```
Diversified Reporting Services, Inc.
1
    RPTS CARR
2
3
    HIF194140
4
5
    MARKUP ON:
6
    H.R. 824 (WALBERG), THE TELEHEALTH BENEFIT EXPANSION FOR
8
    WORKERS ACT OF 2023;
    H.R. 3226 (ESHOO), THE PREMATURITY RESEARCH EXPANSION AND
9
    EDUCATION FOR MOTHERS WHO DELIVER INFANTS EARLY (PREEMIE)
10
    REAUTHORIZATION ACT OF 2023;
11
    H.R. 3838 (BURGESS), THE PREVENTING MATERNAL DEATHS
12
    REAUTHORIZATION ACT OF 2023;
13
    H.R. 3843 (KELLY), THE ACTION FOR DENTAL HEALTH ACT OF 2023;
14
    H.R. 3884 (BURGESS), THE SICKLE CELL DISEASE AND OTHER
15
    HERITABLE BLOOD DISORDERS RESEARCH, SURVEILLANCE, PREVENTION,
16
    AND TREATMENT ACT OF 2023;
17
    H.R. 3821 (PASCRELL), THE FIREFIGHTER CANCER REGISTRY
18
    REAUTHORIZATION ACT OF 2023;
19
```

H.R. 2365 (BILIRAKIS), THE NATIONAL PLAN TO END PARKINSON'S

20

21

ACT;

- H.R. 3391 (WEXTON), THE GABRIELLA MILLER KIDS FIRST RESEARCH
- 23 ACT 2.0;
- 24 H.R. 4421 (HUDSON), THE PREPARING FOR ALL HAZARDS AND
- 25 PATHOGENS REAUTHORIZATION ACT;
- H.R. 4420 (HUDSON), THE PREPAREDNESS AND RESPONSE
- 27 REAUTHORIZATION ACT;
- H.R. 4529 (RODGERS), THE PUBLIC HEALTH GUIDANCE TRANSPARENCY
- 29 AND ACCOUNTABILITY ACT OF 2023;
- 30 H.R. 4381 (MURPHY), THE PUBLIC HEALTH EMERGENCY CONGRESSIONAL
- 31 REVIEW ACT;
- H.R. 3813 (GUTHRIE), THE CDC LEADERSHIP ACCOUNTABILITY ACT OF
- 33 2023;
- 34 H.R. 3836 (CRENSHAW), THE MEDICAID PRIMARY CARE IMPROVEMENT
- 35 ACT;
- 36 H.R. 4531 (GUTHRIE), THE SUPPORT FOR PATIENTS AND COMMUNITIES
- 37 REAUTHORIZATION ACT;
- 38 H.R. 4056 (BILIRAKIS), THE ENSURING MEDICAID CONTINUITY FOR
- 39 FOSTER CARE ACT OF 2023; AND
- 40 H.R. 3887 (CRENSHAW), THE CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL GME SUPPORT
- 41 REAUTHORIZATION ACT OF 2023
- 42 THURSDAY, JULY 13, 2023

```
43
    House of Representatives,
    Subcommittee on Health,
44
    Committee on Energy and Commerce,
45
    Washington, D.C.
46
47
          The subcommittee met, pursuant to call, at 10:05 a.m. in
48
    Room 2123, Rayburn House Office Building, Hon. Brett Guthrie
49
     [chairman of the subcommittee], presiding.
50
51
                    Representatives Guthrie, Burgess, Latta,
52
         Present:
    Griffith, Bilirakis, Johnson, Bucshon, Hudson, Carter, Dunn,
53
    Pence, Crenshaw, Joyce, Harshbarger, Miller-Meeks, Obernolte,
54
    and Rodgers (ex officio); Eshoo, Sarbanes, Cardenas, Ruiz,
55
    Dingell, Kuster, Kelly, Barragan, Blunt Rochester, Craig,
56
    Schrier, Trahan, and Pallone (ex officio).
57
58
59
```

```
Staff Present: Jolie Brochin, Clerk, Health; Sarah
60
    Burke, Deputy Staff Director; Lauren Eriksen, Clerk, O&I;
61
62
    Kristin Flukey, Professional Staff Member, Health; Seth Gold,
    Professional Staff Member, Health; Grace Graham, Chief
63
    Counsel, Health; Jessica Herron, Clerk, CPAC; Nate Hodson,
64
    Staff Director; Tara Hupman, Chief Counsel; Noah Jackson,
65
    Clerk, C&T; Peter Kielty, General Counsel; Emily King, Member
66
    Services Director; Clare Paoletta, Professional Staff Member,
67
    Health; Kaitlyn Peterson, Clerk, Energy & Environment; Carla
68
    Rafael, Senior Staff Assistant; Emma Schultheis, Staff
69
    Assistant; Olivia Shields, Communications Director; Michael
70
    Taggart, Policy Director; Lydia Abma, Minority Policy
71
    Analyst; Jacquelyn Bolen, Minority Health Counsel; Waverly
72
    Gordon, Minority Deputy Staff Director and General Counsel;
73
    Tiffany Guarascio, Minority Staff Director; Perry Hamilton,
74
    Minority Member Services and Outreach Manager; Stephen
75
    Holland, Minority Senior Health Counsel; Saha Khaterzai,
76
    Minority Professional Staff Member; Una Lee, Minority Chief
77
    Health Counsel; Emma Roehrig, Minority Staff Assistant;
78
    Andrew Souvall, Minority Director of Communications,
79
    Outreach, and Member Services; Tristen Tellman, Minority
80
```

Health Fellow; Rick Van Buren, Minority Senior Health 81 Counsel; and C.J. Young, Minority Deputy Communications 82 83 Director. 84 85 *Mr. Guthrie. The subcommittee will come to order, and 86 the chair will recognize himself for an opening statement. 87 Today we are marking up legislation to help support moms 88 and babies promote access to lifesaving therapies for rare 89 and life-threatening diseases, and to bolster our ability to 90 effectively respond to emerging biological and pathogenic 91 threats. 92 We are also continuing this committee's work to address 93 the fentanyl and opioid crisis. 94 First we will be marking up legislation that I am 95 leading alongside Representative Kuster to reauthorize key 96 provisions from the 218 [sic] Support Act for Patients and 97 Communities Act before their expiration on September 30. 98 This bill, H.R. 4531, the Support Act of 2023, would provide 99 access to lifesaving treatment and recovery support services, 100 prevention programing, and long-term recovery services for 101

102 individuals seeking help overcoming their substance use disorder. 103 104 This bill also includes the scheduling of Xylazine. Xylazine, known as Trang, is an animal tranguilizer that is 105 increasingly found in illicit opioids and other drugs. It 106 does not respond to overdose reversal medications like 107 naloxone, which can make overdoses more fatal. This bill 108 places Xylazine in the Schedule III of the Controlled 109 Substances Act, which will help our law enforcement keep this 110 substance off our streets while maintaining access for 111 veterinarians and ranchers to use on animals. 112 The bill would also lift unnecessary barriers to 113 treatment for vulnerable populations by promoting sustained 114 access to medication-assisted treatment for Medicaid 115 beneficiaries, and access to long-term and recovery services 116 for individuals. 117 H.R. 4531 also includes solutions that would promote 118 access to long-term recovery services like workforce training 119 and peer support services. This also includes reauthorizing 120 a key priority of mine, the Comprehensive Opioid Recovery 121 Centers Program, which provides targeted resources to 122

123 communities that are significantly impacted by the overdose epidemic. 124 125 Next is a set of bills to ensure our public health system is prepared to respond to emerging health threats. 126 Mr. Hudson has two bills to address the emerging threats: 127 H.R. 4421, Preparing for All-Hazards and Pathogens 128 Reauthorization Act and H.R. 4420, Preparedness and Response 129 130 Reauthorization Act. These two bills will accomplish several goals. 131 First, both pieces of legislation will streamline our 132 response infrastructure within the Administration for 133 Strategic Preparedness and Response, or ASPR. 134 Additionally, these bills include efforts to shore up 135 our diagnostic testing infrastructure and domestic 136 manufacturing capacity for medical countermeasures during a 137 future public health emergency, areas of weakness in our 138 current system that we saw -- all saw firsthand falter during 139 our initial response to the COVID-19 pandemic. 140 On top of that, these bills also contain several 141 provisions focused on improving our national strategic 142 stockpile, including clarifying ASPR's responsibility over 143

144 the Strategic National Stockpile. Many of the provisions incorporated in H.R. 4420 and 4421 are bipartisan, and I 145 146 appreciate Mr. Hudson's longstanding leadership on this 147 issue. Despite these significant improvements to our public 148 health system, it is a disappointing that these bills and 149 overall efforts to reauthorize many of these programs is not 150 going forward bipartisan, and I will say the Republicans have 151 sought to work together as we move forward. We have been 152 clear about the need to address drug shortages, which is why 153 in March of this year we sent a letter to the Food and Drug 154 Administration requesting information related to certain 155 drugs in shortage, as well as how the agency has used its 156 existing authorities to address and ensure that these drugs 157 can get to patients as guickly as possible, and ensure there 158 are no future shortages. 159 Chair Rodgers and Senator Crapo also released a request 160 161 for information to solicit feedback from a wide range of stakeholders and identify thoughtful, targeted solutions to 162 address these shortages, and announced yesterday we are 163 hopeful to release a discussion draft in coming weeks. 164

165 Committee Republicans stand ready to address this important issue, but we have made clear on countless occasions that 166 167 this is not the process to engage on those. Finally, there is a set of public relations -- public 168 health bills that reauthorize programs that provide support 169 for patients and their families. These include H.R. 3391 170 from Mr. Bilirakis to help identify the root causes of 171 pediatric cancers and other conditions; H.R. 3226 from Dr. 172 Miller-Meeks and Ms. Eshoo to support better outcomes for 173 pre-term babies, in addition to legislation to advance our 174 knowledge of rare diseases that will help lead to the 175 available -- availability of therapies for these diseases. 176 In closing, I am proud of the legislation we are marking 177 up today, and I look forward to advancing these important 178 bills to the full committee, and I yield back. 179 The Chair now recognizes the ranking member, Ms. Eshoo 180 from California, for five minutes for an opening statement. 181 *Ms. Eshoo. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and good morning, 182 members. We have a full day ahead of us, and I look forward 183 to engaging with everyone on the 17 health bills that are 184 being taken up. 185

186 Eight of these bills are bipartisan policies that continue successful programs, including my PREEMIE Act, which 187 188 aims to reduce premature births. We are also voting on a bipartisan reauthorization of 189 the Support Act to continue addressing the deadly scourge of 190 the opioid epidemic by increasing access to prevention, 191 treatment, and recovery for patients with substance use 192 disorder. Urgent action is needed, since about 300 Americans 193 die every day from a drug overdose. Today's Support Act 194 reauthorization is a good start, but more needs to be done to 195 address overdoses, including passing Mr. Tonko's Medicaid 196 Reentry Act to help connect people who are leaving 197 incarceration with treatment. People who are released from 198 jail and prisons are 12 times more likely to die of an 199 overdose than the general public. 200 I am really -- it is a dramatic word, but I am going to 201 use it. I am shattered that we have missed a critical 202 bipartisan opportunity to craft a comprehensive Pandemic and 203 All-Hazards Preparedness Act, the PAHPA. Since November I 204 have worked with Representative Hudson and Chairs Guthrie and 205 McMorris Rodgers on drafting PAHPA. Together we issued a 206

207 bipartisan RFI that received over 250 responses, showing the clear demand from stakeholders for improvements to the 208 209 legislation. But despite that positive start, the process has turned 210 increasingly partisan. The resulting product is a disjointed 211 package of under-funded health programs that do not, in my 212 view, meet our nation's needs, and will leave us unprepared 213 214 for the next public health emergency. Instead of a single, comprehensive PAHPA bill with the typical ASPR, CDC, and FDA 215 policies, the bills we are voting on today are fragments of 216 what is needed. 217 First we will consider a bill reauthorizing ASPR 218 programs that includes partisan policy tying the hands of 219 BARDA and ASPR that will keep them from nimbly responding to 220 221 an emergency. Second we will consider a CDC bill that does the bare 222 minimum, and locks the CDC programs at low funding levels for 223 224 five years. The Republican CDC bill does nothing to address our public health data needs or reduce the public health 225 workforce shortage. 226 Third, the Republican bills completely ignore FDA 227

```
228
     policy. PAHPA has always had an FDA section, but because of
     the majority's opposition to FDA policy to address drug
229
230
     shortages, they have dropped everything related to the FDA.
     This is not good policy-making.
231
          We will also vote on three partisan bills that inject
232
     politics into public health. Instead of working with
233
     Democrats on a bipartisan PAHPA bill, Republicans crafted
234
     hyper-partisan bills that will be subjected to what I think
235
     will be chaotic floor fights.
236
          It didn't need to be this way. We still have time
237
     between this subcommittee markup and the full committee.
238
     And, you know, when you look at what this committee did
239
     previously on PAHPA reauthorizations, it passed with voice
240
     votes and on suspension. Obviously, suspension is passing
241
     with a two-thirds of members of the full House supporting it.
242
          Finally, we are also voting today on legislation that
243
     will damage the Children's Hospitals Graduate Medical
244
     Education program irreparably by making hospitals choose --
245
     hospitals choose -- between providing the standard of care
246
     for children experiencing gender dysphoria or losing funding
247
     that keeps them afloat.
248
```

249 For nearly 25 years the CHGME program has been the gold standard in our country, and has trained half of general 250 251 pediatricians and a majority of pediatric specialists. It is difficult for me to comprehend why my Republican colleagues 252 are subjecting children's hospitals to what I think is a 253 manufactured culture war that puts politics between parents, 254 children, and their pediatricians. 255 256 I joined the Energy and Commerce Committee in 1995, and have always taken great pride in our ability to pass 257 bipartisan legislation. Some of the bills today make me 258 question whether we will be able to continue that important 259 260 legacy. So with all of that, Mr. Chairman, thank you, and I 261 yield back. 262 *Mr. Guthrie. Thank you. The gentlelady yields back, 263 and the chair now recognizes the chair of the full committee, 264 Chair Rodgers, for five minutes for an opening statement. 265 266 *The Chair. Good morning. Good morning, colleagues, everyone. I am glad that we are here today moving so many 267 important pieces of legislation. 268

269

Our markup and work today is yet another example of the

270 Energy and Commerce Committee plowing the hard ground necessary to legislate. We are doing the work to carefully 271 272 review and reassess government programs to make sure that they best serve the American people. That is our job, as the 273 people's representatives. So thank you to everyone leading 274 on bills today. 275 Not only are we ensuring Federal programs are 276 accountable to those we serve, we are helping to improve 277 people's lives. For example, we are making sure moms and 278 their babies get the support that they need at all phases of 279 their lives. We are reauthorizing important programs to 280 rescue people from despair and recover from substance use 281 disorders. We are building on our work to stop fentanyl 282 poisonings, and we are making sure hospitals can train the 283 next generation of pediatricians. And we are also bringing 284 accountability to the CDC. 285 In addition to all of this, we are making progress today 286 on reauthorizing key authorities to help protect Americans 287 from chemical, radiological, biological, nuclear, and cyber 288 attacks. For months we have been working to bring everyone 289 to the table so that it could be a bipartisan bill. These 290

291 programs to ensure America is ready to respond to all hazards has expired before, and I made clear my top priority was 292 293 doing all that I could to get these programs reauthorized on time. So I am glad that we are moving Mr. Hudson's 294 legislation today, and I hope and invite the Democrats to 295 come back to the table and support this legislation so that 296 America is prepared to respond to public health threats from 297 298 catastrophic natural disaster to biological threats to cyber attacks. 299 Not every bill is going to contain every member's 300 priority, and we may have different views on the best path 301 for success. But by putting every -- you know, and putting 302 everything into one bill is not the way forward. It is not 303 the best way to legislate. As I said yesterday, we are 304 carefully reviewing more than 100 responses we received in 305 response to our request for information on the underlying 306 causes of the drug shortages. We need to address this, and 307 we will. Our next step is a discussion draft that we will be 308 releasing in the coming weeks. 309 The problem demands more attention than rushing a few 310 broad FDA bills through committee, and I hope today Democrats 311

will agree with me to join in working on this with a more 312 thoughtful, comprehensive approach to address the root causes 313 314 of the drug shortages. Whether it is looking at FDA authorities or how Medicare and Medicaid pay for medicines, 315 let's work together. 316 With that, I will say again thank you to all my 317 colleagues who are leading on bills, leading on solutions. 318 Reviewing all these programs takes time, and it is a lot of 319 work. Energy and Commerce has this reputation of attracting 320 321 the best members -- I will say it -- because we are up to the task. And I look forward to today's discussion and moving 322 these solutions to the full committee to consider. 323 Thank you, I yield back. 324 *Mr. Guthrie. Thank you. The chair yields back. The 325 chair now recognizes the ranking member of the full 326 committee, the gentleman from New Jersey, Rep. Pallone, for 327 five minutes for an opening statement. 328 *Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I regret that 329 today we are considering a partisan reauthorization of the 330 Pandemic and All-Hazards Preparedness Act, or PAHPA: 331

something that has never been done in PAHPA's history.

332

333 It is clear from the legislation before us today that the Republican majority has learned all the wrong lessons 334 335 from the COVID-19 pandemic and the ongoing drug shortage and medical supply chain crisis. 336 Our government was not prepared for COVID-19, and we 337 have not done enough to be ready for the next threat. 338 bills before us today will leave us unprepared going forward, 339 and put politics over public health and science. The bills 340 include no funding increases and no improvements to public 341 health data. 342 Republicans also do nothing to address the medical 343 supply chain, a critical failure of our COVID-19 response 344 that continues to cause problems with the ongoing drug 345 shortage crisis. 346 Now, last week I held a roundtable in my district to 347 discuss this crisis. I heard from patients who have been 348 unable to fill prescriptions and from doctors who are being 349 forced to ration care. One oncologist, Dr. Eleonora 350 Teplinsky, described how she has found herself sitting across 351 the room from patients and their families, telling them that 352 she could not quarantee they would receive their next 353

354 chemotherapy on time, if at all. By refusing to address the drug shortage crisis as part 355 356 of PAHPA reauthorization, committee Republicans are putting American lives at risk. Democrats have put forward common-357 sense proposals that would improve our ability to quickly 358 identify and respond to future pharmaceutical and medical 359 device shortages. Those provisions should be included in the 360 PAHPA reauthorization bill today. Republicans' claims that 361 FDA policy is outside the scope of PAHPA defy reality, 362 considering that all previous PAHPAs have included FDA 363 364 policy. Meanwhile, Republicans are pulling out all the stops to 365 provide themselves with political cover and pretend like they 366 are taking action with the partisan RFI they put forward, and 367 announcement last night that the chair intends to circulate a 368 discussion draft for comment in a few weeks. Patients are 369 demanding action now, and Republicans are giving them an IOU 370 for a rough draft. It doesn't have to be this way. 371 with us. Drug shortages are a clear and present emergency 372 right now, and there is simply no good excuse for inaction. 373 Now, while I am disappointed in our lack of progress in 374

375 PAHPA, I am pleased that the same is not true for the Support for Patients and Communities Reauthorization Act. Five years 376 377 ago this committee worked together to pass the Support Act to address the ongoing opioid epidemic. Today we will consider 378 a package that will reauthorize critical programs included in 379 the Support Act and some additional provisions to expand 380 treatment options and response efforts to the opioid 381 382 epidemic. This markup does not include some important policies 383 that will help justice involved populations access Medicaid, 384 but we are continuing to work in a bipartisan fashion on 385 those policies. It is important that we act and we have the 386 support of 31 state attorney generals of both red states and 387 blue states in support of the Medicaid Reentry Act and the 388 Due Process Continuity of Care Act. The state AGs write that 389 these bills -- and I am quoting -- "represent one of the 390 118th Congress's strongest opportunities to increase public 391 392 safety and improve public health outcomes nationwide, ' and I strongly support these policies, and I am hopeful that 393 between now and the full committee we can find a bipartisan 394 path forward on these provisions. 395

396 We are also working together on strategies to address the rising threat of Xylazine, and will be considering a 397 398 version of H.R. 1839. I remain concerned about whether this approach will really do anything to address the underlying 399 epidemic and the harms posed by Xylazine. But further 400 criminalization of substances does not -- does nothing to 401 connect people to treatment and recovery, and instead is 402 403 likely to perpetuate a cycle of incarceration, broken communities, and rising overdoses. But the language in the 404 bill represents an improvement over the bill as introduced, 405 and I look forward to receiving stakeholder feedback on our 406 proposed approach. 407 I am disappointed, however, that Republicans have 408 included H.R. 4056. This bill would weaken protections for 409 foster children and create a financial incentive to place 410 them in large institutional settings. I am concerned that it 411 would undermine Federal law that encourages placing foster 412 children in the most family-like settings, while effectively 413 exempting these institutions from important beneficiary 414 protections in Medicaid. 415 And finally, I am deeply disappointed and actually 416

```
appalled that Republicans are using reauthorization of the
417
     Children's Hospital Graduate Medical program as a vehicle to
418
419
     peddle their dangerous and discriminatory attacks against
     transgender youth. Democrats have pushed for a clean
420
     reauthorization of this important program, which, like PAHPA,
421
     has traditionally been done in a bipartisan fashion. Yet my
422
     Republican colleagues insist on making this a partisan battle
423
     over extreme and destructive language to ban medically
424
     necessary care for transgender youth.
425
          So thank you again, Mr. Chairman, and I yield back.
426
          *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back. The chair
427
     reminds members that, pursuant to the committee rules, all
428
     members' opening statements will be made part of the record.
429
          Are there further opening statements?
430
          The gentleman from Texas is recognized for three minutes
431
     for an opening statement. Mr. Burgess, the gentleman from
432
     Texas.
433
          *Mr. Burgess.
                         Thank you, Chair Guthrie, and I want to
434
     thank you for advancing three critical pieces of legislation
435
     to the markup: the PREEMIE Act; the Preventing Maternal
436
     Deaths Act; the Sickle Cell Disease Research, Surveillance,
437
```

438 and Prevention and Research Act of 2023. At our last hearing I mentioned that my middle daughter 439 440 spent some time in a neonatal intensive care unit on a ventilator. That was in 1976. The treatment of the very 441 small, premature infant has vastly improved and increased 442 over time. And the PREEMIE Act that we have in front of us 443 today is going to help us with advanced innovation and 444 445 research. I want to thank everyone involved in the process for 446 working on the bill, and I hope to see advances in how we can 447 prevent, address, and treat premature babies. 448 I am also grateful that we are considering the 449 Preventing Maternal Deaths Reauthorization Act. This 450 important bill reauthorizes assistance to states for Maternal 451 Mortality Review Committees to better understand the burden 452 of maternal complications and mortality through research, 453 education, and best practices. 454 I appreciate my fellow members, Representative DeGette, 455 Representative Carter of Georgia, Representative Kelly, 456 Representative Cammack, and Representative Castor for working 457 with us on this. 458

459 And lastly, I am grateful to the partnership of Congressman Danny Davis of the Ways and Means Committee and 460 461 Buddy Carter on this committee for our sickle cell reauthorization legislation. I can remember back in the 462 middle 1970s, as an intern at Parkland Hospital, treating 463 patients in sickle crisis and then, here on this committee 464 decades later, learning that there had been no new FDA-465 466 approved therapy for sickle cell disease in 40 years. Now, fortunately, that has changed since 2016, and there 467 have been significant advances made. But progress toward a 468 cure for this disease -- we need to build on that momentum, 469 and do all we can to help raise awareness and invest in 470 research that will one day provide a cure for these patients. 471 I am also glad to see the committee will be advancing 472 important public health preparedness reauthorizations to 473 improve our nation's medical countermeasures and ensure 474 threat protection and strengthen our Strategic National 475 476 Stockpile. I have advocated for many of these vital policies to ensure that this nation is prepared with the diagnostics, 477 therapeutics, and life-sustaining technologies in the case of 478 a public health emergency. 479

A bipartisan bill, the Disease X Act of 2023, which is 480 also included, allows BARDA to support advanced research and 481 482 development of countermeasures for emerging viral pathogens and viral families with significant pandemic potential. 483 I am grateful for the reauthorization of the Support 484 Act. Although it didn't make it into the cut this time, I am 485 hopeful that a bipartisan bill to look at removing the IMD --486 the Institute for Mental Disease -- exclusion in Medicaid can 487 go forward. I think this is an important part of 488 establishing the proper care of treatment with patients with 489 mental illness. 490 Thank you, Mr. Chairman, for the recognition, and I will 491 yield back. 492 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back. Are there any 493 other members on the Democratic side seeking an opening 494 statement? 495 On the Republican side? 496 I will remind the members, as well -- we just figured it 497 out -- we had the clock set for five. So I was going to stop 498 you at two, but now they are set for three. So we figured 499 out the clock. So thank you. So the chair now recognizes 500

501 the gentleman from North Carolina for three minutes. Mr. Hudson, you are recognized. 502 503 *Mr. Hudson. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Today is a great day, because today we do the important work the American 504 people expect us to do with the fourth generation of PAHPA 505 moving through regular order. 506 I am disappointed that we are still without my 507 colleague, Representative Eshoo, who has championed this 508 legislation for decades, and who knows as well as anyone the 509 importance of emergency preparedness. 510 Without PAHPA and these key authorities and programs, 511 the attention and foresight of Representative Eshoo and 512 previous champions of this bill and our leaders who put these 513 operations in place, we would be in a different place as a 514 country right now. There would have been no Operation Warp 515 Speed, no partnerships between government and industry, no 516 opportunity for more efficient distribution of tests and 517 therapeutics, PPE, and vaccines. And as bad as the recent 518 pandemic was, I shudder to think of how much worse it would 519 have been without the authorities and the legislation of 520 previous PAHPA. This bill is urgent and it is essential. 521

522 After the lessons we learned from COVID and months of bipartisan discussions, there are numerous issues that we 523 524 address through this legislation: government oversight and accountability, communication between public and private 525 partners, supply chain viability and response efforts, just 526 to name a few. 527 The drug shortage issue right now is devastating. I 528 have repeatedly emphasized my commitment to working with 529 Representatives Eshoo, Pallone, and my colleagues across the 530 aisle to address it. Our chair, Mrs. McMorris Rodgers, has 531 laid out a process. We will have a discussion draft. And 532 once that is out, I will work with the chair and any Democrat 533 colleague that wants to roll up their sleeves and get to 534 work. This issue deserves our careful and thorough 535 attention, and PAHPA also deserves to get across the finish 536 line in a bipartisan way as quickly as we are able to do it. 537 I heard the concerns my colleague mentioned, including 538 that this legislation may tie the hands of bureaucrats. 539 Well, I disagree with her characterization because I think 540 what we are doing is we are demanding more transparency from 541 ASPR and BARDA. We are wanting to create a better 542

543 relationship for these public-private partnerships that in the last pandemic proved to be essential. 544 545 It was also mentioned that we don't address the workforce shortage. But that is not true. We are giving 546 additional powers to ASPR to hire in times of emergency. I 547 think that is critical. Where we disagree is I am not 548 prepared to give a whole lot of new authority to the entire 549 550 enterprise at HHS, but I am open to those discussions. It was also said that we ignored FDA completely. Well, 551 in the past in PAHPA reauthorizations FDA has been addressed, 552 but it has been addressed in terms of facilitating medical 553 countermeasures and what authorities and requirements were 554 necessary so that we would get better public-private 555 partnerships, because that is the sweet spot. That is, to 556 me, one of the greatest lessons learned from the pandemic. 557 This country was saved by public-private partnerships, by a 558 robust private sector and a Federal Government that was 559 nimble enough, because of emergency powers, to work with that 560 private sector to bring forth the solutions we needed. 561 And so I implore my colleagues, please work with us. 562 want this to be bipartisan, we want to get this right. My 563

```
564
     arms are extended, my hands are open. The American people
     demand it. Please, let's work together.
565
566
          And with that, I yield back.
          *Mr. Guthrie. Thank you. The gentleman yields back.
567
     Are there any further opening statements?
568
          Seeing none, I will now move -- we will call up our
569
     first bill. The chair calls up H.R. 824, and asks the clerk
570
     to report.
571
          *The Clerk. H.R. 824, a bill to amend title 27 of the
572
     Public Health Service Act, the Employee Retirement Income
573
     Security Act of 1974, and the Internal --
574
          *Mr. Guthrie. Without objection, the first reading of
575
     the bill is dispensed with, and the bill will be open for
576
     amendment at any point.
577
          So ordered.
578
          [The bill follows:]
579
580
     ***********************************
581
582
```

583 *Mr. Guthrie. Does anyone seek to be recognized on the bill? 584 585 For what purpose does the gentleman from New Jersey seek recognition? 586 *Mr. Pallone. To strike the last word. 587 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman is recognized. 588 *Mr. Pallone. Mr. Chairman, I have strong concerns with 589 H.R. 824, which would expand accepted benefits and allow 590 employers to offer telehealth as a separate standalone policy 591 exempt from most of the Affordable Care Act's critical 592 consumer protections. This would mean that employees would 593 receive only telehealth and no other health care services 594 like hospitalization or drugs. 595 596 The ACA included a comprehensive set of measures to protect pre-existing conditions. Under the AINS offered by 597 my Republican colleagues, accepted benefits would still be 598 exempt from most of the ACA's consumer protections, including 599 600 limits on out-of-pocket costs and other key patient protections. 601 I am very concerned, as is the broader patient community 602 made up of 31 patient organizations such as the Heart 603

604 Association, the Lung Association, Leukemia and Lymphoma Society, and many more, that these changes are still 605 606 inadequate and insufficient. This bill weakens ACA coverage and consumer protections in the name of competition. 607 These plans, unfortunately, don't offer better care at a 608 lower price like true competition in the insurance market 609 does. Instead, it means expanding options that would leave 610 American families with inadequate health care coverage and at 611 risk of surprise medical bills. And that is what the Trump 612 Administration's rule on junk plans did. It allowed for 613 short-term, limited duration plans to be offered essentially 614 parallel to the ACA market, except without regulation. 615 plans provide grossly inadequate coverage. 616 Similarly, a telehealth-only accepted benefits policy 617 would be virtually unregulated, and would likely include both 618 coverage limitations and financial limitations on the 619 telehealth benefit covered. And I am concerned that 620 consumers who enroll in these products and fall sick could 621 incur huge, potentially financially ruinous medical costs. 622 I am also very concerned that this could be deceptively 623 marketed to Americans as comprehensive coverage, or that 624

625 consumers would simply be confused, particularly in marginalized communities. 626 While the AINS includes a notice requirement that 627 accepted benefits is not minimum essential coverage, I 628 believe the notice is insufficient. The Trump Administration 629 included a similar notice requirement for STLDI plans, but 630 studies show that these plans often provide consumers with 631 misleading information, and that limitations are not always 632 made clear in marketing materials, making it extremely 633 difficult for consumers to understand what they are 634 635 purchasing. And I want to emphasize that nothing in current law 636 prevents employers from offering telehealth to their 637 employees. They can and should offer telehealth as part of 638 comprehensive health insurance. I am very supportive of 639 telehealth, and I think it is an important mode of health 640 care delivery. The ability of Americans to access telehealth 641 642 services, including, in particular, behavioral health services since the COVID-19 pandemic has been a game changer. 643 But I believe telehealth should be part of an integrated, 644 comprehensive health care plan. 645

Unfortunately, telehealth-only plans don't provide that. 646 So I believe this bill would undermine the ACA, offer 647 648 inadequate protection against medical costs, and expose American families to massive medical bills. 649 I urge my colleagues to oppose the AINS and the 650 underlying bill, and with that I yield back, Mr. Chairman. 651 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back. Is anyone 652 seeking any further -- seeking recognition to speak on the 653 bill? 654 Seeing none, the chair recognizes Mr. Sarbanes. 655 656 what purpose does the gentleman from Maryland seek recognition? 657 *Mr. Sarbanes. I move to strike the last word and speak 658 in --659 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman is recognized. 660 *Mr. Sarbanes. Thanks very much, Mr. Chairman. I just 661 want to echo the concerns that were just expressed by 662 Representative Pallone. 663 I have strong concerns, as well, with this bill, the 664 Telehealth Benefits Expansion for Workers Act, which sounds 665 good on its face, the name, but really runs contrary to what 666

667 that name implies. It does not expand access to comprehensive health care coverage. Instead, it would put 668 669 workers at risk of losing their access to comprehensive 670 health, including telehealth coverage. In other words, if we want telehealth to be a strong 671 component of the services that we offer to people out there 672 -- and certainly we do -- and have coverage for it, let's 673 build that inside of comprehensive coverage that offers 674 benefits protections and consumer protections to people so 675 that telehealth can really be a robust option for people. 676 That is not what this bill does. 677 As Chairman -- as Ranking Member Pallone said, we all 678 support providing patients access to telehealth services. 679 That has been proven very, very successful for patients and 680 providers alike during and after the pandemic. We saw a real 681 movement in that direction as a result of the pandemic's 682 effects on all of us. 683 But this bill today is not the way you expand American 684 workers' access to telehealth services. In fact, our concern 685 is that it would undermine efforts to do that. The way you 686 expand access to quality, affordable, and comprehensive 687

```
health care coverage, including telehealth, is you build in a
688
     stronger structure and foundation for it with all of the
689
690
     protections that we want to make sure consumers, patients
     have out there.
691
          At the subcommittee's recent hearing I raised similar
692
     concerns that the plan supported by this legislation excepted
693
     -- that is not e-x, not a-c -- excepted benefits plans do not
694
     provide adequate coverage, and are actually a form of junk
695
     plan. We have seen these junk plans come and go, and they
696
     are really destabilizing to our insurance coverage system.
697
     That is what these would be. They would not be subject to
698
     the strong patient and consumer protections that are provided
699
     by the ACA.
700
701
          While the amendment offered by the majority today would
     make some changes to subject the plans provided under this
702
     bill to some -- some -- ACA protections, it still doesn't
703
     make the plans comprehensive by any measure at all, and it
704
     may actually, in some cases, harm individuals' abilities to
705
     access the ACA tax credits that allow them to obtain quality,
706
     affordable, comprehensive coverage in the marketplace.
707
          Why would we want to do that? Why would we want to
708
```

709 deprive some people of those very important tax credits that put comprehensive coverage within reach for them and their 710 711 families? I just want to observe in closing, just last week the 712 Biden Administration took action to crack down on certain 713 forms of junk insurance that fail to truly protect Americans 714 from the high cost of health care services when they need it 715 716 most. I applaud the President for doing that, for taking that action. I wish this committee would. Instead of 717 considering legislation to continue and expand junk plans, 718 this committee should take similar action to what the 719 President did: strengthen Americans' access to comprehensive 720 721 coverage. So for all these reasons -- and again, echoing my 722 colleague, Representative Pallone -- I urge my colleagues to 723 oppose H.R. 824. 724 And, Mr. Chairman, I yield back. 725 726 *Mr. Guthrie. Anyone -- the chair will recognize himself to move -- to strike the last word on the bill. 727 I was going to speak to the amendment, because the 728 amendment does take some -- put some changes into place that 729

730 was brought up in the hearing by the Democrat side, on the other side. 731 732 And I want to say what this bill does. It allows employers to offer telehealth benefits to their employees who 733 currently don't have any benefits. These are -- they are 734 largely part-time, they are largely -- there are seasonal 735 workers. And this group of workers are -- the architects of 736 the Affordable Care Act exempted from the employer -- or not 737 required -- the employers aren't required to offer the full 738 coverage because if they meet the standards or the 739 requirements of the Affordable Care Act, they wouldn't fit 740 this category because the employer would be offer -- required 741 742 to offer full coverage in the employer mandate. But during the COVID-19 pandemic the Trump 743 Administration allowed employers this flexibility to increase 744 access for -- to care for workers who might not have other 745 options. And as I said, I am speaking to the amendment that 746 747 is going to be offered because we did move to address. And what we moved to address, this -- the amendment that I am 748 going to offer includes important protections for patients. 749 These include protections for individuals with pre-750

751 existing conditions, ensuring that telehealth benefits are compliant with mental health parity requirements, and 752 753 additionally requiring employers to provide -- and my colleagues brought this up, a notice, and they said it is not 754 sufficient -- but the employers, when they offer this, have 755 to provide a notice to their employees that receive these 756 telehealth-only benefits that simply states these plans are 757 not major medical insurance, and then these workers may still 758 be eligible for the major medical benefits through the 759 exchange. 760 So if you look at the way the Affordable Care Act was 761 designed, it had a employer mandate, but realizing there were 762 some employees who don't work full-time and they work 763 seasonal, so the Affordable Care Act does not require the 764 employer to provide any health coverage to them. So if they 765 are exempted out, they can go to the exchanges and have 766 access to the subsidies. 767 768 And nothing in this telehealth bill -- if it is so, it certainly is not intended to. And if so, we will absolutely 769 fix it. But I don't think it is accurate to say that if they 770 receive these telehealth benefits this takes away any 771

772 qualifications they have to apply for individual health benefits on the exchange. 773 774 So we are talking about employees who do not get coverage through their employer, who are fully capable of --775 or have the eligibility to go to the exchange. What this 776 allows is additional benefits that the employer may offer for 777 them to have. It is not intended to be a substitute for 778 major medical coverage, intended to be an additional benefit 779 to major medical coverage that the employee may have access 780 781 to. So simply put, this amendment increases access to care. 782 We have taken in accounts that were brought up before by 783 insuring pre-existing conditions are covered, mental health 784 parity are covered, and that people have notice that they 785 still have access to major medical health insurance through 786 other means provided by the Affordable Care Act. 787 So it is not intended at all to undermine anything. 788 is intended to assist people who are part-time or seasonal 789 employees, most of them struggling. And that is what this is 790 intended for. And so I will -- this is the amendment that I 791 will offer when it comes. I just wanted to go ahead and 792

793 address what has been said before. Time for the amendment? I will -- anybody want my -- I 794 795 will yield back, and -- do you want time? My time, or do you want me -- your own time? 796 *Mr. Ruiz. No, I would like my own time. 797 *Mr. Guthrie. Okay. No one wanting my time, I will 798 yield back, and the chair will now -- for what purpose does 799 the gentleman from California seek recognition? 800 *Mr. Ruiz. I move to strike the last word. 801 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman is recognized. 802 803 *Mr. Ruiz. As a physician who represents a medically underserved district and have practiced in medically 804 underserved communities, I fully support the greater 805 utilization of telehealth services to relieve the gaps and 806 the barriers that many communities have in accessing health 807 care. 808 Access to health care services is critical to overcoming 809 those barriers to health care, like having to take off work 810 or find transportation to get to a doctor's appointment, or 811 living in areas where there is severe physician shortages, 812 especially specialists. That said, consumer protections 813

```
814
     still matter. Making sure that patients still have coverage
     for the actual health care services that they need still
815
816
     matter. And unfortunately, this bill does not contain
     adequate consumer protections or, in other words, enough
817
     health care benefits that would be covered.
818
          This bill would allow employers to offer workers
819
     standalone, telehealth-only insurance plans that are exempt
820
     from many of the key consumer protections -- in other words,
821
     health care benefits -- required under the ACA-compliant
822
             They don't have to cover essential health benefits
823
     like hospitalization, emergency services, or prescription
824
     drugs, and they don't have to limit out-of-pocket costs. Yet
825
     employees who get these plans might not realize that they are
826
827
     buying junk plans.
          Junk plans, you know, you pay for a plan, you don't get
828
     the services that you think you are going to get.
829
     doesn't offer comprehensive coverage. They might not realize
830
831
     it until it is too late and they get a massive surprise
     medical bill. I said it: surprise medical bill.
832
          You see, I say that and I emphasize that because we
833
     ended surprise medical bills last Congress. You remember
834
```

```
835
     that? We ended it last Congress. This bill will create a
     whole new set of surprise medical bills that we would have to
836
837
     address, so -- for a service that they didn't realize was not
     covered.
838
          So these telehealth-only plans were created in the
839
     public health emergency, when going to the doctor for many
840
     people with pre-existing conditions could have been very
841
842
     dangerous during a pandemic. They cannot be a substitute for
     good medical coverage, comprehensive plans. And 31 patient
843
     and consumer advocacy organizations share these same
844
     concerns, as outlined in this letter they sent to the chair
845
     and ranking member of the full committee yesterday.
846
          And I ask unanimous consent to insert this letter into
847
     the record.
848
          *Mr. Guthrie. Without objection.
849
          [The information follows:]
850
851
     ***********************************
852
853
```

854 *Mr. Ruiz. And as my constituents continue to face many barriers to care, I will continue to fight to get quality 855 856 health coverage, regardless of where they live or how much money they make, including integration of telehealth into 857 comprehensive health coverage. 858 So I urge my colleagues to vote no on this bill, and 859 instead work towards expanding comprehensive, affordable care 860 to our most vulnerable populations and all of Americans in 861 our nations. 862 863 With that, I yield back. *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back. Is anyone 864 seeking recognition for discussion on the bill? 865 We are going to do the amendment. Are there any 866 bipartisan amendments to the bill? 867 Seeing none, are there any other amendments? 868 I have an -- Mr. Chair -- well, I am in the chair. I 869 will recognize myself for -- I have an amendment at the desk. 870 871 I will ask the clerk to report. *The Clerk. Amendment in the nature of a substitute to 872 H.R. 824, offered by Mr. Guthrie. 873 Strike all after the enacting clause --874

883 *Mr. Guthrie. And I am recognized for five minutes in support of the amendment. 884 885 So I appreciate what everyone has said here, and we want people to have access to full coverage. And there is no 886 intent -- and this bill does not undermine, it does not offer 887 this as an alternative to comprehensive care. 888 If you look at the Affordable Care Act, seasonal 889 employees and part-time employees were not -- employers were 890 not mandated to provide this coverage. So the criticism of 891 people in this group not getting coverage from their employer 892 would be more towards the Affordable Care Act than what is 893 happening here, because people in this category either don't 894 have coverage or they go to the exchange or other 895 opportunities. This is an additional benefit on top of 896 comprehensive coverage not provided by the employer, but what 897 this employee has access to. 898 And so I just want to say if you say that they are 899 900 getting surprise billing, they are getting other things because they don't have access to coverage through their 901 employer, the Affordable Care Act exempted this group of 902 people. This is what it is targeted for. 903

904 And so what this amendment does -- specifically, the bill -- we want to clarify that it has to cover pre-existing 905 906 conditions, any telehealth has to -- any pre-existing conditions, any telehealth provided by the employer as an 907 additional benefit has to have mental health parity, and that 908 the -- and the -- brought forward. What if people think this 909 is comprehensive coverage? That the employer has to let 910 their employee know this is not comprehensive coverage. They 911 are not mandated by law to provide them comprehensive 912 coverage. That is not in the notice. But this is not 913 comprehensive coverage. They have access to comprehensive 914 coverage through the exchanges. 915 And having these benefits, I think, has been 916 mischaracterized and said this can risk your eligibility on 917 the exchanges because there is nothing in the underlying bill 918 -- if someone can say, well, if you read the words a certain 919 sideways or a different way and you apply this like some of 920 921 the think tanks do that come here and try to go after our legislation that we are trying to move forward, then we will 922 look at that language and fix it when it comes before the 923 full committee. Because the intention is not to supplant, 924

925 nor does the bill -- it is not even the intention. The bill does not supplant comprehensive coverage. It 926 927 gives additional coverage to employees who are not authorized legally -- employers can offer their employees who are not 928 legally required under the Affordable Care Act to provide 929 comprehensive health insurance for employees. The amendment 930 does address some of the concerns moving forward. I know we 931 932 addressed it in the bill and moving forward. Anyone want any amount of time? 933 I will yield back, and I will -- the chair will 934 recognize the gentlelady from California -- let me say that 935 again. For what purpose does the gentlelady from California 936 seek recognition? 937 *Ms. Eshoo. I move to strike the last word, Mr. 938 Chairman. 939 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady is recognized. 940 *Ms. Eshoo. Thank you. Telehealth, I think, is one of 941 the -- really, the silver linings of the pandemic. It made 942 it easier, faster, safer for people across the country to 943 meet with their doctors, and I am very proud, and I think 944 every single member of the Energy and Commerce Committee is 945

946 proud that we were all champions on the issue of telehealth. I consider myself one of those champions, and worked hard to 947 948 pass laws to continue Medicare coverage for telehealth 949 services. So this has -- I know, pre-COVID at Stanford Hospital in 950 the heart of my congressional district, they had about nine 951 percent participation in terms of telehealth services. And 952 when COVID hit, it kept moving up, went up to over 90 953 percent, 90 percent. And people were polled to see what they 954 thought of it. And those that were the most hesitant, they 955 became champions of telehealth, as well. 956 So -- but I don't think this is about telehealth. 957 is an insurance policy. Anyone that thinks that the American 958 people have a deep affection and regard for insurance 959 companies in this country really needs to revisit that 960 notion. It simply is not the case. And if a new insurance 961 -- form of insurance is going to be offered to people, 962 whether they are part-time, full-time, they deserve consumer 963 protections. 964 This would allow these insurance policies and the 965 standalone, telehealth-only plans not to have the consumer 966

```
967
     protections that everyone deserves, that everyone deserves.
     And out-of-pocket costs? Every single member here has heard
968
969
     from their constituents. It is a drumbeat across the country
     in terms of their complaints about out-of-pocket costs. So
970
     if there is no protection, what do you say to people? Well,
971
     we just allowed that? It is telehealth. You know what?
972
     That won't be a source of comfort to them.
973
974
          And there is an increasing level of insurance companies
     relative to rescissions. And we have to pay very, very close
975
     attention to that. So this is going to put patients at risk
976
     for high out-of-pocket costs. Can anyone guarantee here that
977
     there won't be any high out-of-pocket costs? No one is
978
     addressing that here in this legislation. And I think that
979
     is why blue chip patient organizations like the Lung
980
     Association, the American Cancer Society, and the Heart
981
     Association opposed the bill.
982
          So we are all champions of telehealth, and bravo to all
983
984
     of us for the work that we did on that. I think it would be
     wonderful to have telehealth services, but I think what has
985
     to accompany any kind of new insurance relative to health
986
     care needs to have consumer -- the American people deserve
987
```

988 consumer protection, and that is where this really falls 989 short. 990 And with that, Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time. 991 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady yields back. Any persons 992 -- any other person seeking recognition on the amendment? 993 Seeing none, if there is no further discussion, the vote 994 occurs on the amendment. 995 All those in favor shall signify by saying aye. 996 All the -- you want a roll call on this amendment or the 997 full bill? 998 *Mr. Pallone. I am sorry, just the bill. 999 *Mr. Guthrie. The bill? Okay. 1000 1001 So we will do the amendment, and then the -- all those say -- all those opposed, say nay. 1002 In the opinion of the chair, the ayes have it. 1003 Are there any further amendments offered? 1004 1005 Seeing none, the question now occurs on forwarding H.R. 824, as amended, to the full committee. A roll call has been 1006 requested. All those in favor will vote by saying aye; all 1007 those opposed will vote by saying no; and the clerk will call 1008

```
1009
     the roll.
            *The Clerk. Guthrie? Chair Guthrie?
1010
1011
           *Mr. Guthrie. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Chair Guthrie votes aye.
1012
1013
           Burgess?
1014
           *Mr. Burgess. Votes aye.
1015
           *The Clerk. Burgess votes aye.
           Latta?
1016
           [No response.]
1017
           *The Clerk. Griffith?
1018
1019
           *Mr. Griffith. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Griffith votes aye.
1020
           Bilirakis?
1021
1022
           *Mr. Bilirakis. Aye.
1023
           *The Clerk. Bilirakis votes aye.
           Johnson?
1024
1025
           *Mr. Johnson. Aye.
1026
           *The Clerk. Johnson votes aye.
           Bucshon?
1027
           *Mr. Bucshon. Aye.
1028
1029
           *The Clerk. Bucshon votes aye.
```

```
1030
           Hudson?
           *Mr. Hudson. Aye.
1031
1032
           *The Clerk. Hudson votes aye.
           Carter?
1033
1034
           [No response.]
           *The Clerk. Dunn?
1035
1036
           *Mr. Dunn. Aye.
1037
           *The Clerk. Dunn votes aye.
           Pence?
1038
1039
           *Mr. Pence. Aye.
1040
           *The Clerk. Pence votes aye.
           Crenshaw?
1041
           [No response.]
1042
           *The Clerk. Joyce?
1043
           *Mr. Joyce. Aye.
1044
            *The Clerk. Joyce votes aye.
1045
           Harshbarger?
1046
1047
           *Mrs. Harshbarger. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Harshbarger votes aye.
1048
           Miller-Meeks?
1049
           *Mrs. Miller-Meeks. Aye.
1050
```

```
1051
           *The Clerk. Miller-Meeks votes aye.
           Obernolte?
1052
1053
           *Mr. Obernolte. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Obernolte votes aye.
1054
           Eshoo, Ms. Eshoo?
1055
           *Ms. Eshoo.
1056
           *The Clerk. Ms. Eshoo votes no.
1057
1058
           Sarbanes?
           *Mr. Sarbanes. No.
1059
           *The Clerk. Sarbanes votes no.
1060
           Cardenas?
1061
           *Mr. Cardenas. No.
1062
           *The Clerk. Cardenas votes no.
1063
1064
           Ruiz?
           *Mr. Ruiz. No.
1065
           *The Clerk. Ruiz votes no.
1066
           Dingell?
1067
1068
           [No response.]
           *The Clerk. Kuster?
1069
           *Ms. Kuster. Kuster votes no.
1070
           *The Clerk. Kuster votes no.
1071
```

```
1072
           Kelly?
           *Mrs. Dingell. Votes no.
1073
1074
           *The Clerk. Dingell votes no.
           Kelly?
1075
            *Ms. Kelly. Kelly votes no.
1076
           *The Clerk. Kelly votes no.
1077
1078
           Barragan?
           *Ms. Barragan. No.
1079
           *The Clerk. Barragan votes no.
1080
           Blunt Rochester?
1081
1082
           [No response.]
           *The Clerk. Craig?
1083
           *Ms. Craig. Yes.
1084
           *The Clerk. Craig votes aye.
1085
           Schrier?
1086
           *Ms. Schrier. No.
1087
           *The Clerk. Schrier votes no.
1088
1089
           Pallone?
           Excuse me. Mrs. Trahan?
1090
           *Mrs. Trahan. No.
1091
           *The Clerk. Trahan votes no.
1092
```

```
1093
           Pallone?
           *Mr. Pallone. No.
1094
1095
           *The Clerk. Pallone votes no.
           Chair Rodgers?
1096
           *The Chair. Aye.
1097
           *The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes aye.
1098
           *Mr. Guthrie. Any members not recorded?
1099
           *Mr. Latta. Mr. Chairman?
1100
           *Mr. Guthrie. How is Mr. Latta recorded?
1101
           *The Clerk. Mr. Latta is not recorded.
1102
           *Mr. Latta. Aye.
1103
           *The Clerk. Mr. Latta votes aye.
1104
           *Mr. Guthrie. Any member not recorded?
1105
1106
           Seeing none, the clerk will report.
1107
           [Pause.]
           *The Clerk. Chair Guthrie, on that vote we had 16 ayes
1108
      and 11 noes.
1109
            *Mr. Guthrie. With 16 ayes and 11 nays, the amendment
1110
      is agreed to -- or the bill, I am sorry, we are on the bill.
1111
      The bill is agreed to.
1112
           The chair calls up H.R. 3226, and asks the clerk to
1113
```

```
1114
      report.
           *The Clerk. H.R. 3226, a bill to reauthorize the
1115
1116
      Prematurity Research, Expansion, and Education for Mothers
      who Deliver Infants Early Act.
1117
           *Mr. Guthrie. Without objection, the first reading of
1118
      the bill is dispensed with, and the bill will be open for
1119
      amendment at any point.
1120
1121
           So ordered.
1122
           [The bill follows:]
1123
      **********************************
1124
1125
```

1126 *Mr. Guthrie. Does anyone seek to be recognized on the 1127 bill? 1128 For what purpose does the gentlelady from Iowa seek recognition? 1129 *Mrs. Miller-Meeks. I move to strike the last word 1130 1131 and --*Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady is recognized. 1132 *Mrs. Miller-Meeks. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment 1133 at the desk. 1134 *Mr. Guthrie. You will speak on the bill, or you are 1135 1136 going to offer an amendment? *Mrs. Miller-Meeks. Offer an amendment. 1137 *Mr. Guthrie. Offer an amendment? We will offer 1138 amendments just -- anybody want to speak on the bill? 1139 The gentlelady from California is recognized. 1140 *Ms. Eshoo. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 1141 1142 Colleagues, we are going to take up many contentious issues today, but there is one I think we can all really 1143 agree on: every baby deserves a healthy start, and swiftly 1144 reauthorizing the PREEMIE Act will help us toward that goal. 1145 Every day, 1 in 10 infants are born premature in our 1146

country, putting them and their mothers at an increased risk 1147 of complicated health problems. America's prematurity rate 1148 1149 is one of the highest in the world, and its leading cause of newborn deaths [sic]. Even babies born just a few weeks too 1150 1151 soon can face serious health challenges. In 2005 I introduced the original PREEMIE Act with 1152 former Chairman Fred Upton, which was the first and remains 1153 the only law to focus solely on the prevention of pre-term 1154 births. And I especially appreciate the comments that Dr. 1155 Burgess made in his opening statement, comparing the time 1156 1157 that -- it was your daughter, Dr. Burgess -- the birth of his daughter, and where we are today. 1158 Since it was first passed, the PREEMIE Act has helped 1159 researchers and doctors identify the causes of premature 1160 1161 births, and work to prevent them. This year's reauthorization will improve future policy by studying what 1162 could have led to the recent surge in pre-term deaths -- I 1163 mean births -- and how we can address this. We saw a 1164 significant 4 percent increase in pre-term births in 2021, 1165 the highest recorded rate since 2007. 1166

The PREEMIE Act will help prevent newborn death and

1167

1168 disability, expand research into the causes of pre-term birth, and promote the development, availability, and use of 1169 1170 evidence-based standards of care for pregnant women. I am proud to have worked on this legislation, to have 1171 given birth to it legislatively in 2005 with our former 1172 chairman, Fred Upton, and, you know, proud of -- that it is 1173 on -- in our markup today. And I think this is something 1174 that every single member can vote for with pride. 1175 With that, I yield back, Mr. Chairman. 1176 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady yields back. Does anybody 1177 seek recognition on the bill? 1178 The gentlelady from Illinois is recognized to strike the 1179 last word. 1180 *Ms. Kelly. I would like to strike the last word. Pre-1181 term births have continued to increase at startling rates 1182 across the country, impacting 383,000 babies each year. 1183 Premature babies tend to have more health problems or need to 1184 stay in the hospital longer than babies born on time. 1185 of these babies also face long-term health effects like 1186 problems that affect the brain, lungs, hearing, or vision. 1187 Due to the lack of any cures for pregnancy 1188

1189 complications, all practitioners and parents can do is deliver and try their best to care for babies born pre-term. 1190 1191 Furthermore, each year about 21,000 babies are stillborn, and significant disparities are seen within Black, 1192 American Indian, or Alaskan native and native Hawaiian and 1193 Pacific Islander populations compared to White women. 1194 cannot sit complacently. We must act to better understand 1195 the cause of pre-term birth, which is causing this shocking 1196 increase and what we can do to prevent pre-term birth to 1197 allow our moms and babies the best start at life possible. 1198 1199 These inequities in the maternity care system have a real-world impact, where maternity and newborn care account 1200 for a large category of hospital payouts for most commercial 1201 insurers and state Medicaid programs. With Medicaid as a 1202 primary payer for 42 percent of births in the United States, 1203 the Federal Government has a major responsibility for 1204 ensuring the quality and value of maternal newborn care. 1205 1206 That is why I am honored to co-lead the PREEMIE Act with my colleagues, Chairwoman Eshoo, Reps. Burgess, Blunt Rochester, 1207 Kiggins, and Miller-Meeks. 1208 The PREEMIE Act will help reduce pre-term birth, prevent 1209

newborn death and disabilities caused by pre-term birth, 1210 expand research into the causes of pre-term birth, and 1211 1212 promote the development, availability, and uses of evidencebased standards of care for pregnant women. I encourage my 1213 colleagues to support this bill. 1214 Thank you, and I yield back. 1215 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady yields back. Is anyone 1216 seeking recognition on the bill? 1217 Seeing none on the bill, are there any bipartisan 1218 amendments to the bill? 1219 1220 Are there any other amendments? The gentlelady from Iowa is recognized. 1221 *Mrs. Miller-Meeks. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 1222 like to speak in favor of my amendment to H.R. 3226, which 1223 makes technical fixes to the bipartisan, bicameral --1224 *Mr. Guthrie. We need the clerk to report the 1225 amendment, and then we will -- thanks. 1226 1227 *The Clerk. Amendment to H.R. 3226, offered by Mrs. Miller-Meeks of Iowa. 1228 *Mr. Guthrie. Without objection, the reading of the 1229 amendment is dispensed with. 1230

1231	[The amendment	of Mrs.	Miller-Meeks	follows:]
1232				
1233	*********COMMITTEE	INSERT*	*****	
1234				

1235 *Mr. Guthrie. And the gentlelady from Iowa is recognized for five minutes in support of the amendment. 1236 1237 *Mrs. Miller-Meeks. I am proud to lead this legislation with my friends and colleagues, Representatives Eshoo, 1238 Burgess, Kiggins, Blunt Rochester, and Kelly. 1239 As a mother and a physician, I understand the harmful 1240 health implications of pre-term birth, and recognize the 1241 importance of public health programs like PREEMIE, which seek 1242 to address the root causes. In fact, as a director of the 1243 Iowa Department of Public Health, I helped to promote and 1244 1245 advance these programs. In 2021 Iowa mothers gave birth to almost 3,700 pre-term 1246 babies, which represented 10 percent of all births in the 1247 state that year. Not only do pre-term births pose great 1248 health risk to the mother and the baby, but they are also 1249 very costly to our health care system. Over 28 percent of 1250 infant deaths are pre-term-related, and the average cost 1251 1252 associated with pre-term births in Iowa is 58,000. This legislation will provide crucial funding to address 1253 and reduce this public health epidemic, and I urge my 1254 colleagues to support my amendment and the underlying bill. 1255

```
1256
           I yield back.
           *Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady yields back. Is there
1257
1258
      further discussion on the amendment?
           Seeing none, all those in favor will vote -- now the
1259
      chair will now vote the amendment. The vote occurs on the
1260
      amendment.
1261
           All those in favor shall signify by saying aye.
1262
           All opposed, nay.
1263
           The ayes have it, and the amendment is agreed to.
1264
           Are there any further amendments?
1265
1266
           Seeing none, the question now occurs on forwarding H.R.
      3226, as amended, to the full committee. There will be a
1267
      roll call vote. All those in favor will designate by saying
1268
      aye; those opposed will say no; the clerk will call the roll.
1269
           *The Clerk. Chair Guthrie?
1270
           *Mr. Guthrie. Aye.
1271
           *The Clerk. Chair Guthrie votes aye.
1272
1273
           Burgess?
           *Mr. Burgess. Burgess votes aye.
1274
           *The Clerk. Burgess votes aye.
1275
           Latta?
1276
```

```
1277
          *Mr. Latta. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Latta votes aye.
1278
1279
           Griffith?
           *Mr. Griffith. Aye.
1280
           *The Clerk. Griffith votes aye.
1281
           Bilirakis?
1282
1283
           *Mr. Bilirakis. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Bilirakis votes aye.
1284
           Johnson?
1285
1286
           *Mr. Johnson. Aye.
1287
           *The Clerk. Johnson votes aye.
           Bucshon?
1288
           *Mr. Bucshon. Aye.
1289
           *The Clerk. Bucshon votes aye.
1290
           Hudson?
1291
           *Mr. Hudson. Aye.
1292
1293
           *The Clerk. Hudson votes aye.
1294
           Carter?
          [No response.]
1295
           *The Clerk. Dunn?
1296
           *Mr. Dunn. Aye.
1297
```

```
1298
           *The Clerk. Dunn votes aye.
           Pence?
1299
1300
           *Mr. Pence. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Pence votes aye.
1301
           Crenshaw?
1302
1303
           [No response.]
           *The Clerk. Joyce?
1304
           *Mr. Joyce. Aye.
1305
           *The Clerk. Joyce votes aye.
1306
           Harshbarger?
1307
1308
           *Mrs. Harshbarger. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Harshbarger votes aye.
1309
           Miller-Meeks?
1310
           *Mrs. Miller-Meeks. Aye.
1311
1312
           *The Clerk. Miller-Meeks votes aye.
           Obernolte?
1313
1314
           *Mr. Obernolte. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Obernolte votes aye.
1315
           Eshoo, Ms. Eshoo?
1316
           *Ms. Eshoo. Aye.
1317
           *The Clerk. Ms. Eshoo votes aye.
1318
```

```
1319
           Sarbanes?
           *Mr. Sarbanes. Aye.
1320
           *The Clerk. Sarbanes votes aye.
1321
           Cardenas?
1322
1323
           [No response.]
           *The Clerk. Ruiz?
1324
           *Mr. Ruiz. Aye.
1325
1326
           *The Clerk. Ruiz votes aye.
           Dingell?
1327
           *Mrs. Dingell. Aye.
1328
           *The Clerk. Dingell votes aye.
1329
           Kuster?
1330
           *Ms. Kuster. Aye.
1331
           *The Clerk. Kuster votes aye.
1332
1333
           Kelly?
            *Ms. Kelly. Aye.
1334
            *The Clerk. Kelly votes aye.
1335
1336
           Barragan?
            *Ms. Barragan. Aye.
1337
            *The Clerk. Barragan votes aye.
1338
           Blunt Rochester?
1339
```

```
1340
           [No response.]
           *The Clerk. Craig?
1341
1342
           *Ms. Craiq.
                        Yes.
           *The Clerk. Craig votes aye.
1343
           Schrier?
1344
           *Ms. Schrier. Aye.
1345
1346
           *The Clerk. Schrier votes aye.
1347
           Trahan?
           *Mrs. Trahan. Aye.
1348
           *The Clerk. Trahan votes aye.
1349
           Pallone?
1350
           [No response.]
1351
           *The Clerk. Chair Rodgers?
1352
1353
           *The Chair. Aye, Rodgers votes aye.
           *The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes aye.
1354
           *Mr. Guthrie. How is the gentleman from New Jersey
1355
      recorded?
1356
1357
           *The Clerk. Mr. Pallone is not recorded.
           *Mr. Pallone. Votes aye.
1358
           *The Clerk. Pallone votes aye.
1359
           *Mr. Guthrie. Anyone else seeking to answer the roll?
1360
```

```
1361
           Seeing none, the clerk will report.
           *The Clerk. Chair Guthrie, on that vote we had 26 yeas
1362
1363
      and 0 noes.
           *Mr. Guthrie. With 26 ayes and 0 noes, the ayes have it
1364
      and the bill is agreed to.
1365
           The chair calls up H.R. 3838, and asks the clerk to
1366
1367
      report.
           *The Clerk. H.R. 3838, a bill to amend title 3 of the
1368
      Public Health Service Act to reauthorize Federal support of
1369
      states in their work to save and sustain the health of
1370
1371
      mothers --
           *Mr. Guthrie. Without objection, the first reading of
1372
      the bill is dispensed with, and the bill will be open for
1373
      amendment at any point.
1374
           So ordered.
1375
           [The bill follows:]
1376
1377
      **********************************
1378
1379
```

```
1380
           *Mr. Guthrie. Does anyone seek to be recognized on the
      bill?
1381
1382
           Are there bipartisan amendments to the bill?
           Are there other amendments to the bill?
1383
           For what purpose does the gentleman from Texas seek
1384
      recognition?
1385
           *Mr. Burgess. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, I have an
1386
1387
      amendment at the desk.
           *Mr. Guthrie. The clerk will report.
1388
           *The Clerk. Amendment in the nature of a substitute to
1389
      H.R. 3838, offered by Mr. Burgess of Texas.
1390
           *Mr. Guthrie. Without objection, the reading of the
1391
      amendment is dispensed with.
1392
           [The amendment of Mr. Burgess follows:]
1393
1394
     **********************************
1395
1396
```

1397 *Mr. Guthrie. And the gentleman from Texas is recognized for five minutes in support of the amendment. 1398 1399 *Mr. Burgess. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. It was 2018 this committee actually did pass Jaime 1400 Herrera Beutler's bill that was signed into law by President 1401 Trump in that year. So it is now necessary to reauthorize, 1402 and this bill is a reauthorization. The amendment in the 1403 nature of a substitute increases the authorization amount to 1404 \$108 million for each of fiscal years 2024 through 2028. 1405 The maternal mortality rate in the United States rose 1406 1407 yet again in 2021 to over 32 deaths per 100,000 live births, compared to a rate of 23 in 2020. It is reported in the 1408 literature that 80 percent of pregnancy-related deaths are 1409 preventable, but we all know one death is too many. 1410 The Preventing Maternal Deaths Reauthorization Act of 1411 2023 reauthorizes the assistance to states for Maternal 1412 Mortality Review Committees to better understand the burden 1413 of maternal complications and mortality through research, 1414 education, best practices, and prevention efforts. 1415 these state Maternal Mortality Review Committees, this body 1416 was able to discover that 53 percent of pregnancy-related 1417

deaths happen between 7 days and 1 year, leading to a 1418 critical extension of postpartum coverage for Medicaid 1419 1420 beneficiaries. This amendment increases the authorization amount to be 1421 consistent with the amount provided in fiscal year 2023 for 1422 CDC Safe Motherhood and Infant Health programs. 1423 So this amendment was a result of technical assistance 1424 from the agencies, and I urge members to support the 1425 amendment in the nature of a substitute, support the 1426 1427 underlying bill on the subsequent vote, and I will yield 1428 back. *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back. Is there any 1429 other discussion on the amendment? 1430 For what purpose does the gentlelady from Illinois seek 1431 1432 recognition? *Ms. Kelly. [Inaudible.] 1433 The gentlelady is recognized. 1434 *Mr. Guthrie. *Ms. Kelly. One of the most glaring inequities in our 1435 country is the disparities in maternal health outcomes. 1436 Frustratingly, the number of women dying of maternal health 1437 causes continue to rise. Over 1,000 women died in 2021 due 1438

1439 to maternal complications, compared to 861 in 2020 and 754 women in 2019. 1440 1441 What is even worse is that the color of your skin determines whether or not you are more likely to become a 1442 maternal mortality statistic. Black women are nearly three 1443 times more likely to die from pregnancy-related causes as 1444 non-Hispanic White women, indigenous women, and more than 1445 twice as likely to die from pregnancy-related causes as 1446 non-Hispanic White women. This is unacceptable. 1447 We are all aware of the problem, but I am glad that we 1448 1449 are here to discuss a promising solution for addressing the maternal health mortality crisis. The Preventing Maternal 1450 Deaths Act would strengthen and expand Federal support for 1451 Maternal Mortality Review Committees, or MMRCs. 1452 fashioned MMRCs are tasked with identifying maternal deaths, 1453 analyzing the factors that contributed to those deaths, and 1454 translating the lessons into policy. 1455 I would like to thank my colleague, Rep. Burgess, for 1456 including a piece of my MOMMA's bill in the Preventing 1457 Maternal Death Act, and I will continue to lock arms with 1458 anyone who wants to make this country the safest place to 1459

give birth. 1460 I encourage my colleagues to support this bill. Thank 1461 1462 you, and I yield back. *Mr. Guthrie. Thank you. The gentlelady yields back. 1463 Anyone seeking recognition? 1464 The gentleman from -- for what purpose does the 1465 gentleman from Georgia seek recognition? 1466 1467 *Mr. Carter. Mr. Chairman, I move to strike the last word. 1468 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman is recognized. 1469 1470 *Mr. Carter. Mr. Chairman, I am pleased to see the Preventing Maternal Deaths Act, which I am co-leading with 1471 Dr. Burgess, is included in this markup. 1472 Our nation's maternal mortality crisis, which severely 1473 impacts Georgians, which baffles me, is alarming and trending 1474 in the wrong direction. In 2021 the maternal mortality rate 1475 increased in the U.S. to almost 33 deaths per 100,000 live 1476 1477 births compared to a rate of 20 in 2019. For women of color and people in living in rural communities, those numbers are 1478 much higher. In Georgia, maternal death rates have doubled, 1479 doubled since 1999. This is despicable. It is unacceptable, 1480

1481 and we have to do something about it. The U.S. is trending in the wrong direction, and we need 1482 1483 to understand why moms are dying despite us spending \$4.2 trillion on health care every year. As a father, a 1484 grandfather, and a health care professional, I believe that 1485 we can and we must do better. It is time for this to become 1486 a national priority, which is why I am proud to be co-leading 1487 the Preventing Maternal Deaths Act with Dr. Burgess. 1488 This legislation would ensure continued support for the 1489 critically important work that the State Maternal Mortality 1490 Review Committees have in addressing the maternal mortality 1491 crisis. State Maternal Mortality Review Committees are 1492 crucial to collecting data so that each state can form a plan 1493 to address maternal health issues impacting their community. 1494 This bipartisan bill is an important step towards ending the 1495 maternal mortality crisis in the U.S. 1496 We value women. We value life. And this bipartisan 1497 bill prioritizes both. I would like to thank Dr. Burgess for 1498 working with me on this important issue, and I would like to 1499 thank -- and I would like to ask all my colleagues on this 1500 committee to support this legislation. 1501

```
1502
           Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I will yield back.
           *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back. Are there any
1503
1504
      further discussion on the amendment?
           Seeing none, so if there is no further discussion on the
1505
      amendment, the vote occurs on the amendment.
1506
           All those in favor shall signify by saying aye.
1507
1508
           All opposed, nay.
           The ayes have it, and the amendment is agreed to.
1509
           The question now occurs on forwarding H.R. 3838, as
1510
      amended, to the full committee, and the clerk shall call the
1511
1512
      roll.
           *The Clerk. Chair Guthrie?
1513
           *Mr. Guthrie. Aye.
1514
1515
           *The Clerk. Chair Guthrie votes aye.
1516
           Burgess?
           *Mr. Burgess. Burgess votes aye.
1517
           *The Clerk. Burgess votes aye.
1518
1519
           Latta?
           *Mr. Latta. Aye.
1520
           *The Clerk. Latta votes aye.
1521
           Griffith?
1522
```

```
1523
          [No response.]
           *The Clerk. Bilirakis?
1524
1525
           *Mr. Bilirakis. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Bilirakis votes aye.
1526
1527
           Johnson?
           *Mr. Johnson. Aye.
1528
1529
           *The Clerk. Johnson votes aye.
           Bucshon?
1530
           *Mr. Bucshon. Aye.
1531
           *The Clerk. Bucshon votes aye.
1532
           Hudson?
1533
           *Mr. Hudson. Aye.
1534
           *The Clerk. Hudson votes aye.
1535
1536
           Carter?
1537
           *Mr. Carter. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Carter votes aye.
1538
           Dunn?
1539
1540
           *Mr. Dunn. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Dunn votes aye.
1541
           Pence?
1542
           *Mr. Pence. Aye.
1543
```

```
1544
           *The Clerk. Pence votes aye.
           Crenshaw?
1545
1546
           [No response.]
           *The Clerk. Joyce?
1547
1548
           *Mr. Joyce. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Joyce votes aye.
1549
1550
           Harshbarger?
           *Mrs. Harshbarger. Aye.
1551
           *The Clerk. Harshbarger votes aye.
1552
           Miller-Meeks?
1553
1554
           *Mrs. Miller-Meeks. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Miller-Meeks votes aye.
1555
           Obernolte?
1556
           *Mr. Obernolte. Aye.
1557
           *The Clerk. Obernolte votes aye.
1558
           Eshoo?
1559
1560
           *Ms. Eshoo. Aye.
1561
           *The Clerk. Eshoo votes aye.
           Sarbanes?
1562
           *Mr. Sarbanes. Aye.
1563
           *The Clerk. Sarbanes votes aye.
1564
```

```
1565
           Cardenas?
           *Mr. Cardenas. Aye.
1566
1567
           *The Clerk. Cardenas votes aye.
           Ruiz?
1568
           *Mr. Ruiz. Aye.
1569
           *The Clerk. Ruiz votes aye.
1570
           Dingell?
1571
           *Mrs. Dingell. Aye.
1572
           *The Clerk. Dingell votes aye.
1573
           Kuster?
1574
1575
           *Ms. Kuster. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Kuster votes aye.
1576
           Kelly?
1577
           *Ms. Kelly. Aye.
1578
           *The Clerk. Kelly votes aye.
1579
           Barragan?
1580
           *Ms. Barragan. Aye.
1581
1582
           *The Clerk. Barragan votes aye.
           Blunt Rochester?
1583
           [No response.]
1584
           *The Clerk. Craig?
1585
```

```
1586
           *Ms. Craiq. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Craig votes aye.
1587
1588
           Schrier?
           *Ms. Schrier. Aye.
1589
           *The Clerk. Schrier votes aye.
1590
           Trahan?
1591
1592
           *Mrs. Trahan. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Trahan votes aye.
1593
           Pallone?
1594
1595
           *Mr. Pallone. Aye.
1596
           *The Clerk. Pallone votes aye.
           Chair Rodgers?
1597
           *The Chair. Aye.
1598
1599
           *The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes aye.
           *Mr. Griffith. Griffith votes aye.
1600
           *The Clerk. Mr. Griffith votes aye.
1601
           *Mr. Bucshon. [Presiding] Any other members wish to
1602
      have their vote recorded?
1603
           Seeing none, the clerk will call the roll.
1604
           [Pause.]
1605
           *The Clerk. Chair, on that vote we have 28 yeas and 0
1606
```

```
1607
      nays.
           *Mr. Bucshon. The ayes have it, and the bill is agreed
1608
1609
      to.
           The chair calls up H.R. 3843, and asks the clerk to
1610
1611
      report.
           *The Clerk. H.R. 3843, to amend title 3 of the Public
1612
      Health --
1613
1614
           *Mr. Bucshon. Without objection, the first reading of
      the bill is dispensed with, and the bill will be open for
1615
      amendment at any time.
1616
           So ordered.
1617
          [The bill follows:]
1618
1619
      *********************************
1620
1621
```

*Mr. Bucshon. Does anyone seek recognition on the bill? 1622 For what purpose does the gentlelady from Illinois seek 1623 1624 recognition? *Ms. Kelly. I would like to strike the last word. 1625 *Mr. Bucshon. The gentlelady is recognized for five 1626 minutes to strike the last word. 1627 *Ms. Kelly. Thank you, Chair Guthrie and Ranking Member 1628 Eshoo, for holding today's markup and including H.R. 3843, 1629 the Action for Dental Health Act that I am proud to co-lead 1630 1631 with Rep. Mike Simpson. Oral health is a critical part of our overall health, 1632 and preventative dental care can reduce costs for families 1633 and help so many people live better lives. Oral disease is a 1634 common risk factor with chronic diseases such as 1635 cardiovascular diseases, cancer, diabetes, and respiratory 1636 diseases. Additionally, oral health affects our ability to 1637 eat, speak, smile, and show emotions. Oral health also 1638 affects a person's self-esteem, school performance, and 1639 attendance at work or school. 1640 Regular preventive dental care is essential for good 1641 oral health, so one can find problems earlier when they are 1642

```
1643
      easier to treat. Unfortunately, many don't get the care they
      need. More people are unable to afford dental care than any
1644
1645
      other types of health care. Children, low-income Americans,
      minorities, and the elderly are especially at risk for having
1646
      limited dental care and poorer oral health outcomes.
1647
           We must address the barriers to oral health care
1648
      services. The more we can address early diagnosis,
1649
      intervention, and preventative dental treatments, the better
1650
      off our patients and our health care system will be.
1651
           I encourage my colleagues to support this bill.
1652
      you, and I yield back.
1653
           *Mr. Bucshon. The gentlelady yields back. Does anyone
1654
      else seek recognition?
1655
           Seeing none, are there any bipartisan amendments to the
1656
      bill?
1657
           Are there any amendments, other amendments to the bill?
1658
           Seeing none, the question now occurs on forwarding H.R.
1659
      3843 to the full committee. The clerk will call the roll.
1660
           *The Clerk. Chair Guthrie?
1661
           [No response.]
1662
           *The Clerk. Burgess?
1663
```

```
1664
           *Mr. Burgess. Burgess votes aye.
           *The Clerk. Burgess votes aye.
1665
1666
           Latta?
           *Mr. Latta.
1667
                       Aye.
           *The Clerk. Latta votes aye.
1668
           Griffith?
1669
1670
          [No response.]
           *The Clerk. Bilirakis?
1671
           *Mr. Bilirakis. Aye.
1672
           *The Clerk. Bilirakis votes aye.
1673
           Johnson?
1674
           *Mr. Johnson. Aye.
1675
           *The Clerk. Johnson votes aye.
1676
1677
           Bucshon?
1678
           *Mr. Bucshon. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Bucshon votes aye.
1679
           Hudson?
1680
1681
           *Mr. Hudson. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Hudson votes aye.
1682
           Carter?
1683
           *Mr. Carter. Aye.
1684
```

```
1685
           *The Clerk. Carter votes aye.
           Dunn?
1686
1687
           *Mr. Dunn. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Dunn votes aye.
1688
1689
           Pence?
           *Mr. Pence. Aye.
1690
1691
           *The Clerk. Pence votes aye.
           Crenshaw?
1692
           [No response.]
1693
           *The Clerk. Joyce?
1694
1695
           *Mr. Joyce. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Joyce votes aye.
1696
           Harshbarger?
1697
1698
           *Mrs. Harshbarger. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Harshbarger votes aye.
1699
           Miller-Meeks?
1700
           *Mrs. Miller-Meeks.
1701
                                 Aye.
1702
           *The Clerk. Miller-Meeks votes aye.
           Obernolte?
1703
           *Mr. Obernolte. Aye.
1704
           *The Clerk. Obernolte votes aye.
1705
```

```
1706
           Eshoo?
           *Ms. Eshoo. Aye.
1707
           *The Clerk. Eshoo votes aye.
1708
           Sarbanes?
1709
           *Mr. Sarbanes. Aye.
1710
            *The Clerk. Sarbanes votes aye.
1711
           Cardenas?
1712
           *Mr. Cardenas. Aye.
1713
           *The Clerk. Cardenas votes aye.
1714
           Ruiz?
1715
1716
           [No response.]
            *The Clerk. Dingell?
1717
            *Mrs. Dingell. Aye.
1718
           *The Clerk. Dingell votes aye.
1719
           Kuster?
1720
1721
           *Ms. Kuster. Aye.
            *The Clerk. Kuster votes aye.
1722
1723
           Kelly?
            *Ms. Kelly. Aye.
1724
            *The Clerk. Kelly votes aye.
1725
           Barragan?
1726
```

```
1727
           [No response.]
           *The Clerk. Blunt Rochester?
1728
1729
           [No response.]
           *The Clerk. Craig?
1730
           *Ms. Craiq. Yes.
1731
           *The Clerk. Craig votes aye.
1732
           Schrier?
1733
           *Ms. Schrier. Aye.
1734
           *The Clerk. Schrier votes aye.
1735
           Trahan?
1736
1737
           *Mrs. Trahan. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Trahan votes aye.
1738
           Pallone?
1739
           *Mr. Pallone.
                           Aye.
1740
1741
           *The Clerk. Pallone votes aye.
           Chair Rodgers?
1742
            *The Chair. Aye.
1743
1744
            *The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes aye.
           *Mr. Bucshon. How is Mr. Griffith recorded?
1745
           *The Clerk. Mr. Griffith is not recorded.
1746
           *Mr. Griffith. Griffith votes aye.
1747
```

1748 *The Clerk. Griffith votes aye. *Mr. Bucshon. How is Mr. Guthrie recorded? 1749 1750 *Mr. Guthrie. Aye. *The Clerk. Mr. Guthrie votes aye. 1751 *Mr. Bucshon. Any other members wish to be recorded? 1752 Seeing none, the ayes have it, the bill is agreed to. 1753 Actually, report the vote first. 1754 *Ms. Eshoo. Dr. Ruiz? 1755 *The Clerk. Mr. Ruiz is not recorded. 1756 1757 *Mr. Bucshon. Dr. Ruiz, okay. 1758 *Mr. Ruiz. Aye. *The Clerk. Ruiz votes aye. 1759 *Mr. Bucshon. At the last minute, Dr. Ruiz, yes. Got 1760 1761 it. *Mr. Ruiz. Exactly. 1762 *Mr. Bucshon. Okay, the clerk will call the roll --1763 1764 will report the vote. 1765 *The Clerk. Chair, on that vote there were 27 ayes and 0 noes. 1766 *Mr. Bucshon. The ayes have it and the bill is agreed 1767

1768

to.

```
1769
           The chair will now call up H.R. 3884, and ask the clerk
1770
      to report.
1771
           *The Clerk. H.R. 3884, to amend title 11 of the Public
      Health Service Act to reauthorize the program providing for
1772
      sickle cell disease and other heritable blood disorders
1773
      research, surveillance, prevention --
1774
           *Mr. Bucshon. Without objection, the first reading of
1775
      the bill is dispensed with. The bill will be open to
1776
      amendment at any point.
1777
           So ordered.
1778
          [The bill follows:]
1779
1780
      ***********************************
1781
1782
```

1783 *Mr. Bucshon. Does anyone seek to be recognized on the bill? 1784 1785 Anyone? Any are there any bipartisan amendments to the bill? 1786 Are there any amendments to the bill? 1787 *Mr. Burgess. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment. 1788 *Mr. Bucshon. The gentleman from Texas is recognized to 1789 introduce his amendment. 1790 *Mr. Burgess. I have an amendment at the desk. 1791 The clerk will report the amendment. 1792 *Mr. Bucshon. 1793 *The Clerk. Amendment in the nature of a substitute to H.R. 3884, offered by Mr. Burgess. 1794 *Mr. Bucshon. Without objection, the reading of the 1795 amendment is dispensed with, and the gentleman is recognized 1796 for five minutes to support the amendment. 1797 *Mr. Burgess. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. This amendment 1798 in the nature of a substitute to H.R. 3884 will increase the 1799 authorization level to the last-enacted appropriated level of 1800 8,205,000 for each of the fiscal years 2024 through 2028. 1801 Speaking to the underlying bill, the 70,000 to 100,000 1802 Americans who have sickle cell disease, it is the most common 1803

inherited blood disorder. The disease, which is present in 1804 individuals at birth, causes a production of an abnormal 1805 1806 hemoglobin which causes the red blood cells to take on a typical sickling manifestation. 1807 We cannot prevent sickle cell disease, but we can 1808 prevent the complications of the condition. Since the 1809 landscape of how we treat and manage sickle cell disease 1810 continues to evolve, we need to prioritize funding for 1811 research and investment into better treatment options for 1812 individuals who are suffering. 1813 In addition to the increase in authorization level, this 1814 amendment would make technical changes to allow for 1815 admittance into a contract grant or cooperative agreement 1816 with an entity to serve as a National Coordinating Center for 1817 1818 the demonstration program under the legislation. Currently, the National Coordinating Center operates as a contract under 1819 existing statute. Having the flexibility to use a grant 1820 1821 mechanism would allow the National Coordinating Center to provide assistance to grantees in communities. 1822 So I would urge support of the amendment, as well as the 1823 underlying legislation, and I will yield back. 1824

1825 *Mr. Bucshon. The gentleman yields back. Is there discussion on the amendment? 1826 1827 I recognize the gentleman from Georgia, Mr. Carter. *Mr. Carter. Mr. Chairman, I move to strike the last 1828 1829 word. The gentleman is recognized. 1830 *Mr. Bucshon. *Mr. Carter. Mr. Chairman, I am pleased to support the 1831 Sickle Cell Disease Reauthorization Act, which I am 1832 co-leading with Dr. Burgess. 1833 As a pharmacist for over four decades, I have seen 1834 1835 firsthand the heartbreaking toll sickle cell disease takes on patients and their families. Unfortunately, Georgia is home 1836 to one of the largest sickle cell disease populations in the 1837 country, which is why it is so important that we act quickly 1838 to save lives and prevent further pain. 1839 The bill before us today reauthorizes critical sickle 1840 1841 cell disease programs so that patients have the support and resources they need to battle this terrible disease. 1842 always and will continue to put patients first, and I believe 1843 these programs do just that. I encourage my colleagues to 1844 support H.R. 3884, the Sickle Cell Disease Reauthorization 1845

```
1846
      Act.
           Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I yield back.
1847
1848
            *Mr. Bucshon. The gentleman yields back. Is there any
      other member wishing to seek recognition on the amendment?
1849
            If there is no further discussion, the vote occurs on
1850
      the amendment.
1851
           All those in favor shall signify by saying aye.
1852
           All those opposed?
1853
           The ayes have it, and the amendment is agreed to.
1854
1855
           The question now occurs on forwarding H.R. 3884, as
1856
      amended, to the full committee.
                                        The clerk will call the
      roll.
1857
            *The Clerk. Chair Guthrie?
1858
            [No response.]
1859
            *The Clerk. Burgess?
1860
            *Mr. Burgess. Burgess votes aye.
1861
            *The Clerk. Burgess votes aye.
1862
1863
           Latta?
           *Mr. Latta. Aye.
1864
1865
           *The Clerk. Latta votes aye.
           Griffith?
1866
```

```
1867
           [No response.]
           *The Clerk. Bilirakis?
1868
1869
           *Mr. Bilirakis. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Bilirakis votes aye.
1870
1871
           Johnson?
           *Mr. Johnson. Aye.
1872
1873
           *The Clerk. Johnson votes aye.
           Bucshon?
1874
           *Mr. Bucshon. Aye.
1875
           *The Clerk. Bucshon votes aye.
1876
           Hudson?
1877
           *Mr. Hudson. Aye.
1878
           *The Clerk. Hudson votes aye.
1879
1880
           Carter?
1881
           *Mr. Carter. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Carter votes aye.
1882
           Dunn?
1883
1884
           *Mr. Dunn. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Dunn votes aye.
1885
           Pence?
1886
           *Mr. Pence. Aye.
1887
```

```
1888
           *The Clerk. Pence votes aye.
           Crenshaw?
1889
1890
           [No response.]
           *The Clerk. Joyce?
1891
1892
           *Mr. Joyce. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Joyce votes aye.
1893
1894
           Harshbarger?
           *Mrs. Harshbarger. Aye.
1895
           *The Clerk. Harshbarger votes aye.
1896
           Miller-Meeks?
1897
1898
           *Mrs. Miller-Meeks. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Miller-Meeks votes aye.
1899
           Obernolte?
1900
           *Mr. Obernolte. Aye.
1901
           *The Clerk. Obernolte votes aye.
1902
           Eshoo?
1903
1904
           [No response.]
1905
           *The Clerk. Ms. Eshoo?
           *Ms. Eshoo. Aye.
1906
           *The Clerk. Eshoo votes aye.
1907
           Sarbanes?
1908
```

```
1909
           *Mr. Sarbanes. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Sarbanes votes aye.
1910
1911
           Cardenas?
           *Mr. Cardenas. Aye.
1912
           *The Clerk. Cardenas votes aye.
1913
           Ruiz?
1914
           *Mr. Ruiz. Aye.
1915
           *The Clerk. Ruiz votes aye.
1916
           Dingell?
1917
           *Mrs. Dingell. Aye.
1918
           *The Clerk. Dingell votes aye.
1919
           Kuster?
1920
           *Ms. Kuster. Aye.
1921
           *The Clerk. Kuster votes aye.
1922
1923
           Kelly?
            *Ms. Kelly. Aye.
1924
            *The Clerk. Kelly votes aye.
1925
1926
           Barragan?
           [No response.]
1927
           *The Clerk. Blunt Rochester?
1928
            [No response.]
1929
```

```
1930
           *The Clerk. Craig?
           *Ms. Craig. Aye.
1931
           *The Clerk. Craig votes aye.
1932
           Schrier?
1933
1934
           *Ms. Schrier. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Schrier votes aye.
1935
           Trahan?
1936
           *Mrs. Trahan. Aye.
1937
           *The Clerk. Trahan votes aye.
1938
           Pallone?
1939
1940
           *Mr. Pallone. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Pallone votes aye.
1941
           Chair Rodgers?
1942
1943
           *The Chair. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes aye.
1944
           *Mr. Bucshon. How is Mr. Griffith recorded?
1945
           *The Clerk. Mr. Griffith is not recorded.
1946
1947
           *Mr. Griffith. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Mr. Griffith votes aye.
1948
           *Mr. Bucshon. How is Mr. Guthrie recorded?
1949
           *Mr. Guthrie. Aye.
1950
```

```
1951
           *The Clerk. Chair Guthrie votes aye.
           *Mr. Bucshon. How is Mr. Crenshaw recorded?
1952
1953
           *The Clerk. Mr. Crenshaw is not recorded.
           *Mr. Crenshaw. Crenshaw votes aye.
1954
           *The Clerk. Crenshaw votes aye.
1955
           *Mr. Bucshon. Any other members wishing to record their
1956
1957
      vote?
           Seeing none, the clerk will report the result.
1958
           *The Clerk. Chair, on that vote we had 28 yeas and 0
1959
1960
      noes.
1961
           *Mr. Bucshon. The ayes have it, and the bill is agreed
1962
      to.
           The chair now calls up H.R. 3821, and asks the clerk to
1963
1964
      report.
           *The Clerk. H.R. 3821, to reauthorize the Firefighter
1965
      Cancer Registry Act of 2018.
1966
           *Mr. Bucshon. Without objection, the first reading of
1967
      the bill is dispensed with, and the bill will be open for
1968
      amendment at any point.
1969
           So ordered.
1970
          [The bill follows:]
1971
```

1972		
1973	*********COMMITTEE	INSERT*******
1974		

1975 *Mr. Bucshon. Does anyone seek recognition on the bill? The gentleman from New Jersey, Mr. Pallone, is 1976 1977 recognized for five minutes. *Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 1978 This legislation would reauthorize the national 1979 Firefighter Cancer Registry that has been implemented by CDC 1980 to identify trends, risk factors, and other important 1981 information that may help provide greater data on the impacts 1982 of firefighting on cancer risk and exposure, and help guide 1983 prevention, detection, and treatment efforts in the future. 1984 1985 I am pleased we are considering this bill today, and I want to thank my New Jersey delegation colleague, 1986 Representative Pascrell, for sponsoring this important bill. 1987 I am also glad we were able to hear from a 1988 representative from the International Association of 1989 Firefighters during our hearing on the bill, who testified on 1990 the importance of the Registry and reauthorizing it in order 1991 1992 to fully understand the risks that firefighters undertake and how to identify important trends in the future. 1993 This bill is supported by the International Association 1994 of Fire Chiefs, the National Volunteer Fire Council, and 1995

```
1996
      National Fallen Firefighters Foundation, among others.
           And I urge my colleagues to support this important bill,
1997
1998
      and yield back, Mr. Chairman.
            *Mr. Bucshon. The gentleman yields back. Anyone else
1999
      seeking recognition to speak on the bill?
2000
           Are there any bipartisan amendments to the bill?
2001
           Are there any other amendments to the bill?
2002
           Seeing none, the question now occurs on forwarding H.R.
2003
      3821 to the full committee.
2004
2005
           All those in favor, say aye.
2006
           All those opposed, say no.
           A roll call vote has been requested, so the clerk will
2007
      record the -- will call the roll.
2008
           *The Clerk. Chair Guthrie?
2009
2010
           [No response.]
           *The Clerk. Burgess?
2011
2012
           *Mr. Burgess. Burgess votes aye.
2013
           *The Clerk. Burgess votes aye.
           Latta?
2014
           *Mr. Latta. Aye.
2015
           *The Clerk. Latta votes aye.
2016
```

```
2017
           Griffith?
2018
           [No response.]
2019
           *The Clerk. Bilirakis?
           *Mr. Bilirakis. Aye.
2020
           *The Clerk. Bilirakis votes aye.
2021
           Johnson?
2022
2023
           *Mr. Johnson. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Johnson votes aye.
2024
           Bucshon?
2025
2026
           *Mr. Bucshon. Aye.
2027
           *The Clerk. Bucshon votes aye.
           Hudson?
2028
           *Mr. Hudson. Aye.
2029
           *The Clerk. Hudson votes aye.
2030
           Carter?
2031
2032
           *Mr. Carter. Aye.
2033
           *The Clerk. Carter votes aye.
2034
           Dunn?
           *Mr. Dunn. Aye.
2035
           *The Clerk. Dunn votes aye.
2036
2037
           Pence?
```

```
2038
           *Mr. Pence. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Pence votes aye.
2039
2040
           Crenshaw?
           *Mr. Crenshaw. Aye.
2041
2042
           *The Clerk. Crenshaw votes aye.
2043
           Joyce?
2044
           *Mr. Joyce. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Joyce votes aye.
2045
           Harshbarger?
2046
2047
           *Mrs. Harshbarger. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Harshbarger votes aye.
2048
           Miller-Meeks?
2049
           *Mrs. Miller-Meeks. Aye.
2050
           *The Clerk. Miller-Meeks votes aye.
2051
           Obernolte?
2052
           *Mr. Obernolte. Aye.
2053
           *The Clerk. Obernolte votes aye.
2054
2055
           Eshoo?
           *Ms. Eshoo. [Inaudible.]
2056
           *The Clerk. Sarbanes?
2057
           *Mr. Sarbanes. Aye.
2058
```

```
2059
            *The Clerk. Sarbanes votes aye.
           Cardenas?
2060
2061
           [No response.]
            *The Clerk. Mr. Cardenas?
2062
           *Mr. Cardenas. Aye.
2063
           *The Clerk. Cardenas votes aye.
2064
           Ruiz?
2065
2066
           *Mr. Ruiz. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Ruiz votes aye.
2067
           Dingell?
2068
            *Mrs. Dingell. Aye.
2069
           *The Clerk. Dingell votes aye.
2070
           Kuster?
2071
2072
           *Ms. Kuster. Aye.
2073
           *The Clerk. Kuster votes aye.
           Kelly?
2074
           *Ms. Kelly. Aye.
2075
2076
            *The Clerk. Kelly votes aye.
           Barragan?
2077
           [No response.]
2078
            *The Clerk. Blunt Rochester?
2079
```

```
2080
           [No response.]
           *The Clerk. Craig?
2081
2082
           *Ms. Craig.
                        Aye.
           *The Clerk. Craig votes aye.
2083
           Schrier?
2084
           *Ms. Schrier. Aye.
2085
2086
           *The Clerk. Schrier votes aye.
2087
           Trahan?
           *Mrs. Trahan. Aye.
2088
           *The Clerk. Trahan votes aye.
2089
           Pallone?
2090
           *Mr. Pallone. Aye.
2091
           *The Clerk. Pallone votes aye.
2092
2093
           Chair Rodgers?
2094
           *The Chair. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes aye.
2095
           *Mr. Bucshon. How is Mr. Griffith recorded?
2096
2097
           *The Clerk. Mr. Griffith is not recorded.
           *Mr. Griffith. Aye.
2098
           *The Clerk. Mr. Griffith votes --
2099
           *Mr. Bucshon. How is --
2100
```

2101 *The Clerk. -- aye. *Mr. Bucshon. -- Mr. Guthrie recorded? 2102 2103 *Mr. Guthrie. Aye. *The Clerk. Guthrie votes aye. 2104 *Mr. Bucshon. Any other members wishing to be recorded? 2105 Seeing none, the ayes have it and the bill -- the chair 2106 calls up H.R. 2365, and asks the clerk to report. 2107 [Pause.] 2108 *Mr. Bucshon. Oh, you need to announce the result of 2109 2110 the vote. Sorry about that. 2111 *The Clerk. Yes, sir. Chair, on that vote we had 28 ayes and 0 noes. 2112 The ayes have it, and the bill is 2113 *Mr. Bucshon. referred -- the bill is agreed to and referred to the full 2114 committee. 2115 The chair now calls up H.R. 2365, and asks the clerk to 2116 2117 report. *The Clerk. H.R. 2365, a bill to direct the Secretary 2118 of Health and Human Services to carry out a national project 2119 to prevent and cure Parkinson's to be known as the National 2120 Parkinson --2121

2122	*Mr. Bucshon. Without objection, the first reading of
2123	the bill is dispensed with, and the bill will be open to
2124	amendment at any point.
2125	So ordered.
2126	[The bill follows:]
2127	
2128	**************************************
2129	

2130 *Mr. Bucshon. Does anyone seek recognition on the bill? *Mr. Bilirakis. Mr. Chairman? 2131 2132 *Mr. Bucshon. The gentleman from Florida, Mr. Bilirakis, is recognized. 2133 *Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, I appreciate 2134 it very much. I move to strike the last --2135 2136 *Mr. Bucshon. The gentleman is recognized. *Mr. Bilirakis. I am so grateful to have the National 2137 Plan to End Parkinson's Act, H.R. 2365, included in today's 2138 2139 markup. I really appreciate it. I want to thank the full 2140 committee chair, the ranking member, and, of course, the chair of the subcommittee, you, Doctor, as well. And of 2141 course, my friend, the ranking member of the subcommittee. 2142 2143 This no-cost legislation will, for the first time, unite the Federal Government in a mission to cure and prevent 2144 Parkinson's, alleviate financial and health burdens on 2145 2146 American families, and reduce government spending over time. 2147 I have been proud to work with Mr. Tonko on this bill 2148 for almost a year. It is time that we take proactive measures, ladies and 2149 gentlemen, and the National Plan to End Parkinson's Act will 2150

```
2151
      do just that by creating an advisory council comprising
      members of Federal agencies that support research, care, and
2152
2153
      services for Parkinson's disease, plus patients care and care
      partners, that will be part of it. Researchers, of course,
2154
2155
      clinicians, and other non-Federal experts. It is time for a
      national effort and strategy to support research and
2156
      development recommendations with the goal of treating and
2157
      curing Parkinson's disease once and for all.
2158
           We must end Parkinson's disease, as I said.
2159
      National Plan to End Parkinson's Act is the best way to
2160
2161
      start. And by the way, this is supported by the Michael J.
      Fox Foundation, as well.
2162
           This is a very -- it is very personal to me, Mr.
2163
      Chairman.
                 I understand the tolls that the family -- what
2164
      they face on a daily basis, as I just recently lost my
2165
      brother, Dr. Emmanuel Bilirakis, a great physician and a
2166
      wonderful, wonderful human being. He battled Parkinson's
2167
      disease for many years prior to his passing.
                                                     I also have
2168
      other family members who have Parkinson's.
2169
           This disease impacts patients and their families -- I
2170
      want to emphasize that -- physically, emotionally, and
2171
```

```
2172
      financially. The lack of treatment options leaves patients,
      families, and the American taxpayer in a terrible
2173
2174
      predicament. We have to solve this. It is time to act.
           And I know there is more work to be done, but I look
2175
      forward to working with all of us, especially Congressman
2176
      Tonko, who is the cosponsor of this bill, to have this
2177
      legislation ready for full committee markup.
2178
           And with that, I yield back.
2179
           *Mr. Guthrie. [Presiding] Would the gentleman yield?
2180
           *Ms. Eshoo. Would the gentleman yield?
2181
           *Mr. Bilirakis. Yes.
2182
           *Mr. Guthrie. I was going to -- did you yield?
2183
           *Mr. Bilirakis. Yes.
2184
           *Mr. Guthrie. I just wanted to say --
2185
           *Mr. Bilirakis. Okay.
2186
           *Mr. Guthrie. From the committee's perspective, as the
2187
2188
      chair, that our thoughts and prayers are with you.
      your father was on this committee and beloved by so many
2189
      people that knew him when -- prior to your service here.
2190
      our thoughts and prayers are with you.
2191
           I yield back to the gentleman.
2192
```

2193 *Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you. *Ms. Eshoo. Would the gentleman yield? 2194 2195 *Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you, I yield to the gentlelady. *Ms. Eshoo. I want to thank the gentleman for offering 2196 the legislation, and I would like to make a suggestion that 2197 you name the bill after your brother. 2198 2199 [Pause.] *Mr. Guthrie. We need to work on that between now and 2200 full committee, then. 2201 *Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you so very much. 2202 2203 *Ms. Eshoo. A fitting tribute. *Mr. Bilirakis. I yield back. 2204 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back. Is there any 2205 discussion on the bill, any further discussion on the bill? 2206 Are there any amendments to the bill? 2207 Seeing none, we will move to the passage of the bill. 2208 This will be a voice vote. We will do a voice vote, unless 2209 2210 there is a -- there is no request for a roll call? This will be a voice vote. 2211 All those in favor for the bill, for -- the question now 2212 occurs on forwarding H.R. 2365, as -- to the full committee 2213

```
-- not as amended -- to the full committee.
2214
           All those in favor will say aye.
2215
2216
           All opposed will say no.
           The ayes have it, and the bill is agreed to.
2217
           The chair calls up H.R. -- congratulations, Mr. -- our
2218
      good friend from Florida.
2219
           The chair calls up H.R. 3391, and asks the clerk to
2220
      report.
2221
           *The Clerk. H.R. 3391, a bill to extend the Gabriella
2222
      Miller Kids First pediatric research program at the National
2223
      Institutes of Health, and for other purposes.
2224
            *Mr. Guthrie. Without objection, the first reading of
2225
      the bill is dispensed with, and the bill will be open for
2226
      amendment at any point.
2227
           So ordered.
2228
2229
           [The bill follows:]
2230
2231
      *********************************
2232
2233
```

2234 *Mr. Guthrie. Does anyone seek recognition on the bill? *Mr. Bilirakis. Mr. Chairman? 2235 2236 *Mr. Guthrie. Oh, the gentleman from Florida on the bill. I know you have an --2237 *Mr. Bilirakis. Well, actually on the amendment. 2238 *Mr. Guthrie. Okay. Anybody on the bill? 2239 Seeing none, does anyone have a -- are there any 2240 bipartisan amendments to the bill? 2241 Are there other amendments to the bill? 2242 *Mr. Bilirakis. Yes. 2243 2244 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman from -- for what purpose does gentleman from Florida --2245 *Mr. Bilirakis. It is a bipartisan amendment, but I 2246 have an amendment at the desk and --2247 *Mr. Guthrie. The clerk will report the amendment. 2248 *The Clerk. Amendment in the nature of a substitute to 2249 H.R. 3391, offered by Mr. Bilirakis of Florida. 2250 *Mr. Guthrie. Without objection, the reading of the 2251 amendment is dispensed with. 2252 [The amendment of Mr. Bilirakis follows:] 2253 2254

2257 *Mr. Guthrie. And the gentleman is recognized for five minutes in support of the amendment. 2258 2259 *Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I appreciate it. I am very excited to put forth the AINS for the 2260 Gabriella Miller Kids First Act 2.0, H.R. 3391, a piece of 2261 legislation I co-lead with Representative Wexton, 2262 Representative Cole, and Representative Dingell. I want to 2263 thank them all for their leadership on this particular bill, 2264 but the entire committee has worked on this issue, and we 2265 appreciate it so much. 2266 This bill will reauthorize the important pediatric 2267 research initiative at the NIH, where they are working to 2268 develop a large-scale data resource to help researchers 2269 uncover new insights into the biology of childhood cancer and 2270 structural birth defects, including the discovery of shared 2271 genetic pathways between these disorders. This work is 2272 imperative, and this strong bipartisan piece of legislation 2273 will help transform the landscape of pediatric cancer. 2274 We have seen improvements in survival rates, but still 2275 thousands of children are lost to cancer each year, and many 2276 more encounter life-threatening complications related to 2277

harsh chemotherapies. Sadly, cancer is the single leading 2278 cause of death of children in the United States of any 2279 2280 disease. More than 15,000 children are diagnosed annually, unfortunately. We still have a long way to go to understand 2281 and improve survival rates for these patients, our children, 2282 who are diagnosed with brain tumors, prevalent cancers, and 2283 other pediatric rare conditions. I know we have made a lot 2284 of progress, but a long way to go. 2285 Children have significantly fewer treatment options than 2286 adults, and often times must rely on treatment regimens 2287 2288 developed for adults -- that is unacceptable -- because pediatric-specific treatments simply do not exist for many 2289 pediatric cancers and rare diseases. This foundational 2290 research is critical for facilitating a better understanding 2291 of pediatric cancers and other pediatric conditions, and 2292 holds the promise for the development of better treatments 2293 2294 and possible cures. I still have some time, so as long -- as a longtime 2295 advocate for children and rare disease patients, I am proud 2296 to join my colleagues in pushing for the continuation of the 2297 critical research, really crucial research, and I want to 2298

2299 thank Ellen Miller, Gabriella's mom, who has bravely continued her advocacy for this noble cause. 2300 2301 Simply put, we must continue to allow this program to conduct the critical research needed to improve outcomes and 2302 accelerate treatments and cures. I look forward to seeing 2303 this bill move through subcommittee, and I urge passage of 2304 the AINS and the underlying bill. 2305 2306 And I don't know whether -- if there is anyone that wants my time, but you can sure have it. 2307 All right, I will yield back the balance of my time. 2308 Thank you. 2309 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back. Is there 2310 discussion of the amendment? 2311 The gentlelady from Michigan, for what purpose do you 2312 seek to be recognized? 2313 *Mrs. Dingell. Mr. Chair ,I move to strike the last 2314 word to speak in --2315 2316 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady is recognized. *Mrs. Dingell. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 2317 A cancer diagnosis imparts unimaginable physical, 2318

emotional, and financial strains that no one should have to

2319

2320 endure, let alone a child who should have a full life of opportunities ahead of them. It is critical we confront 2321 2322 pediatric cancer with the urgency it requires, and this begins with supporting efforts that spur new research 2323 endeavors that inspire new treatments and cures. 2324 I am proud to join Representatives Wexton, Bilirakis, 2325 and Cole in introducing the Gabriella Miller's Kids First 2326 Research Act 2.0, which will reauthorize the Gabriella Miller 2327 Kids First Pediatric Research program at the NIH through 2328 fiscal year 2028. 2329 2330 I have to admit I am disappointed this AINS is only a straight reauthorization of the program. I really do believe 2331 this program deserves increased funding to expand upon its 2332 2333 important mission, but we absolutely cannot allow this program to lapse at the end of this year. It is critical 2334 that we reauthorize it without delay. 2335 The bill was named after Gabriella Miller, a childhood 2336 cancer advocate who lost her battle with DIPG when she was 10 2337 years old. For those who may not know, DIPG is a terrible, 2338 terrible pediatric brain tumor that is almost always fatal. 2339 The average overall survival for children diagnosed is less 2340

2341 than one year. I, unfortunately, have known and worked with families -- closely with families who have struggled with the 2342 2343 horrors of this, like the Carr family who sadly lost young Chad Carr at the age of five, the grandchild of the 2344 University of Michigan football coach; and Jack Demeter, a 2345 young boy who lost his battle with DIPG at the age of three. 2346 I have lived with these families through the beginning to the 2347 2348 end. With this legislation we can drive lifesaving research 2349 of treatments and cures for childhood cancer forward. 2350 2351 all of my colleagues to support the AINS. Thank you, Mr. Chair, and I yield back. 2352 *Mr. Guthrie. Thank you. 2353 The gentlelady yields back. Any further discussion on 2354 the amendment? 2355 Are there any amendments to the amendment in the nature 2356 of a substitute? 2357 Seeing none, the vote occurs on the amendment. 2358 All those in favor shall -- this will be a voice vote, 2359 so all those shall -- all those in favor shall signify by 2360 saying aye. 2361

```
2362
           All those opposed, no.
           The ayes have it, and the amendment is agreed to.
2363
2364
           There is a request for a roll call vote. The question
      now occurs on forwarding H.R. 3391, as amended, to the full
2365
      committee, and the clerk will call the roll.
2366
           *The Clerk. Chair Guthrie?
2367
2368
           *Mr. Guthrie. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Chair Guthrie votes aye.
2369
           Burgess?
2370
2371
           *Mr. Burgess. Burgess votes aye.
2372
           *The Clerk. Burgess votes aye.
           Latta?
2373
           *Mr. Latta. Aye.
2374
           *The Clerk. Latta votes aye.
2375
           Griffith?
2376
           *Mr. Griffith. Aye.
2377
           *The Clerk. Griffith votes aye.
2378
2379
           Bilirakis?
           *Mr. Bilirakis. Aye.
2380
           *The Clerk. Bilirakis votes aye.
2381
           Johnson?
2382
```

```
2383
           *Mr. Johnson. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Johnson votes aye.
2384
2385
           Bucshon?
           *Mr. Bucshon. Aye.
2386
           *The Clerk. Bucshon votes aye.
2387
           Hudson?
2388
2389
           *Mr. Hudson. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Hudson votes aye.
2390
           Carter?
2391
2392
           *Mr. Carter. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Carter votes aye.
2393
           Dunn?
2394
           *Mr. Dunn. Aye.
2395
           *The Clerk. Dunn votes aye.
2396
           Pence?
2397
2398
           *Mr. Pence. Aye.
2399
           *The Clerk. Pence votes aye.
2400
           Crenshaw?
           *Mr. Crenshaw. Aye.
2401
           *The Clerk. Crenshaw votes aye.
2402
           Joyce?
2403
```

```
2404
           *Mr. Joyce. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Joyce votes aye.
2405
2406
           Harshbarger?
           *Mrs. Harshbarger. Aye.
2407
           *The Clerk. Harshbarger votes aye.
2408
           Miller-Meeks?
2409
2410
           *Mrs. Miller-Meeks. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Miller-Meeks votes aye.
2411
           Obernolte?
2412
2413
           *Mr. Obernolte. Aye.
2414
           *The Clerk. Obernolte votes aye.
           Eshoo?
2415
           *Ms. Eshoo. Aye.
2416
           *The Clerk. Eshoo votes aye.
2417
           Sarbanes?
2418
           *Mr. Sarbanes. Aye.
2419
           *The Clerk. Sarbanes votes aye.
2420
2421
           Cardenas?
           *Mr. Cardenas. Aye.
2422
           *The Clerk. Cardenas votes aye.
2423
           Ruiz?
2424
```

```
2425
           *Mr. Ruiz. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Ruiz votes aye.
2426
2427
           Dingell?
           *Mrs. Dingell. Aye.
2428
           *The Clerk. Dingell votes aye.
2429
           Kuster?
2430
2431
           [No response.]
2432
           *The Clerk. Kelly?
           *Ms. Kelly. Aye.
2433
           *The Clerk. Kelly votes aye.
2434
2435
           Barragan?
           [No response.]
2436
           *The Clerk. Blunt Rochester?
2437
           [No response.]
2438
           *The Clerk. Craig?
2439
           *Ms. Craig. Yes.
2440
           *The Clerk. Craig votes aye.
2441
2442
           Schrier?
           *Ms. Schrier. Aye.
2443
           *The Clerk. Schrier votes aye.
2444
           Trahan?
2445
```

```
2446
           *Mrs. Trahan. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Trahan votes aye.
2447
2448
           Pallone?
           *Mr. Pallone. Aye.
2449
           *The Clerk. Pallone votes aye.
2450
           Chair Rodgers?
2451
2452
           *The Chair. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes aye.
2453
           *Mr. Guthrie. Is there anyone seeking to be recognized
2454
     to vote?
2455
           Seeing no one left to call the roll, we will ask the
2456
      clerk to report.
2457
           *The Clerk. Chair Guthrie, on that vote there were 27
2458
      yeas and 0 noes.
2459
           *Mr. Guthrie. With 27 yeas and 0 nays, the bill is
2460
      agreed to.
2461
           The chair calls up H.R. 4421, and asks the clerk to
2462
2463
      report.
           *The Clerk. H.R. 4421, a bill to reauthorize certain
2464
      programs under the Public Health Service Act with respect to
2465
      public health security and all-hazards preparedness and
2466
```

```
2467
      response --
           *Mr. Guthrie. Without objection, the first reading of
2468
      the bill is dispensed with, and the bill will be open for
2469
      amendment at any point.
2470
           So ordered.
2471
          [The bill follows:]
2472
2473
      ***********************************
2474
2475
```

2476 *Mr. Guthrie. Does anyone seek to be recognized on the bill? 2477 2478 *Mr. Latta. Mr. Chairman? *Mr. Guthrie. For what purpose does the gentleman from 2479 Ohio seek --2480 *Mr. Latta. To strike the last word. 2481 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman is recognized. 2482 *Mr. Latta. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 2483 Today this subcommittee is moving in the right direction 2484 and appropriately doing our jobs to reauthorize the Pandemic 2485 2486 and All-Hazards Preparedness Act. I am proud that my bipartisan legislation, the Healing Response Act, of which I 2487 am co-leading with my colleague, the gentlelady from 2488 Illinois' 2nd congressional district, is included in PAHPA's 2489 reauthorization. This important legislation aims to help 2490 rapidly produce medical countermeasures in the event of a 2491 2492 public health emergency. In our effort to advance this legislation, I understand 2493 that some of my colleagues have expressed concerns 2494 surrounding shortages of our nation's drug supplies. Please 2495 know that I share these concerns, as well. Sadly, I have 2496

2497 heard from hospitals and countless constituents who have had to change their cancer treatments due to these shortages. 2498 2499 This should not be happening in the United States. We owe it to those facing such difficulties to help alleviate those 2500 2501 burdens. I am pleased that our chair of our full committee, the 2502 gentlelady from Washington, announced last night that we are 2503 currently working on a discussion draft surrounding drug 2504 shortages. I look forward to working with my colleagues on 2505 both sides of the aisle to find a solution. With that said, 2506 2507 PAHPA is not an appropriate vehicle for drug shortage policy. I have been a member of this committee for the last two 2508 reauthorizations, and appreciate this committee and the work 2509 that the gentlelady from California's 16th district has done, 2510 and her bipartisanship and leadership on this issue. 2511 Today we must move forward. It is our duty to make sure 2512 PAHPA is reauthorized in the scope and in a timely fashion. 2513 That is why I will be voting aye, and encourage my colleagues 2514 to do the same. 2515 Again, I want to thank the gentleman from North Carolina 2516 for his leadership and hard work on this reauthorization, and 2517

2518 Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time. *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back. Is anyone 2519 2520 seeking recognition? The gentlelady from California is -- for what purpose 2521 does the gentlelady from California seek recognition? 2522 *Ms. Eshoo. I seek recognition, Mr. Chairman, to strike 2523 the last word and --2524 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady is recognized. 2525 *Ms. Eshoo. -- speak on H.R. 4421. Thank you. 2526 Colleagues, I spoke in my opening statement this morning 2527 about how eight months ago I entered into -- in good faith --2528 to draft a bipartisan PAHPA reauthorization. Today I am 2529 frustrated. Instead of negotiating a set of bipartisan 2530 policy priorities, the majority is set on locking our 2531 nation's public health programs into five years of 2532 underfunding. Beyond low funding levels, the main 2533 substantive policy changes in this policy will tie the hands 2534 of our preparedness professionals and hinder decision-making. 2535 First, the bill will require BARDA to issue contracts 2536 for a minimum of five years. This will keep BARDA from being 2537 able to nimbly respond or change course during an emergency, 2538

```
2539
      and will waste taxpayer money. I know something about BARDA.
      It was my legislation that created it, and it has scored As
2540
2541
      across the board because of the way it not only established,
      but its nimbleness in -- and effectiveness with the dollars
2542
2543
      that we invest in it.
           Secondly, the bill will provide industry stakeholders
2544
      with unprecedented influence on the public health emergency
2545
      medical countermeasure enterprise, creating more bureaucracy
2546
      and confusion. COVID showed us that what we actually need is
2547
      faster, streamlined decision-making during an emergency,
2548
2549
      rather than more cooks in the kitchen.
           Additionally -- and this is another very deep concern --
2550
      this policy seems unworkable, since it would require giving
2551
      an industry representative, who is not a government employee,
2552
      access to classified national security information, as well
2553
      as proprietary information from other businesses.
2554
           According to Pew surveys over the past year, most
2555
      Americans agree that the U.S. was unprepared in its response
2556
      to COVID. I am one of them. I have spoken about how sick I
2557
      felt -- and I think we all did -- when we watched nurses
2558
      wearing black plastic garbage bags wrapped around themselves
2559
```

2560 as PPE, or as I tried to help my local hospitals find working ventilators. I continue to feel sick as I pick up the 2561 2562 newspaper each day, hear from the hospitals in my district and parents of children who have cancer, and read about them 2563 having their cancer treatments delayed due to drug shortages. 2564 We are unprepared. We were unprepared for COVID. 2565 have had hearings in this committee describing the mistakes 2566 and the errors that led to our nation losing more than one 2567 million lives to the virus. This bill, in my view, ignores -2568 - and many professionals -- ignores those lessons and is 2569 2570 essentially choosing unpreparedness. It chooses to fund the Strategic National Stockpile at 2571 less than half of what experts say is needed to protect our 2572 country. 2573 It chooses to underfund our hospital preparedness grants 2574 so that, if a mass casualty event were to occur, we will --2575 what will have is chaos and overcrowding. 2576 It chooses to not replicate the success of Operation 2577 Warp Speed, and does not give ASPR the contract authority it 2578 says it needs to continue that progress. 2579 So everyone, you have heard what lane I am in. 2580

2581 still holding out some hope that we can come together on making this a bipartisan bill, because we have some time 2582 2583 between now and the full committee markup. But these are serious shortcomings. These are serious shortcomings. And 2584 as Mr. Hudson said, count me in. My hand is open. But we 2585 can't -- these -- the issues that I am raising I don't 2586 believe can really be ignored. That is why I am speaking 2587 out. That is why I am -- obviously, my upset is deep and 2588 broad, and I wish the case were otherwise. 2589 With that, I yield back, Mr. Chairman. 2590 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady yields back. Does anyone 2591 seeking recognition on the bill? 2592 Mr. Hudson. Mr. Hudson is recognized -- for what 2593 purpose does Mr. Hudson seek recognition? 2594 *Mr. Hudson. To strike the last word. 2595 *Mr. Guthrie. Sorry, Mr. Johnson. 2596 *Mr. Hudson. Or Johnson. 2597 *Mr. Guthrie. Mr. Johnson. Okay, for what purpose does 2598 Mr. Johnson seek recognition? 2599 *Mr. Johnson. Mr. Chairman, I seek to strike the last 2600 2601 word.

2602 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman is recognized. *Mr. Johnson. Thank you, Chairman Guthrie. 2603 2604 H.R. 4421, the Preparing for All-Hazards and Pathogens reauthorization, is vital to our emergency health care 2605 2606 response. 2607 I am very proud of the bipartisan work that Rep. Schrier and I have done on the Doctors at the Ready Act, which is 2608 included in this legislation. This will provide the National 2609 Disaster Medical System or NDMS, with the flexibility and 2610 authority to ensure that they are properly staffed in case of 2611 2612 an emergency. We can't effectively manage a health care crisis without 2613 the proper medical professionals on the ground saving lives. 2614 This legislation makes sure that NDMS continues to be able to 2615 2616 do just that. Thank you to Representative Schrier for working with me 2617 2618 on this, and I urge all of my colleagues to support this reauthorization. 2619 Thanks, Mr. Chairman, and I yield back. 2620 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back. Is anyone 2621 seeking recognition? 2622

2623 The gentleman from New Jersey, for what purpose do you seek recognition? 2624 2625 *Mr. Pallone. To strike the last word to speak --*Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman is recognized. 2626 *Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I am speaking 2627 in opposition to H.R. 4421. Mr. Chairman, the bill in front 2628 of us today will leave us unprepared for the next public 2629 health emergency, and is not a serious attempt to reauthorize 2630 critical programs. 2631 When negotiations on PAHPA reauthorization began early 2632 2633 this year, we all agreed that it was important to reauthorize expiring provisions on time. The reason why we write 2634 expiration dates into law is to ensure that the committee 2635 2636 reviews how programs are working before allowing them to continue, and offer new ideas that can help our preparedness 2637 and response going forward. 2638 In this case, Democrats put forward several new policy 2639 ideas to help us prepare for the next public health 2640 emergency. Ranking Member Eshoo, Representative Hudson also 2641 requested feedback from stakeholders and received hundreds of 2642 responses. One of the most common responses we got is that 2643

2644 RFI -- is that we should update funding authorization levels to be realistic about how much it costs to prepare for a 2645 2646 public health emergency. Congress spent trillions of dollars responding to COVID-2647 19 because we were unprepared in a number of areas. 2648 with our first opportunity to update these authorization 2649 levels, we are leaving funding flat, with some programs at 2650 levels that were set before COVID-19. 2651 We also heard from the Administration on a number of 2652 important policies public health experts like the Assistant 2653 2654 Secretary for Preparedness and Response believe will make us more prepared in the future. Unfortunately, these policies, 2655 like stronger workforce policies and enhanced contracting and 2656 construction authorities, are also not included in the bill. 2657 Democrats have also offered solutions to address drug 2658 shortages and other supply chain vulnerabilities. 2659 member of this committee heard from constituents during the 2660 height of the COVID pandemic about a shortage of medication, 2661 whether that was antibiotics, pain relievers, or albuterol 2662 inhalers. These problems have continued to this day, and 2663 they have not -- and they have gotten worse. 2664

2665 And as we heard at our PAHPA hearing, many patients throughout the country are facing rationed access to 2666 2667 chemotherapy drugs, and are being told they may not receive their treatment on time, if at all. 2668 Democrats have put forward policies to help FDA address 2669 these drug shortages through prevention and mitigation, yet 2670 the majority refused to even have a conversation with us 2671 2672 about these bills. Similarly, we all remember the struggle to ensure that 2673 hospitals had access to ventilators and common medical 2674 2675 equipment. Medical devices continue to face shortages, including an ongoing shortage of tourniquets used in surgery. 2676 Representative Castor has offered legislation to improve our 2677 knowledge of these shortages to help FDA prevent them from 2678 going forward. 2679 We also heard Commissioner Califf explain to us once 2680 again the risks we are taking by not granting FDA the 2681 2682 authority to recall dangerous drugs. I have heard from many of my colleagues that they just assumed that FDA had this 2683 power already. However, during the pandemic some drug 2684 manufacturers based overseas with dangerous contaminated hand 2685

2686 sanitizer took over a month to pull their products from the shelf after FDA asked them to do so voluntarily. In that 2687 2688 time these products were sitting out for purchase, putting children at risk of serious harm, and yet Republicans are 2689 unwilling to discuss fixing this glaring issue. 2690 Now, I have heard my Republican colleagues try to 2691 explain that these policies are outside the scope of PAHPA, 2692 but that is not true. The original PAHPA legislation in 2006 2693 required FDA to establish a team of experts to start work to 2694 help prevent or alleviate drug shortages. And every PAHPA 2695 2696 reauthorization since then has included FDA provisions. This bill before us, in my opinion, is an outlier, and I 2697 truly do not understand why my Republican colleagues are so 2698 afraid to have a conversation about how FDA can do more to 2699 address vulnerabilities in our supply chain. Why are we 2700 protecting drug companies operating in China who do not want 2701 American patients to know that their drugs are being made 2702 2703 overseas? Maybe my Republican colleagues are beholden to the far right wing of their party who voted against every public 2704 health measure that comes before them. 2705 Regardless of the reason, it is a disservice to patients 2706

2707 across the country that Republicans have refused to even engage on this issue. 2708 Now, these failures -- the failures of this legislation 2709 are reason enough to vote against them. But this bill goes 2710 further down a partisan path, including by potentially 2711 allowing big corporations to weigh in on the public health 2712 strategy developed by HHS through a new private advisory 2713 committee at the PHEMCE, and by tying the hands of 2714 contractors at BARDA. It is a shame we are considering this 2715 partisan bill today, as we easily could have come together on 2716 a much more successful package had Republicans agreed to 2717 consider just some of the priorities Democrats were bringing 2718 to the table. 2719 Instead, we will vote on this bill today, which is 2720 unlikely to successfully pass the House with the far right 2721 continuing to jam the floor. It is happening with NDAA. 2722 That was -- had a bipartisan consensus in committee, but is 2723 now being torpedoed by Republican extremists on the House 2724 floor. And it is going to happen again with PAHPA, unless my 2725 Republican colleagues accept that they will not be able to 2726 pass good policy while catering to the extremist wing of 2727

their party. 2728 And with that, Mr. Chairman, I yield back. 2729 2730 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back. Is anyone seeking recognition? 2731 Mr. Hudson, for what purpose do you seek recognition? 2732 *Mr. Hudson. To strike the last word. 2733 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman from North Carolina is 2734 recognized for five minutes. 2735 *Mr. Hudson. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 2736 As you all know, my colleagues and I have been preparing 2737 2738 for this legislation for years now. We have received hundreds of pages of information, feedback from responses to 2739 our request for information. We have had countless 2740 discussions, meetings, phone calls, briefings, hearings. 2741 now we are reaching the final steps here in the committee. 2742 Unfortunately, partisan politics that have nothing to do with 2743 PAHPA threaten to derail this process. 2744 2745 And, you know, I appreciate the comments from my colleague, Ms. Eshoo. I think she has expressed some 2746 legitimate concerns here today. But after years of working 2747

on this, today is the first time I have heard her raise those

2748

2749 -- some of those specific issues. For example, the question about BARDA and the five-year 2750 2751 contracts, I think that is something we ought to talk about. But what we heard from industry is if we want them to invest 2752 the huge amounts of money you have got to invest to produce 2753 PPE, to produce medical countermeasures, it takes a huge 2754 investment financially. And if you don't have a long-term 2755 contract, at least a five-year contract, then it is hard to 2756 get the resources to make those investments. It is hard to 2757 make the business case. And so it is crucial that we have 2758 2759 these long-term contracts. But I am happy to talk about some of the concerns you 2760 have about whether that ties the hands of BARDA to make moves 2761 that may be necessary within that five-year window. 2762 2763 Another concern that was raised was giving the private sector access to classified information. I am happy to have 2764 a discussion about that, but DoD does that every day. I 2765 represent Fort Bragg, Fort Liberty, the epicenter of the 2766 universe. We have contractors, tens of thousands of 2767 contractors, that work on that base that have access to 2768 classified information. Maybe not that many have access, but 2769

2770 thousands have access, and that is workable. I think this is something we can work through. 2771 2772 The issue was raised about SNS funding is not enough. agree. I would like to increase the funding. I would love 2773 to work with you on that, and I would love to hear your ideas 2774 of some areas that aren't as important that we can cut to 2775 comply with House rules to offset the expense of increasing 2776 the funding. 2777 So these are all things that are -- that can be overcome 2778 by talking. We have spent the last three weeks talking about 2779 the timing on addressing drug shortages, which is something 2780 that we have said is a priority. 2781 But let me also talk about the things that are in the 2782 bill. I mean, throughout this process and in this 2783 legislation we have included a number of bipartisan measures: 2784 permitting ASPR to enter into contracts with clinical labs, 2785 critically important; pilot programs for state stockpiles; 2786 2787 plans for development and distribution of testing capacity through -- during a public health emergency; Disease X 2788 programs to anticipate future pandemics; reviews and reports 2789 of effectiveness of countermeasures; military and civilian 2790

2791 partnerships for trauma grants; and eliminating the sunset for appointments to the National Disaster Medical System. 2792 2793 I have been proud to see the hard, bipartisan work that has gone into these bills, and I would love to continue this 2794 conversation and continue in a bipartisan fashion going 2795 forward, but it takes two partners. I have had my arms 2796 extended, I have offered opportunities to have these 2797 conversations. I continue to make that offer. I want this 2798 to be a bipartisan bill. I want us to move forward in a 2799 bipartisan way before we get to full committee markup. 2800 2801 As I mentioned in my opening statement, we would be -if we do not reauthorize and build on these programs now, we 2802 will be tying the hands of the experts who have the knowledge 2803 to respond successfully to future public health emergencies, 2804 and there will be a future emergency. We don't know when it 2805 is going to be, but it is our obligation to the American 2806 people to put in place the lessons we have learned from the 2807 2808 last pandemic so that we are better prepared to prepare for the next one. 2809 Something else that is included in the base text of this 2810 language deals with the PHEMCE advisory committee. The goal 2811

2812 of the advisory committee is to improve the communication between these agencies and our industry partners to ensure 2813 2814 that our nation is receiving the most efficient and effective response efforts and treatments. I think this is vitally 2815 important. This concept was reiterated in our RFI responses 2816 dozens of times. 2817 Unfortunately, the bipartisan work of PAHPA has been 2818 drowned out, and -- by Democrats claiming that Republicans 2819 don't want to address drug shortages. Now, you know, I think 2820 our chair, Cathy McMorris Rodgers, made it very clear that 2821 2822 this is a priority for her. She has laid out a path and a plan through a discussion draft that she will be releasing. 2823 And, you know, despite the partisan attacks, we encourage our 2824 colleagues to join us in this process. Let's make it a 2825 2826 bipartisan process. We believe that this shortage deserves the attention, 2827 the time, and this well-thought-out plan from Cathy McMorris 2828 Rodgers, and we hope that our Democrat colleagues will join 2829 us in this, because we agree this is a huge problem that 2830 needs to be addressed. And it is not just FDA. We think the 2831 problem is much broader, and we think we also have to address 2832

2833 the underlying economic issues. So again, I commit to working with my colleagues, and I 2834 2835 look forward to continuing this discussion. And with that I yield back. 2836 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back. Is anyone 2837 seeking recognition on the bill? 2838 The gentleman from Maryland, for what purpose do you 2839 seek recognition? 2840 *Mr. Sarbanes. I move to strike the last word. 2841 *Mr. Guthrie. Mr. Sarbanes is recognized for five 2842 2843 minutes. *Mr. Sarbanes. Yes, I just had a couple questions for 2844 counsel, if I might, just to clarify some things and get them 2845 2846 on the record. Section 106 of the bill addresses the Strategic National 2847 Stockpile, and in several places it amends language by 2848 striking "the Secretary, in collaboration with the Assistant 2849 Secretary for Preparedness and Response.' \ So it strikes 2850 that language and it changes it to "the Secretary, acting 2851 through the Assistant Secretary for Preparedness and 2852 Response.' 'Specifically, the change is in 106(a)(1)(a). 2853

```
2854
      That starts at the bottom of page 9, line 23 through page 10,
      line 9, and then 106(a)(1)©, which is page 10, line 15
2855
2856
      through page 11, line 2.
           So the question is this: What does the language "the
2857
      Secretary acting through the Assistant Secretary for
2858
      Preparedness and Response' ' mean?
2859
           *Counsel. Thank you for the question. My understanding
2860
      is that they are working with the other secretaries.
2861
                                                             Is
      there -- that is how it reads to me.
2862
           *Mr. Sarbanes. Well --
2863
           *Counsel. If --
2864
           *Mr. Sarbanes. I think, as I am reading it, it would
2865
      mean that the particular authority or responsibility is being
2866
      shifted from the Secretary, and then delegated to the
2867
      Assistant Secretary --
2868
           *Mr. Hudson. If the gentleman would yield, I would be
2869
2870
      happy to try to answer that.
           *Mr. Sarbanes. Well, I am asking -- I just want to get
2871
      counsel's perspective.
2872
           *Counsel. T --
2873
           *Mr. Hudson. If you would like to ask the person who
2874
```

wrote the bill, I would be happy to answer. 2875 *Mr. Sarbanes. Okay. Is that what it means? 2876 2877 *Mr. Hudson. What we are doing is clarifying, because the change was made previously to move the stockpile from CDC 2878 to ASPR. And so this language is just tightening that up to 2879 make it clear --2880 2881 *Mr. Sarbanes. Okay. *Mr. Hudson. -- that the intent is that ASPR directs 2882 SNS. 2883 2884 *Mr. Sarbanes. Okay. So that would mean, counsel, 2885 then, that the particular authority or responsibility is being shifted in this instance from the Secretary, and then 2886 delegated to, as I understand what was just said, delegated 2887 to the Assistant Secretary for Preparedness and Response. 2888 *Counsel. Delegated to ASPR, correct. That is what the 2889 2890 text says. *Mr. Sarbanes. Yes. 2891 *Counsel. Thank you. 2892 *Mr. Sarbanes. And so then the ASPR, the Assistant 2893 Secretary, will have that authority to take certain actions 2894 that previously were reserved for the Secretary. Is that 2895

```
2896
      correct?
           *Counsel. ASPR has this authority.
2897
2898
           *Mr. Sarbanes. Yes, would have that authority.
           *Counsel. Is that your question?
2899
           *Mr. Sarbanes. Yes.
2900
           *Counsel. That is my understanding of the text, yes.
2901
2902
           *Mr. Sarbanes. Okay, and there is some additional
      provisions that are being inserted that also include similar
2903
      language.
2904
           For example, it appears twice on page 17, lines 7
2905
2906
      through 17, which -- I guess that is the same situation
      there, so that, again, ASPR, the Assistant Secretary, will be
2907
      the one responsible for the duties that are prescribed in the
2908
2909
      statute.
           *Counsel. Yes. My understanding is that it effectuates
2910
      the policy currently as ASPR managing the stockpile.
2911
           *Mr. Sarbanes. Okay.
2912
2913
           *Counsel. Does that answer your question?
           *Mr. Sarbanes. Yes. So in the parts of the bill where
2914
      we see that language, that means the ASPR would have the
2915
      authority or responsibility to take those actions without the
2916
```

2917 need for additional action by the Secretary. *Counsel. My understanding is that this is current law, 2918 2919 and this is a clarification of ASPR's current 2920 responsibilities. *Mr. Sarbanes. But the ASPR would have that authority 2921 to take those actions, given this language, the "acting 2922 through' ' language, without the need for additional action by 2923 the Secretary, because it is a delegated authority to ASPR. 2924 Is that correct? Yes. 2925 *Counsel. That is my understanding. 2926 2927 *Mr. Sarbanes. Okay. Now, the reason I am asking you all these questions is because the same language, this 2928 "acting through' \ language, appears in the Public Health 2929 Service Act, as amended by 21st Century Cures, to give the 2930 director of the NIH authority to appoint directors of the NIH 2931 centers and institutes. 2932 But the majority of Republicans have been going around 2933 huffing and puffing and investigating on that topic, 2934 suggesting that the director of NIH doesn't have that 2935 authority, when that "acting through' \ language is clearly in 2936 that statute, as well. So they have ignored the portion of 2937

2938 the statute that says that the Secretary makes such appointments by "acting through' the director. 2939 2940 So I just -- I wanted to be sure we all understood how this language operates, what it means before we are 2941 introducing in this bill the same language here and creating 2942 any more confusion on that issue. 2943 So I appreciate you clarifying the language for me here, 2944 and what it means so that we can understand that going 2945 forward and avoid that confusion. 2946 With that, Mr. Chairman, I will yield back. 2947 2948 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back. The chair will recognize the gentleman from -- the chair recognizes the 2949 gentleman from Indiana for five minutes -- oh, the gentlelady 2950 from Washington, the chair. 2951 *The Chair. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 2952 *Mr. Guthrie. For five -- for what purpose does the 2953 gentlelady from Washington seek recognition? 2954 2955 *The Chair. I seek recognition to strike the last word. *Mr. Guthrie. The chair is recognized for five minutes. 2956 *The Chair. Thank you, I appreciate some time here. 2957 I would just like to clarify that I believe there is a 2958

```
2959
      distinction between the past -- the "acting through'
      language that is being referenced in this bill and the
2960
      "acting through' \ language of NIH, when it comes to the
2961
      appointments, because the appointments -- when you are
2962
      appointing someone that triggers the appointment clause of
2963
      the Constitution. And in the case of the appointments of the
2964
      IC directors for NIH, Health and Human Services Secretary
2965
      Becerra failed to follow the Constitution and the law in
2966
      making those appointments that should have been made back in
2967
      December of 2021.
2968
2969
           I yield back.
           *Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady yields --
2970
           *Mr. Burgess. Will the gentlelady yield?
2971
           *The Chair. Yes, I will yield.
2972
           *Mr. Burgess. Yes, I am just going to make a brief
2973
2974
      comment.
           As a practicing physician, we have dealt with drug
2975
      shortages for decades. It is a serious problem that needs
2976
      serious solutions. It is multi-faceted. It is very -- a
2977
      very difficult problem to address and solve. And each drug
2978
      is different. And as an -- adding that to PAHPA is just not
2979
```

2980 the appropriate place to address that. We need to have a longer bipartisan discussion on that issue. 2981 2982 And so I firmly believe that including that type of language in this legislation is misplaced, and should be 2983 addressed at a later date. 2984 I yield. 2985 *The Chair. Okay. Reclaiming my time, today's markup 2986 continues the legislative process to ensure that the Federal 2987 Government is better equipped to handle any threat the 2988 American public -- whether it is chemical, nuclear, 2989 2990 biological, radiological, or cyber-type attack. I would like to thank Representative Hudson for 2991 introducing H.R. 4420, the Preparedness and Response 2992 Reauthorization Act, and H.R. 4421, The Preparing for All-2993 Hazards and Pathogens Reauthorization Act. And I would like 2994 to thank my colleagues on both sides of the aisle for working 2995 hard on a number of bipartisan policies in these bills that 2996 will bring thoughtful and targeted reforms to ASPR and CDC. 2997 These two bills continue critical programs that make 2998 targeted improvements so that we are better prepared. We saw 2999 early in the pandemic how the Federal Government could have 3000

3001 been better positioned to leverage public-private partnerships to scale up, scale up the much-needed 3002 3003 diagnostics and therapies. And the message is clear in this bill that the Federal Government needs to be a better --3004 better prepared and a better partner to the amazing 3005 innovators we have here in the United States of America. 3006 I also want to thank Mr. Hudson for including a 3007 provision that was a priority for me: addressing crisis 3008 standards of care. During the COVID-19 pandemic it was so 3009 concerning to see states put protocols in place for hospitals 3010 to permit the rationing of care in a way that discriminated 3011 to those with disabilities. It is clear that there is more 3012 that we need to do now to put in best practices and model 3013 3014 quidelines so this doesn't happen again. H.R. 4421 and 4420 reflect a clear bipartisan effort to 3015 examine ways to streamline authorities and enhance 3016 transparency and accountability across CDC, ASPR, and BARDA, 3017 so that they will be better positioned to respond and lead 3018 effectively for future threats. It is critical that we work 3019 together to advance the legislation before us before 3020 September 30 and the expiration that will take place. And I 3021

3022 am committed to continue to work with bipartisan support. But I also want to quickly speak on the FDA drug and 3023 3024 device policies not included in either H.R. 4420 and 4421, which the minority has thus far cited as the reason for 3025 turning this into a partisan bill where it should not be. 3026 It is true that, historically, reauthorizations of these 3027 authorities have included FDA policies targeted to 3028 facilitating the development of medical countermeasures, or 3029 drugs and devices necessary to respond to a threat such as 3030 smallpox vaccines, anthrax antitoxins, and medicines 3031 3032 necessary for radiological and nuclear emergencies. Clearly, the clinical trials in demonstrating these 3033 products as safe and effective is different than those drugs 3034 3035 with commercial markets. And Congress asked FDA to put out specific quidance and provide a special authority for FDA for 3036 those products. No such bipartisan priorities have been 3037 raised thus far by the majority or the minority. 3038 The device and drug bills grant sweeping authority to 3039 the FDA to demand information, duplicates information they 3040 already have, and is no way limited to public health 3041 emergency response. I am committed to addressing drug 3042

```
3043
      shortages, and have announced intentions of releasing a
      discussion draft. I am happy to work with my Democrat
3044
3045
      colleagues. This must be addressed, but we must root out the
      cause and the economics behind this -- these shortages.
3046
           I have more to say, but I have run out of time. I will
3047
      yield back and look for another opportunity. Thank you.
3048
           *Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady yields back. Is anyone
3049
      seeking recognition for purposes of speaking on the bill?
3050
           The gentlelady from Massachusetts, for what purpose do
3051
      you seek recognition?
3052
           *Mrs. Trahan. I move to strike the last word.
3053
           *Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady is recognized.
3054
           *Mrs. Trahan. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
3055
           As a co-founder and co-chair of the bipartisan Pandemic
3056
      Preparedness Caucus, I have taken every opportunity in this
3057
      committee to highlight the unique opportunity we have before
3058
      us to take the lessons learned through the COVID-19 pandemic
3059
      and apply them in a way that better prepares our health care
3060
      system to respond to future unknown threats to public health.
3061
           At the beginning of the pandemic, every member on this
3062
      committee heard countless cases of nurses and doctors reusing
3063
```

3064 masks and wearing trash bags to protect themselves. We all saw states racing against each other and the Federal 3065 3066 Government for shipments of PPE, and we saw our hospitals begging ventilator companies for just one more device to help 3067 keep a patient alive. In order to prevent a scenario like 3068 this from ever happening again, it is critical that we use 3069 this reauthorization as an opportunity to legislate 3070 thoughtfully. 3071 There are good parts of the legislation before us. 3072 glad that my bill, the Disease X Act, is included in this 3073 3074 reauthorization so that we can better develop medical countermeasures for future viral threats with pandemic 3075 potential. But I am worried that, as drafted, this 3076 3077 reauthorization will fail to better protect us from the next 3078 pandemic. A number of proposals to strengthen policies that would 3079 address drug shortages that we saw then and are faced with 3080 right now, as well as strengthen our supply chain, were 3081 excluded. And the funding levels, as Congressman Hudson 3082 indicated, they risk being far too inadequate. Coupled 3083 together, I fear that with the reauthorization as drafted, we 3084

```
3085
      are dooming ourselves to repeat the dark days of the
      pandemic, and it is for that reason that I plan to vote no
3086
3087
      today.
           However, it is my hope that the majority on this
3088
      committee is willing to work with us to improve this
3089
      reauthorization before the full committee markup. We have a
3090
      chance to do what most other committees in this chamber fail
3091
      at time and time again, to pass a bill with bipartisan
3092
      support that will save American lives. And I compel my
3093
      colleagues on the other side of the dais to seize this
3094
3095
      opportunity. Indeed, it is our job to apply the lessons
      learned from the past three years so that we are better
3096
      prepared the next time around.
3097
3098
           Let's work together and make this bill better.
      encourage my colleagues to oppose this reauthorization as
3099
      written, and I yield back the balance of my time.
3100
           *Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady yields back. The chair
3101
      now recognizes Mr. Carter.
3102
           For what purpose do you seek recognition?
3103
           *Mr. Carter. I move to strike the last word.
3104
           *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman is recognized.
3105
```

```
3106
            *Mr. Carter. Mr. Chairman, in response to my colleague
      on the other side of the aisle, Mr. Sarbanes and his
3107
3108
      questions about some of this legislation here, I would like
      to read a letter from the Department of Health and Human
3109
      Services from the Assistant Secretary for Legislation,
3110
      Melanie Egorin, and it says, "NIH IC directors are
3111
      recommended for appointment by the NIH director and approved
3112
      for appointment by the Secretary of the Department of Health
3113
      and Human Services.' \
3114
           It goes on to say, "Once a suitable candidate has been
3115
3116
      identified, a selection package is forwarded to the HHS
      Secretary for review and approval. After the HHS Secretary
3117
      approves the appointment, ethics and security clearance
3118
      checks are conducted, and NIH processes the appointment
3119
      action.' '
3120
           I think this clears up the questions that were being
3121
      brought up about this, and I would like to submit this for
3122
3123
      the record.
           *Mr. Guthrie. Without objection, so ordered.
3124
3125
           [The information follows:]
3126
```

3127		
3128	********COMMITTEE	INSERT*******
3129		

```
3130
           *Mr. Carter. I yield back.
           *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back. Is anyone
3131
3132
      seeking recognition on the bill on the Democrat side?
           Seeing none, the chair recognizes himself.
3133
           I first want to thank our counsel. This is a good time
3134
      to make a nice announcement.
3135
3136
           Kaitlyn Peterson, thanks for being here and
      substituting.
3137
           She got called off the bench because we had -- our
3138
      counsel that has worked on this bill since the beginning left
3139
3140
      a few weeks earlier than we thought she was going to leave.
      But I am proud to announce, according to social media, Molly
3141
      Lolli, our counsel, and her four-and-a-half-pound baby, are
3142
      doing -- a little girl -- are doing amazing, according to
3143
      social -- I mean, social media. So we are proud of her.
3144
           And thanks for stepping in at the last minute, and being
3145
      here for today. And we appreciate your work, and
3146
      congratulations to -- as all of our staffs, we get to know
3147
      and appreciate all of our staffs, and this great time in
3148
      Molly's life. And it appears everything is going well, even
3149
      though the baby is a little early.
3150
```

3151 So I will now yield my time to the chair of the full committee, Mrs. Rodgers, Chair Rodgers. 3152 3153 *The Chair. Thank you, thank you. Yes, congratulations, Molly. One of those premature 3154 babies that we were talking about a little bit earlier. 3155 And I just wanted to add just a little bit more on the 3156 drug shortages. You know, I am here as -- yes, I have the 3157 honor of serving as the chair of this committee. I am also a 3158 mom. I have read the stories, heart-wrenching stories of the 3159 drug shortages, the families, especially pediatric oncology, 3160 when you hear the stories of kids that don't have access to 3161 the drugs. I am absolutely committed to addressing the issue 3162 around drug shortages, and believe that we must, as a 3163 3164 committee, work on this. Obviously, this committee has jurisdiction over many 3165 programs that are major payers of drugs in shortage or 3166 programs that affect the price of these necessary medicines. 3167 We did an FDA-only approach to shortages in this committee a 3168 little over 10 years ago in the 2012 user fee bill. 3169 of drugs in shortage in 2012 are in shortage again. 3170 don't want to be having this conversation 10 years from now. 3171

```
3172
           Let's move these important reauthorizations forward in a
      bipartisan way, and then let's look, let's turn back, and
3173
      look at all the programs across the board, yes, and come up
3174
      with a comprehensive solution to the drug shortages.
3175
           As I said earlier, I believe that we need to get to the
3176
      root causes and the economics behind these drug shortages,
3177
      and to make sure that for our U.S. patients, they have the
3178
      access to the drugs that they need and we are not continuing
3179
      to be dependent upon so many other countries, the APIs, and
3180
      the manufacturers in America.
3181
3182
           So with that, I am ready to get to work and I yield
      back.
3183
           *Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady yields back to me, and I
3184
      just want to say that, you know, hopefully, as we are talking
3185
      about what is going on, and what our discussions of what is
3186
      going on with the appointments with the Secretary, that all
3187
      of us would defend the legislative branch first.
3188
      clearly states the HHS Secretary needs to make these
3189
      appointments, and we tried to work with them to make the
3190
      appointments.
3191
           We have got to -- like I say, they have kind of
```

3192

```
3193
      acknowledged, because they are reappointing a post after the
      fact. And so how do you reappoint Dr. Fauci when he wasn't -
3194
3195
      - when he was retired? And those are the kind of things that
      we need to get at. And hopefully -- and if my side of the
3196
      aisle has the presidency next time, we stand up for the law
3197
      that we passed, and the legislative branch, where we are,
3198
      first and foremost.
3199
           I will yield back, and any further discussion on the
3200
      bill?
3201
           Seeing no further discussion, are there any --
3202
           *Ms. Eshoo. I have an amendment --
3203
           *Mr. Guthrie. -- bipartisan amendments to the bill?
3204
           *Ms. Eshoo. No.
3205
           *Mr. Guthrie. Seeing no bipartisan amendments to the
3206
      bill, are there other amendments?
3207
           The gentlelady from California, for what purpose do you
3208
      seek recognition?
3209
           *Ms. Eshoo. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the
3210
      desk.
3211
           *Mr. Guthrie. The clerk will report.
3212
           *The Clerk. Amendment to H.R. 4421, offered by Ms.
3213
```

```
3214
     Eshoo.
          *Mr. Bucshon. Mr. --
3215
3216
          *Mr. Guthrie. Without objection -- the gentleman from
     Indiana is recognized.
3217
          *Mr. Bucshon. I reserve a point of order against the
3218
     amendment.
3219
          *Mr. Guthrie. A point of order is reserved.
3220
          Without objection, the reading of the amendment is
3221
     dispensed with.
3222
          [The amendment of Ms. Eshoo follows:]
3223
3224
     3225
3226
```

3227 *Mr. Guthrie. And the gentlelady is recognized for five minutes in support of the amendment. 3228 3229 *Ms. Eshoo. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. The crippling inadequacies of America's drug supply have 3230 become a life-threatening crisis now, especially for small 3231 children with cancer. I have been a broken record on this, 3232 but it is essential to be one. 3233 In order to address critical drug shortages, our country 3234 needs to know where drugs and their ingredients are 3235 originally sourced from. My amendment addresses this lack of 3236 3237 transparency, and directly improves our nation's public health security and all-hazards preparedness and response 3238 through two, I think, very solid policies. 3239 3240 First it requires the HHS Secretary to issue a regulation that all foreign drug manufacturers involved in 3241 the preparation of a drug for sale in the United States shall 3242 report their identity and the amount of drugs, Active 3243 3244 Pharmaceutical Ingredients, or other critical ingredients they are producing. 3245 The purpose of this policy is to finally shine a light 3246 on China's grip on our pharmaceutical drug supply chain. 3247

3248 This is a national security issue that demands Congress's immediate attention. 3249 3250 And I am not a newcomer to this issue at all. I know colleagues know the number of years that I have been on this. 3251 As Rosemary Gibson, a senior adviser at the Hastings 3252 Center, testified to our subcommittee, "If China shut the 3253 door on exports of medicines and their key ingredients and 3254 raw material, U.S. hospitals and military hospitals and their 3255 clinics would cease to function within months, if not days.' ' 3256 So far, our efforts to take back control of our nation's 3257 3258 drug supply chain have been stymied because our government is in the dark about exactly what manufacturers we rely on for 3259 our critical medicines. If we pass this transparency policy, 3260 our government and other experts could monitor the country's 3261 upstream pharmaceutical supply chain to identify potential 3262 trigger points that could lead to supply chain vulnerability 3263 and predict and prevent drug shortages before they happen. 3264 3265 The amendment's second policy will also help secure and prepare our nation by using market forces to bring drug 3266 manufacturing back to the United States. The policy will 3267 require drugs to include on their public label the name and 3268

3269 place of business and the unique facility identifier of the original manufacturer of the drug and its API. This will 3270 3271 close the U.S. Customs law loophole, which, unlike other products, doesn't require that the sources of a drug's 3272 ingredients be disclosed. 3273 This will help move the market back to the United States 3274 because patients, wholesalers, and hospitals would be able to 3275 make the informed choice of buying a product that is made in 3276 America or, if they so choose, made in China. Are my 3277 Republican colleagues going to vote against a bill that will 3278 3279 finally put Made in America on our drugs? I think that is 3280 where we are. So, Mr. Chairman, as the sole original author of PAHPA 3281 remaining in the Congress, both House and Senate, I know that 3282 these policies are directly in line with the intent and the 3283 purpose of the PAHPA legislation, and would go a long way 3284 toward making our nation more secure, more prepared, and less 3285 3286 dependent. I know that there are -- there is issue with placing 3287 this in the legislation. Republicans don't want it in the 3288 underlying bill, they don't want it in the CDC, it is not --3289

3290 I don't think it is appropriate to be in the CDC bill -- and don't want it in ASPR. So it is just -- you know, it can't 3291 3292 be behind door one, it can't be behind door two, it can't be 3293 behind door three. Now, the chairwoman has said she is in discussion draft 3294 and whatever. We want to take on the entire drug industry 3295 between now and, what, September, or before we leave here. 3296 That is -- it is not going to get done, it really isn't. I 3297 respect the fact that there is a recognition that we have a 3298 crisis. But the fact of the matter is we are not doing 3299 3300 anything about it. And the people in our country, patients across the country, deserve so much better. 3301 This is a must-pass bill. Why not put something in it 3302 that should be passed relative to the drug shortage crisis in 3303 3304 our country? So with that, I yield back the balance of my time. 3305 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady yields back. Is anyone 3306 seeking recognition? 3307 The gentleman from North Carolina, for what purpose do 3308 you seek recognition? 3309 *Mr. Hudson. I move to strike the last word. 3310

3311 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman is recognized. *Mr. Hudson. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Unfortunately, 3312 3313 the amendment before us and its companions overlook the sheer amount of authorities Congress has already given FDA over the 3314 last several years aimed at improving the drug supply chain, 3315 and the fact that economic reasons are the primary drivers of 3316 the tragic drug shortages we are hearing about every day. 3317 We must examine what information FDA already has access 3318 to, and what they are doing with this information to 3319 meaningfully mitigate shortages. More information alone is 3320 3321 not going to solve this problem. For the vast majority of drugs currently on the drug 3322 shortage list, a company must list its API suppliers as part 3323 of getting the drug approved. For every drug with an 3324 approved application, FDA knows the API suppliers. And if a 3325 company wants to add a new API supplier, the FDA must be 3326 notified before the company can switch to that supplier, 3327 allowing FDA the chance to inspect the facility. 3328 I understand the FDA may not know how reliant a drug 3329 manufacturer is on any one of the listed facilities, and that 3330 may be an area to consider targeting with targeted, 3331

```
actionable steps to get the information FDA needs in the
3332
      least burdensome way, and I am open to working with my
3333
3334
      colleague on this. Maybe we can find door number four.
           In addition to these basics on how drug registration and
3335
      listing works, FDA already has a number of authorities
3336
      through drug shortage notification requirements and know-
3337
      your-supplier good manufacturing practices.
3338
           Ultimately, the amendment before us would impose
3339
      burdensome requirements on manufacturers while yielding
3340
      limited value on return, given that it is not evident how FDA
3341
3342
      is utilizing its current authorities over the supply chain.
           There may be more targeted solutions we can work
3343
      together on API. If my Democrat colleagues would join us in
3344
      working on a separate drug shortage discussion draft, I am
3345
      wide open to doing that, and I think it is really important.
3346
           And so I oppose including this amendment in H.R. 4421,
3347
      and recommend my colleagues do the same.
3348
3349
           *Mr. Pallone. Would the gentleman yield?
           *Mr. Hudson. And with that I would be happy to yield --
3350
           *Mr. Pallone. Would the gentleman yield to me?
3351
           *Mr. Hudson. I would be happy to yield.
3352
```

```
3353
           *Mr. Pallone. I mean, the problem that I see is we went
      through the COVID period, right? We saw all these problems
3354
3355
      with the supply chain, and the shortages that are with us
      now. I mean, I mentioned, you know, I had a roundtable last
3356
      week at St. Peter's Hospital in New Brunswick, and there --
3357
      literally, oncologists came there and said, "I have to ration
3358
      the drugs. I tell my patients the drugs are not available,
3359
      the chemotherapy.' '
3360
           So, I mean, I don't want to put words in the gentleman's
3361
3362
      mouth, but you seem to suggest that -- not that everything is
3363
      okay, but that FDA already has the authority, they can deal
      with this supply chain problem. But that is not the case.
3364
      And I don't think -- I think that we have an obligation now
3365
      -- not in two weeks, not in September, not whenever -- to
3366
      address this now. And --
3367
           *Mr. Hudson. Well, reclaiming my time --
3368
           *Mr. Pallone. Sure.
3369
           *Mr. Hudson. I appreciate the gentleman's comments, and
3370
      I am certainly not saying everything is fine. I am with you.
3371
      The house is on fire, and we need to do something about it.
3372
           But what I am suggesting is to just say let's get more
3373
```

information to FDA, and then wipe our hands and say we have 3374 solved the problem, now all APIs are going to be made in 3375 3376 America -- we are lying to ourselves. That is not going to solve the problem, especially when FDA already has all this 3377 authority to collect all this information already, and it is 3378 not clear to us what they are doing with that information. 3379 Why are they not already addressing some of these issues? 3380 And so what I am simply saying is let's not just throw a 3381 whole lot more information authority to them. Let's take a 3382 very thoughtful look at what are the authorities they already 3383 3384 have, where are their shortfalls? And maybe we need to give them additional authority, and I am open to that. But I 3385 think we need to do this in a very careful, thoughtful way. 3386 Let's not just throw a whole lot more authority to them so 3387 they can collect a whole lot more information, putting 3388 burdens on private sector, and then say, "Oh, we have done 3389 our job,'' wash our hands, pat ourselves on the back when it 3390 is not going to have any impact. 3391 And so that is where we are. I am open to this 3392 discussion. I am open to Democrat ideas. But I want to do 3393 this in a way that is going to make a difference. I don't 3394

want to just do something so we can pat ourselves on the back 3395 and say we did something. We need to solve this problem. 3396 3397 And so with that, Mr. Chair, I yield back. *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back. Is anyone 3398 3399 seeking recognition? *Mr. Bucshon. Mr. Chair? 3400 *Mr. Guthrie. So whose time would be the next --3401 *Mr. Bucshon. Mr. Chair? 3402 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman from New Jersey, and then 3403 we will -- the gentleman from New Jersey, for what purpose do 3404 3405 you seek recognition? *Mr. Pallone. To speak in support of the Eshoo --3406 *Mr. Guthrie. Okay, the gentleman is recognized. 3407 *Mr. Pallone. Look, the problem that I see here is we 3408 have this drug shortage crisis. It is real. It is here. It 3409 is not, you know, something that is down the road. 3410 We had five bills that we proposed, which the majority 3411 refuses to consider today. And I suspect they are going to 3412 argue germaneness, but we will see. They don't want to 3413 consider them. And these are things that the FDA says that 3414 they support. They need these additional authorities in 3415

order to address the drug crisis. 3416 Now, you may say, well, I don't believe the FDA, they 3417 3418 don't know what they are talking about. I think this is the problem. I think the problem that I see here is that 3419 increasingly what we see on the Republican side -- and not 3420 necessarily from all of you, but from the more extremists 3421 which are not here, thankfully, on the committee -- that just 3422 think that all actions that Federal agencies take or 3423 everything they do is not -- is, you know, incompetent, 3424 somehow not -- they don't trust the agencies. 3425 3426 And to be honest, when I go before the Rules Committee -- and some of you have been before the Rules Committee, and 3427 we see some of the, you know, free -- I say extremists there, 3428 who are now on the committee, appointed after the Speaker, 3429 you know, made his agreement in order to get the votes to be 3430 Speaker, they literally say they don't trust the agencies. 3431 They don't trust the FDA, they don't trust CMS. 3432 They don't trust anybody because -- and trust the FBI. 3433 therefore, they want -- you know, Congress is supposed to do 3434 all this on their own, right? We are not supposed to give 3435 any authority to the agencies because they don't know what 3436

they are doing. 3437 And I mean, I am concerned that this extreme right-wing 3438 attitude towards saying that the agencies don't know what 3439 they are doing, and let's not give them additional 3440 authorities, even if they ask for it, is now permeating this 3441 committee. And that is why you don't want to include these 3442 bills, not because you personally think they are a bad idea -3443 - you haven't actually said that, you said we need more time, 3444 we will look at this and, you know, we will get back to you 3445 after Labor Day, whatever. I don't know. 3446 3447 But I mean, the problem is we can't go down this path where the tail is wagging the dog, where, you know, these 3448 extremists, whether on the Rules Committee or wherever they 3449 are, dictate policy. We know there is a drug shortage. It 3450 has to be addressed. The FDA has said that this is a way of 3451 addressing it. 3452 I am not saying that these five bills are going to solve 3453 all the problems, but it is certainly a rational way to 3454 proceed, and it is certainly something that the FDA, in a 3455 rational way, has explained why they need these authorities. 3456 And for you to say, no, we are not going to bring it up I 3457

think is just a political decision because the extreme right 3458 in the party won't allow it. And you are afraid that if it 3459 3460 goes to the floor with those, you know, they are going to scream and holler, or whatever they do -- or at Rules. 3461 So I don't want to I don't want to denigrate you, Mr. 3462 Hudson, or anybody else, because I think you are 3463 well-meaning. But at some point somebody on the other side 3464 of the aisle is going to have to face the reality. You are 3465 either going to work with us to come up with rational, sound 3466 policy or you are going to give in to these extremists 3467 because you are afraid how they are going to -- you know, 3468 what they are going to do or what they are going to say about 3469 you, I don't know. 3470 But I just think to suggest that what we are putting 3471 forward needs more study or hasn't been looked at enough is 3472 not accurate. These are -- what we are proposing in our five 3473 bills are not anything that really are that difficult to go 3474 along with. They are just rational ways of addressing this 3475 drug shortage problem, which is at a crisis right now. 3476 And with that, I will yield, but I support Ms. Eshoo's 3477 amendment, and hope that there is not an effort to exclude it 3478

```
on procedural grounds.
3479
           *Mr. Guthrie.
                           The gentleman yields back.
3480
3481
           *Mr. Bucshon.
                          Mr. Chair?
           *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman from Indiana, for what
3482
3483
      purpose do you seek recognition?
           *Mr. Bucshon. I insist on the point of order.
3484
           *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman will state his point of
3485
      order.
3486
           *Mr. Bucshon. Mr. Chair, the amendment violates clause
3487
      7 of Rule XVI of the Rules of the House because it is not
3488
3489
      germane to the underlying bill.
           Specifically, this bill will reauthorize programs to
3490
      support public health during times of insecurity due to
3491
      international threats, cybersecurity attacks, and other
3492
      public health emergencies. It is designed to ensure that we
3493
      have a Strategic National Stockpile, countermeasures for
3494
      health emergencies, and other protections against the
3495
3496
      unknown.
           This amendment, on the other hand, attempts to lump in
3497
      what has, sadly, become a chronic -- in fact, decades-old --
3498
      issue into a bill concerning preparing for extraordinary
3499
```

```
3500
      circumstances.
           Clearly, there are market failures that need to be
3501
3502
      addressed to stop the pattern of shortages with cancer drugs,
      with infant formula, with children's cold medicine, and so
3503
      on. But that is not the subject matter or the purpose of
3504
      this bill.
3505
           In offering this amendment to a bill on hazard
3506
      preparedness, Democrats are conflating a broad drug shortage
3507
      du jour with preparing ourselves against threats. They are
3508
      trying to add a separate individual proposition to the
3509
3510
      individual proposition of hazard preparedness.
           Mr. Chair, the amendment is not germane. I yield back.
3511
           *Mr. Guthrie. Does any member --
3512
           *Ms. Eshoo. Would the gentleman yield?
3513
           *Mr. Guthrie. -- wish to be heard on the amendment?
3514
           *Ms. Eshoo. Would the gentleman yield? Would the
3515
3516
      gentleman please --
           *Mr. Guthrie. Do you wish to be heard on the amendment?
3517
           The gentlelady is recognized for five minutes to be
3518
      heard --
3519
           *Ms. Eshoo. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I would just like
3520
```

```
3521
      to state that medical countermeasure is a drug.
           *Voice. No, no, you want an expert. You want to be
3522
3523
      heard --
           *Mr. Pallone. I am just going to -- here on the point
3524
3525
      of order.
           *Mr. Guthrie. Yes, you want to speak on the point of --
3526
      do you want to --
3527
           *Ms. Eshoo. That was it. That is all I wanted to say
3528
      for the record. Go ahead.
3529
           *Mr. Pallone. Oh, all right.
3530
3531
           *Mr. Guthrie. Does anyone want to --
           *Ms. Eshoo. I will restate it. A medical
3532
      countermeasure is a drug.
3533
           *Mr. Pallone. Okay.
3534
           *Mr. Guthrie. Do you yield the rest of your time to
3535
      Frank? Because you got --
3536
           *Mr. Pallone. Oh, you want to yield to me? Okay.
3537
           *Mr. Guthrie. -- a minute and 15 seconds.
3538
           *Mr. Pallone. Well, let me just say the -- what was
3539
      just said by my Republican colleague about how we are
3540
      inflating, I think he said that we are inflating the problem.
3541
```

```
3542
      I don't think that is true.
           *Mr. Bucshon. Conflating.
3543
3544
           *Mr. Pallone. Unflating?
           *Mr. Bucshon. Putting two issues that are not germane
3545
3546
      with each other.
           *Mr. Pallone. Oh, okay, unflating. All right. Maybe I
3547
      haven't -- I didn't understand unflating versus inflating.
3548
      Okay.
3549
           Well, it is not --
3550
           *Mr. Bucshon. For clarification --
3551
3552
           *Mr. Pallone. We are not inflating or unflating.
           [Laughter.]
3553
           *Voice. Conflating.
3554
           *Mr. Pallone. As you know --
3555
3556
           *Mr. Bucshon. Or deflating.
           *Mr. Pallone. Or deflating. As you know, the
3557
      germaneness requirement of Rule XVI, clause 7 requires that
3558
      the amendment be on the subject of the text proposed to be
3559
      amended.
3560
           While most of the provisions of H.R. 4421 are limited to
3561
      the public health emergency preparedness and response subject
3562
```

3563 matter traditionally associated with PAHPA, the subject matter of the bill is broadened to public health measures due 3564 3565 to the inclusion of the reauthorization of the Mission Zero 3566 Grant program. Section 202, the Military and Civilian Partnership for 3567 Trauma Readiness, reauthorizes a grant program that is 3568 focused on increasing the availability of medical staff at 3569 civilian trauma centers to meet the needs of patients who use 3570 these centers each day. According to the committee report 3571 for the standalone version of the Mission Zero Act, which was 3572 subsequently incorporated in the last reauthorization of 3573 PAHPA, the purpose of the bill is to "establish a grant 3574 program for military civilian partnerships in trauma care, 3575 which will allow both sectors to benefit from the other's 3576 expertise and experience.' ' 3577 Further, "Increasing military civilian partnerships is a 3578 critical step towards achieving the goal of zero preventable 3579 injury deaths, as highlighted in the 2016 National Academies 3580 of Sciences' Engineering and Medicine Report, a national 3581 trauma care system integrating military and civilian trauma 3582 systems to achieve zero preventable deaths after injury.' ' 3583

3584 While strengthening trauma systems as a part of preparing for public health emergencies, the goal of the 3585 3586 grant program authorized by this provision is much broader. The amendment offered by Ms. Eshoo would help the 3587 Department of Health and Human Services to better prepare for 3588 public health emergencies by requiring drug sponsors to 3589 report their suppliers to the Secretary and include suppliers 3590 of Active Pharmaceutical Ingredients on drug labels. 3591 bringing transparency to the drug supply chain, the amendment 3592 will improve the Secretary's understanding of our nation's 3593 3594 drug supply, and will inform the Department's actions responding to drug shortages and supply challenges caused or 3595 worsened by pandemics and other emergent public health 3596 3597 threats. If we lose an API facility during as a result or as a 3598 result of a public health emergency or CVR and threat, the 3599 Secretary will not know the impact of the plant closure on 3600 3601 the drug supply chain and will be unprepared to act. is clear that the subject matter of this amendment falls 3602 within the public health measures, subject matter of the 3603 underlying bill. Therefore, the pending amendment should be 3604

```
3605
      ruled in order.
           And with that I yield back, unless -- oh, I yield back
3606
3607
      to Ms. Eshoo.
           It is your time.
3608
           *Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady --
3609
           *Ms. Eshoo. And I yield back.
3610
           *Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady yields back. Is anyone
3611
      seeking recognition on the point of order?
3612
           The chair is ready to rule. Dr. Bucshon makes a point
3613
      of order that the amendment offered by Ms. Eshoo of
3614
3615
      California is not germane. Clause 7 of Rule XVI, the
      germaneness rule, provides that no proposition on a subject
3616
      different from that under consideration will be admitted
3617
3618
      under the color of amendment.
           The legislation we are considering would amend the
3619
      Public Health Service Act to reauthorize programs addressing
3620
      public health security and all-hazards response. It proposes
3621
      reauthorizing the Administration for Strategic Preparedness
3622
      and Response. However, the amendment proposes adding an
3623
      entirely different individual proposition to address the
3624
      origin of pharmaceutical ingredients, which already has a
3625
```

3626 bill, which is H.R. 3810. And so it is kind of Mr. Griffith's classic apples and 3627 3628 oranges. It proposes updates that would normally be under the purview of the Food and Drug Administration, and be added 3629 to the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act. Although the 3630 amendment avoids addressing the FDA, it still seeks to add a 3631 new and unrelated proposition to the bill. 3632 The chair finds that the amendment, which has a 3633 different subject matter and adds a separate individual 3634 proposition to the underlying bill, is not germane, and the 3635 3636 point of order is sustained. Are there any other amendments to the bill? 3637 For what purpose does the gentleman from Maryland seek 3638 recognition? 3639 *Mr. Sarbanes. I have an amendment at the desk. 3640 *Mr. Guthrie. The clerk shall report. 3641 *The Clerk. Amendment to H.R. 4421, offered by Mr. 3642 3643 Sarbanes. *Mr. Guthrie. Without objection, the reading of the 3644 amendment --3645 *Mr. Bucshon. Mr. Chair, Mr. Chair. 3646

3647	*Mr. Guthrie. Does the gentleman from Indiana seek
3648	recognition?
3649	*Mr. Bucshon. I reserve a point of order against the
3650	amendment.
3651	*Mr. Guthrie. A point of order is reserved.
3652	Without objection, the reading of the amendment is
3653	dispensed with.
3654	[The amendment of Mr. Sarbanes follows:]
3655	
3656	*********COMMITTEE INSERT******
3657	

3658 *Mr. Guthrie. And the gentleman is recognized for five minutes in support of the amendment. 3659 3660 *Mr. Sarbanes. Thanks very much, Mr. Chairman. As we have been saying -- but it is worth saying again 3661 -- PAHPA reauthorization offers us the perfect opportunity to 3662 take the lessons we learned from the public health crises 3663 over the past three years and apply them to reforms that will 3664 make sure all of our public health agencies are more prepared 3665 to effectively respond to current and future challenges. 3666 That is why I am offering an amendment today that would 3667 3668 have a tangible impact on a current challenge we are facing that is, as it stands, completely unaddressed by the PAHPA 3669 reauthorization bills my Republican colleagues have put 3670 forward: drug shortages. I mean, this is a theme here, 3671 obviously. It is something we feel very strongly about. 3672 This is the appropriate place and opportunity to build 3673 in provisions and measures that can address drug shortages, 3674 not in a week, or two weeks, or four weeks, or whatever it 3675 is, but now. 3676 Under existing law, drug manufacturers are required to 3677 notify FDA when a drug is facing a shortage because of 3678

supply-side issues -- for example, a shortage of ingredients 3679 or a production plant shut-down. But manufacturers are not 3680 3681 required to notify FDA when a drug is experiencing a shortage due to demand-side issues, which is just as important, if you 3682 think about it. In some ways, maybe even more important, 3683 because if demand is going up it shows there is a real need 3684 for something under particular circumstances. This can leave 3685 FDA without the information it needs to be able to leverage 3686 its expertise to ensure that patients do not lose access to 3687 necessary and, in many cases, as we know, lifesaving drugs. 3688 3689 Every day we hear more stories of patients struggling to access their medications, be it the stories of parents who 3690 couldn't find pain medication or antibiotics for their 3691 children during the tripledemic of flu, RSV, and COVID-19 3692 last year -- we are all familiar with those stories -- or 3693 those of the cancer patients who are having to skip or delay 3694 chemotherapy treatments. These types of shortages impact 3695 patients every single day. And in public health emergencies 3696 they are only exacerbated. 3697 My amendment is one of several proposals that committee 3698 Democrats, as you know, are putting forward to address drug 3699

```
3700
      shortages. In fact, it reflects the text of a bipartisan
      bill that Representative Sara Jacobs introduced: the Drug
3701
3702
      Shortage Prevention Act. Simply put, it would require
      manufacturers to expediently notify FDA when critical
3703
      essential medicines they produce experience spikes in demand
3704
      that are sustained for six consecutive weeks. This amendment
3705
      would thereby empower FDA to act to alert patients and
3706
      providers, and ensure manufacturers take the necessary steps
3707
      to improve demand predictability.
3708
           This is a common-sense solution. It is one with
3709
3710
      bipartisan support.
           It is really unconscionable, Mr. Chairman, that we, as
3711
      members of this committee, would not use the opportunity
3712
      presented to us today by the PAHPA reauthorization process to
3713
      address FDA policy as we have been -- as we have done,
3714
      historically, in every other PAHPA reauthorization. And it
3715
      is unconscionable that we would not take expedient action to
3716
      combat drug shortage issues before they continue to worsen.
3717
      It is something that we have to do, and we have to do it
3718
      without delay.
3719
           So I would urge my colleagues to support this amendment
3720
```

3721 and, Mr. Chairman, I yield back my time. *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back. Is anyone 3722 3723 seeking recognition on the amendment? Mr. Hudson, for what purpose do you seek recognition? 3724 *Mr. Hudson. I move to strike the last word in 3725 opposition to the amendment. 3726 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman is recognized. 3727 *Mr. Hudson. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I urge my 3728 colleagues to reject this amendment. 3729 Once again, Republicans are committed to addressing the 3730 3731 root causes of the drug shortage issue, but it is not clear how this amendment would help. 3732 Experts, stakeholders, even the FDA all agree that 3733 economics are the driving underlying factor of the drug 3734 shortage we are facing. The fact is government and market 3735 incentives have made it economically difficult for companies 3736 to have a business case for manufacturing many of these 3737 generic drugs. 3738 In 2020 we gave the FDA additional authorities to 3739 address shortages through data collection. However, as 3740 reported in Stat just this morning, they have not taken 3741

3742 advantage of these new authorities. Despite the fact that FDA isn't using their existing authorities, Democrats are 3743 3744 saying the way to solve the shortage issue is to give the FDA more authority to collect even more data. 3745 This amendment will require manufacturers to submit data 3746 to HHS any time they experience any increase in demand for a 3747 drug that is likely to lead to a shortage. All this is going 3748 to do is flood FDA with massive amounts of notifications that 3749 could only divert resources away from responding to an actual 3750 shortage. Drug manufacturers are already required to report 3751 3752 meaningful disruptions in supply of a drug in the United States, and are required to have risk management plans that 3753 identify potential supply chain risks. 3754 So I urge my colleagues to reject this amendment and 3755 join Republicans in our efforts to actually address drug 3756 shortages. 3757 And with that, Mr. Chairman, I yield back. 3758 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back. Is anyone 3759 seeking recognition for discussion on the amendment? 3760 For what purpose does the gentleman from New Jersey seek 3761 recognition? 3762

```
3763
           *Mr. Pallone. To speak in support of the Sarbanes
      amendment.
3764
3765
           *Mr. Guthrie.
                          The gentleman is recognized.
           *Mr. Pallone. Thank you, and I don't intend to speak
3766
3767
      very long.
           But, look, the -- what I found at my roundtable again --
3768
      I keep referring to a roundtable I had in my district last
3769
      week -- is that there are many aspects of this that right now
3770
      the FDA does not have the authority to address, and one very
3771
      serious one is the demand.
3772
           Now, I -- again, I don't want to criticize Mr. Hudson.
3773
      He is not like some of these extremists on the Rules
3774
      Committee, that is for sure. But the fact of the matter is
3775
      we have to trust the agency to some extent that when they say
3776
      they need additional authority to address demand, that that
3777
      should be addressed, that we should give them that authority.
3778
           The problem is we can't look at public -- and I am not
3779
      saying you are, Mr. Hudson, but we can't look at public
3780
      health in -- you know, as if it is just, you know, a
3781
      capitalist situation. I am not saying -- I mean, I am a
3782
      capitalist, but the point I am trying to say is that what
3783
```

```
3784
      happens a lot of times -- and this came out at the roundtable
      -- is that all of a sudden there is an increased demand.
3785
3786
      may be because all of a sudden something seems like it is
      going to be curative, and so doctors and everybody decide we
3787
      want to use it, right? It may be because of hoarding. There
3788
      was a lot of discussion at my roundtable about hoarding
3789
      where, you know, hospitals or doctors begin to think, oh,
3790
      this drug isn't going to be available, so I better stockpile
3791
      it, and that increases the demand.
3792
           I mean, demand is a serious factor in this drug shortage
3793
3794
      situation. And if the FDA doesn't have the ability -- and
      they say they don't, you know, I know you seem to think they
3795
      do, but it is not the case -- then that becomes a tool that
3796
      they don't have to deal with this shortage crisis. So that
3797
      is why I think this is important, and why we need to support
3798
      Mr. Sarbanes's amendment.
3799
           And with that, I will yield back, Mr. Chairman.
3800
3801
           *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back.
           *Mr. Burgess. Will the gentleman yield for --
3802
           *Mr. Guthrie. Will the gentleman yield to the gentleman
3803
      from Texas?
3804
```

```
3805
           *Mr. Pallone. Sure.
                          I would only ask that the gentleman, when
3806
           *Mr. Burgess.
3807
      you refer to the extremists on the Rules Committee --
           *Mr. Pallone.
                          That doesn't include you.
3808
3809
           *Mr. Burgess. If he would single me out and name me as
      the extremist on the committee --
3810
           *Mr. Pallone. Oh, no --
3811
           *Mr. Burgess. -- I will be happy to accept the
3812
      responsibility.
3813
                          I am taking my time back right now, and
3814
           *Mr. Pallone.
3815
      make it clear that you are one of the most rational, thought-
      provoking persons on the Rules Committee. And I watch you
3816
      sometimes when those extremists speak, and you are like --
3817
      can't believe what they are saying. So no, not at all.
3818
           *Mr. Burgess. Mr. Ranking Member --
3819
           *Mr. Pallone. Not at all.
3820
           *Mr. Burgess. With all due respect, I will have a
3821
      primary coming up, and I would appreciate it if you would
3822
      refer to me as the radical Republican on the Rules Committee.
3823
           [Laughter.]
3824
           *Mr. Pallone. I cannot. I cannot in good conscience do
3825
```

```
that, Dr. Burgess.
3826
           I yield back.
3827
3828
           *Mr. Burgess.
                          I yield back.
           *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back.
3829
           *Mr. Bucshon. Mr. Chair.
3830
3831
           *Mr. Guthrie. For what purpose does the gentleman from
      Indiana seek recognition?
3832
           *Mr. Bucshon. I insist on the point of order, Mr.
3833
      Chair.
3834
           *Mr. Guthrie. A point of order -- the gentleman will
3835
3836
      state his point of order.
            *Mr. Bucshon. Yes, the amendment violates clause 7 of
3837
      Rule XVI of the Rules of the House because it is not germane
3838
      to the underlying bill.
3839
           Again, specifically, this bill will reauthorize programs
3840
      to support public health during times of insecurity due to
3841
      international threats, cybersecurity attacks, and other
3842
      public health emergencies. It is designed to ensure that we
3843
      have a Strategic National Stockpile, countermeasures for
3844
      health emergencies, and other protections against the
3845
3846
      unknown.
```

```
3847
           This amendment, on the other hand, attempts to lump in
      what has, sadly, become a chronic -- in fact, decades-old --
3848
3849
      issue into a bill concerning preparing for extraordinary
3850
      circumstances.
           Clearly, there are market failures that need to be
3851
      addressed to stop the pattern of shortages with cancer drugs,
3852
      with infant formula, with children's cold medicine, and so
3853
      on. But that is not the subject matter or the purpose of
3854
      this bill.
3855
           In offering this amendment to a bill on hazard
3856
      preparedness, Democrats are conflating a broad drug shortage
3857
      problem with preparing ourselves against threats. They are
3858
      trying to add a separate individual proposition to the
3859
      individual proposition of hazard preparedness.
3860
           Mr. Chair, the amendment is not germane, and I yield
3861
      back.
3862
           *Mr. Guthrie. On the point of order -- the gentleman
3863
      from New Jersey, you are recognized.
3864
           *Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And of course,
3865
      I do believe this is germane.
3866
           As you know, the germaneness requirement of Rule XVI,
3867
```

3868 clause 7 requires that the amendment be on the subject of the text proposed to be amended. As I explained previously, the 3869 3870 subject matter of the bill is broadened to public health measures due to the inclusion of the reauthorization of the 3871 Mission Zero grant program, and this amendment falls within 3872 3873 that subject matter. Furthermore, contrary to your ruling on the last 3874 amendment, none of these amendments amend the Federal Food, 3875 Drug, and Control Act. 3876 However, it is clear to me that ruling our amendment out 3877 of order is a continuation of efforts to ignore the public 3878 health crisis affecting patients across this country, and 3879 that is the shortage of cancer and other drugs. Despite the 3880 fact that we are facing the worst drug shortage in years, 3881 with the number of drugs in short supply increasing by 30 3882 percent last year alone, with chemotherapy being rationed in 3883 physician offices across the country, this opposition to 3884 treating the PAHPA reauthorization as an opportunity to 3885 address this crisis, I think, is wrong. 3886 Not only did the Republicans not work with us to include 3887 provisions on drug shortages in the basic text, but you have 3888

also introduced five separate public health bills in order to 3889 limit the subject matter test for amendments. As you know, 3890 3891 consolidating the PAHPA measures into a single bill would meet drug shortage provisions -- would clearly be germane. 3892 What are you afraid of? We have heard so much of how 3893 you are committed to regular order, but here you are refusing 3894 to notice drug shortage bills for a hearing and then 3895 structuring a bill to limit our ability to put forth our 3896 ideas on drug shortages, a public health crisis affecting all 3897 of our communities, and bring them for a vote. Why don't you 3898 want your constituents to know where each of us stands on 3899 addressing this important issue? 3900 The people are not crying out for discussion drafts or 3901 requests for information. They want action, and the time to 3902 3903 act is now. And I think Republicans are standing in the way. So in closing, like Mission Zero this is a problem 3904 patients are facing each day while they are seeking treatment 3905 in our nation's health care system, and that should be 3906 addressed in this bill. 3907 And again, I urge you to rule that this amendment is in 3908 order, Mr. Chairman, and I yield back. 3909

3910 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back, and the chair is ready to rule. 3911 3912 Dr. Bucshon makes a point of order that the amendment offered by Mr. Sarbanes is not germane. Clause 7 of Rule 3913 XVI, the germaneness rule, provides that no proposition on a 3914 subject different from that under consideration shall be 3915 admitted under the color of amendment. 3916 The legislation we are considering would amend the 3917 Public Health Service Act to reauthorize programs addressing 3918 public health security and all-hazard response. It proposes 3919 3920 reauthorizing the Administration for Strategic Preparedness and Response. However, the amendment proposes adding an 3921 entirely different individual proposition to address drug 3922 shortages, which is H.R. 3008. 3923 It proposes updates that would normally be under the 3924 purview of the Food and Drug Administration, and be added to 3925 the Food and Drug Administration Act. Simply removing the 3926 3927 words "FDA' ' does not make this any more relevant to an ASPR bill. Although the amendment avoids addressing the FDA, it 3928 seeks to add a new and unrelated proposition to the bill. 3929 The chair finds that the amendment, which has a 3930

```
3931
      different subject matter and adds a separate individual
      proposition to the underlying bill, is not germane, and the
3932
3933
      point of order is sustained.
           Are there further amendments to the bill?
3934
           For what purpose does the gentlelady from Michigan seek
3935
      recognition?
3936
           *Mrs. Dingell. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the
3937
3938
      desk.
           *Mr. Guthrie. The clerk will report.
3939
           *The Clerk. Amendment to H.R. 4421, offered by Mrs.
3940
3941
      Dingell.
           *Mr. Guthrie. Without objection, the reading of the
3942
      amendment is dispensed with.
3943
3944
            [The amendment of Mrs. Dingell follows:]
3945
      **********************************
3946
3947
```

```
3948
           *Mr. Griffith. Mr. Chairman?
           *Mr. Guthrie. Does the gentleman from Virginia seek
3949
3950
      recognition?
           *Mr. Griffith. Mr. Chairman, I reserve a point of order
3951
      against the amendment. I would be happy to discuss the
3952
      motion on germaneness now, if we would like to do that, and
3953
      cut out all the interlude in between. But for comity
3954
      purposes, this committee generally allows a lot of
3955
      superfluous language.
3956
           *Mr. Guthrie. We will allow the lady to present her
3957
3958
      amendment, and --
           *Mr. Griffith. All right.
3959
           *Mr. Guthrie. Unless -- if she would so like. But --
3960
3961
      so the --
           *Mr. Griffith. All right.
3962
           *Mr. Guthrie. Without objection, the amendment is
3963
      dispensed with, and is recognized for five minutes to
3964
3965
      support --
           *Mrs. Dingell. I love my colleague from Virginia, but I
3966
      don't think this subject is a comedy in any way, shape, or
3967
      form. I have been in hospital rooms --
3968
```

```
3969
           *Mr. Guthrie. He wants to clarify his words.
           *Mr. Griffith. If the gentlelady would yield for just a
3970
      minute, what I attempted to say, but my accent might have
3971
      clouded it, was c-o-m-i-t-y, comity.
3972
           *Mrs. Dingell. Okay.
3973
           *Mr. Griffith. So, "friendliness''.
3974
           *Mrs. Dingell. Okay, that is better. That did upset
3975
      me. All right, thank you, Mr. Chairman.
3976
           The reauthorization of PAHPA is coming at a critical
3977
      moment in our nation's history. We are emerging from one of
3978
3979
      the worst public health crises America has ever endured.
      by the way, people are still getting COVID. People are still
3980
      dying. I get to go to another funeral. And we have got an
3981
      obligation to ensure we are better prepared to address future
3982
3983
      threats.
           I am glad this bill contains several important policies
3984
      to improve preparedness. This includes provisions from the
3985
      Ensuring Sufficient Supply of Testing Act legislation I led
3986
      with Rep. Dunn to strengthen our clinical lab testing
3987
      capacity to meet the needs of the SNS during surges.
3988
           But as my other colleagues have expressed, this bill
3989
```

3990 falls woefully short of what is necessary to address drug shortages. And we had drug shortages during the last crisis, 3991 3992 and it is something we need to be ensuring we do not experience again. I remain seriously alarmed by the growing 3993 drug shortage crisis that is actively harming patients now 3994 across America. As we speak, cancer patients nationwide are 3995 struggling to access the medication their doctors believe 3996 necessary for their treatment and even remission. 3997 Just last week -- I talked to my hospitals every week. 3998 I know exactly how many medicines they are short every week. 3999 4000 But an ovarian cancer patient called me in total distress, and was really -- had come to this hospital to be in the 4001 community, and was outright going to die if she didn't get 4002 4003 We were able to connect her with an emergency supply of carboplatin, but cancer patients shouldn't be having to 4004 panic about whether they can get their medicine and find it. 4005 The drug shortage is leaving thousands of other patients 4006 4007 across the country and in Michigan in despair, and hopeless, with nowhere to turn. It is forcing doctors -- if you have 4008 -- I know some of you have -- to make these gut-wrenching 4009 decisions on who to give the limited supply. And I love my 4010

4011 colleagues. But if you are a cancer patient who wants to live, thoughtfulness and care doesn't mean anything to them. 4012 4013 They need the medicine now. The reauthorization of PAHPA is a clear opportunity to 4014 address the drug shortage crisis. I am, I have to admit, I 4015 am disappointed and perplexed why we are not using this 4016 opportunity to strengthen our pharmaceutical supply chain and 4017 address the drug shortage crisis head on. 4018 We need to be able to better predict, address, and 4019 prevent drug shortages before they put patients at risk. 4020 4021 That is why I am offering this amendment, which includes provisions from a bill also being led by my colleague, Rep. 4022 Elissa Slotkin, who is working to address this dire crisis. 4023 4024 And I want to thank Rep. Slotkin for her tremendous work. This amendment will expand the supply of usable drugs by 4025 requiring manufacturers to label drugs with the longest 4026 scientifically supported expiration dates. In the wake of a 4027 drug shortage, maximizing the shelf life of lifesaving drugs 4028 is critically important. It will help keep lifesaving 4029 medications on shelves, ensuring patients can access their 4030 necessary drugs without undue delay. 4031

4032 We know there -- we had shortages of drugs and we didn't have this. We need to work to make sure this is there. 4033 4034 Before you all attempt to say this isn't relevant, I think it very much is. 4035 And right now patients care isn't just being delayed, it 4036 is being denied. Their appointments are being canceled. Let 4037 me repeat this. Cancer patients' appointments are being 4038 canceled. They are saying, "Sorry, you may have ovarian 4039 cancer, you may die, but we can't help you.' ' I don't think 4040 any of us want to do this. It is unacceptable. 4041 4042 I urge all of my colleagues to support this amendment. We owe it to patients nationwide. 4043 Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I yield back. 4044 4045 *Mr. Guthrie. Is anyone else seeking discussion on the amendment? 4046 For what purpose does the gentleman from North Carolina 4047 seek recognition? 4048 4049 *Mr. Hudson. I move to strike the last word in opposition to the amendment. 4050 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman is recognized. 4051

*Mr. Hudson. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I urge my

4052

4053 colleagues to oppose this amendment. As I have made clear repeatedly, Republicans are 4054 4055 committed to addressing the drug shortages our country is facing by addressing the root causes of the shortages. 4056 amendment would not only not address the problems causing 4057 shortages, it could make the problems worse. 4058 Chair Rodgers and Senator Crapo recently received over 4059 100 responses to the RFI on addressing shortages, and the 4060 overwhelming response from the experts and the stakeholders 4061 was that the economics are what are driving this issue. As 4062 4063 Stat reported just this morning, the FDA's own report in 2019 on root causes of drug shortages in 2019 determined, "Most 4064 shortages occur in generic injectables that are complicated 4065 to make and are too cheap for big profits.' ' 4066 This amendment would make it even harder for companies 4067 to make a business case for manufacturing these already 4068 challenging drugs by instituting financial penalties for 4069 4070 failing to conduct new studies or turn over new data on shelf life extension at any time for any reason. 4071 The fact is the FDA is already failing to use their 4072 existing authorities to address shortages that we gave them 4073

4074 during the pandemic in 2020. FDA was required to put out quidance on shelf life extension studies in December, and has 4075 4076 yet to do so. Again, Republicans are working to address the actual 4077 economic causes of drug shortages that experts, stakeholders, 4078 and even the FDA all agree are driving this crisis. 4079 And look, our colleagues ask questions like why are we 4080 not addressing this problem head on. Don't say this is 4081 irrelevant. We talk about our constituents who have cancer, 4082 who are desperate for these drugs. We all understand this is 4083 4084 a crisis. But I am not willing to pass something that I know is not going to help, and it might even make it worse, so 4085 that I can pat myself on the back and I can go lie to a 4086 4087 constituent and tell them, "We have solved your drug problem, drug shortage problem.' ' I am not willing to do that. 4088 What I am saying we are going to do is Republicans are 4089 going to address this issue in a serious way. We are going 4090 4091 to figure out what the root causes are, and we are going to find solutions that solve this problem once and for all. 4092 I really hope -- I truly, sincerely hope -- my Democrat 4093 colleagues will join us in this. Because, frankly, I think 4094

```
4095
      we will get better outcomes, better policy if we work
      together on this.
4096
4097
           And so let's stop the name calling. Let's stop the
      finger pointing. Let's stop saying one side doesn't care
4098
      about children with cancer who can't have access to drugs,
4099
      because we both care. I know that my colleague from Michigan
4100
4101
      cares deeply about this.
           But what we are saying is let's not just put a Band-Aid
4102
      on it that -- and then, you know, claim victory and go tell
4103
      our constituents we have solved this problem when we know
4104
4105
      this is not going to solve our problem. And in fact, this
      amendment, I would argue, may make the problem worse. So I
4106
      ask my colleagues, let's vote down this amendment, let's move
4107
      forward with PAHPA, and then let's work together to address
4108
      this drug shortage problem in a real way, in a way that we
4109
      are not back here a year from now talking about it again, in
4110
      a way that we can go home and look our constituents in the
4111
      eye and say we did the job you sent us here to do. And I ask
4112
      my colleagues to work with us on this.
4113
           And with that, I would be happy to yield back.
4114
           *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back. Is there any
4115
```

4116 further discussion? The gentleman from Virginia. 4117 4118 *Mr. Griffith. Mr. Chairman, I insist on my point of order. 4119 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman will state the point of 4120 4121 order. *Mr. Griffith. Mr. Chairman, this amendment violates 4122 clause 7 of Rule XVI of the Rules of the House because it is 4123 not germane to the underlying bill. Specifically, this bill 4124 will reauthorize programs to support public health during 4125 4126 times of insecurity due to international threats, cybersecurity attacks, other public health emergencies. 4127 is designed to ensure that we have Strategic National 4128 Stockpile countermeasures for health emergencies and other 4129 4130 protections against the unknown. This amendment, on the other hand, attempts to lump in 4131 4132 what has, sadly, become an everyday issue with preparing for extraordinary circumstances, and that would be expiring 4133 pharmaceuticals. And it has conflated it with preparing for 4134 extraordinary circumstances. 4135 Clearly, there are market failures that need to be 4136

4137 addressed to stop the pattern of shortages with cancer drugs, with infant formula, with children's cold medicines, and so 4138 4139 forth, but that is not the subject matter or the purpose of the bill. And offering this amendment to a bill on hazard 4140 preparedness, my colleagues are conflating a broad drug 4141 shortage du jour with preparing ourselves against threats. 4142 They are trying to add separate individual proposition drug 4143 supply shortages, et cetera to the individual proposition of 4144 hazard preparedness. 4145 And a lot of times when I was describing the changes 4146 4147 that we made in the germaneness rule -- because I was one of those extremists who fought to get this change into the rules 4148 package -- I would talk to people and say, look, we need to 4149 have bills that deal with single subjects. Sometimes they 4150 can be large bills like our NDAA that we have coming up, but 4151 they shouldn't always be -- you know, everything shouldn't be 4152 lumped in together. 4153 This is a serious problem, but it is one of those 4154 situations where we need another bill. Now, the gentlelady 4155 has a bill and, in fairness, I have a bill which is not -- my 4156 bill wouldn't be proper to add to this bill, either. 4157

```
4158
      then comes up the question of, well, why aren't we moving
      that bill today that deals with drug shortages? And the
4159
      answer is, as Mr. Hudson pointed out, we want to make sure we
4160
      are getting it right. The bill, even as I have introduced
4161
      it, may need some corrections and some adjustments that we
4162
      need to work on together as a team so that we can solve the
4163
      drug shortage problem for the American people. But this is
4164
      not the proper place to put it, and we do need to move
4165
      forward as a committee on this, but not on this bill.
4166
           And so, Mr. Chairman, I hope that you will rule that the
4167
4168
      amendment is not germane, because I can assure you it is not.
           I yield back.
4169
           *Mr. Guthrie. Does any member --
4170
           *Mr. Griffith. Oh, I meant to say -- and my good
4171
      friend, another extremist, Dr. Burgess, was working with me
4172
      behind the scenes on all these crazy rules changes that I
4173
      came up with.
4174
4175
           I yield back.
           *Mr. Guthrie. Would the gentleman from -- anybody wish
4176
      to be recognized? The gentleman from New Jersey is
4177
      recognized for five minutes, or recognized to speak on the
4178
```

4179 germaneness. *Mr. Pallone. I don't really know what to say about 4180 4181 these Rules extremists. Neither you or Dr. Burgess are extremists, even though you want me to say you are. You are 4182 4183 not. But whatever. 4184 Let me just say that my germaneness argument -- and I am not going to repeat it again -- is all based on Mission Zero 4185 being included in that grant program, and that is not about 4186 extraordinary circumstances. It is about the daily traumas 4187 that occur, including gun violence. Another provision that 4188 4189 the -- that, unfortunately, I don't think is being addressed by the other party. 4190 So I don't see if -- how you can argue, Mr. Chairman, 4191 that if Mission Zero is included in this, that our provisions 4192 on drug shortages should not be. But I am not going to 4193 belabor the point. 4194 4195 I yield back. *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back. The chair is 4196 prepared to rule. The chair is ready to rule. 4197 The member from Virginia, Mr. Griffin of Virginia, makes 4198 a point of order that the amendment offered by Mrs. Dingell 4199

4200 of Michigan is not germane. Clause 7 of Rule XVI, the germaneness rule, provides that no proposition on a subject 4201 4202 different from that under consideration shall be admitted 4203 under color of amendment. The legislation we are considering would amend the 4204 Public Health Service Act to reauthorize programs addressing 4205 public health security and all-hazards response. It proposes 4206 reauthorizing the Administration for Strategic Preparedness 4207 and Response. 4208 Is that the FDA again? 4209 4210 However, the amendment proposes adding an entirely different individual proposition to address expiration dates 4211 for certain drugs. That is also bill H.R. 3793. It proposes 4212 4213 updates that would normally be under the purview of the FDA, and be added to the FDA Cosmetic Act, Food and Drug Cosmetic 4214 Act. Although the amendment avoids addressing the FDA, 4215 simply removing the words "FDA' does not make it more 4216 4217 relevant to ASPR. It seeks to add a new and unrelated proposition to the bill. 4218 The chair finds the amendment, which has a different 4219 subject matter and adds a separate individual proposition to 4220

```
4221
      the underlying bill, is not germane, and the point of order
      is sustained.
4222
4223
           Are there any other amendments to the bill?
           The gentleman from New Jersey has an amendment. Would
4224
4225
      you report?
           *Mr. Pallone. Does the clerk have my amendment?
4226
           *The Clerk. SCD-AMD-01?
4227
           *Mr. Pallone. All right.
4228
           *The Clerk. An amendment to H.R. 4421, offered by Mr.
4229
                Page 3, line 12, strike 2028 and insert --
4230
      Pallone.
           *Mr. Guthrie. Without objection, the reading of the
4231
      amendment is dispensed with.
4232
           [The amendment of Mr. Pallone follows:]
4233
4234
      ***********************************
4235
4236
```

4237 *Mr. Guthrie. And the gentleman is recognized for five minutes in support of the amendment. 4238 4239 *Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. It is unfortunate, again, that instead of working with 4240 Democrats to find a bipartisan path forward to reauthorize 4241 PAHPA, we are here today considering what I consider a 4242 partisan ideological bill that my staff and I saw for the 4243 first time on Tuesday evening. 4244 H.R. 4421 leaves out numerous core PAHPA 4245 reauthorizations, includes several new policy proposals that 4246 4247 I can't support, and fails to increase any authorizations for existing programs beyond the most recently enacted 4248 appropriated levels. At best, this bill is misguided, and at 4249 4250 worst it is playing games with our public health 4251 preparedness. And for this reason I feel forced to file -- or to move 4252 this amendment that would instead reauthorize these critical 4253 programs for just two years. And the reason for two is to 4254 ensure that we do not let these important provisions lapse, 4255 but also allows us to bring us back to the table sooner than 4256 five years from now so we can have a more comprehensive and 4257

4258 reflective policy discussion about how best to move forward with public health preparedness and response following the 4259 4260 worst pandemic in this country's history. So in my opinion, this bill is a missed opportunity to 4261 take the lessons learned from COVID-19 and work together to 4262 be better prepared for the future. And I am disappointed 4263 that Republicans have chosen to flat-fund essential 4264 preparedness and response capabilities like the Strategic 4265 National Stockpile and the Hospital Preparedness Program, 4266 both of which were critical in responding to COVID-19. 4267 4268 For this reason, my amendment increases funding for the SNS to the amount outlined in the Public Health Emergency 4269 Medical Countermeasures Enterprise multi-year budget, which 4270 notes that the SNS needs double the resources it currently 4271 has in order to sufficiently maintain current capabilities 4272 and absorb additional products successfully developed through 4273 BARDA. 4274 And this should not be partisan. We all support a 4275 robust and strong stockpile of medical countermeasures and 4276 supplies, and we have seen in real time how critical the SNS 4277 can be as Republicans sought resources from the SNS 4278

4279 throughout the pandemic for their districts, just like Democratic members did. 4280 Additionally, the Hospital Preparedness Program has been 4281 vital to ensuring there is health care system resilience in 4282 the wake of public health emergencies, while also ensuring 4283 there is adequate coordination between state and local health 4284 departments, health care facilities, and other partners. 4285 response to the request for information on the 4286 reauthorization of PAHPA, one stakeholder highlighted that 4287 HPP was funded at \$500 million 20 years ago, and so there is 4288 4289 no reason why that shouldn't be the authorization level now, 4290 as well. Finally, my amendment also strikes a number of policy 4291 provisions that I can't support, including the creation of a 4292 PHEMCE Advisory Committee that will provide self-interested 4293 industry stakeholders with a seat at the table to aid in the 4294 decision-making of the PHE of PHEMCE, and create the 4295 possibility that committee members may seek to influence the 4296 PHEMCE by putting their industries' own self-interests in 4297 procurement decisions above what is best for public health. 4298 Technical assistance feedback on this policy also noted 4299

4300 that much of the discussion of PHEMCE are classified, and could have national security implications if they were 4301 4302 released publicly. Similarly, I can't support policies that would tie the 4303 hands of the SNS or BARDA in terms of contract duration, or 4304 lock in the placement of the SNS by codifying the agency in 4305 which it sits in the statute. 4306 So these are immense decisions that require a thoughtful 4307 consideration, data, robust discussion, and clear rationale. 4308 And unfortunately, the proposals do not have that. So for 4309 4310 all these reasons I urge my colleagues to support my 4311 amendment. While this is not the bill that I was hopeful would move 4312 to the Energy and Commerce Committee to reauthorize PAHPA, I 4313 feel this is the only solution to bridge the gap between the 4314 majority's incomplete and detrimental bill and finding a path 4315 forward to a longer-term compromise in the future. 4316 why I am offering this amendment, Mr. Chair. And with that I 4317 yield back. 4318 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back. Is anyone 4319 wishing to speak on the amendment? 4320

For what purpose does the gentleman from North Carolina 4321 seek recognition? 4322 4323 *Mr. Hudson. To strike the last word in opposition. *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman is recognized. 4324 *Mr. Hudson. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. You know, I have 4325 been working in good faith in a bipartisan way to ensure we 4326 have a five-year reauthorization of PAHPA. We have offered 4327 multiple times commitments of working together on this drug 4328 shortage issue separately. The chairman has even announced 4329 the plans for a discussion draft, laying out a concrete plan. 4330 4331 But this straight extension for two years of PAHPA is shortsighted. And, frankly, it is dangerous to just hope 4332 that another emergency isn't going to happen in the next two 4333 4334 years. We would also lose the opportunity to implement lessons 4335 learned from the last pandemic. Innovators need certainty in 4336 order to invest in their products. This would discourage and 4337 4338 disincentivize that innovation. Not to mention this violates CUTGO rules with the 4339 increased authorization without cutting elsewhere, it 4340 violates leader protocol and jeopardizes floor consideration. 4341

```
4342
           This also violates CUTGO rules by increasing
      authorization of funds -- well, that is the Speaker protocol.
4343
4344
           Listen, a straight extension is a move of last resort.
      If we can't get our work done, and certainly if we can't find
4345
      a way to make this a bipartisan process and get this work
4346
      done, we may end up in a position where we have to do an
4347
      extension for a year. But at this point -- and certainly not
4348
      a two-year extension -- I don't see how we can move forward
4349
      without jeopardizing even having a floor vote on this in the
4350
4351
      first place.
4352
           And so I urge my colleagues to oppose this amendment,
      and I yield back.
4353
           *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back. Is anyone
4354
      else seeking discussion on the amendment?
4355
           Okay, so if there is no further discussion, a vote
4356
      occurs on the amendment.
4357
           All those in favor shall signify by saying aye.
4358
4359
           All opposed, say nay.
           In the --
4360
           *Mr. Pallone. Can we have a roll call?
4361
           *Mr. Guthrie. A roll call has been requested. A roll
4362
```

```
4363
      call vote has been -- you want a roll call vote? The roll
      call vote has been requested. The clerk will call the roll.
4364
4365
           *The Clerk. Chair Guthrie?
           *Mr. Guthrie. Aye. No, nay, I am sorry. I change my
4366
4367
      vote to --
           *The Clerk. Chair Guthrie votes no.
4368
4369
           Burgess?
4370
           *Mr. Burgess. Burgess votes --
           *Mr. Guthrie. I know.
4371
           *The Clerk. Burgess votes no.
4372
4373
           Latta?
           *Mr. Latta. No.
4374
           *The Clerk. Latta votes no.
4375
           Griffith?
4376
           *Mr. Griffith. No.
4377
           *The Clerk. Griffith votes no.
4378
           Bilirakis?
4379
4380
           *Mr. Guthrie. As soon as I said that, I knew I was
4381
      wrong.
           *Mr. Bilirakis. No.
4382
           *The Clerk. Bilirakis votes no.
4383
```

```
4384
           Johnson?
           *Mr. Johnson. No.
4385
4386
           *The Clerk. Johnson votes no.
           Bucshon?
4387
           [No response.]
4388
           *The Clerk. Hudson?
4389
           *Mr. Hudson.
4390
                          No.
           *The Clerk. Hudson votes no.
4391
           Carter?
4392
           *Mr. Carter. No.
4393
           *The Clerk. Carter votes no.
4394
           Dunn?
4395
           [No response.]
4396
           *The Clerk. Pence?
4397
           *Mr. Pence.
4398
                        No.
           *The Clerk. Pence votes no.
4399
           Crenshaw?
4400
4401
           *Mr. Crenshaw. No.
           *The Clerk. Crenshaw votes no.
4402
           Joyce?
4403
            *Mr. Joyce.
4404
                        No.
```

```
4405
           *The Clerk. Joyce votes no.
           Harshbarger?
4406
4407
           *Mrs. Harshbarger.
                               No.
           *The Clerk. Harshbarger votes no.
4408
           Miller-Meeks?
4409
           *Mrs. Miller-Meeks.
4410
           *The Clerk. Miller-Meeks votes no.
4411
4412
           Obernolte?
           *Mr. Obernolte. No.
4413
4414
           *The Clerk. Obernolte votes no.
           Eshoo, Ms. Eshoo?
4415
           *Ms. Eshoo. Aye.
4416
           *The Clerk. Ms. Eshoo votes aye.
4417
           Sarbanes?
4418
4419
           *Mr. Sarbanes. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Sarbanes votes aye.
4420
           Cardenas?
4421
4422
           *Mr. Cardenas. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Cardenas votes aye.
4423
           Ruiz?
4424
           [No response.]
4425
```

```
4426
           *The Clerk. Dingell?
           *Mrs. Dingell. Aye.
4427
4428
           *The Clerk. Dingell votes aye.
           Kuster?
4429
4430
           [No response.]
           *The Clerk. Kelly?
4431
           *Ms. Kelly. Aye.
4432
4433
           *The Clerk. Kelly votes aye.
           Barragan?
4434
4435
           [No response.]
           *The Clerk. Ms. Barragan?
4436
           *Ms. Barragan. Aye.
4437
           *The Clerk. Barragan votes aye.
4438
           Blunt Rochester?
4439
4440
           [No response.]
           *The Clerk. Craig?
4441
           [No response.]
4442
4443
           *The Clerk. Schrier?
           *Ms. Schrier. Aye.
4444
           *The Clerk. Schrier votes aye.
4445
           Trahan?
4446
```

```
4447
           *Mrs. Trahan. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Trahan votes aye.
4448
4449
           Pallone?
           *Mr. Pallone. Aye.
4450
           *The Clerk. Pallone votes aye.
4451
           Chair Rodgers?
4452
           *The Chair. No.
4453
           *The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes no.
4454
           *Mr. Ruiz. How is Ruiz recorded?
4455
           *The Clerk. Mr. Ruiz is not recorded.
4456
4457
           *Mr. Ruiz. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Ruiz votes aye.
4458
           *Mr. Bucshon. Bucshon?
4459
4460
           *The Clerk. Mr. Bucshon is not recorded.
           *Mr. Bucshon. No.
4461
           *The Clerk. Bucshon votes no.
4462
           *Mr. Dunn. How am I recorded?
4463
4464
           *The Clerk. Mr. Dunn is not recorded.
           *Mr. Dunn. Dunn votes no.
4465
           *The Clerk. Dunn votes no.
4466
           *Ms. Craig. How is Ms. Craig recorded?
4467
```

```
4468
           *Mr. Guthrie. How is Ms. Craig recorded?
           *The Clerk. Craig is not recorded.
4469
4470
           *Ms. Craig. Craig votes yes.
           *The Clerk. Craig votes aye.
4471
           *Mr. Guthrie. Anyone else seeking recognition to vote?
4472
           Seeing none on the Democrat, any on the Republican side
4473
4474
      to vote?
           Seeing none, the clerk will report.
4475
           *The Clerk. Chair Guthrie, on that vote there were 11
4476
4477
      yeas and 17 noes.
4478
           *Mr. Guthrie. With 11 yeas and 17 noes, the amendment
      is not agreed to.
4479
           Are there any further amendments?
4480
           Seeing none, the question now occurs on forwarding --
4481
      you want a roll call, Ms. -- okay. The question now occurs
4482
      on forwarding H.R. 4421 to the full committee, and a roll
4483
      call has been requested. All those in favor will vote aye;
4484
4485
      all opposed will vote no. The clerk will call the roll.
           *The Clerk. Chair Guthrie?
4486
           *Mr. Guthrie. Aye.
4487
           *The Clerk. Chair Guthrie votes aye.
4488
```

```
4489
           Burgess?
           *Mr. Burgess. Burgess votes aye.
4490
4491
           *The Clerk. Burgess votes aye.
           Latta?
4492
4493
           *Mr. Latta. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Latta votes aye.
4494
           Griffith?
4495
           *Mr. Griffith. Aye.
4496
           *The Clerk. Griffith votes aye.
4497
           Bilirakis?
4498
4499
           *Mr. Bilirakis. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Bilirakis votes aye.
4500
           Johnson?
4501
4502
           *Mr. Johnson. Aye.
4503
           *The Clerk. Johnson votes aye.
           Bucshon?
4504
4505
           *Mr. Bucshon. Aye.
4506
           *The Clerk. Bucshon votes aye.
           Hudson?
4507
           *Mr. Hudson. Aye.
4508
           *The Clerk. Hudson votes aye.
4509
```

```
4510
           Carter?
           *Mr. Carter. Aye.
4511
           *The Clerk. Carter votes aye.
4512
           Dunn?
4513
4514
           *Mr. Dunn. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Dunn votes aye.
4515
           Pence?
4516
           *Mr. Pence. Aye.
4517
           *The Clerk. Pence votes aye.
4518
           Crenshaw?
4519
4520
           *Mr. Crenshaw. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Crenshaw votes aye.
4521
           Joyce?
4522
4523
           *Mr. Joyce. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Joyce votes aye.
4524
           Harshbarger?
4525
           *Mrs. Harshbarger. Aye.
4526
4527
           *The Clerk. Harshbarger votes aye.
           Miller-Meeks?
4528
           *Mrs. Miller-Meeks. Aye.
4529
           *The Clerk. Miller-Meeks votes aye.
4530
```

```
4531
           Obernolte?
           *Mr. Obernolte. Aye.
4532
4533
           *The Clerk. Obernolte votes aye.
           Eshoo?
4534
           *Ms. Eshoo.
4535
                        No.
           *The Clerk. Ms. Eshoo votes no.
4536
           Sarbanes?
4537
4538
           *Mr. Sarbanes. No.
           *The Clerk. Sarbanes votes no.
4539
           Cardenas?
4540
           *Mr. Cardenas. No.
4541
           *The Clerk. Cardenas votes no.
4542
           Ruiz?
4543
           *Mr. Ruiz. No.
4544
           *The Clerk. Ruiz votes no.
4545
           Dingell?
4546
           *Mrs. Dingell. No.
4547
4548
           *The Clerk. Dingell votes no.
4549
           Kuster?
           [No response.]
4550
           *The Clerk. Kelly?
4551
```

```
4552
           *Ms. Kelly.
                        No.
           *The Clerk. Kelly votes no.
4553
4554
           Barragan?
            *Ms. Barragan. No.
4555
           *The Clerk. Barragan votes no.
4556
           Blunt Rochester?
4557
           [No response.]
4558
4559
           *The Clerk. Craig?
           *Ms. Craig.
                        No.
4560
           *The Clerk. Craig votes no.
4561
           Schrier?
4562
           *Ms. Schrier. No.
4563
           *The Clerk. Schrier votes no.
4564
4565
           Trahan?
           *Mrs. Trahan. No.
4566
           *The Clerk. Trahan votes no.
4567
           Pallone?
4568
           *Mr. Guthrie. I think --
4569
           *Mr. Pallone. No.
4570
           *The Clerk. Pallone votes no.
4571
           Chair Rodgers?
4572
```

4573 *The Chair. Aye. *The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes aye. 4574 I think people just get so -- anyone 4575 *Mr. Guthrie. wishing to be recognized for purpose of vote? 4576 Anyone on the Republican side? 4577 On the Democratic side, anybody less -- anybody 4578 4579 remaining? Seeing none, the clerk will report. 4580 *The Clerk. Chair Guthrie, on that vote there were 17 4581 4582 ayes and 11 noes. *Mr. Guthrie. With 17 ayes and 11 noes, the ayes have 4583 it and the bill is agreed to. 4584 The chair calls up H.R. 4420, and asks the clerk to 4585 4586 report. *The Clerk. H.R. 4420, a bill to reauthorize certain 4587 programs under the Public Health Service Act with respect to 4588 public health security and all-hazards preparedness and 4589 4590 response related to the Centers for Disease Control and Protection, and for other purposes. 4591 *Mr. Guthrie. Without objection, the first reading of 4592 the bill is dispensed with, and the bill will be open for 4593

4594	amendment at any point.
4595	So ordered.
4596	[The bill follows:]
4597	
4598	**************************************
4599	

```
4600
           *Mr. Guthrie. Does anyone seek recognition on the bill?
           For what purpose does the gentleman from North Carolina
4601
4602
      seek recognition?
           *Mr. Hudson. I move to strike the last word.
4603
4604
           *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman is recognized.
                         Thank you, Mr. Chairman. As I previously
4605
           *Mr. Hudson.
      mentioned, we have been working on this legislation for a
4606
      long time now.
                      I don't need to beat a dead horse to explain
4607
      the importance of this reauthorization and the importance of
4608
4609
      preparedness efforts.
4610
           CDC needs a lot of work, and not just a reorganization.
      Real reform looks like Senate confirmation of a CD [sic]
4611
      director immediately; good guidance practices to exclude
4612
      mandates; require public participation and training and
4613
      quidance for employees; and further oversight of the agency.
4614
      There are many opportunities for bipartisanship, oversight,
4615
      accountability, and reform at CDC as it relates to response
4616
      efforts, and I welcome that work with my colleagues.
4617
      keeping our hand extended with this legislation in hopes that
4618
      we can put together a comprehensive reauthorization.
4619
           I look forward to moving this bill to the next level,
4620
```

4621 hopefully working with my friend, Representative Eshoo. And with that I yield back. 4622 4623 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back. Is anyone seeking recognition to speak on the bill? 4624 No one is seeking recognition to speak on the bill. Are 4625 there bipartisan -- on the bill? I am sorry. The chair --4626 for what purpose does the gentlelady from California seek 4627 recognition? 4628 *Ms. Eshoo. I seek recognition, Mr. Chairman, to strike 4629 the last word --4630 The gentlelady --4631 *Mr. Guthrie. *Ms. Eshoo. -- and speak on H.R. 4420. 4632 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady is -- I just -- the vote 4633 has just been called on the floor, so -- the gentlelady is 4634 4635 recognized. *Ms. Eshoo. Thank you. 4636 As I said, colleagues, on the ASPR bill, this fragment 4637 of PAHPA once again, in my view, chooses unpreparedness. 4638 country has a shortage of some 80,000 public health workers. 4639 We are collecting public health data in the second decade of 4640

the 21st century via fax machines and scraps of paper. These

4641

4642 antiquated methods are embarrassing for a nation that once had a globally respected public health system, and our 4643 4644 disarrayed data collection has broader consequences for many Americans. It has allowed health disparities to flourish 4645 without intervention. 4646 There is a common maxim, and it goes like this: 4647 can't manage what you can't measure. Instead of enacting 4648 common-sense changes to rebuild our public health workforce 4649 and allow the CDC to have a clearer picture of the health 4650 status of our nation, this bill chooses to ignore our 4651 4652 hollowed-out public health system by under-funding key CDC programs for five years. 4653 I agree with Mr. Hudson's comment that the CDC really 4654 needs an overhaul. There are many shortcomings there. But 4655 this one, in terms of how information, public health data 4656 about outbreaks is being collected, is really shameful. 4657 really is shameful. And we have, in my view, the 4658 responsibility to direct the redirection on that, and reshape 4659 it and address it. 4660 What I would like to do at this point is just have a 4661 colloquy with the chair and ask, do you plan to combine the 4662

4663 ASPR and the CDC bills with the underlying PAHPA bill before they come to the floor, or going to the floor, have them 4664 4665 combined? *The Chair. We will work with you on this. 4666 *Ms. Eshoo. Well, it is not my proposal. You are the 4667 ones that have chopped them up. That is why I am asking. Do 4668 you think that you are going to -- do you have any intention 4669 to rejoin them? 4670 *The Chair. Not at this point. We are working on these 4671 bills in subcommittee today. I believe that it is important 4672 that we move forward on PAHPA. We all know that we have a 4673 deadline coming on September 30. We are responsible for 4674 taking action to ensure that the nation is prepared as 4675 4676 possible. This is subcommittee, and I am committed to continuing 4677 to work with the minority on reforms that we believe are 4678 important in PAHPA, and those discussions will continue, and 4679 4680 we will make decisions later as to how we decide to bring the bills to the floor. 4681 *Ms. Eshoo. Okay, thank you. 4682 I yield back, Mr. Chairman. 4683

```
4684
           *Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady yields back. Is anybody
      else seeking discussion on the bill?
4685
4686
           I know there is 11 minutes left in the vote. However,
      they are trying to stick to the 20 minutes. So I know that
4687
      has not been technically so much, but I think we probably are
4688
      going to do one amendment, if we can. We are going to break
4689
      when it gets 5 minutes left for the vote, so it will give us
4690
      15 minutes to get over there.
4691
           So does anyone seek recognition for the purpose of --
4692
           *Mr. Ruiz. Mr. Chairman?
4693
           *Mr. Guthrie. Are there any bipartisan amendments?
4694
           Are there any other amendments to the bill?
4695
           For what purpose does the gentleman from California seek
4696
      recognition?
4697
           *Mr. Ruiz. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the
4698
      desk.
4699
           *Mr. Guthrie.
                          The clerk will report.
4700
4701
           *The Clerk. Amendment to H.R. 4420, offered by Mr.
4702
      Ruiz.
           Beginning on --
4703
           *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman is recognized -- without
4704
```

4705	objection, the reading of the amendment is dispensed with.
4706	[The amendment of Mr. Ruiz follows:]
4707	
4708	**************************************
4709	

4710 *Mr. Guthrie. And the gentleman from California is recognized for five minutes. 4711 4712 *Mr. Ruiz. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. The PAHPA reauthorization process is an important 4713 opportunity every five years to take stock of our Federal 4714 Government's preparedness and response capabilities and hear 4715 from public experts, stakeholders, and those on the ground 4716 about what more we can be doing to protect our nation from 4717 emerging threats and infectious diseases, and be better 4718 4719 prepared for the next public health emergency or pandemic 4720 that could be coming. We have heard loud and clear that one essential way to 4721 improve our public health preparedness and response 4722 capabilities is to ensure that CDC has the authority it needs 4723 to receive standardized, real-time data from state and local 4724 health departments in order to make recommendations and 4725 guidance decisions using the most up-to-date and accurate 4726 4727 data. As former CDC Director Walensky testified earlier this 4728 year before this committee, the current way public health 4729 data is collected and shared has resulted in fragmented and 4730

4731 inconsistent and slow reporting to CDC. Unfortunately, this means CDC is often considering data that is outdated, not 4732 4733 standardized from locality to locality, and may not be able to provide the best forecasting and modeling as a result, and 4734 cannot best respond to surges and outbreaks in different 4735 areas of our country in the case of pandemics. 4736 We also heard from Dr. Raynard Washington, the director 4737 of the public health department in Mecklenburg County, North 4738 Carolina, who testified that during COVID-19 there were often 4739 three different numbers reported from local, state, and 4740 4741 Federal partners in terms of what the disease burden was day to day. He noted that local, state, and Federal officials 4742 are tasked with making million, billion, and even trillion-4743 dollar decisions, often using that fragmented, outdated data. 4744 This is unacceptable, and we must ensure our Federal 4745 public health agencies, along with our state and local health 4746 officials, have access to the streamlined, standardized data 4747 to improve public health decision-making. For this reason my 4748 amendment would insert into the bill language of the 4749 Improving Data and Public Health Act introduced by 4750 Representative Underwood that would promote coordination 4751

4752 between Federal agencies to share critical public health data to prepare for, identify, monitor, and respond to public 4753 4754 health emergencies, while also creating standards to improve the exchange of electronic health information. 4755 The amendment would also establish an advisory 4756 commitment -- committee to ensure that health care data 4757 reporting and sharing processes are carried out as 4758 effectively as possible, and will provide resources to health 4759 care providers, community-based organizations, and others to 4760 develop and disseminate best practices in the collection and 4761 4762 sharing of electronic health information. This provision is supported by numerous public health 4763 and health care provider organizations, including the 4764 American Academy of Family Physicians, the American Public 4765 Health Association, the Association of Public Health 4766 Laboratories, the National Association of County and City 4767 Health Officials, and the Trust for America's Health, et 4768 4769 cetera, et cetera. This is a critical opportunity to improve our nation's 4770 public health preparedness and response, and I hope my 4771 colleagues will be joining me in supporting this common-sense 4772

4773 amendment that will help our nation better respond with data. As a physician and a public health expert and a 4774 scientist, if you can't measure you can't improve it. 4775 need to measure so that we can have real-time, quick, rapid 4776 response-based data so that we can better move resources, 4777 better make wise decisions in those resources and strategies 4778 4779 and quidelines. And with that, I yield back. 4780 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back. Is there 4781 anyone seeking -- the chair will recognize myself to speak in 4782 4783 opposition of the amendment. I move to strike the last word, and I am recognized for five minutes. I am speaking in 4784 opposition to the amendment. 4785 4786 This amendment significantly expands required data that must be shared to the CDC. This amendment will require that 4787 the exchanges capture and use personal data, including race, 4788 disabilities, age, and other personal information. As a 4789 4790 matter of fact, it says "other elements,' ' I believe, it doesn't describe what other data can be brought forward in 4791 the actual text. 4792 So the question still remains on how CDC has used 4793

```
4794
      Americans' data throughout COVID-19. We must continue our
      work to conduct oversight on how the agency operated
4795
4796
      throughout COVID-19 public health emergency before we
      consider giving them any additional authorities.
4797
      example of government overreach at its finest, requiring that
4798
      your local health care provider report your sensitive
4799
      personal information to the Federal Government.
4800
           I urge my colleagues to oppose the amendment, and I also
4801
      suggest that my colleagues, that they would support Mr.
4802
      Hudson's legislation, which includes a bipartisan proposal
4803
4804
      that I worked with Mr. Peters to require GAO to study how CDC
      used their data collection authorities permitted under the
4805
      PHE, public health emergency. And we specifically want to
4806
      know what -- CDC used its data authorities during the
4807
      declared public emergencies, including whether these
4808
      authorities were redundant or over-utilized. And it is a
4809
      bipartisan solution. It is actually included in the
4810
4811
      underlying bill.
           I will yield back. I say we will let you go -- it is
4812
      5:06. Does anybody else wants to -- five minutes left in the
4813
      vote, so add another five for -- I did the math on -- I don't
4814
```

```
4815
      know if you looked at me. I said 15 minutes -- 10. Any
      further discussion?
4816
4817
           We can go to the vote on the amendments. Any vote?
      do you want a roll call vote? You are going to want a roll
4818
      call vote on the amendment?
4819
           Okay, so we will adjourn and we will come back and do --
4820
      the first business will be the roll call vote.
4821
           *Voice. Recess, recess.
4822
           *Mr. Guthrie. Recess, the committee is recessed.
4823
4824
           [Recess.]
           *Mr. Guthrie. The committee will come to order.
4825
           When we recessed, the business before the committee was
4826
      a roll call vote on Dr. Ruiz's amendment. So I have a roll
4827
      call being requested. The clerk will call the roll.
4828
           *The Clerk. Chair Guthrie?
4829
           *Mr. Guthrie. Aye -- no.
4830
           *The Clerk. Chair Guthrie votes no.
4831
4832
           Burgess?
           [No response.]
4833
           *The Clerk. Latta?
4834
           *Mr. Latta. No.
4835
```

```
4836
           *The Clerk. Latta votes no.
           Griffith?
4837
4838
           *Mr. Griffith. No.
           *The Clerk. Griffith votes no.
4839
           Bilirakis?
4840
           *Mr. Bilirakis. No.
4841
           *The Clerk. Bilirakis votes no.
4842
4843
           Johnson?
           [No response.]
4844
           *The Clerk. Bucshon?
4845
4846
           [No response.]
           *The Clerk. Hudson?
4847
           *Mr. Hudson. No.
4848
           *The Clerk. Hudson votes no.
4849
           Carter?
4850
           *Mr. Carter. No.
4851
           *The Clerk. Carter votes no.
4852
4853
           Dunn?
           *Mr. Dunn. No.
4854
           *The Clerk. Dunn votes no.
4855
4856
           Pence?
```

```
4857
           *Mr. Pence.
                        No.
           *The Clerk. Pence votes no.
4858
4859
           Crenshaw?
           [No response.]
4860
                        Joyce?
            *The Clerk.
4861
            *Mr. Joyce.
4862
                         No.
            *The Clerk. Joyce votes no.
4863
4864
           Harshbarger?
           [No response.]
4865
           *The Clerk. Miller-Meeks?
4866
4867
           [No response.]
           *The Clerk. Obernolte?
4868
           *Mr. Obernolte. No.
4869
           *The Clerk. Obernolte votes no.
4870
           Eshoo?
4871
            *Ms. Eshoo. Aye.
4872
4873
            *The Clerk. Ms. Eshoo votes aye.
4874
           Sarbanes?
            *Mr. Sarbanes. Aye.
4875
           *The Clerk. Sarbanes votes aye.
4876
           Cardenas?
4877
```

```
4878
           [No response.]
           *The Clerk. Ruiz?
4879
4880
           *Mr. Ruiz. Aye.
            *The Clerk. Ruiz votes aye.
4881
           Dingell?
4882
           [No response.]
4883
           *The Clerk. Kuster?
4884
           *Ms. Kuster. Aye.
4885
           *The Clerk. Kuster votes aye.
4886
4887
           Kelly?
            *Ms. Kelly. Aye.
4888
            *The Clerk. Kelly votes aye.
4889
           Barragan?
4890
4891
           *Ms. Barragan. Aye.
4892
            *The Clerk. Barragan votes aye.
           Blunt Rochester?
4893
           [No response.]
4894
            *The Clerk. Craig?
4895
            *Ms. Craig. Craig votes aye.
4896
            *The Clerk. Craig votes aye.
4897
           Schrier?
4898
```

```
4899
           [No response.]
           *The Clerk. Trahan?
4900
4901
           *Mrs. Trahan. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Trahan votes aye.
4902
           Pallone?
4903
4904
           [No response.]
           *The Clerk. Mr. Pallone?
4905
4906
           *Mr. Pallone. Pallone votes aye.
           *The Clerk. Pallone votes aye.
4907
           Chair Rodgers?
4908
           *The Chair. No.
4909
           *The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes no.
4910
           *Mr. Bucshon. Mr. Bucshon.
4911
           *Mr. Guthrie. How is Dr. Bucshon recorded?
4912
           *The Clerk. Dr. Bucshon is not recorded.
4913
           *Mr. Bucshon. No.
4914
           *The Clerk. Bucshon votes no.
4915
4916
           *Mr. Guthrie. How is Dr. Miller-Meeks recorded?
           *The Clerk. Dr. Miller-Meeks is not recorded.
4917
           *Mrs. Miller-Meeks. Miller-Meeks, no.
4918
           *The Clerk. Miller-Meeks votes no.
4919
```

```
4920
           *Mr. Guthrie. How is Mr. Cardenas recorded?
           *The Clerk. Mr. Cardenas is not recorded.
4921
4922
           *Mr. Cardenas. I vote yes.
           *The Clerk. Cardenas votes aye.
4923
4924
           [Pause.]
           *Mr. Guthrie.
                           Is Mr. Bilirakis recorded?
4925
           *The Clerk. Mr. Bilirakis is recorded as no.
4926
           *Mr. Guthrie. We will wait a couple more minutes.
4927
                                                                 Do
      you have everybody back that needs to be back?
4928
           Do we know if Dr. Burgess -- he is coming back.
4929
4930
           [Pause.]
           *Mr. Guthrie. We got one more coming, it looks.
4931
           How is Mrs. Harshbarger recorded?
4932
4933
           *The Clerk. Mrs. Harshbarger is not recorded.
           *Ms. Eshoo. Can you --
4934
           *Mrs. Harshbarger. No.
4935
           *The Clerk. Harshbarger votes no.
4936
4937
           *Ms. Eshoo. -- is not recorded?
           *Mr. Guthrie. Will the clerk report who has not been
4938
      recorded?
4939
           *The Clerk. Mrs. Dingell is not recorded; Ms. Blunt
4940
```

4941 Rochester is not recorded; Ms. Schrier is not recorded; Dr. Burgess; Chair Johnson; and Mr. Crenshaw are not recorded. 4942 4943 *Mr. Guthrie. How is Dr. Schrier recorded? *The Clerk. Schrier is not recorded. 4944 *Ms. Schrier. Yes. 4945 *The Clerk. Schrier votes aye. 4946 4947 [Pause.] *Mr. Guthrie. All right. Nobody is walking up. Give 4948 them about another 30 seconds, and we will -- stop him. 4949 4950 [Pause.] 4951 *Mr. Guthrie. The clerk will report. *The Clerk. Chair, on that vote there were 11 yeas and 4952 14 noes. 4953 *Mr. Guthrie. With 11 yeas and 14 nays, the amendment 4954 4955 is not agreed to. Are there further amendments to the bill? 4956 For what purpose does Mr. Pallone seek recognition? 4957 *Mr. Pallone. I have an amendment at the desk, Madam 4958 Clerk. 4959 *Mr. Guthrie. The clerk will report. 4960 *The Clerk. An amendment to H.R. 4420, offered by Mr. 4961

4969 *Mr. Guthrie. And the gentleman from New Jersey is recognized for five minutes in support of the amendment. 4970 4971 *Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. It is very disappointing to me that we are considering an eight-page 4972 bill to reauthorize only a handful of critical PAHPA 4973 provisions because Republicans don't want to find a 4974 bipartisan solution to reauthorize our public health 4975 preparedness and response capabilities. 4976 Republicans have put forward a partisan vehicle designed 4977 to shield amendments and debate from taking place during this 4978 markup. And that is why I am forced to offer this amendment 4979 that would ensure these programs are reauthorized on time, 4980 but would also bring us back to the table sooner than five 4981 years from now so that we can finally have a discussion on 4982 how to adequately fund and enhance our public health 4983 preparedness and response capabilities. 4984 My amendment would also increase funding for the Public 4985 4986 Health Emergency Preparedness, or PHEP, grants. These grants serve as our core Federal resources for state and local 4987 public health preparedness and response, and it is critical 4988 we provide adequate resources on the ground so we aren't 4989

4990 caught flat-footed in the future, like we were during the early days of COVID-19. 4991 4992 And then I am also disappointed that my Republican colleagues have been unwilling to consider enhancing public 4993 health data authorities for CDC, as was proposed by 4994 Congressman Ruiz in his last amendment and his bill. 4995 don't need to study whether providing streamlined, up-to-4996 date, standardized data to our Federal public health agencies 4997 is needed. We don't need the GAO study because we know this 4998 data is needed. 4999 Section 107 is highly duplicative of other requirements 5000 already enacted under the end-of-the-year omnibus, and only 5001 kicks the can down the road instead of finding real solutions 5002 5003 to ensure our public health agencies can provide guidance in real time that reflects accurate data from localities and 5004 states. And that is how we improve our public health 5005 preparedness and response. And it is unfortunate that 5006 5007 Republicans refuse to support this. So for these reasons, I urge my colleagues to support my 5008 amendment to ensure that we can reauthorize these programs on 5009 time, but also make clear that there is a lot more work to be 5010

5011 done. And I hope that the Republicans, if not today, at some point would join me in this effort. 5012 And with that, I yield back, Mr. Chairman. 5013 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back. Is anyone 5014 else seeking recognition on discussion of the amendment? 5015 For what purpose does the gentleman from North Carolina 5016 seek recognition? 5017 *Mr. Hudson. To strike the last word in opposition to 5018 the amendment. 5019 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman is recognized for five 5020 5021 minutes. *Mr. Hudson. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 5022 This amendment is another amendment to delay 5023 reauthorization for two years. An extension is a last resort 5024 that hopes we won't face a public health emergency in those 5025 two years. This is another short-sighted and dangerous 5026 It is further discouragement for innovators, and 5027 amendment. this is another violation of speaker protocol and CUTGO 5028 rules. 5029 I urge my colleagues to oppose this amendment, and I 5030 yield back.

5031

```
5032
           *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back. Is there any
      further discussion on the amendment?
5033
5034
           You want a roll call?
           Seeing no further discussion on the amendment, a roll
5035
      call being requested, the clerk will call the roll.
5036
           *The Clerk. Chair Guthrie?
5037
           *Mr. Guthrie. No.
5038
5039
           *The Clerk. Chair Guthrie votes no.
           Burgess?
5040
           *Mr. Burgess. Burgess votes no.
5041
5042
           *The Clerk. Burgess votes no.
           Latta?
5043
           *Mr. Latta. No.
5044
           *The Clerk. Latta votes no.
5045
           Griffith?
5046
           *Mr. Griffith. No.
5047
           *The Clerk. Griffith votes no.
5048
5049
           Bilirakis?
           *Mr. Bilirakis. No.
5050
           *The Clerk. Bilirakis votes no.
5051
           Johnson?
5052
```

```
5053
           *Mr. Johnson. No.
           *The Clerk. Johnson votes no.
5054
5055
           Bucshon?
           *Mr. Bucshon. No.
5056
           *The Clerk. Bucshon votes no.
5057
           Hudson?
5058
           *Mr. Hudson.
5059
                          No.
           *The Clerk. Hudson votes no.
5060
           Carter?
5061
           *Mr. Carter. No.
5062
           *The Clerk. Carter votes no.
5063
           Dunn?
5064
           *Mr. Dunn. No.
5065
5066
           *The Clerk. Dunn votes no.
           Pence?
5067
           *Mr. Pence. No.
5068
           *The Clerk. Pence votes no.
5069
5070
           Crenshaw?
           [No response.]
5071
           *The Clerk. Joyce?
5072
           *Mr. Joyce.
5073
                        No.
```

```
5074
            *The Clerk. Joyce votes no.
           Harshbarger?
5075
5076
           *Mrs. Harshbarger.
                               No.
           *The Clerk. Harshbarger votes no.
5077
           Miller-Meeks?
5078
           *Mrs. Miller-Meeks.
5079
           *The Clerk. Miller-Meeks votes no.
5080
5081
           Obernolte?
           [No response.]
5082
           *The Clerk. Eshoo?
5083
5084
           *Ms. Eshoo. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Ms. Eshoo votes aye.
5085
           Sarbanes?
5086
5087
           *Mr. Guthrie. Got you right in the middle of a bite.
5088
            *Mr. Sarbanes. Aye.
            *The Clerk. Sarbanes votes aye.
5089
           Cardenas?
5090
5091
           [No response.]
           *The Clerk. Ruiz?
5092
           [No response.]
5093
            *The Clerk. Dingell?
5094
```

```
5095
           *Mrs. Dingell. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Dingell votes aye.
5096
5097
           Kuster?
           *Ms. Kuster. Aye.
5098
           *The Clerk. Kuster votes aye.
5099
5100
           Kelly?
           *Ms. Kelly. Kelly votes aye.
5101
           *The Clerk. Kelly votes aye.
5102
           Barragan?
5103
5104
           *Ms. Barragan. Aye.
5105
           *The Clerk. Barragan votes aye.
           Blunt Rochester?
5106
           [No response.]
5107
           *The Clerk. Craig?
5108
           *Ms. Craig. Aye.
5109
           *The Clerk. Craig votes aye.
5110
           Schrier?
5111
5112
           [No response.]
           *The Clerk. Trahan?
5113
           *Mrs. Trahan. Aye.
5114
           *The Clerk. Trahan votes aye.
5115
```

```
5116
           Pallone?
5117
           *Mr. Pallone. Aye.
5118
           *The Clerk. Pallone votes aye.
           Chair Rodgers?
5119
           *The Chair.
5120
           *The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes no.
5121
           *Mr. Guthrie. How is Mr. Crenshaw recorded?
5122
           *The Clerk. Mr. Crenshaw is not recorded.
5123
           *Mr. Crenshaw. Crenshaw votes no.
5124
           *The Clerk. Crenshaw votes no.
5125
           *Mr. Ruiz. Ruiz.
5126
           *Mr. Guthrie. How is Dr. Ruiz recorded?
5127
           *The Clerk. Dr. Ruiz is not recorded.
5128
5129
           *Mr. Ruiz. Aye.
5130
           *The Clerk. Dr. Ruiz votes aye.
           *Mr. Guthrie. How is Dr. Schrier recorded?
5131
           *The Clerk. Dr. Schrier is not recorded.
5132
5133
           *Ms. Schrier. Schrier is aye.
           *The Clerk. Schrier votes aye.
5134
           [Pause.]
5135
           *Mr. Guthrie. Is anyone else seeking recognition for
5136
```

```
5137
      voting?
           Seeing none, the clerk will report.
5138
5139
           *Mr. Cardenas. Cardenas.
           *Mr. Guthrie. How is Mr. Cardenas recorded?
5140
           *The Clerk. Mr. Cardenas is not recorded.
5141
           *Mr. Cardenas. I vote aye.
5142
5143
           *The Clerk. Cardenas votes aye.
           *Mr. Guthrie. Good job. Anyone else?
5144
           Seeing none, the clerk will report.
5145
           *The Clerk. Chair Guthrie, on that vote there were 12
5146
      yeas and 16 noes.
5147
           *Mr. Guthrie. The amendment -- with 12 yeas and 16
5148
5149
      noes, the amendment is not agreed to.
5150
           Are there any further amendments?
           Seeing none, the question now occurs on forwarding H.R.
5151
      4420, not amended, to the full committee, 4420 to the full
5152
      committee.
5153
5154
           All those in favor will say aye.
           All opposed will say no.
5155
           In the opinion of the chair, the ayes have it.
5156
           I am not asking for one, do you want -- you okay?
5157
```

```
5158
           The gentleman from New Jersey asked for a roll call
      vote. So a roll call being requested, the clerk will call
5159
5160
      the roll.
           *The Clerk. Chair Guthrie?
5161
           *Mr. Guthrie. Aye.
5162
           *The Clerk. Chair Guthrie votes aye.
5163
5164
           Burgess?
           *Mr. Burgess. Burgess votes aye.
5165
           *The Clerk. Burgess votes aye.
5166
           Latta?
5167
           *Mr. Latta. Aye.
5168
           *The Clerk. Latta votes aye.
5169
           Griffith?
5170
           *Mr. Griffith. Aye.
5171
           *The Clerk. Griffith votes aye.
5172
           Bilirakis?
5173
           *Mr. Bilirakis. Aye.
5174
5175
           *The Clerk. Bilirakis votes aye.
           Johnson?
5176
           *Mr. Johnson. Aye.
5177
           *The Clerk. Johnson votes aye.
5178
```

```
5179
           Bucshon?
           *Mr. Bucshon. Aye.
5180
5181
           *The Clerk. Bucshon votes aye.
           Hudson?
5182
5183
           *Mr. Hudson. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Hudson votes aye.
5184
           Carter?
5185
           *Mr. Carter. Aye.
5186
           *The Clerk. Carter votes aye.
5187
           Dunn?
5188
5189
           *Mr. Dunn. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Dunn votes aye.
5190
           Pence?
5191
           [No response.]
5192
           *The Clerk. Mr. Pence?
5193
           *Mr. Pence. Aye.
5194
           *The Clerk. Pence votes aye.
5195
5196
           Crenshaw?
           *Mr. Crenshaw. Aye.
5197
           *The Clerk. Crenshaw votes aye.
5198
           Joyce?
5199
```

```
5200
           *Mr. Joyce. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Joyce votes aye.
5201
5202
           Harshbarger?
            *Mrs. Harshbarger. Aye.
5203
            *The Clerk. Harshbarger votes aye.
5204
           Miller-Meeks?
5205
5206
           *Mrs. Miller-Meeks. Aye.
5207
           *The Clerk. Miller-Meeks votes aye.
           Obernolte?
5208
5209
           [No response.]
           *The Clerk. Eshoo?
5210
           *Ms. Eshoo. No.
5211
           *The Clerk. Ms. Eshoo votes no.
5212
5213
           Sarbanes?
           *Mr. Sarbanes. No.
5214
           *The Clerk. Sarbanes votes no.
5215
           Cardenas?
5216
           *Mr. Cardenas. No.
5217
           *The Clerk. Cardenas votes no.
5218
           Ruiz?
5219
            [No response.]
5220
```

```
5221
           *The Clerk.
                       Dingell?
           *Mrs. Dingell.
                            No.
5222
5223
           *The Clerk. Dingell votes no.
           Kuster?
5224
           *Ms. Kuster. No.
5225
           *The Clerk. Kuster votes no.
5226
           Kelly?
5227
           *Ms. Kelly.
                        No.
5228
           *The Clerk. Kelly votes no.
5229
           Barragan?
5230
5231
           *Ms. Barragan. No.
           *The Clerk. Barragan votes no.
5232
           Blunt Rochester?
5233
5234
           [No response.]
           *The Clerk. Craig?
5235
           *Ms. Craig.
5236
           *The Clerk. Craig votes no.
5237
5238
           Schrier?
           *Ms. Schrier. No.
5239
           *The Clerk. Schrier votes no.
5240
           Trahan?
5241
```

```
5242
           *Mrs. Trahan. No.
           *The Clerk. Trahan votes no.
5243
5244
           Pallone?
           *Mr. Pallone. No.
5245
           *The Clerk. Pallone votes no.
5246
           Chair Rodgers?
5247
           *The Chair. Aye.
5248
5249
           *The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes aye.
           *Mr. Guthrie. Is anyone seeking -- Dr. Ruiz?
5250
           *The Clerk. Ruiz is recorded as no.
5251
           *Mr. Guthrie. Is anyone else seeking recognition for a
5252
      vote?
5253
           Seeing none, the clerk will report.
5254
5255
           [Pause.]
           *The Clerk. Chair Guthrie, on that vote there were 16
5256
      ayes and 12 noes.
5257
           *Mr. Guthrie. With 16 ayes and 12 noes, the bill is
5258
5259
      agreed to.
           The chair calls up H.R. 4529, and asks the clerk to
5260
5261
      report.
           *The Clerk. H.R. 4529, a bill to amend the Public
5262
```

5263	Health Service Act regarding guidance documents of the
5264	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, and for other
5265	purposes.
5266	*Mr. Guthrie. Without objection, the first reading of
5267	the bill is dispensed with, and the bill will be open for
5268	amendment at any point.
5269	So ordered.
5270	[The bill follows:]
5271	
5272	**************************************
5273	

5274 *Mr. Guthrie. Does anyone seek to be recognized for the bill? 5275 For what purpose does the gentlelady from -- the chair 5276 from Washington --5277 *The Chair. To strike the last word. 5278 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady is recognized for five 5279 5280 minutes. *The Chair. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I wish to speak 5281 in support of H.R. 4529, the Public Health Guidance, 5282 Transparency, and Accountability Act of 2023. 5283 5284 Over 25 years ago this committee worked together to make sure that guidance released by the Food and Drug 5285 Administration still had some type of process for input, and 5286 was clearly noted as guidance rather than regulation 5287 enforceable by law. FDA has been able to implement that 5288 requirement in a way that allows for stakeholder comment, and 5289 allows for quick release of information in the case of an 5290 5291 emergency. It is time CDC does the same. We saw over and over how 5292 guidance -- six feet distancing, for example -- was taken by 5293 businesses, states, localities to be undisputed best science, 5294

5295 and required. What if there had been a public docket opened on that quidance, where school administrators could have 5296 5297 noted that quidance is forcing schools to stay shuttered longer, where substance use disorder treatment programs could 5298 have indicated the same, where data from around the world 5299 suggesting six feet didn't really have any scientific 5300 significance could have come in? 5301 Former Director Walensky finally acknowledged in March 5302 of 2021 that 6 feet of distance was a primary hurdle for 5303 wider reopening of classrooms, and said CDC would review. 5304 5305 CDC guidance wasn't changed until over a year later. CDC's insistence on recommending masking toddlers was another 5306 example where the agency was a global outlier, and failed to 5307 consider not only other educational concerns like speech 5308 development, but also recommendations of other Western public 5309 health agencies. Good quidance practices would speed up that 5310 whole process, from allowing the public a clear process to 5311 flag potential consequences and quickly signal the risk of 5312 policy that outweighs the benefits. 5313 CDC may have good scientists, but they do not have the 5314 only scientists and experts. And it is not just CDC 5315

scientists who have had input on these problematic guidances. 5316 Teachers unions influenced CDC's guidance on reopening 5317 5318 schools based on politics and self-interest, not science. This bill will open up CDC's insular culture and 5319 decision-making process to more outside voices, not just the 5320 Administration's political allies, and bring much-needed 5321 transparency to the process, leading to better results based 5322 on evidence and science. 5323 Each of us represents a district with brilliant 5324 constituents who bring expertise and perspectives that could 5325 improve the quality of guidance CDC is releasing. A 5326 transparent and accountable quidance development process, one 5327 that is visible to outside experts and the public and open 5328 for public comment, can help rebuild trust in the agency. 5329 We received technical feedback from CDC yesterday, and I 5330 plan to look at and update the bill if necessary ahead of 5331 full committee. 5332 I yield back. 5333 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady yields back. Is there any 5334 further discussion on the bill? 5335 The gentleman from Maryland, for what purpose do you 5336

seek recognition? 5337 *Mr. Sarbanes. I move to strike the last word, Mr. --5338 5339 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman is recognized. *Mr. Sarbanes. I am speaking in opposition to the bill. 5340 Over the past three years we have certainly learned the 5341 important role that our public health agencies play in 5342 keeping our communities safe, and just how critical it is 5343 that we have timely, adequate information-sharing between 5344 Federal, state, and local partners when we are responding to 5345 these health crises. That is why I am disappointed in this 5346 5347 bill that we are considering now, which will be in the -which will tie the hands of the CDC in a way that will slow 5348 down its ability to share vital health guidance, hinder its 5349 dissemination of updated information, and, frankly, impede 5350 our public health response efforts at every level. 5351 That is going to be the practical impact of legislation like this. 5352 At the beginning of the pandemic we saw just how quickly 5353 emergency situations can change, and how quickly our public 5354 health responses need to change alongside data received and 5355 facts on the ground in order to keep up. We should be 5356 working to enhance the nimbleness of CDC and other public 5357

5358 health agencies and bolster our data collection, tracking, and sharing capabilities. But the bill before us does the 5359 5360 opposite. According to technical assistance feedback the committee 5361 received, the bill could even be interpreted to limit the 5362 CDC's ability to provide infection prevention and control 5363 quidance that is designed to improve the safety of patients, 5364 nursing home residents, and health care workers. Such a 5365 limitation would endanger patients and providers alike, and 5366 result in increased confusion and public health risks. 5367 5368 This is not the time to try to undermine our public health institutions. It is time to build them up, make them 5369 stronger, so that we can better respond to the health 5370 challenges we face today and be ready for the next public 5371 health crisis. 5372 I urge the committee to consider different legislation 5373 that would meaningfully improve the ability of the CDC to 5374 collect, analyze, share data, and provide quidance on the 5375 proper public health response to such data to our state and 5376 local partners and the public. And I urge my colleagues to 5377 join me in opposing this legislation. Let's look at an 5378

```
alternative approach that can really strengthen the CDC's
5379
      capacity.
5380
5381
           With that, Mr. Chairman, I yield back.
            *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back. Is anyone
5382
      else seeking recognition to speak on the bill?
5383
           Seeing none, we will move to amendments. Are there
5384
      bipartisan amendments to the bill?
5385
           Are there any amendments to the bill?
5386
           Seeing none, the question will be on reporting H.R. 4529
5387
      to the full committee.
5388
           All those in -- a roll call vote, we request a roll call
5389
      vote. So a roll call vote is requested. All those in favor
5390
      vote aye; those -- vote no; the clerk will call the roll.
5391
           *The Clerk. Chair Guthrie?
5392
5393
           *Mr. Guthrie. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Chair Guthrie votes aye.
5394
           Burgess?
5395
           *Mr. Burgess. Burgess votes aye.
5396
           *The Clerk. Burgess votes aye.
5397
           Latta?
5398
           [No response.]
5399
```

```
5400
           *The Clerk. Griffith?
           [No response.]
5401
5402
           *The Clerk. Mr. Griffith?
           [No response.]
5403
           *The Clerk. Bilirakis?
5404
           *Mr. Bilirakis. Aye.
5405
           *The Clerk. Bilirakis votes aye.
5406
5407
           Johnson?
           *Mr. Johnson. Aye.
5408
           *The Clerk. Johnson votes aye.
5409
           Bucshon?
5410
           [No response.]
5411
           *The Clerk. Hudson?
5412
5413
           [No response.]
           *The Clerk. Carter?
5414
           [No response.]
5415
           *The Clerk. Dunn?
5416
5417
           *Mr. Dunn. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Dunn votes aye.
5418
           Pence?
5419
           *Mr. Pence. Aye.
5420
```

```
5421
           *The Clerk. Pence votes aye.
           Crenshaw?
5422
5423
           *Mr. Crenshaw. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Crenshaw votes aye.
5424
5425
           Joyce?
           *Mr. Joyce. Aye.
5426
           *The Clerk. Joyce votes aye.
5427
           Harshbarger?
5428
           *Mrs. Harshbarger. Aye.
5429
           *The Clerk. Harshbarger votes aye.
5430
           Miller-Meeks?
5431
           *Mrs. Miller-Meeks. Aye.
5432
           *The Clerk. Miller-Meeks votes aye.
5433
           Obernolte?
5434
5435
          [No response.]
           *The Clerk. Eshoo?
5436
           *Ms. Eshoo.
5437
                        No.
5438
           *The Clerk. Ms. Eshoo votes no.
           Sarbanes?
5439
           *Mr. Sarbanes. No.
5440
           *The Clerk. Sarbanes votes no.
5441
```

```
5442
           Cardenas?
           *Mr. Cardenas. No.
5443
5444
           *The Clerk. Cardenas votes no.
           Ruiz?
5445
5446
           [No response.]
           *The Clerk. Dingell?
5447
           *Mrs. Dingell. No.
5448
5449
           *The Clerk. Dingell votes no.
           Kuster?
5450
           *Ms. Kuster. No.
5451
           *The Clerk. Kuster votes no.
5452
           Kelly?
5453
           [No response.]
5454
5455
           *The Clerk. Barragan?
           *Ms. Barragan.
5456
            *The Clerk. Barragan votes no.
5457
           Blunt Rochester?
5458
5459
           [No response.]
           *The Clerk. Craig?
5460
           *Ms. Craig. No.
5461
           *The Clerk. Craig votes no.
5462
```

```
5463
           Schrier?
           *Ms. Schrier. No.
5464
5465
           *The Clerk. Schrier votes no.
           Trahan?
5466
           *Mrs. Trahan. No.
5467
           *The Clerk. Trahan votes no.
5468
           Pallone?
5469
           *Mr. Pallone.
5470
           *The Clerk. Pallone votes no.
5471
           Chair Rodgers?
5472
5473
           *The Chair. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes aye.
5474
           *Mr. Ruiz. Ruiz.
5475
           *The Clerk. Dr. Ruiz --
5476
           *Mr. Guthrie. Dr. Ruiz?
5477
           *The Clerk. -- is not recorded.
5478
           *Mr. Ruiz. No.
5479
5480
           *The Clerk. Ruiz votes no.
           *Mr. Guthrie. Dr. Bucshon, are you recorded?
5481
           *Mr. Bucshon. How is Dr. Bucshon recorded?
5482
           *The Clerk. Dr. Bucshon is not recorded.
5483
```

```
5484
           *Mr. Bucshon. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Bucshon votes aye.
5485
5486
           *Mr. Guthrie. Mr. Griffith?
           *Mr. Griffith. Griffith votes aye.
5487
           *The Clerk. Griffith votes aye.
5488
           *Mr. Guthrie. Anybody on your side?
5489
           *Mr. Carter. Carter.
5490
5491
           *The Clerk. Mr. Carter is not recorded.
           *Mr. Carter. Aye.
5492
           *The Clerk. Carter votes aye.
5493
5494
           *Mr. Guthrie. Anyone else?
           Seeing no one else for the roll call, the clerk will
5495
      report.
5496
5497
           [Pause.]
           *The Clerk. Chair Guthrie, on that vote there were 14
5498
      yeas and 11 noes.
5499
           *Mr. Guthrie. With 14 yeas and 11 noes, the ayes have
5500
5501
      it, and the bill is agreed to.
           The chair calls up H.R. 4381, and asks the clerk to
5502
5503
      report.
           *The Clerk. H.R. 4381, a bill to amend the Public
5504
```

```
5505
      Health Service Act with respect to the determination,
      termination, and renewal of public health emergencies, and
5506
5507
      for other purposes.
           *Mr. Guthrie. Without objection, the first reading of
5508
      the bill is dispensed with, and the bill will be open for
5509
      amendment at any point.
5510
           So ordered.
5511
5512
           [The bill follows:]
5513
      **********************************
5514
5515
```

5516 *Mr. Guthrie. And I will seek recognition and will -the chair recognizes himself for five minutes to speak on the 5517 5518 bill. I am proud to co-lead this bill with Dr. Murphy, which 5519 would give Congress the ability to vote on extending or 5520 terminating a public health emergency after -- a PHE -- after 5521 it has been renewed twice, or six months after its original 5522 5523 declaration. I support the flexibility the PHE statute provides the 5524 executive branch to respond to emerging public health 5525 5526 threats. It is imperative to keep our communities safe during uncertain times. But I believe Congress also has a 5527 role in ensuring our resources are being appropriately 5528 deployed during such outbreaks. I believe the legislation 5529 strikes -- this legislation strikes that balance. 5530 In fact, we mirrored this legislation to the National 5531 Emergencies Act, which provides the same level of flexibility 5532 to the executive branch while giving Congress the appropriate 5533 oversight role. Unlike the National Emergencies Act, section 5534 319 of the Public Health Service Act gives full discretion of 5535 overseeing the public health emergency declaration process to 5536

```
5537
      the executive branch, and does not explicitly give Congress a
      role in overseeing this process. We had a 100-year pandemic,
5538
5539
      and Congress didn't -- we didn't have a role directly in
      overseeing the processes moving forward.
5540
           Congress must have oversight, given the magnitude a PHE
5541
            The COVID-19 public health emergency was extended 12
5542
      times over the course of 3 years. We have seen how much of a
5543
      drastic socio-economic impact the COVID-19 PHE had on our
5544
      kids and others in the community struggling from a loss of a
5545
      job or because they lacked access to critical behavioral
5546
5547
      health care services during the PHE.
           We must pass this bill to restore Article I authority in
5548
      order to ensure Congress is a part of the equation when
5549
      determining when a public health emergency ends; restore
5550
      confidence in our public health institutions; and
5551
      appropriately respond to emerging threats.
5552
                          Is there any discussion on the bill?
5553
           I yield back.
           Mr. Cardenas, for purpose do you seek recognition?
5554
           *Mr. Cardenas. I move to strike the last word.
5555
           *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman is recognized for five
5556
      minutes.
5557
```

5558 *Mr. Cardenas. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I am concerned that we are determined to repeat the 5559 5560 mistakes of our recent past. We saw time and time again in our response to COVID-19 pandemic that when members of 5561 Congress insisted on putting politics ahead of public health, 5562 the results were catastrophic. We even witnessed 5563 then-President Trump encourage people to try putting bleach 5564 in their body to fight COVID-19. Dios mio, my God. 5565 This bill is merely an attempt to ensure politics, not 5566 science, gets the final say on every public health emergency 5567 going forward. Specifically, this bill requires a vote or 5568 multiple votes of politicians in order to maintain emergency 5569 authorities for our public health specialists. But think 5570 about the consequences of a proposal like this. 5571 We all remember when members of the Republican 5572 Conference insisted that COVID-19 was "a mild flu,'' or "no 5573 big deal, ' ' even as thousands died every day from this deadly 5574 disease. If this is their record on making public health 5575 determinations, I would much prefer we leave it to the 5576 experts. We must keep politics out of this. 5577 Thank goodness our public health leaders, doctors, 5578

5579 nurses were on the front line and were able to use tools such as vaccines authorized for emergency use, and were able to 5580 5581 quickly communicate with the American people about new variants and risks. I can only imagine how much worse it 5582 could have been. 5583 Our public health experts deserve our scrutiny and our 5584 attention. But just like patients go through their doctor 5585 for their health advice rather than politicians, we must rely 5586 on our public health officials to tell us that a public 5587 health emergency is upon us. If this bill were to become 5588 5589 law, we risk a political decision about when emergency authorities expire, rather than one based on science and 5590 reality on the ground. 5591 In fact, we received technical assistance that confirmed 5592 that -- and I quote -- "The authority to issue and renew a 5593 public health emergency declaration should remain with the 5594 Secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services, 5595 given the expertise and clinical, scientific, and public 5596 health -- personnel health within its operating divisions, 5597 and the staff who can support the information gathering and 5598 analysis needed in making these determinations.' ' 5599

```
5600
           Unfortunately, this is just one more area where
      Republicans have shown that they failed to learn from the
5601
5602
      COVID-19 pandemic. We should be working together on real
      policies to improve our public health preparedness and
5603
      ability to respond, not playing partisan games while the next
5604
      threat is lurking.
5605
5606
           I urge my colleagues to vote no on this bill, and I
      yield back.
5607
           *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back. Is anyone
5608
      else seeking recognition on the bill?
5609
           The gentleman from -- for what purpose does the
5610
      gentleman from Indiana seek recognition?
5611
           *Mr. Bucshon. I move to strike the last word.
5612
5613
           *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman is recognized for five
5614
      minutes.
           *Mr. Bucshon. I just need to push back a little bit on
5615
      the comments of my colleague on this partisanship on the
5616
      COVID pandemic. I mean, many of the statements are just
5617
      blatantly false.
5618
           And, you know, during Operation Warp Speed, that was a
5619
      Republican Administration. We had trouble in rural America
5620
```

```
5621
      getting people to get vaccinated because the now-Vice
      President of the United States said that she wouldn't take a
5622
5623
      vaccine because the Trump Administration developed it, and it
      might be dangerous. So I just think, you know, there is
5624
      culpability to go all around when you are in the middle of a
5625
      100-year pandemic.
5626
           But, you know, you want to talk about partisanship?
5627
      entire -- almost the entire statement of my colleague was
5628
      partisan and false. So if you really want -- we really want
5629
      to work together, we need to stop talking like that.
5630
5631
           I yield back.
           *Mr. Burgess. Will the gentleman yield?
5632
           *Mr. Bucshon. I will yield.
5633
           *Mr. Burgess. So I have sat through a lot of this
5634
      today, and it has been hard to listen to.
5635
           But look, I remember, as that pandemic started, the
5636
      Administration made multiple not classified briefings, but
5637
      member-only briefings available to each and every one of us.
5638
      We went over to the big auditorium over in the Capitol
5639
      Visitor Center. All the people from public health came, and
5640
      shared with us what they knew. But it wasn't that anyone was
5641
```

5642 hiding anything from anyone, and all of us had the same information. We had the same information that the President 5643 5644 of the United States had. Now, look, I was extremely aggravated during the month 5645 of February 2020. Our Oversight and Investigations 5646 Subcommittee, our Health Subcommittee did not have the 5647 proper, I thought, attention to this problem that was boiling 5648 up half a world away. We had hearings on ticket stubs, we 5649 had hearings on horse races, but we didn't have hearings on 5650 what was our pandemic preparedness. 5651 5652 And then here is the sad part. This committee had just passed the Pandemic All-Hazards Preparedness Act, the 5653 previous reauthorization signed into law in June of 2019. We 5654 didn't even take the shrink wrap off the law and look at was 5655 this working as we thought it would. And you know what? It 5656 wasn't, because we were preparing for pandemic flu and this 5657 was something entirely different. 5658 No one knew ahead of time that this was going to be an 5659 illness, that, yes, it is transmissible, but it can be silent 5660 for 14 days. You are exposed, and it is 14 days later before 5661 you get symptoms. So the universe of people that you came in 5662

5663 contact with, it made it virtually impossible to do contact tracing. 5664 5665 And we finally had a hearing right at the end of February. It was a budgetary hearing, and Dr. Fauci and Dr. 5666 Azar, Alex Azar, Secretary Azar, came and sat at the table. 5667 And we asked them, was this going to be different from the 5668 5669 SARS epidemic of 2003? And they assured us no, normal public health measures in the SARS epidemic in 2003, contact 5670 tracing, quarantine, normal public health measures were 5671 enough to succeed in that environment, and it would be enough 5672 5673 this time. But it wasn't. That 14-day interval is what blew that all up. 5674 So I agree with my friend from Indiana. Let's stop the 5675 revisionist history. This was a tough, tough problem. Many 5676 people did the best job they could. But the big beef that a 5677 lot of American people have with the so-called experts is the 5678 hubris. They would never have the humility to say, "We 5679 weren't sure, and now we have reevaluated.' 'You have never 5680 heard those words come out of the mouth of Dr. Fauci, Dr. 5681 Walensky. It was always just, "Do as we say." 5682 There were a lot of missteps that were made. I think we 5683

```
5684
      can learn from that experience. I hope we have tasked the
      FDA with providing us the after-action report. I hope we
5685
5686
      have tasked the CDC with providing us their after-action
      report. Yes, let's learn from that. Let's build on that.
5687
      But let's not involve in revisionist history. It just makes
5688
      people mad, and it is not successful.
5689
           I will yield back to the gentleman from --
5690
           *Mr. Bucshon. Yes, reclaiming my time, I just want to
5691
      say I think everybody in the committee knows that I -- my
5692
      preference is always to work in a bipartisan way, if
5693
5694
      essential, also particularly on health issues. And I work
      with many of my colleagues on both sides of the aisle. But,
5695
      you know, revisionist history in these type of hearings, and
5696
      making inflammatory political statements is not helpful.
5697
           You know, I mean, I could argue that Democrat
5698
      politicians pushed career bureaucrats at the FDA to delay the
5699
      vaccine through the election for political purposes.
5700
      believe that happened. Is it true? I don't know. But that
5701
      is what happened. And in the meantime, thousands, if not
5702
      hundreds of thousands of people couldn't get vaccines.
5703
           So, look, we can both talk politics. Mistakes were made
5704
```

```
5705
      on both sides. It was a once-in-a-100-year pandemic. And we
      need to talk about the future, not the past.
5706
5707
           I yield back.
            *Mr. Guthrie.
                           The gentleman yields back. Is anyone
5708
      else seeking recognition to speak on the bill?
5709
           Seeing none, are there any amendments to the bill?
5710
           Any bipartisan amendments?
5711
           Any other amendments to the bill?
5712
           Seeing none, the question now occurs on forwarding H.R.
5713
      4381 to the full committee. Do you want a roll call?
5714
5715
           A roll call vote has been requested. The clerk will
      call the roll.
5716
           *The Clerk. Chair Guthrie?
5717
           *Mr. Guthrie. Aye.
5718
           *The Clerk. Chair Guthrie votes aye.
5719
           Burgess?
5720
5721
           *Mr. Burgess. Burgess votes aye.
5722
           *The Clerk. Burgess votes aye.
           Latta?
5723
           [No response.]
5724
           *The Clerk. Griffith?
5725
```

```
5726
           *Mr. Griffith. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Griffith votes aye.
5727
5728
           Bilirakis?
           [No response.]
5729
           *The Clerk. Johnson?
5730
           *Mr. Johnson. Aye.
5731
5732
           *The Clerk. Johnson votes aye.
           Bucshon?
5733
           *Mr. Bucshon. Aye.
5734
           *The Clerk. Bucshon votes aye.
5735
           Hudson?
5736
           [No response.]
5737
           *The Clerk. Carter?
5738
           [No response.]
5739
           *The Clerk. Dunn?
5740
           *Mr. Dunn. Aye.
5741
           *The Clerk. Dunn votes aye.
5742
5743
           Pence?
           *Mr. Pence. Aye.
5744
           *The Clerk. Pence votes aye.
5745
           Crenshaw?
5746
```

```
5747
           *Mr. Crenshaw. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Crenshaw votes aye.
5748
5749
           Joyce?
            *Mr. Joyce. Aye.
5750
           *The Clerk. Joyce votes aye.
5751
           Harshbarger?
5752
           *Mrs. Harshbarger. Aye.
5753
           *The Clerk. Harshbarger votes aye.
5754
           Miller-Meeks?
5755
           *Mrs. Miller-Meeks.
5756
                                 Aye.
5757
           *The Clerk. Miller-Meeks votes aye.
           Obernolte?
5758
           [No response.]
5759
           *The Clerk. Eshoo?
5760
           *Ms. Eshoo. No.
5761
           *The Clerk. Ms. Eshoo votes no.
5762
           Sarbanes?
5763
5764
           [No response.]
           *The Clerk. Cardenas?
5765
           *Mr. Cardenas. No.
5766
           *The Clerk. Cardenas votes no.
5767
```

```
5768
           Ruiz?
           *Mr. Ruiz. No.
5769
5770
           *The Clerk. Ruiz votes no.
           Dingell?
5771
            *Mrs. Dingell. No.
5772
            *The Clerk. Dingell votes no.
5773
           Kuster?
5774
           *Ms. Kuster. No.
5775
           *The Clerk. Kuster votes no.
5776
           Kelly?
5777
            *Ms. Kelly. No.
5778
            *The Clerk. Kelly votes no.
5779
           Barragan?
5780
5781
           *Ms. Barragan.
                            No.
            *The Clerk. Barragan votes no.
5782
           Blunt Rochester?
5783
           [No response.]
5784
            *The Clerk. Craig?
5785
            *Ms. Craig.
                        No.
5786
            *The Clerk. Craig votes no.
5787
           Schrier?
5788
```

```
5789
           *Ms. Schrier. No.
5790
           *The Clerk. Schrier votes no.
5791
           Trahan?
           *Mrs. Trahan. No.
5792
           *The Clerk. Trahan votes no.
5793
           Pallone?
5794
           *Mr. Pallone.
5795
           *The Clerk. Pallone votes no.
5796
           Chair Rodgers?
5797
5798
            [No response.]
5799
           *Mr. Guthrie. Chair Rodgers?
           *The Chair. Yes.
5800
           *The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes aye.
5801
           *Mr. Sarbanes. Is Sarbanes recorded?
5802
           *The Clerk. Mr. Sarbanes is not recorded.
5803
            *Mr. Sarbanes. Votes no.
5804
            *The Clerk. Sarbanes votes no.
5805
5806
            *Mr. Guthrie. Mr. Latta?
            *The Clerk. Mr. Latta is not recorded.
5807
           *Mr. Latta. Aye.
5808
           *The Clerk. Latta votes aye.
5809
```

5810 *Mr. Guthrie. Anyone else seeking recognition for a vote? 5811 5812 Anyone on your side? Seeing none, the clerk will report. 5813 *The Clerk. Chair Guthrie, on that vote we have 13 ayes 5814 5815 and 12 nays. 5816 *Mr. Guthrie. We haven't announced the vote yet, so --*Mr. Carter. Yes, Carter votes yes. 5817 *The Clerk. Carter votes aye. 5818 *Mr. Carter. Thank you. 5819 5820 *Mr. Guthrie. So I hadn't announced the vote yet. *The Clerk. Chair Guthrie, on that vote we have 14 ayes 5821 and 12 nays. 5822 5823 *Mr. Guthrie. So I will now announce the vote. With 14 ayes and 12 noes, the bill is agreed to. 5824 The chair calls up H.R. 3813, and asks the clerk to 5825 5826 report. 5827 *The Clerk. H.R. 3813, a bill to accelerate the applicability of the requirement that the director of the 5828 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention be appointed by 5829 the President by and with the consent of the Senate. 5830

5831	*Mr. Guthrie. Without objection, the first reading of
5832	the bill is dispensed with, and the bill will be open for
5833	amendment at any point.
5834	So ordered.
5835	[The bill follows:]
5836	
5837	**************************************
5838	

5839 *Mr. Guthrie. I will recognize myself to strike the last word. 5840 5841 The American people deserve strong leadership within our public health agencies. Through one-size-fits-all mask and 5842 vaccine mandates, a politicized COVID-19 guidance, to wide-5843 scale economic shutdowns and school failures, it is clear our 5844 public health institutions could benefit from greater 5845 congressional oversight. 5846 In December Congress took some steps aimed at holding 5847 these agencies more accountable to the American people. 5848 5849 Notably, Federal law now requires Senate confirmation of the CDC director, starting in 2025. We simply cannot operate on 5850 a business as usual, and must continue to hold our nation's 5851 public health officials to the highest possible standards, 5852 including ensuring these individuals will be guided by 5853 science and operate transparently with the American people. 5854 My legislation would simply move up the timeline for CD 5855 [sic] director confirmation to six months after enactment. 5856 Further, as CDC continues its internal restructure, 5857 Congress must ensure CDC is effectively prepared for future 5858 infectious disease outbreaks. 5859

```
5860
           I urge my colleagues to support this legislation, and I
      will yield back.
5861
5862
           Is anyone seeking discussion?
           The gentleman from New Jersey, for what purpose do you
5863
5864
      seek recognition?
           *Mr. Pallone. To strike the last word in opposition.
5865
5866
           *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman is recognized.
           *Mr. Pallone. Mr. Chairman, right now in the Senate --
5867
      and, you know, I am not -- I am usually critical of them --
5868
      hundreds of members of our military are being blocked from
5869
5870
      moving up in rank. And for the first time since 1859, the
      Marine Corps is without a confirmed leader due to a hold from
5871
      just one Senator. Another Senator has pledged to block all
5872
      nominees of the Department of Justice, while another has
5873
      pledged to block the new director of NIH. And this is not
5874
                Individual Senators often use their power over
5875
      personnel to block appointments, not necessarily because
5876
      Senators have any concerns about the nominee's
5877
      qualifications, but rather because of politics unrelated to
5878
      the nominee or even the department where they would serve.
5879
           So this legislation would immediately put the director
5880
```

5881 of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention in the middle of this political morass of the United States Senate. 5882 5883 Earlier this week Dr. Mandy Cohen was sworn in as our new CDC director. If this legislation were to go into 5884 effect, she would immediately be removed from that position 5885 and placed in acting status while the Senate stalled on her 5886 nomination. This would leave our lead public health agency 5887 without permanent -- without a permanent leader to focus on 5888 the agency transformation that was started by her 5889 5890 predecessor, or carry out the tasks that we are asking her to 5891 do in the PAHPA reauthorization bills the majority has offered today. It would also invite unnecessary political 5892 interference into public health preparedness and response. 5893 I believe we need a director of the CDC to hit the 5894 ground running to address the public health threats that are 5895 out there, whether that is an outbreak of measles or the next 5896 novel pandemic. The Senate has, frankly, proven to be 5897 incapable of doing anything quickly -- you have heard me say 5898 that a million times -- and it would be a mistake to leave 5899 the CDC without a permanent leader at this time. 5900 Once again, politics are driving the GOP agenda on 5901

5902 public health. And once again, we should reject this attempt because I do think it undermines the CDC. 5903 5904 So I urge my colleagues to oppose this legislation, and I yield back. 5905 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back. Is anyone 5906 else seeking -- for what purpose does the gentleman from 5907 Indiana --5908 *Mr. Bucshon. I move to strike the last word. 5909 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman is recognized. 5910 *Mr. Bucshon. I want to speak in favor of the 5911 5912 legislation. One of the biggest problems we currently have at the CDC 5913 is political interference. I had conversations with Dr. 5914 Redfield and Dr. Walensky about this, and we need to do all 5915 we can to try to minimize political interference. And to do 5916 that, maybe having to be Senate confirmed will encourage 5917 Presidents to appoint less partisan people. 5918 Because I can tell you, I am a physician, but that 5919 doesn't mean physicians out there in practice don't have 5920 political viewpoints, because they all do. And I think it 5921 would be important for congressional oversight for the U.S. 5922

```
5923
      Senate to have someone in front of their committee where they
      can do a deep dive into their -- not only their scientific
5924
5925
      background, but where they are as it relates to minimizing
      political interference in their career. Because most --
5926
      honestly, most people that get appointed at CDC are going to
5927
      be academicians, people at academic medical centers, and
5928
      people who have a long history of being engaged in the
5929
      political process.
5930
           So I want to speak in favor of this because I think our
5931
5932
      current CDC directors are put under a tremendous amount of
5933
      political influence, and that is a shame. And maybe the fact
      that -- having to be Senate confirmed would help to minimize
5934
      that situation. So I am in support of this legislation.
5935
           *Mr. Guthrie. Would the gentleman yield?
5936
           *Mr. Bucshon. I will yield.
5937
           *Mr. Guthrie. You know, I just want to say that there
5938
      is some truth. I mean, accurate, what you said, except if
5939
      this bill was enacted today, if the President signed it
5940
      today, then the new CDC director would not be acting. She
5941
      would actually be director for six months, and then she would
5942
      have to go to act -- to have six months for the Senate to
5943
```

5944 confirm. And I think some of the examples that you have cited get 5945 5946 frustrated -- frustrates me, as well, for some of the people that are lingering in the Senate that need to be confirmed. 5947 I mean, we have ambassadors on -- when a new President comes 5948 in it takes, like -- they get sworn -- appointed in January, 5949 they don't get put in until August. 5950 But I don't think that we want to undo -- and I know we 5951 can't do it legislatively, but we don't want to undo the 5952 advise and consent responsibility of the Senate. And so I 5953 5954 think that high-level officials, particularly, as we have seen in the CDC, it is important that they are Senate 5955 confirmed. It gives the legislative branch -- not us, 5956 unfortunately, but the legislative branch -- the ability to 5957 5958 at least question and ensure these -- and give advice and 5959 consent. And so I think -- but, you know, there has been a 5960 proposal to take the -- because right now it is 2025 when it 5961 takes effect. There has been a proposal to just take the CDC 5962 director out from being confirmed at all, and I just think 5963 that is -- even though frustrated with the Senate we all can 5964

```
5965
      be, that is just not proper for us, in my opinion.
           But I will yield back to my friend from Indiana --
5966
5967
           *Mr. Bucshon. Yes, reclaiming my time, I want to agree
      with what the chairman just said.
5968
           I think the Senate has been frustrating under
5969
      administrations, under Republican and Democrat side, about
5970
      timeliness of confirmation. But that is not a reason, in my
5971
      view, dysfunction in the Senate, for -- to not have someone
5972
      Senate confirmed.
5973
           I mean, we could make that argument for the Secretary of
5974
5975
      State, for the Secretary of Defense. And as you -- as the
      ranking member pointed out, there are high-level military
5976
      people right now being held up by -- essentially, by one
5977
      Senator, which is something that is frustrating. But that
5978
      doesn't mean that we shouldn't try to do as much as we can to
5979
      de-politicize the CDC and regain the confidence of the
5980
      American people in the CDC. That is another one.
5981
           You know, if you have a chance to publicly vet and
5982
      publicly discuss with the proposed -- or, you know, a nominee
5983
      for the CDC director, it can be in a public forum and regain
5984
      the -- help regain the confidence of the American people in
5985
```

```
5986
      the future of the agency, which right now is lacking. And so
      I support the legislation.
5987
5988
           I yield back.
           *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back. Is there any
5989
      further discussion on the bill?
5990
           Seeing none, are there any bipartisan amendments to the
5991
      bill?
5992
           Are there any amendments to the bill?
5993
           Seeing none, the roll call vote being requested, the
5994
      question now occurs on forwarding H.R. 3813 to the full
5995
5996
      committee. A roll call has been requested. The clerk will
      call the roll.
5997
           *The Clerk. Chair Guthrie?
5998
           *Mr. Guthrie. Aye.
5999
           *The Clerk. Guthrie votes aye.
6000
           Burgess?
6001
6002
           *Mr. Burgess. Burgess votes aye.
6003
           *The Clerk. Burgess votes aye.
           Latta?
6004
6005
           *Mr. Latta. Aye.
6006
           *The Clerk. Latta votes aye.
```

```
6007
           Griffith?
           *Mr. Griffith. Aye.
6008
6009
           *The Clerk. Griffith votes aye.
           Bilirakis?
6010
           *Mr. Bilirakis. Aye.
6011
           *The Clerk. Bilirakis votes aye.
6012
           Johnson?
6013
           *Mr. Johnson. Aye.
6014
           *The Clerk. Johnson votes aye.
6015
           Bucshon?
6016
6017
           *Mr. Bucshon. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Bucshon votes aye.
6018
           Hudson?
6019
           [No response.]
6020
           *The Clerk. Carter?
6021
           *Mr. Carter. Aye.
6022
           *The Clerk. Carter votes aye.
6023
6024
           Dunn?
           *Mr. Dunn. Aye.
6025
           *The Clerk. Dunn votes aye.
6026
           Pence?
6027
```

```
6028
           *Mr. Pence.
                       Aye.
           *The Clerk. Pence votes aye.
6029
6030
           Crenshaw?
           *Mr. Crenshaw. Aye.
6031
6032
           *The Clerk. Crenshaw votes aye.
6033
           Joyce?
6034
           *Mr. Joyce.
                        Aye.
           *The Clerk. Joyce votes aye.
6035
           Harshbarger?
6036
           *Mrs. Harshbarger. Aye.
6037
6038
           *The Clerk. Harshbarger votes aye.
           Miller-Meeks?
6039
           *Mrs. Miller-Meeks. Aye.
6040
           *The Clerk. Miller-Meeks votes aye.
6041
           Obernolte?
6042
           [No response.]
6043
           *The Clerk. Eshoo?
6044
6045
           *Ms. Eshoo.
                        No.
           *The Clerk. Ms. Eshoo votes no.
6046
           Sarbanes?
6047
           *Mr. Sarbanes. No.
6048
```

```
6049
           *The Clerk. Sarbanes votes no.
           Cardenas?
6050
           *Mr. Cardenas. No.
6051
           *The Clerk. Cardenas votes no.
6052
           Ruiz?
6053
           *Mr. Ruiz. No.
6054
           *The Clerk. Ruiz votes no.
6055
6056
           Dingell?
           *Mrs. Dingell. No.
6057
            *The Clerk. Dingell votes no.
6058
           Kuster?
6059
           *Ms. Kuster. No.
6060
           *The Clerk. Kuster votes no.
6061
6062
           Kelly?
            *Ms. Kelly.
6063
                        No.
            *The Clerk. Kelly votes no.
6064
           Barragan?
6065
6066
            *Ms. Barragan.
                           No.
6067
            *The Clerk. Barragan votes no.
           Blunt Rochester?
6068
            [No response.]
6069
```

```
6070
           *The Clerk. Craig?
           *Ms. Craig. Craig votes no.
6071
           *The Clerk. Craig votes no.
6072
           Schrier?
6073
           *Ms. Schrier. No.
6074
           *The Clerk. Schrier votes no.
6075
           Trahan?
6076
6077
           *Mrs. Trahan. No.
           *The Clerk. Trahan votes no.
6078
           Pallone?
6079
           *Mr. Pallone. No.
6080
           *The Clerk. Pallone votes no.
6081
           Chair Rodgers?
6082
6083
           [No response.]
           *Mr. Guthrie. Is there anyone that has not answered the
6084
     roll call?
6085
           Is the chair coming?
6086
6087
           Anyone on the other side?
           Seeing no one, the clerk will report. The clerk will
6088
6089
      report.
           *The Clerk. Chair Guthrie, on that vote we had 14 yeas
6090
```

```
6091
      and 12 noes.
           *Mr. Guthrie. The chair will announce the vote. With
6092
6093
      14 ayes and 12 nays, the bill is agreed to.
           The chair calls up H.R. 3836, and asks the clerk to
6094
6095
      report.
           *The Clerk. H.R. 3836, a bill to facilitate direct
6096
      primary care arrangements under Medicaid.
6097
6098
           *Mr. Guthrie. Without objection, the first reading of
      the bill is dispensed with, and the bill will be opened for
6099
      amendment at any point.
6100
           So ordered.
6101
           [The bill follows:]
6102
6103
      **********************************
6104
6105
```

```
6106
           *Mr. Guthrie. Does anybody seek recognition on the
6107
      bill?
6108
           Why does the gentleman from Texas seek recognition?
           *Mr. Crenshaw. I move to strike the last word.
6109
           *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman is recognized.
6110
           *Mr. Crenshaw. Chairman, thank you for bringing this to
6111
      the committee.
6112
           You know, when we talk about the critical issue of
6113
      health care access, I think we have to consider two very
6114
6115
      important factors: empowering patients and establishing a
6116
      viable entry point. And one solution that cuts through all
      the bureaucratic red tape is direct primary care.
                                                          And I want
6117
      to break that down for you.
6118
           Direct primary care offers unlimited access to a primary
6119
      care physician for a recurring monthly payment. And it
6120
      hinges on the most crucial relationship in health care:
6121
      bond between the patient and the doctor. Direct primary care
6122
      holds tremendous potential in tackling the skyrocketing costs
6123
      of emergency room visits within the Medicaid population
6124
      because it fosters a more direct and personal patient-
6125
      physician relationship. It also opens up a whole new avenue
6126
```

of care for Medicaid patients, grants them access to a fresh 6127 pool of highly-skilled physicians. 6128 6129 In the current system, many primary care doctors simply cannot sustain their practice due to the woefully inadequate 6130 Medicaid reimbursement rates. So this adversely affects 6131 Medicaid recipients because they require primary care just 6132 like the rest of us. And just like the rest of us, primary 6133 care is the entry point into health care. So they deserve 6134 the same standard as everyone else. 6135 So I have joined forces with my friend Representative 6136 6137 Schrier to introduce this bipartisan bill. Our legislation is very straightforward, very simple, small step. It offers 6138 opportunities to expand primary care access for Medicaid 6139 recipients. How? By clearly stating that current law does 6140 not prohibit direct primary care arrangements within the 6141 Medicaid program, while also providing quidance for states 6142 that wish to incorporate direct primary care into their 6143 unique Medicaid system. 6144 It is a small step, but it is significant, and it is in 6145 the right direction. It paves the way for delivering an 6146 innovative care model to a population that is genuinely in 6147

need. And I hope we can move forward with this in a truly 6148 bipartisan manner. 6149 6150 Thank you, and I yield back. *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back. Is anyone 6151 seeking -- for discussion on the bill? 6152 Seeing none, are there bipartisan amendments to the 6153 bill? 6154 Are there other amendments to the bill? 6155 The gentleman from Texas, do you have an amendment to 6156 the bill in the nature of a substitute? 6157 6158 *Mr. Guthrie. The clerk will report --*Mr. Crenshaw. Yes, yes. 6159 6160 *Mr. Guthrie. The clerk will report the amendment. *Mr. Crenshaw. Sorry, Chairman. I do have an amendment 6161 at the desk. 6162 *Mr. Guthrie. No problem. 6163 *The Clerk. An amendment in the nature of a substitute 6164 to H.R. 3836, offered by Mr. Crenshaw of Texas. 6165 *Mr. Guthrie. Without objection, the reading of the 6166

[The amendment of Mr. Crenshaw follows:]

amendment is dispensed with.

6167

6168

6169		
6170	******COMMITTEE	INSERT*******
6171		

6172 *Mr. Guthrie. And the gentleman is recognized in support of his amendment. 6173 6174 *Mr. Crenshaw. I think I was out of order there, so I think you heard me. 6175 Thank you, Chairman, I yield back. 6176 *Mr. Guthrie. Any discussion on the amendment? 6177 Seeing none, are there any amendments to the amendment 6178 in nature of a substitute? 6179 Seeing none, so if there is no further discussion on the 6180 amendment, the vote occurs on the amendment in the nature of 6181 6182 a substitute. We will do this by voice, I believe. All those in favor shall signify by saying aye. 6183 All those opposed, no. 6184 The ayes have it, and the amendment is agreed to. 6185 The question now occurs on forwarding H.R. 3836, as 6186 amended, to the full committee. A roll call has been 6187 requested, and the clerk will call the roll. 6188 6189 *The Clerk. Chair Guthrie? *Mr. Guthrie. Aye. 6190 *The Clerk. Guthrie votes aye. 6191 Burgess? 6192

```
6193
           [No response.]
           *The Clerk. Latta?
6194
6195
           *Mr. Latta. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Latta votes aye.
6196
           Griffith?
6197
           *Mr. Griffith. Aye.
6198
           *The Clerk. Griffith votes aye.
6199
6200
           Bilirakis?
           *Mr. Bilirakis. Aye.
6201
           *The Clerk. Bilirakis votes aye.
6202
           Johnson?
6203
           *Mr. Johnson. Aye.
6204
           *The Clerk. Johnson votes aye.
6205
6206
           Bucshon?
6207
           [No response.]
           *The Clerk. Hudson?
6208
           [No response.]
6209
6210
           *The Clerk. Carter?
           [No response.]
6211
           *The Clerk. Dunn?
6212
6213
           *Mr. Dunn. Aye.
```

```
6214
           *The Clerk. Dunn votes aye.
           Pence?
6215
6216
           *Mr. Pence. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Pence votes aye.
6217
           Crenshaw?
6218
           *Mr. Crenshaw. Aye.
6219
6220
           *The Clerk. Crenshaw votes aye.
           Joyce?
6221
           *Mr. Joyce. Aye.
6222
           *The Clerk. Joyce votes aye.
6223
6224
           Harshbarger?
           *Mrs. Harshbarger. Aye.
6225
           *The Clerk. Harshbarger votes aye.
6226
           Miller-Meeks?
6227
6228
           *Mrs. Miller-Meeks. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Miller-Meeks votes aye.
6229
           Obernolte?
6230
6231
           *Mr. Obernolte. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Obernolte votes aye.
6232
           Eshoo?
6233
           *Ms. Eshoo. Aye.
6234
```

```
6235
           *The Clerk. Ms. Eshoo votes aye.
           Sarbanes?
6236
6237
           *Mr. Sarbanes. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Sarbanes votes aye.
6238
           Cardenas?
6239
           *Mr. Cardenas. Aye.
6240
6241
           *The Clerk. Cardenas votes aye.
6242
           Ruiz?
           *Mr. Ruiz. Aye.
6243
           *The Clerk. Ruiz votes aye.
6244
6245
           Dingell?
           *Mrs. Dingell. Aye.
6246
           *The Clerk. Dingell votes aye.
6247
6248
           Kuster?
           *Ms. Kuster. Aye.
6249
           *The Clerk. Kuster votes aye.
6250
6251
           Kelly?
6252
           *Ms. Kelly. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Kelly votes aye.
6253
           Barragan?
6254
           *Ms. Barragan. Aye.
6255
```

```
6256
           *The Clerk. Barragan votes aye.
           Blunt Rochester?
6257
6258
           [No response.]
           *The Clerk. Craig?
6259
           *Ms. Craig. Craig votes aye.
6260
           *The Clerk. Craig votes aye.
6261
           Schrier?
6262
           *Ms. Schrier. Aye.
6263
           *The Clerk. Schrier votes aye.
6264
           Trahan?
6265
6266
           *Mrs. Trahan. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Trahan votes aye.
6267
           Pallone?
6268
6269
           *Mr. Pallone.
                           Aye.
6270
           *The Clerk. Pallone votes aye.
           Chair Rodgers?
6271
            *The Chair. Aye.
6272
6273
            *The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes aye.
           *Mr. Guthrie. How is Dr. Burgess recorded?
6274
           *The Clerk. Dr. Burgess is not recorded.
6275
           *Mr. Burgess. Burgess votes aye.
6276
```

```
6277
           *The Clerk. Burgess votes aye.
           *Mr. Carter. Carter.
6278
6279
           *Mr. Guthrie. How is Mr. Griffith recorded?
           Oh, is it Griffith or Carter?
6280
           Carter, how is Mr. Carter recorded?
6281
           *The Clerk. Mr. Carter is not recorded.
6282
6283
           *Mr. Carter. Aye.
6284
           *The Clerk. Carter votes aye.
           *Mr. Guthrie. Dr. Bucshon.
6285
           *The Clerk. Dr. Bucshon is not recorded.
6286
6287
           *Mr. Bucshon. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Bucshon votes aye.
6288
           *Mr. Guthrie. Any on your side? Is that everyone?
6289
6290
           The clerk will report.
6291
           [Pause.]
           *The Clerk. Chair Guthrie, on that vote we had 28 ayes
6292
6293
      and 0 nays.
6294
           *Mr. Guthrie. With 28 ayes and 0 nays, the bill is
6295
      agreed to.
           The chair calls up H.R. 4531, and asks the clerk to
6296
      report.
6297
```

6298	*The Clerk. H.R. 4531, a bill to reauthorize certain
6299	programs that provide for opioid use disorder prevention,
6300	recovery, and treatment, and for other purposes.
6301	*Mr. Guthrie. Without objection, the first reading of
6302	the bill is dispensed with, and the bill will be open for
6303	amendment at any point.
6304	So ordered.
6305	[The bill follows:]
6306	
6307	*********COMMITTEE INSERT******
6308	

6309 *Mr. Guthrie. The chair will recognize myself for five minutes to speak on the bill. 6310 6311 We all know why this legislation is important. over year, drug overdose deaths have reached record highs, 6312 exceeding nearly 110,000 deaths in 2022 alone. This surge 6313 comes alongside a fentanyl-driven rise in overdoses. 6314 home state of Kentucky, 70 percent of those overdose deaths 6315 are due to fentanyl. Each of these 110,000 people have 6316 families and loved ones that are impacted across America. 6317 We worked to schedule fentanyl in the HALT Fentanyl Act, 6318 6319 and we need to schedule another dangerous drug: Xylazine. But Xylazine is -- but this bill does that to level III, but 6320 it also reauthorizes critical Federal programs first offered 6321 by this committee five years ago that provide critical 6322 resources to those seeking to overcome their substance use 6323 disorder. Among these reauthorizations is the Comprehensive 6324 Opioid Recovery Center, the CORC, which I led in the last 6325 session, or the last bill. The CORC program is responsible 6326 for providing wraparound treatment and recovery support 6327 services, including workforce training to individuals living 6328 in communities with disproportionately -- drug overdose 6329

6330 rates. I have fellow colleagues from Kentucky. Representative 6331 6332 Barr has a bill that will reauthorize targeted workforce training grants for individuals in recovery, and 6333 Representative Rodgers will ensure that providers have -- we 6334 have enough providers providing loan repayment transfers. 6335 This bill also includes significant resources dedicated 6336 to preventing overdose deaths to reduce opioid dependency, 6337 providing for enhanced funding for state level prescription 6338 drug monitoring by allowing Federal funding to use for 6339 fentanyl and Xylazine testing strips and where -- in states 6340 where they are legal, and by helping to ensure Medicaid 6341 provides access to all FDA-approved overdose reversal 6342 6343 medications. However, I note that the underlying legislation does not 6344 include provisions lifting the IMD exclusion, which I believe 6345 is needed. I look forward to working with my committee 6346 6347 colleagues to find ways to offset and pay for lifting this arbitrary and antiquated policy. 6348 In closing, I remain optimistic that our continued 6349 bipartisan efforts will help us turn the tide and change 6350

6351 I thank my colleagues for joining me, and I look forward to advancing this legislation to the full committee 6352 6353 today. I will yield back, and anyone seeking -- the chair --6354 for what purpose does the gentleman from New Jersey seek 6355 recognition? 6356 6357 *Mr. Pallone. I move to strike the last word in support of the bill. 6358 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman is recognized. 6359 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Despite there 6360 *Mr. Pallone. being a lot of partisan bills being considered today, I am 6361 pleased that the Support Act package before us is a 6362 bipartisan effort. 6363 6364 Five years ago we all worked together on a bipartisan basis to create a number of new programs to address the 6365 ongoing opioid epidemic. Over the last five years this law 6366 has expanded treatment options in Medicare and Medicaid, 6367 allowed more providers to prescribe medication-assisted 6368 treatment, and made important investments in public health. 6369 So today we are building on that important work. 6370 The package before us will reauthorize critical programs 6371

6372 included in the Support Act. These include a number of programs for particularly vulnerable populations, and I am 6373 6374 very glad that we are reauthorizing the program to expand residential treatment for pregnant and postpartum women. 6375 I am also glad that we are reauthorizing programs to 6376 train first responders, support recovery centers, and bolster 6377 the behavioral health workforce. 6378 In addition to reauthorizing existing programs, this 6379 bill includes some important new provisions, such as 6380 expanding access to lifesaving resources like fentanyl and 6381 6382 Xylazine test strips. However, as I said in my opening statement, I am 6383 disappointed that the markup does not include policies that 6384 will help justice-involved populations access Medicaid. And 6385 particularly, the bipartisan Medicaid Reentry Act and the Due 6386 Process Continuity of Care Act would ensure that these 6387 vulnerable populations are able to access the care they need 6388 when they reenter society or await trial. We know that 6389 individuals reentering society after incarceration are at 6390 higher risk of an overdose than others. Connecting them to 6391 coverage and treatment prior to their release can mitigate 6392

6393 these harms. I also think it is bad that we take away individuals' 6394 6395 Medicaid coverage while they are in pretrial detention. These are people who have not been convicted of a crime. 6396 Many may only be incarcerated because they lack the resources 6397 to afford bail. 6398 And both of these bills enjoy broad bipartisan support 6399 and support from law enforcement. So I am disappointed that 6400 they were not part of this package, but I am hopeful that the 6401 chairwoman will continue to work with me so that we can 6402 include these important policies when we consider this bill 6403 at the full committee level. 6404 In that same spirit, I look forward to continuing to 6405 work on Xylazine and what a public health response to its 6406 emergence looks like. I thank my colleagues for working with 6407 me on this issue. 6408 I want to make clear that, while I am glad we have found 6409 a path forward today and I can -- that I can support, I want 6410 to note for the record that I continue to be strongly opposed 6411 to H.R. 1839 as it was originally drafted. That approach 6412 would have applied criminal penalties to an FDA-approved drug 6413

- 6414 without formally making it a controlled substance. I think
- that is a dangerous precedent that could be used by Congress
- 6416 to criminalize drugs with legitimate medical uses for
- 6417 political reasons. So there is no reason to go outside of
- 6418 the usual structure for how we deal with controlled
- 6419 substances.
- But thank you, Mr. Chairman. I do support the bill and
- thank all of us for working on a bipartisan basis to get this
- 6422 here today, and I yield back.
- 6423 *Mr. Guthrie. Thank you. The gentleman yields back and
- 6424 the chair recognizes Mr. Latta. For what purpose does Mr.
- 6425 Latta seek recognition?
- 6426 *Mr. Latta. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I move to strike
- 6427 the last word.
- *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman is recognized.
- *Mr. Latta. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Today is a somber
- reminder we are continuing to fight the uphill battle against
- an enemy ruining families, destroying communities, and, most
- 6432 tragically, stealing lives.
- I cannot emphasize enough how critical the original
- authorization of the Support Act was, and how important it

was that we came together not as Republicans or Democrats, 6435 but as Americans to finally fight back against substance 6436 6437 abuse -- substance use disorder. Addiction can happen to anyone, addiction knows no 6438 boundaries, addiction is ravaging our youth, and addiction is 6439 killing our loved ones. 6440 The authorization of the Support Act cannot come at a 6441 more critical time. Tragically, for a second year in a row 6442 there were over 109,000 drug overdose deaths in the United 6443 States. Much of this can be directly contributed to over 6444 6445 73,000 poisoning deaths due to fentanyl and fentanyl-related 6446 substances. Prior to the COVID-19 public health emergency, it 6447 appeared we were finally taking a step in the right direction 6448 to curb substance abuse. However, with lockdowns, tremendous 6449 fear of the virus, and limited human interaction that all 6450 resulted from the pandemic, a perfect storm developed for 6451 6452 individuals to experiment and develop a dependance on substances. 6453 In addition, new illicit substances like Xylazine and 6454 fentanyl analogs have made it much harder for law enforcement 6455

6456 to hold criminals accountable. I am proud the House passed the HALT Fentanyl Act earlier this year, but this is just the 6457 6458 tip of the iceberg. Treatment before tragedy is real, and we can fix this 6459 with improvements and extensions such as the Trauma Informed 6460 Care Task Force Reauthorization Act legislation I am 6461 co-leading with the gentleman from California's 25th 6462 district. I am optimistic the Support Act will allow us to 6463 better -- be better positioned to provide resources to those 6464 suffering, expand access to care, and, most importantly, stop 6465 these poisonings. I am looking forward to the day this 6466 committee won't need to address these entirely unnecessary 6467 poisonings, and pray that the reauthorization of the Support 6468 6469 Act will be one step closer to a world without addiction. Mr. Chairman, I thank you for your leadership on this 6470 reauthorization, and I yield back the remainder of my time. 6471 The gentleman yields back, and we are 6472 *Mr. Guthrie. going to go down the order here. So, Mr. Cardenas, for what 6473 purpose do you seek recognition? 6474 *Mr. Cardenas. To strike the last word, Mr. Chair. 6475 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman is recognized for five 6476

6477 minutes. *Mr. Cardenas. Thank you. I want to thank my 6478 6479 colleagues for including some critical provisions in the Support Act, including a bill I am co-leading with 6480 Representative James, which would fund a center that provides 6481 technical assistance and other support to recovery community 6482 organizations and peer support networks. 6483 There is a lot of good in this bill. However, I do have 6484 some concerns, especially as it relates to our approach to 6485 combating the rise in Xylazine use. 6486 First let me say I am disturbed by reports about the 6487 increased use of fentanyl laced with Xylazine. This mixture 6488 can have absolutely devastating impacts on those who use it, 6489 and its resistance to interventions like Narcan make 6490 overdoses even more difficult to prevent. While I understand 6491 the rush to react, I urge my colleagues not to look at 6492 imposing harsh criminal penalties as the answer. 6493 The rise in 6494 Xylazine use is a consequence of the fact we have an addiction crisis in our country. 6495 Individuals who are suffering from substance use 6496 disorder need compassionate care, access to services, and the 6497

6498 ability to benefit from harm reduction measures. Criminal penalties often impact the individuals who are suffering the 6499 6500 most from addiction. We should not be throwing people in jail for having a substance use disorder. I would caution 6501 against this approach, and I hope my colleagues and I can 6502 work together to agree on an effective, responsible public 6503 health response to the increase in Xylazine use. 6504 6505 And I yield the balance of my time to my colleague from California. 6506 Thank you, Representative Cardenas. 6507 *Mr. Ruiz. 6508 As I mentioned in our hearing on this issue in June, it is stunning how long we have been working to tackle the ever-6509 evolving opioid crisis. And while I know we have made great 6510 strides and adopted policies to tackle many of these issues, 6511 fentanyl remains the leading cause of death for U.S. adults 6512 ages 18 to 45. That is why it is critical to examine these 6513 programs and extend the ones that are working. 6514 6515 Substance use disorders don't just affect the person with a disorder. Entire families feel the ripple effects of 6516 substance use disorder, often suffering trauma as a result of 6517 their experiences. That is why in the Support Act we created 6518

6519 the Interagency Task Force on Trauma Informed Care. We need to make sure that we are taking a holistic approach, and 6520 6521 treating the entire family appropriately in order to properly address the effects of this disease. 6522 The purpose of this task force is to solicit input from 6523 on-the-ground experts and then provide recommendations, 6524 including evidence-based best practices and a national 6525 strategy to address children and youth who have experienced 6526 or are at risk of experiencing trauma impacted by substance 6527 use disorder. 6528 6529 Experts are the ones who are implementing these policies every day on the ground, with real-life experiences informing 6530 their decisions such as front-line service providers, 6531 educators, mental health professionals, and experts in 6532 infant, child, and youth trauma. That is why this task force 6533 is so important, because it includes the very people that 6534 need to be at the table as these decisions are being made. 6535 It is important to extend their authority so that they can 6536 continue their critical work. 6537 And I would like to thank the committee for including my 6538 bill, the Trauma Informed Care Task Force Reauthorization Act 6539

6540 in this legislation, and I urge my colleagues to support its final passage. 6541 6542 I yield back to Representative Cardenas. *Mr. Cardenas. Thank you. I thank my colleague who 6543 represents California's 25th district. 6544 And I yield back the balance of my time. 6545 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back. The chair now 6546 recognizes Mr. Bilirakis for five minutes for --6547 *Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you. 6548 *Mr. Guthrie. -- speaking on the amendment. 6549 *Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I move to 6550 strike the last word. 6551 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman is recognized. 6552 6553 *Mr. Bilirakis. I want to issue my strong support for Chairman Guthrie's legislation that I am proud to cosponsor, 6554 the Support for Patient and Communities Reauthorization Act. 6555 This bipartisan bill, the package, pulls together 6556 6557 significant work our committee has done to reauthorize and strengthen critical opioid prevention and substance use order 6558 treatment policies that we enacted in the original SUPPORT 6559

Act in 2018. This includes a bill I co-lead with

6560

6561 Representative Dingell, the Federal Interventions and New Detections, or FIND Fentanyl Act, which will reauthorize the 6562 6563 pilot program for public health laboratories to coordinate with law enforcement agencies to better detect fentanyl and 6564 other synthetic opioids and stop it at its source. 6565 Importantly, this package also includes a bill I have 6566 been proud to lead with Representative Pfluger and 6567 Representative Panetta, the Combating Illicit Xylazine Act. 6568 This legislation reflects a sad reality we are seeing on the 6569 ground with the depressant drug Xylazine being laced into 6570 fentanyl to create a sustained high feeling. It has 6571 absolutely horrific side effects on humans such as severe 6572 skin ulcerations, blacked-out sedation, and a state of 6573 6574 comatose, a heart rate stoppage leading to death. This is a growing public health crisis that has been 6575 recognized by ONDCP, DEA, FDA, and others across the country, 6576 and we must use every tool in the toolbox to address the 6577 substance abuse epidemic we have continued to face and that 6578 has evolved since we passed the original SUPPORT Act. 6579 However, we have also recognized that Xylidine has been a 6580 legitimate and very safe and effective drug in animal use --6581

6582 I will say it again, in animal use -- for decades. And we want to continue to allow the veterinarians, the cattlemen, 6583 6584 and ranchers in farming communities to maintain access for legitimate purposes. 6585 I want to thank the committee leadership on both sides 6586 of the aisle for recognizing this crisis and the balance 6587 needed with Xylazine, and for coming together and negotiating 6588 in good faith to include our legislation in the Support 6589 package, which will place Xylazine in a schedule III of the 6590 Controlled Substances Act. Scheduling illicit Xylazine in 6591 this faction will give law enforcement the tools they need to 6592 address the poly-substance crisis while still excluding from 6593 the schedule the legal use by veterinarians who rely on it to 6594 6595 care for cattle and large animals. In short, this bipartisan package will strengthen the 6596 bipartisan work and start to address the shifting dynamics we 6597 faced in the overdose epidemic. 6598 6599 I also remain hopeful that we can address the need to update our methods for tracking trends related to controlled 6600 substances and opioids such as fentanyl. Addressing this 6601 crisis requires studying and understanding utilization of 6602

```
6603
      these illicit substances, better targeting our resources for
      care in real time. We have seen great strides in the
6604
6605
      utilization of the wastewater surveillance by the CDC through
      the COVID-19 pandemic.
                              This type of testing tracks data
6606
      accurately, and can provide unique insights into public
6607
      health response, all while maintaining individual privacy.
6608
      welcome the opportunity to work on this policy further, and
6609
      hopefully we can include it in the next full committee level.
6610
           I want to thank again my Democratic colleagues on the
6611
      other side of the aisle for their interest to work with me on
6612
6613
      this particular issue, and I also want to thank again the
      Republicans on this side of the aisle. I think there is a
6614
      lot of promise there. I look forward to working with all of
6615
      you to see this through, and I thank you, Mr. Chairman.
6616
           I yield back the balance of my time.
6617
           *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back and the chair
6618
      recognizes the gentlelady from Michigan. For what purpose
6619
      does the lady seek recognition?
6620
           *Mrs. Dingell. Mr. Chairman, I move to strike the last
6621
6622
      word.
           *Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady is recognized.
```

6623

*Mrs. Dingell. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 6624 Stories of loved ones, friends, and constituents losing 6625 6626 their lives to addiction and overdose are just far too common, and they have become everyday stories. 6627 unnecessary tragedies. 6628 In 2018 this committee worked together to enact the 6629 SUPPORT Act to address the opioid crisis, and it did make 6630 progress. The number of opioid deaths began to fall after 6631 its enactment. But in the wake of a new and growing fentanyl 6632 and synthetic opioid crisis, deaths are again on the rise, 6633 6634 and it is time to reassess our approach. As we work to respond to the evolving crisis, it is 6635 critical to reauthorize key SUPPORT Act provisions set to 6636 expire at the end of the year. For example, the SUPPORT Act 6637 authorized a pilot program to improve coordination between 6638 public health laboratories and those operated by law 6639 enforcement. Public health labs, which detect and monitor 6640 public health threats and labs run by law enforcement, which 6641 often assist with crime investigations involving suspected 6642 controlled substances, can offer a unique insight into 6643 emerging opioid trends. 6644

6645 Taken together, collaboration between the two can play a critical role in our nation's response to the synthetic 6646 6647 opioid epidemic and help us track evolving threat threats. That is why I am grateful the FIND Fentanyl Act legislation, 6648 which I led with my dear friend and colleague Rep. Bilirakis, 6649 is included as part of H.R. 4531. 6650 The FIND Fentanyl Act will reauthorize the Support X Lab 6651 pilot program through fiscal year 2028, ensuring we can 6652 expand upon the progress and discoveries the program has 6653 already made. Thank you, Rep. Bilirakis for joining me on 6654 this effort, and I want to express my thanks to 6655 Representatives Guthrie and Kuster for their leadership on 6656 these issues. 6657 6658 I know it is something we all care deeply about and, unfortunately, too many of us in this room have been 6659 personally impacted. I urge my colleagues to support this 6660 legislation, and I look forward to its advancing to the full 6661 6662 committee. And I yield back. 6663 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady yields back, and the chair 6664 recognizes the gentlelady from Washington, the chair of the 6665

```
6666
      full committee.
           For what purpose do you seek recognition?
6667
6668
           *The Chair. I would like to strike the last word.
           *Mr. Guthrie.
                           The gentlelady is recognized.
6669
           *The Chair. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
6670
           The Support for Patients and Communities Reauthorization
6671
      Act, Support Act, is a critical step to helping address the
6672
      overdose and drug poisoning crisis. This bill reauthorizes
6673
      key public health programs aimed at helping to support
6674
      prevention, treatment, and recovery services for individuals
6675
      with substance use disorder. It also includes a provision
6676
      that places trangs in schedule III of the Controlled
6677
      Substances Act, which will allow veterinarians and ranchers
6678
      to maintain access for animal use.
6679
           Trangs has been detected in almost every state in our
6680
      country, and the monthly percentage of deaths that involved
6681
      illicit fentanyl and Xylazine has increased by 276 percent
6682
6683
      over the last 3 years. That is according to the CDC.
           This will allow law enforcement to crack down on
6684
      criminals who illegally traffic this harmful drug.
6685
           I am pleased by the progress we are making today on this
6686
```

```
6687
      important piece of legislation. Legislating is never easy,
      and it requires compromise on both sides to get things done.
6688
6689
      There are key policies that are missing from this package,
      and I hope to see included in the final markup namely
6690
      policies to lift the IMD exclusion. Additionally, I know
6691
      members have expressed an interest in seeing policies to
6692
      support people in the criminal justice system and getting the
6693
      care after they leave incarceration.
6694
           Two bills in question, the Reentry Act and the Due
6695
      Process Continuity of Care Act, were included in our
6696
6697
      legislative hearing on the topic. However, CBO has informed
      us that these two policies together cost over 20 billion.
6698
      such, we are continuing to work to identify bipartisan ways
6699
      to hold down these costs and pay for the overall package. A
6700
      famous man once said you can't always get what you want, but
6701
      if you try sometime, you might find what you want -- what you
6702
      want you need -- you get what you need.
6703
6704
           [Laughter.]
           *The Chair. This committee has an incredible history of
6705
      putting partisan -- did I say that wrong?
6706
           [Laughter.]
6707
```

6708 *The Chair. A famous man once said you can always get what you want, but if you try sometime you might find you get 6709 6710 what you need. Oh, you want me to sing it? [Laughter.] 6711 *The Chair. You don't, really. 6712 Okay, this committee has an incredible history of 6713 putting aside the partnership -- I am very hopeful. I 6714 appreciate the work that has been done. I know there is 6715 important provisions that we are still going to work on on 6716 this legislation, but just thank you, everyone that has been 6717 a part of getting it to this far -- this point, and we will 6718 keep working on it. 6719 I yield back. 6720 *Mr. Guthrie. The chairwoman yields back, and the chair 6721 recognizes Ms. -- my colleague on this bill, Ms. Kuster. 6722 For what purpose do you seek recognition? 6723 *Ms. Kuster. I move to strike the last word. 6724 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady from New Hampshire is 6725 recognized. 6726 *Ms. Kuster. Mr. Guthrie and fellow members of this 6727 committee, I am so proud to co-lead the comprehensive Support 6728

6729 for Patients and Communities reauthorization with our chair. This is a vital bipartisan package to empower communities 6730 6731 across this country to respond to the tragic mental health and substance use disorder crisis gripping our nation. 6732 As my colleagues in this room know, we have no time to 6733 In my home state of New Hampshire recent data found 6734 that drug overdose deaths just within the last year rose 6735 faster than the rest of the country, nearing a decade high. 6736 Behind these horrifying statistics are families who are 6737 devastated by the loss of a loved one, first responders 6738 6739 desperate for more resources to help save lives, and communities reaching their breaking point. 6740 So we must come together in support of this bill to 6741 deliver for our constituents, and that is where the Support 6742 Act comes in. This comprehensive package is an opportunity 6743 for Congress to respond to the complicated challenges of 6744 mental health and substance use disorder, and to update our 6745 6746 approach to match how these issues have changed since we passed the original SUPPORT Act in 2018. 6747 From tackling growing workforce shortages to addressing 6748 the increased prevalence of co-occurring disorders and the 6749

6750 introduction of new harmful adulterants, this bill addresses the most pressing issues facing our communities. 6751 6752 When I first began my work establishing and co-chairing the bipartisan Mental Health and Substance Use Disorder Task 6753 Force back in 2015, I spoke to my colleagues from districts 6754 across the country about the issues facing my constituents: 6755 heroin, opioid misuse. And many members told me at that time 6756 they didn't have those -- these problems in their 6757 communities, and it just wasn't a priority for them. 6758 The unfortunate reality now for all of us here today is 6759 6760 that this is a problem in every single district across our country. And many of us share a personal connection, 6761 including myself, with someone who is impacted by substance 6762 6763 use disorder and mental health challenges. The Support for Patients and Communities Reauthorization Act will help 6764 provide tools to address these issues, to save lives, and to 6765 invest in the future of our country and our communities. 6766 I am glad that my colleagues on both sides of the aisle 6767 have raised the issue of justice-involved individuals. I 6768 think it is a tragedy in our country that we don't provide 6769 Medicaid coverage for mental health and substance use 6770

6771 treatment while a person is incarcerated, and I look forward to working with the chair and vice chair and members of this 6772 6773 committee to address that issue. I want to thank Chairman Guthrie for his partnership, 6774 and I want to encourage all of my colleagues to support this 6775 bipartisan bill. 6776 6777 And I yield back. *Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady yields back. Is anyone 6778 seeking recognition for discussion? 6779 Mr. Carter, for what purpose do you seek recognition? 6780 *Mr. Carter. Mr. Chairman, I move to strike the last 6781 6782 word. *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman is recognized for five 6783 minutes to strike the last word. 6784 *Mr. Carter. Mr. Chairman, I am pleased to see my bill, 6785 H.R. 4096, the Responsible Mental Health Medications 6786 Prescribing Act, is included in this package. 6787 This legislation standardizes the oversight and 6788 reporting of antipsychotic medications prescribed to Medicaid 6789

recipients. I was alarmed by a recent GAO report finding

extraordinarily high rates of schizophrenia diagnoses and

6790

6791

6792 other psychotic disorders among Georgia Medicaid recipients with intellectual or developmental disabilities in home and 6793 community-based services programs. 6794 It is disturbing to note that GAO also found a high 6795 antipsychotic use in Georgia. Over 90 percent of 6796 beneficiaries with intellectual or developmental disabilities 6797 in Georgia with schizophrenia had used antipsychotic 6798 medications. This suggests that there may be an over-6799 prescribing problem, especially in Georgia. 6800 As a pharmacist myself, I recognize the dangers of over-6801 6802 prescribing. If patients are being given antipsychotic medications unnecessarily, their risk of serious and even 6803 life-threatening side effects increases. This is why I am 6804 proud to support this bill, and I urge my colleagues to do 6805 the same. 6806 Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I yield back. 6807 *Mr. Joyce. Would the gentleman yield? 6808 6809 *Mr. Carter. And I will yield to the gentleman from Pennsylvania. 6810 *Mr. Joyce. I thank the gentleman from Georgia for 6811 yielding. And thank you, Mr. Chairman and Ranking Member, 6812

6813 for having this hearing today and this markup. I wish to add my support for the important legislation before this 6814 6815 subcommittee. The Support for Patients and Communities Act is a 6816 comprehensive, bipartisan legislation that reauthorizes key 6817 provisions of the SUPPORT Act and builds on its success. 6818 This legislation also includes H.R. 4097, the Mental Health 6819 Improvement Act, which I co-introduced with Rep. Sykes, which 6820 reauthorizes section 756(f) of the Public Health Service Act, 6821 which provides important grant funding for our behavioral 6822 health workforce. Investments like these in workforce 6823 development are crucial as communities across the country 6824 work to tackle the scourge of addiction and the devastation 6825 6826 that it leaves in its wake. This bill is a product of the comprehensive legislative 6827 process that took this subcommittee to Gettysburg, 6828 Pennsylvania, where we heard powerful and important testimony 6829 from my constituents. And I would again like to thank Mike 6830 Straley, Chief Bill Saravola, and Dr. Mitch Crawford again 6831 for their input and sharing their firsthand experience with 6832 the opioid and addiction crisis that we are currently facing. 6833

I urge support, and I yield back to the gentleman from 6834 Georgia. 6835 6836 *Mr. Carter. Does anyone else need time? I will yield back, Mr. Chairman. 6837 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman from Georgia yields back. 6838 The chair now recognizes -- for what purpose does Ms. Kelly 6839 seek recognition? 6840 *Ms. Kelly. I would like to strike the last word. 6841 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady is recognized. 6842 *Ms. Kelly. Trends in modern health care and opioid 6843 6844 prescriptions have resulted in new risks for patients taking opioids at home. Surgery is among the most common indication 6845 for opioid initiation, as opioids are routinely prescribed 6846 6847 for post-operative pain management to treat short-term pain. Same-day discharges have resulted in home caregivers becoming 6848 responsible for recognizing the signs of respiratory 6849 depression. 6850 6851 This is why I was happy to work with my partner, Rep. Balderson, to introduce H.R. 4093, the Remote Opioid 6852 Monitoring Act of 2023, which requires a GAO study on the use 6853 of remote monitoring for patients who are prescribed opioids 6854

6855 to better understand the efficacy, individual outcomes, and potential cost savings from using this tool. I am glad to 6856 6857 see this bill included in the larger bill. With so many individuals having access to opioids, we 6858 must better understand how to ensure patients are safely 6859 monitored when they are discharged home, and what additional 6860 support resources they need to be provided. 6861 I encourage my colleagues to support this bill. 6862 Thank you, and I yield back. 6863 *Ms. Barragan. Will the gentlewoman yield? 6864 6865 *Ms. Kelly. Yes, I will. *Ms. Barragan. For the time? 6866 *Ms. Kelly. Yes, I will. 6867 *Ms. Barragan. Thank you. 6868 Mr. Chairman, I was going to strike the last word, but 6869 since there is time -- in the last five years since this 6870 committee passed the SUPPORT Act, the substance use disorder 6871 6872 crisis has evolved, but continues to exacerbate our nation's homelessness crisis. 6873 In 2018 the SUPPORT Act included various policies to 6874 expand treatment options, and made critical investments in 6875

public health. Importantly, it included language to support 6876 states who wanted to provide housing-related services under 6877 6878 Medicaid. As co-chair of the Congressional Caucus on Homelessness, it would be negligent to ignore that today's 6879 markup fails to include language to improve access to housing 6880 services for Medicaid beneficiaries. 6881 In the United States there are more than 580,000 people 6882 who experience homelessness on any given night. Many of 6883 these individuals are insured under Medicaid, and seek 6884 treatment for their substance use disorder, but only to find 6885 themselves back on the streets. 6886 As members of this committee, we have an opportunity 6887 with this bill to address two of our nation's crises. 6888 is why I am disappointed we have chosen to not include a 6889 single policy that would help people affected by substance 6890 use disorder get connected to housing-related services. I 6891 intend to continue working on this issue ahead of our full 6892 committee markup, and hope that we will have the support of 6893 members of the majority. We are happy to work with anybody 6894 on either side of the aisle on advancing this policy. 6895 6896 And I want to make clear that I support this

6897 legislation, I am just disappointed that there is nothing in here to address this, and in the past it has. 6898 6899 And with that, I will yield back the remainder of the time to the gentlelady from Illinois. 6900 *Ms. Kelly. And I yield back. 6901 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady yields back. Is anyone 6902 seeking recognition to speak on the bill? 6903 Seeing none, is anyone on the other side seeking -- the 6904 Democrat side? 6905 Seeing none, are there any bipartisan amendments to the 6906 bill? 6907 Seeing none, are there any other amendments to the bill? 6908 Seeing none, I understand that there is work to be done 6909 as we are trying to get to some of the things that people 6910 have mentioned today that need to be addressed. We all know 6911 that we are working together, moving forward. And I am not 6912 trying to take time other than to say that. 6913 I know that we are asking to -- on our side we would 6914 like to a voice vote, so we know we are going to work 6915 forward. Are you all looking for a -- not a roll call vote, 6916 or a voice -- you are good with a voice? 6917

```
6918
           Okay, so this will be a voice vote. So just --
      everybody knows when I call the vote. All right, the
6919
6920
      question now occurs on forwarding H.R. 4531 to the full
      committee.
6921
           All those in favor shall say aye.
6922
6923
           All those opposed, no.
           The ayes have it, and the bill is agreed to. Thank you.
6924
           The chair calls up H.R. 4056, and asks the clerk to
6925
      report.
6926
            *The Clerk. H.R. 4056, a bill to amend title 19 of the
6927
6928
      Social Security Act to ensure that medical assistance is
      available to children in foster care who are placed in a
6929
      qualified residential treatment program under the Medicaid
6930
6931
      program.
            *Mr. Guthrie. Without objection, the first reading of
6932
      the bill is dispensed with, and the bill will be open for
6933
      amendment at any point.
6934
6935
           So ordered.
           [The bill follows:]
6936
6937
      ******************************
6938
6939
                                    344
```

6940 *Mr. Guthrie. Does anyone seek to be recognized on the bill? 6941 For what purpose does the gentleman from Florida seek 6942 recognition? 6943 *Mr. Bilirakis. I move to strike the last word. 6944 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman is recognized. 6945 *Mr. Bilirakis. Mr. Chairman, I was originally going to 6946 offer an AINS that makes technical changes to the bill to 6947 ensure proper implementation that matches with our original 6948 intent of the bill. But I know there are concerns on the 6949 6950 other side of the aisle with some of the language. So in showing good faith, I am going to offer it next time, in full 6951 committee. That way we have a chance to work out any 6952 6953 disagreements with regard to the AINS and the bill, as a matter of fact. 6954 I ask my colleagues across the aisle to come to the 6955 table and commit to working with me on this. 6956 I want to commend Representative Kathy Castor. 6957 she cares about this issue deeply, and she is really working 6958 on this, she has been a great partner. And I support --6959 again, she supports this particular bill. And again, she 6960

6961 wants to work with me, with my colleagues, but also on the other side of the aisle to get unanimous support. There is a 6962 6963 great need in the community for this, Mr. Chairman. The bipartisan legislation, the Ensuring Medicaid 6964 Continuity for Children in Foster Care Act, which is H.R. 6965 4056, fixes an unintended problem that has kept Qualified 6966 Residential Treatment Programs from accessing Medicaid for 6967 critical mental health services. 6968 QRTPs are one of the few residential settings that have 6969 strong oversight, strong oversight and accountability due to 6970 6971 Federal qualification and accreditation requirements. programs were newly created by the Family First Prevention 6972 Service Act, which we passed in 2018, and had just started to 6973 6974 be implemented when inadvertently CMS sent letters to states saying they interpreted QRTPs to -- they said that they 6975 likely may be considered institutions for mental health 6976 disease, which disqualifies them to receive Medicaid for 6977 6978 their services. This was never the intent of the original bill, and we 6979 want to make sure that foster children receive critical 6980 mental health care services covered by Medicaid. The Family 6981

```
6982
      First bill specifically exempted QRTPs from limiting Federal
      financial participation, the original bill did, and it is
6983
6984
      clear that QRTPs were never supposed to fall under the IMD
      exclusion. They are not mental health institutions.
6985
      Family First law specifically authorized and required a
6986
      trauma-informed treatment model in the first place, with
6987
      clinical services provided when appropriate.
6988
           Ironically, because of Medicaid's IMD exclusion,
6989
      children are now being forced into potentially worse or
6990
      unsafe settings. And we know this is happening, Mr.
6991
6992
      Chairman, all along.
           I strongly support children getting care in the right
6993
      setting. And if that means outpatient, then that is great.
6994
      But the reality is we have a shortage of options available
6995
      for children in care, and we know that. We see that all over
6996
      the country. Where are we going to put these kids?
6997
      are safe havens, and they also perform great mental health
6998
      services for our foster kids.
6999
           News reports have highlighted this problem with some
7000
      state foster care systems having to place kids in hotels for
7001
      the night, and juvenile detention centers -- we don't want
7002
```

7003 that -- or boarded up in the emergency room for long periods of time -- and you know that happens. Most states have 7004 7005 specifically cited the IMD exclusion as a barrier for additional support. 7006 We need to do more to fill the gaps across the continuum 7007 of care, and QRTPs can help fill this need by providing 7008 qualified care that is accredited, provided by professional 7009 medical staff, trauma-informed treatment models, and on a 7010 non-permanent basis with aftercare family safeguards. 7011 is a lot of oversight here. These are built in quardrails 7012 7013 that protect against unnecessary or prolonged care. My bill specifically ensures that treatment programs 7014 7015 must follow the accountability and oversight requirements 7016 outlined in the law, and there are no loopholes. QRTPs must maintain the Federal accreditation and safeguard requirements 7017 in order to receive Medicaid funding. 7018 This will also be a state option, so it is optional. 7019 So we are not forcing states to do this, but we do want to 7020 remove the clear barriers by Medicaid that have undermined 7021 the intent and goals of the Family First law to reform and 7022 provide additional supports for foster youth across the 7023

```
7024
      country.
           The Congressional Budget Office has agreed that this
7025
7026
      bill is a narrowly tailored fix, and the estimated cost is
      $90 million. Only in Washington is that --
7027
           *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman --
7028
           *Mr. Bilirakis. I want to insert -- I know I don't have
7029
7030
      a lot of time, huh? Okay.
           Well, anyway, it is a great bill, and these kids need a
7031
      place, and this is a perfect place for them. But hopefully,
7032
      they can stay with their families. There aren't enough
7033
      foster parents in this country that do it for the right
7034
      reasons, and we need help. Our children need help, Mr.
7035
7036
      Chairman.
7037
           I yield back.
           *Mr. Guthrie. Thank you. The gentleman yields back.
7038
      Is there any discussion?
7039
           The gentlelady from Washington? The gentleman?
7040
7041
           *The Chair. Frank?
           *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman -- for what purpose does
7042
      the gentleman from New Jersey seek recognition?
7043
           *Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I move to
7044
```

7045 strike the last word. *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman is recognized. 7046 7047 *Mr. Pallone. I want to speak in opposition to the bill. I appreciate Mr. Bilirakis's withdrawal of the AINS, 7048 because I do think that that would have made -- that would 7049 have even aggravated my concerns. But I am still concerned 7050 about the underlying bill. 7051 7052 I understand that we have to ensure that children in foster care have access to the care that they need, but I am 7053 still concerned by this bill's approach because it really 7054 7055 just exempts these institutions from critical protections for children and Medicaid, and I don't see these protections as 7056 barriers, I see them as protections. 7057 So I think, hopefully between now and full committee, if 7058 this passes today, that we can, you know, look at some 7059 alternatives to addressing the concerns that Mr. Bilirakis 7060 has. But just exempting these institutions from Medicaid 7061 7062 protections I don't think is the way to go. H.R. 4056 would allow group homes, also known as QRTPs, 7063 to receive Medicaid dollars without following important 7064 Medicaid requirements. These requirements include ensuring 7065

```
7066
      that the facility has a provider overseeing the care of the
      children, that the facility meets Medicaid restrictions on
7067
7068
      solitary confinement, and restraints such as physical
      restraints or drugs.
7069
           The American Academy of Pediatrics shares concerns that
7070
      I have with this bill. In their letter opposing it, they
7071
      said that H.R. 4056 -- and I quote -- "would create an
7072
      incentive to place more children in large-scale institutions.
7073
      Rather than putting financial resources towards institutions,
7074
      the AAP encourages Congress to instead invest in community-
7075
      based services for young people.' '
7076
           This last point is especially relevant for kids in
7077
7078
      foster care institutions who receive psychotropic medications
      at a significantly higher rate than other foster kids.
7079
      Government Accountability Office has previously reported that
7080
      foster children living in larger institutions were
7081
      substantially more likely than their peers to receive
7082
      psychotropic medications. GAO found that nearly half of the
7083
      foster kids in these places were taking psychotropic
7084
      medications, and that 13 percent of them were taking 3 or
7085
      more psychotropic medications at a time.
7086
```

7087 I am not suggesting that these types of drugs are always inappropriate, but I do think that it is just another reason 7088 7089 that we should ensure that Medicaid's regulations against the inappropriate use of these drugs continue to apply to foster 7090 care facilities that want to receive Medicaid dollars. 7091 Now, Medicaid already allows for children under 21 to 7092 receive care in an institution as long as it meets these 7093 certain Federal requirements. If certain facilities want to 7094 access these Federal dollars, they should be in compliance 7095 with these requirements, or else we are creating a loophole 7096 7097 for potentially bad actors to harm vulnerable children. If a QRTP wants to be eliqible for Medicaid, it needs to meet the 7098 7099 requirements that exist for other Medicaid providers that 7100 treat children in institutional settings. Now, I know this is a high bar. I am not suggesting 7101 that that is easily done. But I think it should be a high 7102 bar. These are foster children with significant behavioral 7103 7104 health care needs. You are not going to find foster homes for them in most cases, and Mr. Bilirakis is right about 7105 that. But these are kids that are often very young. You 7106 know, we are talking like six-year-olds in many cases, and 7107

7108 they are extremely vulnerable. And I think that we have to ensure that any facility that receives Medicare dollars for 7109 7110 their care meets the high standards, including the standards that restrict the use of seclusion and restraint. 7111 And I appreciate that the bill's sponsors want to ensure 7112 children are able to access the services they need, but I 7113 just think that this is the wrong approach. There has got to 7114 be a better way. We should not provide financial incentives 7115 to institutionalize children. We should not weaken Medicaid 7116 standards for these kids. Maybe there is a way to address 7117 7118 the sponsor's goals while addressing my concerns, but I don't think the bill in its current form is -- does that. And that 7119 7120 is why I can't support it, and I urge my colleagues to join me in voting no. 7121 And I yield back, Mr. Chairman. 7122 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back. 7123 *Mr. Griffith. Mr. Chairman? 7124 *Mr. Guthrie. Mr. Griffith, for what purpose do you 7125 seek recognition? 7126 *Mr. Griffith. Speaking to the --7127 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman is recognized. 7128

- 7129 *Mr. Griffith. Thank you very much. I appreciate the time to speak on the bill, and I think we are moving in the 7130 7131 right direction. Mr. Bilirakis has indicated he is willing to work on an 7132 AINS with our colleagues on the other side who have concerns. 7133 And with that, Mr. Chairman, I would like to yield some time 7134 to my good friend, Mr. Bilirakis of Florida. 7135 7136 *Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you. Thank you, I appreciate it. Mr. Chairman, I just want to insert into the record a 7137 letter from 350 organizations strongly supporting the policy 7138 7139 outlined in H.R. 4056, and urging passage. This includes stakeholders like the National Alliance on Mental Health, the 7140 7141 National Association of Counties, the Child Welfare League, and the National Council for Mental Wellbeing, just to name a 7142 few. 7143 And again, work with us on this. I know that 7144
- So anyway, with that, I will yield back, Mr. Chairman.

Representative Castor is really in favor of this, and she

cares deeply, and I believe this is part of the solution.

7148 Thank you.

7145

7146

7149 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back.

```
7150
           *Ms. Barragan. Will the gentleman yield?
           *Mr. Guthrie. Does Griffith yield back? Does Mr.
7151
7152
      Griffith -- it is Mr. Griffith's time, so --
           *Ms. Barragan. Will Mr. Griffin yield to Barragan?
7153
           *Mr. Griffith. Yes, I would be happy to yield.
7154
           *Ms. Barragan. Thank you. Just -- I just need a
7155
7156
      minute.
           First, I am going to support this, and I am going to
7157
      support it in its current form. Per Los Angeles County, this
7158
      bill is needed to support state QRTPs' implementation efforts
7159
      and to ensure that youth in foster care with assessed
7160
      behavioral and mental health needs can access support and
7161
      services from qualified professionals in QRTPs without
7162
      risking the loss of their Federal Medicaid coverage. So I am
7163
7164
      going to support this.
           I will say that I don't know what the AINS is going to
7165
7166
      look like, so I can't guarantee you I am going to support it
7167
      in the full committee if this is further expanded or there is
      more changes in the other direction.
7168
           And so with that, I will yield back to the gentleman the
7169
      time.
7170
```

7171 *Mr. Griffith. And I now yield back to the chair. *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back. The chair --7172 7173 Ms. Eshoo, you first? Okay. For what purpose does the gentlelady from California seek recognition? 7174 *Ms. Eshoo. I move to strike the last word and --7175 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady is recognized. 7176 7177 *Ms. Eshoo. -- speak to H.R. 4056. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 7178 While I don't plan to vote for H.R. 4056 today, I hope 7179 we can find a suitable solution that protects foster children 7180 7181 while also ensuring that they can receive health care services they need through Medicaid. And I think that is 7182 7183 where the rub comes in. I am a former foster parent, so I believe that I know 7184 what the system looks like. It is a system that has really 7185 been left wanting for decades. And I can say that in 7186 California -- and my sensibilities are I see other members 7187 nodding their heads, so -- and these are children. 7188 children. 7189 And the vast majority of children in foster care, they 7190 actually qualify for Medicaid. But CMS recently clarified 7191

```
7192
      that a specific foster care setting called the Qualified
      Residential Treatment Program, the QRTP, is considered an IMD
7193
7194
      if it is larger than 16 beds. So that means that the
      children who are temporarily in these QRTPs can't have
7195
      Medicaid pay for their health care, even if the care is
7196
      provided outside of the QRTP. I mean, go figure. You know,
7197
7198
      I mean, this is agency and whatever, in many ways, rope a
      dope. I mean, it is so hard to even come up with a sentence
7199
      that is simple to explain the fractured system that these
7200
      children are in.
7201
7202
           So it seems to me that we can find a path forward to
      make sure that these children can receive health care while,
7203
      obviously, no one here wants to encourage inappropriate or
7204
      unsafe placements. So I would say between now and the full
7205
      committee, let's keep working and get this done because the
7206
      children really deserve this. They are so vulnerable to
7207
      begin with in a system that is filled with vulnerabilities.
7208
7209
      We want to make sure that we don't add to that.
           So thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I yield back.
7210
           *Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady yields --
7211
           *Mr. Ruiz. Would the gentlelady yield?
7212
```

```
7213
           *Ms. Eshoo. Pardon me?
           *Mr. Ruiz. Would you yield to me?
7214
7215
           *Ms. Eshoo. Oh, I would be glad to.
           *Mr. Ruiz. Yes. Look, you know, I -- this is a bill
7216
      that I know has good motivation and good intention.
7217
           As an emergency medicine physician, one of the worst
7218
      scenarios is taking care of kids who have been abused
7219
      violently, sexually, or any other kind of way -- verbally,
7220
      emotionally. And the separation that needs to happen either
7221
7222
      with their foster parent or whether their biological parent
7223
      or their step-parent -- and the children who are in the
      foster system, especially those that have mental health
7224
      disorders or illnesses, are amongst one of our most
7225
7226
      vulnerable populations.
           And if we remove some of the standards that will ensure
7227
      oversight in the handling of -- or how they restrain, or how
7228
      they care for the children, then we -- you know, that leaves
7229
7230
      us at risk of more abuse that will go undetected. And so I
      highly encourage my good friend on the other side to really
7231
      ensure that we increase access to health care without risking
7232
      the child's safety while in foster care.
7233
```

```
7234
           And with that, I yield back my time.
           *Mr. Bucshon. [Presiding] You yield back, Anna?
7235
7236
           *Ms. Eshoo. I do.
                          The gentlelady yields back.
7237
           *Mr. Bucshon.
          Does anyone else seek recognition on the bill?
7238
           Dr. Schrier, you are recognized for five minutes.
7239
           *Ms. Schrier.
                          Thank you, Doctor.
7240
           And thank you, Mr. Bilirakis, for raising this issue,
7241
      because it is a really important issue. And I am going to
7242
      speak in opposition, but it is -- I would call it very gentle
7243
7244
      opposition, because I really hope we can keep working on
      this. I think we have to be pragmatic, and I want to take
7245
7246
      care of these kids. I am a pediatrician and know that there
      aren't enough foster parents out there, and there aren't
7247
      enough wraparound services out there. And so we need some
7248
      way to help. And I really appreciate that you are looking to
7249
      help solve this particular problem.
7250
7251
           I am also, though, based on the sincere and strong
      objections of the American Academy of Pediatrics, I am going
7252
      to be voting no on this because their concern is that, by
7253
      relaxing requirements and opening up more possibilities for
7254
```

```
7255
      essentially institutionalizing these kids, many more will end
      up in group homes or institutions who would be far better
7256
7257
      served with a foster family and community wraparound
      services. And there is a history of that being the case.
7258
           And so I hope that we can work together to come up with
7259
      some plan that will work to ensure that kids get the best
7260
      care possible so that they have the best and safest futures
7261
      possible. It is just not this bill today, but I look forward
7262
      to working together, and perhaps the next version of it in
7263
      committee we can work on together.
7264
7265
           Thank you, and I yield back.
           *Mrs. Dingell. Would the gentlewoman yield?
7266
           *Ms. Schrier. I will yield.
7267
           *Mrs. Dingell. Thank you.
7268
           I just want to reaffirm the comments my colleague made,
7269
      and I want to remind people about the importance of allowing
7270
      individuals to receive care within their own community. Most
7271
      of you know that preserving and increasing access to home and
7272
      community-based services is one of my top priorities.
7273
           I know that the intent of this is good, and I want to
7274
      work with all of my colleagues. But when given the choice,
7275
```

7276 we know the vast majority of Americans want to remain in their homes, in the communities while they receive treatment, 7277 7278 rather than being sent off to an institution. And using Qualified Residential Treatment Programs are no exception. 7279 And as the doctor just said, proposals that weaken the 7280 institutions for mental disease, or IMD, inclusion means that 7281 it could create a troublesome incentive to institutionalize 7282 children when it is unnecessary. And the studies show that 7283 inappropriate institutionalization can result in lifelong 7284 harm for foster youth. So I think it is very important that 7285 7286 we get it right. I thank my colleague, the pediatrician, for making this 7287 point, and hope we can all work together because when we can 7288 we should be avoiding institutions, period. We should be 7289 trying to find foster parents for these kids. 7290 And I thank you for yielding to me. I yield back. 7291 *Ms. Schrier. And I yield back. 7292 7293 *Mr. Bucshon. The gentlelady yields back. Is anyone else seeking recognition? 7294 Seeing no one, are there any bipartisan amendments to 7295 the bill? 7296

```
7297
           Seeing none, are there any other amendments to the bill?
           You want a roll call?
7298
7299
           If there is no further discussion, the vote occurs on
      the amendment, and a roll call vote has been requested.
7300
           *Mr. Pallone. There is no amendment?
7301
           *Mr. Bucshon. No, no amendments. There is no
7302
7303
      amendments, no.
7304
           *Ms. Eshoo. No, he withdrew his amendment.
           *Mr. Bucshon. Yes. So the question now occurs on
7305
      forwarding H.R. 4056 to the full committee. The clerk will
7306
      call the roll.
7307
           *The Clerk. Chair Guthrie?
7308
           *Mr. Guthrie. Aye.
7309
7310
           *The Clerk. Guthrie votes aye.
7311
           Burgess?
           *Mr. Burgess. Burgess votes aye.
7312
           *The Clerk. Burgess votes aye.
7313
7314
           Latta?
           *Mr. Latta. Aye.
7315
           *The Clerk. Latta votes aye.
7316
           Griffith?
7317
```

```
7318
           [No response.]
           *The Clerk. Bilirakis?
7319
7320
           *Mr. Bilirakis. Aye.
7321
           *The Clerk. Bilirakis votes aye.
7322
           Johnson?
           *Mr. Johnson. Aye.
7323
7324
           *The Clerk. Johnson votes aye.
7325
           Bucshon?
           *Mr. Bucshon. Aye.
7326
           *The Clerk. Bucshon votes aye.
7327
           Hudson?
7328
           [No response.]
7329
7330
           *The Clerk. Carter?
7331
           *Mr. Carter. Aye.
7332
           *The Clerk. Carter votes aye.
           Dunn?
7333
7334
           *Mr. Dunn. Aye.
7335
           *The Clerk. Dunn votes aye.
           Pence?
7336
           *Mr. Pence. Aye.
7337
           *The Clerk. Pence votes aye.
7338
```

```
7339
           Crenshaw?
7340
           *Mr. Crenshaw. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Crenshaw votes aye.
7341
           Joyce?
7342
           *Mr. Joyce. Aye.
7343
           *The Clerk. Joyce votes aye.
7344
7345
           Harshbarger?
7346
           *Mrs. Harshbarger. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Harshbarger votes aye.
7347
           Miller-Meeks?
7348
7349
           *Mrs. Miller-Meeks. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Miller-Meeks votes aye.
7350
7351
           Obernolte?
7352
           *Mr. Obernolte. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Obernolte votes aye.
7353
           Eshoo?
7354
           *Ms. Eshoo.
7355
                        No.
7356
           *The Clerk. Ms. Eshoo votes no.
           Sarbanes?
7357
           *Mr. Sarbanes. No.
7358
           *The Clerk. Sarbanes votes no.
7359
```

```
7360
           Cardenas?
7361
           [No response.]
            *The Clerk.
7362
                         Ruiz?
            *Mr. Ruiz. No.
7363
           *The Clerk. Ruiz votes no.
7364
           Dingell?
7365
           *Mrs. Dingell. No.
7366
7367
           *The Clerk. Dingell votes no.
           Kuster?
7368
           *Ms. Kuster. No.
7369
           *The Clerk. Kuster votes no.
7370
           Kelly?
7371
7372
            *Ms. Kelly. No.
            *The Clerk. Kelly votes no.
7373
7374
           Barragan?
            *Ms. Barragan. Aye.
7375
            *The Clerk. Barragan votes aye.
7376
7377
           Blunt Rochester?
           [No response.]
7378
            *The Clerk. Craig?
7379
            *Ms. Craig. Craig votes aye.
7380
```

```
7381
           *The Clerk. Craig votes aye.
           Schrier?
7382
7383
           *Ms. Schrier. No.
           *The Clerk. Schrier votes no.
7384
           Trahan?
7385
           *Mrs. Trahan.
7386
                          No.
           *The Clerk. Trahan votes no.
7387
7388
           Pallone?
           *Mr. Pallone. No.
7389
           *The Clerk. Pallone votes no.
7390
           Chair Rodgers?
7391
           *The Chair. Yes.
7392
7393
           *The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes aye.
           *Mr. Bucshon. Anyone else seeking recognition? Are we
7394
      good?
7395
           The clerk will report.
7396
           *The Clerk. Chair, on that vote there were 17 ayes and
7397
7398
      9 noes.
           *Mr. Bucshon. The ayes have it, and the bill is agreed
7399
      to and forwarded to the full committee.
7400
           The chair now calls up H.R. 3887, and asks the clerk to
7401
```

```
7402
      report.
           *The Clerk. H.R. 3887, a bill to amend title 3 of the
7403
      Public Health Service Act to --
7404
           *Mr. Bucshon. Without objection, the first reading of
7405
      the bill is dispensed with, and the bill will be open to
7406
7407
      amendment at any point.
           So ordered.
7408
7409
           [The bill follows:]
7410
      *********************************
7411
7412
```

*Mr. Bucshon. Does anyone seek recognition to speak on

- the bill? 7414 7415 For what purpose does the gentleman from Texas seek recognition? 7416 *Mr. Crenshaw. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the 7417 7418 desk. *Mr. Bucshon. The gentleman is recognized. 7419 Hold on. We are going to -- does anyone want to speak 7420 on the bill, or just go right to the AINS? 7421 *Mr. Crenshaw. I just can't get this right. 7422 *Mr. Bucshon. Seeing no one --7423 *Mr. Crenshaw. Okay. 7424 7425 *Mr. Bucshon. -- speaking on the bill, are there any bipartisan amendments to the bill? 7426
- 7429 *Mr. Bucshon. The gentleman -- now Mr. Crenshaw is

*Mr. Crenshaw. I am so confused.

- 7430 recognized for an amendment in the nature of a substitute.
- *Mr. Crenshaw. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the
- 7432 desk.

7427

7428

7413

7433 *Mr. Guthrie. The clerk will report.

Seeing none, are there any other amendments to the bill?

7434	*The Clerk. An amendment in the nature of a substitute
7435	to H.R. 3887, offered by Mr. Crenshaw of Texas.
7436	*Mr. Guthrie. Without objection, the reading of the
7437	amendment is dispensed with.
7438	[The amendment of Mr. Crenshaw follows:]
7439	
7440	**************************************
7441	

7442 *Mr. Guthrie. And the gentleman is recognized for five minutes in support of the amendment. 7443 7444 *Mr. Crenshaw. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I will continue to say I don't think that this bill, 7445 this amendment, should be controversial. The legislation is 7446 as straightforward as it gets. It simply states that if you 7447 are providing evidence-based medicine to children under your 7448 care, then the Federal Government will fund your residency 7449 training program. But if you choose instead to encourage and 7450 facilitate dangerous and permanent changes to a child's 7451 7452 physiology, taxpayers should not be forced to fund that program. That is not controversial, it is common sense. 7453 Now, let's delve into the issue at hand. I am talking 7454 about so-called gender-affirming care for children, which, in 7455 reality, is nothing but pseudoscience parading around as 7456 legitimate care. It is a facade, plain and simple. 7457 evidence-based medicine relies on systematic reviews. 7458 7459 is the gold standard for assessing a substantial body of evidence. And here is the kicker: all five of the genuine 7460 systematic reviews conducted on child sex change procedures 7461 arrived at the same conclusion. The evidence has alarmingly 7462

7463 low quality. Specifically, the evidence that it has any benefit for them. 7464 7465 So here is the problem. Radical ideologies have infiltrated our medical societies and children's hospitals, 7466 driving them into a state of madness. It seems the United 7467 States is alone now in presuming that every child with any 7468 level of gender discomfort automatically has diagnosable 7469 gender dysphoria and that, therefore, that child needs 7470 chemicals pumped into their body or surgery done on them to 7471 permanently disfigure them, rendering them unable to produce 7472 7473 children in the future. That is the opposite of the do no harm principle. 7474 We need to acknowledge a truth here, one that might make 7475 my colleagues somewhat uncomfortable. We are irreversibly 7476 disfiguring children based on a temporary ideation. We are 7477 causing permanent damage that stunts their development, harms 7478 their skeletal structure, and robs them of the ability to 7479 7480 start a family, all of this in pursuit of some idea of compassion. 7481 Dr. Hilary Cass, a highly respected pediatrician and 7482 former head of the Royal College of Pediatricians and Child 7483

7484 Health of the UK, highlighted in her 2022 report that the unsafe conditions in British pediatric gender clinics stemmed 7485 7486 from the adoption of the affirmative model that originated here in the U.S. Our peer countries are practically shouting 7487 at us to stop, put a stop to this madness. They find it 7488 utterly baffling that we just assume that an 11-year-old 7489 possesses the maturity to fully comprehend a decision that 7490 will make irreversible, drastic changes to their bodies. 7491 We need informed consent in medicine. We need 7492 7493 physicians who are thoroughly informed. We need parents who are equally well informed. The United States should be 7494 leading on this issue, but it is leading in the wrong 7495 7496 direction. That is why we are hitting the stop button. We are saying we should not be pouring taxpayer dollars 7497 into institutions that are carrying out procedures devoid of 7498 any solid scientific foundation. That is not a crazy 7499 thought, considering when you poll the American public 70 7500 7501 percent are opposed to this. All this bill does is say taxpayer dollars that everybody contributes to should not be 7502 used for something that is -- 70 percent, at least, of the 7503 population of America opposes. 7504

7505 So let's bring back sound science, informed decisionmaking, and a responsible allocation of resources and tax 7506 7507 dollars. It is time we protect our children. And with that, I yield back. 7508 *Mr. Bucshon. The gentleman yields back. Is there any 7509 discussion? 7510 7511 I recognize Ms. Eshoo, the ranking member, for five 7512 minutes. *Ms. Eshoo. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 7513 The Children's Hospital Graduate Medical Education 7514 7515 Program is the most important Federal investment we make as a nation in strengthening the pediatric workforce and expanding 7516 7517 access to care for children. For nearly 25 years the CHGME program has trained half of general pediatricians and a 7518 majority of pediatric specialists in our country. In 7519 California the program funds are used by 7 children's 7520 hospitals to train over 906 full-time pediatric residents 7521 7522 annually. The program trains doctors who go on to care for children across the nation, including those living in 7523 under-resourced and hard-to-reach communities. Many members 7524 represent such communities on this committee. 7525

7526 The program is absolutely essential to maintaining the pediatric workforce in our country. So it is very, very 7527 7528 difficult for me to comprehend why any colleagues are subjecting such an important program to a manufactured 7529 culture war that inserts politics between parents, children, 7530 and their pediatricians. 7531 Specifically, the bill prohibits 19 specific procedures 7532 and any type of hormone therapy that could be perceived as 7533 gender-affirming for trans youth. 7534 I just can't comprehend why these children are being 7535 7536 pursued and singled out. There is a -- in my view, there is a real cruelty to this, and I don't use that word very often, 7537 but that is the lens through which I see this. The bill 7538 threatens precious lives. 7539 As one pediatric endocrinologist said, every time 7540 politics and medicine co-mingle, people die. We are already 7541 seeing higher rates of maternal and infant death because of 7542 7543 abortion restrictions that paralyze providers in an emergency. Now some Republicans are attempting to ban 19 7544 more procedures and treatments that should be a private 7545 decision between patients, their families, and their doctors. 7546

7547 Now what is the next area of medicine that we are going to get into and say, I don't know, maybe women beyond the age 7548 7549 of 62 shouldn't have any cosmetic surgery? You know, what are they going to do, drag their husbands in to ask them 7550 whether they think it is a good idea or not? 7551 This is -- we are treading into territory where we don't 7552 belong. These decisions belong in a family, not at the 7553 Energy and Commerce Committee, and where -- I don't know how 7554 many here can go through and explain all the surgery, what it 7555 means, what the doctors are saying, what families say yes, 7556 what families say no. We don't know any of that. We don't 7557 know any of that. This doesn't belong here. This does not 7558 7559 belong here, and it is jeopardizing one of the really gold 7560 standard programs that we have in our country to train doctors. 7561 And children's hospitals are now being suggested --7562 subjected in this culture war with bomb threats, children's 7563 7564 hospitals in our country. I have one of them, a prestigious one in Palo Alto, California, the Lucile Packard Children's 7565 Hospital. 7566

This proposal also worsens mental health crisis. And we

375

7567

```
7568
      know that, regardless of what the age is, the background, the
      geography, we have a mental health crisis going on in our
7569
7570
      country, and the committee is trying to deal with all of
      that. We have in the young age groups rising numbers of
7571
      attempted suicides. It is really a sad, sad state of
7572
      affairs.
7573
           I think this is a harmful, disastrous bill.
7574
      think it should have ever been brought up. Certainly, the
7575
      gentleman has the, you know, has the right to do so. But I
7576
      don't believe that this bill belongs here. We should get
7577
7578
      back to working on the real issues, rather than these
      inventions that are taking place, and pass legislation --
7579
7580
      pass this legislation to reauthorize this graduate school
      program that has served our country so well.
7581
7582
           And I yield back. Thank you.
           *Mr. Bucshon. The gentlelady yields back.
7583
      recognize the chair of the full committee, Mrs. Rodgers, for
7584
7585
      five minutes.
           *The Chair. I move to strike the last word, and I speak
7586
      in support of H.R. 3887, the Children's Health GME Support
7587
      Reauthorization Act of 2023. I urge my colleagues to join in
7588
```

7589 making sure that we fund this program so that parents have every assurance that their children are getting the best care 7590 7591 by the best doctors. With or without our colleagues, we are moving forward to 7592 support training programs for pediatric medical residents. 7593 It is how we make sure America continues to be home to world-7594 class pediatricians. That is our goal today. Democrats are 7595 jeopardizing this critical funding for children's hospitals 7596 because of what is really a radical ideology. 7597 Surely we can all acknowledge legitimate concerns that 7598 7599 children are being rushed to experimental interventions. Other countries, many in Europe, are urging caution and are 7600 being honest about -- that the evidence is lacking. 7601 7602 children's hospitals and medical institutions should be doing the same. The risks outweigh the benefits. 7603 I think about the rapid surge of teen girls identifying 7604 as transgender, and the mental health crisis we have all 7605 7606 recognized is occurring in younger generations. talked about the dangers of social media platforms that are 7607 influencing people and especially our children more and more 7608 as to what they see, hear, and believe to be true. 7609

7610 It is hard for me to deny the bigger picture here and how these experimental interventions push young people to 7611 7612 change the course of their life, development, and well-being without providing the underlying help they truly need. 7613 I have heard many here suggest that Republicans are 7614 intruding on parents and children in their doctor's office. 7615 This is not true. Let me share what is happening in my home 7616 7617 state. Governor Inslee just signed legislation to allow the 7618 government to hide children, those over the age of 13, from 7619 7620 parents when the parents don't support these experimental interventions. Governor Inslee and the state legislature, 7621 7622 majority Democrats in the House and Senate, put into law that the government, not parents, know what is best for our 7623 children. It puts parents in fear to speak up and ask 7624 questions. The government is sending the signal, "Raise your 7625 children by our agenda, or else.' ' 7626 7627 I speak for many parents who are saying, "Enough. are the parents of our children. Our children are innocent, 7628 and they are extremely vulnerable. Let our children be 7629 children.' ' 7630

7631 Now back to H.R. 3887. Our goal today is to advance this bill so that when a parent steps through the door of a 7632 7633 hospital, they are confident that their children is getting the best care they need from the doctor who is trained with 7634 the best medicine, data, and science to support CHGME 7635 7636 program. I urge a yes vote, and I yield back. 7637 *Mr. Bucshon. The gentlelady yields back. Any further 7638 -- I recognize the ranking member of the full committee, Mr. 7639 Pallone. 7640 *Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 7641 I -- you know, I respect everyone on the other side, but 7642 7643 I just -- I don't understand this idea that somehow social media or TV or someone, you know, something you watch is 7644 going to make you want to be transgendered or gay. I mean, 7645 this is not the reality. I mean, it is not like you wake up 7646 one day and you watch a movie and you say, "I guess I am 7647 7648 going to be a transgender.' \ I mean, I think that what is happening out there is that 7649 because we are in a free society, we are -- more and more 7650 people are accepting people's decisions on gender or sexual 7651

7652 preference that, you know, people who maybe years ago would not identify as transgender or want to be known as 7653 7654 transgendered or gay, decide that it is okay because we are a more accepting society that doesn't put a stamp on people 7655 because of their sexual orientation, or gender 7656 identification, or race, or whatever. I mean, that is the 7657 7658 reality. I just -- this idea that somehow we are forcing people 7659 to become transgender I just don't think makes any sense. I 7660 mean, identifying what is happening is -- and what is being 7661 prohibited in this bill as pseudoscience or experimental is 7662 simply not true. We are just saying that this is a decision 7663 7664 that should be made by doctors, with the parents, with the 7665 children. And we know that the doctors organizations that are 7666 involved with this think that what the Republicans want to 7667 prohibit is actually totally acceptable and a good thing. 7668 7669 You know, we -- I don't know how to keep repeating the same thing, that health care decisions should be made between a 7670 patient and their health care providers. In the case of 7671 youth with their parents, as well. And we should not intrude 7672

7673 in this process. This Children's Hospital Graduate Medical Education 7674 7675 program has been reauthorized multiple times with broad bipartisan support without substantive changes to the 7676 program. The Republican bill goes against decades of 7677 scientific research and evidence that has established clear 7678 standards of care -- it is not pseudoscience -- care that is 7679 effective and essential to the health and well-being of 7680 transgender youth. 7681 Every major medical association representing more than 7682 7683 1.3 million doctors across our country supports ageappropriate, gender-affirming care for transgender people. 7684 Instead of letting doctors do their job, Republicans are 7685 politicizing a bipartisan program and restricting access to 7686 evidence-based care for transgender youth. 7687 They are also attacking children's hospitals and their 7688 doctors by dictating what care they can and can't provide. 7689 7690 Children's hospitals would be forced to make a choice between providing medically necessary care for their patients or 7691 foregoing Federal funding dedicated to the training of their 7692 residents. 7693

7694 And I think that Republicans should acknowledge that we are in the middle of a pediatrician shortage in this country. 7695 7696 Access to care for children is threatened in communities across the country due to these provider shortages. And 7697 rather than support the training of new doctors, Republicans 7698 are choosing to hold this important funding mechanism 7699 7700 hostage. It is also important to note the kind of impact that 7701 harmful legislation like this has on the mental health of 7702 transgender youth. This is a group that is already at high 7703 risk for mental health challenges. Nearly one in five 7704 transgender and non-binary youth have attempted suicide, and 7705 nearly half of seriously -- have had such incidents. So we 7706 know that transgender people who want gender-affirming care 7707 and receive it are less likely to attempt suicide than those 7708 who don't. This can be lifesaving care. Restricting it is 7709 not just harmful, it is actually dangerous. 7710 7711 And there are just countless stories from doctors, parents, and transgender youth themselves about how this care 7712 has changed their lives. Many transgender youth feel that 7713 the society around them does not see them for who they are. 7714

```
7715
      They describe how knowing their parents and doctors love them
      and respect them and take them seriously makes all the
7716
7717
      difference. They have told us how gender-affirming care
      makes it possible to feel comfortable in their own body so
7718
      they can refocus on school, friendships, and all the things
7719
      that come with a regular adolescent life. We should be
7720
      supporting it wholeheartedly, and trusting the doctors and
7721
      the parents and the patients to make the right decisions.
7722
           You know, I mean, my friend from Texas -- and I don't
7723
      want to keep picking on him -- but he talked about a poll
7724
7725
      that 70 percent of the people support this bill. Well, you
      know, what does that mean? If 70 percent of the people think
7726
      that kids with disabilities should be, you know, destroyed
7727
      when they are children, does that mean that that is what we
7728
      are supposed to do? I mean, in Sparta they used to take
7729
      disabled kids and throw them into the ravine. I don't want
7730
      to be that. I don't want to go by what the majority thinks.
7731
7732
      I want to go by what the science is, and what the doctors and
      the parents think.
7733
           And with that, I yield back, Mr. Chairman.
7734
           *Mr. Bucshon. The gentleman yields back. The
7735
```

```
7736
      gentlelady -- who is next? Yes, I recognize Mrs. Miller-
      Meeks for five minutes.
7737
7738
           *Mrs. Miller-Meeks. Thank you, Mr. Chair. I would like
      to speak in support of H.R. 3087 Children's Hospital GME
7739
      Support Reauthorization Act, introduced by my friend and
7740
      colleague, Congressman Crenshaw.
7741
           I know firsthand that a physician's training is a
7742
      lengthy and expensive process, which is why renewing CHGME to
7743
      ensure that there is sufficient supply of pediatricians to
7744
      meet the demand is so important. In fact, I have long
7745
      supported increasing the number of GME slots and funding.
7746
      The timely reauthorization of this program through 2028 will
7747
      continue a legacy of over 20 years of supporting our health
7748
      care providers.
7749
           However, I am also supportive of the prohibition on GME
7750
      funding for hospitals that furnish puberty blockers, hormone
7751
      therapies, and/or surgeries for the purpose of sex alteration
7752
      care to minors. And let me just say that if anyone in this
7753
      room thinks that the government isn't already involved in the
7754
      patient-doctor relationship, let me just remind people that
7755
      during the COVID-19 pandemic the government, the Biden
7756
```

7757 Administration, forced vaccine mandates on everyone, despite calls of physicians such as myself and former directors of 7758 7759 public health that that be left to the doctor and patient to determine. And that is not the only example, that is just a 7760 7761 very recent example. When this bill was introduced in our recent legislative 7762 hearing, the minority witness could only claim that standards 7763 of care as scientific justification for the safety of these 7764 surgeries and treatment. She could not cite any gold 7765 standard randomized controlled trials that showed and 7766 7767 evidenced what she was saying, or what the ranking member said, nor supported systematic reviews. 7768 7769 The purpose of health care is to treat and heal, and it is not in the interest of the American taxpayers to fund 7770 these experimental procedures at the expense of the physical 7771 and mental well-being of patients -- procedures and drugs 7772 that cause permanent and irreversible alterations. 7773 our colleague from New Jersey, the ranking member, when 7774 talking just recently about providing -- providers of 7775 Medicaid services for foster children, he just stated, "It 7776 should be a high bar.' \ Why should the bar be any lower when 7777

7778 we are talking about care that can permanently damage an -irreversible to minors? 7779 7780 And as a physician and a director of public health, I have had advocacy groups numerous times come to talk to me 7781 about young people under age 25 not having the frontal lobe 7782 development to make accurate judgments, but yet we think that 7783 minors should be able to make decisions for permanent, 7784 irreversible, damaging physical alterations. There is a lack 7785 of scientific evidence regarding the effectiveness of these 7786 medical interventions, especially among minors -- again, we 7787 are only talking about minors -- which is why countries in 7788 Europe such as Denmark, Britain, and Sweden have described 7789 7790 the treatments -- these countries have decided the treatments as experimental, and they have urged doctors to proceed with 7791 caution. 7792 I rise in support of this bill, and I would urge my 7793 colleagues to do the same. I yield back. 7794 7795 *Mr. Bucshon. Will the gentlelady yield for a second to the chair? 7796 *Mrs. Miller-Meeks. Yes, I will yield. 7797 *Mr. Bucshon. I don't want to take five minutes, but I 7798

```
7799
      just want to say, you know, look -- and I said this before --
      you know, gender dysphoria, obviously, is real. However, we
7800
7801
      do have a role in protecting children. We protect children
      in all kinds of areas and from the local government, state
7802
      government, and the Federal Government.
7803
           And we don't have definitive data on permanently
7804
      changing people's anatomy when they are children. We just
7805
      don't have -- there just isn't that data. I have talked to
7806
      Children's Hospital folks. Europe recognizes that. And we
7807
      also don't have any data on permanently changing people using
7808
7809
      puberty blockers.
           So again, we are talking about children here. Does
7810
      anyone think that we should take a 12 or 13-year-old
7811
      anatomically male child and remove their sex organs
7812
      permanently because they believe that they should, because
7813
      that is where they are? I can't -- I would have a hard time
7814
      arguing that anyone thinks that that is right.
7815
7816
           Now, if you are 18 years old, and you are an adult, and
      you choose to -- you make that decision, well, that is
7817
      different. But we do have a role in protecting children.
7818
      And from a scientific standpoint and as a medical doctor, I
7819
```

7820 can't get past that. Do I think that there are people who need aggressive 7821 7822 treatment for gender dysphoria? You bet. But we are talking here about permanently changing people's anatomy and 7823 physiology. And the physiology side, much of it is not 7824 reversible in youth under the age of 18. You know, and I 7825 just -- I can't reconcile that. 7826 So I will yield back to the gentlelady. 7827 *Mrs. Miller-Meeks. Thank you. And just to quote what 7828 was already said, after all, these are children. 7829 7830 children deserve this, the children deserve that we have a high bar. And in all other parts of medicine, when we ask 7831 7832 for randomized, controlled studies, when we ask for systematic reviews, the bar should be no less for our 7833 children. 7834 I yield back. 7835 The gentlelady yields back. 7836 *Mr. Bucshon. recognize Dr. Ruiz for five minutes. 7837 *Mr. Ruiz. You know, I --7838 *Mr. Bucshon. For what purpose does the gentleman --7839 *Mr. Ruiz. I move to strike the last --7840

7841 *Mr. Bucshon. The gentleman is recognized, five minutes. 7842 7843 *Mr. Ruiz. Look, transgender individuals face one of the highest rates of anxiety, depression, homelessness, 7844 abuse, hate crimes. They -- suicide. And it is caused by 7845 three different aspects. 7846 7847 One is that there is incongruence between who they believe in their soul they are and what their body phenotype 7848 is. And that incongruence, we can't imagine what that leaves 7849 an individual to feel. 7850 Second is the hateful fear, rhetoric that comes out in 7851 society where you see those hate crimes appearing where 7852 7853 people aren't accepting, welcoming, appreciating these beautiful individuals. 7854 And third is because of all of that and the fear, there 7855 is a lack of support systems. There is a lack of support 7856 systems from their home and in their communities. 7857 So we have found through studies -- and I just looked up 7858 one, in fact, psychosocial functioning in transgender youth 7859 after two years of hormones that was published in the New 7860 England Journal of Medicine this year -- that shows that 7861

7862 there is positive outcomes in gender-affirming hormonal care, for example, during puberty, that there is positive effects 7863 with life satisfaction, decreased depression, anxiety, 7864 increase in appearance congruence where associated with 7865 congruent increases in positive affect in life satisfaction, 7866 7867 et cetera. So there is a growing body of evidence. The reason why 7868 we don't know what the long-term studies are yet is because 7869 there haven't been any long-term studies. And in order for 7870 us to get there, we should allow the science and the research 7871 7872 that is already showing these positive outcomes. But unfortunately, even with the care that we want to 7873 have, this type of rhetoric that we have heard today about 7874 gotcha, about fear mongering, about hate, instilling this 7875 hate and this fear, all for the sake of some kind of -- these 7876 children are being victims, is going to hurt kids. And this 7877 is another example of how the Republicans are using this bill 7878 to hurt all kids if they don't get their extreme agenda 7879 through in their cultural war, attacking some of our most 7880 vulnerable children in our communities. 7881 With that, I yield some time to Representative Trahan, 7882

7883 and she yields back to me because -- do you want the time or not? No, she needs more time. 7884 7885 *Mr. Bucshon. More time. *Mr. Ruiz. I will yield to Representative Sarbanes. 7886 *Mr. Sarbanes. Yes, I just want to get away a little 7887 bit from the fine print and say that all we are seeking here 7888 is to meet young people where they are. It is tough enough 7889 being a young person in this world these days with all the 7890 pressures that come at them from so many different places. 7891 What we want to do is meet them where they are, and provide 7892 7893 as much support as we can, and basically have society wrap our arms around them and say it is going to be okay, and we 7894 are going to be here as a society for you and support you and 7895 help guide you forward. That is all we are seeking here. 7896 And I think what worries many of us here is that there 7897 is an edge. Whether it is intentional or not, I won't speak 7898 to that. But the articulation of all these arguments that 7899 lean against transgender youth and those who are seeking that 7900 comfort, it feels like it has an edge and a meanness to it 7901 that doesn't help the situation at all. So we are just 7902 seeking to provide that support. 7903

7904 And I yield back. *Mr. Ruiz. And I yield back. 7905 7906 *Mr. Bucshon. The gentleman yields back. Who is -- Mr. Pence seeks recognition. 7907 *Mr. Pence. I move to strike the last word. 7908 *Mr. Bucshon. You are recognized for five minutes. 7909 Turn your mike on, please. 7910 *Mr. Pence. I rise in support of 3887, and yield the 7911 balance of my time to Mr. Crenshaw. 7912 *Mr. Crenshaw. I appreciate the gentleman yielding. 7913 7914 Okay, there is a lot to address here, a lot of things said. No facts given. A lot of things said. 7915 7916 I have been called cruel multiple times, so let's address that first. I am not the one who is cruel. Doing a 7917 double mastectomy on a 12-year-old girl, that is cruel. 7918 Castrating a 10-year-old boy, that is cruel. Putting them on 7919 permanent hormone therapy and puberty blockers that could 7920 prevent them from ever having children, permanently changing 7921 their physiology, there is a cruelty to that for sure. 7922 We are not the crazy ones here, all right? Really. 7923

have been -- really. Five minutes ago none of you all

7924

7925 believed this, let's be honest. Let's be honest. This has been called a "manufactured culture war.' \ So we are the 7926 7927 ones who -- if it is a manufactured culture war, then why are we even debating it? Why do you care if it is banned? 7928 is not manufactured. 7929 We are responding to what is inherently a social 7930 contagion. In the UK referrals for these kind of transitions 7931 went up by a factor of 33 between 2009 and 2018. In the U.S. 7932 in 2007, there was only 1 pediatric gender clinic that would 7933 do this. Now there is over 70. This has increased by 45,000 7934 7935 percent in many hospitals. We are not making this up; we are responding to it. 7936 7937 It has been said that nobody just wakes up and just becomes trans. Okay. Well, that is not what the literature 7938 says. And a report by Lisa Littman, parents' reports of 7939 adolescents and young adults perceived to show signs of rapid 7940 onset of gender dysphoria, nearly 70 percent of adolescents 7941 7942 and young adults in the sample had at least 1 friend in the peer group declared a trans identity. There is a clear 7943 association and correlation between peer influence and these 7944 kind of ideations. 7945

```
7946
           *Mr. Ruiz. Will the gentleman yield?
           *Mr. Crenshaw. No.
7947
7948
           *Mr. Ruiz. Are you suggesting --
           *Mr. Crenshaw. Hey, I said no.
7949
           *Mr. Ruiz. -- if you have a transgender --
7950
           *Mr. Bucshon. The gentleman did not yield.
7951
           *Mr. Ruiz. -- going to be transgender?
7952
           *Mr. Bucshon. Dr. Ruiz, you are out of order.
7953
           *Mr. Crenshaw. But since Dr. Ruiz --
7954
7955
           *Mr. Ruiz. So the message is no transgender --
           *Mr. Crenshaw. He found one study -- I reclaim my time.
7956
           *Mr. Bucshon. The gentleman will suspend.
7957
           *Mr. Crenshaw. Yes.
7958
           *Mr. Bucshon. Let's keep our decorum here. Now, come
7959
7960
      on. I recognize Mr. Crenshaw.
           *Mr. Crenshaw. Let's go ahead and -- I will address
7961
      what the good doctor said about the one study that he found.
7962
7963
      So the one study --
           *Mr. Ruiz. You know, I --
7964
           *Mr. Crenshaw. -- that he did find, actually, two of
7965
      those participants in there killed themselves. And it was
7966
```

7967 not a randomized controlled study. So just like many of these studies that you could bring up, trust me, I have got 7968 7969 notes on all of them. *Mr. Ruiz. [Inaudible.] 7970 *Mr. Crenshaw. Now, let's -- I am not done correcting 7971 all the misinformation that has been put out. It was said 7972 earlier that this would ban procedures. No, it removes 7973 funding for hospitals that do them. 7974 *Mr. Ruiz. [Inaudible.] 7975 *Mr. Crenshaw. Can we remove this person? All right. 7976 It said it was said earlier --7977 *Mr. Ruiz. Remove me. 7978 7979 *Mr. Crenshaw. It was said earlier --*Mr. Bucshon. Come on, Doc. 7980 *Mr. Crenshaw. It was said earlier that we don't know 7981 anything about this. We don't know what these procedures 7982 are, or what the parents are thinking, what the kids are 7983 thinking. Isn't that kind of a good argument to press the 7984 pause button, since we don't really know anything? 7985 Now, what we do know is that there has been multiple 7986 systematic reviews, the gold standards of evidence collection 7987

```
7988
      that show that we don't know enough to keep going on
      something that carries such high risk.
7989
7990
           Now, look, you might not know that bananas are healthy
      for kids, but you also know that there is not much risk to
7991
      giving them a banana. So it is not a big deal.
7992
           This kind of stuff is a really big deal. And when every
7993
      single piece of evidence shows that there is not a clear
7994
      benefit associated with it, you just press pause. Heck, we
7995
      are not even pressing pause. We are saying don't fund
7996
      institutions that are actively doing it. We are doing way
7997
7998
      less than pressing pause.
           And that is directly related to another comment which
7999
      said you don't care that 70 percent of Americans disagree
8000
8001
      with you, you don't care. That is quite a thing for a
      representative of Americans to say. But the thing is we are
8002
      talking about their use of taxpayer money. And so you do
8003
      have to care just a little bit.
8004
8005
           It has been said that this threatens transgender youth.
      It hurts their mental health, that they are going to commit
8006
      suicide because of it. Here is the thing. That declaration,
8007
      that doesn't -- that is not -- doesn't coincide with the
8008
```

```
8009
      actual science, doesn't actually coincide with the studies on
      this. What the studies actually show is that 70 percent of
8010
8011
      the people who propose that they are transgender already had
      other co-morbidities, psychopathics, every single time, 70
8012
      percent of the time. That is a pretty big deal.
8013
           Do you not care about that when you are claiming that
8014
      this is -- that refusing this kind of care is causing
8015
      suicide, do you not care that those pre-conditions might have
8016
      already been there? Is that not a fact that you would want
8017
      to look at before you automatically propose permanent
8018
8019
      physiological change to someone?
           We are not the ones being uncompassionate. We are not
8020
      the ones being cruel. We are the ones saying press pause.
8021
8022
      There is an extreme trend going on, and we don't have the
      science to back up its benefits. And you can't argue with
8023
      that, but please try.
8024
           I yield back.
8025
           *Mr. Bucshon.
                          The gentleman yields back, and I
8026
      recognize Mrs. Trahan -- for what purpose do you --
8027
           *Mrs. Trahan. I move to strike the last word.
8028
           *Mr. Bucshon. The gentlelady is recognized, five
8029
```

8030 minutes. *Mrs. Trahan. You know, time and again I have heard my 8031 8032 colleagues across the aisle discuss the urgent need to address the youth mental health crisis in our country, yet 8033 they ignore the fact that a large body of medical literature 8034 demonstrates that, with support at home, in school, and in 8035 communities coupled with access to gender-affirming care, 8036 trans youth show improvements in mental health outcomes. 8037 A high prevalence of suicide ideation and attempts occur 8038 in transgender youth compared to their cisgender peers. 8039 8040 in transgender children who have been able to receive genderaffirming care, risk of suicide is reduced by 73 percent. 8041 Denying that truth means denying the existence of trans kids 8042 8043 as a whole. And how dangerous is that? We should be working to increase access to health care 8044 for all children, not restrict the ability of children to 8045 define and express themselves. A ban on gender-affirming 8046 care is dangerous, it is misguided. And yes, it is cruel. 8047 And it is a shame that Republicans on this committee are, 8048 one, misrepresenting what gender-affirming care actually is, 8049 and using what should be a partisan piece -- a bipartisan 8050

8051 piece of legislation to score cheap political points. Now, I want to emphasize that across pediatric practices 8052 8053 many young people who are not transgender access genderaffirming health care for one reason or another. For 8054 example, a Harvard Medical School study found that for 8055 decades doctors have been using safe medications for 8056 cisgender children who go through puberty too early. 8057 For trans children, gender-affirming care is essential, 8058 medically necessary care that promotes their health and well-8059 This evidence-based care is used to treat gender 8060 dysphoria, which is a serious medical condition characterized 8061 by clinically significant psychological distress associated 8062 with the difference between a person's gender and the sex 8063 8064 they were assigned at birth. Gender-affirming hormone therapy and surgeries are 8065 deemed medically necessary treatments for gender dysphoria by 8066 the American Medical Association and other clinical policy 8067 setting organizations. GAC is patient-centered and treats 8068 individuals holistically, aligning their outward physical 8069 traits with their gender identity. 8070 What my Republican colleagues are not saying is that 8071

8072 this kind of care encompasses many facets. Social affirmation is considered gender-affirming care, where a 8073 transgender person adopts an affirming hairstyle, clothing, 8074 name, and pronouns. This affirming care occurs at any age, 8075 but what my colleagues may be referring to, gender-affirming 8076 surgeries, are overwhelmingly performed in adulthood. 8077 fact, Boston Children's Hospital in my home state of 8078 Massachusetts only performs these procedures on consenting 8079 adults, contrary to misinformation that is peddled online, 8080 including adding by a witness who the majority invited to 8081 8082 testify before this committee. Overall, gender-affirming care is comprehensive care 8083 that affirms the individual and meets them where they are. 8084 And by exaggerating the care that is actually being 8085 administered to trans children, we are opening them and 8086 health care professionals who work diligently to care for 8087 them to increase levels of hate, harassment, and threats. 8088 have seen that already at Boston Children's Hospital, which 8089 has been the victim of numerous threats following the spread 8090 of misinformation about the services operated at their 8091 facility. 8092

```
8093
           Let me say something that should be obvious to my
      colleagues across the aisle. Embracing rhetoric that
8094
8095
      endangers our children's hospitals does nothing to protect
      our children. It does the opposite. It puts them at risk of
8096
      not being able to access the lifesaving care that these
8097
      facilities offer. So let's stop with the partisan
8098
8099
      gamesmanship, the uncredentialed grandstanding, and let's get
      to work on funding programs that children's hospitals rely on
8100
      to train the next generation of pediatric specialists. You
8101
      can do it for your children. You can do it for mine and the
8102
8103
      children that you hope to have someday.
           I yield back.
8104
           *Mr. Bucshon. The gentlelady yields back. Does anyone
8105
      else seek recognition?
8106
           Dr. Schrier, for what purpose do you seek recognition?
8107
           *Ms. Schrier. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the
8108
      desk.
8109
           *Mr. Bucshon. Seeing no other people wanting to speak
8110
      on the AINS, the gentlelady is recognized. The clerk will
8111
8112
      report.
```

*The Clerk. Amendment to H.R. 3887, offered by Ms.

8113

```
8121
           *Mr. Bucshon. And I don't have the script.
           *Ms. Schrier.
                          Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
8122
8123
           *Mr. Bucshon. Yes, and I recognize Ms. Schrier for five
      minutes to explain her amendment.
8124
           *Ms. Schrier.
8125
                          Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
           I am offering this amendment today because, as a
8126
      pediatrician, I know quite personally how important
8127
      Children's Hospital Graduate Medical Education is.
8128
      funding is vital. It funds the next generation of
8129
      pediatricians. And I will remind all of you that right now
8130
8131
      we have a shortage of pediatricians. Many kids don't have a
      medical home. We have had many retirements, and we need
8132
      pediatricians and pediatric specialists more than ever, so we
8133
8134
      need more CHGME funding.
           And I will add to this that in my home state, in
8135
      Washington State, Seattle Children's receives $10 million
8136
      annually in funds. This is 10 percent of their entire
8137
      training and residency costs. And these costs add up, and
8138
      they are training the next generation of pediatricians after
8139
      medical school.
8140
           I want to just offer up this amendment that simply
```

8141

8142 strikes the preconditions put in by Mr. Crenshaw. It will preserve a clean reauthorization of CHGME, the same 8143 8144 reauthorization that has been done successfully in a bipartisan fashion five times previously. 8145 It is simply inappropriate to threaten funding for 8146 children's hospitals to score political points or to have 8147 Members of Congress try to make medical decisions and get in 8148 the middle of medical decision-making between parents and 8149 children and doctors. And so this is an amendment to strike 8150 the preconditions in the other bill to have a clean 8151 8152 reauthorization of CHGME funding. I encourage my colleagues to vote for this amendment and 8153 8154 vote no on the previously presented bill. Thank you. 8155 I yield back. *Mr. Bucshon. The gentlelady yields back. Is there 8156 anyone who wants to speak on the -- I recognize Mr. Crenshaw 8157 for five minutes. 8158 8159 *Mr. Crenshaw. Chairman, I move to strike the last word. 8160 *Mr. Bucshon. The gentleman is recognized. 8161 *Mr. Crenshaw. I oppose this amendment on the grounds 8162

8163 that it would strike my amendment, so it seems obvious. But I want to address a study that was brought up earlier that 8164 8165 would supposedly support the idea that there is some benefit to this. 8166 I will read the rebuttal. A careful look at that --8167 this was the study brought up earlier, by the way, which 8168 claims that there is 73 percent lower odds of suicidality 8169 after these treatments. But a closer look at the study's 8170 data shows that the kids who received hormonal interventions 8171 did no better by the end of the study than at the beginning. 8172 The researcher's claim about improvement was based on 8173 the fact that the kids in the control group who received 8174 psychotherapy but not hormones got worse relative to the 8175 hormone group. But even this isn't accurate, as 80 percent 8176 of the control group dropped out by the end of the study. 8177 And a likely reason for the dramatic loss to follow-up is 8178 that many, or perhaps all, of the non-hormone therapy kids 8179 improved without gender-affirming drugs. It is quite 8180 possible that if the researchers had actually followed up 8181 with all the participants, we would see a pretty different 8182 outcome. 8183

8184 There was another study proposed that said that that indicated that it was within a two-year window. Well, we are 8185 8186 not talking about a two-year window. We are talking about the rest of someone's life. Right? These aren't changes 8187 that can just be reversed. These are major physiological 8188 changes. I don't understand why there is no compassion for 8189 that, kids who really don't know how to process some of the 8190 things that they -- or some of the things that they feel, and 8191 parents that have been subsumed by this radical ideology. 8192 Doctors are supposed to rely on systematic reviews. 8193 8194 Remember at the last hearing, when that Yale doctor couldn't name one study -- I at least appreciate my colleagues naming 8195 a couple studies so that I could debate with them on those. 8196 That one couldn't name one. She just kept saying "standards 8197 of care.' \ Standards of care are derived from evidence. 8198 derive standards from evidence. You collect evidence over 8199 the course of a long period of time with a large pool of 8200 patients, and then you aggregate all of that evidence through 8201 systematic reviews. 8202 Now, every single systematic review says the same thing, 8203 that there is little to no benefit to these therapies, and 8204

8205 yet very, very high risks. There has been a lot of talk about the suicidal 8206 8207 potential because they don't receive these therapies. again, roughly 70 percent of U.S. teens who present to gender 8208 clinics have comorbid psychiatric diagnoses that precede the 8209 onset of gender issues. That is a really important fact, and 8210 it is just a fact, it is not an opinion. It is just a fact 8211 that helps us look at this problem, I think, a little bit 8212 more holistically and without any emotion, without any 8213 8214 politics. Now, for us this isn't about politics. We keep being 8215 accused of that. But the truth of the matter is we are 8216 simply responding to a very radical trend. That is all that 8217 is happening here. It has been said over and over again that 8218 we shouldn't be getting in between the patient and the 8219 I might remind everyone that most of these bills 8220 that we have passed in a bipartisan way today regulate 8221 8222 medicine between a doctor and a patient in one form or another, right? Obviously, the government is in the business 8223 of it. 8224 And, by the way, if you don't believe that the Federal 8225

8226 Government should be in the business of it, then why are we giving money to children's hospitals in the first place? 8227 Your argument has that logical end, just to be clear. 8228 Now, look, of course we want to make sure that our 8229 children's hospitals' GME programs are funded. This bill 8230 This bill does that, while also preserving 8231 does that. longstanding medical standards of care that have been proven 8232 time and time again while prohibiting the kind of care that 8233 has not been proven, that has actually been proven the 8234 This should really not be controversial. 8235 opposite. 8236 really should not. This was not controversial just very long ago. We didn't create this culture war, folks, we are just 8237 responding to it. 8238 8239 And I yield back. I yield to any of my colleagues. *Mr. Bucshon. The gentleman yields back. Is there 8240 anyone else seeking recognition on the amendment? 8241 *Mr. Cardenas. Chairman, I move to strike the last word 8242 8243 on the Schrier amendment. *Mr. Bucshon. I recognize the gentleman, five minutes. 8244 *Mr. Cardenas. Thank you. And whatever time I have 8245 left, I will yield it to the author of the amendment, Ms. 8246

8247 Schrier, Dr. Schrier. I want to take this opportunity to express my extreme 8248 8249 disappointment at the hyper partisan Children's Hospital CHGME bill that is noticed today. Holding funding for 8250 pediatric specialties hostage on the backs of trans children 8251 who are seeking necessary and appropriate care is politics at 8252 its ugliest, at its worst. 8253 8254 When we talk about gender-affirming care, it feels like the humanity of trans people is lost along the way. 8255 let's not forget we are talking about our kids. And all of 8256 8257 the science from respected sources tells us that genderaffirming care is lifesaving. For example, research shows 8258 hormone therapy can reduce suicidal ideation. One study even 8259 found that after one year of gender-affirming treatment, the 8260 average level of suicidality was one-fourth of what it was 8261 before treatment. 8262 This issue is about respecting the humanity of all 8263 8264 This is about believing them when they say that they need help, and trusting doctors to provide the care that 8265 they need. 8266 I ask my colleagues to consider how enraged they would 8267

```
8268
      be if they were told they could not pursue the very care that
      would save their kid's life. Who are you to make that call?
8269
8270
      Who are you to get the audacity to make that decision for
      kids, parents, and their doctors?
8271
           I am grateful to my colleague, Congresswoman Dr.
8272
      Schrier, for introducing her amendment that would strip
8273
      harmful language banning gender-affirming care from CHGME
8274
      reauthorization proposal put forth today.
8275
           I urge my colleagues to support this amendment to stand
8276
      up for the dignity of our trans children, and to move a clean
8277
8278
      reauthorization that will allow us to support the pediatric
      care workforce.
8279
           And I yield the balance of my time to Dr. Schrier.
8280
           *Ms. Schrier. Thank you, Representative Cardenas.
8281
           I simply wanted to say that funding for children's
8282
      hospitals is on the line here. It is at risk. We know that
8283
      Mr. Crenshaw's bill will not pass the Senate, and it will not
8284
8285
      get signed into law. And if we want to support our
      children's hospitals, this is the way to do it. We vote for
8286
      this amendment, we do a clean reauthorization, our children's
8287
      hospitals get funded, and we have a new generation of
8288
```

pediatricians. It is that simple. 8289 I encourage my colleagues to vote for this, and I yield 8290 8291 back. *Ms. Kuster. Dr. Schrier, will you yield? 8292 *Mr. Cardenas. It is my time, and I am yielding to Mr. 8293 8294 Pallone. *Mr. Pallone. I will be quick. I think that, just to 8295 separate this issue at the time, I think what Dr. Schrier is 8296 trying to do here is to make the point that, you know, the 8297 funding for these children's hospitals, for pediatricians, 8298 8299 for residency programs is all at risk. I mean, I just know when I am back home, you know, I 8300 think a lot of people think, oh, everybody wants to give 8301 money for kids, children's hospitals, such a great thing. 8302 You know, I don't know how much the Federal component is, but 8303 Mr. Crenshaw said, well, maybe the Federal Government 8304 shouldn't fund children's hospitals. I don't think he means 8305 8306 that, but you know what I mean. In other words, if this becomes an issue, then why are we doing it? 8307 Well, I mean, the problem that we have to face here is 8308 that, increasingly, hospitals, whether it be from the Federal 8309

```
funds or from private donors, all this controversy that is
8310
      brought into this debate makes people not want to give, makes
8311
8312
      people not want to become residents, makes people not want to
      become pediatricians. It has a damaging impact. And all she
8313
      is really saying here is let's get rid of this. Let's not
8314
      bring this into the issue of whether or not we are going to
8315
      fund children's hospitals because, you know, the whole
8316
      program of pediatricians, residencies, children's hospitals,
8317
      it is all at risk.
8318
           And that is the reality, Dr. Schrier, and I really
8319
8320
      appreciate you bringing it up. I think you are trying to
      essentially say let's separate this issue from the funding
8321
      because it never has been part of the funding before. And
8322
      all it is going to do is make it much more difficult to
8323
      operate these facilities, to get doctors involved, to get
8324
      donors involved to fund children's hospitals. And that is a
8325
      real concern.
8326
           So I yield back.
8327
           *Mr. Cardenas. I yield the balance of my time to my
8328
      colleague from New Hampshire.
8329
           *Ms. Kuster. Just very briefly, I just wanted to
8330
```

```
8331
      correct the record when our colleagues said they are not
      obsessed with these cultural issues.
8332
8333
           We are going to the floor now to vote on this very issue
      on the NDAA, so you are obsessed with this cultural issue.
8334
            I support parents, I support the funding of Graduate
8335
      Medical Education, and I yield back.
8336
           *Mr. Bucshon. Vote on this one.
8337
           *Mr. Cardenas. I yield back.
8338
           *Mr. Bucshon. The gentleman yields. We are -- seeing
8339
      no other members wishing to speak on the amendment, we are
8340
      going to vote on the amendment, and a roll call has been
8341
      requested, so please call the roll.
8342
           *The Clerk. Chair Guthrie?
8343
8344
           [No response.]
           *The Clerk. Burgess?
8345
           [No response.]
8346
           *The Clerk. Latta?
8347
8348
           *Mr. Latta.
                        No.
           *The Clerk. Latta votes no.
8349
           Griffith?
8350
           *Mr. Griffith. No.
8351
```

```
8352
           *The Clerk. Griffith votes no.
           Bilirakis?
8353
8354
           *Mr. Bucshon.
                           I don't know if they are going to --
           *Mr. Bilirakis. No.
8355
           *The Clerk. Bilirakis votes no.
8356
           Johnson?
8357
           *Mr. Johnson.
8358
                           No.
           *The Clerk. Johnson votes no.
8359
           Bucshon?
8360
           *Mr. Bucshon.
8361
                           No.
           *The Clerk. Bucshon votes no.
8362
           Hudson?
8363
            [No response.]
8364
           *The Clerk. Carter?
8365
8366
           [No response.]
           *The Clerk. Dunn?
8367
            *Mr. Dunn.
8368
                        No.
            *The Clerk. Dunn votes no.
8369
           Pence?
8370
           *Mr. Pence. No.
8371
           *The Clerk. Pence votes no.
8372
```

```
8373
           Crenshaw?
           *Mr. Crenshaw. No.
8374
8375
           *The Clerk. Crenshaw votes no.
           Joyce?
8376
            *Mr. Joyce.
8377
            *The Clerk. Joyce votes no.
8378
           Harshbarger?
8379
8380
           *Mrs. Harshbarger. No.
            *The Clerk. Harshbarger votes no.
8381
           Miller-Meeks?
8382
           *Mrs. Miller-Meeks. No.
8383
           *The Clerk. Miller-Meeks votes no.
8384
           Obernolte?
8385
8386
           *Mr. Obernolte. No.
           *The Clerk. Obernolte votes no.
8387
           Eshoo?
8388
8389
           [No response.]
8390
            *The Clerk. Ms. Eshoo?
            *Ms. Eshoo. Aye.
8391
           *The Clerk. Eshoo votes aye.
8392
           Sarbanes?
8393
```

```
8394
           *Mr. Sarbanes. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Sarbanes votes aye.
8395
8396
           Cardenas?
           *Mr. Cardenas. Aye.
8397
           *The Clerk. Cardenas votes aye.
8398
           Ruiz?
8399
8400
           *Mr. Ruiz. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Ruiz votes aye.
8401
           Dingell?
8402
           *Mrs. Dingell. Aye.
8403
           *The Clerk. Dingell votes aye.
8404
           Kuster?
8405
           *Ms. Kuster. Aye.
8406
           *The Clerk. Kuster votes aye.
8407
8408
           Kelly?
           *Ms. Kelly. Aye.
8409
           *The Clerk. Kelly votes aye.
8410
8411
           Barragan?
           *Ms. Barragan. Aye.
8412
           *The Clerk. Barragan votes aye.
8413
           Blunt Rochester?
8414
```

```
8415
           [No response.]
           *The Clerk. Craig?
8416
8417
           *Ms. Craig.
                        Aye.
           *The Clerk. Craig votes aye.
8418
           Schrier?
8419
           *Ms. Schrier. Aye.
8420
8421
           *The Clerk. Schrier votes aye.
8422
           Trahan?
           *Mrs. Trahan. Aye.
8423
           *The Clerk. Trahan votes aye.
8424
           Pallone?
8425
           *Mr. Pallone. Aye.
8426
           *The Clerk. Pallone votes aye.
8427
8428
           Chair Rodgers?
           *The Chair. No.
8429
           *The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes no.
8430
           *Mr. Bucshon. Any other members?
8431
8432
           How is Mr. Guthrie reported?
           *The Clerk. Chair Guthrie is not recorded.
8433
           *Mr. Guthrie. No.
8434
           *The Clerk. Guthrie votes no.
8435
```

```
*Mr. Bucshon. Any -- seeing no other members, the clerk
8436
      will report the result.
8437
8438
           [Pause.]
           *The Clerk. Chair, on that vote there were 12 yeas and
8439
8440
      14 noes.
8441
           *Mr. Bucshon. Twelve yeas and fourteen noes, the
      amendment is not agreed to.
8442
           Seeing no further amendments, the vote will be now on
8443
      the AINS, on the -- in nature of a substitute. And this will
8444
      be a voice vote.
8445
8446
           All those in favor, say aye.
           All those against, say no.
8447
           The ayes have it, and the amendment is agreed to.
8448
           Now we will have -- a roll call vote has been requested
8449
      on final passage of the bill, as amended. The clerk will
      report the roll.
8451
           *The Clerk. Chair Guthrie?
8452
8453
           *Mr. Guthrie. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Chair Guthrie votes aye.
8454
           Burgess?
8455
          [No response.]
8456
```

```
8457
           *The Clerk. Latta?
           *Mr. Latta. Aye.
8458
           *The Clerk. Latta votes aye.
8459
           Griffith?
8460
           *Mr. Griffith. Aye.
8461
           *The Clerk. Griffith votes aye.
8462
           Bilirakis?
8463
           *Mr. Bilirakis. Aye.
8464
           *The Clerk. Bilirakis votes aye.
8465
           Johnson?
8466
8467
           *Mr. Johnson. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Johnson votes aye.
8468
           Bucshon?
8469
8470
           *Mr. Bucshon. Aye, and I want to recognize that Mrs.
      Trahan has held her amendment to the full committee.
8471
           Thank you for that.
8472
8473
           I vote aye.
8474
           *The Clerk.
                        Hudson?
           [No response.]
8475
           *The Clerk. Carter?
8476
           *Mr. Carter. Aye.
8477
```

```
8478
           *The Clerk. Carter votes aye.
           Dunn?
8479
8480
           *Mr. Dunn. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Dunn votes aye.
8481
           Pence?
8482
           *Mr. Pence. Aye.
8483
8484
           *The Clerk. Pence votes aye.
           Crenshaw?
8485
           *Mr. Crenshaw. Aye.
8486
           *The Clerk. Crenshaw votes aye.
8487
8488
           Joyce?
           *Mr. Joyce. Aye.
8489
           *The Clerk. Joyce votes aye.
8490
           Harshbarger?
8491
8492
           *Mrs. Harshbarger. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Harshbarger votes aye.
8493
           Miller-Meeks?
8494
8495
           *Mrs. Miller-Meeks. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Miller-Meeks votes aye.
8496
           Obernolte?
8497
           *Mr. Obernolte. Aye.
8498
```

```
8499
           *The Clerk. Obernolte votes aye.
           Eshoo?
8500
8501
           *Ms. Eshoo.
                        No.
           *The Clerk. Eshoo votes no.
8502
           Sarbanes?
8503
           *Mr. Sarbanes.
8504
                            No.
           *The Clerk. Sarbanes votes no.
8505
8506
           Cardenas?
           *Mr. Cardenas. No.
8507
           *The Clerk. Cardenas votes no.
8508
           Ruiz?
8509
           *Mr. Ruiz. No.
8510
           *The Clerk. Ruiz votes no.
8511
8512
           Dingell?
           *Mrs. Dingell. No.
8513
           *The Clerk. Dingell votes no.
8514
           Kuster?
8515
8516
           *Ms. Kuster. No.
           *The Clerk. Kuster votes no.
8517
           Kelly?
8518
            *Ms. Kelly. No.
8519
```

```
8520
           *The Clerk. Kelly votes no.
           Barragan?
8521
8522
           *Ms. Barragan. No.
           *The Clerk. Barragan votes no.
8523
           Blunt Rochester?
8524
           [No response.]
8525
           *The Clerk. Craig?
8526
8527
           *Ms. Craig.
                        No.
           *The Clerk. Craig votes no.
8528
           Schrier?
8529
           *Ms. Schrier. No.
8530
           *The Clerk. Schrier votes no.
8531
           Trahan?
8532
           *Mrs. Trahan.
8533
                           No.
           *The Clerk. Trahan votes no.
8534
           Pallone?
8535
           *Mr. Pallone.
8536
                           No.
8537
           *The Clerk. Pallone votes no.
           Chair Rodgers?
8538
           *The Chair. Aye.
8539
           *The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes aye.
8540
```

8541	*Mr. Bucshon. Are there any other members wishing to
8542	have their vote recorded?
8543	Seeing none, the ayes have it, the bill is agreed to and
8544	forwarded to the full committee.
8545	Hold on. The clerk will report the roll.
8546	*The Clerk. Chair, on that vote there were 15 ayes and
8547	12 nays.
8548	*Mr. Bucshon. The ayes have it. The bill is agreed to
8549	and forwarded to the full committee.
8550	At this point I ask unanimous consent that the documents
8551	on the staff list be added to the record.
8552	Without objection, so ordered.
8553	[The information follows:]
8554	
8555	**************************************
8556	

8557	*Mr. Bucshon. Without objection, the staff is
8558	authorized to make technical and conforming changes to the
8559	legislation approved by the subcommittee today.
8560	So ordered.
8561	Without objection, the subcommittee stands adjourned.
8562	[Whereupon, at 5:15 p.m., the subcommittee was
8563	adjourned.]