```
Diversified Reporting Services, Inc.
1
2
    RPTS BRENNAN
 3
    HIF144000
 4
 5
 6
    MARKUP OF:
7
    H.R. 1418, the Animal Drug User Fee Amendments of 2023
8
    H.R. 2544, the Securing the U.S. Organ Procurement and
9
    Transplantation Network Act
10
    H.R. 3561, the Promoting Access to Treatments and Increasing
11
    Extremely Needed Transparency (PATIENT) Act of 2023
12
    H.R. 2666, the Medicaid VBPs for Patients (MVP) Act
13
    H.R. 3284, the Providers and Payers COMPETE Act
14
    H.R. 3290, To amend title III of the Public Health Service
15
    Act to ensure transparency and oversight of the 340B drug
    discount program
16
17
    H.R. 3285, the Fairness for Patient Medications Act
18
    H.R. 3309, the Standard Fees to Expedite Evaluation and
19
    Streamlining Act or the Standard FEES Act
20
    H.R. 3293, the Expediting Federal Broadband Deployment
21
    Reviews Act
22
    H.R. 3299, the Deploying Infrastructure with Greater Internet
                                   1
```

23	Transactions and Legacy Applications Act or DIGITAL
24	Applications Act
25	H.R. 3283, the Facilitating the Deployment of Infrastructure
26	with Greater Internet Transactions and Legacy Applications
27	Act or Facilitating DIGITAL Applications Act
28	H.R. 3343, the Federal Broadband Deployment Tracking Act
29	H.R. 3565, the Spectrum Auction Reauthorization Act of 2023
30	H.R. 3557, the American Broadband Deployment Act of 2023
31	H.R. 1160, the Critical Electric Infrastructure Cybersecurity
32	Incident Reporting Act
33	H.R. 3277, the Energy Emergency Leadership Act
34	H.R. 1042, the Prohibiting Russian Uranium Imports Act
35	H.R. 1640, the Save Our Gas Stoves Act
36	H.R. 1615, the Gas Stove Protection and Freedom Act
37	WEDNESDAY, MAY 24, 2023
38	House of Representatives,
39	Committee on Energy and Commerce,
40	Washington, D.C.
41	
42	
43	
44	The committee met, pursuant to call, at 10:02 a.m., in
	2

45	Room 2123, Rayburn House Office Building, Hon. Cathy McMorris
46	Rodgers [chair of the committee] presiding.
47	Present: Representatives Rodgers, Burgess, Latta,
48	Guthrie, Griffith, Bilirakis, Johnson, Bucshon, Hudson,
49	Walberg, Carter, Duncan, Palmer, Dunn, Curtis, Lesko, Pence,
50	Crenshaw, Joyce, Armstrong, Weber, Allen, Balderson, Fulcher,
51	Pfluger, Harshbarger, Miller-Meeks, Cammack; Pallone, Eshoo,
52	DeGette, Schakowsky, Matsui, Castor, Sarbanes, Tonko, Clarke,
53	Cardenas, Ruiz, Peters, Dingell, Veasey, Kuster, Kelly,
54	Barragan, Blunt Rochester, Soto, Craig, Schrier, Trahan, and
55	Fletcher.
56	

Staff Present: Sarah Alexander, Professional Staff Member, 57 58 Energy & Environment; Kate Arey, Digital Director; Jolie Brochin, Clerk, Health; Sarah Burke, Deputy Staff Director; 59 60 Michael Cameron, Professional Staff Member, IDC; Corey Ensslin, Senior Policy Advisor, Health; Kristin Flukey, 61 Professional Staff Member, Health; Seth Gold, Professional 62 Staff Member, Health; Grace Graham, Chief Counsel, Health; 63 64 Sydney Greene, Director of Operations; Jack Heretik, Press 65 Secretary; Slate Herman, Counsel, C&T; Jessica Herron, 66 Clerk, IDC; Nate Hodson, Staff Director; Tara Hupman, Chief 67 Counsel; Noah Jackson, Clerk, C&T; Peter Kielty, General 68 Counsel; Emily King, Member Services Director; Elise Krekorian, Professional Staff Member, Energy; Chris Krepich, 69 Press Secretary; Tim Kurth, Chief Counsel, IDC; Giulia 70 71 Leganski, Professional Staff Member, C&T; John Lin, Senior Counsel, C&T; Molly Lolli, Counsel, Health; Mary Martin, 72 73 Chief Counsel, Energy & Environment; Jacob McCurdy, Professional Staff Member, Energy; Brandon Mooney, Deputy 74 75 Chief Counsel, Energy; Kate O'Connor, Chief Counsel, C&T; 76 Clare Paoletta, Professional Staff Member, Health; Kaitlyn Peterson, Clerk, Energy & Environment; Karli Plucker, 77 78 Director of Operations; Carla Rafael, Senior Staff

Assistant; Brannon Rains, Professional Staff Member, IDC; 79 80 Emma Schultheis, Staff Assistant; Olivia Shields, 81 Communications Director; Peter Spencer, Senior Professional 82 Staff Member, Energy; Michael Taggart, Policy Director; 83 Teddy Tanzer, Senior Counsel, IDC; Dray Thorne, Director of 84 Information Technology; Evan Viau, Professional Staff Member, C&T; Lydia Abma, Minority Policy Analyst; Hannah 85 86 Anton, Minority Policy Analyst; Jacquelyn Bolen, Minority 87 Health Counsel; Jennifer Epperson, Minority Chief Counsel, Communications and Technology; Waverly Gordon, Minority 88 Deputy Staff Director and General Counsel; Daniel Greene, 89 90 Minority Professional Staff Member; Tiffany Guarascio, Minority Staff Director; Perry Hamilton, Minority Member 91 92 Services and Outreach Manager; Stephen Holland, Minority Senior Health Counsel; Lisa Hone, Minority Chief Counsel, 93 Innovation, Data, and Commerce; Saha Khaterzai, Minority 94 95 Professional Staff Member; Una Lee, Minority Chief Health Counsel; Joe Orlando, Minority Junior Professional Staff 96 97 Member; Kris Pittard, Minority Professional Staff Member; Kylea Rogers, Minority Policy Analyst; Michael Scurato, 98 Minority FCC Detailee; Andrew Souvall, Minority Director of 99 100 Communications, Outreach and Member Services; Medha

101	Surampudy, Minority Professional Staff Member; Johanna
102	Thomas, Minority Counsel; Rick Van Buren, Minority Senior
103	Health Counsel; and Tuley Wright, Minority Staff Director,
104	Energy, Climate, and Grid Security.
105	

106 *The Chair. The committee will come to order.
107 Good morning. I am proud this committee is building on
108 its rich history of delivering on important priorities at the
109 very center of improving people's lives and raising the
110 standard of living.

111 We will begin our markup today with legislation from our Health Subcommittee. Our solutions will lower costs for 112 113 Americans and provide more health care price transparency, 114 responsibly fund community health centers and diabetes 115 research, prevent harmful cuts to hospitals that serve the 116 most vulnerable patients, train more doctors in our 117 communities, and improve FDA's review of animal drugs used by 118 farmers and pet owners.

119 We are taking action to make the health care system more 120 patient-friendly, so that people can access health care when 121 they need it and at a cost they can afford. Just recently I 122 read in The Washington Post of just the craziness of the American health care system, insurers denying a baby's 123 124 coverage to stay in the NICU for a fourth day by saying in 125 the letter, "You are drinking from a bottle," and you are "breathing on your own.'' I can't imagine what it is like 126 127 being a new, tired parent of a NICU baby and receiving that

128 harsh and cold letter.

To put patients and their needs first, we had a long discussion about the bills in the subcommittee -- in the Health Subcommittee in both partisan legislative hearing and markup. Today is a very important step, but it is just a first step in our effort to improve how the health care system works for patients.

Next we will move to our Communications and Technology Subcommittee and solutions to help close the digital divide and lift permitting burdens, so we can deploy broadband faster with less government waste and more efficiently. High-speed broadband is an important part of our economy, yet many Americans, including in eastern Washington, do not have access to reliable broadband.

In order to deploy broadband, providers need to go 142 143 through burdensome permitting processes at the federal, 144 state, and local level, and the time to receive an approval on a permit can range from several months to several years. 145 146 Congress provided an unprecedented amount of spending --147 more than \$42 billion -- for broadband deployment. Without reforms to the permitting processes, this money could be 148 149 wasted.

Our legislation would cut the red tape and ensure that this money can reach rural unserved Americans quickly. We are moving forward on several of these bills in bipartisan support, but I am hopeful that, to my colleagues across the aisle, we will continue to work on solutions to all of these bills. Regardless, we are going to stay focused on delivering for the American people.

Finally, on Spectrum, we are acting again to reauthorize the FCC's Spectrum auction authority, this time for 3 years. The Spectrum Auction Reauthorization Act would extend Spectrum auction authority, make important changes to existing spectrum auction processes, and direct Spectrum auction proceeds to fund critical programs.

This bill would promote our national security by providing more than \$3 billion for our small communication providers to remove Huawei and ZTE from their networks. It would authorize and fund next generation 9-1-1 technology to help our public safety officers and make sure Americans can reach emergency responders when they need it most.

Most importantly, this bill is a product of longbipartisan, bicameral negotiations, and I urge my colleagues to vote yes.

172	On the energy front, we are continuing our mission to
173	make energy more affordable and strengthen American energy
174	security. Nuclear is a key part of our energy mix, and right
175	now America is dangerously reliant upon Russia's supply of
176	nuclear fuels for our existing nuclear power plant fleet.
177	My legislation, the Prohibiting Russian Uranium Imports
178	Act, bans fuels imported from Russia and sends a strong
179	signal to the market that will help restore American nuclear
180	leadership and fuel infrastructure.
181	We will also be considering two bills from
182	Representatives Lesko and Armstrong that prevent DOE and CPSC
183	from universally banning gas stoves. The Biden
184	Administration is trying to use the Federal Government's
185	power to dictate every aspect of our lives, from what kind of
186	a car we drive to how Americans are allowed to cook food for
187	their families.
188	Again, I want to thank all of my colleagues today that
189	are leading in this markup. It is a reflection of our most
190	important responsibilities of the duly-elected
191	representatives of the people's house to listen to those we
192	serve, engage in the battle of ideas, and find solutions to
193	make people's lives better.

194 I look forward to the discussion today. Let's get to 195 work.

196 I now recognize the gentleman from New Jersey, the 197 ranking member, Representative Pallone, forfive minutes for 198 an opening statement.

199 *Mr. Pallone. I thank the chairwoman.

200 Today the committee will be marking up 19 bills. We 201 will begin by marking up seven health care bills that taken 202 together improve transparency and lower health care costs for 203 the American people. I am pleased we are marking up 204 H.R. 3561, the PATIENT Act, which was introduced by Chair 205 Rodgers and myself. It will improve the transparency of our 206 health care system, lower costs for patients, and strengthen 207 our health care workforce by reauthorizing important programs that make care accessible in the most high-need communities. 208 209 The bill strengthens requirements for both hospitals and 210 insurers to display price information in easy-to-understand formats for consumers. This is going to help both consumers 211 212 and employers save money on health care services.

The legislation reauthorizes our Nation's community health centers through the end of 2025, with increased funding for a total of \$4.2 billion per year. Community

health centers work tirelessly to deliver high-quality, 216 217 affordable health care for over 30 million patients nationwide in some of our most vulnerable communities. 218 219 It also includes a 6-year reauthorization and doubles 220 the current funding level of the teaching health center 221 graduate medical education program to support the training of 222 primary care residents and dental residents in high-need 223 communities.

224 The PATIENT Act also cancels planned payment cuts to 225 Medicaid disproportionate share hospitals which provide essential care for our Nation's most vulnerable populations. 226 227 The committee is also considering seven bills out of the Communications and Technology Subcommittee, six of which are 228 229 bipartisan and the result of cooperative negotiations. The 230 Spectrum Auction Reauthorization Act, which Chair Rodgers and I introduced earlier this week, restores the Spectrum auction 231 232 authority of the FCC and authorizes it for 3 years.

233 It also firmly establishes the National

Telecommunications and Information Administration as the clear manager of federal Spectrum holdings, and it funds two programs of critical importance to national security and public safety, the FCC's rip and replace program, and a next

238 generation 9-1-1 grant program. And I am hopeful that we can 239 advance it through both chambers and get it enacted as soon 240 as possible.

Unfortunately, bipartisan cooperation did not extend to the majority's partisan broadband permitting bill, H.R. 3557. At last week's subcommittee markup, several of my Republican colleagues said they wanted the bill to be bipartisan, but their expectations seem to be that Democrats would join this harmful bill with no compromise reflecting Democratic priorities and concerns.

248 Unfortunately, Republicans insisted on a package of 249 giveaways that trample on state and local rights and consumer 250 and environmental protections, and, therefore, I will oppose 251 this bill.

We are also marking up several bipartisan energy bills that I will support. One directs the Secretary of Energy to designate energy emergency and security matters to an assistant secretary, and another strengthens our energy infrastructure cybersecurity.

257 We will also consider H.R. 1042, the Prohibiting Russian 258 Uranium Imports Act. While I support ending our dangerous 259 reliance on Russia for enriched uranium, we cannot simply ban

260 the importation of Russian uranium and hope that that will

261 solve the problem.

We need more domestic conversion and enrichment 262 263 capabilities here at home, or else we could face steep 264 challenges in which our Nation's nuclear power reactors could 265 struggle to find the fuel they need to operate. And that is 266 why my staff requested to any Russian uranium ban also include investments in domestic fuel cycle infrastructure. 267 268 But we were told that was not on the table, and, therefore, I 269 will oppose this bill.

And the final two bills are nothing more, in my opinion, than scare tactics designed to mislead Americans into thinking that the Biden Administration is trying to ban gas stoves. They are not doing that, and Republicans have been spreading this information for months.

With H.R. 1640, Republicans are trying to prevent the Department of Energy from finalizing a commonsense, Congressionally-mandated energy efficiency standard for new cooktops. DOE's proposal simply requires new cooktops to be more efficient, which will reduce energy bills and cut harmful indoor air pollution that disproportionately impacts children.

And then H.R. 1615 establishes a dangerous precedent, in my opinion, by prohibiting the Consumer Product Safety Commission from fulfilling its responsibility to protect children and other consumers from potentially dangerous products.

This bill would preemptively limit the Commission's options for addressing potential risk of gas stoves before either the CPSC or this committee have had an opportunity to fully explore the risk and potential solutions, and I think that bill should be rejected.

I will have more to say, Madam Chair, on several of these bills when they are considered during today's markup, but for now I yield back the balance of my time.

295 *The Chair. The gentleman yields back.

The chair reminds members that pursuant to the committee rules all members' opening statements will be made part of the record.

299 Are there further opening statements?

300 *Mr. Latta. Madam Chair?

301 *The Chair. Mr. Latta, for what purpose? Opening

302 statement. You are recognized forfive minutes for an opening 303 statement.

*Mr. Latta. Well, thank you very much, Madam Chair.
And good morning again, and today we are marking up seven
bills from the Subcommittee on Communications and Technology.
Six of these bills remove barriers for broadband deployment,
and the final bill reauthorizes the Federal Communication
Commission's Spectrum auction authority, which expired in
March.

311 The permitting bills we are considering today are an 312 important step in ensuring that every American has access to 313 high-speed broadband.

314 Since 2020, Congress has dedicated a record amount of 315 money to support broadband deployment, culminating with the 316 Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act. But spending is not 317 enough. The infrastructure law was a missed opportunity to 318 enact meaningful permitting reform that is necessary to 319 quickly build new networks. today we have the opportunity to 320 fix that mistake.

321 The gentleman from Georgia, the vice chair of our 322 subcommittee, has introduced the American Broadband 323 Deployment Act. It will remove permitting barriers at all 324 levels of government that delay or raise the cost of 325 deployment. This bill places reasonable limitations on state

326 and local governments for what fees they may charge and will 327 prevent them, as well as the Federal Government, from 328 delaying application approvals through new deemed grant 329 remedies.

330 It will also streamline the environmental and historic 331 review process by exempting deployments on previously 332 disturbed lands from these reviews. These are commonsense 333 reforms that will make permitting applications less costly 334 and more timely and more predictable.

335 The other permitting bills on the agenda address the 336 cumbersome and oftentimes opaque process of deploying on 337 federal lands. These bills would establish a standard fee 338 schedule that federal land management agencies must follow 339 when reviewing broadband applications, create an interagency 340 strike force to ensure that agencies prioritize reviews of 341 broadband permitting applications, and require federal 342 agencies use an online portal to accept and track

343 applications.

I am pleased that five of the bills we are working on are bipartisan, and I appreciate my colleagues on the other side of the aisle for working with us on these bills. I wish we could work together on all, especially the final bill.

I am also pleased that we are making up the chair's 348 349 Spectrum Auction Reauthorization Act of 2023, which would 350 extend the FCC's auction authority for 3 years and fund critical public safety and national security priorities. 351 For 352 over 2 months, the FCC has lacked this authority, which casts 353 doubt on their preparedness to continue future auction planning and on the U.S. commitment to wireless innovation. 354 355 Last Congress, I led the bipartisan Spectrum Innovation 356 Act, which passed the House. Unfortunately, the Senate 357 failed to act. And today we are once again renewing our 358 effort to reauthorize the FCC's Spectrum auction authority. 359 I look forward to working with our chair and our 360 colleagues in the Senate to restore the FCC's auction 361 authority as soon as possible. 362 And with that, Madam Chair, I yield back the balance of 363 my time. 364 *The Chair. The gentleman yields back. The chair recognizes the lady from California, 365 366 Ms. Eshoo, for 3 minutes for the purposes of an opening 367 statement. 368 *Ms. Eshoo. Thank you, and good morning, Madam 369 Chairwoman and colleagues. 18

Today we are marking up 19 bills, with 7 of them originating from our Health subcommittee. They aim to strengthen critical public health programs and increase access to high-quality care, including a bill I co-led with Chairman Guthrie entitled the Medicaid Value-Based Payments for Patients Act.

I am very proud of the hard work we have done on this bill to support and strengthen the Biden Administration's efforts to provide patient access to lifesaving cell and gene therapies. The MVP Act will be amended today to include technical assistance from the HHS inspector general to prevent fraud, waste, and abuse in value-based payments.

I am very pleased that we are also voting on legislation make major changes to the organ transplant system that has been marred by errors, delays, and a lack of accountability for too long.

We are one step closer today to breaking up the monopoly currently held by the United Network for Organ Sharing and give hope to the more than 100,000 Americans awaiting organs. We are also marking up comprehensive legislation to increase price transparency across all sectors of health care and lower costs, including a bill I introduced with

Representatives Castor and Carter and Chair Guthrie to level 392 393 the playing field in negotiations between pharmacy benefit 394 managers and health plan sponsors which will save the Federal 395 Government \$2 billion over 10 years. 396 Next we are considering four Energy Subcommittee bills; 397 one, Innovation, Data, and Commerce bill; and seven bills from the Communications and Technology Subcommittee. 398 399 Thankfully, we are marking up the Spectrum Auction 400 Reauthorization Act, which will extend the FCC's auction 401 authority through 2026. This is a very important bill. It also includes a bill I introduced with Congressman 402 403 Hudson, the Next Generation 9-1-1 Act, which will help the 404 U.S. upgrade their 9-1-1 systems to 21st century technology. 405 So I look forward to a productive markup. Madam Chairwoman, we have our hands full, but that is wonderful, to 406 have our hands full. That is progress. 407 408 I yield back. *The Chair. The gentlelady yields back. 409 410 The chair recognizes Mr. Guthrie for 3 minutes for the purposes of an opening statement. 411 412 *Mr. Guthrie. Thank you, Madam Chair. Thank you for 413 the time. 20

In today's markup, we will consider bills that advance 414 415 the goal of promoting greater patient access to quality 416 health care and making our health care system more 417 transparent for patients and their families. 418 The legislation we are voting on will also drive more 419 competition and choice in our health care system, and even ensure our beloved pets maintain access to essential 420 421 medicines to help them live longer and happier lives. 422 These bills represent key priorities of the American 423 people, and I look forward to advancing these bills to the 424 House floor today. 425 We have had productive bipartisan discussions leading up 426 to this markup to ensure the legislation before us today will 427 ultimately have the greatest impact on patients. Today we will consider legislation to increase transparency into the 428 prices that hospitals, laboratories, and insurance companies 429 430 charge patients and employers to make sure patients are getting the greatest value possible. 431 432 The legislation before us will also reauthorize numerous 433 programs designed to bolster patient access to care,

434 particularly for those in most need. These include

435 addressing workforce challenges, eliminating cuts to the

436 disproportionate share hospital program, and continuing 437 funding for the community health centers that so many of our 438 constituents depend on for their health care.

We are also promoting access to care for vulnerable Americans who need lifesaving one-of-a-kind treatments. My bill, the Medicaid VBPs for Patients Act, or MVP Act, would provide access to the most innovative cures for Medicaid patients living with otherwise incurable, untreatable, lifethreatening conditions through value-based agreements.

We are talking about patients with diseases such as sickle cell and debilitating bleeding disorders. I want to personally thank Representative Eshoo for her leadership to take on this bill with me that will ultimately save lives and promote long-term savings for state Medicaid programs.

450 Further, our hearings have shown us how lower costs and 451 higher care of -- quality of care can be achieved through 452 policies that promote competition and choice. One of these policies will ensure seniors aren't paying more for drugs 453 454 because of the location that the drug is administered. 455 Seniors shouldn't pay more for the same drug and same level of care in one setting than the other, and this policy is a 456 457 first step towards broader reform.

In closing, I want to express my gratitude to my
colleagues on both sides of the aisle, and particularly our
staffs on both sides of the aisle, for how deeply they
have engaged everyone has been on these issues.
And I yield back.
*The Chair. The gentleman yields back.
Further opening statements? The lady from Colorado,
Ms. DeGette, is recognized for 3 minutes.
*Ms. DeGette. Thank you very much, Madam Chair.
Four of the bills we are considering today come from the
Energy Subcommittee. H.R. 1042 is the bill on Russian
uranium. And as the ranking member said, I don't think there
is anybody on this committee who would think that reliance on
Russian uranium is a good thing, and we should never be in a
position where we are reliant on an adversary for the
resources we need.
But I am still scratching my head from last week,
because as the ranking member said, the bill does nothing to
address the fact that we don't have enough domestic uranium
here, and also we need to provide the long-term solution.
And so I hope we can work across the aisle to fix this as the
bill goes forward and we can all support it.

The next bill, 1640, is again an attempt to stir up people's emotions, because it kills a congressionally mandated rulemaking process that seeks to save Americans money on their energy costs, but it doesn't impact gas stoves.

As I said in the subcommittee markup, the Department of Energy is not coming to take away your gas stove. Period. What it is trying to do is make your next stove more energy efficient.

We also have H.R. 3277, which elevates the head of the Office of Cybersecurity to an assistant secretary level position; and 1160, that allows DOE to require information on cybersecurity incidents. These are non-controversial.

In addition to these bills out of the Energy Committee, Just want to highlight briefly three other bipartisan measures I introduced that are included in H.R. 3561.

The first, with Mr. Bilirakis, will reauthorize funding for the special diabetes program to continue our Nation's ongoing research to better treat, prevent, and eventually cure diabetes. The funding provided by this program has already helped fuel the discovery of new treatments and technologies to help improve the lives of more than

502 37 million Americans living with diabetes.

The second measure with Representatives Clarke, Crenshaw, and Burgess is the Supporting Safety Net Hospitals Act, which would prevent nearly \$250 million in cuts to safety net hospitals in my home State of Colorado alone. These hospitals provide care to some of the most vulnerable in our community, and so this would give them the care they need.

510 The final measure with Congresswoman Miller-Meeks is the 511 Diagnostic Lab Testing Transparency Act, which will help 512 lower health care costs for those seeking diagnostic lab 513 services by requiring labs to display the cash price for 514 their services, giving patients more tools to lower out-of-515 pocket costs.

516 So I support my colleagues to support -- I urge my 517 colleagues to support all of these three measures, and there 518 is some other great legislation in here.

519 I yield back.

520 *The Chair. The gentlelady yields back.

521 Further opening statements? The gentleman from Florida, 522 Mr. Bilirakis, is recognized for 3 minutes.

523 *Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you, Madam Chair.

Good morning, and welcome to today's markup where we 524 525 will consider and move forward 19 bills, including a number of health care bills that will extend crucial public health 526 programs, reauthorize animal drug user fees, and provide 527 528 price transparency and competition to address the 529 skyrocketing costs of health care. 530 We are also looking to advance numerous pieces of 531 legislation that will ensure American broadband and 532 communications leadership and additional American 533 independence in our energy supply chain. 534 Lastly, under the Innovation, Data, and Commerce 535 Subcommittee, we will move forward with H.R. 1615, the Gas 536 Stove Protection and Freedom Act, from my good friend, 537 Representative Kelly Armstrong. 538 Earlier this year we heard disturbing reports about the 539 Consumer Product Safety Commission considering a universal 540 nationwide ban on gas stoves, which would be an assault on individual consumer freedom and choice to decide what works 541 542 best for their kitchens.

543 The CPSC then moved forward with a request for 544 information to learn more about gas stove hazards and 545 emissions.

Republicans are united with the American people in 546 547 disgust with the idea of banning gas stoves. I appreciate 548 Representative Armstrong for offering this legislation which 549 will prohibit the CPSC from using federal dollars to regulate 550 or issue enforcement regulations on gas stoves as a banned 551 product, or if the regulations prohibit the sale or substantially increases the price of gas stoves. 552 553 It is unfortunate that this legislation is necessary, 554 but, sadly, this is where we are with the current

555 Administration. And many progressive states and cities have 556 already taken action, including in California and New York, 557 to ban new gas stoves altogether.

558 Ironically, in Palo Alto, where a gas stove ban has been 559 enacted, the city issued an exemption for one specific 560 celebrity chef, who argued that gas appliances were necessary 561 to achieve their signature complex flavors.

562 This type of carveout is hypocrisy at its finest, and 563 proof why we need H.R. 1615 to prohibit this vast regulatory 564 overreach. I look forward to advancing this and all the 565 bills we have on the agenda today, and I thank the chair.

566 I yield back the balance of my time.

567 *The Chair. The gentleman yields back.

The chair recognizes the lady from Illinois, 568 569 Ms. Schakowsky, for the purpose of an opening statement, 570 3 minutes. 571 *Ms. Schakowsky. Thank you so much. I really look 572 forward to the many very good bills that we are going to be 573 dealing with today, including one Representative Bilirakis and I share that has to do with health ownership 574 575 transparency, which is so important. 576 And I also look forward to advancing critical legislation that will make sure that we continue to fund our 577 578 community health centers, which are so wonderful for our 579 communities. 580 I do want to say something about this last-minute gas stove legislation. You know, those of us who have gas stoves 581 were surprised by what the Consumer Product Safety Commission 582 583 was looking at, but I support the idea that we do some 584 research. I want to know, as an owner, are there hazards and to say that we are just going to pass legislation that says 585 586 the CPSC can't do anything, I think is really premature. 587 Let's allow the CPSC and the experts to do the kind of 588 research. I want to know if this is harmful to our children, 589 to ourselves, and there were no hearings, there were no

590	markups, there was no nothing in this committee.
591	So I absolutely believe that we can come to some
592	consensus on a bipartisan basis. But just to say "no''
593	without any kind of research I think does not make any sense.
594	I will talk more about that later, but I look forward to the
595	many good bills that we are going to be working together on.
596	And with that, I yield back.
597	*The Chair. The gentlelady yields back.
598	The chair recognizes the gentleman from South Carolina,
599	Mr. Duncan, for 3 minutes, opening statement.
600	*Mr. Duncan. Thank you, Chair Rodgers, for holding this
601	markup, which includes four important bills to preserve
602	American energy leadership and security.
603	First, we will be taking up two bills to protect against
604	cyberthreats to our critical energy infrastructure.
605	Mr. Walberg and Ms. Schrier introduced the Critical Energy
606	Infrastructure Act which authorizes DOE to require critical
607	electric infrastructure owners and operators to share
608	information regarding cybersecurity incidents with DOE. I am
609	proud to co-sponsor this bill and its enhanced coordination
610	between the energy sector and the Federal Government to
611	improve our grid against our cyberattacks.
	29

The next cybersecurity bill will be taken up as H.R. 3277, the Energy Emergency Leadership Act, introduced by Representatives Walberg and Blunt Rochester. This bill will elevate the leadership of the Department of Energy's emergency response and cybersecurity functions to the Senate-confirmed assistant secretary level.

This reflects the importance of DOE being the sector risk management agency to coordinate and prepare for and respond to all threats and hazards to our energy supply.

The next bill we will mark up is H.R. 1042, Prohibiting Russian Uranium Imports, introduced by Chair Rodgers and my colleague, Mr. Latta, which prohibits the importation of unirradiated fresh and unused low-enriched uranium produced in the Russian Federation. I am proud to co-sponsor this bill which is necessary as Russian imports account for about 20 percent of the U.S. market.

We should not be vulnerable to Russia for our energy needs, and this bill makes clear that Russian fuel after a date certain will no longer be available to the U.S. market. This will give the industry the certainty it needs to invest and build out the necessary infrastructure to develop a Made in America domestic supply chain system for nuclear fuel.

634	And, finally, I would like to thank Congresswoman Lesko
635	for introducing H.R. 1640, Save Our Gas Stoves Act, which I
636	am also a co-sponsor of. This bill will prohibit the
637	Secretary of Energy from implementing an energy conservation
638	standard to effectively phase out gas stoves.
639	Americans should be free to choose what cooking product
640	they use in their home, or any appliance, really, and this
641	bill ensures that.
642	So I urge my colleagues to support the legislation in
643	front of us today which puts American people first,
644	prioritizes delivering affordable, reliable, and clean energy
645	to all Americans.
646	With that, I yield back.
647	*The Chair. The gentleman yields back.
648	The chair recognizes Ms. Matsui for 3 minutes for the
649	purpose of an opening statement.
650	*Ms. Matsui. Thank you very much Madam Chair.
651	As I said at the Communications and Technology
652	Subcommittee markup, I am proud of the bipartisan bills we
653	have advanced but remain concerned about the partisan
654	legislation which I believe will be counterproductive.
655	There is no doubt that scrutiny of the broadband
	31

656 permitting process is needed. This is especially true as 657 federal funding from the bipartisan infrastructure law starts 658 flowing to the states. I recently held a broadband 659 roundtable in my district with federal, state, and local 660 officials. This type of collaboration should be our North 661 Star for closing the digital divide.

The bipartisan bills on the agenda today do just that. However, the partisan bill before us would reinforce a combative rather than a collaborative relationship with local governments. Local governments in my district are concerned about heavy-handed preemption in the partisan bill on the agenda today.

I encourage the members of this committee to reach out to the mayors and city council members. I am sure they will share these concerns. These local officials understand the challenges they face better than anyone. They know where broadband is available, where it is not, and the barriers they face to connectivity.

574 So I hope we can refocus our efforts through a lens of 575 collaboration and local expertise, because without feedback 576 from those actually living in unserved communities, I am 577 worried our efforts will fall short.

678	So, again, I am excited about the bipartisan bills and
679	hopefully we can course correct a misguided approach taken by
680	the partisan bill today.
681	With that, Madam Chair, I yield the balance of my time.
682	*The Chair. The gentlelady yields back.
683	Are there further opening statements?
684	Seeing none, the chair calls up H.R. 1418, as amended by
685	the Subcommittee on Health, and asks the clerk to report. Is
686	the mic on?
687	*The Clerk. Committee Print showing the text as
688	favorably forwarded by the Subcommittee on Health on
689	May 17
690	[H.R. 1418 follows:]
691	
692	********COMMITTEE INSERT********
693	

*The Chair. Without objection, the first reading of the 694 695 bill is dispensed with. The bill will be open for amendment 696 at any point. So ordered. 697 Does anyone seek to be recognized on the bill? *Mr. Pence. Madam Chair, I move to strike the last 698 699 word. 700 The gentleman, Mr. Pence, is recognized *The Chair. forfive minutes to strike the last word. 701 702 *Mr. Pence. Thank you, Chairwoman Rodgers and Ranking 703 Member Pallone, for holding this markup. 704 I am proud to champion the fifth reauthorization in the 705 Animal Drug User Fee Act with my colleague, Congresswoman 706 Schrier. 707 This important legislation is critical to ensuring safe 708 and effective drugs for our Nation's livestock industry and 709 farm animals for the next 5 years. Farmers, ranchers, and 710 rural communities across southern Indiana rely on veterinary medicines and therapeutics produced by animal drug 711 712 manufacturers. 713 Innovators in the Hoosier State like Elanco Animal Health are leading the charge to keep America's farm animals 714 715 safe and healthy. This legislation would preserve the 34

security of our Nation's food supply by making certain the 716 717 medications administered to food-producing animals are safe for animal and human health. 718 719 I look forward to continuing to work with my colleagues 720 to ensure this legislation passes on the House floor and reaches the President's desk. I urge support for final 721 722 passage of this legislation, and I yield back. 723 *The Chair. The gentleman yields back. 724 Further discussion or anyone -- the lady from 725 Washington, for what purpose does the gentlelady seek 726 recognition? 727 *Ms. Schrier. Madam Chair, I move to strike the last 728 word and speak on the underlying bill. 729 *The Chair. The gentlelady is recognized forfive 730 minutes to strike the last word. 731 *Ms. Schrier. Thank you, Madam Chair. I want to thank 732 Representative Pence, the chair, the ranking member, and 733 committee staff for their hard work on this bipartisan bill. 734 This bill will allow the FDA, through its Center of 735 Veterinary Medicine, to approve necessary drugs and medications for companion animals and livestock. It will 736 737 accelerate the development of medications for animals and 35

738 promote a more predictable and streamlined review process.
739 It will hold the FDA accountable to performance goals
740 and timelines that will enhance inspection times and provide
741 some regulatory certainty, and it will preserve the security
742 of our Nation's food supply by making sure that the medicines
743 we give to food-producing animals are safe for animal and
744 human health.

745 This is important to all of us. If you have a sick pet, 746 you trust that the medications your veterinarian is 747 prescribing are safe, and ADUFA ensures these medications get 748 to market. And in my district, farmers, ranchers, dairymen 749 also rely on these FDA-approved medications to keep their 750 livestock healthy. For them, keeping those animals healthy 751 is not just about affection for them or responsibility for 752 them, it is critical for food production, food safety, human 753 health, and their economic livelihood.

Having timely access to affordable, effective medications is critical. So I am happy to go to bat for our rural areas and our agricultural community with this legislation.

758 I want to thank Representative Pence for working 759 together on this, and I also encourage all of my colleagues

to vote yes on this important bill. 760 761 I yield back. 762 *The Chair. The gentlelady yields back. 763 Does anyone seek to be recognized? 764 *Ms. Barragan. Madam Chair, I have an amendment at the 765 desk. 766 *The Chair. Are there bipartisan amendments to the 767 bill? 768 *Ms. Barragan. I have an amendment at --769 *The Chair. Are there other amendments? 770 *Ms. Barragan. Madam Chair, I have an amendment at the 771 desk. 772 *The Chair. Okay. The gentlelady is recognized for her 773 amendment. *Mr. Griffith. Madam Chair? 774 775 *The Chair. Yes. *Mr. Griffith. I raise --776 777 *The Chair. For what purpose --778 *Mr. Griffith. Parliamentary order. Raise -- I would 779 like to reserve the right to object at the appropriate time. 780 *The Chair. A point of order is reserved. 781 Ms. Barragan is recognized forfive minutes in support of 37

782 her amendment.

783	*Ms. Barragan. Thank you, Madam Chair. My amendment
784	today underscores this committee's focus on innovation by
785	supporting new animal feeds that can be used to promote human
786	and animal health.
787	*The Chair. Excuse me real quick. I failed here. We
788	need to report the amendment. So if the lady would
789	suspend gentlelady suspend, clerk will report the
790	amendment.
791	*The Clerk. Amendment to the Committee Print of
792	H.R. 1418, offered by Ms. Barragan -
793	
794	[The Amendment offered by Ms. Barragan follows:]
795	
796	********COMMITTEE INSERT*******
797	

798 *The Chair. Without objection, the reading of the 799 amendment is dispensed with. The point of order is 800 recognized, and now the lady is recognized forfive minutes 801 in support of her amendment.

802 *Ms. Barragan. Thank you, Madam Chair. My amendment 803 today underscores this committee's focus on innovation by supporting new animals feeds that can be used to promote 804 human and animal health. I offered a similar amendment last 805 806 week at our subcommittee markup, and I withdrew it with the 807 hopes that the majority would work on this pro business, 808 pro agriculture, pro farmers, and pro environment policy. 809 This amendment would clarify FDA's authorities and expectations for new zootechnical animal food substances 810 811 which have the potential to, number 1, reduce pathogens like 812 salmonella in animals intended for human consumption; 813 number 2, support animal health by improving their 814 microbiome; and number 3, reduces waste and emission produced 815 by animals.

Under current law, companies must go through the lengthy drug application process to make claims about the beneficial effects of these products on product labeling. This has kept many products off the shelves, limiting access to farmers and

820 other animal owners.

821 The amendment I am offering this week improves on the 822 amendment from last week by focusing on the scope of the 823 policy and makes clear that the amendment does not authorize 824 the secretary to require anybody to use these products. 825 These new animal feeds would only exist as options for farmers, pet owners, and veterinarians. This policy has 826 827 bipartisan support in the Senate and has the support of the 828 FDA, farmers, and the leaders in the agricultural industry, 829 including the National Milk Producers Federation.

It also has the support of innovators in the animal food industry, including the National Grain and Feed Association, and the support of environmental organizations.

Unfortunately, despite numerous attempts to work with the chair, I regret that our office has told us they will not support this amendment.

I will continue to keep the door open to working with my colleagues who want to provide opportunities for innovation as well as food safety in the future.

And with that, I will wait to hear from other colleagues to speak on the amendment, but I will intend to withdraw it. For now, I yield back.

842 *The Chair. Further discussion on the amendment? 843 Mr. Pence? 844 *Mr. Pence. Madam Chair, I move to strike the last 845 word. 846 *The Chair. Mr. Pence is recognized forfive minutes to 847 strike the last word. *Mr. Pence. I urge opposition to the amendment but 848 support the underlying policy. This amendment offered by my 849 850 colleague is an important opportunity to reduce the 851 regulatory impact on feed additives in the agricultural 852 livestock industry. By redesigning the regulatory structure 853 of zootechnical animal food substances, this policy could 854 spur needed innovation to provide our farmers with more tools to improve the health of their livestock. 855 856 It is important innovative feed companies also have a 857 regulatory pathway that incentivizes research and development 858 for products that help farmers nourish their animals and support their profitability. However, given the year-long 859 860 process of stakeholder engagement and input from FDA to draft 861 this legislation, I believe this policy is not yet ready for 862 consideration at this time.

I support the agency's ongoing work to engage industry

on this policy and move the fall forward for our Nation's 864 865 farmers. 866 I urge opposition to the amendment and yield back the 867 balance of my time. 868 *The Chair. The gentleman yields back. 869 Does any other member wish to be heard on the amendment? Mr. Pallone, the ranking member, is recognized forfive 870 871 minutes on the amendment. 872 *Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Madam Chair. I move to strike 873 the last word in support of the amendment. The amendment 874 offered by Representative Barragan will provide new options 875 for novel animal feed to interested farmers, veterinarians, 876 and pet owners who want to better understand the benefits of some food additives for their animals. 877 The amendment will specifically allow animal food 878

producers to make claims about their products if they reduce food-borne pathogens of human health significance, alter the animal's gastrointestinal microbiome, or affect byproducts of the digestive process in animals.

By informing farmers and other consumers of the availability of these products, we can reduce the spread of food-borne illnesses such as salmonella and E. coli and

886 reduce emissions of greenhouse gases like methane as well as 887 nitrogen and phosphorus.

Nearly 15 percent of all global greenhouse gases come from cattle emitting methane, and we may be able to reduce that significantly just by including algae or seaweed in cattle feed. This is the kind of easy, commonsense option we should be encouraging.

This amendment is narrowly tailored to address specific needs of consumers who want new options to reduce greenhouse gas emissions or improve the health and safety of their animals and the humans who rely on them.

897 As we have tried to engage with your staff on the 898 language, we have listened to concerns that have arisen and 899 have worked to address them. The amendment includes specific 900 rule of construction language clarifying that it does not 901 mandate the use of these products or any food products and 902 only exists as an option for animal owners. It also specifically clarifies that these products are not drugs and 903 904 cannot make claims that the products can treat or prevent any 905 diseases.

906 I continue to remain mystified why the majority cannot 907 accept this amendment, because I do think it is a win-win.

908	It has the support of FDA, animal feed, and farming
909	industries, including the National Milk Producers Federation,
910	as well as leading environmental groups like the
911	Environmental Defense Fund.
912	It is just allowing feed producers to make innovative
913	claims about the properties of their food that is supported
914	by science, and nobody is forcing anyone to use this
915	particular type of feed. How much more free market can you
916	get? What is the regulatory burden posed by this amendment?
917	I hope that all of my colleagues, including my
918	colleagues in the majority, many of whom represent large
919	agricultural areas, will come around and work with us to
920	support innovation and new options for those constituents,
921	like what is proposed in this amendment.
922	And with that, I yield back.
923	*The Chair. The gentleman yields back.
924	Does any other member wish to be heard on the amendment?
925	The chair recognizes the lady from Washington,
926	Ms. Schrier, forfive minutes on the amendment.
927	*Ms. Schrier. Thank you, Madam Chair. I move to strike
928	the last word and speak on this amendment, in support of this
929	amendment. I want to echo the comments of the ranking member
	44

930 and thank Representative Barragan for raising this issue.
931 I am excited about this amendment to support the study
932 of food additives and their potential benefits for animals
933 and their environment. Feed additives, as you have heard,
934 can have myriad issues.

935 There is nutritional additives like vitamins, proteins, 936 that can impact growth rates in beef, cattle, and proteins 937 that have been shown to increase milk production, and 938 proteins that have -- in fact, seaweed that has been shown in 939 some cases to suppress methane emissions from animals.

We want to keep up with innovation, and having a pathway to approve additives in a timely manner, in a manner that people can trust to make sense, is important to farmers, supported by the FDA, and giving FDA the appropriate tools to review novel food additives in a safe and efficient manner really should not be a contentious issue.

946 I look forward to supporting farmers and industry. I 947 support this language, and I yield back.

948 *The Chair. Further discussion on the amendment?
949 Seeing none, the sponsor has indicated that she will
950 withdraw the amendment.

951 *Ms. Barragan. Yes, Madam Chair. I will withdraw the

0 5 0	
952	amendment.
953	*The Chair. Without objection, so ordered.
954	Is there further are there further amendments?
955	Seeing none, the question is on H.R. 1418, as amended by
956	the Subcommittee on Health.
957	A roll call vote has been requested. The clerk will
958	call the roll.
959	*The Clerk. Mr. Burgess?
960	*Mr. Burgess. Aye.
961	*The Clerk. Mr. Burgess votes aye.
962	Mr. Latta?
963	*Mr. Latta. Aye.
964	*The Clerk. Mr. Latta votes aye.
965	Mr. Guthrie?
966	*Mr. Guthrie. Aye.
967	*The Clerk. Mr. Guthrie votes aye.
968	Mr. Griffith?
969	*Mr. Griffith. Aye.
970	*The Clerk. Mr. Griffith votes aye.
971	Mr. Bilirakis?
972	*Mr. Bilirakis. Aye.
973	*The Clerk. Mr. Bilirakis votes aye.
	46

974	Mr. Johnson?
975	*Mr. Johnson. Aye.
976	*The Clerk. Mr. Johnson votes aye.
977	Mr. Bucshon?
978	*Mr. Bucshon. Aye.
979	*The Clerk. Mr. Bucshon votes aye.
980	Mr. Hudson?
981	*Mr. Hudson. Aye.
982	*The Clerk. Mr. Hudson votes aye.
983	Mr. Walberg?
984	*Mr. Walberg. Aye.
985	*The Clerk. Mr. Walberg votes aye.
986	Mr. Carter?
987	*Mr. Carter. Aye.
988	*The Clerk. Mr. Carter votes aye.
989	Mr. Duncan?
990	*Mr. Duncan. Aye.
991	*The Clerk. Mr. Duncan votes aye.
992	Mr. Palmer?
993	*Mr. Palmer. Aye.
994	*The Clerk. Mr. Palmer votes aye.
995	Mr. Dunn?

996	*Mr. Dunn. Aye.
997	*The Clerk. Mr. Dunn votes aye.
998	Mr. Curtis?
999	*Mr. Curtis. Aye.
1000	*The Clerk. Mr. Curtis votes aye.
1001	Mrs. Lesko?
1002	*Mrs. Lesko. Aye.
1003	*The Clerk. Mrs. Lesko votes aye.
1004	Mr. Pence?
1005	*Mr. Pence. Aye.
1006	*The Clerk. Mr. Pence votes aye.
1007	Mr. Crenshaw?
1007	
1008	*Mr. Crenshaw. Aye.
1008	*Mr. Crenshaw. Aye.
1008 1009	*Mr. Crenshaw. Aye. *The Clerk. Mr. Crenshaw votes aye.
1008 1009 1010	*Mr. Crenshaw. Aye. *The Clerk. Mr. Crenshaw votes aye. Mr. Joyce?
1008 1009 1010 1011	<pre>*Mr. Crenshaw. Aye. *The Clerk. Mr. Crenshaw votes aye. Mr. Joyce? *Mr. Joyce. Aye.</pre>
1008 1009 1010 1011 1012	<pre>*Mr. Crenshaw. Aye. *The Clerk. Mr. Crenshaw votes aye. Mr. Joyce? *Mr. Joyce. Aye. *The Clerk. Mr. Joyce votes aye.</pre>
1008 1009 1010 1011 1012 1013	<pre>*Mr. Crenshaw. Aye. *The Clerk. Mr. Crenshaw votes aye. Mr. Joyce? *Mr. Joyce. Aye. *The Clerk. Mr. Joyce votes aye. Mr. Armstrong?</pre>
1008 1009 1010 1011 1012 1013 1014	<pre>*Mr. Crenshaw. Aye. *The Clerk. Mr. Crenshaw votes aye. Mr. Joyce? *Mr. Joyce. Aye. *The Clerk. Mr. Joyce votes aye. Mr. Armstrong? *Mr. Armstrong. Yes.</pre>

1018	*The Clerk. Mr. Weber votes aye.
1019	Mr. Allen?
1020	*Mr. Allen. Allen is aye.
1021	*The Clerk. Mr. Allen votes aye.
1022	Mr. Balderson?
1023	*Mr. Balderson. Aye.
1024	*The Clerk. Mr. Balderson votes aye.
1025	Mr. Fulcher?
1026	*Mr. Fulcher. Aye.
1027	*The Clerk. Mr. Fulcher votes aye.
1028	Mr. Pfluger?
1029	*Mr. Pfluger. Aye.
1030	*The Clerk. Mr. Pfluger votes aye.
1031	Mrs. Harshbarger?
1032	*Mrs. Harshbarger. Aye.
1033	*The Clerk. Mrs. Harshbarger votes aye.
1034	Mrs. Miller-Meeks?
1035	*Mrs. Miller-Meeks. Aye.
1036	*The Clerk. Mrs. Miller-Meeks votes aye.
1037	Mr. Obernolte? Excuse me. Mrs. Cammack?
1038	*Mrs. Cammack. Aye.
1039	*The Clerk. Mrs. Cammack votes aye.

1040	Mr. Obernolte?
1041	[No response.]
1042	*The Clerk. Mr. Pallone?
1043	*Mr. Pallone. Aye.
1044	*The Clerk. Mr. Pallone votes aye.
1045	Ms. Eshoo?
1046	*Ms. Eshoo. Aye.
1047	*The Clerk. Ms. Eshoo votes aye.
1048	Ms. DeGette?
1049	*Ms. DeGette. Aye.
1050	*The Clerk. Ms. DeGette votes aye.
1051	Ms. Schakowsky?
1052	*Ms. Schakowsky. Aye.
1053	*The Clerk. Ms. Schakowsky votes aye.
1054	Ms. Matsui?
1055	*Ms. Matsui. Aye.
1056	*The Clerk. Ms. Matsui votes aye.
1057	Ms. Castor?
1058	*Ms. Castor. Aye.
1059	*The Clerk. Ms. Castor votes aye.
1060	Mr. Sarbanes?
1061	[No response.]

1062	*The Clerk. Mr. Tonko?
1063	*Mr. Tonko. Aye.
1064	*The Clerk. Mr. Tonko votes aye.
1065	Ms. Clarke?
1066	*Ms. Clarke. Aye.
1067	*The Clerk. Ms. Clarke votes aye.
1068	Mr. Cardenas?
1069	*Mr. Cardenas. Aye.
1070	*The Clerk. Mr. Cardenas votes aye.
1071	Mr. Ruiz?
1072	*Mr. Ruiz. Aye.
1073	*The Clerk. Mr. Ruiz votes aye.
1074	Mr. Peters?
1075	*Mr. Peters. Aye.
1076	*The Clerk. Mr. Peters votes aye.
1077	Mrs. Dingell?
1078	*Mrs. Dingell. Aye.
1079	*The Clerk. Mrs. Dingell votes aye.
1080	Mr. Veasey?
1081	[No response.]
1082	*The Clerk. Ms. Kuster?
1083	*Ms. Kuster. Aye.

1084	*The Clerk. Ms. Kuster votes aye.
1085	Ms. Kelly?
1086	*Ms. Kelly. Aye.
1087	*The Clerk. Ms. Kelly votes aye.
1088	Ms. Barragan?
1089	*Ms. Barragan. Aye.
1090	*The Clerk. Ms. Barragan votes aye.
1091	Ms. Blunt Rochester?
1092	*Ms. Blunt Rochester. Aye.
1093	*The Clerk. Ms. Blunt Rochester votes aye.
1094	Mr. Soto?
1095	*Mr. Soto. Aye.
1096	*The Clerk. Mr. Soto votes aye.
1097	Ms. Craig?
1098	*Ms. Craig. Aye.
1099	*The Clerk. Ms. Craig votes aye.
1100	Ms. Schrier?
1101	*Ms. Schrier. Aye.
1102	*The Clerk. Ms. Schrier votes aye.
1103	Mrs. Trahan?
1104	*Mrs. Trahan. Aye.
1105	*The Clerk. Mrs. Trahan votes aye.
	52

```
1106
           Mrs. Fletcher?
1107
           *Mrs. Fletcher. Aye.
1108
           *The Clerk. Mrs. Fletcher votes aye.
1109
           Chair Rodgers?
           *The Chair. Aye.
1110
1111
           *The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes aye.
           *The Chair. The clerk will report.
1112
1113
           *The Clerk. Chair Rodgers, on that vote there were 49
1114
      ayes and 0 noes.
1115
           *The Chair. The ayes have it, and the bill is adopted.
1116
           The chair calls up H.R. 2544 and asks the clerk to
1117
      report.
           *The Clerk. H.R. 2544, a bill to improve the organ
1118
1119
      procurement and transplantation network, and for other
1120
      purposes.
1121
1122
1123
           [H.R. 2544 follows:]
1124
      1125
1126
```

1127	*The Chair. Without objection, the first reading of
1128	the bill is dispensed with, and the bill will be open for
1129	amendments at any point. So ordered.
1130	Does anyone seek to be recognized on the bill?
1131	*Mr. Bucshon. Madam Chair?
1132	*The Chair. For what purpose does the gentleman seek
1133	recognition?
1134	*Mr. Bucshon. I move to strike the last word and to
1135	speak in favor of the bill.
1136	*The Chair. The gentleman is recognized forfive minutes
1137	to strike the last word.
1138	*Mr. Bucshon. Thank you, Madam Chair.
1139	The Securing the U.S. Organ Procurement and
1140	Transplantation Network Act, or H.R. 2544, which I introduced
1141	with my colleague, Robin Kelly, seeks to improve our National
1142	Organ Procurement and Transplant Network, OPTN, program,
1143	which is overseen by HRSA and run through a contract with the
1144	United Network for Organ Sharing.
1145	According to an increasing numbers of reports, the OPTN
1146	struggles to obtain and distribute organs in an efficient,
1147	timely, and appropriate manner. In fact, it is believed that
1148	thousands of donated organs go to waste each year because the
	54

1149 process of obtaining organs, matching them to a recipient, 1150 and transporting them is not happening effectively.

With the technology and expertise available today, this is utterly unacceptable. H.R. 2544 allows HRSA to improve the OPTN program. It clarifies that HRSA does not have to implement a single contract for all aspects of the program and encourages a competitive process to choose the best contractors for each OPTN function.

As a physician in Congress, my focus with this legislation is on ensuring that HRSA has the authority that it needs to act on behalf of patients and ensure that the best innovators are able to compete and play their part in saving lives.

1162 I yield back.

1163 *The Chair. The gentleman yields back.

1164 Is there further discussion on the legislation?

1165 Ms. Kelly, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

1166 *Ms. Kelly. I would like to strike the last word.

1167 *The Chair. The gentlelady is recognized forfive 1168 minutes to strike the last word.

1169 *Ms. Kelly. Thank you, Chairwoman McMorris Rodgers and 1170 Ranking Member Pallone, for holding this bipartisan markup

and including H.R. 2544, the Securing the U.S. Organ 1171 1172 Procurement and Transplantation Network Act that I was very proud to co-lead with the vice chair of the Health 1173 1174 Subcommittee, Dr. Bucshon. 1175 Around 6,000 Americans die each year while waiting for 1176 organ transplants, with the problem being even more acute for people of color and people in rural communities. 1177 Black 1178 people are four times more likely to develop kidney failure 1179 than white people, but they are much less likely to receive a lifesaving kidney transplant. 1180 1181 These are tragic inequities that are unacceptable. 1182 Everyone deserves an equal chance at living a full life. То achieve this most basic measure of fairness, there must be 1183 1184 reforms to the organ procurement process. To learn that some 1185 of the issues of organ procurement and transplantation,

1186 include lifesaving organs being lost or destroyed in transit, 1187 unacceptable.

1188 That is why I was glad to see HRSA's leadership 1189 announcing the Organ Procurement Transplant Network, OPTN, 1190 modernization initiative. As our country continues to move 1191 forward at a rapid pace with new technologies becoming 1192 available every day, we owe it to our constituents to bring

1193	our medical practices into the 21st century and save lives.
1194	The Securing the U.S. Organ Procurement and
1195	Transplantation Network Act would allow the contract for the
1196	OPTN to be competitively bid, expands the pool of entities
1197	that are eligible to compete for the contracts, and makes
1198	other reforms to the organ procurement system, such as
1199	removing the appropriations cap on the OPTN contracts.
1200	This bill complements HRSA's recently announced goal of
1201	modernizing the network. I was honored that this bill passed
1202	the Health Subcommittee with unanimous support, and I
1203	encourage my colleagues here today to support this bill.
1204	Thank you, and I yield back.
1205	*The Chair. The gentlelady yields back.
1206	Further discussion on the bill?
1207	Seeing none, the question now occurs on approving
1208	H.R. 2544. A roll call has been requested. The clerk will
1209	call the roll.
1210	*The Clerk. Mr. Burgess?
1211	*Mr. Burgess. Votes aye.
1212	*The Clerk. Mr. Burgess votes aye.
1213	Mr. Latta?
1214	*Mr. Latta. Aye.

1215	*The Clerk. Mr. Latta votes aye.
1216	Mr. Guthrie?
1217	*Mr. Guthrie. Aye.
1218	*The Clerk. Mr. Guthrie votes aye.
1219	Mr. Griffith?
1220	*Mr. Griffith. Aye.
1221	*The Clerk. Mr. Griffith votes aye.
1222	Mr. Bilirakis?
1223	*Mr. Bilirakis. Aye.
1224	*The Clerk. Mr. Bilirakis votes aye.
1225	Mr. Johnson?
1226	*Mr. Johnson. Aye.
1227	*The Clerk. Mr. Johnson votes aye.
1228	Mr. Bucshon?
1229	*Mr. Bucshon. Aye.
1230	*The Clerk. Mr. Bucshon votes aye.
1231	Mr. Hudson?
1232	*Mr. Hudson. Aye.
1233	*The Clerk. Mr. Hudson votes aye.
1234	Mr. Walberg?
1235	[No response.]
1236	*The Clerk. Mr. Carter?

1237	*Mr. Carter. Aye.
1238	*The Clerk. Mr. Carter votes aye.
1239	Mr. Duncan?
1240	*Mr. Duncan. Aye.
1241	*The Clerk. Mr. Duncan votes aye.
1242	Mr. Palmer?
1243	*Mr. Palmer. Aye.
1244	*The Clerk. Mr. Palmer votes aye.
1245	Mr. Dunn?
1246	*Mr. Dunn. Aye.
1247	*The Clerk. Mr. Dunn votes aye.
1248	Mr. Curtis?
1249	*Mr. Curtis. Aye.
1250	*The Clerk. Mr. Curtis votes aye.
1251	Mrs. Lesko?
1252	*Mrs. Lesko. Aye.
1253	*The Clerk. Mrs. Lesko votes aye.
1254	Mr. Pence?
1255	*Mr. Pence. Aye.
1256	*The Clerk. Mr. Pence votes aye.
1257	Mr. Crenshaw?
1258	*Mr. Crenshaw. Aye.

1259	*The Clerk. Mr. Crenshaw votes aye.
1260	Mr. Joyce?
1261	*Mr. Joyce. Aye.
1262	*The Clerk. Mr. Joyce votes aye.
1263	Mr. Armstrong?
1264	*Mr. Armstrong. Yes.
1265	*The Clerk. Mr. Armstrong votes aye.
1266	Mr. Weber?
1267	*Mr. Weber. Aye.
1268	*The Clerk. Mr. Weber votes aye.
1269	Mr. Allen?
1270	*Mr. Allen. Aye.
1271	*The Clerk. Mr. Allen votes aye.
1272	Mr. Balderson?
1273	*Mr. Balderson. Aye.
1274	*The Clerk. Mr. Balderson votes aye.
1275	Mr. Fulcher?
1276	*Mr. Fulcher. Aye.
1277	*The Clerk. Mr. Fulcher votes aye.
1278	Mr. Pfluger?
1279	*Mr. Pfluger. Aye.
1280	*The Clerk. Mr. Pfluger votes aye.

1281	Mrs. Harshbarger?
1282	*Mrs. Harshbarger. Aye.
1283	*The Clerk. Mrs. Harshbarger votes aye.
1284	Mrs. Miller-Meeks?
1285	*Mrs. Miller-Meeks. Aye.
1286	*The Clerk. Mrs. Miller-Meeks votes aye.
1287	Mrs. Cammack?
1288	*Mrs. Cammack. Aye.
1289	*The Clerk. Mrs. Cammack votes aye.
1290	Mr. Obernolte?
1291	[No response.]
1292	*The Clerk. Mr. Pallone?
1293	*Mr. Pallone. Aye.
1294	*The Clerk. Mr. Pallone votes aye.
1295	Ms. Eshoo?
1296	*Ms. Eshoo. Aye.
1297	*The Clerk. Ms. Eshoo votes aye.
1298	Ms. DeGette?
1299	*Ms. DeGette. Votes aye.
1300	*The Clerk. Ms. DeGette votes aye.
1301	Ms. Schakowsky?
1302	*Ms. Schakowsky. Aye.

1303	*The Clerk. Ms. Schakowsky votes aye.
1304	Ms. Matsui?
1305	*Ms. Matsui. Aye.
1306	*The Clerk. Ms. Matsui votes aye.
1307	Ms. Castor?
1308	*Ms. Castor. Aye.
1309	*The Clerk. Ms. Castor votes aye.
1310	Mr. Sarbanes?
1311	[No response.]
1312	*The Clerk. Mr. Tonko?
1313	*Mr. Tonko. Aye.
1314	*The Clerk. Mr. Tonko votes aye.
1315	Ms. Clarke?
1316	*Ms. Clarke. Aye.
1317	*The Clerk. Ms. Clarke votes aye.
1318	Mr. Cardenas?
1319	*Mr. Cardenas. Aye.
1320	*The Clerk. Mr. Cardenas votes aye.
1321	Mr. Ruiz?
1322	*Mr. Ruiz. Aye.
1323	*The Clerk. Mr. Ruiz votes aye.
1324	Mr. Peters?

1325	*Mr. Peters. Aye.
1326	*The Clerk. Mr. Peters votes aye.
1327	Mrs. Dingell?
1328	*Mrs. Dingell. Aye.
1329	[No response.]
1330	*The Clerk. Mr. Veasey?
1331	[No response.]
1332	*The Clerk. Ms. Kuster?
1333	*Ms. Kuster. Aye.
1334	*The Clerk. Ms. Kuster votes aye.
1335	Ms. Kelly?
1336	*Ms. Kelly. Aye.
1337	*The Clerk. Ms. Kelly votes aye.
1338	Ms. Barragan?
1339	[No response.]
1340	*The Clerk. Ms. Blunt Rochester?
1341	*Ms. Blunt Rochester. Aye.
1342	*The Clerk. Ms. Blunt Rochester votes aye.
1343	Mr. Soto?
1344	*Mr. Soto. Aye.
1345	*The Clerk. Mr. Soto votes aye.
1346	Mr. Craig? Or, excuse me, Ms. Craig?
	63

1347	*Ms. Craig. Aye.
1348	*The Clerk. Ms. Craig votes aye.
1349	Ms. Schrier?
1350	*Ms. Schrier. Aye.
1351	*The Clerk. Ms. Schrier votes aye.
1352	Mrs. Trahan?
1353	*Mrs. Trahan. Aye.
1354	*The Clerk. Mrs. Trahan votes aye.
1355	Mrs. Fletcher?
1356	*Mrs. Fletcher. Aye.
1357	*The Clerk. Mrs. Fletcher votes aye.
1358	Chair Rodgers?
1359	*The Chair. Aye.
1360	*The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes aye.
1361	*Mr. Walberg. How am I recorded?
1362	*The Chair. Mr. Clerk, how is Walberg recorded?
1363	*The Clerk. Mr. Walberg is not recorded.
1364	*Mr. Walberg. Walberg votes aye.
1365	*The Clerk. Mr. Walberg votes aye.
1366	*Mr. Veasey. Veasey?
1367	*The Chair. Mr. Veasey?
1368	*The Clerk. Mr. Veasey is not recorded.

1369	*Mr. Veasey. Aye.
1370	*The Clerk. Mr. Veasey votes aye.
1371	*The Chair. Any other members seeking to be recorded?
1372	Mr. Clerk, how is Mrs. Dingell recorded?
1373	*The Clerk. Mrs. Dingell is not recorded.
1374	*Mrs. Dingell. Votes aye.
1375	*The Clerk. Mrs. Dingell votes aye.
1376	*The Chair. The clerk will report.
1377	*The Clerk. Chair Rodgers, on that vote there were 48
1378	ayes and 0 noes.
1379	*The Chair. The ayes have it, and the bill is adopted.
1380	The chair calls up H.R. 2666, as amended by the
1381	Subcommittee on Health, and asks the clerk to report.
1382	*The Clerk. Committee Print showing the text of
1383	H.R. 2666 as favorably forwarded by the Subcommittee on
1384	Health on May 17, 2023. H.R. 2666
1385	[H.R. 2666 follows:]
1386	
1387	********COMMITTEE INSERT********
1388	

*The Chair. Without objection, the first reading of 1389 1390 the bill is dispensed with, and the bill is open for amendments at any point. So ordered. 1391 1392 Does anyone seek to be recognized on the bill? 1393 *Mr. Guthrie. I have an amendment when you are ready. 1394 *The Chair. Do you seek to be recognized on the bill? 1395 *Ms. Matsui. Yes. I just want to strike the last word. 1396 *The Chair. Okay. Ms. Matsui is recognized forfive 1397 minutes to strike the last word. *Ms. Matsui. I move to strike the last word and speak 1398 1399 on this bill. Chairman Guthrie and Ranking Member Eshoo, 1400 thank you for your work on this important issue to the rare 1401 disease community. You are both such excellent champions of 1402 rare disease policy. I am grateful for your partnership in 1403 this work. 1404 I have long worked on policies to advance the science of rare disease therapies and expand access to care. 1405 I am a 1406 strong supporter of cell and gene therapies which hold 1407 promise for so many patients with rare diseases.

However, I am hesitant to support a bill that may result in unintended consequences for drug pricing. It is too early for us to tell how the rule this bill codifies will affect

1411	state Medicaid programs and patients. We need more time for
1412	the rule to be fully implemented before we codify a policy
1413	that could have impacts beyond rare disease. For that
1414	reason, I will be voting no today, but I look forward to
1415	continuing to work on these issues with my colleagues.
1416	I yield back.
1417	*The Chair. The gentlelady yields back.
1418	The chair recognizes Dr. Joyce forfive minutes to strike
1419	the last word.
1420	*Mr. Joyce. Thank you, Madam Chair.
1421	I would like to offer my support of H.R. 2666, the MVP
1422	Act, of which I was proud to be an original co-sponsor when
1423	it was introduced by Chairman Guthrie along with Ranking
1424	Member Eshoo earlier this year.
1425	I would also like to thank the committee for advancing
1426	this critical legislation here today. Gene therapies have
1427	the potential to treat, in some cases ultimately cure,
1428	genetic disorders at the root cause, providing long-term
1429	benefits and improving the quality of life for patients.
1430	Because many of these treatments are curative, the
1431	current reimbursement system fails to reflect the value of
1432	these therapies, nor does it offer states the needed

1433 flexibility to provide adequate coverage for those under

1434 Medicaid.

1435 The bill before us today would provide regulatory 1436 certainty for every state by codifying Medicaid rules that permit multiple reporting of best prices to help states enter 1437 1438 value-based payment arrangements to pay for the curative cell and gene therapies over time. This certainty is necessary as 1439 1440 states enter into these long-term agreements, so that the rug 1441 can't be pulled out from under the patients who need to 1442 access these lifesaving therapies.

1443 These value-based purchasing arrangements for gene 1444 therapy products ensure that the price of gene therapy is 1445 based on its clinical outcomes and the value it provides the 1446 patients and the health care system, thus, ultimately 1447 protecting limited state Medicaid budgets.

Overall, this bill is about access, and it would ensure that Medicaid patients have the same access to game-changing novel gene therapies and the long-term benefits and improved quality of life that they provide as those patients with private insurance.

1453 It is important to remember that two Administrations 1454 from different parties agree on this role, and I hope that

members on both sides of the aisle can come together on this 1455 1456 today. 1457 I urge adoption of this important legislation and yield 1458 the balance of my time. *The Chair. The gentleman yields back. 1459 1460 The chair recognizes the ranking member, Mr. Pallone, forfive minutes to strike the last word. 1461 1462 *Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Madam Chair. I want to 1463 express my concerns about H.R. 2666, the MVP Act. While I 1464 appreciate the sponsor's intent, I fear this bill will not 1465 lower the cost of prescription drugs and could in fact 1466 increase drug costs to state Medicaid programs and the 1467 Federal Government. 1468 And for these reasons, I can't support the bill and urge 1469 my colleagues to join me in voting no. 1470 The MVP Act would permanently codify in statute a Trump era regulation that effectively changed the definition of 1471 "best price in Medicaid," supposedly in an effort to 1472 1473 encourage commercial insurance plans to adopt value-based 1474 payment models. As my colleagues know, the Medicaid best price policy 1475 ensures that state Medicaid programs are able to obtain 1476 69

1477 discounts on drugs equal to the best discount available on 1478 the private market.

1479 I want to make it clear at the outset that the 1480 regulation this would codify already has the full force and 1481 effect of law. If a drug manufacturer and a commercial 1482 insurance plan want to enter into a value-based arrangement 1483 today, they can. At our subcommittee markup, one of our 1484 members suggested that we wait and see how the rule is 1485 implemented, and if the concerns raised by the stakeholders 1486 play out, and I couldn't agree more.

1487 The bottom line is that this legislation, in my opinion, 1488 is a solution in search of a problem, and we should wait to 1489 see how the rule operates before codifying it permanently in 1490 statute.

I want to be clear about one thing. State Medicaid programs do not need this bill to enter into value-based payment arrangements. State Medicaid programs can already do this under current law, and some states have. This bill is also not about expanding access to drugs and Medicaid. The law already requires Medicaid to cover essentially every FDAapproved drug.

1498 If an innovative new therapy comes to market, you can be

1499 assured that Medicaid will cover it and can even enter into a 1500 value-based payment arrangement to cover it, even without 1501 this legislation or the Trump regulation that it would 1502 codify.

Instead, what this bill does is blow a hole -- a giant 1503 1504 hole -- in Medicaid best price and raises drug costs to the Medicaid program. The bipartisan National Association of 1505 Medicaid Directors said that the policy "would greatly favor 1506 1507 drug manufacturers over states, undermine best price 1508 protections that ensure the sustainability of Medicaid 1509 pharmacy budgets, and place a substantial strain on state 1510 administrative resources.''

1511 Similarly, the non-partisan Medicaid and CHIP Payment 1512 and Access Commission, or MACPAC, said the policy is "of 1513 questionable benefit to Medicaid'' and expressed concerns 1514 that the policy could create a loophole such that the primary 1515 purpose drug manufacturers may enter into these payment 1516 models would be to lower their Medicaid drug rebates.

1517 And the Georgetown University Center on Children and 1518 Families called the policy seriously flaws and said that the 1519 policy would essentially gut best price.

1520 At the subcommittee markup, it was suggested that the

- 1521 final rule address most of these concerns, but unfortunately 1522 that is not the case. MACPAC said in technical comments on 1523 the bill, "While the final rule did make some changes, most 1524 of our comments and concerns still apply.'' 1525 Similarly, the National Association of Medicaid
- 1526 Directors have communicated that they remain concerned about 1527 the CMS rule that this bill would codify.

So the supporters of this legislation claim that states will be able to benefit from these arrangements, because drug companies will have to offer them to Medicaid. In theory, that may sound good on paper, but the reality is that state Medicaid programs do not have the resources to implement or administer these payment models.

1534 National Association of Medicaid Directors and MACPAC 1535 both cited the incredible administrative burden with MACPAC 1536 going as far as to say that, "It is hard to see how states 1537 could possibly track patient outcomes in the manner necessary 1538 to implement these payment models.''

1539 The reality is that most states simply will not be able 1540 to implement these payment arrangements. The bottom line is 1541 that this policy could substantially shift costs to states 1542 and the Federal Government and undermine Medicaid's best

1543 price protections without providing any real benefit to the 1544 Medicaid program.

Now, given these comments, I am deeply concerned that the regulation could weaken Medicaid best price protections and increase costs for states and the Federal Government. I do not think we should double down on the problematic approach of the Trump era regulation by permanently codifying it in federal law. In fact, I plan to encourage the Biden Administration against repealing this regulation.

Ultimately, the reason that big pharma wants this bill to pass is because they are playing a one-sided game, in my opinion with a stacked deck. They will come out ahead in these arrangements and state Medicaid agencies, taxpayers, and consumers will be left holding the bag.

1557 And there is no magic here that will somehow magically 1558 reduce drug costs. There is only big pharma taking a bigger 1559 share of the pie.

So I appreciate my colleague's efforts, but I am afraid that this is not the right approach. I can't support the bill and would urge members to join me in voting no.

1563 And with that, Madam Chair, I yield back.

1564 *The Chair. The gentleman yields back.

1565 Further discussion? Mr. Guthrie is recognized forfive 1566 minutes to strike the last word.

*Mr. Guthrie. Thank you. Thank you, Madam Chair. We worked hard with my good friend, Ranking Member Eshoo, to address the concerns that have just been brought up. And so before people vote against having Medicaid programs provide lifesaving treatments to the poorest of Americans, I want to make sure they have the most current information on what this bill does.

One, you hear a criticism -- so this is what we are doing. We are allowing Medicaid programs, which 40 percent of Kentuckians are on, so we are -- talk about drug price, and this is as a manufacturer, just drug pricing. What we are talking about is therapies, cell editing, CAR-T therapies.

They are not just what is produced by drug companies and sold with prescriptions. These are therapies that people have access to. These are therapies that cure sickle cell by going in and editing someone's gene, and it requires a bone marrow transplant. These are the type things that we are talking about, not just there is some high drug out there to deal with.

And so how do Medicaid programs who have hundreds of thousands of dollars in expenses, and they have annual budgets, deal with these over time? That is what we are trying to solve. We are trying to make sure the poorest of us have access to these wonderful therapies that are coming out. And so that is what we are trying to solve, and so we are going on old information that we worked on to fix.

One is that people say, "Well, why codify this now because it is already in the rule?' Well, one, I think Congress ought to make its statement that we want people, the poorest of us, to have access to these treatments. I think we should make that statement and codify it, because these value-based agreements can take years to develop.

And we all know we had a hearing on energy yesterday when somebody was concerned about the regulatory uncertainty that goes on. We can put certainty into this now, and it is important to do so.

And on the argument that it is going to be a giveaway to drug companies, the CMS has put forth -- I think the comment from the Medicaid drug administrators comes from 2020. And under the Biden Administration they put into the rule that we have incorporated into the law fixes to what was just

1609 commented.

1610 So before people vote no based on 2020 information and 1611 denying people and Medicaid access to these treatments, they 1612 need to know that that was fixed by the Biden Administration. It is in this bill before us, and it ensures that state 1613 1614 Medicaid programs still get a discount on all drugs. The 1615 rule -- the legislation requires manufacturers to submit 1616 value-based best price as well as non-value-based best 1617 prices, and states have the decision whether to enter into 1618 these or not.

1619 So I used to be in state government. A lot of us here 1620 used to be in state government. You know that most states 1621 have to balance their budget. We know the State of 1622 Massachusetts' Medicaid program is limiting the up end of 1623 what they pay for Medicaid. I think -- and I could be 1624 corrected, but I think it is \$100,000 in some treatment. 1625 Like I said, to cure sickle cell, you literally edit the 1626 gene that causes sickle cell. And it is my understanding --1627 if I understand metascience -- it requires a bone marrow 1628 transplant. But the people who have gone through this have 1629 no symptoms. They are cured. They are absolutely cured of 1630 this.

1631 And so we are not just talking about drug manufacturers 1632 putting out drug prices and keeping their label and trying to 1633 prevent generics from coming to the marketplace. That is not 1634 what we are talking about here. We are talking about -- I don't know how much that kind of program would cost. Let's 1635 1636 say it costs a half a million dollars, somebody who lives a full life without sickle cell, but state Medicaid programs 1637 1638 have to balance the costs and make it balance.

What we are saying is instead of paying -- I am making up this number, say it is 500,000. Instead of paying \$500,000 for this case, for this human being to have a better life, this year we are going to spread it out over 10 years, so we will pay \$100,000 over 10 years.

And talking about giveaway to big pharma, the people who sign these agreements, the states can say, "If we don't get the treatment, if the person is not cured,'' and we are not paying -- we have to pay 50, 60, \$70,000 a year for them like we have been, then we don't pay for the drug. And so there is skin in the game from all sides,

But the bottom line is where Congress is making a statement today, this committee, and addressing the issues that were raised were real issues raised by some of my

1653 friends, have been addressed, so Congress is making this 1654 committee, to the poorest of us, those who are on Medicaid, 1655 have access to these lifesaving, lifechanging, wonderful 1656 therapies that are coming down the pike, and that is an 1657 opportunity that we are going to have to vote on in just a 1658 few minutes.

And if there is any concern, I know that if you want to ask the ranking member, she can certainly walk you through how we fixed the issues that had been raised before.

So before you vote on this, make sure you are not operating on 2020 information. Make sure you are operating on 2023 information. I would just encourage you to do that. I vield back.

1666 *Mr. Pallone. Would the gentleman just yield one --1667 *Mr. Guthrie. I will yield.

*Mr. Pallone. I just wanted to enter into the record the comments that MACPAC made where they say, "While the final rule does make some changes, most of our comments and concerns still apply.'' And they go on in this document to explain why they are still opposed.

1673 If I could ask unanimous consent --

1674 *The Chair. Without objection, so ordered.

1679 *Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Madam Chair.

1680 *The Chair. The gentleman yields back.

1681 The chair recognizes Mr. Ruiz forfive minutes to strike 1682 the last word.

1683 Oh. Mr. Peters?

1684 Oh. Ms. Eshoo. Ms. Eshoo, ranking member on Health, is 1685 recognized forfive minutes.

1686 *Ms. Eshoo. Thank you. Thank you, Madam Chairwoman. I 1687 seek recognition to strike the last word on 2666.

First of all, I think that members really have to think this through. This is to bring these services that are really miracles for people up to date 2023. If this were all the awful things that our ranking member has described, the Administration would be opposed to it. They are not. The Administration has kept the policy and continued implementing it.

A lot of words thrown around about MACPAC and NAMD. They have not taken an official position on this bill. This bill expands patient access to new cell and gene therapies that have the potential to treat or even cure, as has been said, diseases such as sickle cell disease, hemophilia, cancer, type 1 diabetes. These are killers. These are

1701 killers. And we have a responsibility to move the needle. 1702 It does this by updating. It is updating the current 1703 30-year-old Medicaid rules to allow for this new value-based 1704 payment arrangement. And under these arrangements, if a promised cure doesn't work for a particular patient, then 1705 1706 drug companies have to pay a refund. Boy, is that menacing. Huh? That is really deeply disturbing. On the Democratic 1707 1708 side, that is disturbing to you?

But that zero price can distort how Medicaid payments are calculated. So the bill addresses that distortion to make it easier for Medicaid enrollees and privately-insured patients to access the new treatments. It has been argued that this bill is unneeded because rulemaking already has the force of law.

First, while the bill codifies the new CMS authority, it also improves it. The law adjusts the calculation -- this gets a little hairy if you don't want to get into the weeds, but some of the weeds are really important. It adjusts the calculation of average manufacturer price and average sales price to make sure the measures are not incorrectly lowered by value-based agreements.

1722 These changes make sure that state Medicaid plans get a

1723 higher minimum rebate and doctors are actually reimbursed for 1724 providing the drug under Part B.

1725 I have been around here for a long time and have served 1726 on this subcommittee since my second term. Majority, 1727 minority, I have never done anything that was injurious to 1728 anyone enrolled in Medicaid. Protected, expanded, research, 1729 development, you name it, I have been there.

1730 So I think that the work that we have done on this 1731 really captures what we want the future to be. And to make 1732 it available, Medicaid recipients, you all know, are the 1733 poorest of the poor in our country. This is not for the 1734 upper crust of my district in Silicon Valley.

I think that we have taken every step that we could take to make this strong, to make it fair, and to update. I think that it is exciting. I don't find the future menacing. I think something that has been around for 30 years we should at least take a look at and work to bring it up to the 21st century.

1741 So I am proud of our work product. The Biden 1742 Administration continued the Medicaid changes to allow for 1743 more value-based payment arrangements that are included in 1744 this bill. Again, Democrats, the Biden Administration is not

1745 opposed to this. So I don't know why we are -- some here at 1746 the committee.

These value-based payments are voluntary. Did you know that? Did you maybe read it last night to prepare for this? If they are not a good deal, or too burdensome, then commercial payers can insist on traditional discounts in exchange for coverage.

So I think wherever there is each side of the coin, we have accommodated, made accommodations for that, but also very importantly to capture the future, so that these treatments for the poorest of the poor in our country, that they, too, have a chance for life and for a cure. And that is really the guiding principle to this legislation.

1758 So, colleagues, I am proud to be a part of this effort. 1759 I think it is an important one, and I hope that you will 1760 support it.

As the Administrator of CMS said, they are very interested -- CMS -- in helping states pursue outcome-based options for Medicaid.

1764 *The Chair. The gentlelady's time has expired.

1765 *Ms. Eshoo. I yield back.

1766 *The Chair. The gentlelady yields back.

Is there further discussion on the bill? 1767 1768 The gentleman from California, Mr. Peters, is recognized 1769 forfive minutes to strike the last word. 1770 *Mr. Peters. Thank you, Madam Chair. Rapid 1771 advancements in medical science have brought us 1772 groundbreaking treatments like cell and gene therapies, and 1773 these therapies have the potential to cure conditions once 1774 deemed incurable, like cancers and genetic disease. 1775 But what good are these medical breakthroughs if the most vulnerable patients among us cannot access or afford 1776 1777 them? Medicaid beneficiaries should not be deprived of the 1778 lifechanging possibilities that these therapies offer. The MVP Act would allow states to enter value-based 1779 1780 purchasing agreements. You get what you pay for. If you 1781 don't get it, you don't pay for it. And this will help 1782 Medicaid recipients gain access to the cutting edge treatments based on their efficacy rather than the patient's 1783 ability to foot the bill. 1784 1785 By embracing value-based purchasing agreements we can

1786 align the incentives of industry and Medicaid programs, 1787 ensuring that Medicaid patients can access the most effective 1788 treatments at a fair price. So I urge my colleagues to join

1789	me, Chairman Guthrie, and Ranking Member Eshoo in voting to
1790	pass this bill and expand access to lifesaving treatments for
1791	low-income Americans who need them the most.
1792	Thank you, Madam Chair. I yield back.
1793	*The Chair. The gentleman yields back.
1794	Further discussion on the bill?
1795	Are there bipartisan amendments to the bill?
1796	Are there other amendments?
1797	*Mr. Guthrie. Madam Chair, I have an amendment at the
1798	desk.
1799	*The Chair. The gentleman, Mr. Guthrie, has an
1800	amendment at the desk. The clerk will report.
1801	*The Clerk. Amendment in the nature of a substitute to
1802	H.R. 2666 offered by Mr. Guthrie. Strike all after the
1803	enacting clause and insert the following
1804	[The Amendment offered by Mr. Guthrie follows:]
1805	
1806	*********COMMITTEE INSERT********
1807	

1808 *The Chair. Without objection, the reading of the 1809 amendment is dispensed with. 1810 The gentleman is recognized forfive minutes in support 1811 of his amendment. *Mr. Guthrie. Thank you, Madam Chair. I know I 1812 1813 probably got a little vocal on the last -- the last time I spoke, but this is a passion for me. It absolutely is. 1814 1815 I think every single one of us have just company after 1816 company coming forward. And they are not big. They are not typically the ones that are brand-name pharmaceutical 1817 1818 companies. They are little startup and bio startups, a lot 1819 of them in Massachusetts and San Francisco and all of the surrounding areas that are talking about these wonders of 1820 1821 science that they are bringing forward. And I remember the first -- I had a friend growing up 1822 that had sickle cell, and that really struck me, that -- and 1823 as you hear the things that they can do, the first thing you 1824 -- one of the things -- the first thing you think of is how 1825 1826 it is going to change people's lives, but you can't help but

1828 path, how are we going to afford to pay for it?

1827

1829 And when the first discussion of value-based agreements

86

think of, wow, there is all of these miracles coming down the

was brought to me, it was a company that does hemophilia, 1830 1831 that they have people that they feel they can cure certain --1832 you know, genetics are all different and there are certain 1833 people with certain genetic types that they can actually 1834 cure. And I remember getting excited about it, and it turned 1835 out that Markwayne Mullin, our friend who is a Senator working with Kurt Shrader, were already working on this bill, 1836 1837 and I didn't want to wrestle Markwayne to get the lead 1838 sponsorship for it.

But when he went to the Senate, it was an opportunity to do exactly what I was sitting here listening -- how do you -you hear these things coming down the pike, and it is not just how is Medicare going to pay for it? How is Medicaid going to pay for it? How is the private sector going to pay for it?

But, my goodness, we certainly want CAR-T therapies. We saw what happened with some of the research that brought the vaccine on as quickly as it did. And so medical research is important, and we don't get the products that we want if they are -- they just don't produce them for free. And how do we get these?

1851 And so I talked previously about it. This is an

amendment in the nature of a substitute to make technical corrections. I would just say that some thinktanks downtown think this is bad. I think all of us need to look at it ourselves and go on the current information, what the current bill does.

And I know it was supported by the Trump Administration. 1858 That has been brought up -- a Trump era rule. This is 1859 actually a Biden era rule as well, and with improvements that 1860 CMS has made. We had to testify -- the CMS Administrator 1861 here -- is for this.

And so if I would have thought a bill -- if somebody had come to me and said, "We are going to have a bill that is going to set up a way for Medicaid to pay for people to get access to these miracle treatments,'' I would have thought that would have been a 435 to nothing bill. And it is disappointing that it appears it is not going to be.

I am passionate about it, as you can see. I can't wait until the day to see people that had sickle cell walk around and say, "Boy, I have never felt better in my life,'' and that is coming. The technology is actually there. We have just got to make it available to people, and this bill does that. This amendment is technical corrections. I encourage

1874 it is passed. 1875 And if no one wants my time, I will yield back. 1876 *The Chair. The gentleman yields back. 1877 Discussion on the amendment? Do you want to speak on the amendment? 1878 1879 Seeing no further discussion, the vote occurs on the 1880 amendment. All those in favor -- further discussion? I am sorry. 1881 1882 Oh, she has an amendment, too. You have an amendment. Okay. 1883 The gentlelady from California is recognized for her 1884 amendment. 1885 *Ms. Eshoo. Thank you, Madam Chairwoman. *The Chair. Hold on. The clerk will report. 1886 1887 *Ms. Eshoo. I seek recognition because I have an 1888 amendment at the desk. It is numbered 01. 1889 *The Chair. The lady is recognized forfive minutes. The clerk will report -- the clerk will report the 1890 1891 amendment. 1892 *The Clerk. Amendment to the amendment in the nature of a substitute to H.R. 2666 offered by Ms. Eshoo. 1893 1894 [The Amendment offered by Ms. Eshoo follows:] 1895

1896 ********COMMITTEE INSERT********

1898 *The Chair. Okay. The clerk will suspend with the 1899 reading. 1900 The lady from California, Ms. Eshoo --1901 *Ms. Eshoo. Thank you, Madam Chairwoman. 1902 *The Chair. -- is recognized forfive minutes in support 1903 of her amendment. 1904 *Ms. Eshoo. Thank you, Madam Chairwoman. This 1905 amendment -- take a deep breath -- it is not a heavy lift. 1906 This amendment makes technical changes at CMS's request to clarify their rulemaking authority for the calculation of 1907 1908 average manufacturer price and clarifies which discounts from 1909 value-based payments should not be counted in the calculation 1910 of average sale price. And that is it. 1911 *Mr. Guthrie. Madam Chair, would the ranking member 1912 yield to a question? 1913 *Ms. Eshoo. I would be happy to. *Mr. Guthrie. Does this amendment address what has been 1914 1915 discussed earlier? I believe it does -- that people can't 1916 use fraud and abuse in terms of gaming the price, so it 1917 addresses some of the concerns from the 2020 -- I believe that is accurate; is it not? It does address some --1918 1919 *Ms. Eshoo. It allows that, yeah.

1920 *Mr. Guthrie. -- concerns that have been raised. 1921 *Ms. Eshoo. It allows CMS to continue rulemaking. 1922 *Mr. Guthrie. So the adoption of this amendment allows 1923 them to continue, so people can feel free that if they had concerns coming into this meeting they were being addressed 1924 1925 with your amendment. I just wanted to see if that is 1926 clarified. 1927 I yield back to the gentlelady from California. 1928 *Ms. Eshoo. And I yield back. 1929 *Mr. Pallone. Would the gentlewoman yield just --1930 *Ms. Eshoo. I would be glad to. 1931 *Mr. Pallone. I am not commenting on the amendment to the AINS and the AINS itself. But I just wanted to point out 1932 1933 that as my colleagues have said, the current rule allows for 1934 what they are suggesting. But what we are doing -- I hope 1935 everyone understands -- is making that rule permanent. 1936 And the problem with that is that I think the jury is 1937 still out as to whether or not this is a good idea, and that 1938 is why MACPAC and all of these other Medicaid organizations 1939 are concerned, because what we feel -- and I think the Biden Administration feels the same way, and even the CMS 1940 1941 Administrator feels the same way -- is that let's let this

1942	rule play out and see whether or not it does have a damaging
1943	effect on Medicaid and the administration of Medicaid, and
1944	the cost to Medicaid, rather than making it permanent now
1945	when we don't know what the final result will be.
1946	So I just want everyone to understand that and,
1947	again, I don't want to put words
1948	*Ms. Eshoo. Yeah. I want to reclaim my time.
1949	*Mr. Pallone. Sure.
1950	*Ms. Eshoo. It is not unusual to codify a rule in order
1951	to improve upon it and provide certainty around the
1952	authority.
1953	In fact, during the same markup today, right here, we
1954	are codifying two other rules that were originally published
1955	by the Trump Administration, the Hospital Price Transparency
1956	Rule and Insurance Transparency Rule.
1957	So, you know, we keep going around and around on this.
1958	You know, this is uncomfortable on the Democratic side, and I
1959	just want to say the following to my colleagues. Go with the
1960	substance. This is not whether you choose Frank or me. You
1961	are not hurting my feelings. This is serious business here
1962	about Medicaid beneficiaries and what they can benefit from.
1963	And that is why I am on this. That is why I worked on it. I

```
believe in it.
1964
1965
            I yield back --
1966
            *Mr. Guthrie. Will you yield to another question?
            *Ms. Eshoo. -- Madam Chairwoman.
1967
                                              What?
1968
            *Mr. Guthrie. Will you yield to another guestion?
1969
            *Ms. Eshoo. Sure.
            *Mr. Pallone. Well, I am --
1970
           *Ms. Eshoo. Do I have time?
1971
1972
            *Mr. Guthrie. Oh, it is your time. I am sorry. I
      thought it was her time.
1973
1974
            *Ms. Eshoo. It is my time. Isn't it my time?
1975
            *The Chair. Yes. It is Eshoo's time.
            *Mr. Guthrie. Okay. I just wanted -- so in the rule it
1976
1977
      doesn't deal with average sale price, and CMS worked with us
1978
      to put into this bill to deal with the way this affects
1979
      average sell price, so it can't be gamed, so people could pay
      more for Medicare even in the commercial sectors, is my
1980
      understanding. And that is not in the rule, and CMS has
1981
1982
      issued some quidance on it, but my understanding is they
1983
      worked with this committee to make sure we had the authority
      -- that they had the authority to make sure it is not gamed.
1984
1985
            So we are codifying the rule, but we are also adding a
```

1986	correction that CMS has asked for in this.
1987	*Ms. Eshoo. That is what my amendment did.
1988	And with that, I yield back.
1989	*The Chair. The gentlelady yields back.
1990	Further discussion on the amendment?
1991	*Mr. Sarbanes. Madam Chair?
1992	*The Chair. The gentleman is recognized
1993	*Mr. Sarbanes. Move to strike the last word.
1994	*The Chair forfive minutes to strike the word.
1995	*Mr. Sarbanes. I yield to Representative Pallone.
1996	*Mr. Pallone. Thank you. I just want to again, I
1997	mean, I don't know, maybe we have just belabored this so long
1998	that there is no point of kind of keep saying the same thing.
1999	But I just want everyone to understand that the Biden
2000	Administration, CMS, are not saying that they don't like this
2001	current rule. They are simply saying let's see how it plays
2002	out, right?
2003	The idea that somehow, you know, we are going to the
2004	new therapies aren't going to be covered, I mean, the law

2005 already requires Medicaid to cover essentially every FDA-2006 approved drug. So if an innovative new therapy comes to

2007 market, then Medicaid will likely cover it and can even enter

into a value-based payment arrangement to cover it, even without this legislation or the Trump regulation to codify. I mean, I think the reason why you are getting these various Medicaid organizations and leaders and MACPAC to express the concern is because they would like to see how this plays out rather than us codifying it now.

2014 And I understand there is some change here that the 2015 ranking member of the Health Subcommittee has pointed out 2016 will be added to the existing rule. I am not arguing with 2017 that. But I think the problem is that once this becomes 2018 permanent, then as we find -- and we could likely find --2019 based on these concerns by the Medicaid organizations that this was not a good thing, and this is costing them money, 2020 2021 and this is not helping them with their bottom line, that 2022 then it is now law and it can't be changed by regulation. Again, as Ms. Eshoo said, I don't -- you know, I don't 2023 want to act as if this is, you know, such a big thing, but I 2024 2025 do think that it makes more sense to let this play out with 2026 the concerns that have been expressed by a lot of these 2027 Medicaid organizations rather than just making a permanent --*Ms. Eshoo. Would the gentleman yield? 2028 2029 *Mr. Pallone. -- at this time. Sure.

2030 *Ms. Eshoo. Well, why is the Administration for it, 2031 then? 2032 *Mr. Pallone. Well, the Administration --2033 *Ms. Eshoo. They are not opposed to it. They are for 2034 it. 2035 *Mr. Pallone. -- Administration hasn't --*Ms. Eshoo. The CMS Administrator --2036 2037 *Mr. Pallone. Right. But neither -- look, again, I 2038 don't know how much you want to play this out -- I reclaim my 2039 time -- but neither the CMS Administrator -- I mean, I was 2040 here -- nor the Administration has said that they want this 2041 made permanent. They have only said that they like the rule 2042 the way it is, and they want to see it play out. They have 2043 never said that they want to -- they never said that they 2044 wanted us to codify it as a manner of statute. And, you know, we have asked them that, and they haven't said that 2045 that is what they want to do. 2046 2047 But, again, I don't want to keep playing out this thing. 2048 I mean, I know everybody is well intentioned here. But I 2049 just wanted to, you know, clarify a little more maybe, you know, what the differences are between us here. 2050 2051 And with that, I would yield back to the gentleman from

2052	Maryland.
2053	*Mr. Sarbanes. And I yield back. Thank you.
2054	*The Chair. The gentleman yields back.
2055	Is there further discussion on the amendment?
2056	Seeing none, the vote occurs on the Eshoo amendment.
2057	All those in favor, say aye.
2058	All those opposed, nay.
2059	The ayes have it. The amendment is agreed to.
2060	Are there further amendments?
2061	Seeing none, the vote occurs on H.R. 2666, as amended
2062	no, on the AINS, on the amendment in the nature of a
2063	substitute.
2064	All those in favor, say aye.
2065	Those opposed, nay.
2066	The ayes have it. The AINS is adopted.
2067	Now the vote occurs on approving H.R. 2666, as amended.
2068	A roll call has been requested. The clerk will call the
2069	roll.
2070	*The Clerk. Mr. Burgess?
2071	*Mr. Burgess. Burgess votes aye.
2072	*The Clerk. Mr. Burgess votes aye.
2073	Mr. Latta?

2074	[No response.]
2075	*The Clerk. Mr. Guthrie?
2076	*Mr. Guthrie. Aye.
2077	*The Clerk. Mr. Guthrie votes aye.
2078	Mr. Griffith?
2079	*Mr. Griffith. Aye.
2080	*The Clerk. Mr. Griffith votes aye.
2081	Mr. Bilirakis?
2082	*Mr. Bilirakis. Aye.
2083	*The Clerk. Mr. Bilirakis votes aye.
2084	Mr. Johnson?
2085	*Mr. Johnson. Aye.
2086	*The Clerk. Mr. Johnson votes aye.
2087	Mr. Bucshon?
2088	*Mr. Bucshon. Aye.
2089	*The Clerk. Mr. Bucshon votes aye.
2090	Mr. Hudson?
2091	*Mr. Hudson. Aye.
2092	*The Clerk. Mr. Hudson votes aye.
2093	Mr. Walberg?
2094	*Mr. Walberg. Aye.
2095	*The Clerk. Mr. Walberg votes aye.

2096	Mr. Carter?
2097	*Mr. Carter. Aye.
2098	*The Clerk. Mr. Carter votes aye.
2099	Mr. Duncan?
2100	*Mr. Duncan. Aye.
2101	*The Clerk. Mr. Duncan votes aye.
2102	Mr. Palmer?
2103	[No response.]
2104	*The Clerk. Mr. Dunn?
2105	*Mr. Dunn. Aye.
2106	*The Clerk. Mr. Dunn votes aye.
2107	Mr. Curtis?
2108	*Mr. Curtis. Aye.
2109	*The Clerk. Mr. Curtis votes aye.
2110	Mrs. Lesko?
2111	*Mrs. Lesko. Aye.
2112	*The Clerk. Mrs. Lesko votes aye.
2113	Mr. Pence?
2114	*Mr. Pence. Aye.
2115	*The Clerk. Mr. Pence votes aye.
2116	Mr. Crenshaw?
2117	*Mr. Crenshaw. Aye.

2118	*The Clerk. Mr. Crenshaw votes aye.
2119	Mr. Joyce?
2120	*Mr. Joyce. Aye.
2121	*The Clerk. Mr. Joyce votes aye.
2122	Mr. Armstrong?
2123	*Mr. Armstrong. Yes.
2124	*The Clerk. Mr. Armstrong votes aye.
2125	Mr. Weber?
2126	*Mr. Weber. Aye.
2127	*The Clerk. Mr. Weber votes aye.
2128	Mr. Allen?
2129	*Mr. Allen. Aye.
2130	*The Clerk. Mr. Allen votes aye.
2131	Mr. Balderson?
2132	*Mr. Balderson. Aye.
2133	*The Clerk. Mr. Balderson votes aye.
2134	Mr. Fulcher?
2135	*Mr. Fulcher. Aye.
2136	*The Clerk. Mr. Fulcher votes aye.
2137	Mr. Pfluger?
2138	*Mr. Pfluger. Aye.
2139	*The Clerk. Mr. Pfluger votes aye.

2140	Mrs. Harshbarger?
2141	*Mrs. Harshbarger. Aye.
2142	*The Clerk. Mrs. Harshbarger votes aye.
2143	Mrs. Miller-Meeks?
2144	*Mrs. Miller-Meeks. Aye.
2145	*The Clerk. Mrs. Miller-Meeks votes aye.
2146	Mrs. Cammack?
2147	*Mrs. Cammack. Aye.
2148	*The Clerk. Mrs. Cammack votes aye.
2149	Mr. Obernolte?
2150	[No response.]
2151	*The Clerk. Mr. Pallone?
2152	*Mr. Pallone. No.
2153	*The Clerk. Mr. Pallone votes no.
2154	Ms. Eshoo?
2155	*Ms. Eshoo. Aye.
2156	*The Clerk. Ms. Eshoo votes aye.
2157	Ms. DeGette?
2158	[No response.]
2159	*The Clerk. Ms. Schakowsky? Ms. Schakowsky?
2160	*Ms. Schakowsky. No.
2161	*The Clerk. Ms. Schakowsky votes no.

2162	Ms. Matsui?
2163	*Ms. Matsui. No.
2164	*The Clerk. Ms. Matsui votes no.
2165	Ms. Castor?
2166	*Ms. Castor. No.
2167	*The Clerk. Ms. Castor votes no.
2168	Mr. Sarbanes?
2169	*Mr. Sarbanes. No.
2170	*The Clerk. Mr. Sarbanes votes no.
2171	Mr. Tonko?
2172	*Mr. Tonko. No.
2173	*The Clerk. Mr. Tonko votes no.
2174	Ms. Clarke?
2175	*Ms. Clarke. No.
2176	*The Clerk. Ms. Clarke votes no.
2177	Mr. Cardenas?
2178	*Mr. Cardenas. Yes.
2179	*The Clerk. Mr. Cardenas votes aye.
2180	Mr. Ruiz?
2181	*Mr. Ruiz. No.
2182	*The Clerk. Mr. Ruiz votes no.
2183	Mr. Peters?

2184	*Mr. Peters. Aye.
2185	*The Clerk. Mr. Peters votes aye.
2186	Mrs. Dingell?
2187	*Mrs. Dingell. No.
2188	*The Clerk. Mrs. Dingell votes no.
2189	Mr. Veasey?
2190	*Mr. Veasey. No.
2191	*The Clerk. Mr. Veasey votes no.
2192	Ms. Kuster?
2193	*Ms. Kuster. No.
2194	*The Clerk. Ms. Kuster votes no.
2195	Ms. Kelly?
2196	*Ms. Kelly. No.
2197	*The Clerk. Ms. Kelly votes no.
2198	Ms. Barragan?
2199	*Ms. Barragan. No.
2200	*The Clerk. Ms. Barragan votes no.
2201	Ms. Blunt Rochester?
2202	*Ms. Blunt Rochester. No.
2203	*The Clerk. Ms. Blunt Rochester votes no.
2204	Mr. Soto?
2205	*Mr. Soto. No.

2206	*The Clerk. Mr. Soto votes no.
2207	Ms. Craig?
2208	*Ms. Craig. No.
2209	*The Clerk. Ms. Craig votes no.
2210	Ms. Schrier?
2211	*Ms. Schrier. No.
2212	*The Clerk. Ms. Schrier votes no.
2213	Mrs. Trahan?
2214	*Mrs. Trahan. No.
2215	*The Clerk. Mrs. Trahan votes no.
2216	Mrs. Fletcher?
2217	*Mrs. Fletcher. No.
2218	*The Clerk. Mrs. Fletcher votes no.
2219	Chair Rodgers?
2220	*The Chair. Aye.
2221	*The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes aye.
2222	*The Chair. Mr. Clerk, how is Mr. Latta recorded?
2223	*The Clerk. Mr. Latta is not recorded.
2224	*Mr. Latta. Latta votes aye.
2225	*The Clerk. Mr. Latta votes aye.
2226	*The Chair. Mr. Palmer?
2227	*The Clerk. Mr. Palmer is not recorded.

2228	*Mr. Palmer. Palmer votes aye.
2229	*The Clerk. Mr. Palmer votes aye.
2230	*The Chair. Any other members seeking to be recorded?
2231	The clerk will report.
2232	*The Clerk. Chair Rodgers, on that vote there were 31
2233	ayes and 19 noes.
2234	*The Chair. The ayes have it. The bill is adopted.
2235	The chair calls up H.R. 3284 and asks the clerk to
2236	report.
2237	*The Clerk. H.R. 3284, a bill to require the Secretary
2238	of Health and Human Services to submit an annual report on
2239	the impact of certain Medicare
2240	[H.R. 3284 follows:]
2241	
2242	*********COMMITTEE INSERT********
2243	

2244	*The Chair. Without objection, the first reading of
2245	the bill is dispensed with, and the bill will be open for
2246	amendment at any point. So ordered.
2247	Does anyone seek to be recognized on the bill? The
2248	gentleman from Texas, Mr. Burgess, is recognized forfive
2249	minutes to strike the last word.
2250	*Mr. Burgess. I actually have an amendment.
2251	*The Chair. Oh. Okay. Does anyone okay. Does
2252	anyone want to strike the last word before we move to
2253	amendments?
2254	Okay. The gentleman from Texas, Dr. Burgess' amendment.
2255	The clerk will report.
2256	*The Clerk. Amendment in the nature of a substitute to
2257	H.R. 3284 offered by Mr. Burgess.
2258	[The Amendment offered by Mr. Burgess follows:]
2259	
2260	********COMMITTEE INSERT********
2261	

22.62 *The Chair. Without objection, the reading of the 2263 amendment is dispensed with. Dr. Burgess is recognized 2264 forfive minutes in support of his amendment. 2265 *Mr. Burgess. I thank the chair. This is truly an 2266 amendment in the nature of a substitute for some -- to 2267 incorporate some technical assistance we have received from 2268 CMS following the subcommittee markup. 2269 The basic bill, the COMPETE Act, is -- will require the 2270 Secretary to consider within the annual rulemaking process 2271 the effective regulatory changes to certain Medicare payment 2272 systems on provider and payer consolidation. So this came 2273 out of our hearing on consolidation, and this bill does take a step in the direction to examine and eliminate 2274 2275 anti-competitive practices in our health care system. 2276 And I will yield back. 2277 *The Chair. The gentleman yields back. 2278 Further discussion? The lady from Michigan, 2279 Mrs. Dingell, is recognized forfive minutes on the amendment. 2280 *Mrs. Dingell. Madam Chairwoman, I move to strike the 2281 last word and speak in support of the AINS. *The Chair. The lady is recognized forfive minutes in 2282 2283 support of the AINS. 108

*Mrs. Dingell. Thank you, Madam Chairman and Ranking
Member Eshoo, for including the Providers and Payers COMPETE
Act to today's full committee markup.

As health care costs continue to rise, this legislation comes at a critical moment. A wide body of research has indicated provider consolidation leads to higher health care costs for both horizontal and vertical consolidation. Patients should not be negatively affected by these anti-competitive practices that drive prices up and undermine the quality of care.

That is why I am proud to join Rep. Burgess in introducing the Providers and Payers COMPETE Act, and I thank him for his leadership and partnership in addressing this very important issue.

This legislation will ensure HHS, as part of the annual rulemaking process, considers the implications that its proposals may have on provider and payer consolidation. As part of the legislation, HHS would be required to seek a public comment period to better understand the impact for certain proposed rules on consolidation and submit an annual report to Congress.

2305 Taken together, this bill will help HHS better

2306	understand the impact certain Medicare regulations may have
2307	on further consolidating the health care system and hopefully
2308	help us better shield patients from exorbitant prices.
2309	Thank you, Madam Chairwoman, for including this bill as
2310	part of today's markup, and I urge all of my colleagues to
2311	support it.
2312	And I yield back.
2313	*The Chair. The gentlelady yields back.
2314	Further discussion?
2315	Seeing none, the vote occurs on the AINS.
2316	All those in favor, signify by saying aye.
2317	Those opposed, nay.
2318	The ayes have it. The amendment is agreed to.
2319	The question now occurs on approving H.R. 3284, as
2320	amended. A roll call has been requested. The clerk will
2321	call the roll.
2322	*The Clerk. Mr. Burgess?
2323	*Mr. Burgess. Burgess votes aye.
2324	*The Clerk. Mr. Burgess votes aye.
2325	Mr. Latta?
2326	*Mr. Latta. Aye.
2327	*The Clerk. Mr. Latta votes aye.

2328	Mr. Guthrie?
2329	[No response.]
2330	*The Clerk. Mr. Griffith?
2331	[No response.]
2332	*The Clerk. Mr. Bilirakis?
2333	*Mr. Bilirakis. Aye.
2334	*The Clerk. Mr. Bilirakis votes aye.
2335	Mr. Johnson?
2336	*Mr. Johnson. Aye.
2337	*The Clerk. Mr. Johnson votes aye.
2338	Mr. Bucshon?
2339	*Mr. Bucshon. Aye.
2340	*The Clerk. Mr. Bucshon votes aye.
2341	Mr. Hudson?
2342	*Mr. Hudson. Aye.
2343	*The Clerk. Mr. Hudson votes aye.
2344	Mr. Walberg?
2345	*Mr. Walberg. Aye.
2346	*The Clerk. Mr. Walberg votes aye.
2347	Mr. Carter?
2348	[No response.]
2349	*The Clerk. Mr. Duncan?

2350	*Mr. Duncan. Aye.
2351	*The Clerk. Mr. Duncan votes aye.
2352	Mr. Palmer?
2353	*Mr. Palmer. Aye.
2354	*The Clerk. Mr. Palmer votes aye.
2355	Mr. Dunn?
2356	*Mr. Dunn. Aye.
2357	*The Clerk. Mr. Dunn votes aye.
2358	Mr. Curtis?
2359	[No response.]
2360	*The Clerk. Mrs. Lesko?
2361	*Mrs. Lesko. Aye.
2362	*The Clerk. Mrs. Lesko votes aye.
2363	Mr. Pence?
2364	*Mr. Pence. Aye.
2365	*The Clerk. Mr. Pence votes aye.
2366	Mr. Crenshaw?
2367	[No response.]
2368	*The Clerk. Mr. Joyce?
2369	*Mr. Joyce. Aye.
2370	*The Clerk. Mr. Joyce votes aye.
2371	Mr. Armstrong?

2372	*Mr. Armstrong. Yes.
2373	*The Clerk. Mr. Armstrong votes aye.
2374	Mr. Weber?
2375	[No response.]
2376	*The Clerk. Mr. Allen?
2377	*Mr. Allen. Aye.
2378	*The Clerk. Mr. Allen votes aye.
2379	Mr. Balderson?
2380	*Mr. Balderson. Aye.
2381	*The Clerk. Mr. Balderson votes aye.
2382	Mr. Fulcher?
2383	*Mr. Fulcher. Aye.
2384	*The Clerk. Mr. Fulcher votes aye.
2385	Mr. Pfluger?
2386	*Mr. Pfluger. Aye.
2387	*The Clerk. Mr. Pfluger votes aye.
2388	Mrs. Harshbarger?
2389	*Mrs. Harshbarger. Aye.
2390	*The Clerk. Mrs. Harshbarger votes aye.
2391	Mrs. Miller-Meeks?
2392	*Mrs. Miller-Meeks. Aye.
2393	*The Clerk. Mrs. Miller-Meeks votes aye.
	113

2394	Mrs. Cammack?
2395	*Mrs. Cammack. Aye.
2396	*The Clerk. Mrs. Cammack votes aye.
2397	Mr. Obernolte?
2398	[No response.]
2399	*The Clerk. Mr. Pallone?
2400	*Mr. Pallone. Aye.
2401	*The Clerk. Mr. Pallone votes aye.
2402	Ms. Eshoo?
2403	*Ms. Eshoo. Aye.
2404	*The Clerk. Ms. Eshoo votes aye.
2405	Ms. DeGette?
2406	[No response.]
2407	*The Clerk. Ms. Schakowsky?
2408	*Ms. Schakowsky. Aye.
2409	*The Clerk. Ms. Schakowsky votes aye.
2410	Ms. Matsui?
2411	*Ms. Matsui. Aye.
2412	*The Clerk. Ms. Matsui votes aye.
2413	Ms. Castor?
2414	[No response.]
2415	*The Clerk. Mr. Sarbanes?

2416	*Mr. Sarbanes. Aye.
2417	*The Clerk. Mr. Sarbanes votes aye.
2418	Mr. Tonko?
2419	*Mr. Tonko. Aye.
2420	*The Clerk. Mr. Tonko votes aye.
2421	Ms. Clarke?
2422	*Ms. Clarke. Aye.
2423	*The Clerk. Ms. Clarke votes aye.
2424	Mr. Cardenas?
2425	*Mr. Cardenas. Aye.
2426	*The Clerk. Mr. Cardenas votes aye.
2427	Mr. Ruiz?
2428	*Mr. Ruiz. Aye.
2429	*The Clerk. Mr. Ruiz votes aye.
2430	Mr. Peters?
2431	*Mr. Peters. Aye.
2432	*The Clerk. Mr. Peters votes aye.
2433	Mrs. Dingell?
2434	*Mrs. Dingell. Aye.
2435	*The Clerk. Mrs. Dingell votes aye.
2436	Mr. Veasey?
2437	*Mr. Veasey. Aye.

2438	*The Clerk. Mr. Veasey votes aye.
2439	Ms. Kuster?
2440	*Ms. Kuster. Aye.
2441	*The Clerk. Ms. Kuster votes aye.
2442	Ms. Kelly?
2443	*Ms. Kelly. Aye.
2444	*The Clerk. Ms. Kelly votes aye.
2445	Ms. Barragan?
2446	*Ms. Barragan. Aye.
2447	*The Clerk. Ms. Barragan votes aye.
2448	Ms. Blunt Rochester?
2449	*Ms. Blunt Rochester. Aye.
2450	*The Clerk. Ms. Blunt Rochester votes aye.
2451	Mr. Soto?
2452	*Mr. Soto. Aye.
2453	*The Clerk. Mr. Soto votes aye.
2454	Ms. Craig?
2455	*Ms. Craig. Aye.
2456	*The Clerk. Ms. Craig votes aye.
2457	Ms. Schrier?
2458	*Ms. Schrier. Aye.
2459	*The Clerk. Ms. Schrier votes aye.
	116

2460	Mrs. Trahan?
2461	*Mrs. Trahan. Aye.
2462	*The Clerk. Mrs. Trahan votes aye.
2463	Mrs. Fletcher?
2464	*Mrs. Fletcher. Aye.
2465	*The Clerk. Mrs. Fletcher votes aye.
2466	*Mr. Weber. How is Weber's vote recorded?
2467	*The Clerk. Mr. Weber is not recorded.
2468	*Mr. Weber. Weber votes aye.
2469	*The Clerk. Mr. Weber votes aye.
2470	*The Chair. The Chair?
2471	*The Clerk. Chair Rodgers, you are not recorded.
2472	*The Chair. Chair votes aye.
2473	*The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes aye.
2474	*The Chair. How is DeGette recorded?
2475	*The Clerk. Ms. DeGette is not recorded.
2476	*Ms. DeGette. DeGette votes aye.
2477	*The Clerk. Ms. DeGette votes aye.
2478	*The Chair. How is Castor recorded? Ms. Castor?
2479	*The Clerk. Ms. Castor is not recorded.
2480	*Ms. Castor. Castor votes aye.
2481	*The Clerk. Ms. Castor votes aye.

2482	*The Chair. Mr. Clerk, how is Mr. Griffith recorded?
2483	*The Clerk. Mr. Griffith is not recorded.
2484	*Mr. Griffith. Griffith votes aye.
2485	*The Clerk. Mr. Griffith votes aye.
2486	*The Chair. Mr. Carter?
2487	*The Clerk. Mr. Carter is not recorded.
2488	*Mr. Carter. Carter votes aye.
2489	*The Clerk. Mr. Carter votes aye.
2490	*The Chair. Any other members seeing to be recorded?
2491	Oh. How is Mr. Guthrie recorded?
2492	*The Clerk. Mr. Guthrie is not recorded.
2493	*Mr. Guthrie. Aye.
2494	*The Clerk. Mr. Guthrie votes aye.
2495	*The Chair. The clerk will Mr. Clerk, would you call
2496	the members who are not recorded, please?
2497	*The Clerk. Yes, ma'am.
2498	*The Chair. Please.
2499	*The Clerk. Mr. Crenshaw is not recorded.
2500	Mr. Obernolte is not recorded. Mr. Curtis is not recorded.
2501	*The Chair. Mr. Curtis?
2502	The clerk will report.
2503	*The Clerk. Chair Rodgers, on that vote there were 49
	118

```
2504
      ayes and 0 noes.
2505
          *The Chair. The ayes have it. The bill is adopted.
          The chair calls up H.R. 3290 and asks the clerk to
2506
2507
      report.
2508
          *The Clerk. H.R. 3290, a bill to amend title III of the
2509
      Public Health Service Act to ensure transparency and
2510
      oversight of the 340B drug --
2511
2512
          [H.R. 3290 follows:]
2513
      2514
2515
```

2516	*The Chair. Without objection, the first reading of
2517	the bill is dispensed with, and the bill will be open for
2518	amendment at any point. So ordered.
2519	*Mr. Bucshon. Madam Chair?
2520	*The Chair. For what purpose does the gentleman seek
2521	recognition?
2522	*Mr. Bucshon. Madam Chair, I have an amendment at the
2523	desk.
2524	*The Chair. The gentleman is recognized for the purpose
2525	the clerk will report the amendment.
2526	*The Clerk. Amendment in the nature of a substitute to
2527	H.R. 3290 offered by Mr. Bucshon of Indiana, strike all after
2528	the
2529	[The Amendment offered by Mr. Bucshon follows:]
2530	
2531	********COMMITTEE INSERT********
2532	

2533 *The Chair. Without objection, the first reading or 2534 the reading of the amendment is dispensed with. 2535 The gentleman from Indiana, Mr. Bucshon, is recognized 2536 forfive minutes in support of the amendment. 2537 *Mr. Bucshon. Thank you, Madam Chair. 2538 This amendment in the nature of a substitute incorporates technical assistance from HRSA and feedback we 2539 2540 have received from multiple stakeholders since the 2541 subcommittee markup last week. 2542 Transparency. So what is it? Transparency in a 2543 business or governance context refers to being open and 2544 honest. This requires disclosure of all relevant information 2545 so that others can make informed decisions. The implication 2546 of transparency is that all of an organization's actions 2547 should be scrupulous enough to bear public scrutiny. 2548 The 340B drug pricing program is an important tool that helps hospitals and other covered entities meet the health 2549 2550 care needs of low income and uninsured patients. 2551 340B-covered entities are able to purchase drugs for patients at their facilities at substantial discounts. 2552 However, if you ask me to tell you what this program 2553 2554 actually looks like at a facility level and what covered 121

2555 entities do with their savings, I truly couldn't tell you at 2556 this point.

And so I want to ask what are the detractors of this legislation that we are proposing so afraid of? And that should be a concern to everyone that we don't know.

I want to be clear, I am a strong supporter of the 340B program. I know firsthand from talking to hospitals in my district how important this program is. For example, a rural hospital in my district has told me they couldn't provide many of the services they provide without the 340B program.

2565 But the only way for us as lawmakers to understand what 2566 this program looks like for our constituents is to each -- is to go to each hospital and ask them for their data. 2567 That is 2568 not my opinion. That is the assessment of the GAO in 2569 multiple reports. It is also the assessment of HHS Secretary 2570 Becerra, who told this committee in March that the program, 2571 and I quote, "doesn't have the transparency we need," and of the Biden Administration whose budget for this year called 2572 2573 for greater transparency and auditing of the program.

This program has operated for decades without enough transparency, all the while growing at exponential rates in an unchecked fashion. For example, from 2000 to 2020, the

2577 number of covered entities has grown from 8,100 to nearly 2578 50,000, with estimated discount purchases through this 2579 program going from roughly 4 billion in 2007 through 2009 to 2580 43.9 billion in 2021.

According to HRSA, this level of 340B sales represents approximately 7.2 percent of the overall U.S. drug market in 2583 2021. Not insignificant.

2584 And, unfortunately, we know that the 340B program can be 2585 a lucrative business for some participants and further drives 2586 us towards consolidation in the health care system. Take for 2587 example a hospital system who The New York Times profiled in 2588 September of law year. According to The New York Times, the hospital system has potentially exploited the program by 2589 2590 acquiring providers across a massive footprint to maximize 2591 savings from the program to increase profits while decreasing 2592 services in their inner city facility.

However, I don't know if that is true or not because of the lack of transparency. Transparency will not only give all of us the confidence that the program is being properly utilized but will help institutions defend themselves against such charges if they are doing the right thing.

I hear all the time from providers, by the way, across

the country who are repeatedly barraged by hospital systems trying to acquire them to realize the 340B savings. If we care about competition and consolidation in the health care sector, we deserve to know how much in savings is generated by this program at each facility and at each facility that a hospital system may acquire.

And to those who may argue that these requirements are burdensome, I would ask you to look at some of the grantees of this program who do have some form of transparency requirements to account for how they use the 340B program and have specific requirements on how to reinvest those savings to those patients. It can be done.

However, according to HRSA, more than 75 percent of 340B-covered entity purchases were made by disproportionate or DSH hospitals in fiscal year 2021.

The 340B Transparency Act is very simple. It would only require DSH hospitals to report to HRSA on the following: their patient mix, their total charity care, their total payer shortfall, and their total savings in the 340B across a year. This is basic transparency that will provide information about the patients who are being served by the 340B program.

2621 Requiring greater transparency of how covered entities 2622 use 340B dollars is an important step, and I am glad this 2623 legislation will give us a better handle on how these dollars 2624 are being used. 2625 Full transparency into all 340B participants will ensure 2626 Congress and HHS have a true sense of how billions of dollars 2627 of discounts are being spent across the program and how it is 2628 going to benefit patients as was the original intent of the 2629 law in the first place. 2630 I urge my colleagues to support this legislation, and I 2631 yield back the balance of my time. 2632 *The Chair. The gentleman yields back. 2633 The chair recognizes the ranking member forfive minutes 2634 on the amendment. 2635 *Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Madam Chair. 2636 I want to speak in opposition to H.R. 3290. As we discussed at the subcommittee markup last week, we all favor 2637 2638 a transparent 340B program. I have been a longtime supporter 2639 of increased program integrity to ensure that covered entities are able to stretch scarce federal resources to 2640 2641 reach more eligible patients and provide more comprehensive 2642 services.

However, the additional reporting envisioned in this bill will not necessarily lead to a clearer picture of how the program is working, and I remain concerned that this legislation would add additional burdens to covered entities while potentially obscuring how the program works and misconstruing the value of the program to patients.

This legislation would require hospitals that participate in 340B to report on the savings they receive from the program and compare that with their costs for charity care or costs incurred for caring for Medicaid and Medicare patients and the uninsured.

2654 However, reports would not include opportunities for covered entities to explain other ways they use savings to 2655 2656 expand care. Covered entities have voluntarily shared that 2657 they use 340B savings to provide low-cost drugs to patients, improve medication management, and help patients with complex 2658 2659 care needs get connected with specialists and social services. Some have also improved access to opioid treatment 2660 2661 and behavioral health.

These activities all provide value and benefits for patients but would not necessarily be captured in the reporting metrics listed in the bill. By limiting the view

of the program to just a narrow band of metrics, the reports 2665 2666 produced by this legislation could imply that the program is 2667 not providing the benefits, setting covered entities up for 2668 cuts in the future that could hurt patients. 2669 Republican supporters of this legislation raised 2670 concerns last week about the actions of some 340B hospitals. 2671 Like others, I was shocked when I saw reports last year of a 2672 hospital in Richmond that appeared to be moving resources to 2673 higher income communities rather than the areas that needed 2674 them most. However, the requirements of this bill would have 2675 done nothing to detect these activities or put a stop to 2676 them.

2677 HRSA already has requirements in place for covered 2678 entities, including annual recertification and audits of 2679 covered entities for compliance with the law.

2680 This bill would expand HRSA's responsibility to further 2681 audit the use of covered entity savings. Unfortunately, it 2682 includes no additional resources for the already understaffed 2683 agency to conduct these audits. With only 23 staff 2684 overseeing the 340B program, additional program integrity 2685 measures will require not only more responsibilities but more 2686 statutory authority and resources.

2687 And I have long supported program integrity measures of 2688 340B, including ensuring the drug manufacturers that 2689 participate in the Medicaid program provide 340B discounts as 2690 required by law. But over the last several years, drug 2691 companies have repeatedly refused to fill orders from 2692 340B-covered entities that use contract pharmacies, including 2693 community health centers, which rarely have pharmacies of 2694 their own.

Both the Trump and Biden -- I am sorry. Both the Trump and Biden Administrations have claimed that the drug companies are in violation of the 340B statute, and I agree. However, courts have found deficiencies in the agency's authority to enforce the law.

2700 At our subcommittee markup last week, it was suggested 2701 that we should ignore the contract pharmacy issue and our 2702 role in potentially addressing it and let the judicial branch sort it out. That deflection of our responsibility further 2703 underscores that this legislation is not a serious bipartisan 2704 2705 effort to strengthen the 340B program, which necessarily 2706 would require us to address the issue of contract pharmacies. Now, if we are going to improve the program integrity in 2707 340B, we know it will take resources and authority for HRSA 2708

2709	to do more. Unfortunately, this legislation fails to address
2710	any of those concerns, and instead may be used to draw an
2711	inaccurate narrative about the value of the program. And for
2712	those reasons, I will be voting no on this bill, and I
2713	encourage my colleagues to do the same.
2714	And with that, Madam Chair, I will yield back.
2715	*The Chair. The gentleman yields back.
2716	Further discussion on the AINS?
2717	The gentleman from Georgia, Mr. Carter, is recognized
2718	forfive minutes on the amendment.
2719	*Mr. Carter. Thank you, Madam Chair. Madam Chair, I
2720	move to strike the last word.
2721	*The Chair. The gentleman is recognized forfive minutes
2722	to strike the last word.
2723	*Mr. Carter. Madam Chair, as a pharmacist who has seen
2724	firsthand the challenges patients face at the pharmacy
2725	counter, I am a strong supporter of the 340B program to help
2726	vulnerable patients get access to medicines and health care.
2727	I know there are many others on this committee, on both sides
2728	of the aisle, who feel the same way.
2729	But I find the stories in the recent New York Times,
2730	Wall Street Journal, extremely disappointing. Providers who

get enormous 340B discounts on top of massive tax breaks, 2731 2732 using 340B to only then reinvest their money in affluent communities, not those that need it most. And that is what 2733 2734 the 340B program was set up to help: those who need it most. 2735 As I said last week, transparency will help identify which providers are actually doing good by the program as 2736 2737 much as it will help show which ones simply are not. And 2738 there are those, as has been reported, that are not. I wish 2739 we had voted on this transparency bill years ago.

2740 And our work does not end with transparency. This is 2741 not the last time you are going to hear about 340B. We know 2742 there are known issues around lack of hospital charity care, 2743 PBM pickpocketing, and general program integrity gaps that 2744 are ripe for us to work together to fix. I am particularly 2745 appalled that PBMs are pickpocketing Community Health 2746 Centers' 340B savings, and they have found multiple ways of making money through the 340B program. Without our 2747 2748 intervention, they will continue to make things worse for 2749 providers and patients. We must not stand for this. 2750 I want to work with Dr. Bucshon and other colleagues in a bipartisan way to address additional 340B issues. If we 2751

2752 care about the 340B program -- and we do -- and if we care

2753	about vulnerable patients and we do we simply cannot
2754	stand idly by as the program festers into more abuse, chaos,
2755	and controversy.
2756	Thank you, Madam Chair, and I yield back.
2757	*The Chair. The gentleman yields back. Is there
2758	further discussion?
2759	*Mr. Bucshon. Will the gentleman yield? Will the
2760	gentleman yield quickly to Bucshon?
2761	*Mr. Carter. I yield. I yield.
2762	*Mr. Bucshon. Thank you. Look, there is a lot of
2763	issues in the program. The contract pharmacy situation is a
2764	is something we need to address. But the proposal from my
2765	Democrat colleagues is an attempt to totally undermine this
2766	transparency legislation. And, you know, I get that, and I
2767	know where it is coming from.
2768	The bill today is just about transparency in the
2769	program. If we want to talk more reforms, that is a separate
2770	conversation, but we need data. Contract pharmacies are a
2771	major issue.
2772	We talk a lot about the hospital enrichment, siphoning
2773	potentially siphoning 340B funds from a poor neighborhood.
2774	But truthfully, we hear stories about this across the

2775 country, and more and more of them are going to come out. And ask any independent provider in your community, and they 2776 2777 will tell you that they are at risk of being bought by a 2778 hospital so that the hospital can take advantage of the 340B 2779 program. That is not my opinion. That is the facts. And so, you know, this is legislation that just asks 2780 2781 honestly for a bare minimum. I guess I should have consulted 2782 with the ranking member, because he said it is not enough 2783 transparency, and maybe I should have added a number of other 2784 data points to it, which I certainly could, but we were 2785 trying to make it less onerous so that we could get some 2786 fundamental information. So that is also a red herring meant 2787 to kill the legislation, overall. And I think that is 2788 unfortunate, because I think all of us in the health care 2789 system -- I was a provider -- just want our patients, our 2790 constituents, to know the information. 2791 And as I said in my opening remarks about the ANS, you

2792 know who is against this? I mean, why are we -- who is 2793 against transparency? I mean, that tells me that there may 2794 be -- when we open the hood, there is more there. And so it 2795 is it is disappointing.

2796 But I still urge my colleagues to support this

2797 legislation. I yield back to the gentleman from Georgia.

2798 *Mr. Carter. Anyone else?

2799 Madam Chair, I yield.

2800 *The Chair. The gentleman yields back his time.

2801 Further discussion?

2802 Ms. Matsui is recognized for five minutes to strike the 2803 last word.

2804 *Ms. Matsui. Madam Chair, I move to strike the last2805 word and speak in opposition to this bill.

2806 The bill before us is ostensibly about transparency, but 2807 in reality it would create onerous reporting requirements that do not align with the intent of the 340B program. 2808 This 2809 bill would make the benefits of the 340B program seem far 2810 more limited than they are in reality. The reporting would 2811 not capture, for example, savings being used to hire a 2812 provider to keep an emergency department open, pay a 2813 community health worker to perform outreach and navigation, 2814 or invest in wraparound services like nutrition and 2815 transportation. Congress made the purpose of the 340B program clear from 2816

2817 the start: the first House report that was filed with it 2818 said the 340B program was created to help covered entities to

2819 stretch scarce Federal resources as far as possible, reaching 2820 more eligible patients and providing more comprehensive 2821 services.

This bill's reporting requirements would only tell a fraction of the story of 340B, ignoring many of the comprehensive services 340B entities provide under the program.

2826 In addition, the proposed requirements are unworkable. 2827 Neither HRSA nor many of the covered entities this bill would 2828 target have the resources to carry them out. In fact, this 2829 committee heard about this from a witness from Pullman 2830 Regional Hospital just a few weeks ago. I asked him about the current requirements his hospital faces. He explained 2831 2832 that maintaining program eligibility is already a significant 2833 undertaking. He described the stress on their small finance 2834 team, and mentioned that some smaller rural hospitals have 2835 already been edged out of the program due to the burden of 2836 these requirements.

I support program integrity. However, this bill goes a step too far. Complying with these requirements, which are unlike anything else in the 340B program, may not even be possible within current health data systems. We must be

2841	careful not to unduly burden the most vulnerable providers,
2842	like rural hospitals, who are operating on razor thin
2843	margins, especially when those requirements would provide an
2844	incomplete picture of the program.
2845	I urge my colleagues to oppose this bill, and I yield
2846	back.
2847	*The Chair. Is there further discussion?
2848	The chair recognizes for five minutes Mr. Joyce to
2849	strike the last word.
2850	*Mr. Joyce. Madam Chair, I move to strike the last word
2851	and speak in support of this bill.
2852	Let's be clear. The legislation before us is not an
2853	attack on the 340B program. This legislation is a necessary
2854	and important step to ensure transparency that the program
2855	continues to operate as intended.
2856	As a doctor, I was trained to arrive at the right
2857	diagnosis before treating a condition. If Congress is going
2858	to address rising costs of health care, we must first
2859	understand all the factors and the convoluted incentives that
2860	are driving skyrocketing health care costs before we would
2861	take corrective action.
2862	And I recognize how important the 340B program is to the

2863 hospitals in Pennsylvania. What we know is in the past decade one of the biggest drivers of increased consolidation 2864 2865 and higher health care spending has been the 340B drug 2866 pricing program. Recognizing that, we must now understand 2867 where the money and the profits are going before we can begin to fix the problem. That is why I support this first step in 2868 2869 ensuring greater transparency within the 340B program, so that we can identify the root cause of the runaway spending, 2870 2871 fix the problem, and save this important program before it is 2872 too late.

I applaud Dr. Bucshon for raising awareness on the need for basic transparency and accountability within the 340B program.

2876 The bill does four important issues: it addresses that 2877 facilities have to report the 340B program savings for a given year; it additionally asks the facility to report what 2878 the patient mix looks like, whether they care for individuals 2879 2880 with Medicaid, Medicare, private insurance, or the uninsured; 2881 third, it asks the facility to report how much in charity care it is offering; and finally, this important legislation 2882 asks the facility to report how much in payer shortfall it 2883 providers [sic], or how much discounted care is subsidized by 2884

2885	providing care to Medicare and Medicaid beneficiaries, who
2886	are reimbursed at much lower rates than the private paying
2887	customers.
2888	This is, ultimately, the beginning steps of
2889	understanding where savings can occur.
2890	I urge the adoption of this bill, and I yield the
2891	balance of my time.
2892	*Mr. Bucshon. Will the gentleman yield -
2893	*Mr. Carter. Would the gentleman -
2894	*Mr. Bucshon please?
2895	*Mr. Joyce. I yield to Dr. Bucshon.
2896	*Mr. Bucshon. Yes, yes, thank you. Thanks for
2896 2897	*Mr. Bucshon. Yes, yes, thank you. Thanks for yielding.
2897	yielding.
2897 2898	yielding. I think it is important to understand also that this
2897 2898 2899	yielding. I think it is important to understand also that this whole argument about onerous reporting of things does
2897 2898 2899 2900	yielding. I think it is important to understand also that this whole argument about onerous reporting of things does anybody, anybody believe that an organization that runs an
2897 2898 2899 2900 2901	yielding. I think it is important to understand also that this whole argument about onerous reporting of things does anybody, anybody believe that an organization that runs an operation doesn't know every line of their balance sheet
2897 2898 2899 2900 2901 2902	yielding. I think it is important to understand also that this whole argument about onerous reporting of things does anybody, anybody believe that an organization that runs an operation doesn't know every line of their balance sheet already? They already have the information. All this
2897 2898 2899 2900 2901 2902 2903	yielding. I think it is important to understand also that this whole argument about onerous reporting of things does anybody, anybody believe that an organization that runs an operation doesn't know every line of their balance sheet already? They already have the information. All this information is not onerous; they already know it. How do I

2907 You know, in the end, to justify not-for-profit status, they already have to have all the information to show 2908 2909 community benefit, charity care, and all that. They already 2910 know all this. So this is just a matter of basic 2911 transparency. 2912 And again, I want to just say again I am -- it befuddles 2913 me to why, on just a simple bill like this, there is so much 2914 pushback. It just befuddles me. I can't quite understand 2915 it. 2916 So I yield to Dr. Joyce. 2917 *Mr. Joyce. I yield the remaining time to Mr. Carter from Georgia. 2918 2919 *Mr. Carter. I thank the gentleman for yielding. 2920 You know, to hearing some of the comments from the other 2921 side of the aisle, this is very disturbing and very, very 2922 disappointing for what I consider to be the most bipartisan committee in Congress. We all want the same thing when it 2923 2924 comes to health care. We want accessibility, affordability, 2925 and quality health care. What is happening here? You have seen what is happening 2926 here. You have seen hospitals go out and buy oncology 2927 practices for no other reason but to be able to utilize the 2928

2929 340B program. No other reason.

You talk about the impact that the 340B program is having on rural hospitals and the FQHCs. You are absolutely right, that is what it is for, not for the -- not for these health care systems that go out and buy an oncology practice simply to increase the profits through the 340B program. That is not what it is for.

What we want to do is to protect the FQHCs, to protect the rural hospitals. We want the 340B program to remain sustainable and to thrive. We need it to for our FQHCs and for our rural hospitals. That is why we need transparency.

2940 And I yield back.

2941 *Mr. Joyce. My time is expired, and I yield back, Madam 2942 Chair.

2943 *The Chair. The gentleman yields back. Is there 2944 further discussion?

2945The -- Ms. Castor is recognized for five minutes to2946strike the last word.

2947 *Ms. Castor. Well, thank you, Madam Chair. I move to 2948 strike the last word to speak in opposition to the bill.

2949Three-forty-B works. It helps expand access to health2950services for many of our neighbors back home. It helps to

2951 reduce pharmaceutical costs for hundreds of health care 2952 providers and their patients.

2953 Over the years I have spoken to -- I can't even count 2954 how many patients, folks at hospitals, Community Health 2955 Centers, other covered entities back home in Florida about 2956 how this initiative helps them stretch the -- helps them 2957 stretch the scarce health care dollar to provide enhanced 2958 care, treat more patients, provide affordable prescriptions, 2959 and offset losses from uncompensated care.

2960 Three-forty-B benefits our neighbors, especially who 2961 need assistance the most, as well as the safety net providers 2962 who care for them. And at a time when drug prices have been 2963 skyrocketing across the board for consumers, 340B really is a 2964 bright light for our neighbors back home. My local 340B 2965 hospitals have used their savings for -- to do all sorts of 2966 things: create medication assistance and transition care 2967 initiatives, to offer trauma care, and chemotherapy infusion 2968 services to people who otherwise might have to go without 2969 that kind of treatment because of cost. They have used it to provide ongoing case management. 2970

2971I think Congresswoman Matsui really summed it up well2972about the fear of cost, the fear of how this bill is way too

2973	narrow, about how it would paint a picture that wouldn't tell
2974	the whole story. So I am going to associate myself with her
2975	remarks, and really hope that we can work together and to
2976	protect 340B. It is just what Congresswoman Matsui said.
2977	From the outset it was intended to be flexible for our
2978	hospitals, Community Health Centers, other covered entities
2979	in a manner that really helps them address local needs.
2980	So any kind of onerous, one-sided reporting,
2981	bureaucratic regimes will only hurt that type of flexibility,
2982	and it would impair the cost savings that Congress intended
2983	for 340B at the outset. So I am going to associate myself
2984	with her remarks, and urge a no vote on this bill.
2985	Thank you, and I yield back.
2986	*The Chair. The gentlelady yields back. Is there
2987	further discussion?
2988	The chair recognizes Mr. Peters for five minutes to
2989	strike the last word.
2990	*Mr. Peters. Thank you, Madam Chair. The 340B drug
2991	discount program, which helps provide lifesaving drugs to
2992	low-income patients in my district and across the country, is
2993	the second-largest government prescription drug program, the
2994	second largest drug program.
	1 4 1

2995 I am a strong supporter of 340B, but we have seen some practices highlighted over the past year by the New York 2996 2997 Times and the Wall Street Journal and other outlets that 2998 provide -- and should provide -- sincere concern on behalf of 2999 this committee, which is responsible for the creation, the 3000 implementation, and the oversight of this important program. 3001 And I am particularly worried about the evidence we have seen that some large systems may use 340B to reach affluent areas, 3002 3003 diverting care away from underserved communities. And that 3004 practice violates the spirit of the program. And 3005 unfortunately, in some well documented instances, this has 3006 contributed to questionable use of taxpayer dollars and the 3007 deaths of some patients.

3008 We need to do more. Recent reporting has shown quite 3009 clearly what happens when the program strays from patients 3010 and becomes about profits. For years I have called for 3011 meaningful transparency standards in 340B. I did that with 3012 Mr. Bucshon, where we actually asked for transparency, and we 3013 asked for a pause in the program. We are not asking -- he is not asking for that this time. He is just asking for where 3014 the where the money is going. And I know that we can come 3015 together as Republicans and Democrats to work on some 3016

3017 thoughtful reforms, but we can't do that if we are in the 3018 dark about where the money is.

3019 I am a little bit unpersuaded by the notion that this is 3020 a burdensome exercise for people, because when we give out 3021 billions of dollars in taxpayer money, we have every right 3022 and a responsibility to ask where that money is being spent. 3023 We need to know whether it is working well or not. And I 3024 think it is fundamental to our job here that we do that, that 3025 we get this information. I think it is not too much to ask 3026 for the recipients of that assistance to provide that 3027 information to us.

3028 I also sympathize that, you know, we have a serious 3029 issue with hospital solvency. Many, many of those instances 3030 are rural areas, not all of them. That is an issue we have 3031 to deal with. But that doesn't mean we shouldn't get the 3032 information about where this money is being spent, and whether we are getting the bang for our buck. We all claim 3033 3034 that this program works. I would challenge us to prove that if we don't have the information about where the money is 3035 going, who the beneficiaries are. 3036

3037 I am also -- I think it is right to say let's get the 3038 basic information. I think, on one hand, you can't say it is 143

3039 burdensome to provide that and then ask for more information 3040 to be provided. We can do this in an iterative way. If we 3041 want to make normative and subjective judgments about other 3042 parts of the program, that is fine. We have to have the 3043 basic information about where the money is going, not just to 3044 prevent fraud, but to see whether we are really getting the 3045 benefit from this program.

3046 So, and finally, on the contract pharmacy issue, I am 3047 happy to work with anyone on that if that -- if there is an 3048 abuse on the industry side. That is also a separate issue 3049 from this.

Three-forty-B is an important program. We have every right and responsibility to know where the money is going. And I think that that is what this bill is about. So I urge my colleagues to join and vote for this transparency.

And with that, Madam Chair, I yield back.

3055 *The Chair. The gentleman yields back. Is there 3056 further discussion?

3057 Mrs. Dingell is recognized for five minutes to strike 3058 the last word.

3059 *Mrs. Dingell. Thank you, Madam Chairwoman.

3060 The 340B program is a critical lifeline. It enables

3061 safety net providers to expand access to essential health 3062 care services for our most vulnerable patients. The intent 3063 of the 340B program has and continues to be to help entities 3064 stretch scarce Federal resources to provide comprehensive 3065 services to as many eligible patients as possible.

The program has made a real difference. I see it in 3066 3067 communities across southeast Michigan every day and, guite 3068 frankly, visited three hospitals in the last week. As we 3069 continue to recover from the COVID-19 pandemic, navigate a 3070 mental health crisis, and improve access to necessary health 3071 care, the savings 340B hospitals use to provide care to 3072 uninsured patients, offer free vaccines, and offer mental 3073 health services are absolutely essential.

3074 But we have significant concerns that this bill will 3075 undermine the purpose of 340B and constrain the hospital's 3076 ability to meaningfully serve these vulnerable communities. 3077 The bill will create onerous reporting requirements for 3078 hospitals. Not only will these requirements create 3079 additional costs, but they don't capture the full scope of how 340B is helping patients. I fear that the narrow focus 3080 3081 on charity care will obscure the true value of 340B in communities nationwide. 3082

3083	I share my concern my colleague on the Republican
3084	side knows how I feel about Pharmacy Benefit Managers and our
3085	pharmacies, and we need to do need to be addressing that
3086	without fail. Safety net hospitals play a very important
3087	role in our health care systems, and we must continue working
3088	to achieve the transparency. We all agree with that. We
3089	need it, but in a way that does not curtail a hospital's
3090	ability to carry out the important mission of 340B.
3091	Therefore, I urge my colleagues to oppose this bill, and
3092	yield back.
3093	*The Chair. The gentlelady yields back. Is there
3094	further discussion?
3095	Seeing none, the question now occurs on approving the
3096	amendment the Bucshon amendment in the nature of a
3097	substitute.
3098	All those in favor, say aye.
3099	All those opposed, nay.
3100	The ayes have it. The amendment is adopted.
3101	The question now occurs on approving H.R. 3290, as
3102	amended. A roll call has been requested. The clerk will
3103	call the roll.
3104	*The Clerk. Mr. Burgess?
	146

3105	*Mr. Burgess. [Inaudible.]
3106	*The Clerk. Mr. Burgess votes aye.
3107	Mr. Latta?
3108	*Mr. Latta. Aye.
3109	*The Clerk. Mr. Latta votes aye.
3110	Mr. Guthrie?
3111	*Mr. Guthrie. Aye.
3112	*The Clerk. Mr. Guthrie votes aye.
3113	Mr. Griffith?
3114	*Mr. Griffith. Aye.
3115	*The Clerk. Mr. Griffith votes aye.
3116	Mr. Bilirakis?
3117	*Mr. Bilirakis. [Inaudible.]
3118	*The Clerk. Mr. Bilirakis votes aye.
3119	Mr. Johnson?
3120	*Mr. Johnson. Aye.
3121	*The Clerk. Mr. Johnson votes aye.
3122	Mr. Bucshon?
3123	*Mr. Bucshon. Aye.
3124	*The Clerk. Mr. Bucshon votes aye.
3125	Mr. Hudson?
3126	[No response.]

3127	*The Clerk. Mr. Walberg?
3128	[No response.]
3129	*The Clerk. Mr. Carter?
3130	*Mr. Carter. Aye.
3131	*The Clerk. Mr. Carter votes aye.
3132	Mr. Duncan?
3133	[No response.]
3134	*The Clerk. Mr. Palmer?
3135	*Mr. Palmer. Aye.
3136	*The Clerk. Mr. Palmer votes aye.
3137	Mr. Dunn?
3138	*Mr. Dunn. Aye.
3139	*The Clerk. Mr. Dunne votes aye.
3140	Mr. Curtis?
3141	*Mr. Curtis. [Inaudible.]
3142	*The Clerk. Mr. Curtis votes aye.
3143	Mrs. Lesko?
3144	*Mrs. Lesko. Aye.
3145	*The Clerk. Mrs. Lesko votes aye.
3146	Mr. Pence?
3147	*Mr. Pence. Aye.
3148	*The Clerk. Mr. Pence votes aye.
	148

3149	Mr. Crenshaw?
3150	*Mr. Crenshaw. Aye.
3151	*The Clerk. Mr. Crenshaw votes aye.
3152	Mr. Joyce?
3153	*Mr. Joyce. [Inaudible.]
3154	*The Clerk. Mr. Joyce votes aye.
3155	Mr. Armstrong?
3156	*Mr. Armstrong. Yes.
3157	*The Clerk. Mr. Armstrong votes aye.
3158	Mr. Weber?
3159	*Mr. Weber. Aye.
3160	*The Clerk. Mr. Weber votes aye.
3161	Mr. Allen?
3162	*Mr. Allen. Aye.
3163	*The Clerk. Mr. Allen votes aye.
3164	Mr. Balderson?
3165	*Mr. Balderson. [Inaudible.]
3166	*The Clerk. Mr. Balderson votes aye.
3167	Mr. Fulcher?
3168	*Mr. Fulcher. [Inaudible.]
3169	*The Clerk. Mr. Fulcher votes aye.
3170	Mr. Pfluger?
	1 4 0

3171	*Mr. Pfluger. Aye.
3172	*The Clerk. Mr. Pfluger votes aye.
3173	Mrs. Harshbarger?
3174	*Mrs. Harshbarger. [Inaudible.]
3175	*The Clerk. Mrs. Harshbarger votes aye.
3176	Mrs. Miller-Meeks?
3177	*Mrs. Miller-Meeks. [Inaudible.]
3178	*The Clerk. Mrs. Miller-Meeks votes aye.
3179	Mrs. Cammack?
3180	*Mrs. Cammack. [Inaudible.]
3181	*The Clerk. Mrs. Cammack votes aye.
3182	Mr. Obernolte?
3183	[No response.]
3184	*The Clerk. Mr. Pallone?
3185	*Mr. Pallone. [Inaudible.]
3186	*The Clerk. Mr. Pallone votes no.
3187	Ms. Eshoo?
3188	*Ms. Eshoo. [Inaudible.]
3189	*The Clerk. Ms. Eshoo votes no.
3190	Ms. DeGette?
3191	*Ms. DeGette. No.
3192	*The Clerk. Ms. DeGette votes no.
	150

3193	Ms. Schakowsky?
3194	[No response.]
3195	*The Clerk. Ms. Matsui?
3196	*Ms. Matsui. No.
3197	*The Clerk. Ms. Matsui votes no.
3198	Ms. Castor?
3199	*Ms. Castor. No.
3200	*The Clerk. Ms. Castor votes no.
3201	Mr. Sarbanes?
3202	*Mr. Sarbanes. No.
3203	*The Clerk. Mr. Sarbanes votes no.
3204	Mr. Tonko?
3205	*Mr. Tonko. No.
3206	*The Clerk. Mr. Tonko votes no.
3207	Ms. Clarke?
3208	*Ms. Clarke. [Inaudible.]
3209	*The Clerk. Ms. Clarke votes no.
3210	Mr. Cardenas?
3211	*Mr. Cardenas. [Inaudible.]
3212	*The Clerk. Mr. Cardenas votes no.
3213	Mr. Ruiz?
3214	*Mr. Ruiz. No.

no.

3215	*The Clerk. Mr. Ruiz votes no.
3216	Mr. Peters?
3217	*Mr. Peters. Aye.
3218	*The Clerk. Mr. Peters votes aye.
3219	Mrs. Dingell?
3220	*Mrs. Dingell. [Inaudible.]
3221	*The Clerk. Mrs. Dingell votes no.
3222	Mr. Veasey?
3223	*Mr. Veasey. No.
3224	*The Clerk. Mr. Veasey votes no.
3225	Ms. Kuster?
3226	*Ms. Kuster. [Inaudible.]
3227	*The Clerk. Ms. Kuster votes no.
3228	Ms. Kelly?
3229	*Ms. Kelly. [Inaudible.]
3230	*The Clerk. Ms. Kelly votes no.
3231	Ms. Barragan?
3232	*Ms. Barragan. No.
3233	*The Clerk. Ms. Barragan votes no.
3234	Ms. Blunt Rochester?
3235	*Ms. Blunt Rochester. [Inaudible.]
3236	*The Clerk. Ms. Blunt Rochester votes
	152

3237	Mr. Soto?
3238	*Mr. Soto. [Inaudible.]
3239	*The Clerk. Mr. Soto votes no.
3240	Ms. Craig?
3241	*Ms. Craig. No.
3242	*The Clerk. Ms. Craig votes no.
3243	Ms. Schrier?
3244	*Ms. Schrier. [Inaudible.]
3245	*The Clerk. Ms. Schrier votes no.
3246	Mrs. Trahan?
3247	*Mrs. Trahan. [Inaudible.]
3248	*The Clerk. Mrs. Trahan votes no.
3249	Mrs. Fletcher?
3250	*Mrs. Fletcher. [Inaudible.]
3251	*The Clerk. Mrs. Fletcher votes no.
3252	Chair Rodgers?
3253	*The Chair. Aye.
3254	*The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes aye.
3255	Mr. Hudson is not recorded.
3256	*Mr. Hudson. Hudson votes aye.
3257	*The Clerk. Mr. Hudson votes aye.
3258	*Mr. Duncan. How am I recorded?
	153

3259	*The Clerk. Mr. Duncan, you are not recorded.
3260	*Mr. Duncan. [Inaudible.]
3261	*The Clerk. Mr. Duncan votes aye.
3262	Ms. Schakowsky is not recorded.
3263	*Ms. Schakowsky. [Inaudible.]
3264	*The Clerk. Ms. Schakowsky votes no.
3265	Mr. Walberg, you are not recorded.
3266	*Mr. Walberg. [Inaudible.]
3267	*The Clerk. Mr. Walberg votes aye.
3268	*The Chair. [Inaudible] recorded?
3269	The clerk will report.
3270	*The Clerk. Chair Rodgers, on that vote there were 29
3271	ayes and 22 noes.
3272	*The Chair. The ayes have it, the bill is adopted.
3273	The chair calls up H.R. 3561, and asks the clerk to
3274	report.
3275	*The Clerk. H.R. 3561, a bill to promote hospital and
3276	insurer price transparency, and for other purposes. Be it
3277	enacted by the Senate -
3278	*The Chair. Without objection, the first reading of the
3279	bill is dispensed with, and the bill will be open for
3280	amendment at any point.
	154

3281	So ordered.
3282	
3283	[The bill follows:]
3284	
3285	**************************************
3286	

3287	*The Chair. I have an amendment in the nature of a
3288	substitute. The clerk will report.
3289	*The Clerk. Amendment in the nature of a substitute to
3290	H.R. 3561.
3291	*The Chair. The reading of the amendment is dispensed
3292	with.
3293	[The amendment of The Chair follows:]
3294	
3295	*********COMMITTEE INSERT********
3296	

3297 *The Chair. I recognize myself for five minutes on the 3298 amendment.

3299 At our subcommittee hearing last week I spoke at length 3300 about all the great policies in this legislation, and listed all the members whose priorities and bills are included in 3301 this package. I won't recap all of it today, but I want to 3302 3303 emphasize that this ANS and H.R. 3561 is the product of strong bipartisan work that will make health care system 3304 3305 stronger and more patient-friendly. It will dramatically 3306 increase transparency into our health care system.

3307 This bill will tell patients the price they will pay for for care, who owns their doctor's office, and how much 3308 Pharmacy Benefit Managers are making off of their medicine. 3309 3310 It will directly lower costs for seniors on Medicare, getting 3311 medications administered from the hospital outpatient department, and it will extend funding for vital public 3312 3313 health programs, Community Health Centers, programs to help train doctors in the community, and the Special Diabetes 3314 3315 Programs.

I want to extend thanks to each and every member who worked on this package, including the ranking member, Mr. Pallone, who has partnered with me for years now to improve

3319 price transparency in health care.

I did want to provide a brief reminder of why it is critical to remain steadfast in our pursuit of a more affordable, patient-friendly health care system. I would like to enter into the record a recent article describing how patients saw their costs increase when the Mayo Clinic started tackling -- tacking on facility fees to routine appointments.

One patient saw their dermatology visit increase from \$500 to nearly 900. Another dermatology patient was charged a facility fee of \$420 for a visit that only cost 920 -- no, I am sorry -- 290, I got that backwards. Okay, so the facility fee was \$420 for a visit that only cost \$290. Another was charged a facility fee of nearly 1,100 for a cortisone shot that cost 262.

Now, we are not talking about an obscure provider or a shady actor. This is the Mayo Clinic, a household name in health care. So without objection, I would like to enter that into the record.

- 3338 So ordered.
- 3339 [The information follows:]
- 3340

3341 *******COMMITTEE INSERT********

3343 *The Chair. Patients are frustrated. The system must be more simple, transparent, and affordable. We spend more 3344 3345 on health care as a percentage of our economy than any other 3346 developed nation. And for their money, Americans are 3347 rewarded with a bureaucratic and overly burdensome system. 3348 They see corporations responsible for providing and paying for care go at great lengths to hide costs, deny payment for 3349 3350 care, and weigh patients down in complexity.

What we are doing here today won't solve every problem in our health care system. I have said that this is just a first step towards addressing the problems we face, but we can be proud of the meaningful bipartisan policies included in this amendment that will lower cost and improve transparency for patients.

I urge my colleagues to support this ANS and the underlying bill, and I yield back.

3359 I yield to the ranking member, Mr. Pallone, for five 3360 minutes on the ANS.

3361 *Mr. Pallone. I thank the chair, and I speak in strong 3362 support of H.R. 3651, which, as you know, as has been 3363 mentioned, is bipartisan. And I thank the chair for working 3364 with us, with the Democrats, on this. The PATIENT Act, which 160

3365 advanced out of the Health Subcommittee last week, will improve transparency in our health care system and extend and 3366 3367 increase funding for critical public health programs like 3368 Community Health Centers, Teaching Health Centers, and the 3369 National Health Service Corps. I am proud of the work we have done together and the funding increases we were able to 3370 3371 give these programs to invest in the future of our health 3372 care workforce.

Now, the legislation also includes a proposal led by Representative Schakowsky and Representative Bilirakis that will require hospitals and physician practices to disclose ownership data, including for entities owned by private equity firms and venture capital firms.

3378 The legislation will help increase transparency of 3379 hospital ownership and provide greater insight into hospital 3380 and provider consolidation. High health care costs continue to be a challenge for American families, and too many 3381 3382 patients are forced to wait until after they receive care and have a medical bill to fully understand how much they owe. 3383 Lack of transparency into health care prices makes it 3384 difficult for consumers to make informed decisions, and it 3385

3386 also makes it challenging for employers to negotiate more

3387 competitive prices. So the Transparent Price Act strengthens 3388 and expands access to price information across the health 3389 care industry, including hospital labs, insurance companies, 3390 and Pharmacy Benefit Managers. Greater transparency empowers 3391 both employers and consumers, allowing them to compare prices 3392 and achieve savings.

3393 We are also going to consider a proposal to align payment for drug administration in hospital outpatient 3394 3395 departments with the physician fee schedule rate. This is a 3396 common-sense piece of legislation. This proposal would 3397 require Medicare to pay outpatient hospital departments the same rate as the independent physician's office for 3398 3399 administering the same intravenous or injected medications. 3400 The drugs included for payment changes are routinely 3401 administered by physicians in their offices all around the 3402 country. These medications have great safety profiles, with 3403 no requirement for hospital backup capabilities to warrant 3404 extra costs in hospital facilities.

This legislation is a reasonable and important step towards reducing beneficiary cost sharing for drug administration services, and also ensures that Medicare dollars are used more wisely. The Congressional Budget

3409 Office has estimated that the proposal would reduce Part B 3410 premiums for seniors by \$1.4 billion.

I am also proud that this bill cancels \$16 billion in cuts to Medicaid Disproportionate Share Hospital payments. This bill will help these safety net providers serve vulnerable communities.

The bill also makes important investments in public health. It provides an increase in funding for Community Health Centers. While I would have preferred an even larger increase, this additional funding will give CHCs the resources they need to provide care to over 30 million individuals every year.

3421 It also extends and increases the authorization for the 3422 Special Diabetes Program at NIH and the Special Diabetes 3423 Program for Indians at IHS. And I thank the chairwoman and 3424 the bill's sponsors for working with me on these critical 3425 diabetes programs. This would be the largest authorization 3426 increase since 2004.

I am also glad that we are able to come to an agreement that provides the Teaching Health Center Graduate Medical Education Program with sustainable funding that protects the integrity of the program. The increased funding included in

the legislation will help establish new centers, while also supporting existing programs, and that will create a reliable stream of doctors for high-need communities. And this kind of investment is exactly what we need to increase access to primary care in underserved areas.

This is what you call a win-win for everyone, and I urge my colleagues to support the proposal, and again thank the chairwoman, and yield back.

3439 *Mr. Guthrie. [Presiding] The gentleman yields back.
3440 The chair now recognizes Mr. Carter from Georgia for five
3441 minutes to speak on the amendment.

3442 *Mr. Carter. Mr. Chairman, I move to strike the last 3443 word.

3444 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman is recognized.

3445 *Mr. Carter. Mr. Chairman, I would like to add my 3446 support for the amendment in the nature of a substitute to 3447 the PATIENT Act and the bipartisan bills that I am leading 3448 which were included.

I am pleased to see that my Drug Price Transparency in Medicaid Act is included in this bill, which will bring transparency to the Medicaid program and address some of the most egregious practices of PBMs. This bill would protect

3453 patients, pharmacists, and taxpayers from the abusive 3454 practices of PBMs. For years, PBM practices in Medicaid-3455 managed care have obscured the true cost of prescription 3456 drugs, wasted hundreds of millions of taxpayers' dollars, and 3457 devastated community pharmacies by engaging in a practice 3458 known as spread pricing.

3459 In spread pricing, PBMs charge state Medicaid-managed 3460 care programs more for prescription drugs than they reimburse 3461 pharmacies, allowing the PBMs to pocket the difference, or to spread, as excess profit. One managed care company has 3462 already paid out over \$400 million to settle state 3463 3464 investigations arising out of their spread pricing practices, 3465 and has set aside more than \$1 billion to resolve claims 3466 across the country.

This legislation puts an end to the PBM games by prohibiting spread pricing and, as a result, will save taxpayers more than \$1 billion so that states can further invest into the needs of their communities.

This legislation also increases transparency by requiring passthrough pricing while utilizing the strengthening NADAC, which stands for the National Average Drug Acquisition Cost. NADAC is administered by CMS as a

3475 public benchmark based on drug acquisition costs reported by 3476 retail pharmacies across the country, and represents the most 3477 transparent and accurate market-based pricing available 3478 today. By utilizing and strengthening NADAC, states, 3479 taxpayers, and pharmacies will know the true cost of 3480 prescription drugs.

3481 On top of NADAC, this legislation brings transparency 3482 and fairness to community pharmacies by allowing them to be 3483 reimbursed at an appropriate rate for dispensing medications to Medicaid patients. As we all know, pharmacists are one of 3484 3485 the most accessible health care professionals in America: 95 percent of all Americans live within 5 miles of a pharmacy. 3486 3487 These are the people in our communities that care for our 3488 country's most vulnerable patients. Yet because of unfair 3489 and deceptive acts like spread pricing in the Medicaid-3490 managed care system, four percent of independent pharmacies 3491 close every year. This bill finally puts a stop to that. 3492 The Drug Price Transparency in Medicaid Act ensures community pharmacies will be treated fairly, and will not be 3493 3494 driven out of business by large PBMs while they care for this nation's most vulnerable patients. 3495

I am also pleased to support the Pharmacy Benefit

3497 Managers Accountability Act, which was also included in this In Congress we are focused on increasing the 3498 bill. 3499 accessibility, affordability, and quality of health care. 3500 And we know that Pharmacy Benefit Managers' anti-competitive 3501 tactics are driving up health care costs for Americans and 3502 harming patient care. That is why the PBM Accountability Act 3503 is an important first step towards bringing down prescription 3504 drug prices by addressing the root cause: the middlemen that 3505 prey on patients for profits.

3506 This legislation will increase reporting requirements of 3507 PBMs to shed a light on the opaque drug pricing system they 3508 use. This will empower patients and drive competition to 3509 ultimately make health care more affordable and accessible 3510 for patients, and potentially save the Federal Government 3511 over \$2 billion for the next 10 years.

I applaud this committee for taking this critical first step in increasing transparency and accountability on these middlemen. I would like to thank my colleagues on both sides of the aisle for working with me on these important issues, and I would ask all of my colleagues on this committee to support this legislation.

3518 Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I yield back.

3519 *Mr. Guthrie. Would the gentleman yield to me?
3520 *Mr. Carter. I will. I will yield.

3521 *Mr. Guthrie. Thank you. I will just take a minute 3522 instead of my own time on the bill.

These are an important series of bills and hearings, and 3523 3524 everybody worked together and bipartisan. And the whole 3525 intent of it, one, is we have to reauthorize programs to get 3526 health care workers in the field, as we know -- and we hear from everybody in the health care industry -- well, all 3527 industry, quite honestly, is that -- how difficult it is to 3528 3529 get people into health care. So this is -- addresses 3530 workforce.

3531 The other big part of it is the transparency. And we 3532 want individuals or the businesses that apply -- that supply 3533 employer benefits to be able to understand what the prices 3534 are, and what the costs are, so that people can make the best 3535 choices.

And the other is what we have seen is innovators who come into the space. If they can get access to the information, we have seen some say, "We will argue drugs at -- pharmaceuticals at cost plus a handling fee,'' instead of this system of rebates. We have also seen some insurance

3541	companies that will write checks to people if they get
3542	coverage under the average price.
3543	So this is important for innovating in our health care
3544	system and giving information, making it available.
3545	And I will yield back to the gentleman from Georgia, who
3546	yields back.
3547	*Mr. Carter. And I yield.
3548	*Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back. Is there
3549	anyone else seeking discussion on the ANS?
3550	Dr. Ruiz from California.
3551	*Mr. Ruiz. Yes, I move
3552	*Mr. Guthrie. You are recognized for five minutes.
3553	*Mr. Ruiz to strike the last word.
3554	*Mr. Guthrie. You are recognized.
3555	*Mr. Ruiz. I appreciate it. I am thrilled that every -
3556	- after years of advocacy on behalf of the Teaching Health
3557	Center Graduate Medical Education Program, this bill both
3558	extends funding for six years and expands the program even
3559	further. Along with the chair and ranking member of the
3560	overall committee, this has been a top priority for me as a
3561	doctor who grew up, practiced medicine, and now represents a
3562	community that is desperate for more physicians.

3563 This program has proven to be highly successful in not only training doctors in underserved areas, but also in 3564 3565 retaining doctors in those communities or similar sites of 3566 care. As we all know, health centers are the backbone of 3567 many of our districts. Training doctors in the communities 3568 that need them most just seems like common sense. So I 3569 applaud the committee for making this program a priority. 3570 It goes beyond common sense. In fact, it has shown that where you are from and where you train are the two best 3571 predictors of where you are going to practice. So taking 3572 3573 students, pre-med students, training them in their 3574 underserved communities increases the likelihood that they 3575 will practice in the underserved community. And I am sure 3576 that many of you, like myself, have heard from health centers 3577 either currently in the program or those hoping to be in it in the future advocating for stable and predictable funding. 3578 3579 And while I support the permanent funding of the program 3580 in the DOC Act, which I introduced with Ranking Member 3581 Pallone, I am pleased with the stability and certainty that comes with a six-year extension, which will help teaching 3582 health centers recruit medical students and plan for the 3583 3584 future.

3585 I am also pleased that the bill that I introduced with Congressman Cole, H.R. 2547, the Special Diabetes Program for 3586 3587 Indians Reauthorization Act of 2023, is included in this 3588 bill. American Indian and Alaska native populations have the 3589 highest prevalence of diabetes in the United States, and the resources this program provides to tribal communities has a 3590 3591 significant and lasting impact in my district and across the 3592 country.

Diabetes is the seventh leading cause of death and the number one cause of kidney failure, lower limb amputation, and adult blindness, and many issues related to diabetes are preventable with the correct disease management and treatment. But as an emergency medicine physician, I would frequently treat patients coming into the emergency department with preventable diabetes-related emergencies.

We must do more to reduce the incidence and mortality rates of diabetes, and this program is critical in doing just that. I urge my colleagues to support the Teaching Health Centers GME Program and the Special Diabetes Program for Indians, as well as the underlying legislation, and I yield back.

3606 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back. Just so

3607 people -- because they have been raising their hands -- I 3608 have a gentlelady from Florida, Massachusetts, and Texas 3609 here, and somebody -- anybody else wants -- and then now I 3610 have Dr. Joyce on the majority side.

3611 Dr. Joyce, you are recognized for five minutes to speak 3612 on the amendment.

3613 *Mr. Joyce. Mr. Chair, I move to strike the last word.
3614 Thank you, Mr. Chair. I would like to add my support to
3615 the amendment in the nature of the substitute before us today
3616 to appropriately rename PATIENT Act, which would make crucial
3617 reforms to our health care system by increasing transparency
3618 and lowering costs for the end user of our system.

3619 Specifically, I would like to briefly highlight the 3620 bipartisan legislation, H.R. 3237, included as part of this 3621 package and introduced by myself and Representative Sarbanes. 3622 H.R. 3237 would require hospitals to more clearly report to 3623 CMS on what services are occurring at each of their 3624 outpatient departments through a unique identification number 3625 at each facility.

This legislation codifies recommendations from a 2016 HHS IG report that will ensure that our seniors are not paying higher co-pays inadvertently for care billed in the

3629 incorrect setting.

I am pleased to again mention the inclusion of H.R. 3630 3631 2559, the Strengthening Community Care Act, which I 3632 introduced along with Chairwoman Stefanik, Representative Blunt Rochester, and Representative Fletcher earlier this 3633 I would like to thank all of the co-leads for this 3634 year. 3635 piece of legislation which would extend the Community Health Care Center for the next two years, while also providing 3636 crucial resources to support work provided by these centers. 3637 3638 This bill contains a critical increase in funding while ensuring these dollars are fully offset. 3639

I would also like to thank my colleagues in the committees for working through the weekend to include a needed technical fix to this bill to ensure access to essential medicines for Medicaid patients.

3644 Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I urge the adoption of the 3645 ANS, and yield the balance of my time.

3646 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back.

3647 So I got a list from the majority side -- minority side, 3648 so this is the order that I will call, just so everybody 3649 knows, so they don't have to raise their hand every time, and 3650 they know they are going to be called on.

3651	Doctor I mean the gentlelady Schakowsky, the
3652	gentlelady from Florida the gentlelady from Illinois, the
3653	gentlelady from Florida, and the gentleman from New York, the
3654	gentlelady from New York, the gentlelady from New Hampshire,
3655	the gentlelady from Delaware, the gentlelady from
3656	Massachusetts, and the gentlelady from Texas.
3657	So I will begin by recognizing for what purpose does
3658	the gentlelady from Illinois seek recognition?
3659	*Ms. Schakowsky. Thank you.
3660	*Mr. Guthrie. To speak on the amendment? You are
3661	recognized for five minutes.
3662	*Ms. Schakowsky. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I seek to
3663	strike the last word.
3664	So I would say I am really excited to see that my bill,
3665	along with Congressman Bilirakis, the Reporting on Ownership
3666	Information of Health Systems. And so this is a bill about
3667	transparency health, specifically, we are talking about.
3668	[Pause.]
3669	*Ms. Schakowsky. Well, let me continue this way. Since
3670	2010 there have been 310 consolidations between hospitals and
3671	health systems.
3672	There is also it is also shown that the private 174

3673 equity owners at the -- at -- have -- that the presence of private equity has increased 30 percent in the last --3674 3675 really, just the last few years. And I am concerned that 3676 hospital consolidation has resulted in the increase in health care costs from -- for both hospitals and health systems. 3677 3678 And I am deeply concerned about the quality of the care 3679 that is received at hospitals and physician practices that are owned by private equity. We have seen what has happened 3680 with private equity over the years when it comes to nursing 3681 homes, and now we are seeing that extending into hospitals, 3682 3683 as well. We have seen that private equity, equity owners, 3684 often put profits over the people. This is about making money -- these aren't health care organizations -- and focus 3685 3686 only on the most lucrative of institutions.

And so H.R. 3262 will help give a much greater sense of transparency so that we know what is happening in hospitals and to provide ownership information. This kind of information would not necessarily prevent private equity, but we would know then exactly who is owning these institutions, and who is making money that way.

And so I look forward to working with all of you and getting a vote, a positive vote, on 3262. And again, I want 175

3695 to thank Representative Bilirakis. We have worked together so well, and I hope all of you will support this legislation, 3696 3697 and I yield back. 3698 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady yields back. Is anyone 3699 seeking recognition for the purpose of discussion on the amendment from the Republican side? 3700 3701 Seeing none, the gentlelady from Florida, for what do 3702 you seek recognition? 3703 *Ms. Castor. I move to strike the last word, Mister --*Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady is recognized. 3704 3705 *Ms. Castor. Well, thank you, Mr. Chairman. I rise in strong support of the important public health 3706 3707 reauthorizations contained in this legislation for Community 3708 Health Centers, for the National Health Service Corps, and 3709 the Teaching Health Center GME because across Florida and across the country these initiatives are the backbone of our 3710 3711 public health infrastructure. They help to keep our 3712 neighbors healthy and well. 3713 Local Community Health Centers are -- also are critical economic engines, as well. And just in 2021, in my Tampa Bay 3714 area congressional district, they attracted \$23.5 million in 3715 Federal investments to serve over 243,000 of my neighbors. 3716

3717 And my community is very well served by Evara Health and 3718 Tampa Family Health Centers. All of the clinics, the 3719 doctors, the pediatricians, the dentists, the cancer 3720 screeners, and more, they are just incredible partners. They 3721 were instrumental, just fundamental to keeping people healthy 3722 and well during the COVID-19 pandemic. And now they are 3723 helping families deal with the Medicaid unwinding.

3724 So there are certain stresses in the flow of dollars, 3725 especially in a high-growth community where you have many 3726 working-class families. When you add those stresses, along 3727 with the discontinuation of certain Federal funding sources, 3728 a labor shortage, other fiscal pressures, they are really 3729 taking their toll. So they need the increased support that 3730 is provided in this bill to sustain their high-quality care.

3731 It is also critical to provide robust support to the National Health Service Corps, which can help address these 3732 labor shortages by expanding the pool of health care 3733 3734 providers serving in Community Health Centers and other 3735 medically underserved areas. By supporting the National Health Service Corps, we are supporting our Community Health 3736 Centers, yes, but mainly we are supporting the -- our 3737 neighbors and the patients that they serve. 3738

And again, Avera and Tampa Family Health Centers, they have -- they are ready to innovate. They have just been approved to become Teaching Health Centers. That will help them play a vital role in training the next generation of health care professionals to serve my neighbors across the Tampa, the growing Tampa Bay area.

I am thrilled, as well, that over the next six years this bill will double the current fundings for Teaching Health Centers to give more doctors the financial incentives to practice where they are needed most.

3749 So, together, these three initiatives will improve the 3750 lives of our neighbors back home, improve their health, and I 3751 look forward to broad bipartisan support here.

And Mr. Chairman and Ranking Member Eshoo, and to Chair McMorris Rodgers, and Ranking Member Pallone, and all the professional staff and the outside advocates, I thank you very much for all of your hard work on these bills, and I hope we can all support it moving forward.

3757 Thanks so much. I yield back.

3758 *Mr. Guthrie. Thank you. The gentlelady yields back.
3759 Is anyone else seeking recognition for purposes of the spoken
3760 -- speaking on the amendment?

3761 Seeing no one on the Republican side, Mister -- the gentleman from New York, for what purpose do you seek 3762 3763 recognition? 3764 *Mr. Tonko. Mr. Speaker, I move to strike the last 3765 word. 3766 *Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman is recognized. 3767 *Mr. Tonko. Mr. Speaker? Mr. Chair. While there are 3768 many parts of this bill that I am pleased to support, I do 3769 want to express my concerns, my deep concerns about the site-3770 neutral cuts on hospitals. 3771 I have hospitals in my region that have truly struggled and have been hurting. Many of these same hospitals have 3772 3773 been hit especially hard over the last few years. They have 3774 managed very well with very strained resources to address the 3775 COVID response, and yet they provide services in communities where other health services simply do not. If it were not 3776 3777 for these hospitals, there would be a vacuum of care for my 3778 constituents.

I also recognize that hospitals don't get to pick their patients in the way that other parts of our health system, unfortunately, can do. In the capital region our hospitals provide access to care for those who need it the most. With

3783	that in mind, I am concerned that these efforts may pull the
3784	foundation out from hospitals that are indeed struggling.
3785	I, too, want to cut costs and save money where it makes
3786	sense, but I fear this could have real unintended
3787	consequences for my communities. I am pleased to see the DSH
3788	cuts elimination included in this package, but I remain
3789	concerned that with one hand we are helping our hospitals and
3790	with the other we are removing needed resources that allow
3791	the hospital to support our communities.
3792	I will vote in favor of the overall package, but I do
3793	hope we can continue to have conversations before this effort
3794	moves to the floor.
3795	With that, Mr. Chair, I yield back the remainder of my
3796	time.
3797	*Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back. Is anyone
3798	seeking recognition for purpose of a discussion on the
3799	amendment?
3800	Seeing none for what does the gentlelady from New
3801	York seek recognition?
3802	*Ms. Clarke. Mr. Chairman, I move to strike the last
3803	word.
3804	*Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady is recognized. 180

*Ms. Clarke. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I am proud that my bipartisan bill, H.R. 2665, the Supporting Safety Net Hospitals Act, has been included in today's manager's amendment. This bipartisan effort delays the \$8 billion Medicaid Disproportionate Share Hospital -- known as DSH cuts, which are currently scheduled to begin October 1st until 2026.

3812 DSH payments are a lifeline for the safety net hospitals 3813 that care for the economically disadvantaged and the sickest 3814 patients in our health care system. Rural and urban safety 3815 net hospitals cannot absorb losses of this magnitude, and I 3816 am relieved that Congress is acting to avert these 3817 devastating cuts.

3818 I thank my colleagues, Representatives Crenshaw, 3819 DeGette, and Burgess, for sponsoring this bill with me and 3820 for your leadership on this critical issue.

However, Mr. Chairman, I am extremely disappointed that my DSH legislation has been paired together with a siteneutral cut to hospital outpatient departments. Hospital outpatient departments see patients who most urgently need services, those with nowhere else to turn. Many of my constituents in Brooklyn, New York are among thousands of New 181

3827 Yorkers and millions of Americans who live in underserved 3828 areas rely on these facilities every day for their most 3829 fundamental health care needs.

3830 There is nothing neutral about the site-neutral cuts that has now compromised the -- I am sorry, the urgent need 3831 of the DSH - excuse me, of the DSH dispensation that our 3832 safety net hospitals so desperately require. This policy is 3833 3834 a calculated, shameful blow to our most essential providers 3835 in underserved communities. It will exacerbate existing 3836 financial challenges for hospitals and cripple those who 3837 already operate on the constant brink of closure.

3838 The challenges site-neutral policies impose on our 3839 health care system will ripple through our communities and 3840 impact our most vulnerable populations. Outpatient 3841 departments mitigate patients going to the hospital in the 3842 first place, saving money and resources.

You all may remember at the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic my state, specifically my city, New York City, was hit the hardest, and frontline hospital workers were extremely crucial to addressing the evolving needs of the pandemic.

3848 With that, Mr. Chairman, I yield back.

3849	*Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady yields back. Is anyone				
3850	seeking recognition for purposes of discussion on the				
3851	amendment?				
3852	Seeing none, none on the Republican side, for what				
3853	purpose does the I am sorry, Mr. Griffith. For what				
3854	purpose do you seek recognition?				
3855	*Mr. Griffith. I seek recognition to offer an amendment				
3856	to the amendment in the nature of a substitute.				
3857	*Mr. Guthrie. I think we are still discussing the				
3858	amendment in the nature of a substitute, I am sorry.				
3859	*Mr. Griffith. Okay. That is what Grace told me to do.				
3860	[Laughter.]				
3861	*Voice. If there is no one else -				
3862	*Mr. Guthrie. We are still speaking on				
3863	*Voice. Oh, there				
3864	*Mr. Guthrie. There is people speaking, I am sorry.				
3865	*Mr. Griffith. Oh, I am sorry. I thought we were				
3866	finished.				
3867	*Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady from Delaware, for what				
3868	purpose do you seek recognition?				
3869	*Ms. Blunt Rochester. I move to strike the last word.				
3870	*Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady is recognized. 183				
	TOD				

3871 *Ms. Blunt Rochester. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 3872 I am proud of the bipartisan collaboration on the 3873 PATIENT Act, especially the reauthorization of several 3874 important public health programs and the cancellation of 3875 scheduled Medicaid Disproportionate Share Hospital cuts, 3876 otherwise known as DSH cuts.

I am particularly pleased at the progress we have made on the reauthorization of the Community Health Center Fund and National Health Service Corps that is based on H.R. 2559, the Strengthening Community Care Act, a bill I co-authored with my colleagues, Representatives Fletcher, Joyce, and Stefanik.

3883 The PATIENT Act would extend the Community Health Center 3884 Fund and National Health Service Corps for over two years, 3885 and includes a much-needed increase. Although the increase 3886 does not fully address the financial challenges health 3887 centers are facing, this legislation will provide near-term 3888 stability and predictability to health centers nationwide.

A strong health care center network is integral to promoting health equity and increasing access to primary and preventative care to people at every stage of life. This makes the timely reauthorization of this program all the more

3893 critical.

3894 The National Health Service Corps is another important 3895 program we are reauthorizing today. This program directly 3896 mitigates health care provider shortages by bringing 3897 physicians, nurses, and dentists to the country's most 3898 underserved areas. In exchange for their commitment to an 3899 underserved community, the program provides loan repayment 3900 and scholarships to over 20,000 providers nationally.

Failure to continue this program would exacerbate the health care provider shortages already impacting nearly every community in America. So I applaud my colleagues for their work to ensure the timely reauthorization of this program.

Finally, I am pleased that we are supporting our 3905 3906 hospitals by canceling the cuts to the Medicaid 3907 Disproportionate Share Hospital program. The Medicaid DSH 3908 program helps hospitals close budget gaps from providing care to un and under-insured people, thereby providing financially 3909 3910 -- financial stability and the ability to continue serving our nation's most vulnerable. Without this bill, hospitals 3911 face an \$8 billion annual cut which would fall hardest on our 3912 safety net hospitals. 3913

I am pleased we will continue providing vital support to 185

3915 our hospitals, and I urge my colleagues to support this bill. Thank you, and I yield back. 3916 *The Chair. [Presiding] The gentlelady yields back. 3917 3918 The chair recognizes Mrs. Trahan for five minutes to strike 3919 the last word. 3920 *Mrs. Trahan. I move to strike the last word in support 3921 of the underlying bill. 3922 *The Chair. The gentlelady is recognized. 3923 *Mrs. Trahan. I thank the chair. 3924 In the district I represent, Greater Lawrence Family 3925 Health Center proudly operates a Teaching Health Center. In fact, it was the first Teaching Health Center established 3926 3927 under HRSA's Teaching Health Centers GME Program more than a 3928 decade ago. Since then, hundreds of residents have graduated 3929 from their program, including 11 this year. And as we speak,

3930 another 32 residents are currently training in their 4-year 3931 curriculum that exposes family medicine specialists to an 3932 array of medical needs in one of the most diverse communities 3933 in Massachusetts.

The success we have seen at Greater Lawrence Family Health Center is far from an outlier. In fact, during the past academic year, THC GME Program supported nearly 1,000

3937 residents at 72 Community Health Centers across the country.
3938 And as Congressman Ruiz mentioned, many of those physicians
3939 will go on to serve traditionally underserved communities
3940 that their health centers cater to.

3941 A HRSA Commission study found that 82 percent of 3942 Teaching Health Center GME residents will go on to practice 3943 in the specialties they trained in, and over half will 3944 practice in medically underserved areas. That means that, 3945 with each passing academic year, we are adding more and more 3946 trained physicians in communities that desperately need primary care specialists: something that is more important 3947 than ever, as we face critical workforce shortages across the 3948 3949 health care industry.

Recently, Lowell Community Health Center, the health center based in my hometown, received a grant to plan and develop a new Teaching Health Center primary care residency training program in family medicine. This is particularly exciting for those of us who have seen the tremendous results down the road in Lawrence and want to replicate that in Lowell.

3957 However, while HRSA awarded more than 90 planning and 3958 development grants over the past 3 years, there are

3959 challenges for health centers to achieve THC status. That is why I am particularly pleased that our bill today would 3960 3961 reauthorize this program for six years, the longest period 3962 this committee has ever reauthorized it, and would permit 3963 greater certainty for medical students. This will allow for Community Health Centers like Lowell to have greater clarity 3964 3965 and certainty that they will be able to build up their THC 3966 Program beyond the planning and development stage.

3967 I thank my colleagues on the committee for their support 3968 for this program, and I look forward to working with them to 3969 make this funding permanent to provide even greater assurance 3970 for THC programs across the country.

3971 Madam Chair, I would also like to underscore the 3972 importance of ensuring health centers aren't left hanging out 3973 to dry if there is a funding cliff later this year. 3974 Community Health Centers in Massachusetts alone serve more 3975 than one million patients each year, and the grant funding we 3976 are moving through committee today is critical to their operations. We must ensure that there is not a loss of 3977 funding, which would be devastating as we continue to improve 3978 access to health care for all communities. 3979

3980 And finally, as this committee continues this important

work of lowering health care costs for hardworking families, promoting price transparency, and encouraging competition among providers, it is imperative that we keep in mind the essential hospitals across our nation who have been on the front lines for the past three years, helping us navigate extremely challenging times.

3987 Many safety net hospitals continue to struggle 3988 financially today, and we have a duty to ensure these 3989 hospitals can keep their doors open and continue delivering 3990 the best care possible in the communities they serve. That 3991 is why I am working with some members on our committee to draft and pass a Federal definition of "essential hospitals' ' 3992 3993 to set the basis for more appropriate reimbursement for these 3994 facilities. I am eager to partner with my colleagues on both 3995 sides of the aisle on this important issue.

3996 I thank the chair once again, and I yield back the 3997 balance of my time.

3998 *The Chair. The gentlelady yields back. The chair 3999 recognizes Mrs. Fletcher for five minutes to strike the last 4000 word.

4001 *Mrs. Fletcher. Thank you, Chairwoman McMorris Rodgers.
4002 I am glad that the bipartisan Strengthening Community

4003 Care Act is included in H.R. 3561. And like our colleagues, 4004 Representatives Joyce and Blunt Rochester, who have spoken 4005 already on this bill, as well as Congresswoman Stefanik, I 4006 want to thank them for working with me on this critical 4007 legislation to reauthorize Federal funding for Community 4008 Health Centers and the National Health Service Corps through 4009 2028.

This reauthorization includes a much-needed increase in funding that will provide health care centers and health care providers the tools and resources they need to ensure positive health outcomes. And these Community Health Centers play an essential role in providing health care to vulnerable populations in my district in Houston and more than 30 million Americans across our country.

4017 Community Health Centers are particularly critical in 4018 Texas, where we, unfortunately, have the highest uninsured 4019 population in the country, something that we can and should 4020 address by expanding Medicaid. But in Houston alone, these 4021 health centers serve more than 322,000 patients, 40 percent 4022 of them uninsured.

4023The National Health Service Corps ensures that these4024health centers continue to have the health professionals that

4025	they need to provide quality care. So I am glad to see this
4026	important bill advance through the committee, and I thank you
4027	for including it in the bill.
4028	And with that, I yield back.
4029	*The Chair. The gentlelady yields back. Is there
4030	further discussion?
4031	Any further amendments?
4032	Mr. Griffith.
4033	*Mr. Griffith. Madam Chair.
4034	*The Chair. Yes.
4035	*Mr. Griffith. I would like to now make an amendment to
4036	the amendment in the nature of a substitute currently before
4037	us.
4038	*The Chair. The gentleman the clerk will report the
4039	amendment.
4040	*The Clerk. Amendment to the amendment in the nature of
4041	a substitute to H.R. 3561, offered by Mr. Griffith. Page 33,
4042	line 19, strike "companies,'` and insert "funds'`; page 35,
4043	line 20, strike "company,'` and insert "fund'`; page 35, line
4044	21, strike "company,'` and insert "fund'`; page 35, line 21,
4045	strike "fund'' and all that follows, and insert "fund, has
4046	the meaning given such term, such term ends, section 279.9.' '
	191

4047	*The Chair. Is dispensed with.
4048	[The amendment of Mr. Griffith follows:]
4049	
4050	**********COMMITTEE INSERT********
4051	

4052 *The Chair. The gentleman is recognized for five 4053 minutes. 4054 *Mr. Griffith. Thank you, Madam Chair. 4055 The amendment to the amendment in the nature of a 4056 substitute adds technical changes -- that you were just riveted by -- in part. And it also includes H.R. 3285, the 4057 4058 Fairness for Patient Medications Act, which was approved by 4059 the subcommittee, and I am pleased to talk about that for a 4060 couple of minutes, if I might. 4061 We buy health insurance so that if we get sick we are 4062 not financially devastated by the high cost associated with the health care that we receive. That said, costs are too 4063 4064 high. 4065 With respect to prescription drugs, we have heard in our 4066 hearings that the so-called incentives from PBMs to insurers 4067 can actually lead to patients paying higher prices for 4068 certain medicines. It can even mean a patient could, in some 4069 cases, pay more for a medicine than the insurance company 4070 with whom they have coverage. 4071 Using competition to lower prices makes sense, but using competition to raise prices by limiting access to the 4072 4073 marketplace is unconscionable. That is why I introduced the

4074 Fairness for Patient Medications Act, which is going to be 4075 incorporated now into the ANS to ensure that the insurance 4076 companies and PBMs cannot charge patients more than the price 4077 that they themselves negotiate for certain drugs.

4078 Under my bill the Secretary of HHS will use existing reporting data to certify a highly rebated drug as any drug 4079 4080 whose rebates' discounts equal 50 percent or more than 4081 spending on the drug. If the drug is highly rebated, a 4082 patient would not be required to pay more than the insurance company is paying for the drug from the previous year, once 4083 4084 all of the discounts are accounted for. For a highly rebated 4085 drug, patients won't pay more than the insurer pays for the drug. This is common-sense legislation, and we have to 4086 4087 follow the bouncing ball, and it gets complicated.

4088 But PBMs can pit manufacturers against one another to 4089 see who will offer them the largest rebates for competing 4090 medicines. The winners get preferred access to patients 4091 enrolled in health insurance plans serviced by those PBMs. 4092 So manufacturers are rewarded with market access by making 4093 list prices higher. Higher prices allow manufacturers to give PBMs higher rebates. But even with the rebates, PBMs 4094 4095 are often paid a percentage of the list price, so they make

4096 more money if the list price is higher.

Further, even though the final price of the drugs after rebates may be significantly less than the list price, to some patients these list prices matter. Some health insurance plans actually base what a patient pays at the pharmacy as a percentage of the drug's list price, and some still pay cash. This bill will ensure that patients receive the savings from those rebates, not the PBMs.

4104 During our legislative hearing on April 26th, the 4105 Pharmaceutical Care Management Association, the trade 4106 association for PBMs, told me they were actually aware of 4107 patients paying more than the price the insurers charge in 4108 certain circumstances. According to one estimate, a five 4109 milligram oral tablet of Eliquis, a common blood clot drug, 4110 has an estimated net price after rebates of \$73.14. This 4111 should be the maximum price that a patient has to pay. 4112 Instead, the drug has an estimated retail price of \$387.39. 4113 This is the cost the insurers can charge because of a rebate 4114 system middlemen PBMs use that raises the list price of 4115 medicines.

4116In other words, if your copay is 20 percent of the list4117price, you could actually pay more in a so-called co-pay than

4118	your insurer is paying for the medicine. This bill will
4119	ensure patients with insurance would not pay more than the
4120	net price paid by their insurance company. It is a common-
4121	sense bill for fairness, and I hope that it will be adopted,
4122	this amendment will be adopted so it becomes a part of the
4123	underlying ANS, and then it will go on to the floor to be
4124	passed, and hopefully the Senate will concur.
4125	And I yield back.
4126	*The Chair. The gentleman yields back. Is there
4127	further discussion on the amendment?
4128	The chair recognizes the ranking member for five minutes
4129	on the amendment.
4130	*Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Madam Chair.
4130 4131	*Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Madam Chair. This bipartisan bill will require hospitals and
4131	This bipartisan bill will require hospitals and
4131 4132	This bipartisan bill will require hospitals and physician practices to disclose ownership data, including for
4131 4132 4133	This bipartisan bill will require hospitals and physician practices to disclose ownership data, including for entities owned by private equity firms and venture capital
4131 4132 4133 4134	This bipartisan bill will require hospitals and physician practices to disclose ownership data, including for entities owned by private equity firms and venture capital firms. And the bill will help increase transparency of
4131 4132 4133 4134 4135	This bipartisan bill will require hospitals and physician practices to disclose ownership data, including for entities owned by private equity firms and venture capital firms. And the bill will help increase transparency of hospital ownership and provide greater insight into hospital
4131 4132 4133 4134 4135 4136	This bipartisan bill will require hospitals and physician practices to disclose ownership data, including for entities owned by private equity firms and venture capital firms. And the bill will help increase transparency of hospital ownership and provide greater insight into hospital and provider consolidation.

4140 Billions of dollars are being invested into the health care system by private entities, many with unclear ownership 4141 4142 structures. And what we are seeing in health care is that 4143 these investments are, in many cases, being used to extract 4144 all the value out of health care providers, ultimately 4145 leading to collapse of hospitals and practices, and leaving 4146 communities without options. Earlier this year, Envision Health Care, backed by private equity firm KKR, filed for 4147 4148 bankruptcy.

4149 Our health care infrastructure is becoming increasingly 4150 unstable because of these practices. Policymakers and 4151 regulators need better visibility into the ownership 4152 structures, particularly from private equity and venture 4153 capital firms that have led to reducing patient access and 4154 increasing prices for consumers.

My understanding is that we share the same policy goal. There can be no loopholes for private equity firms to avoid the transparency required under this bill, and I believe it is critical that we get this right. And my understanding is that -- and I am, of course, referring to you, Madam Chair --I believe it is critical that we get this right, and my understanding is that you share the same goals, and that we

4162	will work together to ensure that the definitions in this
4163	bill do not allow anyone to escape security because I think
4164	it is critical that we shine a light on ownership at all
4165	levels of our health care system.
4166	And I yield to you or at this point.
4167	*The Chair. That would be great. Thank you, I
4168	appreciate the gentleman yielding.
4169	I understand the importance of getting the reporting
4170	provision right. We need to be able to understand the entire
4171	scope of consolidation within the health care system.
4172	And our system is complex. Getting those answers will
4173	require careful, technically sound policy. I commit to
4174	working with Mr. Pallone to ensure that the bill is
4175	technically sound, and get us those answers.
4176	I yield back.
4177	*Mr. Pallone. And thank you, and I yield back, as well.
4178	*The Chair. The gentleman yields back. Is there
4179	further discussion on the amendment?
4180	Seeing none, the vote occurs on the Morgan Amendment to
4181	the ANS.
4182	All those in favor, say aye.
4183	Those opposed, nay.
	198

4184	The ayes have it. The amendment is adopted.
4185	Are there further amendments, further amendments?
4186	Mr. Pallone is recognized.
4187	*Mr. Pallone. I have an amendment at the desk. Thank
4188	you, Madam Chair. It is H3561FedAMD02.
4189	*The Chair. The clerk will report the amendment.
4190	*The Clerk. Amendment to the amendment in the nature of
4191	a substitute to H.R. 3561 -
4192	*The Chair. Without objection, the reading of the
4193	amendment is dispensed with.
4194	[The amendment of Mr. Pallone follows:]
4195	
4196	********COMMITTEE INSERT********
4197	

4198 *The Chair. I recognize Mr. Pallone for five minutes on 4199 the amendment.

4200 *Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Madam Chair.

I am proud to offer this amendment to the PATIENT Act that will extend funding for critical public health programs for an additional 3 months, ensuring that they are fully funded through calendar year 2025.

This amendment will provide additional funds and a longer authorization for Community Health Centers, the National Health Service Corps, the National -- the Special Diabetes Program, and the Special Diabetes Program for Indians. These are crucial components of our health care safety net, and I am glad they will have the additional certainty that this funding will provide.

4212 This amendment also strengthens policies to bring lower-4213 cost generic drugs to market faster by incorporating the full 4214 policy originally introduced by Representative Kuster. This 4215 policy will eliminate unnecessary, lengthy correspondence 4216 with FDA and generic drug sponsors regarding inactive 4217 ingredient formulations. The language further streamlines the application and development process for generic drug 4218 sponsors, and will bring more competition to the market. 4219

4220	So I want to commend Representative Kuster for
4221	continuing to fight for this language, and working to bring
4222	costs down for American families.
4223	And again, I want to thank the Chair for her willingness
4224	to work with us to arrive at this agreement.
4225	I am glad that these programs will have a longer runway
4226	of increased funding, and not have to suffer through
4227	uncertainty at the end of fiscal year 2025.
4228	And again, I am proud that we were able to arrive at
4229	this compromise, and urge my colleagues to join me in
4230	supporting this amendment.
4231	With that, I yield back, Madam Chair.
4232	*The Chair. The gentleman yields back. Is there
4233	further discussion on the amendment?
4234	Seeing none, the vote oh, yes, the lady from
4235	Michigan, Mrs. Dingell, is recognized for five minutes to
4236	strike the last word.
4237	*Mrs. Dingell. Thank you, Madam Chairwoman. I was
4238	going to offer an amendment and withdraw it, but I know we
4239	don't want to, and may still be here after general debate and
4240	votes today. But I do want to make a point for the record.
4241	I offered this amendment at the subcommittee level. We
	201

4242	need to be investing more, not less, in these community			
4243	health services. They are really filling a need as the			
4244	pandemic ends and people don't have access to health care.			
4245	And it is more important than ever, because we may be done			
4246	with COVID but COVID is not done with us. People need to not			
4247	be afraid to go to the doctor, they need to be able to get			
4248	the immunizations and the medicine they need.			
4249	And I will make that point for the record, and not even			
4250	offer the amendment. Thank you, and I yield back.			
4251	*The Chair. The gentlelady yields back. Is there			
4252	further discussion on the amendment?			
4253	Seeing none, the vote occurs on the Pallone amendment to			
4254	the ANS.			
4255	All those in favor, say aye.			
4256	Those opposed, nay.			
4257	The ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Is there -			
4258	- are there further amendments?			
4259	*Mrs. Fletcher. Madam Chair, I have an amendment at the			
4260	desk.			
4261	*The Chair. The clerk will report the amendment.			
4262	*The Clerk. Amendment to the amendment in the nature of			
4263	a substitute to H.R. 3561, offered by Mrs. Fletcher. Page 202			

4264	99, line 16
4265	*The Chair. Without objection, the reading of the
4266	amendment will be dispensed with.
4267	[The amendment of Mrs. Fletcher follows:]
4268	
4269	*********COMMITTEE INSERT********
4270	

4271 *The Chair. The lady is recognized, the gentlelady from Texas is recognized for five minutes on her amendment. 4272 4273 *Mrs. Fletcher. Thank you, Chairwoman McMorris Rodgers. 4274 As I said earlier, I am glad that the Strengthening 4275 Community Care Act has been included in this bill. T am 4276 disappointed, however, that language applying the Hyde 4277 Amendment to the Community Health Center Fund and the 4278 National Health Service Corps is included in it. That is why 4279 I am introducing this amendment to strike the language 4280 including these provisions from this bill.

The Hyde Amendment, which many on this committee are familiar with, has been used since 1976 to block Federal Medicaid funding for abortion services, and has been extended to other Federal programs.

4285 Every woman in the United States of America should be 4286 able to access the full range of reproductive health care services and to make her own decisions about her reproductive 4287 4288 health care with autonomy and dignity. The Hyde Amendment 4289 stands in the way of this ability and of the fundamental 4290 equality of those whose care falls under it. This is really an issue of equality, fairness, and justice, and it affects 4291 4292 real people, people that we all represent.

4293 In my home state of Texas, which has effectively eliminated access to abortion care, we see vividly the 4294 42.95 effects that provisions like this one can have for patients 4296 denied access to care. I met recently with a Texas woman who 4297 was denied abortion care after she experienced pre-term, prelabor rupture of membranes at 18 weeks of pregnancy. She was 4298 4299 denied abortion care under Texas law. Three days later she 4300 showed signs of an infection and was diagnosed with sepsis, 4301 which my physician colleagues here know is a life-threatening condition. Although doctors then performed an emergency 4302 4303 abortion, only once her life was clearly threatened, she 4304 spent the next three days in the ICU fighting for her life. 4305 She ultimately survived, but the infection caused permanent 4306 damage, compromising her ability to have children in the 4307 future.

This is not an isolated story. There are a lot of stories like this that I am hearing from patients and providers, their doctors in my district in Houston, who cannot meet the standard of care for their patients, and they cannot meet them under these kinds of constraints. And this story, as I mentioned, isn't isolated. This patient and 12 others are suing the State of Texas over this vague law that

4315 prevents access to essential abortion care in circumstances like this, circumstances like what we may see here. 4316 4317 And we have to ask the question, what happens to poor 4318 women in this situation? What happens to the women who are 4319 relying on Medicaid? What happens to women who are getting their care at Community Health Centers? 4320 4321 As I said earlier, every woman, every woman in this 4322 country should be able to access the full range of 4323 reproductive health care services and to make her own decisions with autonomy and dignity. The Hyde Amendment, as 4324 4325 I said, stands in the way of this, and that is why I hope 4326 that all of my colleagues here will join me by voting for 4327 this amendment and removing the Hyde Amendment from this 4328 important and good legislation. 4329 Thank you, and I yield back. 4330 *The Chair. The gentlelady yields back. The chair recognizes herself to strike the last word. 4331 4332 As I said last week, abortion is the most divisive issue 4333 in America. It pierces my heart. It pierces every heart. The question before this committee today, as many times 4334 before, is whether taxpayer money should be used to fund 4335 abortions. We know the vast majority of Americans believe 4336 206

4337 taxpayer dollars should not be used to fund abortions or 4338 subsidize insurance plans that cover abortions.

The Hyde Amendment has been the law of the land for decades, with support from both Republicans and Democrats. Specifically for Community Health Centers, Teaching Health Centers, and the National Health Service Corps, Hyde has always been applied to mandatory funding. The last three times we have provided mandatory funding, Congress has applied Hyde protections in a bipartisan way.

However, the Democrats on our committee are now proposing to eliminate Hyde for these programs. It is just the latest example of the Democrats' extreme abortion agenda. Until recently, abandoning Hyde protections wasn't the position of President Biden, someone who once adamantly defended the Hyde Amendment.

I want to be clear that I am not talking about people's opinions regarding legality of abortion or access to abortion services. The issue before us in this amendment today is whether it is right to federally subsidize abortion. It is not the will of the American people. They do not want to be forced to fund something with their taxpayer dollars that is against their conscience.

4359 And I yield back. Is there further discussion? The chair recognizes the gentlelady from Washington for 4360 five minutes on the -- to strike the last word. 4361 4362 *Ms. Schrier. Thank you, Madam Chair. I move to strike 4363 the last word, and I want to speak in support of Mrs. Fletcher's amendment because simply having the precedent of 4364 4365 Congress doing the wrong thing many times in adding this Hyde 4366 Amendment to Federal spending packages doesn't mean it is the 4367 right thing to do. 4368 In fact, the vast majority of Americans understand that 4369 abortion care is routine health care, and that 25 percent of 4370 American women at some point in their lives will have an 4371 abortion. 4372 They also understand that whether you can access this 4373 basic medical care should not depend on your zip code, or 4374 your income, or whether you get your insurance through the military, or through the VA, or through Medicaid. 4375 4376 And so I will speak in favor of this amendment. Ι 4377 encourage my colleagues to vote for it, and to reverse this very wrong precedent of putting this limitation on poor 4378 4379 women. Thank you, I yield back. 4380

4381 *The Chair. The gentlelady yields back. *Mr. Bucshon. May I be brief, Madam Chair? 4382 4383 *The Chair. Mr. Bucshon. 4384 *Mr. Bucshon. Yes, I want to challenge the gentlelady's 4385 data saying a fourth of American women will have an abortion at some time in their life. That is conflating the issue. I 4386 4387 would like to see the data and where that stat is. Does that 4388 include ectopic pregnancies which aren't abortion? Does that 4389 include fetal demise, which isn't abortion? Does that --4390 which Mrs. Fletcher mentioned? If it does, those are not 4391 abortions. We are talking about live fetuses being aborted 4392 for the sole purpose of terminating a pregnancy. 4393 I yield. 4394 *The Chair. The gentleman yields back. Is there 4395 further discussion? 4396 Seeing none, the question occurs on the amendment offered by Mrs. Fletcher. 4397 4398 All those in favor, say aye. 4399 Those opposed, nay. 4400 The noes have it. The amendment is not agreed to. *Mr. Pallone. A recorded vote, Madam -4401 4402 *The Chair. You would like -- a recorded vote has been 209

4403	requested. The clerk will call the roll.
4404	*The Clerk. Mr. Burgess?
4405	*Mr. Burgess. Burgess votes no.
4406	*The Clerk. Mr. Burgess votes no.
4407	Mr. Latta?
4408	[No response.]
4409	*The Clerk. Mr. Guthrie?
4410	*Mr. Guthrie. No.
4411	*The Clerk. Mr. Guthrie votes no.
4412	Mr. Griffith?
4413	*Mr. Griffith. No.
4414	*The Clerk. Mr. Griffith votes no.
4415	Mr. Bilirakis?
4416	*Mr. Bilirakis. [Inaudible.]
4417	*The Clerk. Mr. Bilirakis votes no.
4418	Mr. Johnson?
4419	*Mr. Johnson. No.
4420	*The Clerk. Mr. Johnson votes no.
4421	Mr. Bucshon?
4422	*Mr. Bucshon. No.
4423	*The Clerk. Mr. Bucshon votes no.
4424	Mr. Hudson?
	210

4425	[No response.]
4426	*The Clerk. Mr. Walberg?
4427	*Mr. Walberg. No.
4428	*The Clerk. Mr. Walberg votes no.
4429	*Mr. Hudson. [Inaudible.]
4430	*The Clerk. Mr. Hudson?
4431	*Mr. Hudson. [Inaudible.]
4432	*The Clerk. Mr. Hudson votes no.
4433	Mr. Carter?
4434	*Mr. Carter. No.
4435	*The Clerk. Mr. Carter votes no.
4436	Mr. Duncan?
4437	*Mr. Duncan. [Inaudible.]
4438	*The Clerk. Mr. Duncan votes no.
4439	Mr. Palmer?
4440	*Mr. Palmer. No.
4441	*The Clerk. Mr. Palmer votes no.
4442	Mr. Dunn?
4443	*Mr. Dunn. No.
4444	*The Clerk. Mr. Dunne votes no.
4445	Mr. Curtis?
4446	*Mr. Curtis. [Inaudible.]

•

4447	*The Clerk.	Mr. Curtis	votes no.	
4448	Mrs. Lesko?			
4449	*Mrs. Lesko.	No.		
4450	*The Clerk.	Mrs. Lesko	votes no.	
4451	Mr. Pence?			
4452	*Mr. Pence.	No.		
4453	*The Clerk.	Mr. Pence v	otes no.	
4454	Mr. Crenshaw?			
4455	[No response.	.]		
4456	*The Clerk.	Mr. Joyce?		
4457	*Mr. Joyce.	[Inaudible.]	
4458	*The Clerk.	Mr. Joyce v	otes no.	
4459	Mr. Armstrong	1;		
4460	*Mr. Armstror	ng. [Inaudi	ble.]	
4461	*The Clerk.	Mr. Armstro	ng votes no	
4462	Mr. Weber?			
4463	*Mr. Weber.	[Inaudible.]	
4464	*The Clerk.	Mr. Weber v	otes no.	
4465	Mr. Allen?			
4466	[No response.	.]		
4467	*The Clerk.	Mr. Balders	on?	
4468	*Mr. Balderso	on. [Inaudi	ble.]	
			212	

4469	*The Clerk. Mr. Balderson votes no.
4470	Mr. Fulcher?
4471	*Mr. Fulcher. [Inaudible.]
4472	*The Clerk. Mr. Fulcher votes no.
4473	Mr. Pfluger?
4474	*Mr. Pfluger. [Inaudible.]
4475	*The Clerk. Mr. Pfluger votes no.
4476	Mrs. Harshbarger?
4477	*Mrs. Harshbarger. [Inaudible.]
4478	*The Clerk. Mrs. Harshbarger votes no.
4479	Mrs. Miller-Meeks?
4480	*Mrs. Miller-Meeks. [Inaudible.]
4481	*The Clerk. Mrs. Miller-Meeks votes no.
4482	Mrs. Cammack?
4483	*Mrs. Cammack. [Inaudible.]
4484	*The Clerk. Mrs. Cammack votes no.
4485	Mr. Obernolte?
4486	[No response.]
4487	*The Clerk. Mr. Pallone?
4488	*Mr. Pallone. [Inaudible.]
4489	*The Clerk. Mr. Pallone votes aye.
4490	Ms. Eshoo?
	010

4491	*Ms. Eshoo. [Inaudible.]
4492	*The Clerk. Ms. Eshoo votes aye.
4493	Ms. DeGette?
4494	[No response.]
4495	*The Clerk. Ms. Schakowsky?
4496	*Ms. Schakowsky. Aye.
4497	*The Clerk. Ms. Schakowsky votes aye.
4498	Ms. Matsui?
4499	*Ms. Matsui. [Inaudible.]
4500	*The Clerk. Ms. Matsui votes aye.
4501	Ms. Castor?
4502	*Ms. Castor. [Inaudible.]
4503	*The Clerk. Ms. Castor votes aye.
4504	Mr. Sarbanes?
4505	*Mr. Sarbanes. [Inaudible.]
4506	*The Clerk. Mr. Sarbanes votes aye.
4507	Mr. Tonko?
4508	[No response.]
4509	*The Clerk. Ms. Clarke?
4510	*Ms. Clarke. [Inaudible.]
4511	*The Clerk. Ms. Clarke votes aye.
4512	Mr. Cardenas?

4513	*Mr. Cardenas. [Inaudible.]
4514	*The Clerk. Mr. Cardenas votes aye.
4515	Mr. Ruiz?
4516	*Mr. Ruiz. [Inaudible.]
4517	*The Clerk. Mr. Ruiz votes aye.
4518	Mr. Peters?
4519	*Mr. Peters. Aye.
4520	*The Clerk. Mr. Peters votes aye.
4521	Mrs. Dingell?
4522	*Mrs. Dingell. [Inaudible.]
4523	*The Clerk. Mrs. Dingell votes aye.
4524	Mr. Veasey?
4525	*Mr. Veasey. Aye.
4526	*The Clerk. Mr. Veasey votes aye.
4527	Ms. Kuster?
4528	*Ms. Kuster. Aye.
4529	*The Clerk. Ms. Kuster votes aye.
4530	Ms. Kelly?
4531	*Ms. Kelly. Aye.
4532	*The Clerk. Ms. Kelly votes aye.
4533	Ms. Barragan?
4534	*Ms. Barragan. Aye.

4535	*The Clerk. Ms. Barragan votes aye.
4536	Ms. Blunt Rochester?
4537	*Ms. Blunt Rochester. [Inaudible.]
4538	*The Clerk. Ms. Blunt Rochester votes aye.
4539	Mr. Soto?
4540	*Mr. Soto. [Inaudible.]
4541	*The Clerk. Mr. Soto votes aye.
4542	Ms. Craig?
4543	*Ms. Craig. [Inaudible.]
4544	*The Clerk. Ms. Craig votes aye.
4545	Ms. Schrier?
4546	*Ms. Schrier. [Inaudible.]
4547	*The Clerk. Ms. Schrier votes aye.
4548	Mrs. Trahan?
4549	*Mrs. Trahan. [Inaudible.]
4550	*The Clerk. Mrs. Trahan votes aye.
4551	Mrs. Fletcher?
4552	*Mrs. Fletcher. [Inaudible.]
4553	*The Clerk. Mrs. Fletcher votes aye.
4554	Chair Rodgers?
4555	*The Chair. [Inaudible.]
4556	*The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes no.
	216

4557	Mr. Latta is not recorded.
4558	*Mr. Latta. [Inaudible.]
4559	*The Clerk. Mr. Latta votes no.
4560	Mr. Allen is not recorded.
4561	*Mr. Allen. Allen votes no.
4562	*The Clerk. Mr. Allen votes no.
4563	[Pause.]
4564	*The Clerk. Mr. Johnson?
4565	I have Mr. Johnson recorded as no.
4566	*Mr. Crenshaw. Crenshaw -
4567	*The Clerk. Mr. Crenshaw is not recorded.
4568	*Mr. Crenshaw. [Inaudible.]
4569	*The Clerk. Mr. Crenshaw votes no.
4570	[Pause.]
4571	*The Clerk. Chair Rodgers, on that vote there were 28
4572	ayes and 21 noes.
4573	I am sorry, Chair Rodgers, it was 21 ayes and 28 noes.
4574	*The Chair. Are there further amendments?
4575	Ms. Schakowsky.
4576	*Ms. Schakowsky. Madam Chair, I have an amendment at
4577	the desk.
4578	*The Chair. The clerk will report.

4579	*The Clerk. Amendment to the amendment in the nature of
4580	a substitute to H.R. 3561, offered by Ms. Schakowsky of
4581	Illinois.
4582	*The Chair. Without objection, the reading of the
4583	amendment will be dispensed with.
4584	[The amendment of Ms. Schakowsky follows:]
4585	
4586	********COMMITTEE INSERT********
4587	

4588 *The Chair. The lady is recognized for five minutes in 4589 support of her amendment.

4590 *Ms. Schakowsky. Thank you, Madam Chair. My amendment 4591 would require ambulatory surgical centers to report their 4592 costs.

In 2020, ambulatory surgical centers received 5.7 billion dollars in Medicare payments and saw a 23 percent payment increase in the form of fees for service benefits, yet, little is really known about the cost of ambulatory surgical centers have incurred and Medicare beneficiaries are using those services, of course.

4599 My practical amendment would require that these 4600 facilities have their reporting data on their surgical 4601 services and help us to get a picture of exactly what the 4602 true costs are.

4603 It would also help in determining whether Medicare, 4604 whatever Medicare is paying ambulatory surgical centers, and 4605 whether or not that amount of money is fair.

Now, we have talked to you, Madame chair, and I want to thank you for saying that you would work with us and work with me in discussion this issue. And so at this point, I will withdraw the amendment so that we can go forward

4610	together.
4611	*The Chair. The gentlelady withdraws her amendment. We
4612	will continue to work on it.
4613	*Ms. Schakowsky. Thank you.
4614	*The Chair. She yields back. Are there further
4615	amendments?
4616	Seeing none, the vote occurs on the amendment, in the
4617	nature of a substitute, as amended.
4618	All those in favor, say aye.
4619	Those opposed, nay?
4620	The ayes have it. The amendment is adopted.
4621	The vote now occurs on 3561, as amended.
4622	Clerk will call the roll.
4623	*The Clerk. Mr. Burgess?
4624	*Mr. Burgess. Burgess votes aye.
4625	*The Clerk. Mr. Burgess votes aye.
4626	Mr. Latta?
4627	*Mr. Latta. Aye.
4628	*The Clerk. Mr. Latta votes aye.
4629	Mr. Guthrie?
4630	*Mr. Guthrie. Aye.
4631	*The Clerk. Mr. Guthrie votes aye.
	220

4632	Mr. Griffith?
4633	*Mr. Griffith. Aye.
4634	*The Clerk. Mr. Griffith votes aye.
4635	Mr. Bilirakis?
4636	*Mr. Bilirakis. Aye.
4637	*The Clerk. Mr. Bilirakis votes aye.
4638	Mr. Johnson?
4639	*Mr. Johnson. Aye.
4640	*The Clerk. Mr. Johnson votes aye.
4641	Mr. Bucshon?
4642	*Mr. Bucshon. Aye.
4643	*The Clerk. Mr. Bucshon votes aye.
4644	Mr. Hudson?
4645	*Mr. Hudson. Aye.
4646	*The Clerk. Mr. Hudson votes aye.
4647	Mr. Walberg?
4648	*Mr. Walberg. Aye.
4649	*The Clerk. Mr. Walberg votes aye.
4650	Mr. Carter?
4651	*Mr. Carter. Aye.
4652	*The Clerk. Mr. Carter votes aye.
4653	Mr. Duncan?
	201

4654	*Mr. Duncan. Aye.
4655	*The Clerk. Mr. Duncan votes aye.
4656	Mr. Palmer?
4657	*Mr. Palmer. Aye.
4658	*The Clerk. Mr. Palmer votes aye.
4659	Mr. Dunn?
4660	*Mr. Dunn. Aye.
4661	*The Clerk. Mr. Dunn votes aye.
4662	Mr. Curtis?
4663	*Mr. Curtis. Aye.
4664	*The Clerk. Mr. Curtis votes aye.
4665	Mrs. Lesko?
4666	*Mrs. Lesko. Aye.
4667	*The Clerk. Mrs. Lesko votes aye.
4668	Mr. Pence?
4669	*Mr. Pence. Aye.
4670	*The Clerk. Mr. Pence votes aye.
4671	Mr. Crenshaw?
4672	*Mr. Crenshaw. Aye.
4673	*The Clerk. Mr. Crenshaw votes aye.
4674	Mr. Joyce?
4675	*Mr. Joyce. Aye.

4676	*The Clerk. Mr. Joyce votes aye.
4677	Mr. Armstrong?
4678	*Mr. Armstrong. Yes.
4679	*The Clerk. Mr. Armstrong votes aye.
4680	Mr. Weber?
4681	*Mr. Weber. Aye.
4682	*The Clerk. Mr. Weber votes aye.
4683	Mr. Allen?
4684	*Mr. Allen. Aye.
4685	*The Clerk. Mr. Allen votes aye.
4686	Mr. Balderson?
4687	*Mr. Balderson. Aye.
4688	*The Clerk. Mr. Balderson votes aye.
4689	Mr. Fulcher?
4690	*Mr. Fulcher. Aye.
4691	*The Clerk. Mr. Fulcher votes aye.
4692	Mr. Pfluger?
4693	*Mr. Pfluger. Aye.
4694	*The Clerk. Mr. Pfluger votes aye.
4695	Mrs. Harshbarger?
4696	*Mrs. Harshbarger. Aye.
4697	*The Clerk. Mrs. Harshbarger votes aye.

4698	Mrs. Miller-Meeks?
4699	*Mrs. Miller-Meeks. Aye.
4700	*The Clerk. Mrs. Miller-Meeks votes aye.
4701	Mrs. Cammack?
4702	[No response.]
4703	*The Clerk. Mr. Obernolte?
4704	[No response.]
4705	*The Clerk. Mr. Pallone?
4706	*Mr. Pallone. Aye.
4707	*The Clerk. Mr. Pallone votes aye.
4708	Ms. Eshoo?
4709	*Ms. Eshoo. Aye.
4710	*The Clerk. Ms. Eshoo votes aye.
4711	Ms. DeGette?
4712	[No response.]
4713	*The Clerk. Ms. Schakowsky?
4714	*Ms. Schakowsky. Aye.
4715	*The Clerk. Ms. Schakowsky votes aye.
4716	Ms. Matsui?
4717	*Ms. Matsui. Aye.
4718	*The Clerk. Ms. Matsui votes aye.
4719	Ms. Castor?
	224

 4721 *The Clerk. Ms. Castor votes aye. 4722 Mr. Sarbanes? 4723 *Mr. Sarbanes. Aye. 4724 *The Clerk. Mr. Sarbanes votes aye. 	
4723 *Mr. Sarbanes. Aye.	
-	
4724 *The Clerk. Mr. Sarbanes votes aye	
4725 Mr. Tonko?	
4726 [No response.]	
4727 *The Clerk. Ms. Clarke?	
4728 *Ms. Clarke. Aye.	
4729 *The Clerk. Ms. Clarke votes aye.	
4730 Mr. Cardenas?	
4731 *Mr. Cardenas. Aye.	
4732 *The Clerk. Mr. Cardenas votes aye	
4733 Mr. Ruiz?	
4734 *Mr. Ruiz. Aye.	
4735 *The Clerk. Mr. Ruiz votes aye.	
4736 Mr. Peters?	
4737 *Mr. Peters. Aye.	
4738 *The Clerk. Mr. Peters votes aye.	
4739 Mrs. Dingell?	
4740 *Mrs. Dingell. Aye.	
4741 *The Clerk. Mrs. Dingell votes aye	

4742	Mr. Veasey?
4743	*Mr. Veasey. Aye.
4744	*The Clerk. Mr. Veasey votes aye.
4745	Ms. Kuster?
4746	*Ms. Kuster. Aye.
4747	*The Clerk. Ms. Kuster votes aye.
4748	Ms. Kelly?
4749	*Ms. Kelly. Aye.
4750	*The Clerk. Ms. Kelly votes aye.
4751	*The Clerk. Ms. Barragan.
4752	*Ms. Barragan. Aye.
4753	*The Clerk. Ms. Barragan votes aye.
4754	Ms. Blunt Rochester?
4755	*Ms. Blunt Rochester. Aye.
4756	*The Clerk. Ms. Blunt Rochester votes aye.
4757	Mr. Soto?
4758	*Mr. Soto. Aye.
4759	*The Clerk. Mr. Soto votes aye.
4760	Ms. Craig?
4761	*Ms. Craig. Aye.
4762	*The Clerk. Ms. Craig votes aye.
4763	Ms. Schrier?

4764	*Ms. Schrier. Aye.
4765	*The Clerk. Ms. Schrier votes aye.
4766	Mrs. Trahan?
4767	*Mrs. Trahan. Aye.
4768	*The Clerk. Mrs. Trahan votes aye.
4769	Mrs. Fletcher?
4770	*Mrs. Fletcher. Aye.
4771	*The Clerk. Mrs. Fletcher votes aye.
4772	Chair Rodgers?
4773	*The Chair. Aye.
4774	*The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes aye.
4775	*The Chair. Is there any other member seeking to be
4776	recorded?
4777	*Mrs. Cammack. Cammack votes aye.
4778	*The Clerk. Mrs. Cammack votes aye.
4779	*The Chair. Clerk will report.
4780	*The Clerk. Chair Rodgers, on that vote there were 49
4781	ayes and zero noes.
4782	*The Chair. The ayes have it, the bill is adopted.
4783	The Chair calls up H.R. 3309, and asks the clerk to
4784	report.
4785	*The Clerk. H.R. 3309, a bill to amend the Middle-Class
	227

4786	tax relief and Job Creation Act of 2012, to establish a
4787	uniform fee schedule applicable to the process of the
4788	forms
4789	*The Chair. Without objection, the first reading of the
4790	bill is dispensed with and the bill will be open for
4791	amendment at any point. So ordered.
4792	Does anyone seek to be recognized on the bill?
4793	The gentleman from Alabama, Mr. Palmer, for what purpose
4794	do you seek recognition?
4795	*Mr. Palmer. Madam chair, I would like to speak in
4796	support of the Standard Fees Act.
4797	*The Chair. The gentleman is recognized for five
4798	minutes to strike the last word.
4799	*Mr. Palmer. I thank the gentlewoman.
4800	The Standard Fees Act is a great step forward in
4801	streamlining our nation's permitting process for
4802	telecommunications infrastructure. If passed, the Standard
4803	Fees Act would establish the common fee for the processing of
4804	applications for deploying communication facilities on
4805	federal property.
4806	The fee would be competitively neutral and would be
4807	allowed to cover the cost of granting an easement, right-of-
	228

way, or lease. The application process for placing 4808 4809 communication facilities on federal property has long been 4810 characterized by complex and often, ambiguous fees. The federal government manages a significant amount of 4811 4812 land near unserved communities, thus closing the digital 4813 divide requires deploying on this land. A standard fee schedule will help providers as they plan deployments and 4814 4815 help control the cost of deployment. 4816 This fee schedule will ensure that federal broadband money is wisely spent and that providers are focusing their 4817 4818 resources on closing the digital divide. I encourage my 4819 colleagues to support this bipartisan bill and I yield back. *Mr. Latta. [Presiding]. The gentleman yields back. 4820 4821 Are there other members wishing to speak on the bill? 4822 Are there any bipartisan amendments to the bill? Are there amendments to the bill? 4823 Hearing none, the question now occurs on approving H.R. 4824 4825 3309 and a roll call has been requested. The clerk will call 4826 the roll. 4827 *The Clerk. Mr. Burgess? *Mr. Burgess. Burgess votes aye. 4828 4829 *The Clerk. Mr. Burgess votes aye. 229

4830	Mr. Latta?
4831	*Mr. Latta. Aye.
4832	*The Clerk. Mr. Latta votes aye.
4833	Mr. Guthrie?
4834	*Mr. Guthrie. Aye.
4835	*The Clerk. Mr. Guthrie votes aye.
4836	Mr. Griffith?
4837	*Mr. Griffith. Aye.
4838	*The Clerk. Mr. Griffith votes aye.
4839	Mr. Bilirakis?
4840	*Mr. Bilirakis. Aye.
4841	*The Clerk. Mr. Bilirakis votes aye.
4842	Mr. Johnson?
4843	*Mr. Johnson. Aye.
4844	*The Clerk. Mr. Johnson votes aye.
4845	Mr. Bucshon?
4846	*Mr. Bucshon. Aye.
4847	*The Clerk. Mr. Bucshon votes aye.
4848	Mr. Hudson?
4849	*Mr. Hudson. Aye.
4850	*The Clerk. Mr. Hudson votes aye.
4851	Mr. Walberg?

4852	*Mr. Walberg. Aye.
4853	*The Clerk. Mr. Walberg votes aye.
4854	Mr. Carter?
4855	*Mr. Carter. Aye.
4856	*The Clerk. Mr. Carter votes aye.
4857	Mr. Duncan?
4858	*Mr. Duncan. Aye.
4859	*The Clerk. Mr. Duncan votes aye.
4860	Mr. Palmer?
4861	*Mr. Palmer. Aye.
4862	*The Clerk. Mr. Palmer votes aye.
4863	Mr. Dunn?
4864	*Mr. Dunn. Aye.
4865	*The Clerk. Mr. Dunn votes aye.
4866	Mr. Curtis?
4867	*Mr. Curtis. Aye.
4868	*The Clerk. Mr. Curtis votes aye.
4869	Mrs. Lesko?
4870	*Mrs. Lesko. Aye.
4871	*The Clerk. Mrs. Lesko votes aye.
4872	Mr. Pence?
4873	*Mr. Pence. Aye.

4874	*The Clerk. Mr. Pence votes aye.
4875	Mr. Crenshaw?
4876	*Mr. Crenshaw. Aye.
4877	*The Clerk. Mr. Crenshaw votes aye.
4878	Mr. Joyce?
4879	*Mr. Joyce. Aye.
4880	*The Clerk. Mr. Joyce votes aye.
4881	Mr. Armstrong?
4882	*Mr. Armstrong. Yes.
4883	*The Clerk. Mr. Armstrong votes aye.
4884	Mr. Weber?
4885	*Mr. Weber. Aye.
4886	*The Clerk. Mr. Weber votes aye.
4887	Mr. Allen?
4888	*Mr. Allen. Aye.
4889	*The Clerk. Mr. Allen votes aye.
4890	Mr. Balderson?
4891	*Mr. Balderson. Aye.
4892	*The Clerk. Mr. Balderson votes aye.
4893	Mr. Fulcher?
4894	*Mr. Fulcher. Aye.
4895	*The Clerk. Mr. Fulcher votes aye.
	232

4896	Mr. Pfluger?
4897	*Mr. Pfluger. Aye.
4898	*The Clerk. Mr. Pfluger votes aye.
4899	Mrs. Harshbarger?
4900	*Mrs. Harshbarger. Aye.
4901	*The Clerk. Mrs. Harshbarger votes aye.
4902	Mrs. Miller-Meeks?
4903	*Mrs. Miller-Meeks. Aye.
4904	*The Clerk. Mrs. Miller-Meeks votes aye.
4905	Mrs. Cammack?
4906	*Mrs. Cammack. Aye.
4907	*The Clerk. Mrs. Cammack votes aye.
4908	Mr. Obernolte?
4909	[No response.]
4910	*The Clerk. Mr. Pallone?
4911	*Mr. Pallone. Aye.
4912	*The Clerk. Mr. Pallone votes aye.
4913	Ms. Eshoo?
4914	*Ms. Eshoo. Aye.
4915	*The Clerk. Ms. Eshoo votes aye.
4916	Ms. DeGette?
4917	*Ms. DeGette. Aye.

4918	*The Clerk. Ms. DeGette votes aye.
4919	Ms. Schakowsky?
4920	*Ms. Schakowsky. Aye.
4921	*The Clerk. Ms. Schakowsky votes aye.
4922	Ms. Matsui?
4923	*Ms. Matsui. Aye.
4924	*The Clerk. Ms. Matsui votes aye.
4925	Ms. Castor?
4926	*Ms. Castor. Aye.
4927	*The Clerk. Ms. Castor votes aye.
4928	Mr. Sarbanes?
4929	[No response.]
4930	*The Clerk. Mr. Tonko?
4931	[No response.]
4932	*The Clerk. Ms. Clarke?
4933	*Ms. Clarke. Aye.
4934	*The Clerk. Ms. Clarke votes aye.
4935	Mr. Cardenas?
4936	*Mr. Cardenas. Aye.
4937	*The Clerk. Mr. Cardenas votes aye.
4938	Mr. Ruiz?
4939	*Mr. Ruiz. Aye.

4940	*The Clerk. Mr. Ruiz votes aye.
4941	Mr. Peters?
4942	*Mr. Peters. Aye.
4943	*The Clerk. Mr. Peters votes aye.
4944	Mrs. Dingell?
4945	*Mrs. Dingell. Aye.
4946	*The Clerk. Mrs. Dingell votes aye.
4947	Mr. Veasey?
4948	*Mr. Veasey. Aye.
4949	*The Clerk. Mr. Veasey votes aye.
4950	Ms. Kuster?
4951	*Ms. Kuster. Aye.
4952	*The Clerk. Ms. Kuster votes aye.
4953	Ms. Kelly?
4954	*Ms. Kelly. Aye.
4955	*The Clerk. Ms. Kelly votes aye.
4956	*The Clerk. Ms. Barragan.
4957	[No response.]
4958	*The Clerk. Ms. Blunt Rochester?
4959	*Ms. Blunt Rochester. Aye.
4960	*The Clerk. Ms. Blunt Rochester votes aye.
4961	Mr. Soto?

4962	*Mr. Soto. Yes.
4963	*The Clerk. Mr. Soto votes aye.
4964	Ms. Craig?
4965	*Ms. Craig. Aye.
4966	*The Clerk. Ms. Craig votes aye.
4967	Ms. Schrier?
4968	*Ms. Schrier. Aye.
4969	*The Clerk. Ms. Schrier votes aye.
4970	Mrs. Trahan?
4971	*Mrs. Trahan. Aye.
4972	*The Clerk. Mrs. Trahan votes aye.
4973	Mrs. Fletcher?
4974	*Mrs. Fletcher. Aye.
4975	*The Clerk. Mrs. Fletcher votes aye.
4976	Chair Rodgers?
4977	*The Chair. Aye.
4978	*The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes aye.
4979	*Mr. Latta. Are there any members wishing to be
4980 1	recorded who have not been recorded?
4981	The gentleman from New York?
4982	*The Clerk. Mr. Tonko is not recorded.
4983	*Mr. Tonko. Aye.

*The Clerk. Mr. Tonko votes aye. 4984 4985 *Mr. Latta. Are there any other members wishing to have 4986 their vote recorded? 4987 I'm sorry. 4988 *Ms. Barragan. How is Barragan recorded? 4989 *The Clerk. Ms. Barragan is not recorded. 4990 *Ms. Barragan. Barragan votes aye. 4991 *The Clerk. Ms. Barragan votes aye. 4992 *Mr. Latta. Any other members? 4993 Hearing none, the clerk will report the roll. 4994 *The Clerk. Mr. Latta, on that vote there were 50 ayes 4995 and zero noes. 4996 *Mr. Latta. Thank you. The ayes have it and the bill 4997 is adopted. 4998 The Chair calls up H.R. 3293, and asks the clerk to 4999 report. 5000 *The Clerk. H.R. 3293, a bill to require the Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Communications and Information --5001 5002 *Mr. Latta. Without objection, the first reading of the 5003 bill is dispensed with and the bill is open for amendment at 5004 any time, so ordered. Does anyone seek to be recognized on the bill? 5005

5006 The gentlelady from Minnesota, why does she seek 5007 recognition? 5008 *Ms. Craig. Thank you so much --5009 *Mr. Latta. The gentlelady is recognized for five 5010 minutes to strike the last word. 5011 *Ms. Craig. Thank you so much to Chair Rodgers and 5012 Ranking Member Pallone for holding today's markup. I would 5013 also like to thank my colleague Representative Duncan for his 5014 leadership on this critical issue. 5015 As co-chair of the Rural Broadband Caucus, I know it is 5016 more important now than ever that all Americans, regardless

5019 and in rural communities continues. This legislation will 5020 support security and resiliency in America's rural 5021 communities who often border federal lands. 5022 It will also ensure that we will continue building out 5023 broadband infrastructure so Americans can always stay 5024 connected and safe. Supporting this effort will help close

of where they live, can get access to high-speed internet.

The need for reliable broadband access on federal lands

5025 the digital divide and demonstrate our commitment to public 5026 safety.

5027

5017

5018

I am proud that this passed by voice vote in our

subcommittee and I urge my colleagues to vote in favor of 5028 5029 this bill. Thank you, Mr. Chair, and I yield back. 5030 *Mr. Latta. The gentlelady yields back. For what 5031 purposes does the gentleman from South Carolina seek 5032 recognition? 5033 *Mr. Duncan. Mr. Chair, I wish to speak on the bill. 5034 *Mr. Latta. The gentleman is recognized for five 5035 minutes. 5036 Thank you. I first off want to thank my *Mr. Duncan. 5037 Democratic co-lead Andrea Craig for our work on this 5038 important issue and her comments just now. 5039 I rise in support of this legislation, 3293 Expediting 5040 Federal Broadband and Deployment Reviews Act. This simple 5041 bill creates an interagency taskforce to push federal 5042 agencies to finish the permitting review process for 5043 broadband infrastructure projects. 5044 Speeding up these federal land management agencies will 5045 help get broadband deployed in the rural parts of America, 5046 just like in the 3rd District of South Carolina. I want to 5047 thank Chairman Latta and Ranking Member Matsui for shepherding this through the Communications and Technology 5048 5049 Subcommittee and I want to thank Chair Rodgers and Ranking 239

5050	Member Pallone for scheduling this for approval today.
5051	I look forward to getting this into law with the help of
5052	this Committee and I ask my colleagues to support and I yield
5053	back.
5054	*Mr. Latta. Thank you. The gentleman yields back. Are
5055	there any other members wishing to speak on the bill?
5056	Are there any bipartisan amendments?
5057	Are there amendments on the bill?
5058	Hearing none, the question now occurs on approving H.R.
5059	3293, and a roll call has been requested. The clerk will
5060	call the roll.
5061	*The Clerk. Mr. Burgess?
5062	*Mr. Burgess. Burgess votes aye.
5063	*The Clerk. Mr. Burgess votes aye.
5064	Mr. Latta?
5065	*Mr. Latta. Aye.
5066	*The Clerk. Mr. Latta votes aye.
5067	Mr. Guthrie?
5068	*Mr. Guthrie. Aye.
5069	*The Clerk. Mr. Guthrie votes aye.
5070	Mr. Griffith?
5071	*Mr. Griffith. Aye.
	240

5072	*The Clerk. Mr. Griffith votes aye.
5073	Mr. Bilirakis?
5074	*Mr. Bilirakis. Aye.
5075	*The Clerk. Mr. Bilirakis votes aye.
5076	Mr. Johnson?
5077	*Mr. Johnson. Aye.
5078	*The Clerk. Mr. Johnson votes aye.
5079	Mr. Bucshon?
5080	*Mr. Bucshon. Aye.
5081	*The Clerk. Mr. Bucshon votes aye.
5082	Mr. Hudson?
5083	*Mr. Hudson. Aye.
5084	*The Clerk. Mr. Hudson votes aye.
5085	Mr. Walberg?
5086	*Mr. Walberg. Aye.
5087	*The Clerk. Mr. Walberg votes aye.
5088	Mr. Carter?
5089	*Mr. Carter. Aye.
5090	*The Clerk. Mr. Carter votes aye.
5091	Mr. Duncan?
5092	*Mr. Duncan. Aye.
5093	*The Clerk. Mr. Duncan votes aye.
	2/1

5094	Mr. Palmer?
5095	*Mr. Palmer. Aye.
5096	*The Clerk. Mr. Palmer votes aye.
5097	Mr. Dunn?
5098	*Mr. Dunn. Aye.
5099	*The Clerk. Mr. Dunn votes aye.
5100	Mr. Curtis?
5101	*Mr. Curtis. Aye.
5102	*The Clerk. Mr. Curtis votes aye.
5103	Mrs. Lesko?
5104	*Mrs. Lesko. Aye.
5105	*The Clerk. Mrs. Lesko votes aye.
5106	Mr. Pence?
5107	*Mr. Pence. Aye.
5108	*The Clerk. Mr. Pence votes aye.
5109	Mr. Crenshaw?
5110	*Mr. Crenshaw. Aye.
5111	*The Clerk. Mr. Crenshaw votes aye.
5112	Mr. Joyce?
5113	*Mr. Joyce. Aye.
5114	*The Clerk. Mr. Joyce votes aye.
5115	Mr. Armstrong?
	242

5116	*Mr. Armstrong. Yes.
5117	*The Clerk. Mr. Armstrong votes aye.
5118	Mr. Weber?
5119	*Mr. Weber. Aye.
5120	*The Clerk. Mr. Weber votes aye.
5121	Mr. Allen?
5122	*Mr. Allen. Aye.
5123	*The Clerk. Mr. Allen votes aye.
5124	Mr. Balderson?
5125	*Mr. Balderson. Aye.
5126	*The Clerk. Mr. Balderson votes aye.
5127	Mr. Fulcher?
5128	*Mr. Fulcher. Aye.
5129	*The Clerk. Mr. Fulcher votes aye.
5130	Mr. Pfluger?
5131	*Mr. Pfluger. Aye.
5132	*The Clerk. Mr. Pfluger votes aye.
5133	Mrs. Harshbarger?
5134	*Mrs. Harshbarger. Aye.
5135	*The Clerk. Mrs. Harshbarger votes aye.
5136	Mrs. Miller-Meeks?
5137	*Mrs. Miller-Meeks. Aye.

5138	*The Clerk. Mrs. Miller-Meeks votes aye.
5139	Mrs. Cammack?
5140	*Mrs. Cammack. Aye.
5141	*The Clerk. Mrs. Cammack votes aye.
5142	Mr. Obernolte?
5143	[No response.]
5144	*The Clerk. Mr. Pallone?
5145	*Mr. Pallone. Aye.
5146	*The Clerk. Mr. Pallone votes aye.
5147	Ms. Eshoo?
5148	*Ms. Eshoo. Aye.
5149	*The Clerk. Ms. Eshoo votes aye.
5150	Ms. DeGette?
5151	*Ms. DeGette. Aye.
5152	*The Clerk. Ms. DeGette votes aye.
5153	Ms. Schakowsky?
5154	[No response.]
5155	*The Clerk. Ms. Matsui?
5156	*Ms. Matsui. Aye.
5157	*The Clerk. Ms. Matsui votes aye.
5158	Ms. Castor?
5159	*Ms. Castor. Aye.

5160	*The Clerk. Ms. Castor votes aye.
5161	Mr. Sarbanes?
5162	*Mr. Sarbanes. Aye.
5163	*The Clerk. Mr. Sarbanes votes aye.
5164	Mr. Tonko?
5165	*Mr. Tonko. Aye.
5166	*The Clerk. Mr. Tonko votes aye.
5167	Ms. Clarke?
5168	*Ms. Clarke. Aye.
5169	*The Clerk. Ms. Clarke votes aye.
5170	Mr. Cardenas?
5171	*Mr. Cardenas. Aye.
5172	*The Clerk. Mr. Cardenas votes aye.
5173	Mr. Ruiz?
5174	[No response.]
5175	*The Clerk. Mr. Peters?
5176	*Mr. Peters. Aye.
5177	*The Clerk. Mr. Peters votes aye.
5178	Mrs. Dingell?
5179	*Mrs. Dingell. Dingell votes aye.
5180	*The Clerk. Mrs. Dingell votes aye.
5181	Mr. Veasey?

5182	*Mr. Veasey. Aye.
5183	*The Clerk. Mr. Veasey votes aye.
5184	Ms. Kuster?
5185	*Ms. Kuster. Aye.
5186	*The Clerk. Ms. Kuster votes aye.
5187	Ms. Kelly?
5188	*Ms. Kelly. Aye.
5189	*The Clerk. Ms. Kelly votes aye.
5190	*The Clerk. Ms. Barragan.
5191	*Ms. Barragan. Aye.
5192	*The Clerk. Ms. Barragan votes aye.
5193	Ms. Blunt Rochester?
5194	*Ms. Blunt Rochester. Aye.
5195	*The Clerk. Ms. Blunt Rochester votes aye.
5196	Mr. Soto?
5197	*Mr. Soto. Aye.
5198	*The Clerk. Mr. Soto votes aye.
5199	Ms. Craig?
5200	*Ms. Craig. Aye.
5201	*The Clerk. Ms. Craig votes aye.
5202	Ms. Schrier?
5203	*Ms. Schrier. Aye.

5204	*The Clerk. Ms. Schrier votes aye.
5205	Mrs. Trahan?
5206	*Mrs. Trahan. Aye.
5207	*The Clerk. Mrs. Trahan votes aye.
5208	Mrs. Fletcher?
5209	*Mrs. Fletcher. Aye.
5210	*The Clerk. Mrs. Fletcher votes aye.
5211	Chair Rodgers?
5212	*The Chair. Aye.
5213	*The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes aye.
5214	*Mr. Latta. Are there any members wishing to record
5215	their vote?
5216	How is the gentleman from California recorded?
5217	*The Clerk. Mr. Ruiz is not recorded.
5218	*Mr. Ruiz. Aye.
5219	*The Clerk. Mr. Ruiz votes aye.
5220	*Mr. Latta. The gentlelady from Illinois, how is she
5221	recorded?
5222	*The Clerk. Ms. Schakowsky is not recorded.
5223	*Ms. Schakowsky. Yes.
5224	*The Clerk. Ms. Schakowsky votes aye.
5225	*Mr. Latta. Are there any other members wishing to
	247

5226 record their vote? 5227 Hearing none, the clerk will take the roll and report. 5228 *The Clerk. Chair Latta, on that vote we have 51 ayes 5229 and zero nays. 5230 *Mr. Latta. Thank you very much. The ayes have it and 5231 the bill is adopted. 5232 The Chair calls up H.R. 3299, and asks the clerk to 5233 report. 5234 *The Clerk. H.R. 3299, To Require the Department of the 5235 Interior and the Department of Agriculture to establish 5236 out --5237 *Mr. Latta. Without objection, the first reading of the 5238 bill is dispensed with and the bill will be open for 5239 amendment at any time, so ordered. 5240 Does anyone seek to be recognized in the bill? 5241 Hearing none, are there any bipartisan amendments to the 5242 bill? 5243 Are there any other amendments? 5244 Seeing none, the question now occurs on adopting H.R. 5245 3299, and a roll call has been requested. The clerk will 5246 call the roll. 5247 *The Clerk. Mr. Burgess?

5248	*Mr. Burgess. Burgess votes aye.
5249	*The Clerk. Mr. Burgess votes aye.
5250	Mr. Latta?
5251	*Mr. Latta. Aye.
5252	*The Clerk. Mr. Latta votes aye.
5253	Mr. Guthrie?
5254	[No response.]
5255	*The Clerk. Mr. Griffith?
5256	*Mr. Griffith. Aye.
5257	*The Clerk. Mr. Griffith votes aye.
5258	Mr. Bilirakis?
5259	*Mr. Bilirakis. Aye.
5260	*The Clerk. Mr. Bilirakis votes aye.
5261	Mr. Johnson?
5262	*Mr. Johnson. Aye.
5263	*The Clerk. Mr. Johnson votes aye.
5264	Mr. Bucshon?
5265	*Mr. Bucshon. Aye.
5266	*The Clerk. Mr. Bucshon votes aye.
5267	Mr. Hudson?
5268	*Mr. Hudson. Aye.
5269	*The Clerk. Mr. Hudson votes aye.

5270	Mr. Walberg?
5271	*Mr. Walberg. Aye.
5272	*The Clerk. Mr. Walberg votes aye.
5273	Mr. Carter?
5274	*Mr. Carter. Aye.
5275	*The Clerk. Mr. Carter votes aye.
5276	Mr. Duncan?
5277	*Mr. Duncan. Aye.
5278	*The Clerk. Mr. Duncan votes aye.
5279	Mr. Palmer?
5280	*Mr. Palmer. Aye.
5281	*The Clerk. Mr. Palmer votes aye.
5282	Mr. Dunn?
5283	*Mr. Dunn. Aye.
5284	*The Clerk. Mr. Dunn votes aye.
5285	Mr. Curtis?
5286	*Mr. Curtis. Aye.
5287	*The Clerk. Mr. Curtis votes aye.
5288	Mrs. Lesko?
5289	*Mrs. Lesko. Aye.
5290	*The Clerk. Mrs. Lesko votes aye.
5291	Mr. Pence?

5292	*Mr. Pence. Aye.
5293	*The Clerk. Mr. Pence votes aye.
5294	Mr. Crenshaw?
5295	*Mr. Crenshaw. Aye.
5296	*The Clerk. Mr. Crenshaw votes aye.
5297	Mr. Joyce?
5298	*Mr. Joyce. Aye.
5299	*The Clerk. Mr. Joyce votes aye.
5300	Mr. Armstrong?
5301	*Mr. Armstrong. Yes.
5302	*The Clerk. Mr. Armstrong votes aye.
5303	Mr. Weber?
5304	*Mr. Weber. Aye.
5305	*The Clerk. Mr. Weber votes aye.
5306	Mr. Allen?
5307	*Mr. Allen. Aye.
5308	*The Clerk. Mr. Allen votes aye.
5309	Mr. Balderson?
5310	*Mr. Balderson. Aye.
5311	*The Clerk. Mr. Balderson votes aye.
5312	Mr. Fulcher?
5313	*Mr. Fulcher. Aye.

5314	*The Clerk. Mr. Fulcher votes aye.
5315	Mr. Pfluger?
5316	*Mr. Pfluger. Aye.
5317	*The Clerk. Mr. Pfluger votes aye.
5318	Mrs. Harshbarger?
5319	*Mrs. Harshbarger. Aye.
5320	*The Clerk. Mrs. Harshbarger votes aye.
5321	Mrs. Miller-Meeks?
5322	*Mrs. Miller-Meeks. Aye.
5323	*The Clerk. Mrs. Miller-Meeks votes aye.
5324	Mrs. Cammack?
5325	*Mrs. Cammack. Aye.
5326	*The Clerk. Mrs. Cammack votes aye.
5327	Mr. Obernolte?
5328	[No response.]
5329	*The Clerk. Mr. Pallone?
5330	*Mr. Pallone. Aye.
5331	*The Clerk. Mr. Pallone votes aye.
5332	Ms. Eshoo?
5333	*Ms. Eshoo. Aye.
5334	*The Clerk. Ms. Eshoo votes aye.
5335	Ms. DeGette?

5336	*Ms. DeGette. Aye.
5337	*The Clerk. Ms. DeGette votes aye.
5338	Ms. Schakowsky?
5339	[No response.]
5340	*The Clerk. Ms. Matsui?
5341	*Ms. Matsui. Aye.
5342	*The Clerk. Ms. Matsui votes aye.
5343	Ms. Castor?
5344	*Ms. Castor. Aye.
5345	*The Clerk. Ms. Castor votes aye.
5346	Mr. Sarbanes?
5347	*Mr. Sarbanes. Aye.
5348	*The Clerk. Mr. Sarbanes votes aye.
5349	Mr. Tonko?
5350	*Mr. Tonko. Aye.
5351	*The Clerk. Mr. Tonko votes aye.
5352	Ms. Clarke?
5353	*Ms. Clarke. Aye.
5354	*The Clerk. Ms. Clarke votes aye.
5355	Mr. Cardenas?
5356	*Mr. Cardenas. Aye.
5357	*The Clerk. Mr. Cardenas votes aye.

aye.

5358	Mr. Ruiz?
5359	*Mr. Ruiz. Aye.
5360	*The Clerk. Mr. Ruiz votes aye.
5361	Mr. Peters?
5362	*Mr. Peters. Aye.
5363	*The Clerk. Mr. Peters votes aye.
5364	Mrs. Dingell?
5365	[No response.]
5366	*The Clerk. Mr. Veasey?
5367	*Mr. Veasey. Aye.
5368	*The Clerk. Mr. Veasey votes aye.
5369	Ms. Kuster?
5370	*Ms. Kuster. Aye.
5371	*The Clerk. Ms. Kuster votes aye.
5372	Ms. Kelly?
5373	*Ms. Kelly. Aye.
5374	*The Clerk. Ms. Kelly votes aye.
5375	*The Clerk. Ms. Barragan.
5376	[No response.]
5377	*The Clerk. Ms. Blunt Rochester?
5378	*Ms. Blunt Rochester. Aye.
5379	*The Clerk. Ms. Blunt Rochester votes
	254

5380	Mr. Soto?
5381	*Mr. Soto. Aye.
5382	*The Clerk. Mr. Soto votes aye.
5383	Ms. Craig?
5384	*Ms. Craig. Aye.
5385	*The Clerk. Ms. Craig votes aye.
5386	Ms. Schrier?
5387	*Ms. Schrier. Aye.
5388	*The Clerk. Ms. Schrier votes aye.
5389	Mrs. Trahan?
5390	*Mrs. Trahan. Aye.
5391	*The Clerk. Mrs. Trahan votes aye.
5392	Mrs. Fletcher?
5393	*Mrs. Fletcher. Aye.
5394	*The Clerk. Mrs. Fletcher votes aye.
5395	Chair Rodgers?
5396	*The Chair. Aye.
5397	*The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes aye.
5398	*Mr. Latta. And how is the gentlelady from Illinois
5399 1	recorded?
5400	*The Clerk. Ms. Schakowsky is not recorded.
5401	*Ms. Schakowsky. Aye.

5402	*The Clerk. Ms. Schakowsky votes aye.
5403	*Mr. Latta. The gentlelady from Michigan?
5404	*The Clerk. Mrs. Dingell is not recorded.
5405	*Mrs. Dingell. Aye.
5406	*The Clerk. Mrs. Dingell votes aye.
5407	*Mr. Latta. The gentleman from Kentucky?
5408	*Mr. Guthrie. Aye.
5409	*The Clerk. Mr. Guthrie votes aye.
5410	*Mr. Latta. Are there any other members wishing to
5411	record their vote?
5412	How is the gentlelady recorded?
5413	*The Clerk. Ms. Barragan is not recorded.
5414	*Ms. Barragan. Aye.
5415	*The Clerk. Ms. Barragan votes aye.
5416	*Mr. Latta. The clerk will take the roll and report.
5417	*The Clerk. Chair Latta, on that vote we have 51 ayes
5418	and zero nays.
5419	*Mr. Latta. Thank you very much. The ayes have it and
5420	the resolution is adopted.
5421	The Chair calls up H.R. 3283, and asks the clerk to
5422	report.
5423	*The Clerk. H.R. 3283, A bill to require the Assistant
	256

Secretary of Commerce for Communications and Information --5424 5425 *Mr. Latta. Without objection, the first reading of the 5426 bill is dispensed with and the bill will be open to amendment 5427 at any point. So ordered. 5428 Does anyone seek to be recognized on the bill? 5429 The gentlelady from Michigan, for what purpose does she 5430 seek recognition, please? 5431 *Mrs. Dingell. To support the bill. 5432 *Mr. Latta. The gentlelady is recognized for five 5433 minutes to strike the last word. 5434 *Mrs. Dingell. Thank you. I was looking for my co-lead 5435 here, who's done a wonderful job. 5436 Mr. Chairman, it is essential that we are able to fully 5437 unleash the benefits of the once in a generation investments 5438 included in the bipartisan Infrastructure Law, that includes 5439 swift and accurate disposal of permitting applications for communications and utility infrastructure that will get these 5440 5441 important projects to the people who need them the most, 5442 while preserving our landmark environmental laws. 5443 We have heard from experts that we are not properly equipped to quickly process the increased number of these 5444 5445 applications resulting from the important investments we are 257

5446 making in broadband infrastructure.

5447 The Department of the Interior and the Department of 5448 Agriculture do not currently have an online portal for the 5449 submission of Form 299 requests and it is crucial we update 5450 these processes by providing an online option.

5451 Representative Cammack and Matsui introduced the DIGITAL 5452 Applications Act to ensure that the Department of the 5453 Interior and the Department of Agricultural will establish an 5454 online portal for these applications and I thank them for 5455 their work to modernize these agencies.

We have set stringent goals in achieving swift buildout of broadband and communications infrastructure to connect every American. Given the timeliness of these investments, it is vital that departments quickly develop and launch this online portal to expedite the ease of disposal of

5461 applications.

5462 That is why Congresswoman Miller-Meeks and I introduced 5463 the Facilitating Digital Applications Act. This legislation 5464 will ensure that the Department of the Interior and the 5465 Department of Agricultural have launched an online portal for 5466 applications to deploy communications and other utility 5467 infrastructure on federal property in a timely manner.

5468 The bill requires the National Telecommunications and 5469 Information Administration to report to Congress every 60 5470 days on the status of this application portal, expediting the 5471 swift deployment of this portal.

5472 Yesterday, the Communications and Technology 5473 Subcommittee discussed, at length, the importance of 5474 maximizing the effectiveness of broadband programs, such as 5475 the BEAD Program, and members, from both sides of the aisle, 5476 highlighted how critical it is that we ensure the success of 5477 these programs.

5478 An online portal option will assist these agencies with 5479 managing the increased number of applications, maximizing the 5480 benefits of these important programs. We all agree this is 5481 an important opportunity that we must harness and this 5482 legislation is a good governance approach that will provide 5483 certainty and ease of access to industry, while preserving 5484 critical environmental and historic preservation protections. The bill ensures that we are continuing to thoughtfully 5485 5486 modernize permitting applications in a bipartisan manner. 5487 Thank you, Madam Chairwoman, for including this bill as part of today's markup. 5488

5489 I thank my co-chair, who I am working with and I urge

all of my colleagues, or co-lead, to all my colleagues to 5490 5491 support the underlining bill. Thank you and I yield back. 5492 *The Chair. [Presiding.] The gentlelady yields back. 5493 Further discussion? The Chair recognizes Mrs. Miller-Meeks for five minutes to strike the last word. 5494 5495 *Mrs. Miller-Meeks. Thank you, Madame chair. In deference to my stature, I will be brief. 5496 5497 I was proud to introduce the Facilitating DIGITAL 5498 Applications Act with Representative Dingell. This 5499 legislation will expedite and improve tracking of broadband 5500 deployment on federal lands by requiring the NTIA to 5501 periodically report to Congress on whether the Departments of the Interior and Agriculture have established an online 5502 5503 portal for the common form application to deploy a 5504 communications facility on federal property. 5505 This common-sense legislation will simplify and expedite broadband expansion and I urge my colleagues to support it. 5506 5507 I yield back. 5508 *The Chair. The gentlelady yields back. Further 5509 discussion? 5510 Seeing none, the vote occurs on adopting H.R. 3282, as amended. The roll has been requested. The clerk will call 5511

5512	the roll.
5513	*The Clerk. Mr. Burgess?
5514	*Mr. Burgess. Burgess votes aye.
5515	*The Clerk. Mr. Burgess votes aye.
5516	Mr. Latta?
5517	*Mr. Latta. Aye.
5518	*The Clerk. Mr. Latta votes aye.
5519	Mr. Guthrie?
5520	*Mr. Guthrie. Aye.
5521	*The Clerk. Mr. Guthrie votes aye.
5522	Mr. Griffith?
5523	*Mr. Griffith. Aye.
5524	*The Clerk. Mr. Griffith votes aye.
5525	Mr. Bilirakis?
5526	*Mr. Bilirakis. Aye.
5527	*The Clerk. Mr. Bilirakis votes aye.
5528	Mr. Johnson?
5529	*Mr. Johnson. Aye.
5530	*The Clerk. Mr. Johnson votes aye.
5531	Mr. Bucshon?
5532	*Mr. Bucshon. Aye.
5533	*The Clerk. Mr. Bucshon votes aye.

5534	Mr. Hudson?
5535	*Mr. Hudson. Aye.
5536	*The Clerk. Mr. Hudson votes aye.
5537	Mr. Walberg?
5538	*Mr. Walberg. Aye.
5539	*The Clerk. Mr. Walberg votes aye.
5540	Mr. Carter?
5541	*Mr. Carter. Aye.
5542	*The Clerk. Mr. Carter votes aye.
5543	Mr. Duncan?
5544	*Mr. Duncan. Aye.
5545	*The Clerk. Mr. Duncan votes aye.
5546	Mr. Palmer?
5547	*Mr. Palmer. Aye.
5548	*The Clerk. Mr. Palmer votes aye.
5549	Mr. Dunn?
5550	*Mr. Dunn. Aye.
5551	*The Clerk. Mr. Dunn votes aye.
5552	Mr. Curtis?
5553	*Mr. Curtis. Aye.
5554	*The Clerk. Mr. Curtis votes aye.
5555	Mrs. Lesko?
	262

5556	*Mrs. Lesko. Aye.
5557	*The Clerk. Mrs. Lesko votes aye.
5558	Mr. Pence?
5559	*Mr. Pence. Aye.
5560	*The Clerk. Mr. Pence votes aye.
5561	Mr. Crenshaw?
5562	*Mr. Crenshaw. Aye.
5563	*The Clerk. Mr. Crenshaw votes aye.
5564	Mr. Joyce?
5565	*Mr. Joyce. Aye.
5566	*The Clerk. Mr. Joyce votes aye.
5567	Mr. Armstrong?
5568	*Mr. Armstrong. Yes.
5569	*The Clerk. Mr. Armstrong votes aye.
5570	Mr. Weber?
5571	*Mr. Weber. Aye.
5572	*The Clerk. Mr. Weber votes aye.
5573	Mr. Allen?
5574	*Mr. Allen. Aye.
5575	*The Clerk. Mr. Allen votes aye.
5576	Mr. Balderson?
5577	*Mr. Balderson. Aye.

5578	*The Clerk. Mr. Balderson votes aye.
5579	Mr. Fulcher?
5580	*Mr. Fulcher. Aye.
5581	*The Clerk. Mr. Fulcher votes aye.
5582	Mr. Pfluger?
5583	*Mr. Pfluger. Aye.
5584	*The Clerk. Mr. Pfluger votes aye.
5585	Mrs. Harshbarger?
5586	*Mrs. Harshbarger. Aye.
5587	*The Clerk. Mrs. Harshbarger votes aye.
5588	Mrs. Miller-Meeks?
5589	*Mrs. Miller-Meeks. Aye.
5590	*The Clerk. Mrs. Miller-Meeks votes aye.
5591	Mrs. Cammack?
5592	*Mrs. Cammack. Aye.
5593	*The Clerk. Mrs. Cammack votes aye.
5594	Mr. Obernolte?
5595	[No response.]
5596	*The Clerk. Mr. Pallone?
5597	*Mr. Pallone. Aye.
5598	*The Clerk. Mr. Pallone votes aye.
5599	Ms. Eshoo?

5600	*Ms. Eshoo. Aye.
5601	*The Clerk. Ms. Eshoo votes aye.
5602	Ms. DeGette?
5603	*Ms. DeGette. Aye.
5604	*The Clerk. Ms. DeGette votes aye.
5605	Ms. Schakowsky?
5606	Can the gentlelady repeat her vote?
5607	*Ms. Schakowsky. Aye.
5608	*The Clerk. Ms. Schakowsky votes aye.
5609	Ms. Matsui?
5610	*Ms. Matsui. Aye.
5611	*The Clerk. Ms. Matsui votes aye.
5612	Ms. Castor?
5613	*Ms. Castor. Aye.
5614	*The Clerk. Ms. Castor votes aye.
5615	Mr. Sarbanes?
5616	*Mr. Sarbanes. Aye.
5617	*The Clerk. Mr. Sarbanes votes aye.
5618	Mr. Tonko?
5619	*Mr. Tonko. Aye.
5620	*The Clerk. Mr. Tonko votes aye.
5621	Ms. Clarke?

5622	*Ms. Clarke. Aye.
5623	*The Clerk. Ms. Clarke votes aye.
5624	Mr. Cardenas?
5625	*Mr. Cardenas. Aye.
5626	*The Clerk. Mr. Cardenas votes aye.
5627	Mr. Ruiz?
5628	*Mr. Ruiz. Aye.
5629	*The Clerk. Mr. Ruiz votes aye.
5630	Mr. Peters?
5631	*Mr. Peters. Aye.
5632	*The Clerk. Mr. Peters votes aye.
5633	Mrs. Dingell?
5634	*Mrs. Dingell. Aye.
5635	*The Clerk. Mrs. Dingell votes aye.
5636	Mr. Veasey?
5637	*Mr. Veasey. Aye.
5638	*The Clerk. Mr. Veasey votes aye.
5639	Ms. Kuster?
5640	*Ms. Kuster. Aye.
5641	*The Clerk. Ms. Kuster votes aye.
5642	Ms. Kelly?
5643	*Ms. Kelly. Aye.

5644	*The Clerk. Ms. Kelly votes aye.
5645	*The Clerk. Ms. Barragan.
5646	*Ms. Barragan. Aye.
5647	*The Clerk. Ms. Barragan votes aye.
5648	Ms. Blunt Rochester?
5649	*Ms. Blunt Rochester. Aye.
5650	*The Clerk. Ms. Blunt Rochester votes aye.
5651	Mr. Soto?
5652	*Mr. Soto. Aye.
5653	*The Clerk. Mr. Soto votes aye.
5654	Ms. Craig?
5655	*Ms. Craig. Aye.
5656	*The Clerk. Ms. Craig votes aye.
5657	Ms. Schrier?
5658	*Ms. Schrier. Aye.
5659	*The Clerk. Ms. Schrier votes aye.
5660	Mrs. Trahan?
5661	*Mrs. Trahan. Aye.
5662	*The Clerk. Mrs. Trahan votes aye.
5663	Mrs. Fletcher?
5664	*Mrs. Fletcher. Aye.
5665	*The Clerk. Mrs. Fletcher votes aye.
	267

5666	Chair Rodgers?
5667	*The Chair. Aye.
5668	*The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes aye.
5669	*The Chair. The clerk will report.
5670	*The Clerk. Chair Rodgers, on that vote we have 51 ayes
5671	and zero nays.
5672	*The Chair. The ayes have it, the resolution is
5673	adopted.
5674	The Chair calls up H.R. 3343, and asks the clerk to
5675	report.
5676	*The Clerk. H.R. 3343, To Require the Assistant
5677	Secretary of Commerce for Communications
5678	*The Chair. Without objection, the first reading of the
5679	bill is dispensed with and the bill will be open for
5680	amendment at any point, so ordered.
5681	Does anyone seek to be recognized on the bill?
5682	For what purpose does the gentleman seek recognition?
5683	*Mr. Pfluger. To speak in favor of the bill.
5684	*The Chair. The gentleman is recognized for five
5685	minutes to strike the last word.
5686	*Mr. Pfluger. Thank you, Chairwoman, and today I have
5687	legislation being marked up to require the NTIA to submit a
	268

plan to Congress on tracking the acceptance, processing, and 5688 5689 disposal of requests for communications use authorizations on 5690 federal property. 5691 This bipartisan legislation, the Federal Broadband 5692 Deployment Tracking Act, lead with my colleague, 5693 Representative Soto of Florida, will provide transparency and streamline the process for applications to deploy broadband 5694 5695 on federal lands.

Federal lands and assets play a critical role in telecommunications networks through rights of way, across lands, and siting equipment on lands and buildings. In a legislative hearing, earlier this year, we heard how tracking these applications can be challenging and often unsuccessful. This legislation would give applicants a clearer sense of where in the review process they are, which will help

5703 expedite much needed deployment.

Just yesterday, we heard the NTIA administrator state his support for rural communities and the importance of their prioritization as we work to expand access to broadband. Many federal lands are rural and underserved, when it comes to broadband access. Making streamlined applications for broadband deployment on federal lands is especially

5710 important.

5711 Broadband permitting reform is critical to enabling 5712 American leadership, across different industries and 5713 unleashing private sector innovation. I urge support of this legislation and yield back. 5714 5715 *The Chair. The gentleman yields back. Further discussion? 5716 5717 The Chair recognizes Mr. Soto for five minutes to strike 5718 the last word. 5719 *Mr. Soto. Thank you, Madam chair. I move to strike 5720 the last word. 5721 I am proud to introduce the Federal Broadband Deployment Tracking Act with my dear friend, Representative Pfluger from 5722 5723 Texas. This ensures NTIA helps streamline the broadband 5724 deployment process on federal lands. 5725 They will have to submit a plan, tracking acceptance, processing, and disposal of communications use 5726 5727 authorizations. We heard from the NTIA folks yesterday, from 5728 our Deputy Secretary of Commerce, about the importance of us 5729 all coordinating. 5730 We have to ensure the US Government is a willing, and reasonable participant in the rural broadband revolution that 5731

5732 is happening across this country funded by \$65 billion in

5733 rural broadband funding through the Infrastructure Law,

5734 including 42 billion for the BEAD Program.

We are already seeing both the American Rescue Plan and BEAD Program funding transform the rural areas of our District. From Kingsmill, Deer Park, Bill's Creek, and Yeehaw Junction, we are getting rural broadband to areas that were left behind before.

5740 247 million, so far, has been awarded to Florida and we 5741 know we can receive up to 2 billion. These are to help our 5742 ranchers and our growers, to help out with hunting leases and 5743 conservation lands throughout the southern portions of our 5744 district, which are near many federal lands, including, in 5745 Central Florida, Avon Park, as well as areas like the 5746 Everglades and the Ocala National Forest.

5747 We need to make sure, whether it is federal land or 5748 private land, that we are all working together for this rural 5749 broadband revolution and I yield back.

5750 *The Chair. Further discussion?

5751 Seeing none, the question occurs on approving H.R. 3343, 5752 and a roll call vote has been requested. The Clerk will call 5753 the roll.

5754	*The Clerk. Mr. Burgess?
5755	*Mr. Burgess. Burgess votes aye.
5756	*The Clerk. Votes aye.
5757	Mr. Latta?
5758	*Mr. Latta. Aye.
5759	*The Clerk. Mr. Latta votes aye.
5760	Mr. Guthrie?
5761	*Mr. Guthrie. Aye.
5762	*The Clerk. Mr. Guthrie votes aye.
5763	Mr. Griffith?
5764	*Mr. Griffith. Aye.
5765	*The Clerk. Mr. Griffith votes aye.
5766	Mr. Bilirakis?
5767	[No response.]
5768	*The Clerk. Mr. Johnson? Mr. Johnson?
5769	*Mr. Johnson. Yes. Aye.
5770	*The Clerk. Mr. Johnson votes aye.
5771	Mr. Bucshon?
5772	*Mr. Bucshon. Aye.
5773	*The Clerk. Mr. Bucshon votes aye.
5774	Mr. Hudson?
5775	*Mr. Hudson. Aye.

5776	*The Clerk. Mr. Hudson votes aye.
5777	Mr. Walberg?
5778	*Mr. Walberg. Aye.
5779	*The Clerk. Mr. Walberg votes aye.
5780	Mr. Carter?
5781	*Mr. Carter. Aye.
5782	*The Clerk. Mr. Carter votes aye.
5783	Mr. Duncan?
5784	*Mr. Duncan. Aye.
5785	*The Clerk. Mr. Duncan votes aye.
5786	Mr. Palmer?
5787	*Mr. Palmer. Aye.
5788	*The Clerk. Mr. Palmer votes aye.
5789	Mr. Dunn?
5790	*Mr. Dunn. Aye.
5791	*The Clerk. Mr. Dunn votes aye.
5792	Mr. Curtis?
5793	*Mr. Curtis. Aye.
5794	*The Clerk. Mr. Curtis votes aye.
5795	Mrs. Lesko?
5796	*Mrs. Lesko. Aye.
5797	*The Clerk. Mrs. Lesko votes aye.
	273

5798	Mr. Pence?
5799	*Mr. Pence. Aye.
5800	*The Clerk. Mr. Pence votes aye.
5801	Mr. Crenshaw?
5802	*Mr. Crenshaw. Aye.
5803	*The Clerk. Mr. Crenshaw votes aye.
5804	Mr. Joyce?
5805	*Mr. Joyce. Aye.
5806	*The Clerk. Mr. Joyce votes aye.
5807	Mr. Armstrong?
5808	*Mr. Armstrong. Yes.
5809	*The Clerk. Mr. Armstrong votes aye.
5810	Mr. Weber?
5811	*Mr. Weber. Yes.
5812	*The Clerk. Mr. Weber votes aye.
5813	Mr. Allen?
5814	*Mr. Allen. Aye.
5815	*The Clerk. Mr. Allen votes aye.
5816	Mr. Balderson?
5817	*Mr. Balderson. Aye.
5818	*The Clerk. Mr. Balderson votes aye.
5819	Mr. Fulcher?

5820	*Mr. Fulcher. Aye.
5821	*The Clerk. Mr. Fulcher votes aye.
5822	Mr. Pfluger?
5823	*Mr. Pfluger. Aye.
5824	*The Clerk. Mr. Pfluger votes aye.
5825	Mrs. Harshbarger?
5826	*Mrs. Harshbarger. Aye.
5827	*The Clerk. Mrs. Harshbarger votes aye.
5828	Mrs. Miller-Meeks?
5829	*Mrs. Miller-Meeks. Yes.
5830	*The Clerk. Mrs. Miller-Meeks votes aye.
5831	Mrs. Cammack?
5832	*Mrs. Cammack. Aye.
5833	*The Clerk. Mrs. Cammack votes aye.
5834	Mr. Obernolte?
5835	[No response.]
5836	*The Clerk. Mr. Pallone?
5837	*Mr. Pallone. Aye.
5838	*The Clerk. Mr. Pallone votes aye.
5839	Ms. Eshoo?
5840	*Ms. Eshoo. Aye.
5841	*The Clerk. Ms. Eshoo votes aye.
	275

5842	Ms. DeGette?
5843	*Ms. DeGette. Aye.
5844	*The Clerk. Ms. DeGette votes aye.
5845	Ms. Schakowsky?
5846	*Ms. Schakowsky. Aye.
5847	*The Clerk. Ms. Schakowsky votes aye.
5848	Ms. Matsui?
5849	*Ms. Matsui. Aye.
5850	*The Clerk. Ms. Matsui votes aye.
5851	Ms. Castor?
5852	[No response.]
5853	*The Clerk. Mr. Sarbanes?
5854	*Mr. Sarbanes. Aye.
5855	*The Clerk. Mr. Sarbanes votes aye.
5856	Mr. Tonko?
5857	*Mr. Tonko. Aye.
5858	*The Clerk. Mr. Tonko votes aye.
5859	Ms. Clarke?
5860	*Ms. Clarke. Aye.
5861	*The Clerk. Ms. Clarke votes aye.
5862	Mr. Cardenas?
5863	*Mr. Cardenas. Aye.

5864	*The Clerk. Mr. Cardenas votes aye.
5865	Mr. Ruiz?
5866	*Mr. Ruiz. Aye.
5867	*The Clerk. Mr. Ruiz votes aye.
5868	Mr. Peters?
5869	*Mr. Peters. Aye.
5870	*The Clerk. Mr. Peters votes aye.
5871	Mrs. Dingell? Mrs. Dingell?
5872	*Mrs. Dingell. Aye.
5873	*The Clerk. Mrs. Dingell votes aye.
5874	Mr. Veasey?
5875	*Mr. Veasey. Yes.
5876	*The Clerk. Mr. Veasey votes aye.
5877	Ms. Kuster?
5878	*Ms. Kuster. Aye.
5879	*The Clerk. Ms. Kuster votes aye.
5880	Ms. Kelly?
5881	*Ms. Kelly. Aye.
5882	*The Clerk. Ms. Kelly votes aye.
5883	*The Clerk. Ms. Barragan.
5884	*Ms. Barragan. Aye.
5885	*The Clerk. Ms. Barragan votes aye.

5886	Ms. Blunt Rochester?
5887	*Ms. Blunt Rochester. Aye.
5888	*The Clerk. Ms. Blunt Rochester votes aye.
5889	Mr. Soto?
5890	*Mr. Soto. Aye.
5891	*The Clerk. Mr. Soto votes aye.
5892	Ms. Craig?
5893	*Ms. Craig. Aye.
5894	*The Clerk. Ms. Craig votes aye.
5895	Ms. Schrier?
5896	*Ms. Schrier. Aye.
5897	*The Clerk. Ms. Schrier votes aye.
5898	Mrs. Trahan?
5899	*Mrs. Trahan. Aye.
5900	*The Clerk. Mrs. Trahan votes aye.
5901	Mrs. Fletcher?
5902	*Mrs. Fletcher. Aye.
5903	*The Clerk. Mrs. Fletcher votes aye.
5904	Chair Rodgers?
5905	*The Chair. Aye.
5906	*The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes aye.
5907	*The Chair. Madame clerk, how is Ms. Castor reported?
	278

5908	*The Clerk. Ms. Castor is not recorded.
5909	*Ms. Castor. Castor, aye.
5910	*The Clerk. Ms. Castor votes aye.
5911	*The Chair. How is
5912	*Mr. Bilirakis. How am I recorded?
5913	*The Clerk. Mr. Bilirakis is not recorded.
5914	*Mr. Bilirakis. Bilirakis votes aye.
5915	*The Clerk. Mr. Bilirakis votes aye.
5916	*The Chair. The clerk will report.
5917	*The Clerk. Chair Rodgers, on that vote we have 51 ayes
5918	and zero nays.
5919	*The Chair. The ayes have it, the bill is adopted.
5920	The Chair calls up H.R. 3565, and asks the clerk to
5921	report.
5922	*The Clerk. H.R. 3565, a bill to authorize the federal
5923	communications
5924	*The Chair. Without objection, the first reading of the
5925	bill is dispensed with. The bill will be open for amendment
5926	at any point. So ordered.
5927	Does anyone seek to be recognized on the bill?
5928	For what purpose does the gentleman seek recognition,
5929	Mr. Hudson?
	279

5930 *Mr. Hudson. To speak on the bill.

5931 *The Chair. The gentleman will be recognized for five 5932 minutes to strike the last word.

5933 *Mr. Hudson. Thank you, Madame chair. And I want to 5934 thank you and Chairman Latta and Ranking Member Pallone and 5935 Matsui for working with me and my good friend, Representative 5936 Eshoo to help advance Next Gen 911 Act, which is included in 5937 H.R. 3565.

5938 For 50 years 9-1-1 systems have served the needs of the 5939 public in emergencies. As communication technologies have 5940 evolved to include wireless phones, texts, and picture 5941 messaging, video chat, social media, and voice over internet 5942 protocol devices or VOIP. I think there is a reasonable 5943 expectation that the same technology we carry around with us 5944 all day can be used in an emergency.

5945 While efforts are underway across the nation to enable 5946 call centers to accept text messages, the future success of 5947 9-1-1 in serving the publics' needs will only be possible 5948 when public safety answering points have transitioned to an 5949 internet protocol base 9-1-1 system, commonly referred to as 5950 Next Gen 911, or NG 911.

5951 NG 911 will enhance emergency number services by

5952 creating a faster, more resilient system that allows digital 5953 information, for example, voice, photos, videos, text 5954 messages, to flow seamlessly from the public, through the 9-5955 1-1 network and, eventually, directly to the first 5956 responders. 5957 It will also enable 9-1-1 call centers to transfer 9-1-1 5958 calls to other call centers and help them deal with call

5959 overloads, disasters, and day-to-day transfer of 9-1-1 calls 5960 to other jurisdictions.

5961 This legislation is critical, so I urge support of H.R. 5962 3565 and I look forward to seeing this bipartisan Next Gen 5963 911 Act pass the House again and with that I would love to 5964 yield to my colleague, Mr. Guthrie.

5965 *Mr. Guthrie. Thank you. Instead of taking my own 5966 time, I just want to thank you for yielding.

5967 I just want to point out this bill funds unmanned needs 5968 for the Rip and Replace Program, which Congress established 5969 on a bipartisan basis, to reimburse small providers for the 5970 replacement of untrusted equipment in their networks.

5971 Full funding for this program is vital to protect our 5972 networks from adversarial countries like China, but it also 5973 includes H.R. 1677, the Smart Spectrum Act.

5974 The Smart Spectrum Act will require the National 5975 Telecommunications and Information Administration to establish an incumbent informing capability system to improve 5976 5977 spectrum management and facilitate spectrum sharing between 5978 federal entities as well as sharing the federal spectrum 5979 between federal and non-federal users. 5980 This system would help mitigate harmful interference. 5981 As always, I enjoy working with my friend from California, 5982 Ms. Matsui, on these issues. And I will yield back to my 5983 friend from North Carolina. 5984 *Mr. Hudson. And with that, unless anyone else would 5985 like some time, I would yield back, Madame Chair. Thank you. 5986 *The Chair. The gentleman yields back. 5987 Further discussion? Ms. Eshoo is recognized for five minutes to strike the last word. 5988 5989 *Ms. Eshoo. Thank you, Madame chair. I move to strike 5990 the last word. 9-1-1 is a lifeline for Americans in their greatest 5991 5992 moments of need. In an emergency, a few things are more 5993 important than these three numbers, when it comes to getting 5994 help.

5995 When my children were beginning to understand some

5996 things, I said this to them over, and over, and over again so 5997 that they would know if something was very wrong, to dial 5998 these three numbers.

After the horrific attacks on our country on September 11th, I co-founded the e911 Caucus in the House. Most of you were not in the Congress then. I founded that caucus with Congressman John Shimkus and two US Senators, Conrad Burns and Hillary Clinton, to support first responders in the public safety community by moving our nation's 9-1-1 services into the 21st Century.

0006 Unfortunately, we have not reached out goal. I mean, 1 mean,

Representative Hudson and I, is a wonderful partner, introduced the Next Generation 911 Act to help states and local jurisdictions modernize their 9-1-1 systems. So this is something that every single member in every single congressional district will benefit from. It is not a certain region. It is not a certain party. It is not just for women. This is everyone in our country.

This legislation, included our bill and will provide \$14.8 billion for states and localities to adopt NG 911 technology and help modernize finally, finally, finally our call centers.

Obviously, it is going to harness the power of this century because it will allow 9-1-1 emergency communication centers to receive real-time location information. That means text messages, photos, videos from individuals at the scene of an emergency and then share that information with first responders.

It will also make our emergency communication centers more secure, more resilient, more interoperable and reliable. Think back to 9/11. With first responders going into the towers, police and fire could not communicate with each other. There wasn't any interoperability and we are still struggling to make that a reality, but this legislation can make it so.

So I am pleased to partner with Mr. Hudson. I am pleased that the legislation has the support of a very broad coalition of law enforcement and public safety organizations, including the Major County Sheriff's of America, the Major Cities Chief Association, the National Sheriff's Association,

6040	the International Association of Chiefs of Police, the
6041	International Association of Fire Chiefs, The Metropolitan
6042	Fire Chiefs Association, the National Association of State
6043	EMS Officials, Association of Public Safety Communications
6044	Officials, the National Association of State 911
6045	Administrators, and the National Emergency Number
6046	Association. I think that covers all corners and then some.
6047	So I want to thank the organizations. They certainly
6048	know what it means to be a stakeholder in this and I urge
6049	everyone to support this. Let's get this done for our
6050	country. Let's just get it done, put it away. We will all
6051	be better off.
6052	With that, I yield back, Madame chairwoman.
6053	*The Chair. The gentlelady yields back. Further
6054	discussion?
6055	Further discussion? Gentleman from Alabama, Mr. Palmer,
6056	is recognized for five minutes.
6057	*Mr. Palmer. I move to strike the last word and I just
6058	like to always acknowledge when we have a discussion about 9-
6059	-1-1 that it was created in Haleyville, Alabama, and I yield
6060	back.
6061	*The Chair. The gentleman yields back. The lady from
	285

6062 California, Ms. Matsui is recognized for five minutes to 6063 strike the last word. 6064 *Ms. Matsui. Yes, Madam chair, thank you. 6065 I move to strike the last word? 6066 Once again, the House is acting. We are showing a 6067 bipartisan willingness to say enough is enough. The longer this lapse drags on, the more we continue to hemorrhage 6068 6069 global stature and economic growth. 6070 The underlying structure of this bill continues the 6071 bipartisan, bicamera framework agreed to last year. Ιt 6072 reestablishes FCC auction authority, reinserts the long-6073 standing statutory role as civilian agencies, and funds the vital national security initiatives. 6074 6075 Specifically, it finishes the work we started on Rip and 6076 Replace. As a regional co-sponsor of the Securing Trusted

6077 Networks Act, I cannot stress enough the severity of the 6078 threat.

There is Chinese gear in America telecommunications networks as we speak. This gear has been recognized, by the international community, to be vulnerable. It is carrying our personal, financial, and sensitive health information. This is just flat-out unacceptable.

It is no over statement to say that this is a national security emergency. I say that because without additional funding, the FCC will be forced to prorate reimbursements on July 15th for many providers, but especially small rural ones. This will undercut their ability to remove Huawei and ZTE gear.

6090 Congress cannot let this happen. Let me repeat. 6091 Congress cannot let this happen. For many of us, who have 6092 been working on this issue, it feels as if we see the train 6093 coming, but refuse to get off the tracks.

Thankfully, this bill will provide a vehicle for addressing the funding shortfall and make good on bipartisan congressional mandate, getting vulnerable Chinese equipment out of our networks as soon as possible.

I know some have some reservations about this bill's approach. They site national security as the reason for their unwillingness to move forward. I don't want to make light of those concerns, but it is important to point out that not acting is a direct threat to America's national security.

6104 It lets the Chinese maintain a back door into our 6105 networks. It tarnishes our standing on the international

stage, and it limits our ability to remain the global center 6106 6107 of innovation. 6108 So I appreciate this Committee for taking the lead, as 6109 it always has consistently, throughout this debate for keeping this conversation alive and taking another important 6110 6111 step forward. 6112 I look forward to supporting this bill on the floor as 6113 soon as possible. With that, Madame chair, I yield back the 6114 remainder of my time. 6115 *The Chair. The gentlelady yields back. Further 6116 discussion? 6117 Are there bipartisan amendments to the bill? *Mr. Pallone. Madame Chair? 6118 6119 *The Chair. The Chair recognizes the ranking member, 6120 Mr. Pallone for five minutes. 6121 *Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Madame Chair. I have an amendment, in the nature of a substitute, at the desk. It is 6122 6123 labeled H3565 AINS 01. 6124 *The Chair. The clerk will report the amendment. *The Clerk. Amendment, in the nature of a substitute, 6125 to H.R. 3565, offered by Mr. Pallone, strike all --6126 6127 *The Chair. Without objection, the reading of the 288

*Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Chair Rodgers.
My amendment, in the nature of a substitute, is
bipartisan and makes one technical clarification to H.R.
3565.
My colleagues, I am proud to have worked with Chair

6135 My colleagues, I am producto have worked with chall 6139 Rodgers on this AINS and the underlying legislation. Today 6140 the Energy and Commerce Committee is once again considering 6141 legislation to extend the Federal Communications Commissions 6142 spectrum auction authority, a program that has been important 6143 for US innovation and leadership.

It is a shame that it has been hanging in the balance now for over two months. The bill is very similar to the agreement we reached with Senate Commerce Committee members last year.

First, the bill reauthorizes the FCC's Auction Authority Program and also seeks to make additional spectrum available for commercial purposes. It would then direct proceeds from spectrum auctions to fund two critical programs, the FCC's Rip and Replace Program, which helps secure our

6153 communication's networks from security risks like Huawei gear

6154 and Next Generation 9-1-1, which will fund grants to bring

6155 modern communications infrastructure to our emergency

6156 response systems.

This will ensure that all communities have access to modern emergency infrastructure, so that the public can interact with first responders using texts, photos, and videos, which will save lives.

The bill also requires important spectrum coordination processes be in place and restores the role of the National Telecommunications and Information Administration as the clear manager of federal spectrum.

The AINS makes one technical correction to change a definition, to align it with the three-year extension.

6167 Again, I want to thank Chair Rodgers for working with me on 6168 this AINS and I am please to join her in this effort.

And again, this bill benefits the American public and will help strengthen the US's ability to remain a leader on the global stage in furthering innovative spectrum uses and policies.

6173 So for all these reasons, this is an important amendment 6174 and a worthwhile bill and I urge my colleagues to support it. 6175 And with that, I yield back, Madame chair.

6176 *The Chair. The gentleman yields back. I recognize 6177 myself to strike the last word on the amendment.

6178 I want to begin by thanking Ranking Member Pallone for 6179 working with us over the last year on extending and now 6180 reauthorizing the FCC's spectrum auction authority. 6181 Several times the House has acted to ensure the FCC's 6182 auction authority did not lapse. Last Congress, the 6183 Committee and the House passed the Spectrum Innovation Act. This year we passed my legislation to extend the FCC's 6184 6185 auction authority until May 19th.

6186 Today, we are renewing our effort, hopefully, the third 6187 time is a charm. The Spectrum Reauthorization Act of 2023, 6188 would authorize the FCC's auction authority for three years, 6189 giving the FCC a key tool to ensure Americans get faster, better service and better position the United States as they 6190 continue to negotiate spectrum policy with our allies in 6191 6192 preparation for the World Radio Communication Conference this 6193 vear.

It would also establish more certainty in the spectrum management process by restoring more regular order to the existing 3 Gigahertz auction, without disrupting the ongoing study being done by the Department of Defense.

6198The bill would direct future spectrum auction proceeds6199to fund the \$3.08 billion shortfall for reimbursing small and

6200 rural broadband providers to remove equipment that could pose 6201 a threat to our national security and military installations. 62.02 If this legislation does not become law before July 6203 15th, many of these providers could go out of business, 6204 leaving rural Americans unconnected. 6205 It would fund the bipartisan Next Generation 9-1-1 Grant Program, led by Mr. Hudson and Ms. Eshoo, to ensure first 6206 6207 responders and the public have the tools they need to respond 6208 in times of an emergency. 6209 It would lay the foundation to this Committee's work on 6210 broader spectrum pipeline legislation by directing studies on 6211 the feasibility of making federal spectrum available for 6212 commercial use. 6213 And it would establish the incumbent informing 6214 capability at NTIA to bolster these efforts. It is 6215 imperative to our economy, our national security, and to our 6216 international credibility on spectrum policy that we move 6217 this legislation as quickly as possible. 6218 I look forward to working with my colleagues in the 6219 House and the Senate to move this to the President's desk and 6220 I yield back. 6221 Is there further discussion on the AINS?

6222	Seeing none, the vote occurs on the AINS, the amendment,
6223	in the nature of a substitute.
6224	All those in favor, say aye.
6225	Those opposed, nay?
6226	The ayes have it. The AINS is adopted.
6227	The question now occurs on approving H.R. 3565, as
6228	amended, and a roll call has been requested.
6229	The clerk will call the roll.
6230	*The Clerk. Mr. Burgess?
6231	*Mr. Burgess. Aye.
6232	*The Clerk. Mr. Burgess votes aye.
6233	Mr. Latta?
6234	*Mr. Latta. Aye.
6235	*The Clerk. Mr. Latta votes aye.
6236	Mr. Guthrie?
6237	*Mr. Guthrie. Aye.
6238	*The Clerk. Mr. Guthrie votes aye.
6239	Mr. Griffith?
6240	[No response.]
6241	*The Clerk. Mr. Bilirakis?
6242	[No response.]
6243	*The Clerk. Mr. Johnson? Mr. Johnson?
	294

6244	*Mr. Johnson. Aye.
6245	*The Clerk. Mr. Johnson votes aye.
6246	Mr. Bucshon?
6247	*Mr. Bucshon. Aye.
6248	*The Clerk. Mr. Bucshon votes aye.
6249	Mr. Hudson?
6250	*Mr. Hudson. Aye.
6251	*The Clerk. Mr. Hudson votes aye.
6252	Mr. Walberg?
6253	*Mr. Walberg. Aye.
6254	*The Clerk. Mr. Walberg votes aye.
6255	Mr. Carter?
6256	*Mr. Carter. Aye.
6257	*The Clerk. Mr. Carter votes aye.
6258	Mr. Duncan?
6259	*Mr. Duncan. Aye.
6260	*The Clerk. Mr. Duncan votes aye.
6261	Mr. Palmer?
6262	*Mr. Palmer. Aye.
6263	*The Clerk. Mr. Palmer votes aye.
6264	Mr. Dunn?
6265	*Mr. Dunn. Aye.

6266	*The Clerk.	Mr. Dunn votes aye.
6267	Mr. Curtis?	
6268	[No response.	.]
6269	*The Clerk.	Mrs. Lesko?
6270	*Mrs. Lesko.	Aye.
6271	*The Clerk.	Mrs. Lesko votes aye.
6272	Mr. Pence?	
6273	*Mr. Pence.	Aye.
6274	*The Clerk.	Mr. Pence votes aye.
6275	Mr. Crenshaw?	2
6276	*Mr. Crenshav	v. Aye.
6277	*The Clerk.	Mr. Crenshaw votes aye.
6278	Mr. Joyce?	
6279	*Mr. Joyce.	Aye.
6280	*The Clerk.	Mr. Joyce votes aye.
6281	Mr. Armstrong	1;
6282	*Mr. Armstron	ng. Yes.
6283	*The Clerk.	Mr. Armstrong votes aye.
6284	Mr. Weber?	
6285	*Mr. Weber.	Aye.
6286	*The Clerk.	Mr. Weber votes aye.
6287	Mr. Allen?	

6288	*Mr. Allen. Aye.
6289	*The Clerk. Mr. Allen votes aye.
6290	Mr. Balderson?
6291	*Mr. Balderson. Aye.
6292	*The Clerk. Mr. Balderson votes aye.
6293	Mr. Fulcher?
6294	*Mr. Fulcher. Aye.
6295	*The Clerk. Mr. Fulcher votes aye.
6296	Mr. Pfluger?
6297	*Mr. Pfluger. Aye.
6298	*The Clerk. Mr. Pfluger votes aye.
6299	Mrs. Harshbarger?
6300	*Mrs. Harshbarger. Aye.
6301	*The Clerk. Mrs. Harshbarger votes aye.
6302	Mrs. Miller-Meeks?
6303	*Mrs. Miller-Meeks. Yes.
6304	*The Clerk. Mrs. Miller-Meeks votes aye.
6305	Mrs. Cammack?
6306	*Mrs. Cammack. Yes.
6307	*The Clerk. Mrs. Cammack votes aye.
6308	Mr. Obernolte?
6309	[No response.]

6310	*The Clerk. Mr. Pallone?
6311	*Mr. Pallone. Aye.
6312	*The Clerk. Mr. Pallone votes aye.
6313	Ms. Eshoo?
6314	*Ms. Eshoo. Aye.
6315	*The Clerk. Ms. Eshoo votes aye.
6316	Ms. DeGette?
6317	*Ms. DeGette. Aye.
6318	*The Clerk. Ms. DeGette votes aye.
6319	Ms. Schakowsky?
6320	[No response.]
6321	*The Clerk. Ms. Matsui?
6322	*Ms. Matsui. Aye.
6323	*The Clerk. Ms. Matsui votes aye.
6324	Ms. Castor?
6325	*Ms. Castor. Aye.
6326	*The Clerk. Ms. Castor votes aye.
6327	Mr. Sarbanes?
6328	*Mr. Sarbanes. Aye.
6329	*The Clerk. Mr. Sarbanes votes aye.
6330	Mr. Tonko?
6331	[No response.]

6332	*The Clerk. Ms. Clarke?
6333	*Ms. Clarke. Aye.
6334	*The Clerk. Ms. Clarke votes aye.
6335	Mr. Cardenas?
6336	*Mr. Cardenas. Aye.
6337	*The Clerk. Mr. Cardenas votes aye.
6338	Mr. Ruiz?
6339	*Mr. Ruiz. Aye.
6340	*The Clerk. Mr. Ruiz votes aye.
6341	Mr. Peters?
6342	*Mr. Peters. Aye.
6343	*The Clerk. Mr. Peters votes aye.
6344	Mrs. Dingell?
6345	*Mrs. Dingell. Aye.
6346	*The Clerk. Mrs. Dingell votes aye.
6347	Mr. Veasey?
6348	*Mr. Veasey. Aye.
6349	*The Clerk. Mr. Veasey votes aye.
6350	Ms. Kuster?
6351	*Ms. Kuster. Aye.
6352	*The Clerk. Ms. Kuster votes aye.
6353	Ms. Kelly?

6354	*Ms. Kelly. Aye.
6355	*The Clerk. Ms. Kelly votes aye.
6356	*The Clerk. Ms. Barragan.
6357	*Ms. Barragan. Aye.
6358	*The Clerk. Ms. Barragan votes aye.
6359	Ms. Blunt Rochester?
6360	*Ms. Blunt Rochester. Aye.
6361	*The Clerk. Ms. Blunt Rochester votes aye.
6362	Mr. Soto?
6363	*Mr. Soto. Aye.
6364	*The Clerk. Mr. Soto votes aye.
6365	Ms. Craig?
6366	*Ms. Craig. Aye.
6367	*The Clerk. Ms. Craig votes aye.
6368	Ms. Schrier?
6369	*Ms. Schrier. Aye.
6370	*The Clerk. Ms. Schrier votes aye.
6371	Mrs. Trahan?
6372	*Mrs. Trahan. Aye.
6373	*The Clerk. Mrs. Trahan votes aye.
6374	Mrs. Fletcher?
6375	*Mrs. Fletcher. Aye.

6376	*The Clerk. Mrs. Fletcher votes aye.
6377	Chair Rodgers?
6378	*The Chair. Aye.
6379	*The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes aye.
6380	Mr. Bilirakis is not recorded.
6381	*Mr. Bilirakis. Bilirakis votes aye.
6382	*The Clerk. Mr. Bilirakis votes aye.
6383	*The Chair. Mr. Curtis?
6384	*The Clerk. Mr. Curtis is not recorded.
6385	*Mr. Curtis. Mr. Curtis votes aye.
6386	*The Clerk. Mr. Curtis votes aye.
6387	*The Chair. Ms. Schakowsky?
6388	*The Clerk. Ms. Schakowsky is not recorded.
6389	*Ms. Schakowsky. Schakowsky votes yes.
6390	*The Clerk. Ms. Schakowsky votes aye.
6391	*The Chair. How is Mr. Tonko recorded?
6392	*The Clerk. Mr. Tonko is not recorded.
6393	*Mr. Tonko. It is aye.
6394	*The Clerk. Mr. Tonko votes aye.
6395	*The Chair. The clerk will report.
6396	*The Clerk. Chair Rodgers, on that vote we have 50 ayes
6397	and zero nays.

*The Chair. The ayes have it, the bill is adopted. 6398 6399 The chair calls up H.R. 3557, and asks the clerk to 6400 report. 6401 *The Clerk. H.R. 3557, a bill to streamline federal, 6402 state --6403 *The Chair. Without objection, the first reading of the 6404 bill is dispensed with. The bill will be open for amendment 6405 at any point, so ordered. 6406 Does anyone seek to be recognized on the bill? 6407 For what purpose does the gentleman seek recognition? 6408 Mr. Carter? 6409 *Mr. Carter. Madame chair, I seek permission to offer an amendment in the nature of a substitute. 6410 6411 *The Chair. The clerk will report. 6412 *The Clerk. Amendment, in the nature of a substitute, 6413 to H.R. 3557, offered by Mr. Carter of Georgia. 6414 *The Chair. Without objection, the amendment is 6415 considered read, the amendment reading will be dispensed 6416 with. 6417 The gentleman from Georgia, Mr. Carter, is recognized for five minutes on the AINS. 6418 6419 [The amendment of Mr. Carter follows:] 302

6423 *Mr. Carter. Thank you, Madam chair.

Madame chair, I call up my amendment permitting ANS 02. This amendment makes technical changes to the text approved by the Subcommittee.

I would like to thank my colleagues on the Communications and Tech Subcommittee for their work to lead on different solutions to lower barriers and streamline the processes for deploying broadband to all Americans.

6431 While the Infrastructure and Investment Jobs Act was a 6432 missed opportunity, the proposal is an important step in 6433 unleashing innovation and turbocharging public and private 6434 investment. This bill includes several provisions authored 6435 by my Republican subcommittee colleagues addressing 6436 everything from duplicate or unnecessary environmental 6437 reviews, capping application fees, and providing certainty on 6438 the timely -- on the timelines for reviewing permitting 6439 requests.

First, this bill would streamline the process for requesting approvals to deploy new broadband infrastructure, including wireless towers, fiber broadband, and cable service provider requests. The bill would require that application fees to review permitting applications are based

on actual and direct cost, that providers receive a response 6445 within a reasonable amount of time, and that there are clear 6446 6447 rules of the road for when these timeframes begin and end. 6448 And in cases where a broadband provider thinks they were 6449 wrongfully denied the ability to provide service, they can receive relief. These changes will allow providers to focus 6450 6451 their time and energy on what matters most: connecting all 6452 Americans.

6453 Second, this bill will streamline the process for requesting approval to upgrade existing infrastructure, 6454 6455 including adding 5G to existing 4G towers, upgrading old 6456 copper lines to fiber or deploying open RAN compatible 6457 technologies that will help trusted equipment vendors 6458 develop an alternative to Chinese vendors like Huawei and 6459 ZTE. These changes will help limit the environmental impact 6460 of needing new infrastructure by encouraging providers to 6461 upgrade facilities in areas that have already undergone an 6462 environmental or historic preservation review.

Lastly, this bill will ensure that environmental and historic preservation reviews for broadband deployments are proportional to the level of development taking place. In cases where broadband providers are simply upgrading

6467 infrastructure and rights-of-way, they have already been disturbed by other communications or electric utility 6468 6469 infrastructure, and environmental review has already been 6470 undertaken, and the land has already been disturbed. This 6471 bill would remove the requirement to conduct these reviews 6472 to deploy broadband infrastructure on these lands. 6473 This includes waiving the environmental and historic 6474 reviews to replace untrusted Huawei equipment that is

6475 eligible for reimbursement under the Secure and Trusted6476 Communications Networks Act. This straightforward reform

6477 will remove a key barrier to broadband deployment,

6478 especially on federal lands where reviews can take more than 6479 two years.

6480 This bill combines legislation led by my Republican 6481 colleagues on this committee, and I would like to thank them 6482 for their thoughtful proposals to bring affordable broadband 6483 to their communities quickly and ensure the -- that taxpayer 6484 dollars are not wasted on more red tape. I urge my 6485 colleagues to support this legislation, and I yield back. 6486 *The Chair. The gentleman yields back. Further discussion on the AINS? 6487

6488 The gentleman -- or the gentleman from Ohio is

6489	recognized for five minutes, Mr. Johnson, on the to
6490	strike the last word.
6491	*Mr. Johnson. Chair Rodgers, I move to strike the last
6492	word.
6493	*The Chair. The gentleman is recognized for five
6494	minutes to strike the last word.
6495	*Mr. Johnson. I strongly support this important
6496	legislation, H.R. 3557, which provides a whole package of
6497	broadband permitting reform bills offered by members of this
6498	committee. Permitting reform at the federal, state, and
6499	local levels are long overdue. With billions being spent on
6500	broadband deployment, it is critical that we make sure these
6501	funds will be used in a timely and cost-efficient manner to
6502	bridge the digital divide. And this legislation combines
6503	dozens of commonsense reforms to help accomplish that goal.
6504	Specifically, this bill includes the text of my
6505	legislation, H.R. 3289, the Wireless Competition and
6506	Efficient Broadband Deployment Act, which would remove the
6507	requirement to prepare an environmental or historic
6508	preservation review in order to add new or upgrade existing
6509	wireless facilities infrastructure. Eliminating repetitive
6510	and burdensome reviews is common sense and leads to more

6511 efficient broadband deployment.

6512 So I urge my colleagues to support this package of 6513 vital broadband permitting reforms, and I yield back.

6514 *The Chair. The gentleman yields back. The Chair6515 recognizes Mr. Peters for five minutes.

6516 *Mr. Peters. Thank you, Madam Chair. I have been 6517 really outspoken about my interest in reforming processes to 6518 make things go faster in the wake of the amazing historic 6519 investments we have made in the IRA and the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law. And I think that we need that reform in 6520 6521 energy, we need that reform in CHIPS. Energy if we are 6522 going to achieve our climate goals; CHIPS if we are going to 6523 actually compete with China and secure our supply chain, and I believe broadband as well. 6524

And so I want to thank the authors for addressing this issue. I am not going to support this version of it because of a few things that perhaps we could work on, but I want to acknowledge the work that they have done, and I think that there is room for improvement in the process that we have before us.

6531 One thing that I think that this issue tries to deal 6532 with is the local conflict. We have a national interest in 308

6533 building out broadband, but we will face challenges at the local level, and I appreciate the idea of the shot clock 6534 that is in this bill. I was -- I started on the city 6535 6536 council; I was a city council member and city council president. One of the things the Federal Government did for 6537 me was the Telecommunications Act of 1996. It basically 6538 6539 said that local governments had no jurisdiction to stop cell 6540 towers. We had determined as a Federal Government that they were safe, that they were necessary to build out our 6541 6542 infrastructure, and I was able as a city council member to 6543 say we do not have jurisdiction over that, and it didn't 6544 interfere with the development of the communications 6545 network.

6546 I think the Federal Government may be in that position 6547 to do that on things like broadband and some energy projects, and so I want to indicate my openness to that. 6548 Ι think the shot clock here is a little too tight. 6549 I think 60 6550 days is tough. And I think also my understanding is that 6551 the Democratic staff presented some contingencies that we wanted taken care of that the -- my friends on the other 6552 side were not willing to accept at this time. 6553

The NEPA exemptions are a little bit overbroad for me; 309

6555 although I think there is room to work on detailed review -targeted review for things that are already disturbed like 6556 6557 Mr. Carter described. And I am in -- I just want to 6558 indicate my willingness, despite my view that this is overly 6559 broad, to work on a better process in the future with my 6560 colleagues. I think we all have an interest in closing the 6561 digital divide. We have the money in the bank. We don't 6562 want that money to be wasted; we want it to go to the people 6563 who need it. 6564 And I think today is a good start, but it is not there 6565 yet for me. So I yield back. 6566 *The Chair. The gentleman yields back. Mr. Duncan is 6567 recognized for five minutes to strike the last word. 6568 *Mr. Duncan. Thank you, Madam Chair. I move to strike 6569 the last word and speak on the bill. 6570 I want to thank the authors of this legislation. It is 6571 important to build out broadband across the country, 6572 especially in the rural areas like South Carolina. I understand there is concern about some of the work that is 6573 being done on and around railroad right-of-ways, and I know 6574 the committee has made a commitment to work with the 6575 stakeholders to address the railroad right-of-way work and 6576 310

6577 just to make sure that our rails are safe and secure, and so I want to commend the committee for being able to look at 6578 6579 that, work with the railroads, and see if we can come up 6580 with some language before this goes to the floor to tweak it a little bit and make sure that the railroad right-of-ways 6581 are protected and adhered to. 6582 6583 And with that, I yield back. 6584 *The Chair. The gentleman yields back. Any further 6585 discussion? 6586 The Chair recognizes Mrs. Lesko for five minutes to 6587 strike the last word. 6588 *Mrs. Lesko. Thank you, Madam Chair. I want to say 6589 thank you to Congressman Carter and others for leading the 6590 American Broadband Deployment Act which aims to remove 6591 barriers to broadband expansion and close the digital 6592 divide. This bill includes the text of my bill, the Cable 6593 Expansion Act. 6594 The Cable Expansion Act streamlines the permitting 6595 process for cable operators that apply to a franchise

authority to deploy new or collocated facilities for
broadband service. Additionally, the bill preserves local
authority over siting facilities for cable service while

6599 establishing a responsibility to respond on franchising 6600 authorities and placing reasonable limits on aspects of such 6601 reviews, such as establishing criteria for denial and 6602 ensuring nondiscriminatory review requirements.

6603 The bill also establishes a timeline within which an 6604 application would be required to be approved or denied. If 6605 a request is not approved or denied within reason, within 6606 the established timeframes, the request would automatically 6607 be deemed granted. As broadband companies work to lay cable 6608 lines to deliver faster speeds for customers, they are often 6609 faced with a patchwork of regulatory laws to continue their 6610 projects across county and state lines.

6611 Broadband companies usually deal with franchising 6612 authorities at the city and county levels to get regulatory 6613 approval to continue their projects. In many cases, entire 6614 projects are put on hold as one franchising authority has not weighed in on the proposal for their jurisdiction. This 6615 6616 bill requires franchise authorities to make a judgment on 6617 broadband infrastructure in a timely manner. Specifically, 90 days are given to franchise authorities to approve or 6618 deny projects requiring authorization to place, construct, 6619 6620 or modify a cable or similar infrastructure.

6621 I am proud to have my bill included in the American Broadband Deployment Act that aims to turbocharge public and 6622 6623 private investment by promoting new and upgraded 6624 infrastructure deployments boosting competition, 6625 streamlining permitting processes, facilitating broadband deployment on federal lands, and closing the digital divide 6626 6627 in both rural and urban areas. 6628 I yield back. 6629 *Mr. Latta. [Presiding] The gentlelady yields back. 6630 Are there others wishing to speak on the AINS? The gentlelady from Illinois seeks recognition. 6631 6632 *Ms. Kelly. I just want to thank the authors of the 6633 bill. My district is urban, suburban, and rural, and I have 6634 a lot of folks that need help with broadband, but I did want 6635 to share a letter that we received from the City of Chicago, 6636 and it represents national organizations representing local governments from mid-April to the subcommittee -- or now to 6637 6638 the committee. Their primary concerns are that the bill 6639 will allow cable operators to modify terms or requirements and franchise agreements, it will prohibit state and local 6640 governments from revoking cable franchises, preempt state 6641 6642 and local zoning authority over the placement of cellphone

6643 towers and equipment, eliminate state and local government authority to manage public right-of-ways, and to collect 6644 6645 fair market compensation for their use and management, and 6646 preempt state and local zoning authority over the placement 6647 of some cable equipment. 6648 They feel it will also impact 5G and future wireless 6649 broadband deployments on City of Chicago right-of-way. Also 6650 will prevent our ability to encourage and incentivize more 6651 equitable wireless developments. So I'm just reading their 6652 concerns. 6653 *Mr. Latta. Does the gentlelady wish to include the 6654 letter in the record and submit? 6655 *Ms. Kelly. This is just the overall summary. 6656 *Mr. Latta. Okay. All right, thank you. Okay. 6657 *Ms. Kelly. I will find the letter. 6658 *Mr. Latta. Thank you. 6659 *Ms. Kelly. Thank you. 6660 *Mr. Latta. Does the gentlelady yield back? The 6661 gentlelady yields back. Are there others wishing to speak 6662 on the AINS? 6663 The gentleman from Indiana seeks recognition. Why does 6664 the gentleman seek recognition? 314

6665 *Mr. Pence. I move to strike the last word, Mr. 6666 Chairman. 6667 *Mr. Latta. The gentleman moves to strike the last 6668 word and is recognized for five minutes. 6669 *Mr. Pence. Thank you, Mr. Chair. I urge my colleagues to join me in supporting the 6670 American Broadband Deployment Act which includes my 6671 legislation, H.R. 3342, the SPEED Act. I am glad to work 6672 6673 with my colleagues to move this legislation forward by 6674 helping to cut red tape in the permitting process and 6675 effectively deploy broadband to Hoosiers at a faster pace. 6676 The SPEED Act is a solution to the duplicative broadband 6677 permitting review process for companies who are currently 6678 working to reinstall new equipment in the same right-of-way. 6679 Under current law, companies who wish to replace 6680 equipment must undergo nonessential and redundant permitting 6681 applications just to replace equipment in the same area. 6682 This legislation, in addition to the American Broadband Deployment Act, ensures companies can effectively provide 6683 6684 internet service to millions of Americans in need who don't have it as well. Hoosiers have waited too long to have 6685 6686 access to critical internet infrastructure and many

6687 Americans remain at risk of being left behind by our Nation's growing digital divide. 6688 6689 The SPEED Act will streamline the permitting process 6690 for broadband infrastructure so that residents in rural 6691 areas have more modern accessible broadband. I urge support 6692 of this bill and yield back the balance of my time. 6693 *Mr. Latta. The gentleman yields back. Are there 6694 others wishing to speak on the bill -- or on the AINS? 6695 The gentleman from Pennsylvania is recognized -- seeks 6696 recognition. What does the gentleman seek recognition for? 6697 *Mr. Joyce. Mr. Chairman, I move to strike the last 6698 word and speak in favor of the bill. 6699 *Mr. Latta. The gentleman is recognized for five 6700 minutes and seeks to strike the last word. 6701 *Mr. Joyce. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I want to offer 6702 my support of the package of permitting bills before us today, including H.R. 3288, the Broadband Competition and 6703 6704 Efficiency Deployment Act. In the 21st century, access to

6705 reliable broadband is truly the key to success, especially 6706 in rural Pennsylvania communities. The critical tool is 6707 what drives the economy, it connects students with their 6708 teachers, it cultivates farmlands and aids dairy farmers

6709	each and every day. It allows doctors to see their
6710	patients, it allows small businesses to communicate with
6711	their customers, and it allows families to connect with
6712	their loved ones.
6713	Yet too many Americans in districts like mine continue
6714	to be long overdue for fast, affordable, and reliable
6715	internet access. Something that seems so simple and
6716	fundamental is often met with opposition or delay,
6717	especially with regard to buildout and permitting for this
6718	necessary infrastructure.
6719	In an effort to close the connectivity divide, I have
6720	led legislation that would cut through the bureaucratic red
6721	tape and streamline the permitting process in order to speed
6722	up the deployment of critical broadband infrastructure. It
6723	is the responsibility of this body to begin the process of
6724	deploying broadband to these often overlooked and
6725	underserved communities.
6726	Therefore, I urge adoption of this package of bills and
6727	yield the balance of my time.
6728	*Mr. Latta. The gentleman yields back. Are there
6729	others wishing to speak on the AINS?
6730	[No response.]
	317

6731	*Mr. Latta. Hearing none, are there amendments? Oh,
6732	I'm sorry. I'm sorry. The gentleman from Ohio is seeks
6733	recognition. Why does the gentleman from Ohio seek
6734	recognition?
6735	*Mr. Balderson. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I have an
6736	amendment at the desk.
6737	*Mr. Latta. The clerk will report the amendment.
6738	*The Clerk. To clarify, is this BALDOH_008?
6739	*Mr. Balderson. Yes, ma'am.
6740	*The Clerk. Okay.
6741	*Mr. Latta. The clerk will report the amendment.
6742	*The Clerk. Amendment to the amendment in the nature
6743	of a substitute to H.R. 3557 offered by Mr. Balderson of
6744	Ohio.
6745	*Mr. Latta. Without objection, the first reading of
6746	the amendment is dispensed with and the amendment is open
6747	for at this time for discussion.
6748	Mr. Balderson.
6749	*Mr. Balderson. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
6750	During the legislative hearing on broadband permitting,
6751	we heard from stakeholders about the primary barriers they
6752	encounter when deploying new broadband networks. One of
	318

6753 these barriers was deploying telecommunications service facilities at railroad crossings. And he left. 6754 6755 This amendment builds on the discussion we had at the 6756 hearing by making state or local authorization to access a public right-of-way sufficient for placing, constructing, or 6757 modifying a telecommunications service facility within a 6758 6759 public right-of-way that intersects with a transportation crossing. Rail safety is paramount. That is why this 6760 6761 amendment also instructs the commission to coordinate directly with the Department of Transportation to create 6762 6763 regulations that ensure safety while allowing for timely 6764 placement of telecommunications service facilities. 6765 This amendment is good middle ground to ensure the 6766 buildout of networks in a timely manner while also making 6767 sure we can do compromised rail safety. I urge my colleagues to support this amendment. 6768 [The amendment of Mr. Balderson follows:] 6769 6770 6771 6772

6773 *Mr. Balderson. I yield back, Mr. Chairman. *Mr. Latta. Thank you very much. The gentleman yields 6774 6775 back. Are there others wishing to speak on the amendment? 6776 [No response.] *Mr. Latta. Hearing no further discussion, the vote 6777 occurs on the amendment. All those in favor of the 6778 amendment signify by saying aye. 6779 6780 Those opposed nay. 6781 The ayes have it, and the amendment is agreed to. 6782 Are there any further -- other further amendments? 6783 For what purpose does the ranking member seek 6784 recognition? 6785 *Mr. Pallone. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the 6786 desk titled Deemed 01. 6787 *Mr. Latta. The clerk will report. *The Clerk. Amendment to the amendment in the nature 6788 of a substitute to H.R. 3557 offered by Mr. Pallone. Page 6789 6790 12, strike Line 25 --*Mr. Latta. Without objection, the reading of the 6791 amendment is dispensed with, and the gentleman is recognized 6792 for five minutes in support of his amendment. 6793 6794 *Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 320

6795 I offered this amendment at last week's subcommittee markup, but I believe at this point it is critically 6796 6797 important and bears repeating. My amendment aims to remove 6798 arbitrary deadlines and narrow timeframes in this bill that 6799 preempt state and local authority's ability to make decisions that suit their communities needs and best 6800 6801 interests. That is why these deemed granted provisions that 6802 Republicans are rushing through I think are a bad process 6803 and, you know, are troubling to me and local elected 6804 officials in the towns we represent.

6805 H.R. 3557 would enact narrow and arbitrary timeframes 6806 to approve or deny applications and then deem them granted 6807 if a decision hasn't been made by that time. Now to be 6808 clear, if a local planning board or town council is 6809 reviewing a project and does not approve it under a deadline 6810 set by House Republicans, the applicant's proposal would 6811 automatically be considered approved without any further 6812 input from the community. And I have serious concerns that 6813 this approach raises more questions than answers, especially when it comes to liability in the case of accidents. 6814

6815 The reality is no matter where you go in this country, 6816 local governments have an important role to play reviewing

6817 and improving construction projects. My Republican colleagues like to demonize local governments for not 6818 6819 approving projects in the amount of time they would like, 6820 but this bill does nothing to address a major concern that 6821 was raised by all of the witnesses at the legislative 6822 hearing on this topic, and that is a lack of qualified 6823 personnel to process these applications, particularly in 6824 smaller towns and counties. Instead, they would waive their 6825 wand and approve these applications without further process. 6826 Now anyone who has served at the local level, and I 6827 have, knows there is a lot more to these decisions than a 6828 simple yes or no. In many cases, there is negotiation 6829 between towns or counties and communication providers for 6830 access to rights-of-way or other publicly-owned areas. This 6831 one size fits all mandate to approve projects in two months weakens a community's ability to get the job done right 6832 6833 while at the same time ignoring the realities of many local 6834 government calendars.

People who serve in county and municipal government are public servants who are accountable to the people who live in their communities, and they are responsible for acting in their best interest, especially as it pertains to utilities

and services in a county or town. And no one thinks about who is responsible for ensuring there is ample public safety personnel for traffic duty to ensure the safety of the workers and community members alike during construction or the complexity of scheduling certain construction projects to avoid road closures or other disruptions that can and often do occur as a result.

It is the mayors, and councils, and planning boards, 6846 and zoning boards who are responsible for these 6847 6848 considerations, and they are on the hook if anything goes 6849 wrong or someone gets hurt. And perhaps that is why we 6850 received a letter today from the Georgia Municipal 6851 Association which represents all 537 municipalities in the 6852 bill sponsor's home state, and they strongly oppose this 6853 bill. And I would ask unanimous consent to submit this 6854 letter for the record, Mr. Chairman. 6855 *Mr. Latta. Without objection. 6856 [The information follows:] 6857

6858 ********COMMITTEE INSERT********

6859

6860 *Mr. Pallone. Thank you.

I have great respect for my friend from Georgia. He 6861 6862 knows how much I love the state. But I am struggling to 6863 understand how his constituents and mine are served well by 6864 these proposals, because the deemed granted provisions are not the only areas where this legislation removes critical 6865 6866 local authorities. At best, these provisions remove local 6867 leverage to negotiate the specifics of projects to best 6868 serve the community. At worse, they can put people at risk. 6869 And those of us that work closely with our mayors and 6870 council, which I think is true for everyone on the dais 6871 here, we know that they are the first ones to step up when 6872 it comes to deploying communications infrastructure in their 6873 towns. What possible advantage would come from deliberately 6874 ignoring or delaying requests to improve services for their 6875 constituents?

So last week I challenged my Republican colleagues to tell their mayors they voted for this bill the next time they went home and see what they say. So when I went home this past weekend, and I saw some of the mayors in my counties, I mentioned it to them and, of course, I was glad to not be on the record supporting this bill. So I would

6882	encourage our colleagues on the other side of the aisle to
6883	go back to the drawing board and work with Democrats in a
6884	productive way that might actually get some bills signed
6885	into law.
6886	It is not as though Democrats are not trying to provide
6887	thoughtful ways to enact permitting reform bills that do not
6888	trample on these protections, but so far the Republicans
6889	have said no. So I urge all of my colleagues on both sides
6890	of the aisle to preserve state and local authority and vote
6891	yes on the amendment.
6892	[The amendment of Mr. Pallone follows:]
6893	
6894	*********COMMITTEE INSERT********
6895	

6896 *Mr. Pallone. And with that, Mr. Chairman, I yield 6897 back. 6898 *Mr. Latta. Thank you. The gentleman yields back. 6899 Are there any others wishing to be recognized on the 6900 amendment? 6901 The gentleman from Georgia is recognized for five 6902 minutes. 6903 *Mr. Carter. Mr. Chairman, I move to strike the last 6904 word. 6905 *Mr. Latta. The gentleman is recognized. 6906 *Mr. Carter. Mr. Chairman, with 42 billion dollars 6907 ready to go out the door for broadband development, we have 6908 to provide accountability on agencies and certainty to 6909 providers whether or not they will get their permits 6910 approved. Delays in the permitting process have the 6911 potential to ruin the success of connecting all Americans, 6912 and we cannot risk this money getting wasted. 6913 Here the Democrats are trying to strike deemed grant remedies created in this bill to ensure that state and local 6914 governments act within their review times. What is 6915 interesting is that some of the deemed grant remedies they 6916 are trying to strike were first enacted by the Democrats. 6917 326

6918 Deemed grant remedies are not new. One already exists when state and local governments fail to act on an eligible 6919 6920 facilities request within 60 days. This was enacted in a 6921 bipartisan rulemaking implemented by a Democrat FCC. 6922 Then FCC Commissioner Rosenworcel and Chairman Wheeler both praised the rules as balancing the need to streamline 6923 6924 the permitting process for the next generation of wireless 6925 technology while preserving state and local authority. 6926 Commissioner Rosenworcel, speaking about the FCC's order, 6927 "That is because the rules we put in place today are our 6928 first steps to encourage development of infrastructure that 6929 is absolutely critical for the next generation of wireless 6930 service, that being 5G.''

6931 Chairman Wheeler, speaking about the FCC's order, said, 6932 "Highspeed mobile broadband also requires highspeed 6933 broadband buildout. However, the regulatory burdens 6934 associated with deployments can be expensive and time 6935 consuming. This order takes concrete steps to immediately 6936 and substantially ease those burdens.''

6937 My manager's amendment applies the same reasoning to 6938 other requests to deploy new fixed and mobile communications 6939 infrastructure. My amendment also broadens the deemed

6940	grants to apply to federal agencies, which is necessary in
6941	order to ensure that the timeline requirements and the
6942	infrastructure law are met, and that the billions of dollars
6943	ready to be spent on deployment are not wasted. For that
6944	reason, I will oppose this amendment and urge my colleagues
6945	to vote no.
6946	And I yield back.
6947	*Mr. Latta. Thank you. The gentleman yields back. Is
6948	there further discussion on the amendment?
6949	[No response.]
6950	*Mr. Latta. Hearing no further discussion, a roll call
6951	has been requested. The clerk will take the roll.
6952	*The Clerk. Mr. Burgess?
6953	*Mr. Burgess. Burgess votes no.
6954	*The Clerk. Mr. Burgess votes no.
6955	Mr. Latta?
6956	*Mr. Latta. No.
6957	*The Clerk. Mr. Latta votes no.
6958	Mr. Guthrie?
6959	*Mr. Guthrie. No.
6960	*The Clerk. Mr. Guthrie votes no.
6961	Mr. Griffith?
	328

6962	*Mr. Griffith. No.
6963	*The Clerk. Mr. Griffith notes no.
6964	Mr. Bilirakis?
6965	*Mr. Bilirakis. [Inaudible.]
6966	*The Clerk. Mr. Bilirakis votes no.
6967	Mr. Johnson?
6968	*Mr. Johnson. No.
6969	*The Clerk. Mr. Johnson votes no.
6970	Mr. Bucshon?
6971	*Mr. Bucshon. No.
6972	*The Clerk. Mr. Bucshon votes no.
6973	Mr. Hudson?
6974	*Mr. Hudson. No.
6975	*The Clerk. Mr. Hudson votes no.
6976	Mr. Walberg?
6977	*Mr. Walberg. [Inaudible.]
6978	*The Clerk. Mr. Walberg votes no.
6979	Mr. Carter?
6980	*Mr. Carter. No.
6981	*The Clerk. Mr. Carter votes no.
6982	Mr. Duncan?
6983	*Mr. Duncan. No.

6984	*The Clerk. Mr. Duncan votes no.
6985	Mr. Palmer?
6986	*The Clerk. Mr. Dunn?
6987	*Mr. Palmer. No.
6988	*The Clerk. Mr. Palmer votes no.
6989	Mr. Dunn?
6990	[No response.]
6991	*The Clerk. Mr. Curtis?
6992	[No response.]
6993	*The Clerk. Mrs. Lesko?
6994	*Mrs. Lesko. No.
6995	*The Clerk. Mrs. Lesko votes no.
6996	Mr. Pence?
6997	*Mr. Pence. No.
6998	*The Clerk. Mr. Pence votes no.
6999	Mr. Crenshaw?
7000	*Mr. Crenshaw. No.
7001	*The Clerk. Mr. Crenshaw votes no.
7002	Mr. Joyce?
7003	*Mr. Joyce. No.
7004	*The Clerk. Mr. Joyce votes no.
7005	Mr. Armstrong?
	330

7006	*Mr. Armstrong. No.
7007	*The Clerk. Mr. Armstrong votes no.
7008	Mr. Weber?
7009	*Mr. Weber. No.
7010	*The Clerk. Mr. Weber votes no.
7011	Mr. Allen?
7012	*Mr. Allen. No.
7013	*The Clerk. Mr. Allen votes no.
7014	Mr. Balderson?
7015	*Mr. Balderson. [Inaudible.]
7016	*The Clerk. Mr. Balderson votes no.
7017	Mr. Fulcher?
7018	*Mr. Fulcher. No.
7019	*The Clerk. Mr. Fulcher votes no.
7020	Mr. Pfluger?
7021	*Mr. Pfluger. No.
7022	*The Clerk. Mr. Pfluger votes no.
7023	Mrs. Harshbarger?
7024	*Mrs. Harshbarger. No.
7025	*The Clerk. Mrs. Harshbarger votes no.
7026	Mrs. Miller-Meeks?
7027	*Mrs. Miller-Meeks. No.

7028	*The Clerk. Mrs. Miller-Meeks votes no.
7029	Mrs. Cammack?
7030	*Mrs. Cammack. No.
7031	*The Clerk. Mrs. Cammack votes no.
7032	Mr. Obernolte?
7033	[No response.]
7034	*The Clerk. Mr. Pallone?
7035	*Mr. Pallone. Aye.
7036	*The Clerk. Mr. Pallone votes aye.
7037	Ms. Eshoo?
7038	*Ms. Eshoo. [Inaudible.]
7039	*The Clerk. Ms. Eshoo votes aye.
7040	Ms. DeGette?
7041	*Ms. DeGette. Aye.
7042	*The Clerk. Ms. DeGette votes aye.
7043	Ms. Schakowsky?
7044	[No response.]
7045	*The Clerk. Ms. Matsui?
7046	*Ms. Matsui. Aye.
7047	*The Clerk. Ms. Matsui votes aye.
7048	Ms. Castor?
7049	*Ms. Castor. Aye.
	332

7050	*The Clerk. Ms. Castor votes aye.
7051	Mr. Sarbanes?
7052	*Mr. Sarbanes. Aye.
7053	*The Clerk. Mr. Sarbanes votes aye.
7054	Mr. Tonko?
7055	*Mr. Tonko. Aye.
7056	*The Clerk. Mr. Tonko votes aye.
7057	Ms. Clarke?
7058	*Ms. Clarke. Aye.
7059	*The Clerk. Ms. Clarke votes aye.
7060	Mr. Cardenas?
7061	*Mr. Cardenas. Aye.
7062	*The Clerk. Mr. Cardenas votes aye.
7063	Mr. Ruiz?
7064	*Mr. Ruiz. [Inaudible.]
7065	*The Clerk. Mr. Ruiz votes aye.
7066	Mr. Peters?
7067	*Mr. Peters. [Inaudible.]
7068	*The Clerk. Mr. Peters votes aye.
7069	Mrs. Dingell?
7070	*Mrs. Dingell. [Inaudible.]
7071	*The Clerk. Mrs. Dingell votes aye.
	333

7072	Mr. Veasey?
7073	[No response.]
7074	*The Clerk. Ms. Kuster?
7075	*Ms. Kuster. Aye.
7076	*The Clerk. Ms. Kuster votes aye.
7077	Ms. Kelly?
7078	*Ms. Kelly. [Inaudible.]
7079	*The Clerk. Ms. Kelly votes aye.
7080	Ms. Barragan?
7081	*Ms. Barragan. Aye.
7082	*The Clerk. Ms. Barragan votes aye.
7083	Ms. Blunt Rochester?
7084	*Ms. Blunt Rochester. Aye.
7085	*The Clerk. Ms. Blunt Rochester votes aye.
7086	Mr. Soto?
7087	*Mr. Soto. Aye.
7088	*The Clerk. Mr. Soto votes aye.
7089	Ms. Craig?
7090	*Ms. Craig. Aye.
7091	*The Clerk. Ms. Craig votes aye.
7092	Ms. Schrier?
7093	*Ms. Schrier. [Inaudible.]
	334

7094	*The Clerk. Ms. Schrier votes aye.
7095	Mrs. Trahan?
7096	*Mrs. Trahan. [Inaudible.]
7097	*The Clerk. Mrs. Trahan votes aye.
7098	Mrs. Fletcher?
7099	*Mrs. Fletcher. Aye.
7100	*The Clerk. Mrs. Fletcher votes aye.
7101	*Mr. Latta. Are there
7102	*The Clerk. Chair Rodgers?
7103	*The Chair. [Inaudible.]
7104	*Mr. Latta. Are there members who
7105	*The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes aye.
7106	*Mr. Latta wish to be recorded?
7107	*The Clerk. Oh, Chair Rodgers votes no.
7108	*Mr. Latta. How is the gentleman from Utah recorded?
7109	*The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes no. Chair Rodgers is
7110	recorded as no.
7111	*Mr. Latta. Does have you the gentleman from
7112	Utah?
7113	*The Clerk. Mm-hmm. Mr. Curtis is not recorded.
7114	*Mr. Curtis. [Inaudible.]
7115	*The Clerk. Mr. Curtis votes no.
	335

7116	*Mr. Latta. How is Mr. Dunn recorded?
7117	*The Clerk. Mm-hmm. Mr. Dunn is not recorded.
7118	*Mr. Dunn. No.
7119	*The Clerk. Mr. Dunn votes no.
7120	*Mr. Latta. Are there other members wishing to record
7121	their vote?
7122	[No response.]
7123	*Mr. Latta. The clerk will report the vote.
7124	*Voice. Oh, we got one.
7125	*Mr. Latta. Oh, I'm sorry. Do we have another?
7126	*Mr. Pallone. Veasey.
7127	*Voice. We got one.
7128	*Mr. Latta. Oh, I'm sorry. How is the gentleman from
7129	Texas recorded?
7130	*The Clerk. Mm-hmm. Mr. Veasey is not recorded.
7131	*Mr. Veasey. [Inaudible.]
7132	*The Clerk. Mm-hmm. Mr. Veasey votes aye.
7133	*Mr. Latta. Is the gentleman from Alabama recorded?
7134	*The Clerk. Mm-hmm. Mr. Palmer is recorded as no.
7135	*Mr. Latta. Okay, thank you. The clerk will report
7136	the roll.

7137	*The Clerk. Mm-hmm. Chair Latta, on that vote, we
7138	have 22 ayes and 28 nays.
7139	*Mr. Latta. Thank you. The amendment is not agreed
7140	to. Are there further amendments?
7141	Why does the gentlelady from Michigan seek recognition?
7142	*Mrs. Dingell. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the
7143	desk.
7144	*Mr. Latta. The Clerk will report the amendment. Which
7145	amendment is it to make sure we have that?
7146	*Mrs. Dingell. AINS Amendment 3.
7147	*The Clerk. You said Amendment 03?
7148	*Mrs. Dingell. Correct.
7149	*The Clerk. Amendment to the amendment in the nature of
7150	a substitute to H.R. 3557 offered by Mrs. Dingell.
7151	*Mr. Latta. Without objection, reading of the amendment
7152	is dispensed with, and the gentlelady is recognized for five
7153	minutes in support of her amendment.
7154	[The amendment 03 of Mrs. Dingell follows:]
7155	
7156	*******COMMITTEE INSERT*******
7157	

7158 *Mrs. Dingell. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. It is 7159 essential that we were able to fully unleash the benefits of 7160 a once in a generation investment into broadband buildout. 7161 We all know and recognize that this is a critical opportunity 7162 for our communities and the nation. And as I have stated and 7163 will continue to state I want to work with my republican 7164 colleagues on bipartisan permitting reform efforts that will 7165 strengthen our economy, our competition with China and help 7166 us meet these climate goals.

7167 But these efforts must protect our landmark 7168 environmental and public health laws, and this includes any 7169 permitting changes to build out critical broadband networks, 7170 broadband programs that I remind everyone were made possible 7171 by the investments made in the Infrastructure Investment and 7172 Jobs Act. Not everybody supported that, but every community 7173 throughout the country stands to benefit from, and it will ensure that every American has affordable and quality 7174 broadband access. 7175

7176 I am disappointed that the underlying bill does not 7177 identify targeted improvements, nor does it ensure the 7178 continued efficacy of environmental protections and community 7179 involvement in permitting processes. In reality, this bill

7180 guts NEPA, the National Environmental Policy Act, making 7181 broad exceptions to this key environmental law frankly at the 7182 intense of both the health of our communities and the 7183 efficacy of the rollout of these new projects. This is 7184 deeply concerning.

Look, I have made a commitment. John Dingell, who this 7185 7186 room is named of was the original author of NEPA, and he 7187 wrote it 50 years ago. It needs to be modernized, but we 7188 have got to make sure we do it with protecting original intent, and you can do both. The Infrastructure Investment 7189 7190 and Jobs Act laid out stringent timelines for network 7191 buildout to ensure that every American can receive affordable 7192 broadband service, a goal that we all share as internet 7193 access has become an absolute necessity for everyone to 7194 participate in the digital economy, education and more. 7195 Some of my colleagues here have raised concerns about our ability to meet these accessibility goals within the 7196 7197 statutory time frame of that law while meeting all permitting 7198 requirements of federal, state and local laws. It is 7199 critical that we meet these goals, but it can't come at the direct expense of local jurisdictions' autonomy and important 7200 7201 protections that safeguard American's health and access to

7202 clean water, air and the environment.

My amendment strikes out these harmful NEPA exclusions. We need to take a commonsense approach that balances the need for timely broadband permitting while ensuring that experts and others have been able to evaluate the potential harm instead of ignoring the issue altogether like this AINS would do.

I do hope that we can all come together, republicans and democrats, to make thoughtful improvements to combat climate change, support robust network buildout and provide efficient and effective review of these new projects. I strongly urge every member of this Committee to support my amendment.

7214 Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I yield back.

7215 *Mr. Latta. Thank you. The gentlelady yields back. Is 7216 there further discussion on the amendment?

7217 The gentlemen from Indiana.

7218 *Mr. Pence. Mr. Chairman, I move to strike the last 7219 word.

7220 *Mr. Latta. The gentleman seeks to strike the last word 7221 and is recognized for five minutes.

7222*Mr. Pence. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Carter's7223American Broadband Deployment Act takes reasonable steps to

7224 limit and eliminate duplicative or unnecessary environmental 7225 reviews for broadband projects. In many cases, broadband 7226 infrastructure is being deployed in rights of ways or 7227 easements where communications and utility infrastructure 7228 already exists. These areas have already undergone 7229 environmental reviews yet we still require these in most 7230 cases unnecessary and duplicative reviews when coming back to 7231 add or upgrade broadband infrastructure.

7232 Republicans do not disagree that environmental reviews are necessary. Rather, those reviews should be proportionate 7233 7234 to the disturbance being caused by the project. Where 7235 infrastructure already exists and where the broadband 7236 projects are small or not disturbing any new lands why should 7237 we repeat what we already know? These redundant reviews do 7238 not provide any tangible benefit, yet they add considerable 7239 cost and delay to broadband deployment.

Our legislation is squarely focused on reducing environmental reviews for previously disturbed lands. This means that after a hurricane, tornado or wildfire rips through a community and destroys broadband infrastructure the permitting process won't add to the delay in restoring communications equipment. It means encouraging broadband

7246	deployment to take place in existing utility corridors and
7247	preserve our environment rather than pushing projects to dig
7248	up new land that may be more cost effective without these
7249	reforms.
7250	I urge my colleagues to oppose this amendment and
7251	support Mr. Carter management amendment. With that I yield
7252	back.
7253	*Mr. Latta. Thank you. The gentleman yields back. Is
7254	there any further discussion on the amendment?
7255	Hearing none, at this time the vote occurs on the
7256	amendment.
7257	All those in favor, signify by saying aye.
7258	All those opposed, nay.
7259	A recorded vote has been requested. The Clerk will call
7260	the yeas and nays.
7261	*The Clerk. Mr. Burgess?
7262	*Mr. Burgess. No.
7263	*The Clerk. Mr. Burgess votes no.
7264	Mr. Latta?
7265	*Mr. Latta. No.
7266	*The Clerk. Mr. Latta votes no.
7267	Mr. Guthrie?
	240

7268	*Mr. Guthrie. No.
7269	*The Clerk. Mr. Guthrie votes no.
7270	Mr. Griffith?
7271	*Mr. Griffith. No.
7272	*The Clerk. Mr. Griffith votes no.
7273	Mr. Bilirakis?
7274	*Mr. Bilirakis. No.
7275	*The Clerk. Mr. Bilirakis votes no.
7276	Mr. Johnson?
7277	*Mr. Johnson. No.
7278	*The Clerk. Mr. Johnson votes no.
7279	Mr. Bucshon?
7280	*Mr. Bucshon. No.
7281	*The Clerk. Mr. Bucshon votes no.
7282	Mr. Hudson?
7283	[No response.]
7284	*The Clerk. Mr. Walberg?
7285	*Mr. Walberg. No.
7286	*The Clerk. Mr. Walberg votes no.
7287	Mr. Carter?
7288	*Mr. Carter. No.
7289	*The Clerk. Mr. Carter votes no.

7290	Mr. Duncan?
7291	*Mr. Duncan. No.
7292	*The Clerk. Mr. Duncan votes no.
7293	Mr. Palmer?
7294	*Mr. Palmer. No.
7295	*The Clerk. Mr. Palmer votes no.
7296	Mr. Dunn?
7297	*Mr. Dunn. No.
7298	*The Clerk. Mr. Dunn votes no.
7299	Mr. Curtis?
7300	*Mr. Curtis. No.
7301	*The Clerk. Mr. Curtis votes no.
7302	Mrs. Lesko?
7303	*Mrs. Lesko. No.
7304	*The Clerk. Mrs. Lesko votes no.
7305	Mr. Pence?
7306	*Mr. Pence. No.
7307	*The Clerk. Mr. Pence votes no.
7308	Mr. Krenshaw?
7309	*Mr. Krenshaw. No.
7310	*The Clerk. Mr. Krenshaw votes no.
7311	Mr. Joyce?

7312	*Mr. Joyce. No.
7313	*The Clerk. Mr. Joyce votes no.
7314	Mr. Armstrong?
7315	*Mr. Armstrong. No.
7316	*The Clerk. Mr. Armstrong votes no.
7317	Mr. Weber?
7318	*Mr. Weber. No.
7319	*The Clerk. Mr. Weber votes no.
7320	Mr. Allen?
7321	*Mr. Allen. No.
7322	*The Clerk. Mr. Allen votes no.
7323	Mr. Balderson?
7324	*Mr. Balderson. No.
7325	*The Clerk. Mr. Balderson votes no.
7326	Mr. Fulcher?
7327	*Mr. Fulcher. No.
7328	*The Clerk. Mr. Fulcher votes no.
7329	Mr. Pfluger?
7330	*Mr. Pfluger. No.
7331	*The Clerk. Mr. Pfluger votes no.
7332	Mrs. Harshbarger?
7333	*Mrs. Harshbarger. No.

7334	*The Clerk. Mrs. Harshbarger votes no.
7335	Mrs. Miller-Meeks?
7336	[No response.]
7337	*The Clerk. Mrs. Cammack?
7338	*Mrs. Cammack. No.
7339	*The Clerk. Mrs. Cammack votes no.
7340	Mr. Obernolte?
7341	[No response.]
7342	*The Clerk. Mr. Pallone?
7343	*Mr. Pallone. Aye.
7344	*The Clerk. Mr. Pallone votes aye.
7345	Ms. Eshoo?
7346	*Ms. Eshoo. Aye.
7347	*The Clerk. Ms. Eshoo votes aye.
7348	Ms. DeGette?
7349	*Ms. DeGette. Aye.
7350	*The Clerk. Ms. DeGette votes aye.
7351	Ms. Schakowsky?
7352	[No response.]
7353	*The Clerk. Ms. Matsui?
7354	*Ms. Matsui. Aye.
7355	*The Clerk. Ms. Matsui votes aye.
	346

7356	Ms. Castor?
7357	*Ms. Castor. Aye.
7358	*The Clerk. Ms. Castor votes aye.
7359	Mr. Sarbanes?
7360	*Mr. Sarbanes. Aye.
7361	*The Clerk. Mr. Sarbanes votes aye.
7362	*The Clerk. Mr. Tonko?
7363	*Mr. Tonko. Aye.
7364	*The Clerk. Mr. Tonko votes aye.
7365	Ms. Clarke?
7366	*Ms. Clarke. Aye.
7367	*The Clerk. Ms. Clarke votes aye.
7368	Mr. Cardenas?
7369	*Mr. Cardenas. Aye.
7370	*The Clerk. Mr. Cardenas votes aye.
7371	Mr. Ruiz?
7372	*Mr. Ruiz. Aye.
7373	*The Clerk. Mr. Ruiz votes aye.
7374	Mr. Peters?
7375	*Mr. Peters. Aye.
7376	*The Clerk. Mr. Peters votes aye.
7377	Mrs. Dingell?
	317

7378	*Mrs. Dingell. Aye.
7379	*The Clerk. Mrs. Dingell votes aye.
7380	Mr. Veasey?
7381	*Mr. Veasey. Aye.
7382	*The Clerk. Mr. Veasey votes aye.
7383	Ms. Kuster?
7384	*Ms. Kuster. Aye.
7385	*The Clerk. Ms. Kuster votes aye.
7386	Ms. Kelly?
7387	*Ms. Kelly. Aye.
7388	*The Clerk. Ms. Kelly votes aye.
7389	Ms. Barragan?
7390	*Ms. Barragan. Aye.
7391	*The Clerk. Ms. Barragan votes aye.
7392	Ms. Blunt Rochester?
7393	*Ms. Blunt Rochester. Aye.
7394	*The Clerk. Ms. Blunt Rochester votes aye.
7395	Mr. Soto?
7396	*Mr. Soto. Aye.
7397	*The Clerk. Mr. Soto votes aye.
7398	Ms. Craig?
7399	*Ms. Craig. Aye.

7400	*The Clerk. Ms. Craig votes aye.
7401	Ms. Schrier?
7402	[No response.]
7403	*The Clerk. Mrs. Trahan?
7404	*Mrs. Trahan. Aye.
7405	*The Clerk. Mrs. Trahan votes aye.
7406	Mrs. Fletcher?
7407	*Mrs. Fletcher. Aye.
7408	*The Clerk. Mrs. Fletcher votes aye.
7409	Chair Rodgers?
7410	*The Chair. No.
7411	*The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes no.
7412	*Mr. Latta. Are there members wishing to be recorded?
7413	*The Clerk. Mrs. Miller-Meeks, you are not recorded.
7414	*Mrs. Miller-Meeks. No.
7415	*The Clerk. Mrs. Miller-Meeks votes no.
7416	*Mr. Latta. Are there any other members wishing to be
7417	recorded?
7418	*The Clerk. Ms. Schrier, you are not recorded.
7419	*Ms. Schrier. Aye.
7420	*The Clerk. Ms. Schrier votes aye.
7421	*Mr. Latta. Are there any other members wishing to be
	349

7422	recorded? If not, The Clerk will report the result of the
7423	roll call.
7424	*The Clerk. Mr. Latta, on that vote there were 22 ayes
7425	and 27 noes.
7426	*Mr. Latta. Thank you. The amendment is not agreed to.
7427	Are there any other amendments at this time? For what
7428	purpose does the gentleman seek recognition?
7429	*Mr. Tonko. Mr. Chair, I have an amendment at the desk.
7430	I believe it's labeled Amendment 2.
7431	*Mr. Latta. The Clerk will report the amendment.
7432	*The Clerk. Amendment to the amendment in the nature of
7433	a substitute to H.R. 3557 offered by Mr. Tonko.
7434	*Mr. Latta. Without objection the reading of the
7435	amendment is dispensed with, and the gentleman is recognized
7436	for five minutes in support of the amendment.
7437	[The substitute amendment of Mr. Tonko follows:]
7438	
7439	********COMMITTEE INSERT********
7440	

7441 Thank you, Mr. Chair. History and heritage *Mr. Tonko. 7442 in New York's Capitol region and in all of our districts are 7443 critical components of the fabric of the communities that we 7444 represent. The National Historic Preservation Act became law 7445 nearly 60 years ago to protect places that are significant in 7446 our nation's history, vital to our constituents today and certainly worthy of passing on to future generations, and the 7447 7448 NHPA was enacted because common sense means of protecting 7449 these special places benefits everyone.

7450 Historic places and lands drive a powerful heritage 7451 tourism industry. They create local jobs and economic 7452 opportunity, support small businesses, provide affordable 7453 housing and contribute to public education. In my district 7454 today, historic downtowns house small businesses and draw 7455 visitors. A former stop on the Underground Railroad 7456 continues to provide support to marginalized members of our community, and the historic Erie Canal grounds the region in 7457 7458 its innovative and pioneer spirit.

7459 While I care about historic preservation I also believe 7460 that every American should have access to quality and 7461 affordable broadband service, and it could be an opportunity 7462 to ensure that the process for review under the NHPA for

broadband projects is more efficient perhaps by excluding 7463 7464 certain technological updates to projects that recently 7465 received NHPA approval from a second NHPA review. But this language goes much further than that by 7466 7467 excepting a broad definition of "telecommunications 7468 projects'' from any NHPA review and not just for projects on 7469 lands that have previously undergone such a review as the 7470 majority's memo suggests. 7471 My amendment would strike this language that broadly excludes broadband projects from NHPA review. All Americans 7472 7473 deserve access to a quality and affordable broadband 7474 connection, and I do believe that we should be able to fulfill that promise without adversely affecting their local 7475 7476 history, heritage or homeland infrastructure. 7477 With that I urge my colleagues to support this amendment 7478 and yield back, Mr. Chair. 7479 *Mr. Latta. Thank you. The gentleman yields back. Is there further discussion on the amendment? For what purpose 7480 7481 does the gentleman from Michigan seek recognition? 7482 *Mr. Walberg. Mr. Chairman, striking the last word. *Mr. Latta. The gentleman is recognized for five 7483 7484 minutes to strike the last word. 352

7485 *Mr. Walberg. While I appreciate my colleague's 7486 amendment I truly believe Mr. Carter's American Broadband 7487 Deployment Act takes reasonable steps to limit and eliminate 7488 duplicative or unnecessary historic preservation reviews for 7489 broadband projects. In many cases, broadband infrastructure 7490 is being deployed in rights of way or easements where communications and utility infrastructure already exist. 7491 7492 These areas have already undergone historic preservation 7493 reviews yet we still require these unnecessary and 7494 duplicative reviews when coming back to add or upgrade broadband infrastructure. 7495

7496 Republicans do not disagree that these reviews are 7497 necessary, but where infrastructure already exists and where 7498 the broadband projects are small or not disturbing any new 7499 lands why should we repeat what we already know? These 7500 redundant reviews add considerable cost and delay to 7501 broadband deployment. Further, we want broadband deployment 7502 to take place in existing utility corridors rather than 7503 pushing projects to dig up new land. Requiring these 7504 duplicative reviews would encourage that waste.

7505 I urge my colleagues to oppose this amendment, and I 7506 support Mr. Carter's manager's amendment.

7507 The gentleman yields back. *Mr. Latta. Is there 7508 further discussion on the amendment? The gentleman from 7509 Texas seeks recognition. For what purpose does the gentleman 7510 seek recognition? *Mr. Pfluger. Mr. Chairman, I seek a point of personal 7511 7512 privilege. 7513 *Mr. Latta. The gentleman is recognized for a point of 7514 personal privilege. 7515 *Mr. Pfluger. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I will keep it 7516 brief. We have 20 plus students from Garden City, Texas, 7517 here. We talk about rural broadband, Glasscock County, 7518 Texas. This is exactly what I spoke about yesterday about rural broadband. And I would like to recognize them because 7519 7520 this is the future. Thank you all for being here, and I 7521 yield back. 7522 [Applause] 7523 *Mr. Latta. The gentleman yields back. Is there further discussion on the amendment? Hearing there is no 7524 7525 further discussion, a roll call vote has been requested. The 7526 Clerk will call the roll. *The Clerk. Mr. Burgess. 7527

7528 *Mr. Burgess. Burgess votes no.

7529	*The Clerk. Mr. Burgess votes no.
7530	Mr. Latta?
7531	*Mr. Latta. No.
7532	*The Clerk. Mr. Latta votes no.
7533	Mr. Guthrie?
7534	[No response.]
7535	*The Clerk. Mr. Griffith?
7536	*Mr. Griffith. No.
7537	*The Clerk. Mr. Griffith votes no.
7538	Mr. Bilirakis?
7539	*Mr. Bilirakis. No.
7540	*The Clerk. Mr. Bilirakis votes no.
7541	Mr. Johnson?
7542	*Mr. Johnson. No.
7543	*The Clerk. Mr. Johnson votes no.
7544	Mr. Bucshon?
7545	*Mr. Bucshon. No.
7546	*The Clerk. Mr. Bucshon votes no.
7547	Mr. Hudson?
7548	[No response.]
7549	*The Clerk. Mr. Walberg?
7550	*Mr. Walberg. No.

7551	*The Clerk. Mr. Walberg votes no.
7552	Mr. Carter?
7553	[No response.]
7554	*The Clerk. Mr. Duncan?
7555	*Mr. Duncan. No.
7556	*The Clerk. Mr. Duncan votes no.
7557	Mr. Palmer?
7558	*Mr. Palmer. No.
7559	*The Clerk. Mr. Palmer votes no.
7560	Mr. Dunn?
7561	*Mr. Dunn. No.
7562	*The Clerk. Mr. Dunn votes no.
7563	Mr. Curtis?
7564	*Mr. Curtis. No.
7565	*The Clerk. Mr. Curtis votes no.
7566	Mrs. Lesko?
7567	*Mrs. Lesko. No.
7568	*The Clerk. Mrs. Lesko votes no.
7569	Mr. Pence?
7570	*Mr. Pence. No.
7571	*The Clerk. Mr. Pence votes no.
7572	Mr. Krenshaw?
	356

7573	*Mr. Krenshaw. No.
7574	*The Clerk. Mr. Krenshaw votes no.
7575	Mr. Joyce?
7576	[No response.]
7577	*The Clerk. Mr. Armstrong?
7578	*Mr. Armstrong. No.
7579	*The Clerk. Mr. Armstrong votes no.
7580	Mr. Weber?
7581	*Mr. Weber. Negative.
7582	*The Clerk. Mr. Weber votes no.
7583	Mr. Allen?
7584	*Mr. Allen. No.
7585	*The Clerk. Mr. Allen votes no.
7586	Mr. Balderson?
7587	*Mr. Balderson. No.
7588	*The Clerk. Mr. Balderson votes no.
7589	Mr. Fulcher?
7590	*Mr. Fulcher. No.
7591	*The Clerk. Mr. Fulcher votes no.
7592	Mr. Pfluger?
7593	*Mr. Pfluger. No.
7594	*The Clerk. Mr. Pfluger votes no.
	257

7596*Mrs. Harshbarger. No.7597*The Clerk. Mrs. Harshbarger votes no.7598Mrs. Miller-Meeks?7599*Mrs. Miller-Meeks. No.7600*The Clerk. Ms. Miller Meeks votes no.7601Mrs. Cammack?7602*Mrs. Cammack. No.7603*The Clerk. Mrs. Cammack votes no.7604Mr. Obernolte?7605[No response.]7606*The Clerk. Mr. Pallone?7607*Mr. Pallone. Aye.7608*The Clerk. Mr. Pallone votes aye.7609Ms. Eshoo?7610*Ms. Eshoo. Aye.7611*The Clerk. Ms. Eshoo votes aye.7612Ms. DeGette?7613[No response.]7614*The Clerk. Ms. Schakowsky?7615[No response.]7616*The Clerk. Ms. Matsui?	7595	Mrs. Harshbarger?
 Mrs. Miller-Meeks? *Mrs. Miller-Meeks. No. *Mrs. Miller-Meeks. No. *The Clerk. Ms. Miller Meeks votes no. Mrs. Cammack? *Mrs. Cammack. No. *Mrs. Cammack. No. *The Clerk. Mrs. Cammack votes no. Mr. Obernolte? [No response.] *The Clerk. Mr. Pallone? *Mr. Pallone. Aye. *The Clerk. Mr. Pallone votes aye. Ms. Eshoo? *Ms. Eshoo. Aye. *The Clerk. Ms. Eshoo votes aye. Ms. DeGette? [No response.] (No response.] *The Clerk. Ms. Schakowsky? [No response.] 	7596	*Mrs. Harshbarger. No.
 *Mrs. Miller-Meeks. No. *The Clerk. Ms. Miller Meeks votes no. 7601 Mrs. Cammack? 7602 *Mrs. Cammack. No. 7603 *The Clerk. Mrs. Cammack votes no. 7604 Mr. Obernolte? 7605 [No response.] 7606 *The Clerk. Mr. Pallone? 7607 *Mr. Pallone. Aye. 7608 *The Clerk. Mr. Pallone votes aye. 7609 Ms. Eshoo? 7610 *Ms. Eshoo. Aye. 7611 *The Clerk. Ms. Eshoo votes aye. 7612 Ms. DeGette? 7613 [No response.] 7614 *The Clerk. Ms. Schakowsky? 7615 [No response.] 	7597	*The Clerk. Mrs. Harshbarger votes no.
 *The Clerk. Ms. Miller Meeks votes no. *The Clerk. Ms. No. *Mrs. Cammack. No. *The Clerk. Mrs. Cammack votes no. Mr. Obernolte? [No response.] (No response.] *The Clerk. Mr. Pallone? *Mr. Pallone. Aye. *The Clerk. Mr. Pallone votes aye. *Ms. Eshoo? *Ms. Eshoo. Aye. *The Clerk. Ms. Eshoo votes aye. Ms. DeGette? [No response.] [No response.] *The Clerk. Ms. Schakowsky? [No response.] 	7598	Mrs. Miller-Meeks?
 7601 Mrs. Cammack? 7602 *Mrs. Cammack. No. 7603 *The Clerk. Mrs. Cammack votes no. 7604 Mr. Obernolte? 7605 [No response.] 7606 *The Clerk. Mr. Pallone? 7607 *Mr. Pallone. Aye. 7608 *The Clerk. Mr. Pallone votes aye. 7609 Ms. Eshoo? 7610 *Ms. Eshoo. Aye. 7611 *The Clerk. Ms. Eshoo votes aye. 7612 Ms. DeGette? 7613 [No response.] 7614 *The Clerk. Ms. Schakowsky? 7615 [No response.] 	7599	*Mrs. Miller-Meeks. No.
 *Mrs. Cammack. No. *The Clerk. Mrs. Cammack votes no. *The Clerk. Mrs. Cammack votes no. Mr. Obernolte? [No response.] *The Clerk. Mr. Pallone? *Mr. Pallone. Aye. *The Clerk. Mr. Pallone votes aye. *The Clerk. Ms. Eshoo votes aye. *The Clerk. Ms. Eshoo votes aye. Ms. DeGette? [No response.] *The Clerk. Ms. Schakowsky? [No response.] 	7600	*The Clerk. Ms. Miller Meeks votes no.
 *The Clerk. Mrs. Cammack votes no. Mr. Obernolte? [No response.] *The Clerk. Mr. Pallone? *Mr. Pallone. Aye. *The Clerk. Mr. Pallone votes aye. *The Clerk. Mr. Pallone votes aye. Ms. Eshoo? *Ms. Eshoo. Aye. *The Clerk. Ms. Eshoo votes aye. % DeGette? [No response.] *The Clerk. Ms. Schakowsky? [No response.] 	7601	Mrs. Cammack?
 7604 Mr. Obernolte? 7605 [No response.] 7606 *The Clerk. Mr. Pallone? 7607 *Mr. Pallone. Aye. 7608 *The Clerk. Mr. Pallone votes aye. 7609 Ms. Eshoo? 7610 *Ms. Eshoo. Aye. 7611 *The Clerk. Ms. Eshoo votes aye. 7612 Ms. DeGette? 7613 [No response.] 7614 *The Clerk. Ms. Schakowsky? 7615 [No response.] 	7602	*Mrs. Cammack. No.
 [No response.] (No response.] The Clerk. Mr. Pallone? *Mr. Pallone. Aye. *The Clerk. Mr. Pallone votes aye. Ms. Eshoo? Ms. Eshoo. Aye. *Ms. Eshoo. Aye. *The Clerk. Ms. Eshoo votes aye. Ms. DeGette? [No response.] *The Clerk. Ms. Schakowsky? [No response.] 	7603	*The Clerk. Mrs. Cammack votes no.
 The Clerk. Mr. Pallone? *Mr. Pallone. Aye. *The Clerk. Mr. Pallone votes aye. *The Clerk. Mr. Pallone votes aye. Ms. Eshoo? *Ms. Eshoo. Aye. *The Clerk. Ms. Eshoo votes aye. *The Clerk. Ms. Eshoo votes aye. Ms. DeGette? [No response.] *The Clerk. Ms. Schakowsky? [No response.] 	7604	Mr. Obernolte?
 *Mr. Pallone. Aye. *The Clerk. Mr. Pallone votes aye. Ms. Eshoo? Ms. Eshoo. Aye. *Ms. Eshoo. Aye. *The Clerk. Ms. Eshoo votes aye. Ms. DeGette? [No response.] *The Clerk. Ms. Schakowsky? [No response.] [No response.] 	7605	[No response.]
 7608 *The Clerk. Mr. Pallone votes aye. 7609 Ms. Eshoo? 7610 *Ms. Eshoo. Aye. 7611 *The Clerk. Ms. Eshoo votes aye. 7612 Ms. DeGette? 7613 [No response.] 7614 *The Clerk. Ms. Schakowsky? 7615 [No response.] 	7606	*The Clerk. Mr. Pallone?
 7609 Ms. Eshoo? 7610 *Ms. Eshoo. Aye. 7611 *The Clerk. Ms. Eshoo votes aye. 7612 Ms. DeGette? 7613 [No response.] 7614 *The Clerk. Ms. Schakowsky? 7615 [No response.] 	7607	*Mr. Pallone. Aye.
 7610 *Ms. Eshoo. Aye. 7611 *The Clerk. Ms. Eshoo votes aye. 7612 Ms. DeGette? 7613 [No response.] 7614 *The Clerk. Ms. Schakowsky? 7615 [No response.] 	7608	*The Clerk. Mr. Pallone votes aye.
 7611 *The Clerk. Ms. Eshoo votes aye. 7612 Ms. DeGette? 7613 [No response.] 7614 *The Clerk. Ms. Schakowsky? 7615 [No response.] 	7609	Ms. Eshoo?
 7612 Ms. DeGette? 7613 [No response.] 7614 *The Clerk. Ms. Schakowsky? 7615 [No response.] 	7610	*Ms. Eshoo. Aye.
 7613 [No response.] 7614 *The Clerk. Ms. Schakowsky? 7615 [No response.] 	7611	*The Clerk. Ms. Eshoo votes aye.
 7614 *The Clerk. Ms. Schakowsky? 7615 [No response.] 	7612	Ms. DeGette?
7615 [No response.]	7613	[No response.]
	7614	*The Clerk. Ms. Schakowsky?
7616 *The Clerk. Ms. Matsui?	7615	[No response.]
	7616	*The Clerk. Ms. Matsui?

7617	*Ms. Matsui. Aye.
7618	*The Clerk. Ms. Matsui votes aye.
7619	Ms. Castor?
7620	*Ms. Castor. Aye.
7621	*The Clerk. Ms. Castor votes aye.
7622	Mr. Sarbanes?
7623	*Mr. Sarbanes. Aye.
7624	*The Clerk. Mr. Sarbanes votes aye.
7625	Mr. Tonko?
7626	*Mr. Tonko. Yes.
7627	*The Clerk. Mr. Tonko votes aye.
7628	Ms. Clarke?
7629	*Ms. Clarke. Yes.
7630	*The Clerk. Ms. Clarke votes aye.
7631	Mr. Cardenas?
7632	[No response.]
7633	*The Clerk. Mr. Ruiz?
7634	*Mr. Ruiz. Aye.
7635	*The Clerk. Mr. Ruiz votes aye.
7636	Mr. Peters?
7637	*Mr. Peters. Aye.
7638	*The Clerk. Mr. Peters votes aye.

7639	Mrs. Dingell?
7640	[No response.]
7641	*The Clerk. Mr. Veasey?
7642	*Mr. Veasey. Aye.
7643	*The Clerk. Mr. Veasey votes aye.
7644	Ms. Kuster?
7645	*Ms. Kuster. Aye.
7646	*The Clerk. Ms. Kuster votes aye.
7647	Ms. Kelly?
7648	*Ms. Kelly. Aye.
7649	*The Clerk. Ms. Kelly votes aye.
7650	Ms. Barragan?
7651	*Ms. Barragan. Aye.
7652	*The Clerk. Ms. Barragan votes aye.
7653	Ms. Blunt Rochester?
7654	*Ms. Blunt Rochester. Aye.
7655	*The Clerk. Ms. Blunt Rochester votes aye.
7656	Mr. Soto?
7657	*Mr. Soto. Aye.
7658	*The Clerk. Mr. Soto votes aye.
7659	Ms. Craig?
7660	*Ms. Craig. Aye.

7661	*The Clerk. Ms. Craig votes aye.
7662	Ms. Schrier?
7663	*Ms. Schrier. Aye.
7664	*The Clerk. Ms. Schrier votes aye.
7665	Mrs. Trahan?
7666	*Mrs. Trahan. Aye.
7667	*The Clerk. Mrs. Trahan votes aye.
7668	Mrs. Fletcher?
7669	*Mrs. Fletcher. Aye.
7670	*The Clerk. Mrs. Fletcher votes aye.
7671	Chair Rodgers?
7672	*The Chair. No.
7673	*The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes no.
7674	*Mr. Latta. Are there members wishing to be recorded?
7675	How is the gentleman from Kentucky recorded?
7676	*Mr. Guthrie. I vote no.
7677	*The Clerk. Mr. Guthrie votes no.
7678	*Mr. Latta. The gentleman from Georgia?
7679	*The Clerk. Mr. Carter is not recorded.
7680	*Mr. Carter. I vote no.
7681	*The Clerk. Mr. Carter votes no.
7682	*Mr. Latta. How is the gentleman from Pennsylvania
	361

7683	recorded?
7684	*The Clerk. Mr. Joyce is not recorded.
7685	*Mr. Joyce. Joyce votes no.
7686	*The Clerk. Mr. Joyce votes no.
7687	*Mr. Latta. How is the gentleman from California
7688	recorded?
7689	*The Clerk. Mr. Cardenas is not recorded.
7690	*Mr. Cardenas. Aye.
7691	*The Clerk. Mr. Cardenas votes aye.
7692	*Mr. Latta. The gentlelady from Colorado?
7693	*Ms. DeGette. DeGette votes aye.
7694	*The Clerk. Ms. DeGette votes aye.
7695	*Mr. Latta. The gentlelady from Michigan, how is she
7696	recorded?
7697	*The Clerk. Mrs. Dingell is not recorded.
7698	*Mrs. Dingell. Votes aye.
7699	*The Clerk. Mrs. Dingell votes aye.
7700	*Mr. Latta. Are there any other members wishing to be
7701	recorded? Hearing none, the clerk will take the roll and
7702	report the result.
7703	*The Clerk. Chair Latta, on that vote we have 22 ayes
7704	and 27 nays.

7705	*Mr. Latta. Thank you very much. The amendment is not
7706	adopted. Are there other amendments? For what purpose does
7707	the gentlelady, the Ranking Member of the Subcommittee on
7708	Communication and Technology, wish to seek recognition?
7709	*Ms. Matsui. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the
7710	deck.
7711	*Mr. Latta. The Clerk will report the amendment.
7712	*Ms. Matsui. It is labeled Fees 01.
7713	*Mr. Latta. Amendment to the amendment in the nature of
7714	a substitute to H.R. 3557 offered by Ms. Matsui.
7715	*Mr. Latta. Without objection the reading of the
7716	amendment is dispensed with, and the gentlelady is recognized
7717	for five minutes in support of the amendment.
7718	[The amendment to the amendment of Ms. Matsui follows:]
7719	
7720	********COMMITTEE INSERT*******
7721	

7722 Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. *Ms. Matsui. 7723 I am offering this amendment today to make a small 7724 change that would provide resources to support more timely approval of broadband applications. My amendment will allow 7725 7726 agencies to keep the fees they collect to help process more 7727 broadband applications. As a stance under this legislation these application fees will need to be returned to the 7728 7729 Treasury. I think that is shortsighted.

7730 At a permitting hearing, both industry and public interest witnesses mentioned a lack of resources as a major 7731 7732 barrier to timely approval of applications. Well, here is a 7733 way to help that won't require any new spending and there is 7734 plenty of precedent for what this amendment would do. 7735 Reinvesting the fees collected from an industry to help fund 7736 the needs of the industry we do it in transportation, in 7737 telecom and healthcare. To me broadband permitting would be no different. To the Treasury, these fees would be a drop in 7738 7739 the bucket, but for a cash strapped federal permitting office 7740 it could make a huge difference.

7741So I hope members of this Committee will consider this7742amendment, and I yield back the balance of my time.

*Mr. Latta. Thank you very much. The gentlelady yields

7744 back. And for what purpose does the gentleman from Alabama 7745 seek recognition?

*Mr. Palmer. I move to strike the last word.

7747 *Mr. Latta. The gentleman is recognized for five 7748 minutes to strike the last word.

I speak in opposition to the amendment, 7749 *Mr. Palmer. 7750 Mr. Chairman. The federal government receives funds from a 7751 variety of sources including tax revenues, fees, fines, 7752 penalties and settlements, and these collections come from a wide variety of sources. Under the Constitution, we as 7753 7754 members of Congress make the laws and provide the money to 7755 implement these appropriation decisions and these laws. The Executive branch, as we all know, carries out these laws. 7756 7757 Under this system, Congress has the final word as to how 7758 much money can be spent by a given agency or a given program, 7759 so it is ultimately up to the Congress to determine how much the Executive branch can span and how much the agencies can 7760 7761 retain. And while the majority of federal revenues come from 7762 taxes these agencies collect, as I said, billions of dollars 7763 in fees, fines, penalties and settlements thus providing them 7764 with unappropriated funds.

7765 Allowing the federal agencies to retain these

unappropriated funds undermines Congress's ability to 7766 7767 exercise oversight over federal agencies through the power of 7768 the purse. It can also add to the unobligated fund balance 7769 being held by federal agencies. From 2017 to 2020, yearend 7770 unobligated balances ranged, and this may catch some of you 7771 by surprise, from almost 958 billion to an estimated 1.3 7772 trillion according to the Office of Management and Budget, 7773 and federal agencies carried over an estimated 1 trillion in 7774 unobligated balances 2020 to 2021.

I just think at a time when the federal debt is at an unsustainable level it is incumbent on Congress to not only get spending under control but to also exercise appropriation authority over all federal revenues including nontax collections, and that includes all the fines, fees, penalties and settlements.

Article I, Section 9, Clause 7 of the Constitution imposes requirement on Congress to maintain the constitutional power of the purse by appropriating these funds and defining their use by agencies. We should not relinquish this constitutional power of the purse, and instead we should fulfill our constitutional responsibility by requiring that these fees be subject to the appropriation

7788 process. 7789 So I urge my colleagues to vote no and to protect the 7790 power of the purse of the United States Congress, and I yield 7791 back. 7792 *Mr. Latta. The gentleman yields back. Is there 7793 further discussion on the amendment? 7794 Hearing none, a roll call vote has been requested, and the Clerk will call the role. 7795 7796 *The Clerk. Mr. Burgess? 7797 *Mr. Burgess. Burgess votes no. 7798 *The Clerk. Mr. Burgess votes no. 7799 Mr. Latta? 7800 *Mr. Latta. No. 7801 *The Clerk. Mr. Latta votes no. 7802 Mr. Guthrie? 7803 [No response.] *The Clerk. Mr. Griffith? 7804 7805 *Mr. Griffith. No. 7806 *The Clerk. Mr. Griffith votes no. 7807 Mr. Bilirakis? 7808 [No response.] *The Clerk. Mr. Johnson? 7809

7810	*Mr. Johnson. No.
7811	*The Clerk. Mr. Johnson votes no.
7812	Mr. Bucshon?
7813	*Mr. Bucshon. No.
7814	*The Clerk. Mr. Bucshon votes no.
7815	Mr. Hudson?
7816	[No response.]
7817	*The Clerk. Mr. Walberg?
7818	*Mr. Walberg. No.
7819	*The Clerk. Mr. Walberg votes no.
7820	Mr. Carter?
7821	[No response.]
7822	*Mr. Latta. Mr. Duncan?
7823	*Mr. Duncan. Duncan no.
7824	*The Clerk. Mr. Duncan votes no.
7825	Mr. Palmer.
7826	*Mr. Palmer. No.
7827	*The Clerk. Mr. Palmer votes no.
7828	Mr. Dunn?
7829	*Mr. Dunn. No.
7830	*The Clerk. Mr. Dunn votes no.
7831	Mr. Curtis?

7832	*Mr. Curtis. No.
7833	*The Clerk. Mr. Curtis votes no.
7834	Mrs. Lesko?
7835	*Mrs. Lesko. No.
7836	*The Clerk. Mrs. Lesko votes no.
7837	Mr. Pence?
7838	*Mr. Pence. No.
7839	*The Clerk. Mr. Pence votes no.
7840	Mr. Krenshaw?
7841	*Mr. Krenshaw. No.
7842	*The Clerk. Mr. Krenshaw votes no.
7843	Mr. Joyce?
7844	*Mr. Joyce. No.
7845	*The Clerk. Mr. Joyce votes no.
7846	Mr. Armstrong?
7847	*Mr. Armstrong. No.
7848	*The Clerk. Mr. Armstrong votes no.
7849	Mr. Weber?
7850	*Mr. Weber. Negative.
7851	*The Clerk. Mr. Weber votes no.
7852	Mr. Allen?
7853	*Mr. Allen. No.

7854	*The Clerk. Mr. Allen votes no.
7855	Mr. Balderson?
7856	*Mr. Balderson. No.
7857	*The Clerk. Mr. Balderson votes no.
7858	Mr. Fulcher?
7859	*Mr. Fulcher. No.
7860	*The Clerk. Mr. Fulcher votes no.
7861	Mr. Pfluger?
7862	*Mr. Pfluger. No.
7863	*The Clerk. Mr. Pfluger votes no.
7864	Mrs. Harshbarger?
7865	*Mrs. Harshbarger. No.
7866	*The Clerk. Mrs. Harshbarger no.
7867	Mrs. Miller-Meeks?
7868	*Mrs. Miller-Meeks. No.
7869	*The Clerk. Mrs. Miller-Meeks votes no.
7870	Mrs. Cammack?
7871	*Mrs. Cammack. No.
7872	*The Clerk. Mrs. Cammack votes no.
7873	Mr. Obernolte?
7874	[No response.]
7875	*The Clerk. Mr. Pallone?

7876	*Mr. Pallone. Aye.
7877	*The Clerk. Mr. Pallone votes aye.
7878	Ms. Eshoo?
7879	*Ms. Eshoo. Aye.
7880	*The Clerk. Ms. Eshoo votes aye.
7881	Ms. DeGette?
7882	*Ms. DeGette. Aye.
7883	*The Clerk. Ms. DeGette votes aye.
7884	Ms. Schakowsky?
7885	*Ms. Schakowsky. Aye.
7886	*The Clerk. Ms. Schakowsky votes aye.
7887	Ms. Matsui?
7888	*Ms. Matsui. Aye.
7889	*The Clerk. Ms. Matsui votes aye.
7890	Ms. Castor?
7891	*Ms. Castor. Aye.
7892	*The Clerk. Ms. Castor votes aye.
7893	Mr. Sarbanes?
7894	*Mr. Sarbanes. Aye.
7895	*The Clerk. Mr. Sarbanes votes aye.
7896	Mr. Tonko?
7897	*Mr. Tonko. Aye.

7898	*The Clerk. Mr. Tonko votes aye.
7899	Ms. Clarke?
7900	*Ms. Clarke. Aye.
7901	*The Clerk. Ms. Clarke votes aye.
7902	Mr. Cardenas?
7903	*Mr. Cardenas. Aye.
7904	*The Clerk. Mr. Cardenas votes aye.
7905	Mr. Ruiz?
7906	*Mr. Ruiz. Aye.
7907	*The Clerk. Mr. Ruiz votes aye.
7908	Mr. Peters?
7909	*Mr. Peters. Aye.
7910	*The Clerk. Mr. Peters votes aye.
7911	Mrs. Dingell?
7912	*Mrs. Dingell. Aye.
7913	*The Clerk. Mrs. Dingell votes aye.
7914	Mr. Veasey?
7915	*Mr. Veasey. Aye.
7916	*The Clerk. Mr. Veasey votes aye.
7917	Ms. Kuster?
7918	*Ms. Kuster. Aye.
7919	*The Clerk. Ms. Kuster votes aye.

7920	Ms. Kelly?
7921	*Ms. Kelly. Yea.
7922	*The Clerk. Ms. Kelly votes aye.
7923	Ms. Barragan?
7924	*Ms. Barragan. Aye.
7925	*The Clerk. Ms. Barragan votes aye.
7926	Ms. Rochester?
7927	*Ms. Rochester. Aye.
7928	*The Clerk. Ms. Rochester votes aye.
7929	Mr. Soto?
7930	*Mr. Soto. Aye.
7931	*The Clerk. Mr. Soto votes aye.
7932	Ms. Craig?
7933	*Ms. Craig. Aye.
7934	*The Clerk. Ms. Craig votes aye.
7935	Ms. Schrier?
7936	*Ms. Schrier. Aye.
7937	*The Clerk. Mr. Schrier votes aye.
7938	Mrs. Trahan?
7939	*Mrs. Trahan. Aye.
7940	*The Clerk. Mrs. Trahan votes aye.
7941	Mrs. Fletcher?

7942	*Mrs. Fletcher. Aye.
7943	*The Clerk. Mrs. Fletcher votes aye.
7944	Chair Rodgers?
7945	*The Chair. No.
7946	*The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes no.
7947	*Mr. Latta. Is the gentleman from Kentucky recorded?
7948	*Mr. Guthrie. I vote no.
7949	*The Clerk. Mr. Guthrie votes no.
7950	*Mr. Latta. The gentleman from Georgia, how is he
7951	recorded?
7952	*The Clerk. Mr. Carter is not recorded.
7953	*Mr. Latta. Are there other members wishing to record
7954	their vote.
7955	*The Clerk. Mr. Carter votes no.
7956	*Mr. Latta. Are there any other members wishing to
7957	record their vote? Hearing none, the Clerk the report the
7958	result.
7959	*The Clerk. Chair Latta, on that vote we have 23 ayes
7960	and 26 nays.
7961	*Mr. Latta. The amendment is not agreed to.
7962	Are there any further amendments? For what purpose does
7963	the gentleman from California seek recognition?

7964	*Mr. Ruiz. Mr. Chair, I have an amendment at the desk.
7965	It's labeled Presumption_01.
7966	*Mr. Latta. The Clerk will report the amendment.
7967	*The Clerk. Amendment to the amendment in the nature of
7968	a substitute to H.R. 3557 offered by Mr. Ruiz.
7969	*Mr. Latta. Without objection the reading of the
7970	amendment is dispensed with, and the gentleman is recognized
7971	for five minutes in support of the amendment.
7972	[The amendment Presumptin_01 by Mr. Ruiz follows:]
7973	
7974	*********COMMITTEE INSERT********
7975	

7976 *Mr. Ruiz. My amendment strikes the Section 302 of H.R. 7977 3557, Rep Carter's American Broadband Deployment Act of 2023. 7978 H.R. 3557 fast-tracks broadband expansion by stomping all 7979 over the sovereignty rights of tribes. In Section 302 of 7980 H.R. 3557, it is presumed that if a tribe received a new 7981 tower submission packet or colocation request and did not 7982 respond to within 45 days, then the tribe is disclaiming its 7983 interest in the project on or across their land.

In other words, broadband companies can inform a sovereign tribal nation that they want to build a tower on their tribal land, and if the tribe doesn't respond within 45 days the company can go in and build on their land without consent. That is simply preposterous. This is, however, historically consistent with how tribes have been wrongly treated.

This is wrong in so many levels. A 45-day window is not sufficient to allow anyone to thought fully consider whether and how something being built on or across their land may disrupt sites of historic, religious or cultural significance. This creates a path to avoid meaningful consultation with tribes, and this violates the very notion that tribes are sovereign nations.

As members of Congress we have a responsibility to consider tribal matters just as we would other nation-tonation issues. It is in our Constitution.

This duty stems from a number of different statutes, treaties, and our court decisions handed down for more than 200 years. By making a presumption of approval based on a lack of response, this bill is tramping on another nation's right to decide what happens on their own land and in areas that may hold significant cultural significance.

This is unacceptable, disrespectful, and a dereliction of duty towards tribal nations. We must uphold the sovereignty of tribal nations.

8010 The expansion of broadband service must be in 8011 partnership with tribes on their land and with meaningful 8012 consultation. We should work together with the tribes on 8013 getting them access to the funding that expands broadband on tribal lands through historic investments in the 8014 8015 Infrastructure Investments and Jobs Act and the Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2021 and my Tribal Broadband Expansion 8016 8017 Act.

8018 We must remain vigilant to ensure that tribal voices are 8019 a part of the discussion and not just snuffed out by making

8020	these kinds of presumptions that will only cause friction.
8021	It is a check the box. They did not respond. We can go in
8022	and do whatever we want on tribal land, on their land.
8023	So I urge my colleagues to reject the underlying bill
8024	and to support my amendment.
8025	I yield some time to Tony Cardenas.
8026	*Mr. Cardenas. Thank you very much for yielding.
8027	Ladies and gentlemen, we are talking about sovereign
8028	nations. One of the realities of sovereign nations
8029	throughout our country is that most sovereign nations do not
8030	have enough resources to be self-reliant and self-sufficient.
8031	They do not have enough resources to have the technical
8032	expertise or legal expertise to respond in time to a 45-day
8033	requirement.
8034	It is ridiculous that anybody would have it is
8035	ridiculous to have tribes, sovereign nations, with the
8036	difficulties that they have, without the resources to have
8037	reliant and sufficient expertise to have the tightest time
8038	frame of all the other local governments that are mentioned
8039	in the bill.

For example, we are talking about 60 days, 90 days for local governments and other entities, and yet here all of a

8042 sudden for some reason tribal nations, sovereign nations, are not afforded even that much respect. 8043 8044 And actually, it is just unbelievable that anybody would 8045 believe that it is okay for a private company to be afforded 8046 the authority and the ability to traverse tribal lands, 8047 sovereign lands, just because they want to put up a physical 8048 facility. 8049 I yield back. 8050 *Mr. Latta. The gentleman yields back. *Mr. Ruiz. And I yield back, too. 8051 8052 *Mr. Latta. And the gentleman yields back his time. Is there discussion, further discussion? 8053 8054 For what purpose does the gentleman from Utah seek 8055 recognition? 8056 *Mr. Curtis. I move to strike the last word. *Mr. Latta. The gentleman is recognized for five 8057 minutes to strike the last word. 8058 8059 *Mr. Curtis. Thank you. 8060 I would like to clarify exactly Mr. Carter's legislation and address it in regard to the concerns of the amendment. 8061 Mr. Carter's legislation would ensure that tribal 8062 nations have adequate opportunity to claim an interest in 8063 379

8064 prospective broadband deployment applications that may affect 8065 tribal or historic properties.

In fact, the Republican legislation would ensure there are multiple opportunities for tribal nations and Native Hawaiian organizations to ensure any broadband deployment adequately protects their historic properties.

8070 Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act 8071 requires the FCC to notify Indian tribes and Native Hawaiian 8072 organizations when a proposed communications tower to deploy 8073 or modify communications facility could impact them.

The tower construction notification system, or TCNS, is used to share information about the location and nature of the proposed tower with Indian tribes and Native Hawaiian organizations who can then directly communicate with the companies.

However, sometimes tribal nations do not claim an interest in an application, even when they are notified by TCNS that a proposed tower could be built near an historic site.

8083 The Carter legislation makes important clarifications to 8084 ensure that proposed communication towers are appropriately 8085 notified to Indian tribes and that they have all the

8086 information they need to claim an interest in an application. 8087 This amendment also codifies important steps to ensure 8088 broadband providers follow up after a 45-day period if they 8089 do not hear back from an Indian tribe or only after multiple 8090 attempts.

Nothing in this amendment would regulate the fees that
Indian tribes could charge to review a proposed tower.
Nothing in this amendment prohibits an Indian tribe from
charging an up-front fee for receiving a proposed tower.
This amendment simply clarifies the tribal notification
process so that both broadband providers and tribal nations
have clear rules of the road.

8098 I urge my colleagues to reject the amendment and support 8099 the American Broadband Deployment Act.

8100 And with that, Mr. Chairman, I yield my time.

8101 *Mr. Latta. Thank you.

8102 The gentleman yields back.

8103 Is there further discussion on the amendment?

[No response.]

*Mr. Latta. Hearing none, a roll call has been

8106 requested. The Clerk will call the roll please.

*The Clerk. Mr. Burgess?

8108	*Mr. Burgess. No.
8109	*The Clerk. Mr. Burgess votes no.
8110	*Mr. Latta?
8111	*Mr. Latta. No.
8112	*The Clerk. Mr. Latta votes no.
8113	Mr. Guthrie?
8114	*Mr. Guthrie. No.
8115	*The Clerk. Mr. Guthrie votes no.
8116	Mr. Griffith?
8117	*Mr. Griffith. No.
8118	*The Clerk. Mr. Griffith votes no.
8119	*Mr. Bilirakis?
8120	*Mr. Bilirakis. No.
8121	*The Clerk. Mr. Bilirakis votes no.
8122	Mr. Johnson?
8123	*Mr. Johnson. No.
8124	*The Clerk. Mr. Johnson votes no.
8125	Mr. Bucshon?
8126	[No response.]
8127	*The Clerk. Mr. Hudson?
8128	[No response.]
8129	*The Clerk. Mr. Walberg?

8130	*Mr. Walberg. No.
8131	*The Clerk. Mr. Walberg votes no.
8132	Mr. Carter?
8133	*Mr. Carter. No.
8134	*The Clerk. Mr. Carter votes no.
8135	Mr. Duncan?
8136	*Mr. Duncan. No.
8137	*The Clerk. Mr. Duncan votes no.
8138	Mr. Palmer?
8139	*Mr. Palmer. No.
8140	*The Clerk. Mr. Palmer votes no.
8141	Mr. Dunn?
8142	*Mr. Dunn. No.
8143	*The Clerk. Mr. Dunn votes no.
8144	Mr. Curtis?
8145	*Mr. Curtis. No.
8146	*The Clerk. Mr. Curtis votes no.
8147	Mrs. Lesko?
8148	*Mrs. Lesko. No.
8149	*The Clerk. Mrs. Lesko votes no.
8150	Mr. Pence?
8151	*Mr. Pence. No.

8152	*The Clerk. Mr. Pence votes no.
8153	Mr. Crenshaw?
8154	[No response.]
8155	*The Clerk. Mr. Joyce?
8156	*Mr. Joyce. No.
8157	*The Clerk. Mr. Joyce votes no.
8158	Mr. Armstrong?
8159	*Mr. Armstrong. No.
8160	*The Clerk. Mr. Armstrong votes no.
8161	Mr. Weber?
8162	*Mr. Weber. No.
8163	*The Clerk. Mr. Weber votes no.
8164	Mr. Allen?
8165	*Mr. Allen. No.
8166	*The Clerk. Mr. Allen votes no.
8167	Mr. Balderson?
8168	*Mr. Balderson. No.
8169	*The Clerk. Mr. Balderson votes no.
8170	Mr. Fulcher?
8171	*Mr. Fulcher. No.
8172	*The Clerk. Mr. Fulcher votes no.
8173	Mr. Pfluger?
	384

8174	*Mr. Pfluger. No.
8175	*The Clerk. Mr. Pfluger votes no.
8176	Mrs. Harshbarger?
8177	*Mrs. Harshbarger. No.
8178	*The Clerk. Mrs. Harshbarger votes no.
8179	Mrs. Miller-Meeks?
8180	*Mrs. Miller-Meeks. No.
8181	*The Clerk. Mrs. Miller-Meeks votes no.
8182	Mrs. Cammack?
8183	*Mrs. Cammack. No.
8184	*The Clerk. Mrs. Cammack votes no.
8185	Mr. Obernolte?
8186	[No response.]
8187	*The Clerk. Mr. Pallone?
8188	*Mr. Pallone. Aye.
8189	*The Clerk. Mr. Pallone votes aye.
8190	Ms. Eshoo?
8191	*Ms. Eshoo. Aye.
8192	*The Clerk. Ms. Eshoo votes aye.
8193	Ms. DeGette?
8194	*Ms. DeGette. Aye.
8195	*The Clerk. Ms. DeGette votes aye.
	395

8196	Ms. Schakowsky?
8197	*Ms. Schakowsky. Aye.
8198	*The Clerk. Ms. Schakowsky votes aye.
8199	Ms. Matsui?
8200	*Ms. Matsui. Aye.
8201	*The Clerk. Ms. Matsui votes aye.
8202	Ms. Castor?
8203	*Ms. Castor. Aye.
8204	*The Clerk. Ms. Castor votes aye.
8205	Mr. Sarbanes?
8206	*Mr. Sarbanes. Aye.
8207	*The Clerk. Mr. Sarbanes votes aye.
8208	Mr. Tonko?
8209	*Mr. Tonko. Aye.
8210	*The Clerk. Mr. Tonko votes aye.
8211	Ms. Clarke?
8212	*Ms. Clarke. Aye.
8213	*The Clerk. Ms. Clarke votes aye.
8214	Mr. Cardenas?
8215	*Mr. Cardenas. Aye.
8216	*The Clerk. Mr. Cardenas votes aye.
8217	Mr. Ruiz?
	396

8218	*Mr. Ruiz. Aye.
8219	*The Clerk. Mr. Ruiz votes aye.
8220	Mr. Peters?
8221	*Mr. Peters. Aye.
8222	*The Clerk. Mr. Peters votes aye.
8223	Mrs. Dingell?
8224	*Mrs. Dingell. Aye.
8225	*The Clerk. Mrs. Dingell votes aye.
8226	Mr. Veasey?
8227	*Mr. Veasey. Aye.
8228	*The Clerk. Mr. Veasey votes aye.
8229	Ms. Kuster?
8230	*Ms. Kuster. Aye.
8231	*The Clerk. Ms. Kuster votes aye.
8232	Ms. Kelly?
8233	*Ms. Kelly. Aye.
8234	*The Clerk. Ms. Kelly votes aye.
8235	Ms. Barragan?
8236	*Ms. Barragan. Aye.
8237	*The Clerk. Ms. Barragan votes aye.
8238	Ms. Blunt Rochester?
8239	*Ms. Blunt Rochester. Aye.

8240	*The Clerk. Ms. Blunt Rochester votes aye.
8241	Mr. Soto?
8242	*Mr. Soto. Aye.
8243	*The Clerk. Mr. Soto votes aye.
8244	Ms. Craig?
8245	*Ms. Craig. Aye.
8246	*The Clerk. Ms. Craig votes aye.
8247	Ms. Schrier?
8248	*Ms. Schrier. Aye.
8249	*The Clerk. Ms. Schrier votes aye.
8250	Mrs. Trahan?
8251	*Mrs. Trahan. Aye.
8252	*The Clerk. Mrs. Trahan votes aye.
8253	Mrs. Fletcher?
8254	*Mrs. Fletcher. Aye.
8255	*The Clerk. Mrs. Fletcher votes aye.
8256	Chair Rodgers?
8257	*The Chair. No.
8258	*The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes no.
8259	*Mr. Latta. Are there other members wishing to record
8260	their vote?
8261	Has the gentleman from Indiana been recorded?
	388

8262	*The Clerk. Mr. Bucshon is not recorded.
8263	*Mr. Bucshon. No.
8264	*The Clerk. Mr. Bucshon votes no.
8265	*Mr. Latta. Are there any other members?
8266	[No response.]
8267	*Mr. Latta. Hearing none, the Clerk will record the
8268	result of the roll call.
8269	*The Clerk. Chair Latta, on that vote we have 23 ayes
8270	and 26 noes.
8271	*Mr. Latta. The Amendment is not agreed to.
8272	Are there further amendments?
8273	[No response.]
8274	*Mr. Latta. At this time the vote will occur on the ANS
8275	as amended.
8276	All those in favor will signify by saying aye.
8277	All opposed, nay.
8278	The ayes have it and the ANS is agreed to.
8279	At this time the question now occurs on approving H.R.
8280	3557, as amended, and a roll call vote has been requested.
8281	The Clerk will call the roll.
8282	*The Clerk. Mr. Burgess?
8283	*Mr. Burgess. Votes aye.
	389

8284	*The Clerk. Mr. Burgess votes aye.
8285	*Mr. Latta?
8286	*Mr. Latta. Aye.
8287	*The Clerk. Mr. Latta votes aye.
8288	Mr. Guthrie?
8289	*Mr. Guthrie. Aye.
8290	*The Clerk. Mr. Guthrie votes aye.
8291	Mr. Griffith?
8292	*Mr. Griffith. Aye.
8293	*The Clerk. Mr. Griffith votes aye.
8294	*Mr. Bilirakis?
8295	*Mr. Bilirakis. Aye.
8296	*The Clerk. Mr. Bilirakis votes aye.
8297	Mr. Johnson?
8298	*Mr. Johnson. Aye.
8299	*The Clerk. Mr. Johnson votes aye.
8300	Mr. Bucshon?
8301	*Mr. Bucshon. Aye.
8302	*The Clerk. Mr. Bucshon votes aye.
8303	Mr. Hudson?
8304	[No response.]
8305	*The Clerk. Mr. Walberg?

8306	[No response.]
8307	*The Clerk. Mr. Carter?
8308	*Mr. Carter. Aye.
8309	*Mr. Walberg. Aye.
8310	*The Clerk. Mr. Walberg votes aye.
8311	Mr. Carter?
8312	*Mr. Carter. Aye.
8313	*The Clerk. Mr. Carter votes aye.
8314	Mr. Duncan?
8315	*Mr. Duncan. Aye.
8316	*The Clerk. Mr. Duncan votes aye.
8317	Mr. Palmer?
8318	*Mr. Palmer. Aye.
8319	*The Clerk. Mr. Palmer votes aye.
8320	Mr. Dunn?
8321	*Mr. Dunn. Aye.
8322	*The Clerk. Mr. Dunn votes aye.
8323	Mr. Curtis?
8324	*Mr. Curtis. Aye.
8325	*The Clerk. Mr. Curtis votes aye.
8326	Mrs. Lesko?
8327	*Mrs. Lesko. Aye.

8328	*The Clerk.	Mrs. Lesko votes aye.
8329	Mr. Pence?	
8330	*Mr. Pence.	Aye.
8331	*The Clerk.	Mr. Pence votes aye.
8332	Mr. Crenshaw	?
8333	*Mr. Crensha	w. Aye.
8334	*The Clerk.	Mr. Crenshaw votes aye.
8335	Mr. Joyce?	
8336	*Mr. Joyce.	Aye.
8337	*The Clerk.	Mr. Joyce votes aye.
8338	Mr. Armstron	g?
8339	*Mr. Armstro	ng. Aye.
8340	*The Clerk.	Mr. Armstrong votes aye.
8341	Mr. Weber?	
8342	*Mr. Weber.	Yes.
8343	*The Clerk.	Mr. Weber votes aye.
8344	Mr. Allen?	
8345	*Mr. Allen.	Aye.
8346	*The Clerk.	Mr. Allen votes aye.
8347	Mr. Balderson	n?
8348	*Mr. Balders	on. Yes.
8349	*The Clerk.	Mr. Balderson votes aye.

8350	Mr. Fulcher?
8351	*Mr. Fulcher. Aye.
8352	*The Clerk. Mr. Fulcher votes aye.
8353	Mr. Pfluger?
8354	*Mr. Pfluger. Aye.
8355	*The Clerk. Mr. Pfluger votes aye.
8356	Mrs. Harshbarger?
8357	*Mrs. Harshbarger. Aye.
8358	*The Clerk. Mrs. Harshbarger votes aye.
8359	Mrs. Miller-Meeks?
8360	*Mrs. Miller-Meeks. Aye.
8361	*The Clerk. Mrs. Miller-Meeks votes aye.
8362	Mrs. Cammack?
8363	*Mrs. Cammack. Aye.
8364	*The Clerk. Mrs. Cammack votes aye.
8365	Mr. Obernolte?
8366	[No response.]
8367	*The Clerk. Mr. Pallone?
8368	*Mr. Pallone. No.
8369	*The Clerk. Mr. Pallone votes no.
8370	Ms. Eshoo?
8371	*Ms. Eshoo. No.
	202

8372	*The Clerk.	Ms. Eshoo v	votes no.
8373	Ms. DeGette?		
8374	[No response.]	
8375	*The Clerk.	Ms. Schakow	isky?
8376	*Ms. Schakows	ky. No.	
8377	*The Clerk.	Ms. Schakow	sky votes no.
8378	Ms. Matsui?		
8379	*Ms. Matsui.	No.	
8380	*The Clerk.	Ms. Matsui	votes no.
8381	Ms. Castor?		
8382	*Ms. Castor.	No.	
8383	*The Clerk.	Ms. Castor	votes no.
8384	Mr. Sarbanes?		
8385	*Mr. Sarbanes	. No.	
8386	*The Clerk.	Mr. Sarbane	es votes no.
8387	Mr. Tonko?		
8388	*Mr. Tonko.	No.	
8389	*The Clerk.	Mr. Tonko v	votes no.
8390	Ms. Clarke?		
8391	*Ms. Clarke.	No.	
8392	*The Clerk.	Ms. Clarke	votes no.
8393	Mr. Cardenas?		
			394

8394	*Mr. Cardenas. No.
8395	*The Clerk. Mr. Cardenas votes no.
8396	Mr. Ruiz?
8397	*Mr. Ruiz. No.
8398	*The Clerk. Mr. Ruiz votes no.
8399	Mr. Peters?
8400	*Mr. Peters. No.
8401	*The Clerk. Mr. Peters votes no.
8402	Mrs. Dingell?
8403	*Mrs. Dingell. No.
8404	*The Clerk. Mrs. Dingell votes no.
8405	Mr. Veasey?
8406	*Mr. Veasey. No.
8407	*The Clerk. Mr. Veasey votes no.
8408	Ms. Kuster?
8409	*Ms. Kuster. No.
8410	*The Clerk. Ms. Kuster votes no.
8411	Ms. Kelly?
8412	*Ms. Kelly. No.
8413	*The Clerk. Ms. Kelly votes no.
8414	Ms. Barragan?
8415	*Ms. Barragan. No.

8416	*The Clerk. Ms. Barragan votes no.
8417	Ms. Blunt Rochester?
8418	*Ms. Blunt Rochester. No.
8419	*The Clerk. Ms. Blunt Rochester votes no.
8420	Mr. Soto?
8421	*Mr. Soto. No.
8422	*The Clerk. Mr. Soto votes no.
8423	Ms. Craig?
8424	*Ms. Craig. No.
8425	*The Clerk. Ms. Craig votes no.
8426	Ms. Schrier?
8427	*Ms. Schrier. No.
8428	*The Clerk. Ms. Schrier votes no.
8429	Mrs. Trahan?
8430	*Mrs. Trahan. No.
8431	*The Clerk. Mrs. Trahan votes no.
8432	Mrs. Fletcher?
8433	*Mrs. Fletcher. No.
8434	*The Clerk. Mrs. Fletcher votes no.
8435	Chair Rodgers?
8436	*The Chair. Aye.
8437	*The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes aye.
	396

8438	*Mr. Latta. Are there any members wishing to record
8439	their vote?
8440	How is the gentlelady from Colorado recorded?
8441	*The Clerk. Ms. DeGette is not recorded.
8442	*Ms. DeGette. No.
8443	*The Clerk. Ms. DeGette votes no.
8444	*Mr. Latta. Are there any other members wishing to
8445	record their vote?
8446	[No response.]
8447	*Mr. Latta. Hearing none, the Clerk will report the
8448	roll.
8449	*The Clerk. Chair Latta, on that vote we have 27 ayes
8450	and 23 noes.
8451	*Mr. Latta. The ayes have it, and the bill is adopted.
8452	The chair calls up H.R. 1160 and asks the Clerk to
8453	report.
8454	*The Clerk. H.R. 1160, a bill to direct the Secretary
8455	of Energy to promulgate regulations to facilitate the timely
8456	submission of notifications regarding
8457	*Mr. Latta. Without objection, the first reading of the
8458	bill is dispensed with, and the bill is open for amendments
8459	at any time at any point.
	207

8460	So ordered.
8461	[The bill follows:]
8462	
8463	*********COMMITTEE INSERT********
8464	

8465	*Mr. Latta. Does anyone seek to be recognized on the
8466	bill?
8467	*Mr. Walberg. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the
8468	desk.
8469	*Mr. Latta. The gentleman seeks recognition from
8470	Michigan. What is the amendment?
8471	*Mr. Walberg. FC01.
8472	*Mr. Latta. The Clerk will report the amendment.
8473	*The Clerk. Amendment to H.R. 1160, offered by Mr.
8474	Walberg. Beginning on page 2, Line 26, strike "and potential
8475	cybersecurity''
8476	*Mr. Latta. Without objection, the reading of the
8477	amendment is dispensed with, and the gentleman is recognized
8478	for five minutes in support of his amendment.
8479	*Mr. Walberg. Thank you, Mr. Chair.
8480	The Critical Electric Infrastructure Cybersecurity
8481	Incident Reporting Act amends the Federal Power Act to
8482	establish the Department of Energy as the designated agency
8483	to receive notification regarding cybersecurity incidents
8484	with respect to critical electric infrastructure.
8485	This bipartisan legislation, which I introduced with
8486	Representative Schrier, is a critical step in improving our
	399

8487 ability to respond to cyber threats and ensure that we have 8488 the necessary information to prevent future attacks.

DOE is the sector-specific agency and the experts when it comes to energy cybersecurity. Cyber attacks on energy infrastructure reached record highs last year, and we need to establish better communication and coordination between the department, industry, and the public.

After receiving feedback from stakeholders, the amendment makes helpful changes to align existing reporting requirements and extend the reporting time.

It also provides important protections for entities who report these incidents, ensuring that they are not unfairly punished or held liable for their efforts to protect the grid.

The amendment allows more flexibility going forward, requiring the Secretary of Energy to establish rulemaking process with opportunity for public comment and feedback before issuing any final rule.

These changes will allay some concerns from industry about duplication and keep the process open, while still satisfying the serious need to bolster our energy sector cybersecurity.

8509	I want to thank Chair Rodgers, Ranking Member Pallone,
8510	and Representative Schrier for their help in making these
8511	changes and working diligently with stakeholders to improve
8512	the legislation.
8513	I urge my colleagues to support the amendment and the
8514	underlying bill, and I yield back.
8515	[The Amendment No. FC01 by Mr. Walberg follows:]
8516	
8517	********COMMITTEE INSERT********
8518	

8519 *Mr. Latta. Is there any further discussion on the 8520 amendment? 8521 The gentlelady from Washington seeks recognition. What 8522 does the gentlelady seek recognition for? 8523 *Ms. Schrier. Mr. Chair, I move to strike the last 8524 word. 8525 The gentlelady is recognized for five *Mr. Latta. 8526 minutes to strike the last word. 8527 *Ms. Schrier. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you, Mr. Walberg, for working with me and my team 8528 8529 together on this, and thank you to the chairwoman and ranking 8530 member for including this. 8531 You know, when it comes to our national security, 8532 cybersecurity is a major concern, as my colleague, Mr. 8533 Walberg, just pointed out. And broadly, the Department of 8534 Homeland Security manages these threats and is generally the 8535 point agency. 8536 But energy systems, power plants, electric grids, these 8537 are high risk, and they are just different as targets, as we have already seen examples of in our country. So when it 8538 8539 comes to our critical electric infrastructure, we just need the Department of Energy to be involved and to play a key 8540

8541 role.

So I am just so pleased that much of the feedback that we received by industry was thoughtfully considered and addressed in this amended text, including providing for an extended public comment period.

8546 So I encourage engagement from power companies, 8547 utilities throughout this public comment period to make sure 8548 that the rulemaking is right, and I am committed along with 8549 my colleague, Mr. Walberg, and the other members of this 8550 committee to ensure that we can properly protect 8551 cybersecurity of our power system and ensure that the 8552 Department of Energy has an appropriate place at the table 8553 for such important discussions.

With that I yield back and encourage my colleagues to vote yes.

8556 *Mr. Latta. Thank you.

8557 The gentlelady yields back.

Does the gentleman from Utah seek recognition?

*Mr. Curtis. Yes. I would like to speak to the base

- 8560 bill. May I go ahead now?
- *Mr. Latta. Yes, the gentleman is recognized.
- *Mr. Curtis. Thank you.

I would like to move to strike the last word. As a former board member of the American Public Power Association, on their National Policy Board, and as the president of Municipal Power for eight years, I of course have noted the opposition from APPA and would just like to speak for a moment to that.

I understand firsthand the limited resources of these municipal power organizations, sometimes very, very small cities, very small staffs, and would like to just appeal to Ms. Schrier and Mr. Walberg to continue to work with APPA as this moves to the floor and see if we can get resolution on some of their concerns.

I am happy to play a role in that if possible with my relationship with them, and at the same time I want to point out to my friends at APPA that the sectarist management agencies are so important in this, like DOE.

And when it comes to combatting cybersecurity threats, they bring expertise, they bring history, and they bring relationships that understand why the need for this bill and just hope we can work with them as we move this towards the floor.

And with that, Mr. Chairman, I yield back.

8585 *Mr. Latta. Thank you. The gentleman yields back. 8586 8587 Is there any further discussion on the amendment or the 8588 bill? 8589 The gentlelady from New York seek recognition. 8590 What does the gentlelady from New York seek recognition 8591 for? 8592 *Ms. Clarke. Mr. Chairman, I move to strike the last 8593 word. 8594 *Mr. Latta. The gentlelady is recognized for five minutes to strike the last word. 8595 8596 *Ms. Clarke. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. 8597 My colleagues, in the last Congress, I served as chair 8598 of the Subcommittee on Cybersecurity and Infrastructure 8599 Protection on the Homeland Security Committee. 8600 Following the solar wind supply chain attack, Colonial 8601 Pipeline and other high profile cyberattacks last Congress, 8602 we identified critical gaps that undermined our national 8603 cybersecurity posture. The most critical vulnerability was that we lacked 8604 cross-sector visibility of malicious cyber activity happening 8605 8606 on our domestic networks. For the better part of the 117th 405

8607 Congress, I worked with my colleagues in the House and Senate 8608 to introduce and refine the Cyber Incident Reporting for 8609 Critical Infrastructure Act.

At the outset, we had two clear goals. First, to disrupt the malicious cyber campaigns earlier and, second, to understand our adversaries better so we could move strategically and deploy resources to buy down risk.

We recognized by adding additional reporting obligations to the private sector, we needed to ensure that we balanced the demands of incident response with those of regulatory compliance.

Importantly, we acknowledged that the Federal Government had an obligation to add value to the new insight incident reporting would provide and translate that analysis into actional guidance that will reach across critical

8622 infrastructure sectors.

I was proud that we passed the CIRCIA into law and cybersecurity infrastructure security agency known as CISA is now in the process of implementing it.

I believe the bill before us today is redundant and may cause more harm than good as it seeks to silo certain cyber incident information sharing at the Department of Energy,

8629 which is unfortunate because the technology that underpins 8630 the electric subsector is used across other sectors. 8631 It makes no mention of CIRCIA. It makes no meaningful 8632 effort to ensure incident reporting requirements are appropriately harmonized. And I am glad to see the managers 8633 8634 amendment makes improvements to the bill, but it still conflicts with the CIRCIA framework by reducing the window 8635 8636 for reporting as victim entities are responding to a cyber 8637 incident, and establishes new definitions for the types of 8638 incidents that must be reported.

CIRCIA allows parallel reporting frameworks to exist and puts in place mechanisms to reduce the regulatory burden on covered entities included by establishing the Cyber Incident Reporting Council and directing CISA to enter into MOUs with agencies and substantially similar reporting requirements.

This bill makes no mention of these important burden reducing mechanisms. In fact, it appears to be an attempt to short circuit the CISA rulemaking process, which is currently underway.

We must be mindful that we are adding new burdens on the entities that are victims of cyber incidents and conflicting regulations will frustrate incident response and compliance.

8651	I understand and respect the goals of H.R. 1160, but
8652	unfortunately, I cannot support it.
8653	Having said that, I yield back, Mr. Chairman.
8654	*Mr. Latta. Thank you.
8655	The gentlelady yields back.
8656	And for what purpose does the gentleman from South
8657	Carolina seek recognition.
8658	*Mr. Duncan. Mr. Chairman, to strike the last word.
8659	*Mr. Latta. The gentleman seeks to strike the last
8660	word.
8661	You are recognized for five minutes to strike the last
8662	word.
8663	*Mr. Duncan. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
8664	Cybersecurity is the number one threat to our energy
8665	infrastructure and critical infrastructure. In fact, a
8666	recent intelligence community threat assessment stated that
8667	China has the ability to launch cyberattacks on critical
8668	infrastructure, such as disruption of a natural gas pipeline.
8669	And Russia has the ability to execute cyberattacks on
8670	critical infrastructure, such as disrupting an electrical
8671	distribution network.
8672	The threat from foreign adversaries is growing and 408

8673 evolving day by day. Enhanced coordination between the 8674 Federal Government and the energy sector is a critical step 8675 in improving the resilience of our energy system to 8676 cyberattacks.

Mr. Walberg and Ms. Schrier's bill, as amended, amends the Federal Power Act to authorize DOE to issue regulations to require critical electric infrastructure owners and operators, such as utilities, to share information regarding cybersecurity incidents with the Department of Energy.

DOE is the statutorily designated sector risk management agency for energy sector cybersecurity. It is critical that DOE receive the relevant cyber threat information from the energy industry so that it can improve the Federal Government's response to and recovery from such events.

Now, I have heard concerns from some in industry that this bill is duplicative to existing requirements, and I understand that concern, but the amendment offered today directs the DOE in its rulemaking to harmonize its updated regulations with existing cybersecurity incident reporting requirements.

8693 The amendment also addresses other concerns raised by 8694 industry including removing language on potential cyber

8695	incidents throughout the bill and adding liability
8696	protections for utilities that submit information to DOE, and
8697	explicit language that mandates a public comment period for
8698	rulemaking.
8699	It is not our intention to burden the energy industry
8700	with duplicative reporting requirements. The intention of
8701	this bill is to enhance situational awareness of the energy
8702	sector through information sharing with the DOE and to
8703	explicitly designate DOE as the lead agency to receive such
8704	notifications from the energy industry.
8705	It is then imperative that the DOE share that
8706	information with the Federal Government, not burden the
8707	private sector with reporting requirements to numerous
8708	agencies.
8709	So I support the bill. I support the amendment, and I
8710	urge my colleagues to do the same.
8711	And I yield back.
8712	*The Chair. [Presiding.] The gentleman yields back.
8713	Further discussion?
8714	The gentleman from Texas is recognized for five minutes
8715	to strike the last word.
8716	*Mr. Pfluger. Thank you, Madam Chair.
	410

I would like to speak in favor of this bill.

I appreciate the comments that have been made. I think this is an important discussion, especially the district that I represent which has a tremendous amount of energy that comes out of it.

I do support H.R. 1160, as well as Mr. Walberg's 8722 amendment, and I think when you look at the requirements for 8723 8724 protecting, as my colleague from South Carolina just 8725 mentioned, protecting the energy industry from cyberattacks 8726 and making sure that not only we can identify those, but we 8727 can also use the appropriate expertise within the Federal 8728 Government to respond to and mitigate those threats that 8729 happen upon our critical electrical infrastructure.

This committee has worked with the electrical sector to 8730 8731 ensure that some of the concerns that have previously existed, as my colleague from Utah mentioned, have been 8732 alleviated. That includes the reporting timeline increasing 8733 8734 to 48 hours from 24; adding liability protections for entities that submit cyber incidents to DOE; adding in 8735 mandatory language that ensures the DOE rulemaking is 8736 harmonized with existing reporting requirements for the 8737 electrical sector; as well as establishing mandatory public 8738

8739 comment period for the rulemaking.

And I believe with these changes, this bill is an important step for improving the resilience of the electric grid to cyber threats.

DOE being the sector risk management agency for the energy sector cyber, which is codified in numerous statutes in this bill, strengthens that role while also ensuring that the electrical sector is not subject to the duplicative reporting requirements.

We all know that the threat from the Chinese Community Party, from Russia, from Iran and other malign actors around the world is not going to stop, and this provides another layer that is not duplicative.

I urge my colleagues to support both the underlying bill and the amendment.

And I yield back, Madam Chair.

8755 *The Chair. The gentleman yields back.

8756 For the discussion, seeing no further discussion, a vote 8757 occurs on the amendment.

- All those in favor signify by saying aye.
- 8759 Those opposed, nay.
- The ayes have it, and the amendment is agreed to.

8761	Are there any further amendments?
8762	[No response.]
8763	*The Chair. Seeing no further discussion, the question
8764	now occurs on approving H.R. 1160, and a roll call has been
8765	requested.
8766	The Clerk will call the roll.
8767	*The Clerk. Mr. Burgess?
8768	*Mr. Burgess. Aye.
8769	*The Clerk. Mr. Burgess votes aye.
8770	*Mr. Latta?
8771	*Mr. Latta. Aye.
8772	*The Clerk. Mr. Latta votes aye.
8773	Mr. Guthrie?
8774	*Mr. Guthrie. Aye.
8775	*The Clerk. Mr. Guthrie votes aye.
8776	Mr. Griffith?
8777	*Mr. Griffith. Aye.
8778	*The Clerk. Mr. Griffith votes aye.
8779	*Mr. Bilirakis?
8780	*Mr. Bilirakis. Aye.
8781	*The Clerk. Mr. Bilirakis votes aye.
8782	Mr. Johnson?
	11.2

8783	[No response.]
8784	*The Clerk. Mr. Bucshon?
8785	*Mr. Bucshon. Aye.
8786	*The Clerk. Mr. Bucshon votes aye.
8787	Mr. Hudson?
8788	[No response.]
8789	*The Clerk. Mr. Walberg?
8790	*Mr. Walberg. Aye.
8791	*The Clerk. Mr. Walberg votes aye.
8792	Mr. Carter?
8793	[No response.]
8794	*The Clerk. Mr. Duncan?
8795	*Mr. Duncan. Aye.
8796	*The Clerk. Mr. Duncan votes aye.
8797	Mr. Palmer?
8798	*Mr. Palmer. Aye.
8799	*The Clerk. Mr. Palmer votes aye.
8800	Mr. Dunn?
8801	*Mr. Dunn. Aye.
8802	*The Clerk. Mr. Dunn votes aye.
8803	Mr. Curtis?
8804	*Mr. Curtis. Aye.

8805	*The Clerk.	Mr. Curtis votes aye.
8806	Mrs. Lesko?	
8807	*Mrs. Lesko.	Aye.
8808	*The Clerk.	Mrs. Lesko votes aye.
8809	Mr. Pence?	
8810	*Mr. Pence.	Aye.
8811	*The Clerk.	Mr. Pence votes aye.
8812	Mr. Crenshaw	?
8813	*Mr. Crenshav	w. Aye.
8814	*The Clerk.	Mr. Crenshaw votes aye.
8815	Mr. Joyce?	
8816	*Mr. Joyce.	Aye.
8817	*The Clerk.	Mr. Joyce votes aye.
8818	Mr. Armstrong	g?
8819	*Mr. Armstron	ng. Yes.
8820	*The Clerk.	Mr. Armstrong votes aye.
8821	Mr. Weber?	
8822	*Mr. Weber.	Aye.
8823	*The Clerk.	Mr. Weber votes aye.
8824	Mr. Allen?	
8825	*Mr. Allen.	Aye.
8826	*The Clerk.	Mr. Allen votes aye.
		115

8827	Mr. Balderson?
8828	*Mr. Balderson. Aye.
8829	*The Clerk. Mr. Balderson votes aye.
8830	Mr. Fulcher?
8831	*Mr. Fulcher. Aye.
8832	*The Clerk. Mr. Fulcher votes aye.
8833	Mr. Pfluger?
8834	*Mr. Pfluger. Aye.
8835	*The Clerk. Mr. Pfluger votes aye.
8836	Mrs. Harshbarger?
8837	*Mrs. Harshbarger. Aye.
8838	*The Clerk. Mrs. Harshbarger votes aye.
8839	Mrs. Miller-Meeks?
8840	*Mrs. Miller-Meeks. Aye.
8841	*The Clerk. Mrs. Miller-Meeks votes aye.
8842	Mrs. Cammack?
8843	[No response.]
8844	*The Clerk. Mr. Obernolte?
8845	[No response.]
8846	*The Clerk. Mr. Pallone?
8847	*Mr. Pallone. Aye
8848	*The Clerk. Mr. Pallone votes aye.
	416

8849	Ms. Eshoo?
8850	*Ms. Eshoo. Aye.
8851	*The Clerk. Ms. Eshoo votes aye.
8852	Ms. DeGette?
8853	*Ms. DeGette. Aye.
8854	*The Clerk. Ms. DeGette votes aye.
8855	Ms. Schakowsky?
8856	*Ms. Schakowsky. Aye.
8857	*The Clerk. Ms. Schakowsky votes aye.
8858	Ms. Matsui?
8859	*Ms. Matsui. Aye.
8860	*The Clerk. Ms. Matsui votes aye.
8861	Ms. Castor?
8862	*Ms. Castor. Aye.
8863	*The Clerk. Ms. Castor votes aye.
8864	Mr. Sarbanes?
8865	*Mr. Sarbanes. Aye.
8866	*The Clerk. Mr. Sarbanes votes aye.
8867	Mr. Tonko?
8868	*Mr. Tonko. Aye.
8869	*The Clerk. Mr. Tonko votes aye.
8870	Ms. Clarke?
	417

8871	*Ms. Clarke. No.
8872	*The Clerk. Ms. Clarke votes no.
8873	Mr. Cardenas?
8874	[No response.]
8875	*The Clerk. Mr. Ruiz?
8876	*Mr. Ruiz. Aye.
8877	*The Clerk. Mr. Ruiz votes aye.
8878	Mr. Peters?
8879	*Mr. Peters. Aye.
8880	*The Clerk. Mr. Peters votes aye.
8881	Mrs. Dingell?
8882	*Mrs. Dingell. Aye.
8883	*The Clerk. Mrs. Dingell votes aye.
8884	Mr. Veasey?
8885	[No response.]
8886	*The Clerk. Ms. Kuster?
8887	*Ms. Kuster. Aye.
8888	*The Clerk. Ms. Kuster votes aye.
8889	Ms. Kelly?
8890	*Ms. Kelly. Aye.
8891	*The Clerk. Ms. Kelly votes aye.
8892	Ms. Barragan?
	418

8893	*Ms. Barragan. Aye.
8894	*The Clerk. Ms. Barragan votes aye.
8895	Ms. Blunt Rochester?
8896	*Ms. Blunt Rochester. Aye.
8897	*The Clerk. Ms. Blunt Rochester votes aye.
8898	Mr. Soto?
8899	*Mr. Soto. Aye.
8900	*The Clerk. Mr. Soto votes aye.
8901	Ms. Craig?
8902	[No response.]
8903	*The Clerk. Ms. Schrier?
8904	*Ms. Schrier. Yes.
8905	*The Clerk. Ms. Schrier votes aye.
8906	Ms. Craig votes aye.
8907	Ms. Schrier?
8908	*Ms. Schrier. Aye.
8909	*The Clerk. Ms. Schrier votes aye.
8910	Mrs. Trahan?
8911	*Mrs. Trahan. Aye.
8912	*The Clerk. Mrs. Trahan votes aye.
8913	Mrs. Fletcher?
8914	*Mrs. Fletcher. Aye.

8915	*The Clerk. Mrs. Fletcher votes aye.
8916	Chair Rodgers?
8917	*The Chair. Aye.
8918	*The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes aye.
8919	*The Chair. How is Mr. Cardenas recorded?
8920	*The Clerk. Mr. Cardenas is not recorded.
8921	*Mr. Cardenas. Aye.
8922	*The Clerk. Mr. Cardenas votes aye.
8923	*The Chair. Mr. Carter?
8924	*The Clerk. Mr. Carter is not recorded.
8925	*Mr. Carter. Aye.
8926	*The Clerk. Mr. Carter votes aye.
8927	*The Chair. Mr. Johnson?
8928	*The Clerk. Mr. Johnson is not recorded.
8929	*Mr. Johnson. Mr. Johnson is aye.
8930	*The Clerk. Mr. Johnson votes aye.
8931	*The Chair. How is Mrs. Cammack recorded?
8932	*The Clerk. Mrs. Cammack is not recorded.
8933	*Mrs. Cammack. Aye.
8934	*The Clerk. Mrs. Cammack votes aye.
8935	*Mr. Pallone. Mr. Veasey?
8936	*The Clerk. Mr. Veasey is not recorded.
	420

8937 *Mr. Veasey. Aye. 8938 *The Clerk. Mr. Veasey votes aye. 8939 *The Chair. The clerk will report. 8940 *The Clerk. Chair Rodgers, on that vote we have 49 ayes 8941 and one no. 8942 *The Chair. The ayes have it and the bill is adopted. The Chair calls up H.R. 3277 and asks the clerk to 8943 8944 report. 8945 *The Clerk. H.R. 3277, a bill to amend the 8946 department --8947 *The Chair. Without objection, the first reading of the 8948 bill is dispensed with and the bill will be open for 8949 amendment at any point. So ordered. 8950 Does anyone seek to be recognized on this bill? 8951 *Mr. Walberg. Madame chair, move to strike the last 8952 word? 8953 *The Chair. Mr. Walberg. For what purpose does the 8954 gentleman seek recognition? 8955 *Mr. Walberg. To strike the last word and speak on 8956 behalf of my bill. 8957 *The Chair. The gentleman is recognized. 8958 *Mr. Walberg. Thank you, Madame chair. 421

I am proud that the Committee is considering my legislation, H.R. 3277, the Energy Emergency Leadership Act. The Energy Emergency Leadership Act requires the Secretary of Energy to elevate energy emergency and energy security functions to the Assistant Secretary level.

The FAST Act allowed the Department to establish the Office Cybersecurity and Energy Security Emergency Response or CESER. Now, it's imperative that we establish a highlevel official to oversee the office and be the go-to person, with respect to infrastructure, cybersecurity, emergency planning, and emerging threats.

Energy emergencies and the security of our energy infrastructure are paramount concerns for our nation's stability, economic prosperity, and the well-being of our constituents.

As we have witnessed in recent years, natural disasters, cyber attacks, and other unforeseen events have demonstrated the vulnerability of our energy systems and the potential consequences of disruption and supply.

By establishing a designated leader within the agency, we are ensuring that the necessary attention, expertise, and resources are devoted to effectively prepare for and respond

8981 to energy emergencies.

This elevation will facilitate a more streamlined and more coordinated approach in addressing energy disruptions, bolstering our nation's resilience, and mitigating the potential adverse impacts on our economy and national security.

As our adversaries deploy more advanced tactics to disrupt our energy security, we must be better prepared. Thank you to my friend and co-lead Senator, or excuse me, Congresswoman Blunt Rochester, wink wink, for joining me on this legislation.

With it we will provide DOE with the tools to address 8993 the ever-growing threats and secure our energy future. I 8994 encourage my colleagues to support it and I yield back.

8995 *The Chair. The gentleman yields back. Further 8996 discussion?

8997 The lady from Delaware, Ms. Blunt Rochester, is 8998 recognized for five minutes to strike the last word. 8999 *Ms. Blunt Rochester. Thank you, Madame chair. I move 9000 to strike the last word. And thank you for the recognition. 9001 The Energy Emergency Leadership Act is a bipartisan 9002 bill, as my good friend Mr. Walberg just shared, that will

9003 protect our energy grid from cyber attacks and other threats 9004 to our energy infrastructure.

We have seen this legislation pass in the House in previous Congresses, but it is my hope that this year it will make it past the House, up to the Senate, and be signed by the President, because the need grows stronger every single day.

9010 In 2022, the number of risks to critical infrastructure 9011 escalated due to the increase in cyber ransom crimes, threats 9012 from nation-state actors, and the war in Ukraine.

9013Our energy grid is facing escalating threats from the9014wanton destruction of power substations in states like North9015Carolina, to extreme weather events and rising sea levels.9016And another catastrophic cyber attack like that on the

9017 Colonial Pipeline, looms over our energy grid daily.

The Department of Energy understands that action must be taken, which is why they created the office of Cybersecurity, Energy Security, and Emergency Response, CESER. They have done a fantastic job using the office to protect our power resources that ensure the health and wellness of our country and our economy.

9024

The Energy Emergency Leadership Act will further support

9025	the work of this office by designating, in statute, an
9026	Assistant Secretary responsible for cybersecurity and
9027	emergency response issues.
9028	This will ensure CESER is a resource for years to come.
9029	It is essential that we make our systems as secure as
9030	possible. Any vulnerability to our grid is a threat to our
9031	national security.
9032	And I am proud, again, proud to co-lead the Energy
9033	Emergency Leadership Act with Mr. Walberg because it is
9034	imperative that we take proactive steps to mitigate the risk
9035	of these types of attacks.
9036	This legislation will help in achieving that goal.
9037	Thank you, Madame chair, and I yield back.
9038	*The Chair. The gentlelady yields back. Further
9039	discussion?
9040	Seeing none, the question occurs on approving H.R. 3277
9041	and a roll call has been requested. The clerk will call the
9042	roll.
9043	*The Clerk. Mr. Burgess?
9044	*Mr. Burgess. Burgess votes aye.
9045	*The Clerk. Mr. Burgess votes aye.
9046	Mr. Latta?
	425

9047	*Mr. Latta. Aye.
9048	*The Clerk. Mr. Latta votes aye.
9049	Mr. Guthrie?
9050	*Mr. Guthrie. Aye.
9051	*The Clerk. Mr. Guthrie votes aye.
9052	Mr. Griffith?
9053	*Mr. Griffith. Aye.
9054	*The Clerk. Mr. Griffith votes aye.
9055	Mr. Bilirakis?
9056	*Mr. Bilirakis. Aye.
9057	*The Clerk. Mr. Bilirakis votes aye.
9058	Mr. Johnson?
9059	*Mr. Johnson. Aye.
9060	*The Clerk. Mr. Johnson votes aye.
9061	Mr. Bucshon?
9062	[No response.]
9063	*The Clerk. Mr. Hudson?
9064	[No response.]
9065	*The Clerk. Mr. Walberg?
9066	*Mr. Walberg. Aye.
9067	*The Clerk. Mr. Walberg votes aye.
9068	Mr. Carter?

9069	[No response.]
9070	*The Clerk. Mr. Duncan?
9071	*Mr. Duncan. Aye.
9072	*The Clerk. Mr. Duncan votes aye.
9073	Mr. Palmer?
9074	*Mr. Palmer. Aye.
9075	*The Clerk. Mr. Palmer votes aye.
9076	Mr. Dunn?
9077	[No response.]
9078	*The Clerk. Mr. Curtis?
9079	*Mr. Curtis. Aye.
9080	*The Clerk. Mr. Curtis votes aye.
9081	Mrs. Lesko?
9082	*Mrs. Lesko. Aye.
9083	*The Clerk. Mrs. Lesko votes aye.
9084	Mr. Pence?
9085	*Mr. Pence. Aye.
9086	*The Clerk. Mr. Pence votes aye.
9087	Mr. Crenshaw?
9088	*Mr. Crenshaw. Aye.
9089	*The Clerk. Mr. Crenshaw votes aye.
9090	Mr. Joyce?

9091	[No response.]	
9092	*The Clerk.	Mr.	Armstrong?
9093	*Mr. Armstron	ıg.	Yes.
9094	*The Clerk.	Mr.	Armstrong votes aye.
9095	Mr. Weber?		
9096	*Mr. Weber.	Aye.	
9097	*The Clerk.	Mr.	Weber votes aye.
9098	Mr. Allen?		
9099	*Mr. Allen.	Aye.	
9100	*The Clerk.	Mr.	Allen votes aye.
9101	Mr. Balderson	.?	
9102	[No response.]	
9103	*The Clerk.	Mr.	Fulcher?
9104	*Mr. Fulcher.	Ay	7e.
9105	*The Clerk.	Mr.	Fulcher votes aye.
9106	Mr. Pfluger?		
9107	*Mr. Pfluger.	Ay	7e.
9108	*The Clerk.	Mr.	Pfluger?
9109	*Mr. Pfluger.	Ay	7e.
9110	*The Clerk.	Mr.	Pfluger votes aye.
9111	Mrs. Harshbar	ger?)
9112	[No response.]	
			428

9113	*The Clerk. Mrs. Miller-Meeks?
9114	[No response.]
9115	*The Clerk. Mrs. Cammack?
9116	[No response.]
9117	*The Clerk. Mr. Obernolte?
9118	[No response.]
9119	*The Clerk. Mr. Pallone?
9120	*Mr. Pallone. Aye.
9121	*The Clerk. Mr. Pallone votes aye.
9122	Ms. Eshoo?
9123	*Ms. Eshoo. Aye.
9124	*The Clerk. Ms. Eshoo votes aye.
9125	Ms. DeGette?
9126	*Ms. DeGette. Aye.
9127	*The Clerk. Ms. DeGette votes aye.
9128	Ms. Schakowsky?
9129	*Ms. Schakowsky. Aye.
9130	*The Clerk. Ms. Schakowsky votes aye.
9131	Ms. Matsui?
9132	*Ms. Matsui. Aye.
9133	*The Clerk. Ms. Matsui votes aye.
9134	Ms. Castor?

9135	*Ms. Castor. Aye.
9136	*The Clerk. Ms. Castor votes aye.
9137	Mr. Sarbanes?
9138	*Mr. Sarbanes. Aye.
9139	*The Clerk. Mr. Sarbanes votes aye.
9140	Mr. Tonko?
9141	*Mr. Tonko. Aye.
9142	*The Clerk. Mr. Tonko votes aye.
9143	Ms. Clarke?
9144	*Ms. Clarke. Aye.
9145	*The Clerk. Ms. Clarke votes aye.
9146	Mr. Cardenas?
9147	*Mr. Cardenas. Aye.
9148	*The Clerk. Mr. Cardenas votes aye.
9149	Mr. Ruiz?
9150	*Mr. Ruiz. Aye.
9151	*The Clerk. Mr. Ruiz votes aye.
9152	Mr. Peters?
9153	*Mr. Peters. Aye.
9154	*The Clerk. Mr. Peters votes aye.
9155	Mrs. Dingell?
9156	*Mrs. Dingell. Aye.

•

9157	*The Clerk. Mrs. Dingell votes aye.
9158	Mr. Veasey?
9159	*Mr. Veasey. Aye.
9160	*The Clerk. Mr. Veasey votes aye.
9161	Ms. Kuster?
9162	*Ms. Kuster. Aye.
9163	*The Clerk. Ms. Kuster votes aye.
9164	Ms. Kelly?
9165	*Ms. Kelly. Aye.
9166	*The Clerk. Ms. Kelly votes aye.
9167	*The Clerk. Ms. Barragan.
9168	*Ms. Barragan. Aye.
9169	*The Clerk. Ms. Barragan votes aye.
9170	Ms. Blunt Rochester?
9171	*Ms. Blunt Rochester. Aye.
9172	*The Clerk. Ms. Blunt Rochester votes aye
9173	Mr. Soto?
9174	*Mr. Soto. Aye.
9175	*The Clerk. Mr. Soto votes aye.
9176	Ms. Craig?
9177	*Ms. Craig. Aye.
9178	*The Clerk. Ms. Craig votes aye.
	431

9179	Ms. Schrier?
9180	*Ms. Schrier. Aye.
9181	*The Clerk. Ms. Schrier votes aye.
9182	Mrs. Trahan?
9183	*Mrs. Trahan. Aye.
9184	*The Clerk. Mrs. Trahan votes aye.
9185	Mrs. Fletcher?
9186	*Mrs. Fletcher. Aye.
9187	*The Clerk. Mrs. Fletcher votes aye.
9188	Chair Rodgers?
9189	*The Chair. Aye.
9190	*The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes aye.
9191	*The Chair. Call the names of those who are not
9192 reco	rded?
9193	*The Clerk. Mr. Bucshon.
9194	*Mr. Bucshon. Votes aye.
9195	*The Clerk. Mr. Bucshon votes aye.
9196	Mr. Hudson?
9197	[No response.]
9198	*The Clerk. Mr. Dunn?
9199	*Mr. Dunn. Aye.
9200	*The Clerk. Mr. Dunn votes aye.
	432

9201	Mr. Joyce?
9202	*Mr. Joyce. Aye.
9203	*The Clerk. Mr. Joyce votes aye.
9204	Mrs. Harshbarger.
9205	*Mrs. Harshbarger. Aye.
9206	*The Clerk. Mrs. Harshbarger votes aye.
9207	Mrs. Miller-Meeks?
9208	*Mrs. Miller-Meeks. Aye.
9209	*The Clerk. Mrs. Miller-Meeks votes aye.
9210	Mrs. Cammack?
9211	[No response.]
9212	*The Clerk. Mr. Obernolte?
9213	[No response.]
9214	*The Chair. How is Mr. Carter recorded?
9215	*The Clerk. Mr. Carter is not recorded.
9216	*Mr. Carter. Aye.
9217	*The Clerk. Mr. Carter votes aye.
9218	*The Chair. The clerk will report.
9219	*The Clerk. Chair Rodgers, on that vote we have 48 ayes
9220	and zero noes.
9221	*The Chair. The ayes have it, the bill is adopted.
9222	The Chair calls up H.R. 1042, as amended, by the
	433

9223 Subcommittee on Energy, Climate, and Grid Security and asks 9224 the clerk to report.

9225 *The Clerk. Committee print showing the text of H.R.
9226 1042, as favorably forwarded by the Subcommittee on Energy,
9227 Climate, and Grid Security on May 16th, 2023, a bill to
9228 prohibit the importation into the United States of
9229 unirradiated low-enrich uranium --

9230 *The Chair. Without objection, the first reading of the 9231 bill is dispensed with. The bill will be open to amendment 9232 at any point, so ordered.

9233 The Chair recognizes herself for five minutes to strike 9234 the last word on H.R. 1042.

9235 We no longer ignore the risk posed by America's reliance 9236 on Russian nuclear. Our nuclear industry continues to 9237 purchase more than 20 percent of its nuclear fuel from 9238 Russia. Russia could disrupt these supplies to the United 9239 States at any time.

And, as we all know, Putin will not hesitate to weaponize Russia's energy supplies for his strategic advantage. We have already seen this play out in Europe.

9243Nuclear fuel is not like natural gas. The nuclear9244energy has long lead fueling practices and large inventories

9245 of fuels available, including government inventories and 9246 enables protections from disruptions for many years. 9247 H.R. 1042 sends a strong signal to industry and provides 9248 certainty that Russian fuels won't be able to flood the 9249 American market any time soon. In fact, fuel processing 9250 plants in the United States already have license capacity today that they need to replace the supply of Russian low-9251 9252 enriched uranium.

9253 The problem is that no processing facility will invest 9254 the billions of dollars necessary to achieve that license 9255 capacity unless they have the certainty of long-term 9256 contracts for their products to cover their investments.

And the orders from their fuel customers will not be made if there remains uncertainty that Russia will be able to flood the market like it has in so many other examples, with its massive production capacity.

H.R. 1042 puts America's private nuclear market to work.
This is a mature market. We do not need the federal
government taking control of fuel supplies before we ban
Russian fuels.

9265 That is just a recipe for delay and market volatility. 9266 We are still waiting on DOE to implement the provisions of

9267	the Energy Act of 2020, provisions originating with this
9268	Committee to develop production capacity for advanced nuclear
9269	fuels. It has been three years. This isn't the kind of
9270	certainty the market needs.
9271	Strengthening American leadership in nuclear technology
9272	and innovation is a top priority for me and this Committee,
9273	this Congress. It is critical, as we all know, to our
9274	economy, our national security, and it must be a part of a
9275	strong energy mix.
9276	We need to restore the vision that we once had regarding
9277	American nuclear, to ensure America, not Russia or China, is
9278	leading and I urge you to support the Prohibiting Russian
9279	Uranium Act. And I yield back.
9280	The Chair recognizes the ranking member for five
9281	minutes.
9282	*Mr. Pallone. Thank you. Well, I was just going to do
9283	an amendment.
9284	*The Chair. Okay. The ranking member anyone else
9285	seeking to discuss the underlying bill?
9286	Mr. Latta is recognized for five minutes to strike the
9287	last word.
9288	*Mr. Latta. Well, thank you, Madame chair, and strike 436

9289 the last word.

9290 I want to speak in favor of the Chair's legislation, the 9291 Prohibiting Russian Uranium Imports Act, of which I am an 9292 original co-sponsor and emphasize the importance of new 9293 provisions that require the completion of a market 9294 evaluation.

As we discussed in the Energy Subcommittee last week, Our Congress must send a message to our domestic nuclear industry, and to our adversaries that the United States is ending its reliance on foreign nations for nuclear fuels.

We need to regain our competitive edge in the nuclear space, which can only be done by enacting policies that will encourage growth in the mining, production, enrichment, and conversion of uranium right here at home.

9303 H.R. 1042 sends the necessary market signal that Russian 9304 uranium imports will be cut off and industry will need to 9305 focus on new fuel sources for the long term. It also 9306 includes an amendment, that I offered in the Subcommittee, 9307 that will direct the DOE to conduct a comprehensive market 9308 evaluation to gain up to date information on potential 9309 domestic supply needs.

9310 If this market evaluation shows deficiencies and the

Secretary identifies assistance that may be necessary, then 9311 9312 I'm prepared to work with my colleagues in this Committee and at DOE to make sure that the needs of our domestic nuclear 9313 9314 industry are addressed. 9315 Additionally, I am continuing to work on an updated 9316 version of my nuclear fuel's legislation will help move the 9317 HALEU supply in related to domestic production capacity. I 9318 look forward to collaborating with my colleagues in a 9319 bipartisan fashion to advance this bill after incorporating 9320 feedback from the stakeholders. 9321 Again, I urge my colleagues to support H.R. 1042. 9322 Madame chair, I yield back the balance of my time. *The Chair. The gentleman vields back. Further 9323 9324 discussion? 9325 Are there any amendments? Ranking Member Pallone? 9326 *Mr. Pallone. Yes, Madame chair, I have an amendment at 9327 the desk labeled MNFC01. 9328 *The Chair. The clerk will report the amendment. 9329 *The Clerk. Amendment to the Committee print of H.R. 9330 1042, offered by Mr. Pallone. Add at the end, the 9331 following --9332 *The Chair. The clerk will suspend the reading of the 438

9333 amendment. The gentleman is recognized for five minutes in 9334 support of his amendment. 9335 [The amendment of Mr. Pallone follows:] 9336 9337 ********COMMITTEE INSERT******** 9338

9339 *Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Madame chair.

Bast week I offered an amendment at the Energy
Subcommittee markup, but this is important enough that I want
to offer it again.

9343 I want to be clear; I support weaning our domestic 9344 nuclear industry off imports of Russian uranium, however, 9345 that has to be partnered with investments in our domestic 9346 nuclear fuel conversion and enrichment industries so we don't 9347 hinder our single largest source of clean energy.

9348 Without those investments, the bills target of ending 9349 Russian uranium imports by 2028, is simply not tenable. 9350 Right now there is only a single uranium enrichment facility 9351 operating in the United States, and it can only support 9352 roughly one-third of our civilian nuclear fleet's enriched 9353 uranium needs.

There are zero uranium conversion facilities currently operating in the US. So simply put, right now, we lack the vital infrastructure to ban all Russian uranium imports overnight without causing damage to our nation's nuclear industry.

9359 Now, my amendment adds Mr. Latta's bill, the Nuclear 9360 Fuel Security Act to H.R. 1042, and would create programs

9361 within DOE to ensure the supply of low-enriched uranium that 9362 our current reactors need.

9363 It will also ensure the supply of a high-assay, low-9364 enriched uranium that future advanced reactors will need 9365 while we wind down our imports of Russian uranium.

Partnering these two bills together provides companies with the certainty and the ability they need to make necessary investments in the domestic nuclear supply chain and ensures we can get ourselves off Russian uranium responsibly.

9371 Energy Secretary Jennifer Granholm agrees with the idea 9372 of partnering a Russian uranium ban with investments in our 9373 own supply chain. When she appeared before the Energy 9374 Subcommittee two weeks ago, she affirmed that she wanted to, 9375 quote, "work with Congress on a uranium strategy that gives 9376 us the ability to finance the processing, the conversion, and 9377 the enrichment in the United States.' '

And Madame chair, I generally understand if you don't want to take the Secretary of Energy's word for it, but listen to Senator Barrasso, ranking member of the Senate Energy and Natural Resources Committee, hardly a partisan democrat.

9383 Last week Senator Barrasso expressed his strong support 9384 for the Senate companion to Mr. Latta's Nuclear Fuel Security 9385 Act and said that the bill has strong bipartisan support. 9386 We have a divided government in the 118th Congress, that 9387 is just a fact. That means that any piece of legislation 9388 that makes it to the President's desk, must have strong 9389 bipartisan support, partnering a phase out of importing Russian uranium with investments in our domestic nuclear 9390 9391 supply chain has that strong bipartisan support and this bill 9392 I am offering as an amendment, passed out of the Committee in 9393 the Senate just last week on a voice vote with a bipartisan 9394 amendment that added a requirement to phase out the 9395 importation of Russian uranium.

9396 Now, I must say I can't believe I am praising action by 9397 the Senate, Madame Char. John Dingle, I am looking at his 9398 portrait up there, might come down and strike me for 9399 mentioning the Senate in such a positive way, but the fact of 9400 the matter is, every single senator on the Committee 9401 supported that strong bipartisan idea, and I think that if we 9402 do that, we will have a very good chance of getting this bill 9403 passed.

9404

So I hope that every member on this Committee can

9405 support that same bipartisan idea today. And with that,

9406 Madame chair, I yield back.

9407 *The Chair. The gentleman yields back. Further

9408 discussion? The gentleman from Ohio, Mr. Latta is recognized 9409 for five minutes.

9410 *Mr. Latta. Thank you. Move to strike the last word 9411 and thank you, Madame chair.

I appreciate a continued engagement on this issue. As I said last week, during our Subcommittee markup, I am proud of the previous work that I have done on this issue and for helping to spur this important conversation that we are having.

9417 However, because we have received new feedback from 9418 stakeholders in industry, we are now working to make changes 9419 and revisions to the Nuclear Fuel Security Act. I am 9420 absolutely committed to moving legislation on nuclear fuels 9421 in a bipartisan manner.

9422 Together we can identify the necessary reforms that will 9423 better position DOE to support domestic nuclear

9424 infrastructure, especially advanced fuel capacity, which this

9425 Committee has a record of leading, particularly, in our

9426 provisions in the Energy Act of 2020.

9427	The issue before us today, however, is to create the
9428	market signals to unleash investment in American nuclear
9429	infrastructure. If my friend and colleague would be willing
9430	to withdraw the amendment, I am committed to working with you
9431	and the Chair to incorporate bipartisan view points so we can
9432	enhance my legislation and move it through regular order in
9433	the near future.
9434	And I yield to my friend if you are willing to withdraw
9435	the amendment?
9436	*Mr. Pallone. No, unfortunately, I don't want to
9437	withdraw it. I would like to work with you, but I do think
9438	that
9439	*Mr. Latta. Well, thank you.
9440	*Mr. Pallone I would like to have a vote.
9441	*Mr. Latta. If not, I urge my colleagues to oppose this
9442	amendment on the underlying bill and I yield back.
9443	*Mr. Pallone. I am stuck on the Senate.
9444	*The Chair. The gentleman yields back. Further
9445	discussion?
9446	The vote occurs on the ranking members amendment. He
9447	wants a roll call; he demands a roll call. Clerk will call
9448	the roll.
	444

9449	*The Clerk. Mr. Burgess?
9450	*Mr. Burgess. Burgess votes no.
9451	*The Clerk. Can Mr. Burgess repeat his vote?
9452	Mr. Burgess votes no.
9453	Mr. Latta?
9454	*Mr. Latta. No.
9455	*The Clerk. Mr. Latta votes no.
9456	Mr. Guthrie?
9457	*Mr. Guthrie. No.
9458	*The Clerk. Mr. Guthrie votes no.
9459	Mr. Griffith?
9460	*Mr. Griffith. No.
9461	*The Clerk. Mr. Griffith votes no.
9462	*The Clerk. Mr. Bilirakis?
9463	*Mr. Bilirakis. No.
9464	*The Clerk. Mr. Bilirakis votes no.
9465	Mr. Johnson?
9466	*Mr. Johnson. No.
9467	*The Clerk. Mr. Johnson votes no.
9468	Mr. Bucshon?
9469	*Mr. Bucshon. No.
9470	*The Clerk. Mr. Bucshon votes no.
	445

9471	Mr. Hudson?
9472	[No response.]
9473	*The Clerk. Mr. Walberg?
9474	*Mr. Walberg. No.
9475	*The Clerk. Mr. Walberg votes no.
9476	Mr. Carter?
9477	[No response.]
9478	*The Clerk. Mr. Duncan?
9479	*Mr. Duncan. No.
9480	*The Clerk. Mr. Duncan votes no.
9481	Mr. Palmer?
9482	[No response.]
9483	*The Clerk. Mr. Dunn?
9484	[No response.]
9485	*The Clerk. Mr. Curtis?
9486	*Mr. Curtis. No.
9487	*The Clerk. Mr. Curtis votes no.
9488	Mrs. Lesko?
9489	*Mrs. Lesko. No.
9490	*The Clerk. Mrs. Lesko votes no.
9491	Mr. Pence?
9492	*Mr. Pence. No.

9493	*The Clerk.	Mr.	Pence votes no.
9494	Mr. Crenshaw	?	
9495	*Mr. Crenshav	w. 1	No.
9496	*The Clerk.	Mr.	Crenshaw votes no.
9497	Mr. Joyce?		
9498	*Mr. Joyce.	No.	
9499	*The Clerk.	Mr.	Joyce votes no.
9500	Mr. Armstrong	g?	
9501	*Mr. Armstron	ng.	No.
9502	*The Clerk.	Mr.	Armstrong votes no.
9503	Mr. Weber?		
9504	*Mr. Weber.	No.	
9505	*The Clerk.	Mr.	Weber votes no.
9506	Mr. Allen?		
9507	[No response	.]	
9508	*The Clerk.	Mr.	Balderson?
9509	*Mr. Balderso	on.	No.
9510	*The Clerk.	Mr.	Balderson votes no.
9511	Mr. Fulcher?		
9512	*Mr. Fulcher	. Fi	lcher, no.
9513	*The Clerk.	Mr.	Fulcher votes no.
9514	Mr. Pfluger?		

9515	*Mr. Pfluger. No.
9516	*The Clerk. Mr. Pfluger votes no.
9517	Mrs. Harshbarger?
9518	*Mrs. Harshbarger. No.
9519	*The Clerk. Mrs. Harshbarger votes no.
9520	Mrs. Miller-Meeks?
9521	*Mrs. Miller-Meeks. No.
9522	*The Clerk. Mrs. Miller-Meeks votes no.
9523	Mrs. Cammack?
9524	[No response.]
9525	*The Clerk. Mr. Obernolte?
9526	[No response.]
9527	*The Clerk. Mr. Pallone?
9528	*Mr. Pallone. Aye.
9529	*The Clerk. Mr. Pallone votes aye.
9530	Ms. Eshoo?
9531	*Ms. Eshoo. Aye.
9532	*The Clerk. Ms. Eshoo votes aye.
9533	Ms. DeGette?
9534	*Ms. DeGette. Aye.
9535	*The Clerk. Ms. DeGette votes aye.
9536	Ms. Schakowsky?

9537	*Ms. Schakowsky. Aye.
9538	*The Clerk. Ms. Schakowsky votes aye.
9539	Ms. Matsui?
9540	*Ms. Matsui. Aye.
9541	*The Clerk. Ms. Matsui votes aye.
9542	Ms. Castor?
9543	*Ms. Castor. Aye.
9544	*The Clerk. Ms. Castor votes aye.
9545	Mr. Sarbanes?
9546	*Mr. Sarbanes. Aye.
9547	*The Clerk. Mr. Sarbanes votes aye.
9548	Mr. Tonko?
9549	*Mr. Tonko. Aye.
9550	*The Clerk. Mr. Tonko votes aye.
9551	Ms. Clarke?
9552	*Ms. Clarke. Aye.
9553	*The Clerk. Ms. Clarke votes aye.
9554	Mr. Cardenas?
9555	*Mr. Cardenas. Aye.
9556	*The Clerk. Mr. Cardenas votes aye.
9557	Mr. Ruiz?
9558	*Mr. Ruiz. Aye.

aye.

9559	*The Clerk. Mr. Ruiz votes aye.
9560	Mr. Peters?
9561	*Mr. Peters. Aye.
9562	*The Clerk. Mr. Peters votes aye.
9563	Mrs. Dingell?
9564	*Mrs. Dingell. Aye.
9565	*The Clerk. Mrs. Dingell votes aye.
9566	Mr. Veasey?
9567	*Mr. Veasey. Aye.
9568	*The Clerk. Mr. Veasey votes aye.
9569	Ms. Kuster?
9570	*Ms. Kuster. Aye.
9571	*The Clerk. Ms. Kuster votes aye.
9572	Ms. Kelly?
9573	*Ms. Kelly. Aye.
9574	*The Clerk. Ms. Kelly votes aye.
9575	*The Clerk. Ms. Barragan.
9576	*Ms. Barragan. Aye.
9577	*The Clerk. Ms. Barragan votes aye.
9578	Ms. Blunt Rochester?
9579	*Ms. Blunt Rochester. Aye.
9580	*The Clerk. Ms. Blunt Rochester votes
	450

9581	Mr. Soto?
9582	*Mr. Soto. Aye.
9583	*The Clerk. Mr. Soto votes aye.
9584	Ms. Craig?
9585	*Ms. Craig. Aye.
9586	*The Clerk. Ms. Craig votes aye.
9587	Ms. Schrier?
9588	*Ms. Schrier. Aye.
9589	*The Clerk. Ms. Schrier votes aye.
9590	Mrs. Trahan?
9591	*Mrs. Trahan. Aye.
9592	*The Clerk. Mrs. Trahan votes aye.
9593	Mrs. Fletcher?
9594	*Mrs. Fletcher. Aye.
9595	*The Clerk. Mrs. Fletcher votes aye.
9596	Chair Rodgers?
9597	*The Chair. No.
9598	*The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes no.
9599	*The Chair. How is Mr. Carter recorded?
9600	*The Clerk. Mr. Carter is not recorded.
9601	*Mr. Carter. No.
9602	*The Clerk. Mr. Carter votes no.
	451

9603	*The Chair. Mr. Dunn?
9604	*The Clerk. Mr. Dunn is not recorded.
9605	*Mr. Dunn. No.
9606	*The Clerk. Mr. Dunn votes no.
9607	*The Chair. Mr. Allen?
9608	*The Clerk. Mr. Allen is not recorded.
9609	*Mr. Allen. No.
9610	*The Clerk. Mr. Allen votes no.
9611	*The Chair. Any other member seeking to be recorded?
9612	*Mrs. Cammack. How is Cammack recorded?
9613	*The Clerk. Mrs. Cammack is not recorded.
9614	*Mrs. Cammack. No.
9615	*The Clerk. Mrs. Cammack votes no.
9616	*The Chair. The clerk will report.
9617	*The Clerk. Chair Rodgers, on that vote we have 23 ayes
9618	and 26 noes.
9619	*The Chair. The noes have it. The amendment is not
9620	adopted.
9621	Are there further amendments?
9622	The question now occurs on approving H.R. 1042. A roll
9623	call has been requested. The clerk will call the roll.
9624	*The Clerk. Mr. Burgess?
	452

9625	*Mr. Burgess. Aye.
9626	*The Clerk. Burgess votes aye.
9627	Mr. Latta?
9628	*Mr. Latta. Aye.
9629	*The Clerk. Mr. Latta votes aye.
9630	Mr. Guthrie?
9631	*Mr. Guthrie. Aye.
9632	*The Clerk. Mr. Guthrie votes aye.
9633	Mr. Griffith?
9634	*Mr. Griffith. Aye.
9635	*The Clerk. Mr. Griffith votes aye.
9636	Mr. Bilirakis?
9637	*Mr. Bilirakis. Aye.
9638	*The Clerk. Mr. Bilirakis votes aye.
9639	Mr. Johnson?
9640	*Mr. Johnson. Aye.
9641	*The Clerk. Mr. Johnson votes aye.
9642	Mr. Bucshon?
9643	*Mr. Bucshon. Aye.
9644	*The Clerk. Mr. Bucshon votes aye.
9645	Mr. Hudson?
9646	[No response.]

9647	*The Clerk. Mr. Walberg?
9648	*Mr. Walberg. Aye.
9649	*The Clerk. Mr. Walberg votes aye.
9650	Mr. Carter?
9651	*Mr. Carter. Aye.
9652	*The Clerk. Mr. Carter votes aye.
9653	Mr. Duncan?
9654	*Mr. Duncan. Aye.
9655	*The Clerk. Mr. Duncan votes aye.
9656	Mr. Palmer?
9657	[No response.]
9658	*The Clerk. Mr. Dunn?
9659	*Mr. Dunn. Aye.
9660	*The Clerk. Mr. Dunn votes aye.
9661	Mr. Curtis?
9662	*Mr. Curtis. Aye.
9663	*The Clerk. Mr. Curtis votes aye.
9664	Mrs. Lesko?
9665	*Mrs. Lesko. Aye.
9666	*The Clerk. Mrs. Lesko votes aye.
9667	Mr. Pence?
9668	*Mr. Pence. Aye.

9669	*The Clerk. Mr. Pence votes aye.
9670	Mr. Crenshaw?
9671	*Mr. Crenshaw. Aye.
9672	*The Clerk. Mr. Crenshaw votes aye.
9673	Mr. Joyce?
9674	*Mr. Joyce. Aye.
9675	*The Clerk. Mr. Joyce votes aye.
9676	Mr. Armstrong?
9677	*Mr. Armstrong. Yes.
9678	*The Clerk. Mr. Armstrong votes aye.
9679	Mr. Weber?
9680	*Mr. Weber. Aye.
9681	*The Clerk. Mr. Weber votes aye.
9682	Mr. Allen?
9683	*Mr. Allen. Aye.
9684	*The Clerk. Mr. Allen votes aye.
9685	Mr. Balderson?
9686	*Mr. Balderson. Aye.
9687	*The Clerk. Mr. Balderson votes aye.
9688	Mr. Fulcher? Mr. Fulcher?
9689	*Mr. Fulcher. Fulcher is aye.
9690	*The Clerk. Mr. Fulcher votes aye.
	455

9691	Mr. Pfluger?
9692	*Mr. Pfluger. Aye.
9693	*The Clerk. Mr. Pfluger votes aye.
9694	Mrs. Harshbarger?
9695	*Mrs. Harshbarger. Aye.
9696	*The Clerk. Mrs. Harshbarger votes aye.
9697	Mrs. Miller-Meeks?
9698	*Mrs. Miller-Meeks. Yes.
9699	*The Clerk. Mrs. Miller-Meeks votes aye.
9700	Mrs. Cammack?
9701	*Mrs. Cammack. Yes.
9702	*The Clerk. Mrs. Cammack votes aye.
9703	Mr. Obernolte?
9704	[No response.]
9705	*The Clerk. Mr. Pallone?
9706	*Mr. Pallone. No.
9707	*The Clerk. Mr. Pallone votes no.
9708	Ms. Eshoo?
9709	*Ms. Eshoo. No.
9710	*The Clerk. Ms. Eshoo votes no.
9711	Ms. DeGette?
9712	*Ms. DeGette. No.

9713	*The Clerk. Ms. DeGette votes no.
9714	Ms. Schakowsky?
9715	*Ms. Schakowsky. No.
9716	*The Clerk. Ms. Schakowsky votes no.
9717	Ms. Matsui?
9718	*Ms. Matsui. No.
9719	*The Clerk. Ms. Matsui votes no.
9720	Ms. Castor?
9721	*Ms. Castor. No.
9722	*The Clerk. Ms. Castor votes no.
9723	Mr. Sarbanes?
9724	*Mr. Sarbanes. No.
9725	*The Clerk. Mr. Sarbanes votes no.
9726	Mr. Tonko?
9726 9727	Mr. Tonko? *Mr. Tonko. No.
9727	*Mr. Tonko. No.
9727 9728	*Mr. Tonko. No. *The Clerk. Mr. Tonko votes no.
9727 9728 9729	*Mr. Tonko. No. *The Clerk. Mr. Tonko votes no. Ms. Clarke?
9727 9728 9729 9730	*Mr. Tonko. No. *The Clerk. Mr. Tonko votes no. Ms. Clarke? *Ms. Clarke. No.
9727 9728 9729 9730 9731	<pre>*Mr. Tonko. No. *The Clerk. Mr. Tonko votes no. Ms. Clarke? *Ms. Clarke. No. *The Clerk. Ms. Clarke votes no.</pre>
9727 9728 9729 9730 9731 9732	<pre>*Mr. Tonko. No. *The Clerk. Mr. Tonko votes no. Ms. Clarke? *Ms. Clarke. No. *The Clerk. Ms. Clarke votes no. Mr. Cardenas?</pre>

9735	Mr. Ruiz?
9736	*Mr. Ruiz. No.
9737	*The Clerk. Mr. Ruiz votes no.
9738	Mr. Peters?
9739	*Mr. Peters. No.
9740	*The Clerk. Mr. Peters votes no.
9741	Mrs. Dingell?
9742	*Mrs. Dingell. No.
9743	*The Clerk. Mrs. Dingell votes no.
9744	Mr. Veasey?
9745	*Mr. Veasey. No.
9746	*The Clerk. Mr. Veasey votes no.
9747	Ms. Kuster?
9748	*Ms. Kuster. No.
9749	*The Clerk. Ms. Kuster votes no.
9750	Ms. Kelly?
9751	*Ms. Kelly. No.
9752	*The Clerk. Ms. Kelly votes no.
9753	*The Clerk. Ms. Barragan.
9754	*Ms. Barragan. No.
9755	*The Clerk. Ms. Barragan votes no.
9756	Ms. Blunt Rochester?
	1 = 0

9757	*Ms. Blunt Rochester. No.
9758	*The Clerk. Ms. Blunt Rochester votes no.
9759	Mr. Soto?
9760	*Mr. Soto. No.
9761	*The Clerk. Mr. Soto votes no.
9762	Ms. Craig?
9763	*Ms. Craig. Yes.
9764	*The Clerk. Ms. Craig votes aye.
9765	Ms. Schrier?
9766	*Ms. Schrier. Aye.
9767	*The Clerk. Ms. Schrier votes aye.
9768	Mrs. Trahan?
9769	*Mrs. Trahan. No.
9770	*The Clerk. Mrs. Trahan votes no.
9771	Mrs. Fletcher?
9772	*Mrs. Fletcher. No.
9773	*The Clerk. Mrs. Fletcher votes no.
9774	Chair Rodgers?
9775	*The Chair. Aye.
9776	*The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes aye.
9777	*The Chair. Are there any members seeking to
9778	recorded.

be

9779	Madame clerk, how is Mr. Palmer recorded?
9780	*The Clerk. Mr. Palmer is not recorded.
9781	*Mr. Palmer. Yes.
9782	*The Clerk. Mr. Palmer votes aye.
9783	*The Chair. The clerk will report.
9784	*The Clerk. Chair Rodgers, on that vote we have 29 ayes
9785	and 21 noes.
9786	*The Chair. The ayes have it, the bill is adopted.
9787	The Chair calls up H.R. 1640, and asks the clerk to
9788	report.
9789	*The Clerk. H.R. 1640, a bill to prohibit
9790	*The Chair. Without objection, the first reading of the
9791	bill is dispensed with and the bill will be open for
9792	amendment at any point, so ordered.
9793	Does anyone seek to be recognized on the bill?
9794	For what purpose does the lady from Arizona seek
9795	recognition?
9796	*Mrs. Lesko. Thank you, Madame chair, I move to strike
9797	the last word to talk about my bill.
9798	*The Chair. The gentlelady is recognized for five
9799	minutes to strike the last word.
9800	*Mrs. Lesko. Thank you, Madame chair. I am proud to
	460

sponsor H.R. 1640, the Save Our Gas Stoves Act. 9801 The bill 9802 prohibits the Department of Energy from implementing its 9803 proposed rule that would eliminate the future sale of the 9804 vast majority of gas stove products that are available today. 9805 There are basically two types of gas stoves, the 9806 cooktops that don't include an oven and are mounted on top of the counter, and the more common freestanding ranges that 9807 9808 combine the cooktop with the oven.

9809 The proposed rule, based on DOE's own analysis, would 9810 eliminate 96 percent of gas cooktops available today. Even 9811 worse, the rule would eliminate all currently available 9812 models of freestanding ranges, which make up almost 90 9813 percent of all gas stoves sold today.

Let me repeat, no freestanding ranges that have been tested, meet the standards level under the proposed new rule. So when Secretary Granholm told us the new rule would only affect high-end gas stove products, that is simply not the case.

9819 Testing by the Association of Home Appliance 9820 Manufacturers, found that under the proposed rule, the time 9821 it would take to boil a pot of water would increase by about 9822 seven minutes. Over a full year, the new standard could cost

9823 consumers nearly a full day simply waiting for water to boil. 9824 The new standard could mean ranges and cooktops would 9825 have no more than one large burner, which of course would be 9826 difficult for large families. In DOE's own report, which is 9827 right here, it says that the proposed rule would eliminate 96 9828 percent of an entire class of cooktops available today.

9829 Then later on, DOE claimed that only 50 percent of the 9830 products available today would be eliminated, but it appears 9831 DOE pulled this number, quite frankly, out of thin air, 9832 because DOE didn't even test the stoves that they said would 9833 qualify, so how do they know that they would?

9834 To date, Department of Energy provides no data or substantiation for how it determined the models on retailer 9835 9836 websites would meet its proposed standards. Department of 9837 Energy estimates that the proposed rule will save a whopping \$21.89 per stove over 14 years, that is a whopping \$1.50 a 9838 year of 12 cents a month. Hardly worth the lack of consumer 9839 choice. Hardly worth the lack of not having large burners. 9840 9841 Hardly worth waiting for water to boil for seven additional 9842 minutes.

9843 According to the Department of Energy, natural gas is 9844 three and a half times cheaper than electricity. According

to studies, households that use natural gas for heating, 9845 9846 cooking, and clothes drying save an average of \$1,068 per 9847 year, compared to homes using electricity for those 9848 applications. 9849 This bill already has 58 bipartisan co-sponsors, 9850 Republicans and 29 Democrats already voted for an amendment included in H.R. 1 to do basically the same thing as this 9851 9852 bill. 9853 I ask my colleagues to join me in protecting consumer 9854 choice. I ask my colleagues to join me in saving our gas 9855 stoves. I ask my colleagues to join me in voting yes. 9856 Madame chairman, I ask unanimous consent to insert into 9857 the record letters from the American Gas Association, from 9858 ATMOS Energy, CenterPoint Energy, The American Public Gas 9859 Association, The Association of Home Appliance Manufacturers, 9860 and a letter from Southwest Gas Corporation, which delivers 9861 natural gas to Arizona, Nevada, and California, and the Arizona Restaurant Association, all supporting my bill and I 9862 9863 yield back. 9864 *The Chair. Without objection, so ordered. The gentlelady yields back. Further discussion? 9865 9866 The lady from Florida is recognized for five minutes to 463

9867 strike the last word. Ms. Castor?

9868 *Ms. Castor. Thank you, Madame chair. I rise to speak 9869 in opposition. I do not know why our GOP friends are so dead 9870 set against cost-saving, energy efficient appliances. But 9871 you know, I think we do know why. It is the same old story. 9872 Protecting the profits of oil and gas corporations.

The Republican bill H.R. 1640, this is what it does, it would prohibit the Secretary of Energy from finalizing or enforcing a February proposed rule that would improve the efficiency of electric and gas stoves, and thereby allow our neighbors back home to save money on their energy bills.

DOE's proposed rule is one of their statutorily required updates and it follows years of inaction and missed statutorily mandated standards deadlines by the Trump Administration. They stalled and stalled, that is costing our neighbors a lot of money.

But I have to say, the misinformation being spread through this messaging bill is beneath the dignity of this Committee. The Energy and Commerce Committee has an important responsibility of oversight of public health risk to our families, we also have a very important oversight responsibility for energy policy, which to Democrats,

includes saving our neighbor's money on their electric bills. 9889 9890 So I think the proper name of this bill would really be the Gaslighting Act. You know, DOE cannot ban gas stoves. 9891 9892 Go look at their website, energy.gov, where they compare gas 9893 stoves and electric stoves, pros and cons, or take it 9894 directly from Secretary Granholm, who was right here, who said nobody is taking your gas stove. Nobody is taking her 9895 9896 gas stove.

9897 The bottom line is the Republicans want to stop the 9898 Department of Energy from updating energy efficiency 9899 standards that save consumers money. And on the other bill, 9900 the next bill, they want to bury the information that burning 9901 menthane gas in your kitchen, when it is not ventilated, is 9902 harmful, to children especially.

In fact, gas stoves pump carbon monoxide, nitrogen dioxide, carcinogens, and other pollutants right into your kitchen, which can trigger asthma attacks and have significant heart impacts. It is a real problem, especially because most people do not have ventilation.

And I hope you all will recognize what the impacts are on children, especially. Here is a stat that I think is very important. Children who live in homes with gas stoves are 42

9911 percent more likely to experience asthma symptoms them homes 9912 with electric stoves.

9913 So this is a very important bill, but it also is an eye
9914 -- it is a very important bill to oppose, but it is an
9915 important opportunity to remind everyone that in the
9916 Inflation Reduction Act we are trying to save you money,
9917 lower cost on your energy bills.

9918 Within the next year, the high efficiency electric home 9919 rebate, created as part of the Inflation Reduction Act, could 9920 lower the cost of energy-star approved induction cooktops and 9921 ranges by up to \$840. And that money is directly deducted 9922 when you buy or install your appliance, as opposed to having 9923 to file it on your tax return.

Go to RewiringAmerica.org, plug in your zip code and you will see a whole host of new discounts and rebates for energy efficient appliances. Americans value energy efficient appliances. They want to save money on their electric bills.

Don't let Republicans and the oil and gas companies mislead you. I urge a no vote on this bill, properly termed,

9930 The Gaslighting Act. Thank you and I yield back.

9931 *The Chair. Further discussion?

9932 Seeing no further discussion.

9933	*Mr. Allen. I move to strike the last word.
9934	*The Chair. The gentleman from Georgia is recognized to
9935	strike the last word.
9936	*Mr. Allen. Never in my imagination would I believe
9937	that I would be speaking to save my wife's gas stove here
9938	today, but I promised her I would do it, so honey, this is
9939	for you.
9940	*The Chair. The gentleman yields back. The question
9941	now occurs on approving H.R. 1640, and a roll call has been
9942	requested. The clerk will call the roll.
9943	*The Clerk. Mr. Burgess?
9944	*Mr. Burgess. Burgess votes aye.
9945	*The Clerk. Mr. Burgess votes aye.
9946	Mr. Latta?
9947	*Mr. Latta. Aye.
9948	*The Clerk. Mr. Latta votes aye.
9949	Mr. Guthrie?
9950	*Mr. Guthrie. Aye.
9951	*The Clerk. Mr. Guthrie votes aye.
9952	Mr. Griffith?
9953	*Mr. Griffith. Aye.
9954	*The Clerk. Mr. Griffith votes aye.
	467

9955	Mr. Bilirakis?
9956	*Mr. Bilirakis. Aye.
9957	*The Clerk. Mr. Bilirakis votes aye.
9958	Mr. Johnson?
9959	*Mr. Johnson. Aye.
9960	*The Clerk. Mr. Johnson votes aye.
9961	Mr. Bucshon?
9962	*Mr. Bucshon. Aye.
9963	*The Clerk. Mr. Bucshon votes aye.
9964	Mr. Hudson?
9965	[No response.]
9966	*The Clerk. Mr. Walberg?
9967	*Mr. Walberg. Aye.
9968	*The Clerk. Mr. Walberg votes aye.
9969	Mr. Carter?
9970	*Mr. Carter. Aye.
9971	*The Clerk. Mr. Carter votes aye.
9972	Mr. Duncan?
9973	[No response.)
9974	*The Clerk. Mr. Palmer?
9975	*Mr. Palmer. Aye.
9976	*The Clerk. Mr. Palmer votes aye.

9977	Mr. Dunn?
9978	*Mr. Dunn. Aye.
9979	*The Clerk. Mr. Dunn votes aye.
9980	Mr. Curtis?
9981	*Mr. Curtis. Aye.
9982	*The Clerk. Mr. Curtis votes aye.
9983	Mrs. Lesko?
9984	*Mrs. Lesko. Aye.
9985	*The Clerk. Mrs. Lesko votes aye.
9986	Mr. Pence?
9987	*Mr. Pence. Aye.
9988	*The Clerk. Mr. Pence votes aye.
9989	Mr. Crenshaw?
9990	*Mr. Crenshaw. Aye.
9991	*The Clerk. Mr. Crenshaw votes aye.
9992	Mr. Joyce?
9993	*Mr. Joyce. Aye.
9994	*The Clerk. Mr. Joyce votes aye.
9995	Mr. Armstrong?
9996	*Mr. Armstrong. Yes.
9997	*The Clerk. Mr. Armstrong votes aye.
9998	Mr. Weber?

9999		*Mr. Weber. I'm not going to be gaslighted. I vote
10000	aye.	
10001		*The Clerk. Mr. Weber votes aye.
10002		Mr. Allen?
10003		*Mr. Allen. Aye.
10004		*The Clerk. Mr. Allen votes aye.
10005		Mr. Balderson?
10006		*Mr. Balderson. Aye.
10007		*The Clerk. Mr. Balderson votes aye.
10008		Mr. Fulcher? Mr. Fulcher?
10009		*Mr. Fulcher. Fulcher is aye.
10010		*The Clerk. Mr. Fulcher votes aye.
10011		Mr. Pfluger?
10012		*Mr. Pfluger. Aye.
10013		*The Clerk. Mr. Pfluger votes aye.
10014		Mrs. Harshbarger?
10015		*Mrs. Harshbarger. Aye.
10016		*The Clerk. Mrs. Harshbarger votes aye.
10017		Mrs. Miller-Meeks?
10018		*Mrs. Miller-Meeks. Yes.
10019		*The Clerk. Mrs. Miller-Meeks votes aye.
10020		Mrs. Cammack?

10021	*Mrs. Cammack. Yes.
10022	*The Clerk. Mrs. Cammack votes aye.
10023	Mr. Obernolte?
10024	[No response.]
10025	*The Clerk. Mr. Pallone?
10026	*Mr. Pallone. No.
10027	*The Clerk. Mr. Pallone votes no.
10028	Ms. Eshoo?
10029	*Ms. Eshoo. No.
10030	*The Clerk. Ms. Eshoo votes no.
10031	Ms. DeGette?
10032	*Ms. DeGette. No.
10033	*The Clerk. Ms. DeGette votes no.
10034	Ms. Schakowsky?
10035	*Ms. Schakowsky. No.
10036	*The Clerk. Ms. Schakowsky votes no.
10037	Ms. Matsui?
10038	*Ms. Matsui. No.
10039	*The Clerk. Ms. Matsui votes no.
10040	Ms. Castor?
10041	*Ms. Castor. No.
10042	*The Clerk. Ms. Castor votes no.
	471

10043	Mr. Sarbanes?
10044	*Mr. Sarbanes. No.
10045	*The Clerk. Mr. Sarbanes votes no.
10046	Mr. Tonko?
10047	*Mr. Tonko. No.
10048	*The Clerk. Mr. Tonko votes no.
10049	Ms. Clarke?
10050	*Ms. Clarke. No.
10051	*The Clerk. Ms. Clarke votes no.
10052	Mr. Cardenas?
10053	*Mr. Cardenas. No.
10054	*The Clerk. Mr. Cardenas votes no.
10055	Mr. Ruiz?
10056	*Mr. Ruiz. No.
10057	*The Clerk. Mr. Ruiz votes no.
10058	Mr. Peters?
10059	*Mr. Peters. No.
10060	*The Clerk. Mr. Peters votes no.
10061	Mrs. Dingell?
10062	[No response.]
10063	*The Clerk. Mr. Veasey?
10064	*Mr. Veasey. Yes.
	472

10065	*The Clerk. Mr. Veasey votes aye.
10066	Ms. Kuster?
10067	*Ms. Kuster. No.
10068	*The Clerk. Ms. Kuster votes no.
10069	Ms. Kelly?
10070	*Ms. Kelly. No.
10071	*The Clerk. Ms. Kelly votes no.
10072	*The Clerk. Ms. Barragan.
10073	*Ms. Barragan. No.
10074	*The Clerk. Ms. Barragan votes no.
10075	Ms. Blunt Rochester?
10076	*Ms. Blunt Rochester. No.
10077	*The Clerk. Ms. Blunt Rochester votes no.
10078	Mr. Soto?
10079	*Mr. Soto. No.
10080	*The Clerk. Mr. Soto votes no.
10081	Ms. Craig?
10082	*Ms. Craig. Yes.
10083	*The Clerk. Ms. Craig votes aye.
10084	Ms. Schrier?
10085	*Ms. Schrier. Aye.
10086	*The Clerk. Ms. Schrier votes aye.
	473

10087	Mrs. Trahan?
10088	*Mrs. Trahan. No.
10089	*The Clerk. Mrs. Trahan votes no.
10090	Mrs. Fletcher?
10091	*Mrs. Fletcher. Aye.
10092	*The Clerk. Mrs. Fletcher votes aye.
10093	Chair Rodgers?
10094	*The Chair. Aye.
10095	*The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes aye.
10096	*The Chair. Are there any members oh, yes. Madame
10097	clerk, how is Mr. Duncan recorded?
10098	*The Clerk. Mr. Duncan is not recorded.
10099	*Mr. Duncan. Aye.
10100	*The Clerk. Mr. Duncan votes aye.
10101	*The Chair. The clerk will report.
10102	*The Clerk. Chair Rodgers, on that vote we have 31 ayes
10103	and 18 noes.
10104	*The Chair. The ayes have it and the bill is adopted.
10105	The Chair calls up H.R. 1615, and asks the clerk to
10106	report.
10107	*The Clerk. H.R. 1615, a bill to prohibit the use of
10108	*The Chair. Without objection, the first reading of the
	474

10109 bill is dispensed with. The bill will be open to amendment 10110 at any point, so ordered.

10111 Does anyone seek to be recognized? The gentleman from 10112 North Dakota, Mr. Armstrong, is recognized for five minutes 10113 to strike the last word.

10114 *Mr. Allen. Thank you, Madam chair.

10115 H.R. 1615, the Gas Stove Protection and Freedom Act 10116 would prohibit funding to the Consumer Product Safety 10117 Commission for two purposes; first, to regulate gas stoves as 10118 a banned hazardous product, or second, to impose or enforce 10119 any consumer product safety standard or rule, regarding gas 10120 stoves, that would result in a prohibition on the use or sale 10121 of the appliance or otherwise substantially increase the 10122 average price.

We are advancing this bill today because Commissioner Richard Trumka Jr. has made repeated statements that the Commission was considering substantial regulatory action on gas stoves, including a December 2022 statement that a ban on gas stoves was a possibility.

10128 The Chairman has walked back Commissioner Trumka's 10129 impetuous statements by declaring, "I am not looking to ban 10130 gas stoves''. However, despite the Chairman's clean-up

10131 statement, the Commission has since issued a March 1st 10132 request for information that includes repeated mentions of 10133 toxic emissions and chronic hazards regarding gas stoves. 10134 We all agree that agree that consumer product safety is 10135 important, yet, it is apparent that the underlying motivation 10136 behind this veiled consumer safety plan is a green climate 10137 agenda which will go to further restrict natural gas. 10138 And you don't have to take my word for it. In a letter 10139 sent to the Commission by 20 Congressional Democrats in 10140 December of 2022, that first mentioned the equivalent climate 10141 impact of regulating gas stoves before ever addressing the

10142 merits of any health concerns.

10143And let's get into the alleged health concerns. First,10144multiple studies that gas stoves create harmful indoor10145emissions levels have been criticized for inaccurate10146conclusions in testing that failed to simulate real-world

10147 conditions.

10148 Some of those studies measured indoor emissions in an 10149 area enclosed in a plastic tarp without ventilation.

10150 Meanwhile, studies in actual homes under real-life conditions

10151 found that nitrogen dioxide levels were well below the

10152 standard EPA considers harmful to health.

10153	Further, other cooking-related and non-cooking related
10154	emission factors have a meaningful impact on indoor
10155	emissions. Things like chemical makeup of food and oils,
10156	cooking temperature, cooking methods, food surface to mass
10157	index, the use of exhaust and ventilation, as well as the
10158	burning of tobacco, candles, and incense.
10159	Again, all of this is secondary because we know the
10160	motivation at the CPSC and throughout the entire
10161	Administration is a green climate push. They seek to dictate
10162	how Americans live every aspect of their lives. How
10163	Americans save and invest for the future by pushing ESG, how
10164	Americans drive by banning gas-powered cars and now, how
10165	Americans cook their food.
10166	I urge everyone to vote in favor of H.R. 1615, so we can
10167	at least end the Commission's misguided foray into home
10168	cooking preferences of the American people. And with that, I
10169	yield back.
10170	*The Chair. The gentleman yields back. Further
10171	discussion?
10172	The Chair recognizes the lady from Illinois.
10173	*Ms. Schakowsky. I move to strike the last word?

10174 *The Chair. The lady is recognized for five minutes to

10175 strike the last word.

10176 *Ms. Schakowsky. So I am going to start with process, 10177 although I don't think that is the biggest issue. You know, 10178 our Subcommittee, that deals with issues of consumer 10179 protection, did not have a single hearing. There was no talk 10180 about it whatsoever, there was certainly not a markup. This is really the first we heard of it that the CPSC was thinking 10181 10182 about, they had a dream about maybe, but there was no 10183 legislation. There was no decision that there was somehow 10184 going to be a ban on gas stoves.

10185 Now, I am the owner of a gas stove and I would like to 10186 know if there are problems with gas stoves. I have a family. 10187 I have children. I have grandchildren. Just like I want to 10188 know some of the safety features of the car that I drive, we 10189 all do.

And a lot of the products that we have, we would like to have some investigation. That is what the Consumer Product Safety Commission does. It looks into this. And everybody got all excited. Oh my god, they were going to come in my house and take away not my guns, but my gas stove? I mean, this is, I think, a bit paranoid here. And I

10196 would like to, rather than say, oh, there is no way that

10197 there is going to be a decision on the part of consumers, 10198 that is based on fact, to decide whether you want a gas stove 10199 or not.

Now, there as my colleague said, that there are some -there is some evidence that there really is -- there are some issues that we may want to consider. There may be even want to be some suggestions of how we can ameliorate some of the problems of that have been raised, but let's not look into any of it.

10206 I think that makes no sense. That is not good policy 10207 making. That is not the basis on which we pass a law that 10208 would eliminate any kind of research, through the Consumer 10209 Product Safety Commission.

10210 This is not about the Consumer Product Safety Commission 10211 wanting to control your life, which is what I heard from our 10212 last speaker, and I think that doesn't make any sense.

10213 So I think that we ought to calm down, there is no bill 10214 at hand that would prevent you from having a gas stove at 10215 all. No one is coming into your house, into your home,

10216 making a decision for you. Let's find out.

10217And in fact, Mr. Tonko and I last year, at the end of10218last year, went to make sure that the Consumer Product Safety

10219	Commission and the Environmental Protection Agency were
10220	working together to do a little bit of research on whether
10221	this is a problem product.
10222	So I want to know, as an owner. And so I would suggest
10223	that we just hold our horses here and take a deep breath and
10224	not pass a bill that would eliminate a potentially dangerous
10225	product.
10226	And with that, I am going to yield back to Mr. Pallone.
10227	*Mr. Pallone. I think I'm going to take my own time,
10228	quickly though, but I know that does someone else have a?
10229	Mr. Palmer?
10230	*Ms. Schakowsky. Oh, there are others. Okay.
10231	*Mr. Pallone. Are you going to speak?
10232	*Ms. Schakowsky. I yield back.
10233	*The Chair. The gentlelady yields back. The Chair
10234	yields five minutes to Mr. Palmer to strike the last word.
10235	*Mr. Palmer. I move to strike the last word.
10236	First of all, it is comforting to hear, from my Democrat
10237	colleagues, that if you like your stove, you can keep your
10238	stove.
10239	I just want to remind people that practically every
10240	energy decision and action taken, made by the Biden
	480

Administration and taken by the Biden Administration. The Democrat majority in the last Congress has resulted in higher costs for food, clothing, household utilities, and everything else.

And this is just what is going on at the Department of Energy is just a continuation of those bad decisions. Democrat opposition to natural gas stoves is just another example of their radical attachment to the green new deal agenda that is going to further undermine our economy and I think will ultimately compromise our national security.

10251 So this gets a little bit bigger than just gas stoves 10252 and whether or not you don't want to cook on a gas stove or 10253 you want something else. So I do appreciate the fact that if 10254 we like our stove, we can keep our stove. I yield back.

10255 *The Chair. The gentleman yields back. The Chair 10256 recognizes the ranking member, five minutes to strike the 10257 last word.

10258 *Mr. Pallone. Thank you. And I won't use all the time, 10259 but despite claims by the majority that they are committed to 10260 regular order, they put H.R. 1615 on today's full Committee 10261 markup without holding a single hearing on the bill or on the 10262 Consumer Product Safety Commission's important work exploring

10263 the potential health hazards related to gas stove emissions. 10264 Instead, the Republicans provided us with less than 48-10265 hours' notice that they were including H.R. 1615 in today's 10266 markup, and obviously that is not regular order. Moreover, 10267 protecting children and all consumer's health and safety 10268 should not be a partisan issue, but the majority is not 10269 focused on the important role that the Consumer Product 10270 Safety Commission plays in protecting the public against 10271 hazardous consumer products.

10272 Instead, Republicans have continuously touted, what I 10273 consider, a false narrative about a ban on gas stoves that 10274 Consumer Product Safety Commission Chair Hoehn-Saric has clearly states is not under consideration, while ignoring 10275 10276 legitimate concerns raised by stakeholders about potential hazards posed by gas stove emissions and the reasonable work 10277 10278 of the Commission in inviting input on whether gas stove 10279 emissions pose risks to children and their families.

What is more, this bill is so broad that it could prevent the Consumer Product Safety Commission from taking action if it finds that a particular model of gas stoves has a design flaw that creates other serious safety risks, for example, the risk of exploding.

10285 Now, Congress established the CPSC as an independent 10286 commission to ensure that dangerous products would not be 10287 used as political footballs. We must allow the Consumer 10288 Product Safety Commission to rely on its expert staff to do 10289 their job. 10290 This bill, in my opinion, sets a dangerous precedent at stifling the Consumer Product Safety Commission's research 10291 10292 and reducing the tools it has available to protect American 10293 families from potential safety risk. 10294 So I would urge a no on it and I yield back, Madame 10295 chair. 10296 *The Chair. The gentleman yields back. Further 10297 discussion? 10298 Seeing none, the question now occurs on approving H.R. 10299 1615. A roll call has been requested. The clerk will call 10300 the roll. *The Clerk. Mr. Burgess? 10301 10302 *Mr. Burgess. Aye. 10303 *The Clerk. Mr. Burgess votes aye. 10304 Mr. Latta? 10305 *Mr. Latta. Aye. *The Clerk. Mr. Latta votes aye. 10306

10307	Mr. Guthrie?
10308	*Mr. Guthrie. Aye.
10309	*The Clerk. Mr. Guthrie votes aye.
10310	Mr. Griffith?
10311	*Mr. Griffith. Aye.
10312	*The Clerk. Mr. Griffith votes aye.
10313	Mr. Bilirakis?
10314	*Mr. Bilirakis. Aye.
10315	*The Clerk. Mr. Bilirakis votes aye.
10316	Mr. Johnson?
10317	*Mr. Johnson. Aye.
10318	*The Clerk. Mr. Johnson votes aye.
10319	Mr. Bucshon?
10320	*Mr. Bucshon. Aye.
10321	*The Clerk. Mr. Bucshon votes aye.
10322	Mr. Hudson?
10323	[No response.]
10324	*The Clerk. Mr. Walberg?
10325	*Mr. Walberg. Aye.
10326	*The Clerk. Mr. Walberg votes aye.
10327	Mr. Carter?
10328	*Mr. Carter. Aye.
	40.4

10329	*The Clerk. Mr. Carter votes aye.
10330	Mr. Duncan?
10331	*Mr. Duncan. Yes.
10332	*The Clerk. Mr. Duncan votes aye.
10333	Mr. Palmer?
10334	*Mr. Palmer. Aye.
10335	*The Clerk. Mr. Palmer votes aye.
10336	Mr. Dunn?
10337	*Mr. Dunn. Aye.
10338	*The Clerk. Mr. Dunn votes aye.
10339	Mr. Curtis?
10340	*Mr. Curtis. Aye.
10341	*The Clerk. Mr. Curtis votes aye.
10342	Mrs. Lesko?
10343	*Mrs. Lesko. Aye.
10344	*The Clerk. Mrs. Lesko votes aye.
10345	Mr. Pence?
10346	*Mr. Pence. Aye.
10347	*The Clerk. Mr. Pence votes aye.
10348	Mr. Crenshaw?
10349	*Mr. Crenshaw. Aye.
10350	*The Clerk. Mr. Crenshaw votes aye.

10351	Mr. Joyce?
10352	*Mr. Joyce. Aye.
10353	*The Clerk. Mr. Joyce votes aye.
10354	Mr. Armstrong?
10355	*Mr. Armstrong. Yes.
10356	*The Clerk. Mr. Armstrong votes aye.
10357	Mr. Weber?
10358	*Mr. Weber. Aye.
10359	*The Clerk. Mr. Weber votes aye.
10360	Mr. Allen?
10361	*Mr. Allen. Aye.
10362	*The Clerk. Mr. Allen votes aye.
10363	Mr. Balderson?
10364	*Mr. Balderson. Aye.
10365	*The Clerk. Mr. Balderson votes aye.
10366	Mr. Fulcher? Mr. Fulcher?
10367	*Mr. Fulcher. Aye.
10368	*The Clerk. Mr. Fulcher votes aye.
10369	Mr. Pfluger?
10370	*Mr. Pfluger. Aye.
10371	*The Clerk. Mr. Pfluger votes aye.
10372	Mrs. Harshbarger?

10373	*Mrs. Harshbarger. Aye.
10374	*The Clerk. Mrs. Harshbarger votes aye.
10375	Mrs. Miller-Meeks?
10376	*Mrs. Miller-Meeks. Yes.
10377	*The Clerk. Mrs. Miller-Meeks votes aye.
10378	Mrs. Cammack?
10379	*Mrs. Cammack. Yes.
10380	*The Clerk. Mrs. Cammack votes aye.
10381	Mr. Obernolte?
10382	[No response.]
10383	*The Clerk. Mr. Pallone?
10384	*Mr. Pallone. No.
10385	*The Clerk. Mr. Pallone votes no.
10386	Ms. Eshoo?
10387	*Ms. Eshoo. No.
10388	*The Clerk. Ms. Eshoo votes no.
10389	Ms. DeGette?
10390	*Ms. DeGette. No.
10391	*The Clerk. Ms. DeGette votes no.
10392	Ms. Schakowsky?
10393	*Ms. Schakowsky. No.
10394	*The Clerk. Ms. Schakowsky votes no.
	487

Ms. Matsui?
*Ms. Matsui. No.
*The Clerk. Ms. Matsui votes no.
Ms. Castor?
*Ms. Castor. No.
*The Clerk. Ms. Castor votes no.
Mr. Sarbanes?
*Mr. Sarbanes. No.
*The Clerk. Mr. Sarbanes votes no.
Mr. Tonko?
*Mr. Tonko. No.
*The Clerk. Mr. Tonko votes no.
Ms. Clarke?
*Ms. Clarke. No.
*The Clerk. Ms. Clarke votes no.
Mr. Cardenas?
*Mr. Cardenas. No.
*The Clerk. Mr. Cardenas votes no.
Mr. Ruiz?
*Mr. Ruiz. No.
*The Clerk. Mr. Ruiz votes no.
Mr. Peters?

10417	*Mr. Peters. No.
10418	*The Clerk. Mr. Peters votes no.
10419	Mrs. Dingell? Mrs. Dingell?
10420	[No response.]
10421	*The Clerk. Mr. Veasey?
10422	[No response.]
10423	*The Clerk. Ms. Kuster?
10424	*Ms. Kuster. No.
10425	*The Clerk. Ms. Kuster votes no.
10426	Ms. Kelly?
10427	*Ms. Kelly. No.
10428	*The Clerk. Ms. Kelly votes no.
10429	*The Clerk. Ms. Barragan.
10430	*Ms. Barragan. No.
10431	*The Clerk. Ms. Barragan votes no.
10432	Ms. Blunt Rochester?
10433	*Ms. Blunt Rochester. No.
10434	*The Clerk. Ms. Blunt Rochester votes no.
10435	Mr. Soto?
10436	*Mr. Soto. No.
10437	*The Clerk. Mr. Soto votes no.
10438	Ms. Craig?

10439	*Ms. Craig. Yes.
10440	*The Clerk. Ms. Craig votes aye.
10441	Ms. Schrier?
10442	*Ms. Schrier. No.
10443	*The Clerk. Ms. Schrier votes no.
10444	Mrs. Trahan?
10445	*Mrs. Trahan. No.
10446	*The Clerk. Mrs. Trahan votes no.
10447	Mrs. Fletcher?
10448	*Mrs. Fletcher. Aye.
10449	*The Clerk. Mrs. Fletcher votes aye.
10450	Chair Rodgers?
10451	*The Chair. Aye.
10452	*The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes aye.
10453	*The Chair. Are there any members seeking to be
10454	recorded?
10455	The clerk will report.
10456	*The Clerk. Chair Rodgers, on that vote we have 29 ayes
10457	and 19 noes.
10458	*The Chair. The ayes have it and the bill is adopted.
10459	Without objection, staff is authorized to make technical
10460	and conforming changes to the legislation approved by the
	490

- 10461 Committee today, so ordered, without objection? 10462 Without objection, the list provided by the majority and 10463 the minority documents for the record, 5-24-23 full Committee 10464 markup, will be entered into the record without objection? 10465 So ordered. 10466 Without objection, the Committee stands adjourned.
- 10467 [Whereupon, at 4:53 p.m. the Committee was adjourned.]