

(KHN PHOTO ILLUSTRATION / UNSPLASH)

Mission and Money Clash in Nonprofit Hospitals' Venture Capital Ambitions

By Jordan Rau AUGUST 24, 2021

Cone Health, a small not-for-profit health care network in North Carolina, spent several years developing a smartphone-based system called Wellsmith to help people manage their diabetes. But after investing \$12 million, the network disclosed last year it was shutting down the company even though initial results were promising, with users losing weight and recording lower blood sugar levels.

The Washington Post

This story also ran on The Washington Post. It can be republished for free.

The reason did not have to do with the program's potential benefit to Cone's patients, but rather the harm to its bottom line. Although Cone executives had banked on selling or licensing Wellsmith, Cone concluded that too many competing products had crowded the digital health marketplace to make a dent.

"They did us a tremendous favor in funding us, but the one thing we needed them to be was a customer and they couldn't figure out how to do it," said Jeanne Teshler, an Austin, Texas-based entrepreneur who developed Wellsmith and was its CEO.



Austin, Texas, entrepreneur Jeanne Teshler worked with a North Carolina health system to develop a digital tool to help people manage their Type 2 diabetes. Even though initial results were promising, the health system shut down the operation. (JOYE QUALLS PHOTOGRAPHY)

Eager to find new sources of revenue, hospital systems of all sizes have been experimenting as venture capitalists for health care startups, a role that until recent years only a dozen or so giant hospital systems engaged in. Health system officials assert many of these investments are dually beneficial to their nonprofit missions, providing extra income and better care through new medical devices, software and other innovations, including ones their hospitals use.

But the gamble at times has been harder to pull off than expected. Health systems have gotten rattled by long-term investments when their hospitals hit a budgetary bump or underwent a corporate reorganization. Some health system executives have belatedly discovered a project they underwrote was not as distinctive as they had thought. Certain devices or apps sponsored by hospital systems have failed to be embraced by their own clinicians, out of either skepticism or habit.

"Even the best health care investors can't reliably get their health systems to adopt technologies or new innovations," said James Stanford, managing director and co-founder of Fitzroy Health, a health care investment company.

EMAIL SIGN-UP Subscribe to KHN's free Morning Briefing.

Your email address

SIGN UP

Some systems have found the business case for using their own innovations is weaker than anticipated. Wellsmith, for instance, was premised on a shift in insurance payments from a fee for each service to reimbursements that would reward Cone for keeping patients healthy. That change did not come as fast as hoped. "The financial models are so much based on how many patients you see, how many procedures you do," said Dr. Jim Weinstein, who championed a health initiative similar to Cone's when he was CEO of the Dartmouth-Hitchcock health system in New Hampshire. "It makes it hard to run a business that is financially successful if you're altruistic."

Though their tax-exempt status is predicated on charitable efforts, nonprofit health systems rarely put humanitarian goals first when selecting investments, even when sitting on portfolios worth hundreds of millions of dollars or more, according to a KHN analysis of IRS filings. Together, nonprofit hospital systems held more than \$283 billion in stocks, hedge funds, private equity, venture funds and other investment assets in 2019, the analysis found. Of that, nonprofit hospitals classified only \$19 billion, or 7%, of their total investments as principally devoted to their nonprofit missions rather than producing income, the KHN analysis found.

Nonprofit Hospitals Invest for Returns, Not Mission

In 2019, 93% of more than \$283 billion in investments by nonprofit hospital organizations was made in publicly traded securities or other financial vehicles, such as hedge funds or private equity. The nonprofits categorized 7% of their investments as devoted to their core mission rather than producing extra revenue.

Publicly Traded \$190 B Securities Other \$73 B Nission

Venture capital funds are a potentially lucrative but risky form of investment most associated with funding Silicon Valley startup companies. Because investors seek out companies in their early stages of development, a long-term horizon and tolerance for failure are critical to success. Venture capitalists often bank on a runaway success that ends up on a stock exchange or in a sale to a larger company to counterbalance their losses. As an asset class, venture capital funds assets annually return between 10% and 15% depending on the time frame, according to <u>PitchBook</u>.

While they lack the experience of longtime venture capitalists, health systems posit that they have advantages because they can invent, incubate, test and fine-tune a startup's creations. Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, for instance, parlayed a \$50 million investment into a return of more than \$514 million after it spun off its gene therapy startup Spark Therapeutics.

Many hospital-system venture capital funds, both established and new entrants, have grown rapidly. The largest, run by the Catholic hospital chain Ascension, has been in business for two decades and <u>this year topped \$1</u> <u>billion</u>, including contributions from 13 other nonprofit health systems eager to capture a piece of the returns.

Providence, a Catholic health system with hospitals in seven Western states, launched its venture capital fund in 2014 with \$150 million and now has \$300 million.

Cleveland-based University Hospitals launched its own fund, UH Ventures, in 2018. "We were candidly late to the game," said David Sylvan, president of UH Ventures.

UH Ventures yielded \$64 million in profits in 2020, Sylvan said, which pushed University Hospitals' net operating revenue from the red to \$31 million. Sylvan said the largest income contributor from UH Ventures was its specialty pharmacy, UH Meds, which provides medications to people with complex chronic conditions and helps them manage their ailments.

Another UH-supported startup, <u>RiskLD</u>, uses algorithms to monitor women and their babies during delivery to alert clinicians of sudden changes in conditions. It is used in UH's labor and delivery units. Sylvan said it is being marketed to other systems. UH Ventures' webpage touts the financial advantages for avoiding lawsuits, calling RiskLD "the first and only labor and delivery risk management tool designed to address birth malpractice losses." But sustained commitment is harder when the return on investment is not clear or immediate. In 2016, Dartmouth-Hitchcock, which operates New Hampshire's only academic medical center, <u>tested</u> its remote monitoring technology, ImagineCare, on 2,894 employee volunteers. ImagineCare linked a mobile app and Bluetooth-enabled devices to a health system support center staffed by nurses and other Dartmouth-Hitchcock workers. The app tracked about two dozen measurements, including activity, sleep and, for those with chronic conditions, key indicators like weight and blood sugar levels. Worrisome results triggered contact and behavioral coaching from the Dartmouth-Hitchcock staff.

Dartmouth-Hitchcock found health care expenditures for the people with chronic conditions <u>dropped by 15% more than matched controls</u>. Nonetheless, in 2017, with the product facing unexpected technology challenges and the health system saddled with a short-term deficit, Dartmouth-Hitchcock scrapped the experiment and <u>sold the technology to a Swedish company</u> in return for potential royalties.

"We didn't have the capital as a small health system," said Weinstein, now senior vice president of innovation and health equity for Microsoft. "It wasn't a venture investment to make money; in fact, we probably would have lost revenues on admissions. But it was the right thing to do."

ImagineCare has found a more receptive home in Sweden. Two regions of the public health care system as well as a private health care organization have decided to deploy it as their remote monitoring service, according to ImagineCare's CEO, Annette Brodin Rampe. The company expects to have 10,000 patients enrolled by year's end.

Wellsmith, Cone Heath's diabetes platform, suffered an even rockier trajectory. The concepts were similar, but Wellsmith was initially tailored to people with Type 2 diabetes. Data on weight, activity, blood sugar and patients' compliance on taking medication was uploaded manually or through Bluetooth-enabled devices and sent to a small team of nurses and health coaches at Cone, who would contact those with disquieting signs. Cone tested Wellsmith on 350 employees with Type 2 diabetes and <u>reported</u> <u>encouraging results</u> in 2018. Users' physical exercise had increased on average by 24% and their A1c levels, which measure the percentage of red blood cells with sugar-coated hemoglobin, had dropped by 1 point on average. "We believe that the future will be carried by those who can invest in and create models of care like Wellsmith," said Terry Akin, Cone's CEO at the time.

But Cone grew apprehensive about Wellsmith's commercial prospects, especially when other companies started pitching similar products. In its 2018 financial statement, Cone wrote that "management has determined that the existing technology will not be marketed for sale and licensing." In October 2020, Cone decided to end its relationship with Wellsmith and shut it down this year, according to its financial statement.

Cone declined requests for interviews. In an email, Cone spokesperson Doug Allred wrote: "Unfortunately, a number of well-funded competitors established similar platforms. This has made it difficult to scale our platform to more customers and develop more partnerships. Due to these factors we made the difficult decision to sunset the Wellsmith platform."

In interviews, Teshler said Cone had originally viewed the product as complementary to its efforts to move away from a traditional fee-for-service payment system. But she said alternative models — such as those in which insurers pay a set fee for each patient, providing doctors and hospitals with an incentive to keep spending low — remained the arrangement for a minority of Cone's patients: those enrolled in Cone's Medicare Advantage plans and accountable care organizations.

"The problem with these kinds of solutions — not just us — is it requires people to have digital devices that aren't normally covered by health insurance," she said.

Wellsmith's business plan was to charge a per-member monthly fee to organizations using it. Teshler said Cone did not want to pay Wellsmith a fee when it had already lent it millions, since it couldn't bill insurers for the service. Other obstacles arose as well, according to Teshler. She said Wellsmith's development was delayed when the second version of the software was a "dismal failure" and needed to be revamped. To further complicate matters, Cone began entertaining a merger with another health system, making the long-term financial commitment to Wellsmith uncertain. "And then we hit covid and it was game over," Teshler said.

Teshler said she is still developing her concept, though, under her contract with Cone, Wellsmith's software had to be destroyed when they split ways. She wants to market Wellsmith's successor to primary care medical practices that contract directly with employers — groups that benefit when medical claims are reduced. She does not see other hospital systems as viable customers.

"It's very simple for their attention to be diverted by the fact that their job is to keep people alive," she said. Also, unless an innovation is unique, she said, "everybody's got a fund, and nobody is going to buy anyone else's product."

Jordan Rau: jrau@kff.org, @JordanRau

RELATED TOPICS

HEALTH INDUSTRY STATES HOSPITALS

🖌 CONTACT US 🛛 🖋 SUBMIT A STORY TIP