mental illness. Can you sort of 2303 reconcile that omission with the commitment that you are 2304 talking about today? That just seems like somebody is not as committed to it perhaps as you are. Or am I mistaken? Do 2305 they--because I couldn't find it. I couldn't find any 2306 2307 mention of SMI in the budget justification from HHS. 2308 Ms. {Hyde.} The particular programs that we--there are 2309 some programs that are very specifically for serious mental 2310 illness or serious emotional disturbance. That is the 2311 general rubric. The block grant programs are that. It is a 2312 huge program. The--what we talked about, the primary 2313 behavioral health care program is specifically for that. A 2314 number of other of our programs we have already talked about serve people with serious mental illness, but they are not 2315

2316 targeted to those individuals.

2317 Mr. {Cramer.} I guess it is the lack of reference or 2318 mentioning even raises for me the question of the seriousness 2319 of the commitment to this particular issue, which is not a 2320 small issue, this is a very big issue, a very big concern for 2321 me. If you want to elaborate, I am willing, otherwise I yield back. 2322 2323 Ms. {Hyde.} Just a quick--2324 Mr. {Cramer.} Sure. 2325 Ms. {Hyde.} --response. The--Mr. {Murphy.} You can respond. 2326 2327 Ms. {Hyde.} The program I told you for fiscal year 2328 2016, the reason I was hesitating, I didn't know which 2329 justification you were talking about, CJ 15 or 14 or--2330 Mr. {Cramer.} Yeah. Ms. {Hyde.} --16. The new programs that I was telling 2331 you about, specifically the crisis one, specifically mentions 2332 2333 serious mental illness. I have that here if you would like 2334 to see it.

2335 Mr. {Cramer.} Okay, very well. Yeah, the--right, the--2336 what I am talking about is, in brief--the SAMHSA in brief

2337 never mentions serious mental illness. And I just--again, 2338 what it raises for me, and I think a lot of us are struggling 2339 with this, is the level--the serious level of commitment to 2340 SMI, and I--we hope going forward that there is a greater 2341 acknowledgement and greater evidence that this is--that this 2342 commitment is real and it is going to be dealt with in 2343 substantive ways, as opposed to what we did last year. 2344 That is--I yield back. 2345 Mr. {Murphy.} Thank you. Gentleman yields back. 2346 I do want to say that it is a tradition of this 2347 committee to let witnesses complete their things. That is why I am even asking, after Members have finished their time, 2348 2349 to give more time to do those things. And so if there was 2350 things that the witnesses do want to finish up, we will be 2351 respectful of that because we do want to hear your comments on this. But--and the second round, let me raise something 2352 here because part of this is some of the committee's 2353 2354 frustration with getting responses. 2355 Ms. Hyde, so these are a few questions about what we

2356 have requested from you. In emails my staff received this 2357 morning from someone who I think is on your staff,

2358 represented someone named Brian Artman who--just so I 2359 understand, does Mr. Artman work for you or at least 2360 represent you when it comes to the committee? Does that name sound familiar? 2361 Ms. {Hyde.} Mr. Artman is here with me today, yes. 2362 2363 Mr. {Murphy.} Okay, good. And he has been in that 2364 position, I quess, for at least this last year from what I 2365 understand. So as you may know, we wrote Mr. Artman on March 2366 20, 2014, almost a year ago, to ask for some very specific 2367 information, following up on a meeting that was had with several SAMHSA officials that very day. We sent out request 2368 2369 with as much specificity as possible to the department, and 2370 specifically Mr. Artman, to respond. Since then, I have to 2371 say, this committee is very disappointed, we have received 2372 very little of what we have requested, despite our repeated 2373 efforts to follow up on that request. I am not sure I have a 2374 record of every communication of my office and the department 2375 on this matter, but I do have--we followed up on April 7, 2376 June 12, June 16, June 26, July 14, July 22, and September 2377 18, and again, despite all of this, we still don't have the overwhelming majority of the information we requested, or a 2378

2379 satisfactory explanation of why it doesn't exist.

2380 So I was really astounded this morning to be told that 2381 my staff received an email from Mr. Artman at 8:15 saying the following, ``We are still reviewing the multitude of reports 2382 2383 you have requested, and will provide the reports as soon as possible.'' He further writes, ``We have checked with 2384 2385 program staff and there are no documents regarding technical 2386 assistance provided to the disciplinary rights center in 2387 Maine following the Bruce case.'' Now, you are familiar with 2388 the Bruce case, we spoke about this before. This is the one 2389 where the Disciplinary Rights Center, in the medical record 2390 of the hospital it says someone advised him when asked, are 2391 you going to harm yourself, he said no, someone advised him, 2392 are you going to hurt someone else, and he said no, under the 2393 advice of someone from that agency. He then went home and 2394 shortly thereafter killed his mother. He was on medication, 2395 wasn't in treatment, et cetera, on this, and so you can 2396 understand our concern that we have asked almost a year ago, 2397 tell us what SAMHSA is looking into this. Now, I understand 2398 part of the issue is I don't think states are required to tell you what they are doing, and I think that is important 2399

2400 because they receive significant funding from you. So I hope 2401 you understand our committee's frustration. This is a 2402 serious case involving a homicide, and someone who was 2403 advised by an organization that you fund to stop care, 2404 despite the pleas of the family and the pleas of the treating 2405 psychiatrist to say this is a dangerous person. So please 2406 understand the seriousness of our request. We do want to 2407 make sure that you understand. I mean I--you are busy, I 2408 understand, but this committee will make sure we get those 2409 records, and you will comply with that, right? I appreciate 2410 that. Thank you.

Now, with regard to this organization, Dr. Kohn, you say in your report that PAMI--I think that is one of the things-you look at some of the evaluations done, I think you even mentioned that there--one of the ones that seems to have a report that has good accountability written in there, am I correct?

2417 Ms. {Kohn.} We identified an example of an evaluation 2418 that was done that was consistent with some of the principles 2419 that GAO has talked about. We didn't evaluate that program 2420 or the quality of that evaluation, we simply cite it as an

2421 example.

2422 Mr. {Murphy.} So are you aware that the people who did 2423 that evaluation are people, several of them who are funded by 2424 SAMHSA, are part of these programs? Were you aware that--I don't know if you dug deep enough to know who these people 2425 2426 were, but several of them appears were on the payroll or have 2427 direct funding related to this. Are you aware of that? 2428 Ms. {Kohn.} We just cite it as an example. We didn't 2429 hold it up--2430 Mr. {Murphy.} I--Ms. {Kohn.} --as a--2431 2432 Mr. {Murphy.} I didn't think so. That is okay. I 2433 didn't think so. Ms. {Kohn.} --conclusion--make--we didn't draw any 2434 2435 conclusions about the program. Mr. {Murphy.} But I look upon--but it was nonetheless 2436 2437 listed. When you say 1/3 of the programs, I think, actually 2438 had evaluations done, and, Dr. Frank, you said that, you 2439 know, the programs within HHS have many of these evaluations, 2440 but as I look at this list, Ms. Hyde, I am looking at people who--first of all, the evaluation team, I don't see a single 2441

2442 psychiatrist or psychologist there. I see a couple of social 2443 workers. I don't know if they practice still. I see several 2444 attorneys, but in answering the question, protection and 2445 advocacy for people with mental illness, I want to know if 2446 they are advocating for those people to get better.

This case of Mr. Bruce and other cases they have had around the country, I want to make sure that they are saying if they are in jail and they are getting abused, we are standing up for you. If they are in an institution being ignored, we are going to stand up for you. But the key should be getting care. And I look at this and I must admit this looks like the fox guarding the henhouse.

2454 And so, Dr. Kohn, I hope you will take another look at this because I see people here that really should not be 2455 2456 telling you whether or not a program works. Of course they are going to say it works. They get funding from it. Some 2457 2458 of these actually are the -- the person, Curtis Decker, who 2459 runs the PAMI Trade Association. Of course he is going to 2460 say he is doing a great job. I look at other people who say 2461 they received money from SAMHSA, the projects they work on with SAMHSA. So it is a concern that I think when we see 2462

2463 these evaluations, and an internal evaluation is no use, an 2464 particularly because--I think it was--perhaps you, Ms. Hyde, 2465 or, Dr. Frank, saying it is important that outside organizations look at this. I agree wholeheartedly. That is 2466 the way we should look at this. Is the research done 2467 2468 correctly, and bottom line, are we getting results. Not just 2469 are they--what they are doing there, and I think under these 2470 programs too, and we were talking about prevention, I want to 2471 know if we are getting results. I wish we knew how to 2472 prevent schizophrenia. I know last summer we identified 108 genotypes of schizophrenia. I wish we knew--I wish we could 2473 2474 cure it but we can't cure it. We can certainly do early 2475 interventions and minimize, for a while, not awareness of it, 2476 but try and delay some of the symptoms. But we don't take of 2477 these otherwise, and so that is some advice to you.

2478 And I recognize Ms. DeGette.

Ms. {DeGette.} Mr. Chairman, I think this is the best subcommittee in the House. This is the subcommittee where Mr. Dingell made his name, and I like to think of myself as the heir to John Dingell legacy. And in all his years on this subcommittee, he never took the cheap shot, he never

2484 attacked witnesses personally, he never put them into traps, 2485 and I was appalled today at the--and you have been with me on this committee for 2 years. You know you have never heard me 2486 2487 say something like this. I was appalled at the way two of the new Members of this subcommittee, Mr. Mullin and Mr. 2488 2489 Collins, conducted themselves today, because this is a 2490 serious and legitimate investigation. This is an 2491 investigation about the way our Federal agencies are handling 2492 serious mental illness, and to bring them in and to refuse to 2493 allow these very serious, high-level government officials to 2494 answer questions, to trap them in to a when did you stop 2495 beating your wife type of answer, it is disrespectful to the 2496 witnesses and it undermines this committee's grand tradition. 2497 So I am glad you said something about this, but, however, 2498 both of those individuals were gone by the time you did. So 2499 I hope you admonish them that is not in the grand tradition of this subcommittee. 2500

Now, having said that, I want to ask--I want to follow up on their questions. The first one I want to follow up on, Administrator Hyde, is the question that Mr. Mullin was asking you about that chart. You were attempting to answer

2505 the question and he would not let you do that. So I am going 2506 to ask you, I think that Mr. Mullin raises a good point, 2507 there are a number of programs including some online things 2508 that would seem to many of us to be unrelated to what SAMHSA 2509 should be doing on serious mental health issues. You said--2510 you were trying to say, I think, that you were evaluating 2511 these. Can you please let us know what you are doing with 2512 these online programs, what criteria you are using, what the 2513 purpose they have, and when you are going to finish that 2514 evaluation?

2515 Ms. {Hyde.} Thank you, Ms. DeGette. I was trying to 2516 say, yes, that in fact, we are trying to evaluate this. It 2517 is on our evaluation list. We are trying to take a look at 2518 it. I didn't know--I don't have it in front of me here today 2519 the numbers he is putting out, so I can't say if that is yes, 2520 no, or otherwise. We are looking at a number of our Web 2521 sites who have been actually held by a number of contractors, 2522 and we are bringing it inside so we can control a little bit 2523 more about what goes up on those Web sites. So we have had a 2524 very explicit approach to trying to get at the issue of are the Web sites and is the content what it should be. So we 2525

2526	have done a fair amount of work about that, but we are in the
2527	middle of it, we are not complete, and this is one of them
2528	that is literally on the next list that we are looking at.
2529	Ms. {DeGette.} And what is your time frame for review
2530	and completion of that?
2531	Ms. {Hyde.} Actually, I just got the ability to get
2532	that scheduled, so I know it is scheduled for next week but I
2533	don't have a specific time
2534	Ms. {DeGette.} So it is going to be soon.
2535	Ms. {Hyde.} With me. It is personally being scheduled
2536	with me
2537	Ms. {DeGette.} Now, some of these things that have
2538	beenthat this committee has, frankly, been quite critical
2539	of that you are reviewing, those have been around for quite
2540	some number of years, is that correct?
2541	Ms. {Hyde.} That is correct, and sometimes what appears
2542	on its face to be a coloring book or a song, sometimes there
2543	is actually science behind the use of those for young
2544	children, for message and for outcomes. I don't have the
2545	answer here today in front of me whether this one fits that
2546	mold or not.

- 2547 Ms. {DeGette.} Well, perhaps--
- 2548 Ms. {Hyde.} I wouldn't write it off--
- Ms. {DeGette.} Yeah.
- 2550 Ms. {Hyde.} --on its face.

2551 Ms. {DeGette.} So perhaps when you do finish that 2552 evaluation, you will supplement your testimony and let us 2553 know if you think that is worthwhile or not.

2554 Ms. {Hyde.} I will.

2555 Ms. {DeGette.} And the chairman also asked you, and we 2556 did ask you in the last hearing about that case where the--2557 where apparently it was a contractor of SAMHSA apparently 2558 told the person to stop taking their medication. Can we get 2559 the information on that to see if SAMHSA had any awareness of that, and if there are other situations like that, or how you 2560 2561 are choosing those contractors? I think that would be 2562 helpful to this committee.

2563 Ms. {Hyde.} We are working on that. I know it has 2564 taken a while. We want to be absolutely clear though, 2565 because we understand the seriousness of the question we are 2566 being asked, so to the extent that we are reviewing bunches 2567 of records, and if we see anything that looks inappropriate,

2568 we want to go back and check it even yet again to make sure 2569 that it is or is not--2570 Ms. {DeGette.} And so when do you think--2571 Ms. {Hyde.} --so--2572 Ms. {DeGette.} --you might be able to get us that 2573 information? 2574 Ms. {Hyde.} It is very high on our list to do. I can't 2575 give you a specific date, but we have been working through it 2576 and we are pretty close to being able to give you an answer. 2577 Ms. {DeGette.} Thank you very much. Thank you, I yield back, Mr. Chairman. 2578 2579 Mr. {Murphy.} Thank you. I do want to say, I mean we 2580 won't mention--we don't mention Members' names when someone 2581 disagrees with them, but we do--we will follow up and--but 2582 please understand, a lot of this that I think is our 2583 frustration is I think sometimes it is just a gut check. 2584 Like when you were before this committee last year when I 2585 asked you about the painting, the \$26,000 painting of two 2586 people sitting on a rock, and you told me that was for 2587 awareness. I think there are some times we just want to see our leaders have a gut check to say, you know what, maybe 2588

- that is not a wise spending of taxpayers' money, and that I think sing-along songs with the circle, or whatever those other things are, do they really work. I think that is what we would like to hear more about. So we are looking forward to getting that information.
- And now I want to recognize Mr. Griffith for 5 minutes.Mr. {Griffith.} Thank you very much.

2596 And I don't think I will be guite as emotional this time 2597 as I was on the first round of questioning, but I do 2598 appreciate you all being here, and hope that you understand 2599 that even when we get a little excited and emotional about 2600 the issue, it is because we are trying to move the Government 2601 in the right direction, and there is sometimes frustration, but we are all, I think, trying to work--everybody, you all 2602 2603 included on the panel, trying to work into the right 2604 direction.

2605 Dr. Kohn, in 2013, the GAO issued a report finding that 2606 the Office of National Drug Control Policy could better 2607 identify opportunities to increase program coordination. GAO 2608 recommended that ONDCP assess the extent of overlap and the 2609 potential for duplication across Federal programs engaged in

2610 drug abuse prevention and treatment activities, and identify 2611 opportunities for increased coordination. It is my 2612 understanding that ONDCP concurred with this recommendation, 2613 am I correct in that? 2614 Ms. {Kohn.} Yes, they did. Mr. {Griffith.} And did the fact that ONDCP concurred 2615 2616 with GAO's recommendation mean that ONDCP totally agreed with 2617 GAO's analysis, such as the overlap of Federal programs, 2618 always being a negative? 2619 Ms. {Kohn.} No, they identified that sometimes there are benefits to overlaps, such as reinforcing messages, that 2620 2621 some of the goals, if the data were cut different ways, 2622 showed different--Mr. {Griffith.} So they didn't--2623 2624 Ms. {Kohn.} --results. 2625 Mr. {Griffith.} They didn't agree completely. 2626 Ms. {Kohn.} No. 2627 Mr. {Griffith.} But they did, as I understand it, state 2628 that they were willing to work with the agencies administering these programs to further enhance coordination 2629 even if it meant not eliminating complete overlaps, is that 2630

2631 correct?

2632 Ms. {Kohn.} That is correct, and in our recommendation 2633 follow-up, that has been implemented.

Mr. {Griffith.} And I guess the question then comes, and that was the lead-in, Dr. Frank, so here we have a different agency reaction to a similar report. Couldn't HHS have concurred with the GAO recommendation even while expressing differences on some of GAO's analysis, just like the ONDCP did?

2640 Mr. {Frank.} I think the issue here is--well, first of all, I understand your emotion and your commitment, and I 2641 2642 only respect and admire it and that of the whole committee, 2643 so thank you for that. But I think the problem we had was, 2644 when you count programs and you count evaluations, and you do 2645 so selectively and you don't go in behind, so what was in the 2646 evaluation, what are we really doing with the program, what 2647 are you really doing over here in Medicaid, we feel like you 2648 haven't told the story and that is what made us 2649 uncomfortable; that we agree. Coordination is something that 2650 both Administrator Hyde and I have spent our careers working 2651 In fact, the way I met her was through a project to on.

2652 coordinate care for people with, at that time, chronic mental 2653 illness. And that was in 1986.

2654 Mr. {Griffith.} All right. The--and we will get to one 2655 more point, and then I hope I have enough time to make one statement. In a talk you delivered in March of 2013, you 2656 2657 indicated, and it was--it is on YouTube, and at about the 28 2658 minute mark you spoke about the dangers of mission creep 2659 where the aim of targeting particularly high-risk groups 2660 becomes diluted to reach lower-risk populations as well. And 2661 you noted at that time that the mission creep could have disastrous results. Do you think you have your guard up, do 2662 you think it is possible that SAMHSA may be subject to 2663 2664 similar pressures to engage in mission creep, and how does this impact their ability to support individuals with the 2665 2666 most high-risk and severe mental illnesses? 2667 Mr. {Frank.} I still believe the admonition, and I 2668 think it is a question that we have to constantly ask

2669 ourselves. Every time we make a sort of program decision, a 2670 budget decision, and a policy decision, we have to ask 2671 ourselves are we working for the customers that are most 2672 important. And I think that is your question, and I think

2673 that we constantly have to ask ourselves that question, and 2674 we try to. 2675 Mr. {Griffith.} And I appreciate that, and appreciate the, you know, self-examination is always a good thing even 2676 when it is sometimes painful. 2677 2678 Part of your mission is to coordinate and to make sure 2679 things are efficient. Might I recommend, and maybe you are 2680 already doing this, and if so, please tell me, that you get a 2681 few street lawyers out there and some--what I maybe--it is 2682 probably not the right term, Mr. Chairman, but street clinicians, but people who are out there on the frontlines 2683 2684 who might be able to help you figure out what is working and 2685 what isn't working, particularly on making sure that folks know what programs are available. So that would be my 2686 2687 suggestion to you. 2688 Mr. {Frank.} I think that--thank you for that 2689 suggestion. Just, you know, to remind ourselves, to give you 2690 an idea, a bunch of us, the deputy secretary, myself, our 2691 principle deputy, we went out on a homeless count the other 2692 night and we kind of walked the streets just because of that

136

kind of inclination, and we try to visit programs, and I know

2694 Administrator Hyde does it all the time, and I think it is 2695 important because otherwise you forget. 2696 Mr. {Griffith.} Well, and sometimes it is good to have 2697 the folks that are out there day in and day out because when it is somebody new or different, and it is human nature, they 2698 2699 are going to whip out the spick and span and make everything 2700 look a little bit better, but when you have folks who deal 2701 with it day in and day out and over the course of years, they 2702 can give you an unvarnished or an un-cleaned up, spick and 2703 span-type view of what is happening in the real world. But 2704 thank you. 2705 I yield back. 2706 Mr. {Frank.} Thank you. 2707 Mr. {Murphy.} Thank you. 2708 I am going to recognize myself again for 5 minutes. 2709 Dr. Kohn, when you reviewed the various agencies, did 2710 you see in there any review between agencies for--so, for 2711 example, what we hear from states increasing instances of 2712 incarceration of the mentally ill, did you see that in your--2713 that anybody is doing that investigation? 2714 Ms. {Kohn.} We did not identify that.

2715 Mr. {Murphy.} Is that--Dr. Frank, or, Ms. Hyde, do you-2716 -if you don't, just let me know, so it is kind of yes or no 2717 or we don't know. Are your agencies involved with looking at 2718 sort of a state-by-state report to the Nation, because we are 2719 hearing anecdotally, I am hearing from a lot of governors and 2720 secretaries of--who handle incarceration that they see 2721 increasing rates of people in state, county and local jails 2722 of people with serious mental illness. Do we--is HHS 2723 conducting any study of this to give a report? 2724 Mr. {Frank.} I will take that one. Yeah, a couple of things. My agency, ASPE, is conducting a study right now on 2725 mental illness and violence, mental illness and criminal 2726

2727 justice, exactly because we have been hearing the same thing 2728 you are.

2729 Mr. {Murphy.} So you will be able to--do you know when 2730 that will be completed? Any idea? Within this year? 2731 Mr. {Frank.} Within this year.

2732 Mr. {Murphy.} That will be--obviously, we would love to 2733 see that.

2734 Mr. {Frank.} We would be delighted to share it. Also,
2735 Administrator Hyde and I are actively involved in the Re-

- 2736 entry Council, which is an interagency council that is run by
- 2737 the Attorney General--
- 2738 Mr. {Murphy.} Okay.
- 2739 Mr. {Frank.} --that focuses on re-entry, and a

2740 disproportionate share of people concerned with that have

2741 serious mental illnesses.

2742 Mr. {Murphy.} Let me raise another question here. With 2743 the Affordable Care Act, part of this is there is supposed to 2744 be parity for access. And, you know, we passed a parity bill 2745 here 6 years ago. It took 5 years, I think, for HHS to get 2746 us the regulations. I am still hearing a lot of concerns 2747 that parity is not taking place. Is HHS preparing any state-2748 by-state evaluation of what states are doing with regard to 2749 meeting parity guidelines with the insurance companies that 2750 operate within the states? Is there anything happening with 2751 those that you know of?

2752 Mr. {Frank.} CMS and ASPE sits on that group as well, 2753 continuously works with insurance commissioners to, A, do 2754 more technical assistance, and also find out what is going on 2755 and help them resolve complaints as they come in from 2756 consumer groups.

2757 Mr. {Murphy.} Okay. Another thing with this too is 2758 that with the ACA, a lot of people are finding themselves--2759 they have a very high deductible, and I am hearing from a lot 2760 of psychiatrists, psychologists, social workers that people 2761 just aren't coming in for their appointments because they say 2762 if I have a \$5,000 deductible for me, or a 10 or 12 or 2763 \$13,000 deductible for my family, they are just not coming in 2764 for care. Is that something that HHS is also investigating 2765 to find out what those numbers are, and what impact that is 2766 having upon care?

2767 Mr. {Frank.} Yes. We are conducting several sets of 2768 analyses. One set of analysis has--we have been monitoring 2769 the trends and deductibles in private insurance broadly, and 2770 we are also looking at just the design of the benefit, both 2771 in the bronze and the silver plans within the ACA.

2772 Mr. {Murphy.} But you know what I am saying, is--2773 Mr. {Frank.} Absolutely.

2774 Mr. {Murphy.} --it is very important. I think this 2775 committee--

2776 Mr. {Frank.} And it is very important--

2777 Mr. {Murphy.} --would like to have that information.

2778 Ms. Hyde, you talked about, when you talked about this, 2779 in families in serious mental health crisis, you want to engage the family. One of the problems we consistently heard 2780 2781 also is people--is the families said we want to be engaged 2782 but HIPAA laws keep us from doing that. We keep hearing 2783 stories of someone who has suffered because a doctor says I 2784 can't talk to you. And the families say, look, all they want 2785 to know is what medication is he on so I can follow up. When 2786 is the next appointment so I can get him there. Do we--and I 2787 know in the past HHS has given us some clarification and said 2788 doctors can listen to family members, they are allowed to do 2789 that, but they can't kind of in a cold basis if someone calls 2790 over the phone and give information. I get that. We should 2791 protect that. And nor should we release all the records. 2792 But is this something that we can be addressing to say how do 2793 we at least get that information when, in absence of that 2794 information, that person becomes gravely disabled and it is 2795 necessary for treatment, how are we going to deal with that? 2796 Ms. {Hyde.} We worked with the Office of Civil Rights 2797 who actually was taking the lead on providing the clarification to practitioners about the -- what you just said, 2798

2799 Mr. Murray, the--Mr. Murphy, I am sorry, that practitioners 2800 can, in fact, listen and they can, in fact, get lots of 2801 information that can help them with treatment. I think there 2802 are a lot of clinicians who it is just easier to say I can't 2803 talk at all. 2804 Mr. {Murphy.} But it is that other part about--2805 Ms. {Hyde.} Part of what we are--2806 Mr. {Murphy.} --giving information. This is something 2807 I think we really have to address. 2808 Ms. {Hyde.} Yes. Part of what we are trying to do is develop some training and some ability to help practitioners 2809 2810 understand what they can and cannot do, and also to see how--2811 Mr. {Murphy.} This is--2812 Ms. {Hyde.} --they can utilize existing state laws to 2813 get at the issue of when someone cannot make a decision for 2814 themselves. Mr. {Murphy.} I have a couple more questions. I will 2815 2816 qo to Ms. DeGette. 2817 Ms. {DeGette.} I am sorry, I have already done my 2818 second round. 2819 Mr. {Murphy.} Well, I am doing a third and a fourth.

- 2820 Ms. {DeGette.} I need to go, so--
- 2821 Mr. {Murphy.} Okay.
- 2822 Ms. {DeGette.} --I would suggest--

2823 Mr. {Murphy.} All right. Let me just say this. Dr. 2824 Frank, you have suggested that GAO has, to paraphrase you, 2825 missed the boat in its analysis of the coordination between 2826 Federal agencies by failing to coordinate with, among others, 2827 the Medicaid program. Now, this kind of goes into the 2828 struggle we are having at the Federal level, but let me ask 2829 you how you coordinate it on the ground, as you state. For example, I understand this morning the state of Kansas is 2830 2831 debating removal of many mental health medications from its 2832 Medicaid program. Are you even aware that Kansas is 2833 proposing to remove these drugs? Apparently, the Federal 2834 Government pays 55 percent of the cost of that program, but 2835 here is the Kansas proposal to even remove those. Are you 2836 aware of that?

2837 Mr. {Frank.} I am not aware of that specific proposal. 2838 We have been concerned with the placement of psychiatric 2839 drugs on formularies generally, and have been examining that 2840 pretty carefully.

2841 Mr. {Murphy.} Okay. What was that one other thing I 2842 wanted to ask? One other question I want to ask about the--2843 Mr. {Frank.} Mr. Chairman. 2844 Mr. {Murphy.} Yes? 2845 Mr. {Frank.} I would never say that Dr. Kohn missed the boat. I have known her for too long--2846 2847 Mr. {Murphy.} Okay. 2848 Mr. {Frank.} --to think that. 2849 Mr. {Murphy.} All right. Thank you. We don't want to 2850 have any aspersions about boats or sailors too. 2851 Another thing, Dr. Frank, in your 2006 book, which we are promoting here, Better Not Well--2852 2853 Mr. {Frank.} Yeah. 2854 Mr. {Murphy.} --you--one of the things you suggest is 2855 this creation of a new Federal agency or authority, it 2856 doesn't have to be a new agency, with budgetary oversight 2857 over all the programs that serve people with mental illness. 2858 Do you still think that is a good idea to give someone that 2859 authority so they can really, I guess I will use the word 2860 mojo, have--to go to all these agencies and have to answer to someone and say is it working, is it not working, is it 2861

2862 interacting well, is it--are you meeting your targets, do you 2863 still believe that? 2864 Mr. {Frank.} Yeah, well, at the time I wrote that in 2865 2005 and the world was a somewhat different place, and that was the, you know, you got the rationale for why we were 2866 2867 proposing that. Right. What has changed since is, for 2868 example, the Congress has done a variety of legislative 2869 things to sort of force some of that on the ground. The 2870 Melville 811 Act, for example, sort of forces housing and 2871 Medicaid to come together. And we have added so many institutions that now are coordinating better on the ground, 2872 that what I would like to do is see how that works out before 2873 2874 adding another level of bureaucracy.

2875 Mr. {Murphy.} I--well, I am not talking about adding 2876 another level of bureaucracy, I am talking about someone who 2877 really has the authority to call for these things that people 2878 have to respond to.

2879 Mr. {Frank.} Yeah.

2880 Mr. {Murphy.} Because my concern is that, what we are 2881 hearing from Dr. Kohn's report is it is not coordinated--not 2882 being coordinated. I am pleased that some action just

2883 immediately took place, and that some of these agencies have 2884 not been meeting in 5 years, so we need someone who is 2885 singularly accountable to be that pivot point. I mean I say 2886 in my bill there should be an Assistant Secretary of Mental Health, which means someone within this agency that has that 2887 2888 power and authority to go to DoD and VA and HUD and Education 2889 and Labor and saying we are going to sit down, we are going 2890 to hash this out, because somehow having at least 112 2891 programs isn't working when we look at the outcome measures 2892 and all those things to say that. So--

2893 Mr. {Frank.} Yeah, I--you know, I am--as you can 2894 imagine, I am sympathetic to the view, but I really do think 2895 that we have changed--the idea that we had was in service of 2896 making sure that the dollars got funneled to the right place, 2897 to the right people, at the right time. And we are trying a 2898 different way right now to do that, and I would like to see whether it is successful, because, in fact, I have also seen 2899 2900 a lot of programs where we tried to coordinate the 2901 bureaucracies up here, nothing happened on the ground. And 2902 so I would like to--this time start at the ground and then work my way up, and then see what happens. But, you know, 2903

2904 I--it is a hard problem and I am interested in seeing how our 2905 efforts work out because I really think they are serious and 2906 they are important.

2907 Mr. {Murphy.} All right. Well, I thank you for those 2908 things. I also know, Ms. DeGette, I am sure you are going 2909 to--you also support the idea. We will work with getting 2910 SAMHSA those documents, and she is absolutely supportive. 2911 And that is the way we are. We want those documents we 2912 requested a year ago, and get the other responses here 2913 quickly.

2914 I thank all of you for being here. This has been a very 2915 revealing report. Dr. Kohn, thank you so much. I do 2916 recognize a lot of work has to be done. You have heard that 2917 from Members here. And I think the best thing here is 2918 approach us with humility and honesty and saying, you know 2919 what, when we look at what has happened with mental health in America, it really is not good. From the thousands and 2920 2921 thousands of families we hear, from the frustrations I hear 2922 from providers, from consumers, so many people saying this 2923 isn't working. We have to change this. And so let us ease up on saying everything is fine, and let us really look at 2924

2925	how we have to change this. And it isif it takes
2926	legislative changes, we are going to push those, and I am
2927	going to continue to push that.
2928	So I ask unanimous consent that the Members' written
2929	opening statements be introduced into the record. And
2930	without objection, the documents will be entered into the
2931	record.
2932	[The information follows:]

2934	Mr. {Murphy.} And in conclusion, again, I thank all the
2935	witnesses and Members that participated in today's hearing.
2936	I remind Members they have 10 business days to submit
2937	questions for the record, and I ask the witnesses all agree
2938	to respond promptly to the questions.
2939	And with that, this committee is adjourned.
2940	[Whereupon, at 12:29 p.m., the subcommittee was
2941	adjourned.]