1	NEAL R. GROSS & CO., INC.
2	RPTS MILLER
3	HIF198000
4	
5	
6	MARKUP OF H.R. 3375, H.R. 2211, H.R. 3172,
7	H.R. 3170, H.R. 1618, H.R. 806, H.R. 2647,
8	H.R. 1315, H.R. 2665, H.R. 2044, H.R. 359,
9	H.R. 360, H.R. 362, H.R. 370, H.R. 2088,
10	H.R. 2041, H.R. 2119, H.R. 2781, H.R. 728,
11	H.R. 1058, H.R. 2507, H.R. 776, H.R. 2035,
12	H.R. 2296, AND H.R. 2328
13	WEDNESDAY, JULY 17, 2019
14	House of Representatives
15	Committee on Energy and Commerce
16	Washington, D.C.
17	
18	
19	
20	The committee met, pursuant to call, at 9:38 a.m., in Room
21	2123 Rayburn House Office Building, Hon. Frank Pallone [chairman
22	of the committee] presiding.
23	Members present: Pallone, Rush, Eshoo, Engel, DeGette,
24	Doyle, Schakowsky, Butterfield, Matsui, Castor, Sarbanes,
25	McNerney, Welch, Lujan, Tonko, Clarke, Loebsack, Schrader,
	NEAL P. GPOSS

Kennedy, Cardenas, Ruiz, Peters, Dingell, Veasey, Kuster, Kelly, Barragan, McEachin, Blunt Rochester, Soto, O'Halleran, Walden, Upton, Shimkus, Burgess, Scalise, Latta, Rodgers, Guthrie, Olson, McKinley, Kinzinger, Griffith, Bilirakis, Johnson, Long, Bucshon, Flores, Brooks, Mullin, Walberg, Carter, Duncan, and Gianforte.

Staff present: Billy Benjamin, Systems Administrator; Jacquelyn Bolen, Professional Staff; AJ Brown, Counsel; Jeff Carroll, Staff Director; Alex Chasick, Counsel; Jacqueline Cohen, Chief Environment Counsel; Sharon Davis, Chief Clerk; Jennifer Epperson, FCC Detailee; Evan Gilbert, Press Assistant; Lisa Goldman, Counsel; Waverly Gordon, Deputy Chief Counsel; Tiffany Guarascio, Deputy Staff Director; Omar Guzman-Toro, Policy Analyst; Alex Hoehn-Saric, Chief Counsel, C&T; Zach Kahan, Outreach and Member Service Coordinator; Rick Kessler, Senior Advisor and Staff Directory, Energy and Environment; Josh Krantz, Policy Analyst; Una Lee, Senior Health Counsel; Jerry Leverich, Counsel; Jourdan Lewis, Policy Analyst; Kevin McAloon, Professional Staff Member; Dan Miller, Policy Analyst; Elysa Montfort, Press Secretary; Meghan Mullon, Staff Assistant; Phil Murphy, Policy Coordinator; Lisa Olson, FERC Detailee; Joe Orlando, Staff Assistant; Alivia Roberts, Press Assistant; Tim Robinson, Chief Counsel; Chloe Rodriguez, Policy Analyst; Ben Rossen, FTC Detailee; Samantha Satchell, Professional Staff Member; Andrew Souvall, Director of Communications, Outreach and

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

Member Services; Benjamin Tabor, Staff Assistant; Sydney Terry,
Policy Coordinator; Rebecca Tomilchik, Staff Assistant;
Kimberlee Trzeciak, Senior Health Policy Advisor; Rick Van Buren,
Health Counsel; Tuley Wright, Energy and Environment Policy
Advisor; C.J. Young, Press Secretary; Mike Bloomquist, Minority
Staff Director; S.K. Bowen, Minority Press Assistant; Adam
Buckalew, Minority Director of Coalitions and Deputy Chief
Counsel, Health; Robin Colwell, Minority Chief Counsel, C&T
Jordan Davis, Minority Senior Advisor; Michael Engel, Minority
Detailee, C&T Margaret Tucker Fogarty, Minority Staff Assistant;
Melissa Froelich, Minority Chief Counsel, CPAC; Theresa Gambo,
Minority Human Resources/Office Administrator; Caleb Graff,
Minority Professional Staff Member, Health; Peter Kielty,
Minority General Counsel; Bijan Koohmaraie, Minority Counsel,
CPAC; Tim Kurth, Minority Deputy Chief Counsel, C&T Mary Martin,
Minority Chief Counsel, Energy & Environment & Climate Change;
Brandon Mooney, Minority Deputy Chief Counsel, Energy; James
Paluskiewicz, Minority Chief Counsel, Health; Brannon Rains,
Minority Staff Assistant; Kristin Seum, Minority Counsel, Health;
Kristen Shatynski, Minority Professional Staff Member, Health;
and Peter Spencer, Minority Senior Professional Staff Member,
Environment & Climate Change.

The Chairman. The committee will come to order.

We are meeting today to consider 25 bills that address critical issues facing our Nation today, and I would now recognize

myself for 3 minutes for an opening statement.

The 25 bills continue this committee's commitment to putting consumers first, strengthening our economy, combating climate change, and ensuring all Americans have access to affordable and quality health care. We will put consumers first by addressing annoying robocalls and dangerous consumer products.

The American people are fed up with robocalls. Who can blame them? An estimated 47 billion robocalls were made last year. That is outrageous. Today this committee will provide some much-needed relief by considering the Stopping Bad Robocalls Act that I introduced with Ranking Member Walden and Ranking Member Latta and Subcommittee Chairman Doyle. And this legislation gives consumers control of their phones again.

We will also consider 6 bills that will protect American families from dangerous products, including some that should no longer be on the market.

We will combat climate change and strengthen our economy by considering 5 bills that invest in energy efficiency programs in communities across the country, programs that create good-paying jobs, save consumers money, and reduce the amount of greenhouse gas pollution emitted into the air.

And we will also discuss legislation that will make our Nation's energy infrastructure more secure by addressing cybersecurity.

And, finally, we will continue our work of ensuring all

Americans have access to affordable and quality health care by reauthorizing and strengthening critical public health and Medicare programs. A number of these programs, including funding for community health centers, will expire at the end of September if we don't act. And we will consider a long-term funding agreement for the Medicaid program for the U.S. territories that is long overdue.

We will also bring more transparency to the prescription drug pricing process. The METRIC Act includes 5 bipartisan bills that will ensure consumers have access to more information about how prescription drugs are priced and why prices are increasing.

We will also tackle the issue of surprise bills. The days of patients receiving surprise bills sometimes as high as \$100,000 must end. We will consider the bipartisan No Surprises Act, which I introduced with Ranking Member Walden. This bipartisan legislation will protect consumers from surprise bills.

Patients must be held harmless in situations where they are not aware, through no fault of their own, that a provider is not within the insurance network, and that is exactly what this legislation does.

And, finally, I do want to mention that we had planned to mark up legislation to reauthorize the Federal Pipeline Safety Program, but we are going to hold that for a future markup. And I want it to be a bipartisan bill, and that is my intent. And one way or another, we need to get this done early this fall,

because that also expires I believe by the end of September.

So I want to commend all members and staff for their work in helping to get the 25 bills ready for markup today and hope that they receive strong bipartisan support.

And with that, I would recognize Ranking Member Walden for 3 minutes for an opening statement.

Mr. Walden. Well, thank you, Mr. Chairman. We appreciate the markup today, and I am pleased at the bipartisan progress we have all made, all the members on the committee and the staff, our incredibly talented staff, on a number of key policies under consideration.

As you have said, today we will consider bipartisan legislation addressing a challenge nearly every American with a telephone faces, and that is illegal robocalls. Through the Stopping Bad Robocalls Act, I would like to thank Chairman Pallone, Mr. Doyle, and Mr. Latta especially for their partnership on this legislation to protect consumers from illegal robocalls.

We are also moving forward with the 6 bills advancing through our Consumer Protection and Commerce Subcommittee to protect consumers, families, and children. While there is strong bipartisan support for many of these bills, as we will hear today, concerns remain about some of the bills before us.

In the spirit of bipartisanship, we hope to move these bills by voice vote, but I am hopeful that we can continue to work to improve these measures before they are considered on the House

151 || floor.

The Health Subcommittee has produced a large and bipartisan package of important legislation. The Reauthorizing and Extending America's Community Health or REACH Act provides for a 4-year extension of several critically important public health and workforce programs, including an extension of the community health centers.

On the Medicare front, this package includes long-term priorities of this committee, such as the HEARTS Act and provisions to improve the diagnosis of prostate cancer and an extension of the Independence at Home Project.

The package will also address the disproportionate share of hospital cuts for the next 2 years, and will provide updated funding for the United States territories' Medicaid Program to ensure they can continue to provide the care their constituents need while including strong program integrity measures that are more important now than ever.

Finally, but notably, this package includes the No Surprises

Act, which will protect patients and families from surprise

medical bills.

Thank you to all the members and staff for your good faith efforts in bringing this package together. Now we know there is still work to do, as this package moves to the floor and we negotiate with the United States Senate, but we have a great start and I look forward to continuing these discussions.

176 We will also consider 2 additional health workforce bills 177 today, along with 4 bipartisan bills that reauthorize important 178 discretionary public health programs -- the Autism Cares Act, 179 the Newborn Screening Saves Lives reauthorization Act, the 180 Emergency Medical Services for Children Program Reauthorization 181 Act, and the Lifespan Respite Care Reauthorization Act. 182 As if that weren't enough, we will also mark up the METRIC 183 Act, which will help increase transparency in drug pricing. 184 Energy Subcommittee has produced 10 bills for consideration 185 today, including bills to improve DOE electric and energy 186 infrastructure, cybersecurity, and bills to increase water system 187 efficiency and to speed up implementation of smart building 188 technology. Two additional bills -- Chairman Rush's Workforce 189 190 Development Bill, and H.R. 2041, the Weatherization Bill, have 191 been negotiated in good faith, will also pass with bipartisan 192 support. I am appreciative of the bipartisan collaboration from 193 194 members and staff to produce these results today, and I yield 195 back the balance of my time. 196 The Chairman. Thank you, Mr. Walden, and thank you for all 197 your support in making this effort today bipartisan. 198

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

Subcommittee on Energy, for 3 minutes.

And I would like to now recognize Mr. Rush, chairman of the

I want to thank you, Mr. Chairman, for holding

Mr. Rush.

199

201 this important markup on 25 bills covering an array of issues 202 of which the vast majority are bipartisan pieces of legislation. 203 I would like to commend all of the sponsors and co-sponsors 204 and their staffs for all of their hard work in introducing each 205 While I support each of these pieces of of these bills. 206 legislation, I want to especially acknowledge some of the bills 207 that originated from the Energy Subcommittee covering issues of 208 workforce development, energy efficiency, and cybersecurity. 209 I am the sponsor of H.R. 1315, the Blue Collar to Green Collar 210 Jobs Development Act; H.R. 362, the Energy Emergency Leadership 211 I want to publicly thank Ranking Member Upton and his staff 212 for working with my office to strengthen each of these bills. 213 And I also must acknowledge the leadership of Mr. Hudson and 214 Mr. Walberg, who worked with my office on the workforce and 215 cybersecurity bills, respectively, which will each move forward 216 through the committee with bipartisan support. 217 I take my hats off to Mr. Hudson and Mr. Walberg for their 218 strong efforts on behalf of these bills. I strongly believe that 219 each of the bills before us will help to strengthen the economy 220 and combat climate change, while also putting consumers first 221 by investing in a skilled, diverse energy workforce and protecting 222 the nation's electric grid. 223 I urge all of my colleagues to support the bills. 224 The Chairman. Thank you.

I yield back the remainder of my time.

Mr. Rush.

The Chairman. Thank you, Chairman Rush.

I am now going to move to Dr. Burgess, ranking member of the Subcommittee on Health, recognized for 3 minutes.

Mr. Burgess. Thank you, and I want to note that this markup today includes a number of critical healthcare reauthorizations that passed through the Health Subcommittee with unanimous bipartisan support last week. H.R. 2328, the Reauthorizing and Extending America's Community Health Act, reauthorizes public health programs for an additional 4 years. This will provide our public health programs with greater predictability, allowing them to maintain their staffing and research goals.

H.R. 2328 also includes a 3-year extension of important Medicare programs, including the Independence at Home

Demonstration Program, which Congresswoman Dingell and I have worked on for many years. The program provides home-based primary care to high-need Medicare beneficiaries, with multiple chronic conditions, helping them avoid unnecessary hospitalizations, ER visits, and nursing home admission, and ultimately saving dollars.

Another important public health reauthorization is 2781, the Empower for Health Act, which I introduced along with Representative Schakowsky. As chairman of the Health Subcommittee last Congress, I made passing Title VII physician workforce reauthorization one of my priorities. And we did that last Congress, but I am glad to see -- since the Senate failed

to take action, I am glad to see that we are taking this issue up again.

We will also be considering an amendment today to authorize Medicaid dollars to the United States territories, which will allow the territories to continue to provide critical access to health care. Following the recent events that have transpired in Puerto Rico, I am glad that we have reached a bipartisan consensus on adding more program integrity measures, and I want to thank Representative Jennifer Gonzalez-Colon for her work on this issue.

The amendment also contains the No Surprises Act, which would ban surprise or out-of-network billing. The goal of this legislation is to protect the patient by keeping them out of the middle. I appreciate the bipartisan effort to continue working on his after the subcommittee markup, so we can get to a compromise. It will be our job to make sure that it does not get unsatisfactorily diluted in our conference with the Senate.

Another priority of the Health Subcommittee of this Congress has been to address fair drug pricing. H.R. 2296 includes measures to increase transparency throughout our drug pricing system. I am willing to support this package given the improvements that have been made after the bill was considered at our hearing.

On a separate note, I would like to voice my support for the inclusion of H.R. 3375. Any American with a cell phone has

2.62

2.74

276 dealt with robocalls, probably illegal robocalls, and I look forward to saving Americans from the nuisance and danger of these 277 278 bad actors in the future. Thank you, Chairman Pallone, Ranking Member Walden, for 279 280 putting this docket of bills together. I suspect that this will 281 be a lengthy but productive dialogue. 282 I yield back my time. 283 The Chairman. Thank you, Dr. Burgess. 284 I now recognize Ms. Eshoo, Chairwoman of the Subcommittee 285 on Health, for 3 minutes. 286 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And good morning to 2.87 all the members of the committee. 288 We are marking up 25 bills today, and that represents a great deal of work, highly productive work, and so much of it is done 289 290 by the staff of the members, certainly the members themselves, 291 their staffs, the subcommittee staff, and the full committee 292 So I want to acknowledge all of that. 293 Eight bills have come out of our Health Subcommittee. They 294 invest in public health and the Medicare program. They improve 295 drug pricing, transparency. They provide Medicaid funding to 296 the U.S. territories, and I think that this is really an enormous 297 accomplishment. 298 Of all of the years that I have been on the committee, I

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

think this represents the best work moving that issue forward

for the territories.

299

300

It is going to make a difference in the

301 lives of so many Americans that live in the territories, and, 302 of course, ending this egregious issue of surprise billing. 303 So I think that today's markup really continues our progress on legislation that is going to make a difference, a very real 304 305 difference, in the lives of all of our constituents, whether it 306 is making sure that our community health centers are funded, their 307 local hospital continues to operate, or that they will no longer 308 be stuck with these eye-popping surprise bills, especially if 309 they have had an emergency room visit. 310 So thank you to everyone that has been involved in the 311 productivity, and I look forward to a timely and swift markup 312 of these 25 bills. 313 And I yield back the balance of my time. 314 The Chairman. Thank you, Chairwoman Eshoo. 315 Next, we are going to go to Mr. Latta, ranking member of 316 the Subcommittee on Communications and Technology. You are 317 recognized for 3 minutes. 318 Well, thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Latta. 319 today's markup includes bipartisan bills that I am pleased to 320 support, including the Stopping Bad Robocalls Act, Cyber Sense 321 Act, and Enhancing Grid Security through Public-Private 322 Partnerships Act. 323 I would like to thank you, Mr. Chairman, or Republican Leader 324 Walden, and Chairman Doyle for working so closely with me on a

bill that will offer consumers relief from illegal robocalls.

This legislation, which incorporates my bill, the Stop Robocalls Act, will offer immediate solutions to curb the estimated 48 billion robocalls that American receive each year.

Our bill would build off of the FCC's actions last month to provide consumers with robocall blocking technology by requiring the SEC to add transparency provisions and redress options for consumers and callers. This will allow consumers to know which calls are being blocked, similar to the spam filter in email, and create a pathway to stop wanted calls from being blocked. The bill will also terminate illegal call operations by increasing information-sharing between phone companies and the FCC and further industry efforts to trace back the source of unwanted robocalls.

Most importantly, this bipartisan bill will ensure that we are preserving consumer's access to desirable, and at times lifesaving, calls and text messages while also protecting them from bad actors who fraudulently spoof caller ID information to make illegal robocalls. Americans can have peace of mind knowing that the phones that connect us to the world are being used for good and not scams.

I am also pleased to see the markup of two bills I have introduced and worked on closely with my friend from California, Mr. McNerney. The Enhancing Grid Security through Public-Private Partnerships Act will direct the Department of Energy to facilitate and encourage the public-private

351 Partnerships to improve cyber security of electric utilities. 352 353 And my bill, the Cyber Sense Act, will create a voluntary 354 DOE cyber sense program that will identify and promote cyber 355 secure products for use in the bulk power system. It will also 356 establish a testing process for products along with a reporting 357 process of cyber security vulnerability. 358 The Secretary of Energy will be required to keep a related 359 database on these products, assist electric utilities in their 360 evaluation of products and their potential to cause harm to the 361 electric grid. At last week's Energy Subcommittee hearing, 362 Assistant Secretary Evans expressed support for this policy to 363 help improve the grid security and resiliency. 364 These bills will foster a collaborative relationship between 365 the DOE and the utilities and ensure our grid becomes increasingly 366 resilient in the face of cyber-attacks. Grid security is a 367 national security, and it is important that we act. 368 With that, Mr. Chairman, I appreciate today's markup, and 369 I yield back the balance of my time. 370 The Chairman. Thank you, Mr. Latta. 371 Next, I go to Chairman Doyle. 372 Thank you, Mr. Chairman, for calling this markup Mr. Doyle. today and for considering legislation to address a problem that 373 374 we all have firsthand experience with -- robocalls. 375 robocalls have exploded recently with Americans receiving nearly 48 billion last year alone, which is a 60 percent increase from the year before, and we are expecting to see that increase to 60 billion this year.

And while some of these calls constitute legitimate alerts and reminders, that only accounted for about 20 percent of the robocalls people receive each year. In the month of June alone, my hometown of Pittsburgh received an estimated 34 million robocalls. So today the committee will markup the Stopping Bad Robocalls Act, legislation that was introduced by you, Mr. Chairman, and Ranking Member Walden, along with my ranking member, Mr. Latta, and myself.

This legislation is a thoughtful and much-needed response to the onslaught of billions of illegal robocalls Americans face.

On average, everyone in this country receives 14 of these calls every day.

So the bill before the committee today is the result of bipartisan negotiations, which included industry and public interest stakeholders. I am glad that these discussions have resulted in a strong bill that will help seriously reduce the number of robocalls consumers receive.

All in all, this is a strong bill and a good compromise.

It shows what Democrats and Republicans can accomplish when we put our heads together to address a critical issue. I am glad to be marking it up today. I urge all members of the committee to support it and discharge it to the floor and out of the house

401 | forthwith.

I am also pleased that we are going to mark up H.R. 1058, the Autism CARES Act of 2019. Since we started working on this legislation in 2006, over \$3 billion has been dedicated to NIH, CDC, and HRSA to understand autism spectrum disorders and define the right intervention and support for each unique individual. Funding has also been used to support the training and education of health professionals to provide resources for families and to coordinate efforts across federal agencies at the Interagency Autism Coordinating Committee.

These efforts have translated into real-life support for individuals and families, although we still have a long way to go. This bill today would help address some of the gaps that remain in our understanding of ASD, and interventions and supports for individuals and families. And with that, Mr. Chairman, I yield back.

The Chairman. Thank you, Chairman Doyle.

Next we are going to go to Mrs. Rodgers, ranking member of the Subcommittee on Consumer Protection and Commerce, for 3 minutes.

Mrs. Rodgers. Good morning, everyone. Thank you, Chairman Pallone, and all of my colleagues on this committee. Today we will consider 6 bills from the Consumer Protection and Commerce Subcommittee beginning with H.R. 2211, the STURDY Act.

Thank you, Chairman Pallone and Chairwoman Schakowsky, for

426 listening to our feedback on this legislation. Our amendment 427 that I will offer later today continues to improve the bill. 428 I appreciate your commitment to our bipartisan negotiations and to keeping children safe from harm. 429 430 H.R. 3172, the Safe Sleep Act, addresses a suffocating hazard 431 related to the recent recalls of several inclined sleep products; 432 and H.R. 3170, the Safe Cribs Act of 2019, will address the 433 suffocation hazard created by padded crib bumpers. 434 our efforts here and the work done by the CPSC will help prevent

> I strongly encourage parents to visit saferproducts.gov to get the most up-to-date information about these and other recalls. That is saferproducts.gov.

> I would also like to recognize Mr. Carter and Ms. Kuster for their work on H.R. 1618, the Nicholas and Zachary Burt Carbon Monoxide Poisoning Prevention Act of 2019. Carbon monoxide detectors save lives, including a constituent of mine in Spokane Valley just earlier this year.

> Turning to H.R. 806, the Portable Fuel Container Safety Act of 2019, this bill requires all consumer fuel container products utilize a flame mitigation device preventing dangerous flame Thank you, Margrett Lewis, for turning your family's tragedy into a personal mission for other families and to protect those that might have a similar nightmare. Your steadfast commitment to your family and this issue got us here today.

future tragedies.

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

451 I would also like to recognize Reps. Griffith and Matsui's 452 work on H.R. 2647, the Safer Occupancy Furniture Flammability 453 Act, or SOFFA Act. I share the goals of this legislation. national standard is critically important, but I have concerns 454 with the current draft that sets a state technical bulletin as 455 456 a federal standard. 457 As I have mentioned throughout the process, I am disappointed 458 that the majority did not allow my bill, the FASTER Act, to come 459 up for debate during today's markup, but I am encouraged by the 460 progress we have made on several other bills here today and look 461 forward to supporting them and moving them forward in the process. 462 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 463 The Chairman. Thank you, Mrs. Rodgers, and thank you and 464 Chairwoman Schakowsky, because you have taken so much care in 465 trying to protect children, which I think is so important. 466 And I would like to now recognize Chairwoman Schakowsky for 467 3 minutes. 468 Ms. Schakowsky. Thank you so much, Chairman Pallone, and 469 thank you, Ranking Member Walden, for your leadership and bringing 470 us all together for today's important markup. 471 You know, consumer protection is what really drew me to 472 public life in the first place, and I like to call the subcommittee that I have the honor of leading American's Help Line. 473 474 I have said several times in our subcommittee, Americans sometimes

have some skepticism about, what does government really do for

us? And the bills that we passed in our subcommittee have one thing in common. They save lives. They are pretty simple pieces of legislation, and I want to say that I am so happy that we are able to pass these bills in a bipartisan way.

You heard some of them already from our ranking member, that she and I have been able to work together, and that we have been able to work in a totally bipartisan basis on these bills. And I, again, want to assure her that we all agree that the recall process needs fixing and that we will go forward in working together to find a bill that does that better.

But the bills that we passed will protect children from being killed from dressers falling on them. And some may say, really, that happens? Every 10 days a child dies from that. We have got to protect people from gas cans that explode. We are going to make cribs that are safer because there are instances of products inside the cribs that actually end in the death of babies.

We are going to make furniture which has toxic chemicals that threaten people and firefighters safer, and protect people from carbon monoxide poisoning.

So, again, I don't want to take too much time -- we have so many bills -- but I do want to say that I also really appreciate the parents that have come forward in such a painful moment, situations where their children have died or been injured and testify about these threats that have led to a number of these bills that we have passed.

501 And also, just thank all -- my ranking member and all the 502 members of the subcommittee. I think we have made good progress 503 today, and I think everyone can be proud to support these bills, 504 and I yield back. 505 The Chairman. Thank you, Chairwoman Schakowsky. 506 And now we are going to go back to Ranking Member Upton. 507 Is he at the end there? Yes. 508 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. It is important that Mr. Upton. 509 we have this full committee markup today. We have got 11 bills

before us that are reported by the Energy Subcommittee. bipartisan agreement on almost all of them, and I look forward to continuing to work.

It was important that we reached bipartisan agreement on the energy and manufacturing workforce development bill. a huge win for all of us. I look forward to a big vote, so we can build on that momentum and get it across the finish line, given our history with this bill through multiple Congresses.

We also came together to reauthorize DOE's Weatherization It is an important program for every state, certainly Michigan, to help reduce energy costs for low-income households by increasing the energy efficiency for their homes. an expired program that continues to receive funding every year, so I am glad that we are finally able to come together and reassert the committee's important role as authorizers.

I am also pleased that the full committee will soon report

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

our bipartisan cyber bills from the last Congress, including legislation that I helped write to codify DOE's authorities regarding the cybersecurity of the Nation's pipeline system.

Finally, I want to thank you, Mr. Chairman, and Chairman Rush for continuing to work with us to reauthorize PHMSA's Pipeline Safety Program on a bipartisan basis. I know that we are going to get there. We are not quite there yet, and so we will continue to work in the weeks ahead to get there and work with our colleagues on another committee or two.

I also want to commend our committee's work on the very important healthcare bills that we are considering today, many programs I have long supported, such as community health centers, the special diabetes program, and others. I also am pleased that we are moving legislation tackling the issue of surprise medical billing, certainly an important issue.

I would guess that all of us have heard from our many community members on every side on this. I think that we have got a sweet spot. I look forward to supporting that and look forward to working with all of us and yield back.

The Chairman. Thank you, Mr. Upton.

I think that concludes opening statements by the subcommittee chairs and ranking members who have legislation before us. But would any other member seek recognition at this point? On the Democratic side, is there any -- Mr. Kennedy?

Mr. Kennedy. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I want to thank you

for calling up this markup and moving forward with a series of bipartisan policies that will protect lives around this country. From bringing an end to abusive predatory robocalls to enacting critical safeguards for dressers and cribs to job retraining programs to enhance workplace protections for nurses, the package that we consider today will make a massive impact on lives of many Americans.

None of these bills that we consider today should be dismissed as noncontroversial or portrayed as easy messaging bills because of the results that they will provide, because there is in fact someone in this country none of us have met whose lives will be changed by what we accomplish today.

I am particularly glad that this legislative package includes provisions to postpone cuts to disproportionate share hospitals that will save hospitals in my home State of Massachusetts more than \$300 million. Those savings can now be redirected towards lifesaving care that those hospitals provide every single day.

It wouldn't be possible without the bipartisan support of the amendment that was received by many of my colleagues when we were in the subcommittee markup. And although we certainly still have work to do to increase funding for community health centers and other critical healthcare programs, this markup is a great start.

Thank you. I yield back.

576 Thank you. Anyone on the Republican side? The Chairman. 577 The gentleman is recognized. 578 Mr. Guthrie. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, for holding this 579 important markup today. The number one thing I hear about back 580 home is robocalls. Kentuckians are sick of their lives being 581 interrupted by these intrusive calls. People have told me about 582 calls that look like they are local even though they are coming 583 from their own number, only to be coming from somewhere across 584 the country. 585 One Kentuckian told me she disconnected a landline she had 586 over 50 years because she was getting too many spam calls. 587 college student in my district said that he once received 12 calls 588 during just one class period. So I am glad we are addressing 589 this issue, and, in addition, I look forward to debating the No 590 Surprises Act, which will protect patients from surprise medical 591 billing. 592 I appreciate the hard work of Chairman Pallone, Ranking 593 Member Walden, and the staff on this bill. I think we all agree 594 that we want to get this right and protect patients from surprise 595 bills. 596 I yield back. 597 The Chairman. Thank you. Mr. Lujan? 598 Mr. Lujan. Thank you, Chairman. And thank you to you, Mr.

Chairman, Mr. Ranking Member, Mr. Walden, to all the subcommittee

chairs and ranking members, for the work to provided much-needed

599

protections to the American people.

62.5

Today the Energy and Commerce Committee will pass more than 20 bills, the vast majority of which will pass with wide bipartisan support, will stop the avalanche of robocalls that take up our constituents' valuable time and will protect children from dangerous products, will promote green jobs and smart buildings, energy and water efficiency efforts, will strengthen our public health infrastructure and support our community health centers, will increase transparency related to drug prices, and reauthorize vital nursing workforce development programs, and we will take steps to protect patients from surprise billing.

Finally, I want to thank Chairman Pallone and Ranking Member Walden for working with me to strengthen the provisions that increased access to data and transparency related to the air ambulance billing process.

Again, I thank my colleagues for their work on these important issues, and I yield back.

The Chairman. Thank you. Anyone else on the Republican side? Anybody on the Democratic side want to say anything?

All right. We are going to proceed then. We will start with a bill consideration, and I call up H.R. 3375, the Stopping Bad Robocalls Act, as forwarded by the Subcommittee on Communications and Technology on June 25, and the clerk will report the bill.

The Clerk. H.R. 3375, a bill to amend the Communications

	26
626	Act of 1934, to clarify the prohibitions on making robocalls
627	[The Bill H.R. 3375 follows:]
628	
629	*********INSERT 1******

630	The Chairman. Without objection, the first reading of the
631	bill will be dispensed with, and the bill is now considered as
632	read and open for amendment at any point.
633	I am going to recognize myself to offer a manager's
634	amendment, which is labeled MGR-01 I guess. The clerk will report
635	the amendment.
636	The Clerk. Amendment to H.R. 3375 offered by Mr. Pallone
637	of New Jersey. Page 2, beginning on line 8
638	[The Amendment offered by Mr. Pallone follows:]
639	
640	**************************************

The Chairman. Without objection, the reading of this amendment will be dispensed with, and I would recognize myself for 5 minutes to speak in support of the amendment.

This bill, the Robocalls Act, as we have said, is an important step to cut down on the harassing, abusive robocalls that all of us, members here on this dais, but more importantly, our constituents across the country, receive these calls every day.

It has been a great bipartisan effort to get the bill to this point today, and I would like to thank my colleagues for all of their efforts to support and strengthen the bill. I am offering today a manager's amendment that makes a few small but important changes to the bill. First, it includes a handful of technical tweaks in response to discussions we have had with colleagues and stakeholders.

Second, the manager's amendment includes a new provision that addresses legitimate calls that are blocked or deprioritized. And one issue that my colleagues representing rural areas have raised with call authentication standards is that calls originating from networks using older technology, which often serve rural areas, may be blocked or appear as a possible scam call because the technology is not compatible with these networks.

So to address these concerns, we added a provision to create transparency around blocked calls as well as redress options for improperly blocked calls. But, again, this is a strong

bipartisan bill with common-sense and meaningful provisions to tackle illegal and unwanted robocalls. It gives consumers more control over stopping these calls and tightens the screws on scammers using our telephone system to harass and abuse.

And I worry that failing to act on this important issue not only causes further harm than annoyance to our constituents but also undermines our telephone system. So I urge support of the amendment as well as the underlying bill, and I would yield back at this time.

Are there any other members seeking recognition to speak on the amendment specifically, on the manager's amendment specifically? Yes, Chairman Doyle.

Mr. Doyle. I move to strike the last word. Mr. Chairman, I support the manager's amendment, and I support the underlying bill. This legislation does much to address many of the technological and regulatory shortfalls that have led to the rise in robocalls that we have to deal with. I am pleased to see that language from the support tools to Obliterate Pesky Robocalls Act or Stop Robocalls Act, which Ranking Member Latta and I introduced, was also included in the base text of this bill, which allows phone carriers to automatically enable robocall blocking services by default on phone lines.

While these technologies have been available on an opt-in basis, too many of our seniors and, frankly, too many people in general just don't know about these services or how to enable

them. Allowing these services to be enabled by default allows more consumers to benefit from these technologies without having to go through an onerous signup process, particularly for seniors and those most vulnerable to scam calls.

The bill also includes provisions that would ensure these new robocall blocking services do not result in new consumer fees and provides redress for callers and consumers when a call was blocked incorrectly. The bill also requires all carriers to adopt call authentication technology, which would enable people to be certain that the number they see on their caller ID is really the number that the call is coming from.

All too often people get calls that look like they are coming from someone down the street but were actually coming from scammers half a world away. The bill also seeks to limit the number of nuisance robocalls consumers receive by ensuring that callers have consumers' consent before they robocall them, and it would require a series of rulemakings by the FCC to update their current rules to ensure that these rules protect consumers.

The bill also extends the statute of limitations for illegal robocalls to ensure that federal authorities have sufficient time to take legal action against spammers and scammers who make these calls.

Mr. Chairman, this is a good bill, and I recommend all members to vote for the manager's amendment and the underlying bill, and I yield back.

716 The Chairman. Thank you, Chairman Doyle. 717 Are there other members seeking recognition on this 718 manager's amendment? Yes, Mr. Gianforte. I move to strike the last word. 719 Mr. Gianforte. 720 The Chairman. The gentleman is recognized. 721 Mr. Gianforte. First and foremost, I commend Chairman 722 Pallone, Chairman Doyle, Republican Leader Walden, and Republican 723 Leader Latta, on their ability to find a consensus to protect 724 Americans from unwanted robocalls. Montanans have told me it 725 is one of the worst thing they face on a day-in and day-out basis 726 are illegal robocalls. They are getting called with bogus 727 insurance offers, threats of legal action, and false promises 728 of government grants. Robocall scams put Montanans at risk of being robbed. 729 730 Scammers constantly try to steal private personal information, 731 Social Security Numbers, credit card numbers, and banking 732 information from unsuspecting Montanans. Today we are taking a huge step in stopping the scourge of robocalls. 733 734 The Stop Bad Robocalls Act empowers and protects consumers. 735 The bill requires phone companies to provide call authentication 736 technology, offers call blocking services, and gives the FCC more 737 tools to track down bad actors at no cost to consumers. This legislation also contains language I introduced with 738 739 Mr. Butterfield and Mr. Johnson. Our Tracing Back and Catching

Unlawful Robocallers Act creates a report on private efforts of

741 companies to implement trace-back technology, its effectiveness, 742 which companies participate. Consumers will have access to this 743 public information. 744 The report will also inform the FCC and Congress on the 745 effects of these trace-back efforts. I am proud of our bipartisan 746 efforts, our moving forward today, and we are one step closer 747 to protecting Montanans from scammers and criminals who seek to 748 do them harm.

And, with that, I recommend support of the manager's amendment and the underlying bill, and I yield back.

The Chairman. Thank you, Mr. Gianforte.

Anybody else? Yes, Mr. Butterfield.

Mr. Butterfield. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I, too, would like to thank you, Chairman Pallone and Chairman Doyle, and to the two ranking members, for their efforts in preventing the spread of illegal robocalls. These calling operations, Mr. Chairman, have the potential to deceive and defraud unsuspecting citizens in my district and in your districts, with little recourse for victims. Illegal calls are not only undermining legitimate commerce, but also the integrity of our government, as perpetrators often impersonate government officials, and that is absolutely despicable.

Congress must do its part to bring perpetrators to justice and end bad robocalls for good, and that is what this committee is doing today with the Stopping Bad Robocalls Act. And so I

749

750

751

752

753

754

755

756

757

758

759

760

761

762

763

764

766 want to thank the leadership of our committee. I want to thank 767 Mr. Gianforte and all of you who have had a hand in making this 768 legislation possible. 769 Thank you. I yield back. 770 The Chairman. Thank you, Mr. Butterfield. 771 Anyone on the Republican side want to speak on this manager's 772 amendment? Yes, Mrs. Rodgers. 773 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mrs. Rodgers. I move to strike 774 the last word. Robocall spam is a nuisance that almost every 775 American deals with on a daily basis. For some, it can be more 776 than just an annoyance. Sometimes it is leading to the theft 777 of personal or financial information. 778 Over the last few years, they have begun to impact nearly 779 everyone in eastern Washington and across the country and are 780 expected to become even worse in coming years. I want to applaud 781 the chairman, Chairman Pallone, Ranking Member Walden, and the 782 rest of the members and staff for this important work, bipartisan 783 work, that went into the legislation before us. 784 By cracking down on bad robocalls and requiring carriers 785 to implement safeguards and services for their consumers, it is 786 my hope that we can end this dangerous annoyance once and for 787 I urge support for this important bill, and I yield back the balance of my time. 788 789 The Chairman. Thank you. Anyone else want to speak on this

manager's amendment, on either side? All right.

790

If there is

791	no further debate, we will proceed to a vote on the manager's
792	amendment.
793	All those in favor of the amendment will signify by saying
794	aye.
795	All those opposed will signify by saying no.
796	In the opinion of the chair, the ayes have it. The amendment
797	is agreed to.
798	Are there any further amendments to this bill? Dr. Burgess
799	is recognized.
800	Mr. Burgess. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I have an amendment
801	to offer on behalf of Mrs. Dingell and myself. It is amendment
802	number XML-44.
803	The Chairman. Does the clerk have the amendment?
804	The Clerk. Yes.
805	The Chairman. The clerk will report the amendment.
806	The Clerk. Amendment to H.R. 3375 offered by Mr. Burgess
807	of Texas.
808	[The Amendment offered by Mr. Burgess follows:]
809	
810	**************************************

The Chairman. Without objection, the reading of the amendment will be dispensed with, and the gentleman from Texas is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. Burgess. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I wanted to offer this amendment with Representative Dingell to establish a hospital robocall protection group at the Federal Communications Commission. This group will issue best practices to help combat unlawful robocalls made to hospitals as well as those made spoofing a legitimate hospital phone number.

Robocalls have moved beyond a simple nuisance.

Sophisticated actors are now using them to trick people into providing sensitive information by posing as legitimate organizations. When this spoofing happens to hospitals, patients have no reason to believe that there is a fraudulent actor on the other line leading them to reveal sensitive health data and financial information.

This activity threatens the integrity of real health-related phone calls and jeopardizes the relationship between the patient and their provider. Even more challenging than explaining to consumers that calls from your phone number are not always from your organization is the response time required.

According to testimony by Dave Summitt of the H. Lee Moffitt Cancer Center, in a 90-day period they received over 6,600 external calls identified as a Moffitt internal phone number requiring 65 hours of response time. This is time that could

have been used to support the hospital rather than respond to fraudulent calls.

The hospital robocall protection group will assist hospitals to combat these fraudulent robocalls, so that they may focus on serving patients. A patient should not have to worry about whether or not they are speaking with their real provider when discussing sensitive health information, and providers should not have to deal with the disruptive false claims.

I urge support of this amendment and look forward to the best practices being put forward by the hospital robocall protection group. And I want to thank Representative Dingell for being willing to offer this amendment with me. And I yield back.

The Chairman. Okay. Is there any other member who wants to address -- yes, the gentlewoman from Florida.

Ms. Castor. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. It is vitally important that we tackle the bad robocall problem. Folks back home know that this is an issue, so this is very important, that we are acting in a bipartisan way to do this. You cannot even trust the number that is calling you anymore.

Robocalls have gotten so bad that people are just refusing to pick up the phone, right? You all are -- you know it. It has happened to me. It has happened to everyone I know. The spoofing and the neighborhood spoofing also is preying on innocent people. In the Tampa area alone, in 2018, there were 450 million

robocalls. In Florida, just last month or just in April of this year, 360 million robocalls. That is not right. You know, consumers are paying for telephone services, so they should be able to use them as intended.

I want to thank Congressman Burgess and Congresswoman

Dingell for bringing this amendment to help our hospitals back
home, because in April the chief information security officer

from the H. Lee Moffitt Cancer and Research Institute in my
hometown of Tampa testified in front of the Communications and
Technology Subcommittee. He said that these unsolicited,
fraudulent, and malicious robocalls are being directed to cancer
patients in the hospital. And they are often spoofed as coming
from the hospital to cancer patients.

He also testified that hospital personnel working on cancer research and taking care of patients are receiving thousands of calls that make it look like they are coming from inside the cancer institute as well.

In that 90-day period, Moffitt Cancer Center received over 6,500 external calls identified as a Moffitt internal number, consuming enormous amounts of time that should be spent on taking care of cancer patients and the mission there. These robocalls are damaging, they are costly, they are time-consuming. So I want to thank Chairman Pallone and Ranking Member Walden, and all of the members on this committee, for working on this bipartisan legislation, which I am proud to co-sponsor. I urge

886 that we pass this amendment, we get this bill to the House floor 887 as quickly as possible. 888 Thank you, and I yield back. 889 The Chairman. Thank you, Ms. Castor. 890 Anyone on the Republican side want to speak on Dr. Burgess' 891 amendment? Anybody at all on either side? Oh, I am sorry. Mrs. 892 Dingell. 893 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mrs. Dingell. I am proud to 894 co-sponsor this with my colleague, Mr. Burgess. I know he talked 895 about the bill, but this bipartisan amendment protecting patients 896 and doctors from Unlawful Robocalls Act is going to help hospitals 897 and patients combat the constant wave of robocalls that inundate 898 patients' cell phones and hospital phone lines. And unless you have been sitting in a hospital or been with 899 900 a patient, you don't know how bad it is. It is something, and 901 as we are all going to talk about a lot today, we live with, but 902 that relentless ringing of our phones prevents us from using them in the way they are intended to be used to talk. 903 904 Patients become afraid to answer the phones. Hospitals and 905 patients are not immune, and in situations where seconds could 906 make the difference between life and death, there can't be a delay 907 due to robocallers or people afraid to answer the phone. This amendment will address that issue and complement the 908 909 work the underlying bill does to mitigate robocalls across the 910 It would put the FCC/FTC voice service providers' board.

911	companies focused on mitigating robocalls and hospitals together
912	to come up with solutions to this growing problem.
913	So this amendment follows in the bipartisan path we have
914	traveled with for the Stopping Bad Robocalls Act, and I urge my
915	colleagues to adopt this amendment and support the underlying
916	bill and yield back the balance of my time.
917	The Chairman. Thank you. Does any other member seek
918	recognition on this amendment? All right.
919	Then we are going to proceed to vote on the amendment. And,
920	again, this is Dr. Burgess' amendment.
921	All those in favor of the amendment will signify by saying
922	aye.
923	All those opposed will say no.
924	In the opinion of the chair, the ayes have it, and the
925	amendment is agreed to.
926	Are there any further amendments to this robocall bill?
927	No? We thought there was one more. No?
928	Mr. Flores. Mr. Chairman?
929	The Chairman. Mr. Flores is recognized.
930	Mr. Flores. I have an amendment at the desk.
931	The Chairman. Does it have a number?
932	Mr. Flores. It is from Mr. McNerney and myself.
933	The Chairman. Oh, you have it. Okay. Do you want to
934	report the amendment for us?
935	The Clerk. Amendment to H.R. 3375 offered by Mr. Flores
	NEAL D. ODOGG

The Chairman. Without objection, the reading of the amendment will be dispensed with, and the gentleman, Mr. Flores, is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. Flores. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Let me start by thanking you and Chairman Doyle and Republican leaders Walden and Latta for holding this markup and considering H.R. 3375 on a bipartisan basis.

Everyone on this committee hears the frustration from their constituents about the scourge of illegal robocalls, and rightfully so. Consumers are estimated to receive 2.4 billion unwanted calls per month. Almost half of all cell phone calls over the next year will come from scammers that seek to illegally defraud everyday Americans. This is why I am glad to co-sponsor this bill under consideration today, the Stopping Bad Robocalls Act.

In terms of my amendment, I am offering this amendment with Representative McNerney of California to increase penalties for perpetrators of illegal robocall operations. Make no mistake: these scammers intentionally seek to deceive consumers into handing over sensitive information to cause harm on everyday Americans.

With our amendment, we will raise penalties for violations with intent from \$1,500 per violation to \$10,000 per violation, which is a more appropriate and robust penalty fit for the crime, and a monetary level to deter potential operators from entering

into this illicit industry, which victimizes vulnerable Americans and harasses everybody.

This bill, with the inclusion of our amendment, represents a strong step forward in giving enforcement agencies additional tools and authority to fight and ultimately end robocalls and allow consumers or constituents to once again trust their phone when it rings.

I am glad to support this bipartisan bill, which includes a combination of priorities from both sides of the aisle and will ultimately give consumers the tools they need at no additional cost, and it will establish regulatory flexibility for the FCC to continue fighting illegal robocall operations.

I also want to thank Mr. McNerney for working with me on this and other important bipartisan issues in this committee.

I urge my colleagues to support my amendment and to support the bill, and I yield back the balance of my time.

The Chairman. I thank the gentleman. Mr. McNerney?

Mr. McNerney. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I want to thank

Mr. Flores for working with me on this amendment. Basically,

we support the underlying bill, but we want to add a little more

disincentive to robocall producers. Today Californians and

Americans are seeing more unwanted robocalls than ever before.

This is something I do hear about from my constituents quite

often. More than 40 percent of these calls are illegal scams,

and each scam on average costs a victim \$430. So unwanted

robocalls are annoying. They put consumers at risk. We are long overdue for putting a stop to them.

From the perspective of consumers, it often seems the best solution is just to not pick up the phone, and that threatens to make our phone system obsolete. Our amendment will create disincentives for the most egregious violators of the law.

Creating these disincentives is critical for protecting consumers and stopping these abusive practices. That is why I am proud to offer this amendment with my colleague, Mr. Flores. I urge my colleagues to support this bipartisan amendment and the underlying bipartisan bill. I yield back.

The Chairman. I thank the gentleman. Anyone else want to speak on the Flores amendment on the Republican side? Go to Mr. Sarbanes.

Mr. Sarbanes. Just very briefly, I support the amendment. This is really actually a critical amendment because a lot of these scam artists see the cost of doing this as just a cost of doing business. They are always trying to stay one step ahead of the law. They set up one operation; it gets shut down; they go to another operation.

So if you increase the penalty on them, they may actually change their behavior in a meaningful way. So I want to thank my colleagues for the amendment, and I yield back.

The Chairman. Thank you, Mr. Sarbanes. Anyone else on the Flores amendment? Okay.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1015	If not, we will proceed to a vote on the Flores amendment.
1016	All those in favor will signify by saying aye.
1017	All those opposed will say no.
1018	In the opinion of the chair, the ayes have it, and the Flores
1019	amendment is agreed to.
1020	I think that is the last one. Are there any other amendments
1021	on this robocall bill? All right. Then we will proceed yes,
1022	the gentlewoman from California?
1023	Ms. Eshoo. Mr. Chairman, I can't wait for this to pass.
1024	And when it does, I think we are going to hear applause from
1025	across America. So bravo to everyone involved in this. It is
1026	probably going to emerge as the most popular bill that we pass,
1027	but that speaks to the level of harassment and serious scamming
1028	that is taking place in our country.
1029	So let's go. Let's pass it. Let's get it to the floor.
1030	And I think that a lot of people are going to be very happy about
1031	this.
1032	The Chairman. I thank the gentlewoman. I agree. I just
1033	got a call from Miami, which I didn't answer. I mean, unless
1034	it is, you know, Mario, Lincoln, or, you know, one of the
1035	representatives, so I didn't answer it. I suspect it is a
1036	robocall. In any case
1037	Ms. Eshoo. Raise the penalty.
1038	The Chairman. Right. Anyone else? If not, we are going
1039	to proceed to a vote. Okay.
	NEAL D. ODOGO

1040	The question now occurs on favorably reporting H.R. 3375,
1041	as amended, to the House.
1042	All those in favor of reporting H.R. 3375, as amended, will
1043	signify by saying aye.
1044	All those opposed will signify by saying no.
1045	In the opinion of the chair, the ayes have it.
1046	Oh, we want to have a recorded vote? Okay. So we do want
1047	to have a recorded vote. I guess the Democrats want to have a
1048	recorded vote. And you don't care, right?
1049	So a recorded vote is ordered. Those in favor of reporting
1050	H.R. 3375, as amended, to the House will say aye, and those opposed
1051	will say no. And the clerk shall call the roll.
1052	The Clerk. Mr. Rush.
1053	Mr. Rush. Aye.
1054	The Clerk. Mr. Rush votes aye.
1055	Ms. Eshoo.
1056	Ms. Eshoo. Aye.
1057	The Clerk. Ms. Eshoo votes aye.
1058	Mr. Engel.
1059	[No response.]
1060	The Clerk. Ms. DeGette.
1061	Ms. DeGette. Aye.
1062	The Clerk. Ms. DeGette votes aye.
1063	Mr. Doyle.
1064	Mr. Doyle. Yes.
	NEAL D. CDOSS

1065	The Clerk. Mr. Doyle votes aye.
1066	Ms. Schakowsky.
1067	[No response.]
1068	The Clerk. Mr. Butterfield.
1069	Mr. Butterfield. Aye.
1070	The Clerk. Mr. Butterfield votes aye.
1071	Ms. Matsui.
1072	Ms. Matsui. Aye.
1073	The Clerk. Ms. Matsui votes aye.
1074	Ms. Castor.
1075	Ms. Castor. Aye.
1076	The Clerk. Ms. Castor votes aye.
1077	Mr. Sarbanes.
1078	Mr. Sarbanes. I vote for the Stopping Bad Robocalls Act.
1079	The Clerk. Mr. Sarbanes votes aye.
1080	Mr. McNerney.
1081	Mr. McNerney. Aye.
1082	The Clerk. Mr. McNerney, aye.
1083	Mr. Welch.
1084	Mr. Welch. Aye.
1085	The Clerk. Mr. Welch, aye.
1086	Mr. Lujan.
1087	<u>Mr. Lujan.</u> Aye.
1088	The Clerk. Mr. Lujan, aye.
1089	Mr. Tonko.
	NEAL R. GROSS

1090	[No response.]
1091	The Clerk. Ms. Clarke.
1092	[No response.]
1093	The Clerk. Mr. Loebsack.
1094	Mr. Loebsack. Aye.
1095	The Clerk. Mr. Loebsack, aye.
1096	Mr. Schrader.
1097	[No response.]
1098	The Clerk. Mr. Kennedy.
1099	Mr. Kennedy. Aye.
1100	The Clerk. Mr. Kennedy, aye.
1101	Mr. Cardenas.
1102	[No response.]
1103	The Clerk. Mr. Ruiz.
1104	Mr. Ruiz. Aye.
1105	The Clerk. Mr. Ruiz votes aye.
1106	Mr. Peters.
1107	Mr. Peters. Aye.
1108	The Clerk. Mr. Peters, aye.
1109	Mrs. Dingell.
1110	Mrs. Dingell. Aye.
1111	The Clerk. Mrs. Dingell, aye.
1112	Mr. Veasey.
1113	Mr. Veasey. Aye.
1114	The Clerk. Mr. Veasey, aye.

NEAL R. GROSS

1115	Ms. Kuster.
1116	Ms. Kuster. Aye.
1117	The Clerk. Ms. Kuster, aye.
1118	Ms. Kelly.
1119	Ms. Kelly. Aye.
1120	The Clerk. Ms. Kelly, aye.
1121	Ms. Barragan.
1122	[No response.]
1123	The Clerk. Mr. McEachin.
1124	Mr. McEachin. Aye.
1125	The Clerk. Mr. McEachin, aye.
1126	Ms. Blunt Rochester.
1127	Ms. Blunt Rochester. Aye.
1128	The Clerk. Ms. Blunt Rochester, aye.
1129	Mr. Soto.
1130	Mr. Soto. Aye.
1131	The Clerk. Mr. Soto, aye.
1132	Mr. O'Halleran.
1133	Mr. O'Halleran. Aye.
1134	The Clerk. Mr. O'Halleran, aye.
1135	Mr. Walsh. Mr. Walsh. I mean, sorry, Mr. Walden. I
1136	apologize.
1137	Mr. Walden. Aye.
1138	The Clerk. Mr. Walden, aye.
1139	Mr. Upton.
	1171 7 07000

1140	Mr. Upton. Aye.
1141	The Clerk. Mr. Upton, aye.
1142	Mr. Shimkus.
1143	[No response.]
1144	The Clerk. Mr. Burgess.
1145	Mr. Burgess. Aye.
1146	The Clerk. Mr. Burgess, aye.
1147	Mr. Scalise.
1148	[No response.]
1149	The Clerk. Mr. Latta.
1150	Mr. Latta. Aye.
1151	The Clerk. Mr. Latta, aye.
1152	Mrs. Rodgers.
1153	Mrs. Rodgers. Aye.
1154	The Clerk. Mrs. Rodgers, aye.
1155	Mr. Guthrie.
1156	Mr. Guthrie. Aye.
1157	The Clerk. Mr. Guthrie, aye.
1158	Mr. Olson.
1159	Mr. Olson. Aye.
1160	The Clerk. Mr. Olson, aye.
1161	Mr. McKinley.
1162	Mr. McKinley. Aye.
1163	The Clerk. Mr. McKinley, aye.
1164	Mr. Kinzinger.

1165	[No response.]
1166	The Clerk. Mr. Griffith.
1167	Mr. Griffith. Aye.
1168	The Clerk. Mr. Griffith, aye.
1169	Mr. Bilirakis.
1170	[No response.]
1171	The Clerk. Mr. Johnson.
1172	Mr. Johnson. Aye.
1173	The Clerk. Mr. Johnson, aye.
1174	Mr. Long.
1175	Mr. Long. Aye.
1176	The Clerk. Mr. Long, aye.
1177	Mr. Bucshon.
1178	Mr. Bucshon. Aye.
1179	The Clerk. Mr. Bucshon, aye.
1180	Mr. Flores.
1181	Mr. Flores. Aye.
1182	The Clerk. Mr. Flores, aye.
1183	Mrs. Brooks.
1184	Mrs. Brooks. Aye.
1185	The Clerk. Mrs. Brooks, aye.
1186	Mr. Mullin.
1187	[No response.]
1188	The Clerk. Mr. Hudson.
1189	[No response.]

1190	The Clerk. Mr. Walberg.
1191	Mr. Walberg. Aye.
1192	The Clerk. Mr. Walberg, aye.
1193	Mr. Carter.
1194	Mr. Carter. Aye.
1195	The Clerk. Mr. Carter votes aye.
1196	Mr. Duncan.
1197	Mr. Duncan. Aye.
1198	The Clerk. Mr. Duncan, aye.
1199	Mr. Gianforte.
1200	Mr. Gianforte. Aye.
1201	The Clerk. Mr. Gianforte, aye.
1202	Mr. Pallone.
1203	The Chairman. Aye.
1204	The Clerk. Mr. Pallone votes aye.
1205	The Chairman. Are there any members who have not been
1206	recorded? We will wait oh, Ms. Schakowsky.
1207	Ms. Schakowsky. Aye.
1208	The Clerk. Ms. Schakowsky votes aye.
1209	The Chairman. Mr. Schrader.
1210	Mr. Schrader. Votes aye.
1211	The Clerk. Mr. Schrader votes aye.
1212	The Chairman. Mr. Cardenas.
1213	Mr. Cardenas. Aye.
1214	The Clerk. Mr. Cardenas, aye.
	NEAL R. GROSS

1215	The Chairman. Mr. Tonko.
1216	Mr. Tonko. Votes aye.
1217	The Clerk. Mr. Tonko, aye.
1218	The Chairman. Mr. Shimkus.
1219	Mr. Shimkus. I thought I had voted.
1220	The Clerk. Not recorded, Mr. Chairman.
1221	The Chairman. You are not.
1222	Mr. Shimkus. Aye.
1223	The Chairman. Thank you.
1224	We will wait for Mr. Scalise. Thank you.
1225	Anybody else who is not recorded while we wait?
1226	Mr. Scalise.
1227	Mr. Scalise. Yes.
1228	The Chairman. Mr. Scalise votes aye.
1229	The Clerk. Mr. Scalise, aye.
1230	The Chairman. Thank you. Anyone else who is not recorded
1231	or who we expect and we should wait for? No? All right.
1232	Okay. The clerk will report the tally.
1233	The Clerk. Yes, sir. You have the vote, Mr. Chairman?
1234	The Chairman. Yes.
1235	The Clerk. Mr. Chairman, on that vote the yeas were 47,
1236	the nays were 0.
1237	The Chairman. Okay. Thank you. The vote is 47 ayes to
1238	0 nos, and so H.R. 3375, as amended, is reported to the full House.
1239	All members shall have 2 additional days in which to file
	NEAL R. GROSS

1240	supplemental additional minority and dissenting views, and the
1241	chair does intend to file the report for the bill with the House
1242	on Friday. Excuse me?
1243	The Clerk. Mr. Chairman, I apologize. Apparently, the
1244	vote was 49 to 0.
1245	The Chairman. All right. So let's change that.
1246	The Clerk. Make that 49.
1247	The Chairman. We will vacate that previous vote, however
1248	that is accomplished, and we will change it to say that the
1249	recorded vote on H.R. 3375, as amended, is in fact 49 ayes to
1250	0 noes. And, again, the bill H.R. 3375, as amended, is reported
1251	to the House with that changed vote. And, again, I said all
1252	members shall have 2 additional days, and the chair intends to
1253	file the report with the House on Friday, this Friday.
1254	So now we are going to move to our second bill. The chair
1255	calls up H.R. 2211, the Stop Tip-Overs of Unstable, Risky Dressers
1256	on Youth Act, or STURDY Act, as forwarded by the Subcommittee
1257	on Consumer Protections and Commerce on July 10. These are the
1258	consumer protection bills, and the clerk will report the title
1259	of the bill.
1260	Can the committee come to order? And, please, both members
1261	and our audience, please try to limit your remarks, so we can
1262	hear each other.
1263	The clerk will report the Bill H.R. 2211, the STURDY Act.
	1

H.R. 2211, a bill to require the Consumer Product

The Clerk.

1269	The Chairman. Without objection, the first reading of the
1270	bill will be dispensed with, and the bill is now considered as
1271	read, and it is open to amendment at any point. Are there any
1272	members seeking recognition to offer an amendment?
1273	Mrs. Rodgers. Mr. Chairman, I have a bipartisan amendment
1274	at the desk.
1275	The Chairman. Mrs. Rodgers is recognized. What is your
1276	amendment labeled?
1277	Mrs. Rodgers. SLW-0034.
1278	The Chairman. The clerk has that?
1279	The Clerk. Yes, sir.
1280	The Chairman. All right. The clerk will report the
1281	amendment.
1282	The Clerk. Amendment to the committee print to H.R. 2211
1283	offered by Mrs. Rodgers. Page 2, line 9
1284	[The Amendment offered by Mrs. Rodgers follows:]
1285	
1286	**************************************

1287 The Chairman. Without objection, the reading of the 1288 amendment by Mrs. Rodgers will be dispensed with, and the 1289 gentlewoman is recognized for 5 minutes. 1290 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I offer this Mrs. Rodgers. 1291 bipartisan amendment with my colleague and chair of the 1292 Subcommittee on Consumer Protection and Commerce, Representative 1293 Schakowsky. This amendment makes two improvements to the underlying 1294 1295 bill. First, the amendment further aligns the definition of 1296 clothing units, clothing storage units, to reflect the ASTM 1297 definition, and clarifies that the standard coverings -- the 1298 standard covers freestanding furniture. 1299 Second, the amendment clarifies that the tests to be 1300 developed by CPSC are aligned with the CDC growth chart for 1301 6-year-olds. 1302 We received testimony through this process highlighting the importance of clarity for the agency in developing the standards, 1303 1304 as well as a growing consensus around setting 60 pounds as the 1305 That includes most 6-year-olds susceptible to 1306 tip-over-related incidences. 1307 I am pleased to offer and support this amendment. 1308 the chair for continuing to work with me on this issue. 1309 support for this amendment and yield back. 1310 Thank you, Mrs. Rodgers. The Chairman. And, again, I

appreciate your and Ms. Schakowsky's work on these bills that

1312 protect children. 1313 Ms. Schakowsky, the ranking -- I mean, Chairman Schakowsky 1314 is recognized for 5 minutes. 1315 Ms. Schakowsky. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I appreciate 1316 Ranking Member Rodgers working with me on this amendment, which 1317 further clarifies the intent of this bill and addresses concerns 1318 we have, as she has stated. We have heard about the 1319 implementation and compliance. 1320 This amendment adopts the ASTM -- A-S-T-M -- standard, which 1321 is really the industry standard -- definition of clothing storage 1322 units and includes additional clarity that the Consumer Product 1323 Safety Commission's safety rule is intended to address tip-over 1324 dangers from freestanding furniture. It further provides that the testing weight that these 1325 1326 products must withstand is 60 pounds, which turns out to be the 1327 average weight of a 6-year-old child. There have been multiple fatalities of children over 5, and it makes no sense to only test 1328 1329 up to 5 years old. And because some recent studies suggest that

And so I just hope this clarification addresses the concerns that have been raised by the industry and consumers, and, once again, I thank the ranking member for working with me in good faith to resolve these problems. And I yield back.

children are getting heavier, it allows for a higher weight to

be tested if CDC updates its clinical growth charts.

The Chairman. I thank the chairwoman. Are there any

1330

1331

1332

1333

1334

1335

	ıl
1337	members seeking recognition to speak on the Rodgers amendment,
1338	on either side? No? All right.
1339	So if there is no further debate, we will proceed to a vote
1340	on the Rodgers amendment.
1341	All those in favor of the amendment will signify by saying
1342	aye.
1343	All those opposed will say no.
1344	In the opinion of the chair, the ayes have it. The amendment
1345	is agreed to.
1346	Are there any further amendments to this bill? Seeing none,
1347	the question now occurs on favorably reporting H.R. 2211, as
1348	amended, to the House.
1349	All those in favor of reporting the bill, as amended, will
1350	signify by saying aye.
1351	All those opposed will say no.
1352	In the opinion of the chair, the ayes have it, and H.R. 2211,
1353	as amended, is reported to the full House.
1354	The next bill this is in the series coming from the
1355	Consumer Protection Subcommittee. The next one is H.R. 3172,
1356	the Safe Sleep for Babies Act of 2019, as reported by the
1357	Subcommittee on Consumer Protection and Commerce on July 10.
1358	And the clerk will report the title of the bill.
1359	The Clerk. Committee print to H.R. 3172, a bill to prohibit
1360	the manufacture for sale, offer for sale, distribution in commerce
1361	
	NEAL R. GROSS

1362 [The Bill H.R. 3172 follows:]
1363

1364

| *********INSERT 7*******

The Chairman. Without objection, the first reading of the bill will be dispensed with, and the bill is now considered as read and open for amendment. Are there any members who seek -- oh, Mr. Cardenas wants to strike the last word. The gentleman is recognized for that purpose.

Mr. Cardenas. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Appreciate the opportunity. Last month I introduced H.R. 3172, the Safe Sleep for Babies Act, which bans the sale of inclined sleep products such as the Fisher-Price Rock 'n Play. I introduced this bill because babies have been dying and that is unnecessary. It has been going on since 2009. At least 50 babies have died from these products. These deaths were avoidable.

If companies had decided the safety and lives of babies were more important than profits, we might not be talking about this bill right now. If companies had listened to safe sleep recommendations from experts like the American Academy of Pediatrics, or NIH, or CDC, this product would not be available to the public.

There have been a few recalls of inclined sleep products and accessories since I first spoke on the topic of the dangers of inclined sleepers just this past April. Fisher-Price recalled 4.7 million of its Rock 'n Play sleepers, and Kids II recalled 694,000 of their inclined sleepers. And I am glad that some companies have finally stepped up and are taking action, and I am glad the Consumer Product Safety Commission has finally acted

1390 to assist with these recalls. But our work here isn't done. 1391 Inclined sleepers, like the Baby Delight, Evenflo, and Hiccapop 1392 are still on the store shelves. 1393 I urge CPSC to get these other inclined sleep products off 1394 Otherwise, CPSC is sending the message that those 1395 products are safe, and that is why they remain on store shelves 1396 while only some have been recalled, because that is not the case 1397 at all. 1398 When grandparents like me walk into a store looking to buy 1399 something to spoil our grandbabies or when people are buying baby 1400 shower gifts online, they assume that baby products are safe and 1401 can be trusted. They shouldn't have to worry that they might 1402 be buying something that could hurt or even kill the child. We need to help provide that certainty to Americans, and 1403 1404 that is why we need this bill to remove the dangerous products 1405 off the shelves. I thank the chair and ranking member for holding this markup 1406 and bringing this important bill and others before the committee. 1407 1408 And I thank my colleagues for their support. colleagues can feel proud that we are taking much-needed action 1409 1410 to protect babies from these kinds of products and to keep babies 1411 and families safe. 1412 With that, I yield back. 1413 I thank the gentleman. And, again, I The Chairman.

appreciate your efforts in sponsoring this bill.

1414

You know, I

1415	have to be honest, I think that, you know, when you what is
1416	it, when we go to the pearly gates and you are going to have to
1417	say that you sponsored this bill, and then you go to heaven.
1418	I think that is how it works. Not that I know, but in any case.
1419	Does any other member want to strike the last word on this
1420	bill? Okay. Anybody want to offer an amendment to the
1421	legislation? Okay. We don't have any amendments. The question
1422	now occurs on favorably reporting H.R. 3172, as amended, to the
1423	House.
1424	All those in favor of reporting H.R. 3172, as amended
1425	well, it is not amended; is it? Oh, it is amended from the
1426	subcommittee. Okay.
1427	All those in favor of reporting H.R. 3172, as amended, to
1428	the House will signify by saying aye.
1429	All those opposed will say no.
1430	In the opinion of the chair, the ayes have it, and H.R. 3172,
1431	as amended, is reported to the House.
1432	The next bill we have is H.R. 3170, the Safe Cribs Act of
1433	2019, as forwarded by the Subcommittee on Consumer Products and
1434	Commerce on July 10. The clerk will report the title of the bill.
1435	The Clerk. Committee print to H.R. 3170, a bill to prohibit
1436	the manufacture for sale, offer for sale
1437	[The Bill H.R. 3170 follows:]
1438	
1439	********************
	i e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e

1440 Without objection, the first reading of the The Chairman. 1441 bill will be dispensed with, and the bill is now considered as 1442 read and open for amendment. Is this one where we have 1443 amendments? No. All right. Then who would like to speak? Ms. 1444 Schakowsky -- you are the sponsor -- is recognized. 1445 Ms. Schakowsky. Just very, very briefly. This is the 1446 second bill that will make cribs safer for children. 1447 thank Representative Cardenas for his legislation that would 1448 prohibit the sale, et cetera, of inclined sleepers. 1449 And this one would eliminate baby crib bumpers. 1450 a lot of people think they are soft and cozy and makes the bed 1451 more comfortable for children. Actually, children have died 1452 because of those. So this would prohibit the sale/distribution 1453 in commerce of these crib bumpers. And I hope we can have the 1454 support on another bill that saves lives. 1455 Thank you. I yield back. Would anyone like 1456 The Chairman. I thank the gentlewoman. 1457 to speak on this on the Republican side? If not, anybody else 1458 want to address this? All right. Then we are going to move to 1459 a vote. 1460 The question now occurs on favorably reporting H.R. 3170, 1461 as amended, to the House. 1462 All those in favor of reporting the bill, as amended, will 1463 signify by saying aye.

All those opposed will say no.

In the opinion of the chair, the ayes have it, and H.R. 3170,
as amended, is reported to the full House.
Next, the chair calls up H.R. 1618, the Nicholas and Zachary
Burt Carbon Monoxide Poisoning Prevention Act of 2019, again,
as forwarded by the Subcommittee on Consumer Protection and
Commerce on July 10. And clerk will report the title of the bill.
The Clerk. Committee print to H.R. 1618, a bill to encourage
states to require the installation of residential carbon monoxide
[The Bill H.R. 1618 follows:]

Without objection, the first reading of the

bill will be dispensed with, and the bill is now considered as 1478 1479 read and open to amendment. Are we expecting amendments? 1480 No amendments are expected. 1481 Would any sponsor -- yes, Ms. Kuster is recognized for 5 1482 minutes. 1483 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I move to strike the Ms. Kuster. 1484 last word. 1485 The gentlewoman is recognized. The Chairman. 1486 Thank you, Chairman Pallone, for including my Ms. Kuster. 1487 legislation as part of this markup. As a mother and an aunt, 1488 I know there is nothing more important than keeping our children 1489 and loved ones safe. From a young age, we tell children to look both ways before crossing the street, to wear a helmet while riding 1490 1491 a bike or skiing, and to avoid talking to strangers. 1492 We go to great lengths to ensure that we protect our children 1493 from the dangers we can see, but there are some threats to their 1494 safety and well-being that can easily go undetected. Carbon 1495 monoxide poison is a stealthy killer. You cannot see it, smell 1496 it, or taste it. This deadly gas claims the lives of over 400 1497 Americans each year and results in over 20,000 emergency room 1498 visits. 1499 Thankfully, we can keep children and our loved ones safe 1500 from carbon monoxide poisoning through education and by

installing alarms in public places and homes. My bipartisan

1501

1477

The Chairman.

legislation, which I was proud to introduce with my friend, Buddy Carter, does just that by creating a new grant program at the Consumer Product Safety Commission to support the installation of carbon monoxide alarms in low-income and elderly housing, provide training for firefighters to learn how to install alarms, and invest in educational programs to raise public awareness about the dangers of carbon monoxide.

This legislation also incentivizes states to strengthen their own laws and require the installation of alarms in educational and child care facilities, lodging establishments, and other high-risk public places. This legislation will help save lives, and I urge my colleagues to support it. And I yield back.

The Chairman. I thank the gentlewoman from New Hampshire.

The gentleman from Georgia is recognized.

Mr. Carter. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I move to strike the last word. Mr. Chairman, I rise in support of H.R. 1618, the Nicholas and Zachary Burt Carbon Monoxide Poisoning Prevention Act that was introduced by my friend from New Hampshire, Ms. Kuster.

This legislation comes in response to a growing number of carbon monoxide poisoning and deaths across the country. Each year nearly 500 people die from carbon monoxide poisoning for a number of reasons. For instance, we see a rise in carbon

1527 monoxide poisonings in the wintertime when many people use 1528 alternative methods to heat their homes. 1529 Earlier this year a family in my district was rushed to the 1530 hospital with carbon monoxide poisoning that is similar to these 1531 This legislation would help to chip away at those 1532 numbers. It will create a grant program that will assist states 1533 in helping to install and monitor carbon monoxide detection 1534 systems that will help communities such as the elderly. 1535 This is a simple solution to a difficult problem. I support 1536 the underlying bill, and I urge my colleagues to do the same. 1537 And I yield back. 1538 The Chairman. I thank the gentleman from Georgia. 1539 Any other member want to be recognized on this legislation? 1540 We have no amendments, so we will go right to the 1541 bill being reported. 1542 The question now occurs on favorably reporting H.R. 1618, 1543 as amended, to the House. 1544 All those in favor of reporting H.R. 1618, as amended, will signify by saying aye. 1545 1546 All those opposed will signify by saying no. 1547 In the opinion of the chair, the ayes have it. And as a 1548 consequence, H.R. 1618, as amended, is reported to the full house. 1549 The next bill, the chair calls up H.R. 806, the Portable 1550 Fuel Container Safety Act of 2019, again as forwarded by the

Subcommittee on Consumer Protection and Commerce on July 10. And

1552	the clerk will report the title of the bill.
1553	The Clerk. Committee print on H.R. 806, a bill to require
1554	compliant flame mitigation devices to be used on
1555	[The Bill H.R. 806 follows:]
1556	
1557	**************************************

1558	The Chairman. Without objection, the first reading of the
1559	bill will be dispensed with. The bill is now considered as read.
1560	Do we expect any amendments on this? No? Do sponsors nobody
1561	wants to speak? All right. Beautiful. Let's go to the vote.
1562	The question now occurs on favorably reporting H.R. 806,
1563	as amended, to the House.
1564	All those in favor of reporting H.R. 806, as amended, will
1565	signify by saying aye.
1566	All those opposed will say no.
1567	In the opinion of the chair, the ayes have it, and H.R. 806,
1568	as amended, is reported to the full House.
1569	Now we go to H.R. 2647, the Safer Occupancy Furniture
1570	Flammability Act, or SOFFA. The clerk will report the title of
1571	that bill.
1572	The Clerk. H.R. 2647, a bill to adopt a certain California
1573	flammability standard as a federal
1574	[The Bill H.R. 2647 follows:]
1575	
1576	*********INSERT 11*******

1577 Without objection, the first reading of the The Chairman. 1578 bill will be dispensed with. The bill is now considered as read. 1579 Are we expecting amendments? No. Ranking member is recognized 1580 1581 Mr. Walden. I move to strike the last word. 1582 The Chairman. The gentleman is recognized. 1583 Mr. Walden. Chairman Pallone and Chair Schakowsky, thank 1584 you for your work to get this to a bipartisan markup for the 1585 Consumer Protection and Commerce bills. We appreciate that. 1586 While I agree the policy objectives in H.R. 2647 are 1587 important, I do continue to have concerns on our side of the aisle 1588 with the drafting of the legislation. Uniformity is critical 1589 for manufacturers and retailers who are balancing the previous 1590 calls for flame retardant furniture to address fire hazards with 1591 the increasingly unmanageable patchwork of state laws. 1592 The bill sets a state technical bulletin as a federal safety 1593 standard, which is an unprecedented approach to achieve 1594 uniformity. We are still learning about the specific concerns 1595 that have been raised by CPSC about their ability to even enforce 1596 the bill as it is currently drafted. 1597 So I am committed to working with you, our side is, and the 1598 rest of our colleagues, on this bill moving forward. But we hope 1599 we can find a solution before the bill is considered on the House 1600 floor.

The Chairman. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. Walden. Certainly.

The Chairman. I thank the ranking member for raising these issues. I know you share my desire to keep children, firefighters, and others safe from exposure to toxic chemicals, and I commit to working with you as we move to the floor to see if we can address your concerns.

Mr. Walden. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I appreciate that. Finally, Mr. Chairman, if I could, I would just like to recognize, this is the -- for all of the members, this is the final Energy and Commerce markup for Melissa, our counsel on this subcommittee.

[Applause.]

Mr. Walden. She has served as the chief counsel on the Consumer Protection and Commerce Subcommittee the last 2 years. She has been an invaluable member of our team, as anybody who has worked with her knows, for more than 5 years, and in that time has dedicated herself to public policy that addresses real problems for Americans, whether it was paving the way for self-driving vehicles, protecting the privacy and personal data of Americans, or strengthening product safety for consumers. A lot of the work we have put together out of this committee over the last number of years has resulted because of her diligent work in many of these areas.

So while we will certainly miss Melissa, as she embarks on her next adventure, we know her future is bright. We thank you

1627	for your service, not only to this committee but also to this
1628	great country of ours. And so, Melissa, thank you.
1629	[Applause.]
1630	The Chairman. We also want to thank you, Melissa, as well.
1631	Thanks for all that you have done.
1632	No amendments. Any other members who would like to speak
1633	on this bill? Seeing none
1634	Mrs. Rodgers. Mr. Chairman? Mr. Chairman?
1635	The Chairman. Yes. Mrs. Rodgers.
1636	Mrs. Rodgers. I just want to say all the best to Melissa,
1637	too. I mean, she only lasted with me I guess 7 months, and then
1638	she was like, okay, I am out of here.
1639	[Laughter.]
1640	Mr. Walden. Two years with me. How many with you, Fred?
1641	[Laughter.]
1642	Mrs. Rodgers. But she was extraordinary, and I just want
1643	to say job well done. You shepherded through many of these bills
1644	that we just got passed in a bipartisan way, and wish you all
1645	the best. Thank you very much.
1646	The Chairman. Thank you. I see Mr. Latta's hand is up.
1647	Mr. Latta. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Just a point of
1648	personal privilege. I also want to thank Melissa, because I tell
1649	you, in the last Congress, all the different bills that she worked
1650	on in the subcommittee with me, but also just to point out on
1651	the autonomous vehicle legislation, the subcommittee had over

1652 300 meetings that they worked on, and working with both sides 1653 of the aisle. 1654 So I just want to wish her all the best as she goes on to 1655 bigger and brighter things, but we are going to miss you. 1656 Thank you. Anyone else want to comment? 1657 Yes, gentleman, Mr. Griffith. 1658 Mr. Griffith. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I do want to thank 1659 We have worked on this issue for a number of years, 1660 and I appreciate her work on that and her working with me on that 1661 And while I know there are some concerns, I also want 1662 to make sure I thank you, Mr. Chairman, and Ranking Members Walden 1663 and Rodgers, for continuing to work on it, because I am hopeful 1664 that we can get the language worked out. We all have the policy 1665 in agreement, and it is harder to craft than one might expect. 1666 And, with that, I will yield to the gentlelady, if I might, 1667 Mr. Chairman. 1668 Thank you very much, my good partner. 1669 have some additional things to do, but it is good policy and will 1670 certainly take care of it. I really appreciate working with you 1671 and the members of the committee, and also the chairman and the 1672 ranking member, and we will move forward with this and hopefully 1673 get this through. 1674 Thank you. I yield back to you. 1675 Mr. Griffith yields back. Anyone else want The Chairman. 1676 to comment on this bill? If not, we are going to go to move the

1677	bill to the House. The question now occurs on favorably reporting
1678	H.R. 2647 to the House.
1679	All those in favor of reporting the bill to the House will
1680	signify by saying aye.
1681	All those opposed will say no.
1682	In the opinion of the chair the ayes have it and H.R. 2647
1683	is reported to the full House.
1684	Next oh, now we are going to go to bills from the Energy
1685	Subcommittee. The chair calls up H.R. 1315, the Blue Collar to
1686	Green Collar Jobs Development Act of 2019.
1687	[The Bill H.R. 1315 follows:]
1688	
1689	*********INSERT 12******

1690	The Chairman. This is one of the Energy Subcommittee bills
1691	and the clerk will report the title of the bill.
1692	The Clerk. H.R. 1315, a bill to amend Title II of the
1693	Department of Energy Organization Act to reauthorize
1694	The Chairman. Without objection, the first reading of the
1695	bill will be dispensed with. The bill is now considered as read.
1696	Do we have amendments to this?
1697	All right, so we do have an amendment in the nature of a
1698	substitute from Mr. Rush, and I would recognize Mr. Rush to offer
1699	his amendment. What is it labeled?
1700	[The Amendment offered by Mr. Rush follows:]
1701	
1702	**************************************

1703	Mr. Rush. I want to thank you, Mr. Chairman.
1704	The Chairman. The bill is labeled Rush 047. Does the clerk
1705	have that?
1706	The Clerk. Yes, sir.
1707	The Chairman. The clerk will report the amendment.
1708	The Clerk. Amendment in the nature of a substitute to H.R.
1709	1315 offered by Mr. Rush of Illinois.
1710	The Chairman. Without objection, the reading of the
1711	amendment will be dispensed with, and now Mr. Rush is recognized
1712	for 5 minutes in support of his amendment. I mean, yes, in support
1713	of his amendment.
1714	Mr. Rush. I want to thank you, Mr. Chairman. Simply put,
1715	H.R. 1315 is a jobs bill designed to target and train underserved
1716	communities for the energy jobs and careers of the present and
1717	the future.
1718	Mr. Chairman, I, first of all, want to thank Ranking Member
1719	Upton and his fine staff for negotiating in good faith with my
1720	offices over the last few months to bring forth a bill that now
1721	enjoys widespread bipartisan support. And I also acknowledge
1722	the leadership of Mr. Hudson and his staff for working with my
1723	office on this bill, for being the lead Republican co-sponsor
1724	on the bill, both today and in previous Congresses.
1725	Mr. Chairman, the Green and Blue Collar Jobs Act will
1726	establish a comprehensive, nationwide energy sector workforce
1727	development program to improve education and training for jobs
	NEAL D. CDOSS

in energy-related industries. Among other things, it encourages underrepresented groups including religious and ethnic minorities, women, veterans, individuals with disabilities, socioeconomically disadvantaged individuals, unemployed energy workers, and returning citizens to enter into the science, technology, engineering, and mathematics or STEM fields.

The bill also requires DOE to provide direct assistance in the form of financial assistance awards and technical expertise to minority-serving institution, workforce development boards, nonprofits, labor organizations, and apprenticeship programs to help train these targeted candidates. In addition, H.R. 1315 establishes a grant program to provide assistance to these underserved applicants as they go through training in the renewable energy efficiency grid modernization, carbon capture and storage, nuclear cybersecurity, alternative energy, advanced automotive technologies, and fuel cell generation sectors.

Mr. Chairman, this bill is a perfect example of what members of this committee can accomplish when we put politics aside, put our minds together, and we get to work on behalf of the American people. And I want to thank, Mr. Chairman, the minority side, for working with my office in good faith. And with that I yield back.

The Chairman. Would the gentleman yield to me just for -- Mr. Rush. I want to yield to you.

The Chairman. -- a short period of time? I just want to

thank you. I know you are always out front in trying to address these workforce issues. And particularly when we look at jobs for the future, I never think that the government is doing enough to train people.

You know, I always get mad when I see all these companies that will, you know, say, "Oh, well, I can't find an American to do the job, so I have to go overseas," and, you know, they have to certify that there is no American that can do the job. And I know that may be true in certain cases. I am not saying it is never true, but I am always suspect of it because I know there is so many people right here in this country that if they had the proper training would be able to do the jobs that, you know, some of the companies will say they can't find anybody.

And so I think the government has an obligation to try to make a difference for, you know, when we are going into new, innovative fields because this is the committee of innovation and we should do our best to try to prepare people for jobs of the future in this innovative economy that we are always working to create.

So I just want to thank the gentleman and also Mr. Upton and Congressman Hudson for coming together in a bipartisan fashion to draft this important bill.

Mr. Rush. Mr. Chairman, with that I want to yield to my ranking member, my good friend Mr. Upton, the balance of my time.

Mr. Upton. Well, thank you, Mr. Chairman. I want to just

1779 appreciate both sides working together. I know Richard Hudson 1780 is not able to be here today. He is the lead co-sponsor of the 1781 Energy Workforce bill. But I wanted to thank him and you for 1782 working on the manager's amendment. 1783 This amendment, I wanted to let all my colleagues know, 1784 reflects our efforts to ensure that the bill is fully inclusive 1785 of all those who are underrepresented in the energy industry, 1786 and certainly our veterans. The amendment also ensures that the 1787 bill is all of the above, supporting jobs across a wide range 1788 of energy industries. 1789 It is a great bill which I am pleased to co-sponsor myself. 1790 I look forward to working with the majority and the minority 1791 to get it through not only today in full committee, but also on 1792 the House floor and ultimately to the President's desk and signed 1793 And again, appreciate your willingness to work with 1794 us again on an important issue that is dear to our hearts. 1795 I yield back. 1796 The Chairman. Does Mr. Rush yield back? 1797 I yield back the balance of my time, Mr. Chairman. 1798 All right. I guess, is there any further The Chairman. 1799 discussion on Mr. Rush's amendment? 1800 Okay, if not, we will move to a vote on the amendment in 1801 the nature of a substitute introduced by Mr. Rush. 1802 All those in favor of the amendment in the nature of a

follow up on what Chairman Pallone indicated. We really

1803	substitute to H.R. 1315 will signify by saying aye.
1804	All those opposed will say no.
1805	In the opinion of the chair the ayes have it and the amendment
1806	in the nature of a substitute to H.R. 1315 is agreed to.
1807	Are there any other amendments? We are not expecting any,
1808	so we will go to reporting the bill to the House. The question
1809	now occurs on agreeing to the measure H.R. 1315, as amended.
1810	All those in favor of agreeing to H.R. 1315, as amended,
1811	will signify by saying aye.
1812	All those opposed will say no.
1813	In the opinion of the chair the ayes have it and H.R. 1315,
1814	as amended, is reported to the full House.
1815	And now we have another in the series of Energy Subcommittee
1816	bills. The chair calls up H.R. 2665, the Smart Energy and Water
1817	Efficiency Act of 2019, and the clerk will report the title of
1818	the bill.
1819	[The Bill H.R. 2665 follows:]
1820	
1821	**************************************

1822	The Clerk. H.R. 2665, a bill to direct the Secretary of
1823	Energy to establish a smart energy and water efficiency program
1824	and for other purposes.
1825	The Chairman. Without objection, the first reading of the
1826	bill will be dispensed with. The bill is now considered as read.
1827	Expecting any amendments yes, Mr. Griffith is recognized.
1828	Mr. Griffith. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the desk.
1829	[The Amendment offered by Mr. Griffith follows:]
1830	
1831	**************************************

1832 The Chairman. Does the clerk have --1833 The Clerk. Yes, sir. 1834 Mr. Griffith. Griffith, Griff Virginia 30. Got it. 1835 The Clerk. Amendment to H.R. 2665, offered by Mr. Griffith 1836 of Virginia. Page 4, beginning on line 3, strike --1837 The Chairman. Without objection, the reading of the 1838 Griffith amendment will be dispensed with and Mr. Griffith is 1839 recognized for 5 minutes in support of his amendment. 1840 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Griffith. When we were 1841 discussing this bill in subcommittee I realized that there might 1842 be something that we could do to tweak it because I have been 1843 talking to some folks at Virginia Tech who have been working on 1844 pipes and the soil samples around them and new technologies in that regard, and so went and asked Mr. McNerney and he said fine. 1845 1846 And so I want to thank Mr. McNerney and Mr. Kinzinger for 1847 accepting this small change to the underlying bill. crafted with the help of the folks at Virginia Tech, which is 1848 1849 in my district, so that we make sure we are getting things going 1850 They are doing great work in discovering innovative 1851 technology-based solutions to increase the efficiency of our 1852 water and wastewater systems. 1853 And I appreciate the sponsors' and the chairman's support 1854 on this amendment which they think -- it is technical and 1855 It just makes it so that there is more opportunities clarifying.

and so that when the new technologies come along we don't have

1857	to come back in and pass another bill. And with that I yield
1858	back.
1859	The Chairman. I thank the gentleman from Virginia for
1860	offering the amendment. I am pleased to accept it. Is there
1861	any other member who seeks recognition on the Griffith amendment?
1862	All right, then we are going to someone else wants to
1863	speak now?
1864	Mr. McNerney. I want to speak on the underlying bill.
1865	The Chairman. You want to speak on the underlying. All
1866	right, well, let's do the amendment first and then we will
1867	all right, if there is no further debate on the Griffith amendment,
1868	we will proceed to a vote.
1869	All those in favor of the amendment will signify by saying
1870	aye.
1871	All those opposed will say no.
1872	In the opinion of the chair the ayes have it and the Griffith
1873	amendment is agreed to.
1874	Is that the only amendment? All right, then we will go to
1875	the underlying bill and Mr. McNerney wants to strike the last
1876	word on the underlying bill. The gentleman is recognized. Did
1877	I say your name wrong again? I am sorry. All right, let me get
1878	it right. McNerney, right? I keep saying it wrong?
1879	Mr. McNerney. All right, thank you, Mr. Chairman.
1880	The Chairman. It is my New Jersey accent.
1881	Mr. McNerney. It could be.
	NEAL R. GROSS

1882 The Chairman. Maybe.

Mr. McNerney. Well, you know, we are always looking for ways to improve energy efficiency. Water is one of the big opportunities we have. There is a huge nexus between water and energy and anything we can do to increase efficiency with water will save energy, and anything we can do to improve energy will save water costs as well.

So, I thank my colleague Mr. Kinzinger for working with me on this issue and I thank Mr. Griffith for his attempt to approve it, so we are all working together for the same thing. This bill aims to create an innovative water and energy resource management pilot program. Specifically, the bill will direct the Secretary of Energy to create a new federal pilot program to demonstrate innovative technology to increase and improve the efficiency of water, wastewater, and water reuse systems.

It would also support the implementation of automated systems that provide real-time data on energy and water and assist in improving energy and water conservation, water quality, and predictive maintenance of energy and water systems through the use of internet-connected technologies. It is imperative that we update our water infrastructure to ensure a sustainable water future for our country. Folks, we are a long ways from that.

We need a lot of work to do. This bipartisan piece puts us on the right path. I urge my fellow members to support this bill.

And I am going to yield the balance of my time to my colleague,

1907	Mr. Kinzinger.
1908	Mr. Kinzinger. Well, I thank the gentleman. And if you
1909	get something outrageous done to you, then to turn around and
1910	do an outrage like call me Kinzinger, when it is Kinzinger, I
1911	will forgive it, Mr. Mac. So I want to thank my friend, Jerry
1912	Mac, for working with me on this legislation. The bill
1913	would establish a pilot program to demonstrate technology-based
1914	solutions to increase the energy efficiency of water, wastewater,
1915	and water reuse systems, and we were able to work together to
1916	craft this new bill in a way that it would not require any new
1917	funding. So I am proud of this committee's bipartisan approach
1918	to enacting energy efficiency legislation and I certainly hope
1919	it continues.
1920	So again, I urge my colleagues to support this legislation.
1921	I thank my friend Mr. McNerney and with that I yield back to
1922	him.
1923	Mr. McNerney. Well, I already yielded the balance of my
1924	time, so I am going to
1925	The Chairman. Is Mr. McNerney yielding back?
1926	Mr. McNerney yield back to the Chair.
1927	The Chairman. All right. Now, I am going to do this three
1928	times McNerney, McNerney, McNerney, Kinzinger, Kinzinger.
1929	Mr. Walden. Kinzinger.
1930	The Chairman. Kinzinger, like a zing. All right.
1931	Kinzinger, Kinzinger, Kinzinger, and McNerney. All right, I am
	Π

1932	trying.
1933	[Laughter.]
1934	The Chairman. I am sorry.
1935	Anybody else want to speak on this bill?
1936	All right, so the question now occurs on favorably reporting
1937	H.R. 2665, as amended, to the House.
1938	All those in favor of reporting H.R. 2665, as amended, to
1939	the House will signify by saying aye.
1940	All those opposed will say no.
1941	In the opinion of the chair the ayes have it and H.R. 2665,
1942	as amended, is reported to the full House.
1943	We have another energy bill. The chair calls up H.R. 2044,
1944	the Smart Building Acceleration Act.
1945	[The Bill H.R. 2044 follows:]
1946	
1947	*********INSERT 16******

1948 The Chairman. The clerk will report the title of the bill. The Clerk. H.R. 2044, a bill to accelerate small building 1949 1950 development and for other purposes. 1951 Without objection, the first reading of the The Chairman. 1952 bill will be dispensed with and the bill is now considered as 1953 read. We have amendments. 1954 Do you want to strike the last word? 1955 I want to talk on the bill. Mr. Welch. 1956 The Chairman. All right, do we have any amendments? 1957 Okay, no amendments. The gentleman from Vermont is 1958 recognized on the underlying bill. 1959 I thank Chairman Pallone for the recognition. Mr. Welch. 1960 Mr. Chairman, the building sector uses about 40 percent of the 1961 energy in the nation. It has been incredibly challenging to 1962 increase energy efficiency in this sector for two reasons. 1963 First, buildings are designed to stand for decades and 1964 individual owners have very little incentive to make energy 1965 efficiency improvements that yield benefits beyond their 1966 short-term ownership. And then, secondly, builders and building 1967 owners usually do pass the cost of the energy bill onto their 1968 consumers or their tenants, so the owners have little incentive 1969 to make the energy efficiency improvements to reduce costs. 1970 is happening with new technology, monitoring and control systems

buildings that have dramatically reduced energy use and improved

are enabling a transition of the building sector to smart

1971

the quality of service to the occupants.

But despite the benefits of this technology, market awareness of smart buildings and adoption of these technologies is in its very early stages and we have got to do more to accelerate this program. The federal government, incidentally, owns about 400,000 buildings making it the largest landlord in the U.S., and it is uniquely positioned to study these questions and support the transition to more energy-efficient smart buildings. And the purpose of my bill with Mr. Kinzinger is to accelerate that transition by supporting research and by documenting the cost and benefits of emerging technologies in private sector and federal government buildings.

Specifically, our bill requires the Secretary of Energy to conduct a survey of privately-owned smart buildings, evaluate which advanced building technologies in use are most cost-effective, and establish an initiative at DOE to test the benefits of this technology in federal facilities. And the bill will improve the efficiency of our nation's buildings.

I thank Mr. Kinzinger, of course, for his efforts and leadership on this bill and I encourage all of our colleagues to support it. I yield the balance -- I yield back, or I yield to Mr. Kinzinger.

Mr. Kinzinger. Thank you. And I thank the gentleman so much for his time and his work and he is a good friend, and I thank you for your continued work on this and other legislation.

This isn't the first time we have introduced this bill together, but hopefully it will be the last as we would really like to get this over the finish line during this Congress and we have come close a number of times.

As my friend mentioned, this bill would accelerate the adoption of smart building technologies in the private sector and in key federal agencies including the Department of Defense, the Department of Energy to the Department of Veteran Affairs, and the General Services Administration. And even better, this would come at no cost to taxpayers; in fact, long-term savings.

When opportunities come along to promote energy efficiency and innovation at with little or no cost to the Treasury, we cannot let them pass us by. I urge my colleagues to support this legislation and I yield back to the gentleman from Vermont.

The Chairman. Okay, we thank Mr. Welch and Mr. Kinzinger.

I got it right. Mr. Griffith is recognized.

Mr. Griffith. Strike the last word, Mr. Chairman.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I appreciate it. The reason that the chairman thought there might be an amendment is I was working on an amendment that would piggyback on this bill that would, as long as we are working on smart buildings, would also make the buildings smart in a way that would protect so many of our birds, songbirds in particular. Estimates, which is just staggering, estimates are that up to a billion birds a year die flying into buildings. The numbers really are staggering. The

lowest number is a hundred million and it goes up from there depending on which estimates you believe.

I believe that would make it a smart building as well to make sure that when we are building new buildings or we are renovating, substantially, buildings that we put in what is really fairly cheap technology into the window that makes it so that the birds can actually say, "Wait a minute, that is not a place to fly. That is a barrier." And some of the technology may even be energy efficient because there is some ultraviolet things that you can do that humans don't see, but the birds do.

So we are working on language. The other side of the aisle indicated that they wanted to try and tweak it a little bit.

I was sliding it into the definition of smart buildings. I appreciate that help. I think, again, like we talked earlier on some other bills, this is one of those issues where I think we are all in agreement. We all want to do what we can and we will work forward and hopefully by the time this gets to the floor we will have language that everybody can agree on.

But I appreciate the bill and I appreciate the opportunity to perhaps make it just a little bit better in a direction that will make the buildings smarter by protecting or at least giving the birds an opportunity to recognize that is not a safe space to fly in. And --

Mr. Welch. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. Griffith. I will yield.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

2048 Well, I mean you are totally right. Mr. Welch. That is 2049 an incredible problem and we have got to address it. 2050 sure we can do it on the fly, so to speak, so we will work with 2051 you to do that. 2052 [Laughter.] 2053 Mr. Welch. No, you know, this is a huge problem. 2054 Griffith, thank you for so much for, you know, bringing this to 2055 our attention, because we should be addressing that. 2056 I yield back. 2057 The Chairman. Will the gentleman yield to me? 2058 I will yield to the gentleman from New Jersey. Mr. Griffith. 2059 The Chairman. Thank you. I want to support Mr. Griffith's 2060 efforts to protect and preserve our bird population because 2061 identifying and promoting energy-efficient smart buildings is 2062 an important task for the government to perform, but identifying 2063 and promoting bird-safe buildings is also an important goal. 2064 And I know the gentleman considered offering an amendment 2065 on this issue and I appreciate his willingness to withhold 2066 offering the amendment at this time, and I commit to working with 2067 him to try to achieve his goals of having buildings constructed 2068 in a way that is safer for birds. And I yield back to the 2069 gentleman. 2070 Mr. Griffith. I thank you, Mr. Chairman. I would just say

that, you know, I read one article that said don't blame the cats.

It is the buildings that are wiping out so many of our songbirds

2071

2073	and making it harder for them to survive. Anyway, I yield back.
2074	Thank you.
2075	The Chairman. Does anyone else so we don't have an
2076	amendment in front of us. Does anyone else want to speak on the
2077	underlying bill? If not, we will proceed. The question now
2078	occurs on favorably reporting H.R. 2044 to the House.
2079	All those in favor of reporting H.R. 2044 to the House will
2080	signify by saying aye.
2081	All those opposed will say no.
2082	In the opinion of the chair the ayes have it and H.R. 2044
2083	is reported to the full House.
2084	We now have another energy bill from the Energy Subcommittee.
2085	The chair calls up H.R. 359, the Enhancing Grid Security through
2086	Public-Private Partnerships Act.
2087	[The Bill H.R. 359 follows:]
2088	
2089	**************************************

2090 The Chairman. And the clerk will report the title of the 2091 bill. 2092 H.R. 359, a bill to provide for certain programs 2093 and development in the Department of Energy concerning the 2094 cybersecurity and vulnerability of --2095 The Chairman. Without objection, the first reading of the 2096 bill will be dispensed with. It is now considered as read. 2097 we expect an amendment on this? 2098 Would anybody like to speak on the underlying bill? 2099 Mr. McNerney? 2100 Mr. McNerney. Mr. Chairman, I move to strike the last word. 2101 The Chairman. The gentleman is recognized. 2102 Mr. McNerney. Thank you. In today's cyber environment it 2103 is more important than ever that Congress pursues policies to 2104 continue to support our grid infrastructure and secure it against 2105 potential physical and cyber threats. This is an issue I am very 2106 passionate about. Any vulnerable component is a threat to our 2107 physical and national security, making it imperative that we 2108 invest in grid modernization and security. That is why I am 2109 proud to co-chair this bipartisan Grid Innovation Caucus with 2110 my co-chair of the Grid Innovation Caucus, Mr. Latta of Ohio. Together, we focused on providing a forum for discussing 2111 2112 solutions to the many challenges facing the grid and to educate 2113 Members of Congress and staff about the importance of the electric 2114 grid with relation to the economy, energy security, and advanced technologies being utilized to enhance grid capabilities.

The bill, which I have introduced along with Mr. Latta, assists us in this effort to bolster America's electrical infrastructure by encouraging coordination between the Department of Energy and the electric utilities. It accomplishes this by creating a program to enhance physical and cybersecurity of electric utilities through assessing security vulnerabilities, increasing cybersecurity training, and data collection.

My bill would also require the Interruption Cost Estimate Calculator, which is used to estimate and calculate the return on investment on utility investments, to be updated at least every 2 years instead of a longer period that it is now. This will ensure more accurate calculations. So with that I am going to yield to my colleague Mr. Latta.

Mr. Latta. Well, I appreciate the gentleman for yielding.

And, first, I want to thank the gentleman for all of his hard

work. I know that the two of us worked on multiple pieces of

legislation and also with the caucuses that we both chair.

So I really appreciate your hard work on H.R. 359 and the two of us working on this because, again as you mentioned, in this day of cybersecurity threats this is going to help foster the public-private partnerships that we have to do to make sure that we have the cybersecurity for our grid. So with that, Mr. Chairman, I yield back to my friend.

2140 The Chairman. Will the gentleman yield to me? 2141 Mr. McNerney. I will yield to The Chairman. 2142 The Chairman. I just wanted to say a few words about the 2143 We have four cybersecurity bills. importance of these bills. 2144 This is the first of them that address the cyber and physical 2145 security of our energy infrastructure and each one of these bills 2146 is completely nonpartisan and offers prudent steps we can take 2147 to protect our nation's energy infrastructure. 2148 It is important, because members of the committee need to 2149 act in a unified manner if we are going to be successful in our 2150 efforts to understand, prevent, and mitigate security threats 2151 to the energy sector. In addition to Chairman Rush, I want to 2152 thank the bill's sponsors and also Ranking Members Walden and 2153 Upton for their work on all four bills, each of which reinforces 2154 the idea that the Department of Energy possesses the necessary 2155 So I look forward to expertise to address these issues. 2156 moving these bills today through the House and obviously, 2157 ultimately, to the Senate and to the President. And now I yield 2158 back to Mr. McNerney. 2159 Mr. McNerney. Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of 2160 my time. 2161 The Chairman. I thank the gentleman. 2162 Does anyone else want to discuss Mr. McNerney's bill? 2163 All right, and so we will proceed to a vote. The question

now occurs on favorably reporting H.R. 359 to the House.

2165	All those in favor of reporting H.R. 359 to the House will
2166	signify by saying aye.
2167	All those opposed will say no.
2168	In the opinion of the chair the ayes have it and H.R. 359
2169	is reported to the House.
2170	The second of these cyber bills is H.R. 360, the Cyber Sense
2171	Act of 2019.
2172	[The Bill H.R. 360 follows:]
2173	
2174	*********INSERT 18******

2175	The Chairman. The clerk will report the title of the bill.
2176	The Clerk. H.R. 360, a bill to require the Secretary of
2177	Energy to establish a voluntary cyber sense program to test
2178	cybersecurity.
2179	The Chairman. Without objection, the first reading of the
2180	bill will be dispensed with. The bill is considered as read.
2181	Do we expect any amendments? No. Sponsors would like to
2182	address Mr. Latta is recognized.
2183	Mr. Latta. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Strike the last word.
2184	The Chairman. The gentleman is recognized for 5 minutes.
2185	Mr. Latta. Well, thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. I will
2186	not use that 5 minutes. But this is the second of the two
2187	bipartisan bills that the gentleman from California and I have
2188	introduced and worked on to improve the resiliency of our nation's
2189	energy grid against cyber-attacks. And I want to thank the
2190	chairman and the ranking member for holding today's markup to
2191	get these important bills passed by the House and over to the
2192	Senate to make sure that we are doing all we can to avoid
2193	cyber-attacks in this country.
2194	And with that, Mr. Chairman
2195	The Chairman. The gentleman
2196	Mr. Latta would my friend like I yield to my friend
2197	from California.
2198	Mr. McNerney. Well, I thank my friend from Ohio and it is

a pleasure to work with you on this one and I would like to see

this move forward. As we mentioned before, cybersecurity is beginning to be -- it is becoming a big issue. We need to make sure that we have protections in our grid and other of our critical infrastructure.

This bill makes important headway in protecting our critical grid infrastructure. The Cyber Sense Act would create a program to identify cyber secure products for the bulk power grid through a testing and verification program. That way we will have confidence that the parts we are using in our grid are secure. The bulk power system supports American industry and provides all the benefits of reliable electric power that the American people have come to rely upon.

It is an essential fact that we make this system as secure as possible as cyber-attacks do pose a serious threat to our electric grid. We know that other countries' state actors are planning attacks on our grid, so we need to be as secure as we can. Any vulnerable component in our grid is a threat to our security and this bill will go a long way to strengthening that system.

Again, I want to thank Mr. Latta for his partnership in our efforts to co-chair the bipartisan Grid Innovation Caucus and look forward to continuing to work to ensure a more secure and resilient grid, and I yield back to my friend from Ohio.

Mr. Latta. And I yield back, Mr. Chairman.

The Chairman. I thank the gentleman.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

2225	Anyone else would like to speak on the Latta bill,
2226	Latta-McNerney bill? Okay, we are going to proceed to the vote.
2227	The question now occurs on favorably reporting H.R. 360 to the
2228	House.
2229	All those in favor of reporting the bill to the House will
2230	signify by saying aye.
2231	All those opposed will say no.
2232	In the opinion of the chair the ayes have it and H.R. 360
2233	is reported to the full House.
2234	And now we go to the third cyber bill. The chair calls up
2235	H.R. 362, the Energy Emergency Leadership Act.
2236	[The Bill H.R. 362 follows:]
2237	
2238	*********INSERT 19*******

2239 The Chairman. The clerk will report the title of the bill. 2240 The Clerk. H.R. 362, a bill to amend the Department of 2241 Energy Organization Act with respect to --2242 Without objection, the first reading of the The Chairman. 2243 bill will be dispensed with. The bill is considered as read. 2244 Do we have an amendment to this? No. Any sponsor want to speak 2245 on this? 2246 Mr. Rush? 2247 Mr. Chairman. Mr. Rush. 2248 The Chairman. Recognized for 5 minutes. 2249 Mr. Chairman, I strongly support all four of the 2250 cybersecurity bills that are before us today. And each of them 2251 will help to address one of the most pressing issues we face, 2252 protecting our energy grid from those who might wish to do us 2253 I would like to commend all of the sponsors and co-sponsors 2254 of these four cybersecurity bills that are being marked up today 2255 for their thoughtful attention to this critical issue. 2256 Mr. Chairman, I want to specifically acknowledge Mr. Walberg 2257 of Michigan for working with my office on the Energy Emergency 2258 Leadership Act. As you know, Mr. Chairman, this bill will 2259 establish a new DOE assistant secretary position with 2260 jurisdiction over all energy emergency and security functions 2261 relating to energy supply, infrastructure, and cybersecurity. 2262 With all of the focus on protecting our electric infrastructure, 2263 I believe that it is fitting and appropriate to elevate the person responsible for this task up to the assistant secretary level at the Department.

Mr. Chairman, this bill would also authorize the new assistant secretary to provide DOE technical assistance as well as support and response capabilities with respect to energy security risks to state, local, or tribal governments under request. Mr. Chairman, this bill will go a long way in helping to take the nation's energy infrastructure from hackers who would attempt to disrupt our energy grid and cause untold harm to our economy, our daily lives, and to our overall national security.

So I urge all of my colleagues to support this bipartisan bill. And with that, Mr. Chairman, I want to yield the balance of my time to my friend from Michigan, Mr. Walberg.

Mr. Walberg. I thank the gentleman and thank you, Mr. Chairman. As we all know, there is nothing more important than the security and integrity of our energy infrastructure. I commend the chairman for bringing up these bipartisan bills which all highlight the critical role of Department of Energy as the sector-specific agency for cybersecurity over the energy sector. Secretary Perry has taken leadership by establishing the Office of Cybersecurity, Energy Security, and Emergency Response, CESER, at the Department to spearhead these efforts.

As a sector-specific agency responsible for the bulk power system, the Department of Energy must be empowered to deal with the attacks our utilities face on a daily basis. Also critical

2289 to CESER's mission is energy security. Just this past weekend, 2290 a significant portion of New York City experienced a brief power 2291 This office is critical for leading the interagency outage. 2292 communication and response plans during these types of events. 2293 As such, we must elevate this important function into the 2294 Department's core mission and make permanent the Senate-confirmed 2295 assistant secretary position for this function. This will better 2296 position the Department of Energy to work with this interagency, 2297 their interagency partners, to coordinate, plan, and respond to 2298 energy emergencies. 2299 I want to thank my friend and colleague Chairman Rush for 2300 working on this legislation with me, and I look forward to working 2301 with my colleagues to preserve Energy and Commerce jurisdiction 2302 over these functions in getting this bill across the finish line. 2303 I urge my colleagues to support and I yield back. 2304 The Chairman. Mr. Rush, are you --2305 Mr. Rush. I yield back, Mr. Chairman. 2306 The Chairman. Thank you. 2307 I appreciate the comments by the gentleman from Michigan about our jurisdiction which we are constantly fighting for. 2308 2309 Are there any other members that would like to speak on Mr. 2310 Rush's bill? If not, we are going to proceed to a vote. 2311 question now occurs on favorably reporting H.R. 362 to the full 2312 House. 2313 All those in favor of reporting the bill to the House will

2314	signify by saying aye.
2315	All those opposed will say no.
2316	In the opinion of the chair the ayes have it and H.R. 362
2317	is reported to the House.
2318	And now we have our fourth cyber bill. The chair calls up
2319	H.R. 370, the Pipeline and LNG Facility Cybersecurity
2320	Preparedness Act.
2321	[The Bill H.R. 370 follows:]
2322	
2323	*********INSERT 20******

The Chairman. The clerk will report the title of the bill.

The Clerk. H.R. 370, a bill to require the Secretary of

Energy to carry out a program relating to physical security and

cybersecurity for pipelines and -
The Chairman. Without objection, the first reading of the

The Chairman. Without objection, the first reading of the bill will be dispensed with and the bill is now considered as read. Are we expecting any amendments -- sponsors like to comment on this?

Mr. Upton. Strike the last word.

The Chairman. Mr. Upton.

2329

2330

2331

2332

2333

2334

2335

2336

2337

2338

2339

2340

2341

2342

2343

2344

2345

2346

2347

2348

Mr. Upton. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. So I just want to say that this bill, H.R. 370, which I reintroduced this year with Mr. Loebsack, will indeed strengthen Department's ability to respond to physical and cyber threats to our nation's pipeline and its LNG facilities. You know, Russian agents and other nation states are waging cyber war on our energy infrastructure wherever they can find a weakness. And as the sector's specific agency for energy, DOE has to have a stronger lead role to protect our And to help address these threats, nation from those threats. this bill would, A), require that the Secretary carry out a program to coordinate federal agencies, states, and the energy sector to ensure the security resiliency and survivability of natural gas pipelines, hazardous liquid pipelines, and LNG facilities; B), it is going to authorize DOE to coordinate response and recovery to physical and cyber incidents' impact in the energy 2349 sector and develop advanced cybersecurity applications and 2350 technologies; and C), it is going to allow DOE to perform pilot 2351 demonstration programs and develop workforce development 2352 curricula relating to physical and cybersecurity to help the 2353 energy sector evaluate, prioritize, and improve physical and 2354 cybersecurity capabilities. 2355 The bill is not a regulatory program. It simply strengthens 2356 the Department's ability to coordinate cyber response, 2357 collaborate and build capacity within states and the energy 2358 Other agencies like the TSA have a role to play when it comes to cybersecurity for pipelines, but DOE has the core 2359 2360 responsibility over energy infrastructure to ensure that reliable 2361 supply and delivery of energy against all hazards and that is 2362 why we need to pass this bill. 2363 I look forward to the continuing bipartisan support and urge 2364 all my colleagues to support it and yield back. I thank the gentleman. 2365 The Chairman. Does the gentleman 2366 from Iowa want --2367 I move to strike the last word. Mr. Loebsack. 2368 The Chairman. The gentleman is recognized. 2369 Thank you, Chairman Rush and Pallone and Mr. Loebsack. 2370 Ranking Members Upton and Walden, for your leadership on these This committee has worked hard across the aisle to 2371 issues. 2372 advance legislation that will improve the cybersecurity of our

nation's energy infrastructure and this legislation, I think,

2374 | is a critical piece of the puzzle.

2375

2376

2377

2378

2379

2380

2381

2382

2383

2384

2385

2386

2387

2388

2389

2390

2391

2392

2393

2394

2395

2396

2397

2398

The Pipeline and LNG Facilities Cybersecurity Preparedness Act, which I am proud to have introduced with my colleague, Ranking Member Upton, will strengthen the Department of Energy's role in coordinating and information sharing with other federal agencies, states, and the energy sector to improve our nation's physical and cyber pipeline security. We know that cyber-attacks are a near constant and increasingly dangerous threat to our As the Director of National energy infrastructure. Intelligence noted in his Worldwide Threat Assessment earlier this year, and I quote, "China has the ability to launch cyber-attacks that cause localized, temporary disruptive effects on critical infrastructure such as disruption of a natural gas pipeline, for days to weeks in the United States," unquote, clearly, the threat to our nation's energy security is an immediate concern and we cannot wait to act.

This legislation will be an important step toward ensuring that we are prepared in both the public and private sectors to not only prevent, but also respond and quickly recover from cyber incidents. I am pleased that the committee is acting to advance this and other critical legislation for our nation's energy cybersecurity and I yield back.

The Chairman. I thank the gentleman. Does anyone else want to speak on the Upton bill? Seeing none, we will go to a vote.

The guestion now occurs on favorably reporting H.R. 370 to the

2399	House.
2400	All those in favor of reporting the bill to the House will
2401	signify by saying aye.
2402	All those opposed will say no.
2403	In the opinion of the chair the ayes have it and H.R. 370
2404	is reported to the full House.
2405	And now we have another energy efficiency bill. The chair
2406	calls up H.R. 2088, a bill to amend the Energy Independence and
2407	Security Act of 2007 to reauthorize the Energy Efficiency and
2408	Conservation Block Grants as forwarded by the Subcommittee of
2409	Energy on May 16th.
2410	[The Bill H.R. 2088 follows:]
2411	
2412	**************************************

2413	The Chairman. The clerk will report the title of the bill.
2414	The Clerk. Committee print to H.R. 2088, a bill to amend
2415	the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007.
2416	The Chairman. Without objection, the first reading of the
2417	bill will be dispensed with and the bill is now considered as
2418	read. Do we expect amendments to this?
2419	We have an amendment. The gentleman, Mr. Bucshon, is
2420	recognized for his amendment.
2421	Mr. Bucshon. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the desk.
2422	[The Amendment offered by Mr. Bucshon follows:]
2423	
2424	**************************************

2425 And that amendment, do you have a number for The Chairman. 2426 Does the clerk have it? 2427 I think it is the only one, should be the only Mr. Bucshon. 2428 one for this bill. 2429 The Chairman. She has it. The clerk will report the 2430 amendment. 2431 Amendment to H.R. 2088 offered by Mr. Bucshon. The Clerk. 2432 At the end of the bill --2433 Without objection, the reading of the Bucshon The Chairman. 2434 amendment will be dispensed with and the gentleman from Indiana 2435 is recognized for 5 minutes in support of his amendment. 2436 Mr. Bucshon. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 2437 H.R. 2088 authorizes a staggering \$17.5 billion over 5 years 2438 to resurrect a one-time Obama era stimulus program that faced 2439 significant challenges. To put this magnitude of spending in 2440 context, the original block grant program was provided a one-time appropriation of \$3.2 billion. 2441 Both GAO and the Inspector 2442 General have raised concerns about the implementation of the 2443 program and the management of projects. Congress has 2444 wisely declined to provide additional funds for this program and 2445 the DOE has shifted its priorities to support high-impact, early 2446 stage, applied R&D activities, and to encourage the private sector 2447 to fund the commercialization of energy efficient technologies. 2448 Last year, DOE's Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy

received almost \$2.5 billion to support its work across a wide

range of energy technologies to improve the energy efficiency of our homes, buildings, and industries. In addition, DOE's vast mission supports hundreds of millions of dollars in spending across the Department and the national labs.

My amendment is very straightforward. First, it would ensure that the underlying legislation incorporates lessons learned from the Obama era stimulus block grant program. Simply put, we shouldn't rush to resurrect this program especially given the track record. What the amendment says is the bill cannot go into effect until the DOE submits an evaluation of the CBGP program and the recommended reforms and the DOE must certify the bill will not be duplicative of any existing DOE programs and will ensure the proper stewardship of the taxpayer dollars.

The amendment will not kill the bill or slow it down. I encourage everyone on the committee to join me in supporting my amendment, and I yield back.

The Chairman. I thank the gentleman and I now recognize myself for 5 minutes in opposition to the Bucshon amendment. I appreciate the desire to be good stewards of taxpayer dollars as the gentleman's amendment suggests, but this request that DOE do a report evaluating this block grant program, I think, is duplicative and itself would become a misuse of taxpayer resources. The amendment gives DOE an infinite amount of time to submit the suggested report. If DOE elects to delay the report the effect is delaying the grant program and that is a non-starter

2475 | for me.

We already have two reports evaluating this program. One conducted by GAO on the implementation of the program and another independent evaluation of its accomplishments commissioned by DOE and conducted by the firm DNV GL. GAO actually made some recommendations to DOE about improving program administration, but the report did not identify any fatal flaws in the program.

GAO's report shows we can make the process more effective this time around and that could be said of virtually any program.

DOE is capable of fixing all of the issues raised in the 2011 report and administering a successful program. Ironically, the only mention of duplication in the GAO report is with respect to the reporting requirements of the program and the Recovery Act required transparency and accountability for the funds delivered, and the act's reporting requirements at times overlap with those of the existing programs funded through it.

The independent -- the second report, the independent evaluation report completed in 2015 by DNV GL reflects one of the GAO's recommendations that the DOE solicit information to evaluate the energy-related impacts of recipients' use of the funds. And so, what did DNV GL find? They found that the program produced energy savings of 5.2 billion on energy bills, 70 percent of which were realized by residential customers. It also produced more than 62,000 net job gains and it avoided 25.7 million metric tons of carbon pollution. So again, in my opinion, the

2500 program is a win-win. I mean it saves energy, it reduces costs, 2501 it produces jobs, and it also reduces carbon pollution. 2502 think if you want to seriously tackle the climate crisis, you 2503 should really reject this amendment and support the bill. 2504 States, territories, tribal organizations, and local governments 2505 across the country already are engaged in doing their part to 2506 reduce carbon pollution. The federal government should support 2507 their efforts and the Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block 2508 Grant program is an excellent way to do that. 2509 So I would urge my colleagues to oppose the amendment and 2510 to support the underlying bill. Does anyone on the Republican 2511 side want to comment on the Bucshon amendment? 2512 Anybody on the Democratic -- oh, Mr. Veasey is recognized. 2513 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I would like to thank 2514 you for recognizing me in opposition to this amendment. 2515 And I appreciate the amendment offered by my colleague and I think 2516 that it is right that we have a discussion about the impact of 2517 the legislation that we pass on this committee, but I think that 2518 the benefits that have been laid out by the chair are very apparent. 2519 And I have before me, here, four different reports on this 2520 program including one from the Department of Energy and the GAO. These reports detail the successes of the program as well as 2521 2522 the challenges DOE faced when implementing a brand-new initiative. 2523 In fact, as a result of these reports and their findings, DOE 2524 is better prepared to administer the program. Furthermore, the

2525	amendment seeks to ensure proper stewardship of taxpayers'
2526	dollars. This is a fantastic goal, and in fact this is one of
2527	the main objectives of the bill. By deploying energy efficient
2528	devices in local government buildings, we will be saving taxpaye:
2529	money by reducing their energy bills. According to an analysis
2530	prepared by the Oak Ridge National Laboratory, the program
2531	produced \$5.2 billion of total cumulative savings on energy bills
2532	for the public.
2533	Finally, I would like to point out that this amendment does
2534	not include a timeline for when the DOE must submit the report
2535	to Congress, leaving open the possibility that the amendment is
2536	never submitted. It is for those reasons that I ask that my
2537	colleagues join me in voting down this amendment and advancing
2538	the Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant program.
2539	And, Mr. Chairman, I also have a list, too, of Democrats
2540	and Republicans in the Texas delegation, many on this committee,
2541	actually, that their mayors love this program and have benefited
2542	from it immensely. I yield back.
2543	The Chairman. Does the gentleman ask unanimous consent to
2544	submit that for the record?
2545	Mr. Veasey. Yes, I ask unanimous consent to submit that
2546	for the record.
2547	The Chairman. Without objection, so ordered.
2548	[The information follows:]
2549	

2551 Are there any other members seeking The Chairman. 2552 recognition to speak on the Bucshon amendment? Okay. If there 2553 is no further debate, we will proceed to a vote on the gentleman 2554 from Indiana's amendment. 2555 All those in favor of the amendment will signify by saying 2556 aye. 2557 All those opposed will say no. In the opinion of the chair, the noes have it and the amendment 2558 2559 is not agreed to. 2560 Are there further amendments to the underlying bill? 2561 there any members who would like to speak on the underlying bill? 2562 Yes, the gentleman, Mr. Bucshon is recognized. 2563 Mr. Bucshon. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. H.R. 2088 reauthorizes at \$3.5 billion per year for 5 years the Energy 2564 2565 Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant program at DOE which 2566 expired in 2012. It also explicitly authorizes funding to deploy infrastructure for alternative fuels including electricity. 2567 2568 There are two concerns I have with the bill: its 3.5 billion per 2569 year funding level and the lack of information to justify that block grants are the best policy approach to achieve the goals 2570 of the bill without duplicating other federal, local or private 2571 2572 initiatives. 2573 Despite the April 10th legislative hearing, there actually 2574 has been no committee evaluation of the program, no assessment

of alternative approaches or whether it would be duplicative of

other programs or be executed in a way that protects taxpayer interests. The only time the program was funded in the last 12 years was under President Obama's 2009 stimulus spending package which was one-time funding of \$3.2 billion over 3 years and that was not fully expended.

GAO and DOE Inspector General information at the time of the program raised questions about the fiscal management, whether funds achieved what was promised in some cases, and we should look more closely at that information. Would this program duplicate other programs? How does this work with the DOE Better Buildings initiative or its Clean Cities program? bill explicitly expands eligibility of state, local, and tribal entities to fund alternative fuel infrastructure including What are the barriers to that infrastructure now and why is this the program to address that? At both the legislative hearing and the subcommittee markup, Republicans expressed a desire to evaluate the success of the original program before rushing to reauthorize it. Ultimately, that hasn't As it is, without better information I cannot support the bill and would urge a no vote. I yield back.

The Chairman. The gentleman yields back. I just want to recognize myself in support of the underlying bill. I want to thank the Conference of Mayors for their support of this bill and the main sponsor of it is Congressman Stanton from Phoenix, who I believe was the mayor of Phoenix before he was elected to

2576

2577

2578

2579

2580

2581

2582

2583

2584

2585

2586

2587

2588

2589

2590

2591

2592

2593

2594

2595

2596

2597

2598

2599

Congress, and of course Mr. Veasey is our committee sponsor.

But I also wanted to thank Mayor Brian Wahler of Piscataway, New

Jersey in my district who joined us here in May to testify the importance of this program.

State and local governments are the ones truly at the forefront of addressing the climate crisis and they are stepping up to the plate to fill the vacuum left by the President. As you know, he still wants us to withdraw from the Paris Climate Agreement, which obviously I don't support. And -- I don't support the withdrawal -- we should be supporting the efforts of local leaders to address climate change and that is exactly what the Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant program does. In fact, the last time we funded the program, my colleagues across the aisle were allocated over \$112 million, cumulatively, in their districts. And at the local level, this is not a partisan issue and quite frankly it shouldn't be. I know Mr. Bucshon is not saying he necessarily opposes it; he just wants some safeguards. But we have already talked about that.

I think that we can create new jobs, improve the environment, and make our communities safer and more resilient to climate changes that we can't avoid with this bill. I think it is a win-win, so I would urge my colleagues to support the underlying bill and I would yield back. Is there anyone else on the Republican side that wants to speak on this, or anyone at all who wants to speak on it? Okay, so we are going to go to the

2626	underlying bill. The question now occurs on favorably reporting
2627	H.R. 2088, as amended, to the House.
2628	All those in favor of reporting the bill, as amended, to
2629	the House will signify by saying aye.
2630	All those opposed will say no.
2631	In the opinion of the chair the ayes have it and H.R. 2088,
2632	as amended, is reported to the full House.
2633	What is next?
2634	This is the Weatherization bill. The chair calls up H.R.
2635	2041, the Weatherization Enhancement and Local Energy Efficiency
2636	Investment and Accountability Act.
2637	[The Bill H.R. 2041 follows:]
2638	
2639	**************************************

2640	The Chairman. The clerk will report the title of the bill.
2641	The Clerk. H.R. 2041, a bill to reauthorize the
2642	Weatherization Assistance Program and for other purposes.
2643	The Chairman. Without objection, the first reading of the
2644	bill will be dispensed with and the bill is now considered as
2645	read. Are we expecting any amendments to this?
2646	We will start with Mr. Tonko who has a manager's amendment.
2647	[The Amendment offered by Mr. Tonko follows:]
2648	
2649	**************************************

2650 The Chairman. What is that labeled? The clerk has it? 2651 The Clerk. Yes, sir. 2652 The Chairman. The clerk will --2653 Amendment to H.R. 2041 offered by Mr. Tonko and The Clerk. 2654 Mr. Upton. Beginning on page 1 --2655 The Chairman. Without objection, the reading of the 2656 amendment will be dispensed with and Mr. Tonko is recognized for 5 minutes to speak to support his amendment. 2657 2658 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I want to thank Mr. Tonko. 2659 you and Chairman Rush for including H.R. 2041 in today's markup. 2660 And I do also thank Mr. Upton and our colleagues on the other 2661 side of the aisle for being willing to work with us on this 2662 amendment to ensure strong, bipartisan support for what I believe is a very important bill, because at the end of the day, it is 2663 2664 important that we understand the merits of DOE's Weatherization 2665 Assistance Program. 2666 Our colleagues on the Senate Energy and Natural Resources 2667 Committee have just advanced the bipartisan companion measure 2668 out of committee yesterday, so I am indeed hopeful that this 2669 program might actually have a shot of being reauthorized during 2670 this 116th session of Congress. For over 40 years, DOE's 2671 Weatherization Assistance Program has been helping our most 2672 vulnerable neighbors -- the poor, the elderly, and the disabled 2673 -- to reduce their utility bills and make their homes healthier

and much more energy efficient. Small investments in these homes

can have a major impact. DOE estimates an average annual cost savings of \$283 per home which can be a big deal for people struggling to make ends meet.

This bill would reauthorize and modernize the program. It has support from a wide range of stakeholders including state energy officials, home performance contractors, efficiency advocates, and manufacturers of energy efficient materials. This amendment makes a few minor changes in response to technical assistance provided by the Department of Energy. It also requires subgrantees to provide annual reports on the number of multi-family housing units weatherized under the program. I think we should be encouraging more work on these types of homes and hope we can give their unique circumstances additional consideration as we move forward.

The amendment also responds to two requests from our Republican colleagues. First, it slightly decreases the underlying bill's authorizations for the program's first 3 fiscal years. The fiscal year 2020 level would be identical to the recently passed House Energy and Water Appropriations bill and would gradually increase to the target level of \$350 million in years 4 and 5 of the authorization.

In my view, weatherization is a cost-effective program that could handle significantly greater funding. But I understand the argument that funding is more likely to ramp up gradually in the near term. Second, the amendment would institute a sunset

for the new innovation competitive grant program. This would give us an opportunity to evaluate the success of this new set-aside and determine whether it should continue in the future.

So I am again very grateful to Mr. Upton and our Republican colleagues for being willing to work toward agreement on this effort because I truly believe that weatherization is not just an important program, it is a good program. It helps our constituents who need it the most. In fact, when we look at the amount of energy impact, energy cost impact on poor households, it is far more significant than that on average households. I encourage the members of this committee to support this amendment and the bill and with that, Mr. Chair, I yield back.

Mr. Upton. Would the gentleman yield? Would the gentleman yield?

Mr. Tonko. Yes, sir.

Mr. Upton. I just thank you and I just want to again reiterate my appreciation for working with us on our side. We had a lot of good discussions. You outlined exactly what the bill does and it is a good bill. We care about the folks that this is going to impact in a meaningful way and we truly made it bipartisan. I just want to thank you for that. And the staff again on both sides worked very hard and we came to an agreement that I am very proud of and certainly that is why I put my name on it. Thank you, and look forward to seeing it get to the President's desk.

2725	Mr. Tonko. Thank you and I yield back.
2726	The Chairman. So we have the Tonko manager's amendment.
2727	We are going to vote on that. Anyone else want to address that
2728	amendment? If not and there is no further debate, we will proceed
2729	to a vote.
2730	All those in favor of the Tonko amendment will signify by
2731	saying aye.
2732	All those opposed will say no.
2733	The opinion of the chair is the ayes have it and the amendment
2734	is agreed to. Are there we have Mr. Rush. Do you have an
2735	amendment?
2736	Mr. Rush. Yeah. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the
2737	desk.
2738	[The Amendment offered by Mr. Rush follows:]
2739	
2740	**************************************

2741 The Chairman. And how is that labeled? 2742 Mr. Rush. Rush 46. 2743 The Chairman. The clerk has it. The clerk will report the 2744 amendment. 2745 Amendment to H.R. 2041, offered by Mr. Rush of 2746 Illinois. Page 4 --2747 The Chairman. And, without objection, the reading of the 2748 Rush amendment will be dispensed with and Mr. Rush is recognized 2749 for 5 minutes. 2750 Well, thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Rush. Mr. Chairman, 2751 my amendment is consistent with the objective of my workforce 2752 bill in that it targets training for underrepresented groups in 2753 the weatherization program. The Rush amendment encourages any entity receiving the funding from the federal government or from 2754 2755 a state weatherization program to prioritize the hiring and 2756 retention of local candidates who are from the community that the program serves and who are members of underserved groups within 2757 2758 the home energy performance workforce. 2759 Specifically, Mr. Chairman, my amendment would target training for religious and ethnic minorities, women, veterans, 2760 individuals with disabilities, and individuals who are 2761 2762 socioeconomically disadvantaged. Mr. Chairman, now more than 2763 ever it is important that Congress send a message that those who 2764 have been historically marginalized and overlooked by the system 2765 are not forgotten by their representatives here in who

2766 And not only do we value and appreciate them, but 2767 we are actively working to address their concerns and work on 2768 behalf of their interests. So it is my intention, Mr. Chairman, 2769 that any organization that receive taxpayers' money will work 2770 to actively include these individuals and train them for these 2771 good paying jobs and careers of retrofitting homes right in their 2772 own communities. 2773 Mr. Chairman, I view this amendment as enacting a concept 2774 that is long overdue and I hope that my colleagues on both sides 2775 of the aisle will support it. We can no longer afford to continue 2776 doing business as usual when so many Americans are feeling like 2777 they are being diminished, ignored, or outright assaulted. 2778 urge all of my colleagues to support this amendment which will 2779 give many of our fellow citizens a lifeline to be productive and 2780 valued members of our society. And with that I yield back the 2781 balance of my time. The Chairman. Thank you, Mr. Rush. 2782 Does anyone else want 2783 to speak on the Rush amendment? Okay, hearing none, we will move 2784 to a vote on the amendment. 2785 All those in favor of the Rush amendment will signify by 2786 saying aye. 2787 All those opposed will say no. 2788 In the opinion of the chair the ayes have it. The amendment

I think that was the only other amendment, right? Now, would

is agreed to.

2789

anyone like to speak on the underlying Tonko bill?

I am going to recognize myself. I want to thank Mr. Tonko and our other colleagues for their hard work on this bill. The weatherization program provides much-needed assistance to families that would otherwise be unable to afford making energy efficiency improvements to their homes. In addition to lowering energy costs, these improvements create local jobs and spur demand for energy efficient products provided by local businesses.

And I have been encouraged to hear our Republican colleagues state their efforts to tackle the climate crisis. This is one of the ways we can do that but still create jobs and save energy and support our constituents by helping them to make their homes more comfortable and affordable. These are the types of solutions that we need, they pay for themselves over time, and they are the key to lowering carbon pollution.

Buildings account for significant carbon pollution. Much of that is associated with the energy we use for heating and cooling, so lowering energy use in buildings will improve the climate both inside and outside. Representative Tonko has been a supporter of this program for many years and I know he has worked with the advocacy community to improve the program and to ensure it is adequately funded. And businesses have invested to improve appliance efficiency and to develop new building materials to make homes and buildings more energy efficient, healthier, and more comfortable.

2816	So programs like these ensure that these new products and
2817	technologies are available and affordable to everyone, so I urge
2818	my colleagues to support the bill. Does anyone else want to talk
2819	about the bill? If not, the question now occurs on favorably
2820	reporting H.R. 2041, as amended, to the House.
2821	All those in favor will signify by saying aye.
2822	All those opposed will say no.
2823	In the opinion of the chair the ayes have it and H.R. 2041,
2824	as amended, is reported to the full House.
2825	We have another energy bill. The chair calls up H.R. 2119,
2826	a bill to amend the Energy Policy Act of 2005 to reauthorize grants
2827	for improving the energy efficiency of public buildings.
2828	[The bill H.R. 2119 follows:]
2829	
2830	**************************************

2831	The Chairman. The clerk will report the title.
2832	The Clerk. H.R. 2119, a bill to amend the Energy Policy
2833	Act of 2005, reauthorize
2834	The Chairman. Without objection, the first reading of the
2835	bill is dispensed with. The bill is now considered as read.
2836	Do we expect any amendments?
2837	The gentlewoman from Illinois is recognized for an amendment.
2838	Ms. Kelly. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the desk.
2839	[The Amendment offered by Ms. Kelly follows:]
2840	
2841	**************************************

2842 The Chairman. Do we have it, Madam Clerk? We do, so --The Clerk. Amendment -- I am sorry. 2843 2844 The Chairman. Yes, proceed. 2845 Amendment to H.R. 2119 offered by Ms. Kelly, The Clerk. 2846 strike section 1 and insert the following --2847 The Chairman. Without objection, the reading of the Kelly amendment will be dispensed with and the gentlewoman from Illinois 2848 is recognized for 5 minutes. 2849 2850 Ms. Kelly. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. My amendment would 2851 expand on the underlying bill which expands and reauthorizes a 2852 federal program to help municipalities in building and updating 2853 energy efficient buildings. Municipal energy efficiency is a 2854 It saves taxpayers money while investing in green collar 2855 jobs. 2856 My amendment simply incorporates recognized energy 2857 efficiency standards and would add a benchmarking requirement 2858 to ensure the standards, goals, and metrics of the bill translate 2859 to energy efficiency. Quite simply, there is no sense in throwing good money after bad. Along those lines, the amendment would 2860 2861 require third-party verification of energy efficiency 2862 improvements in each building. Lastly, the amendment would 2863 ensure the payment of prevailing local wages for jobs performed 2864 pursuant to the underlying grants. 2865 This amendment was drafted after consultation with various 2866 stakeholders. It improves the underlying bill by creating

important auditing provisions and ensures labor rights are 2868 I encourage all members to support the amendment and 2869 the underlying bill. I yield back. 2870 The Chairman. Will the gentlewoman yield to me? 2871 Ms. Kelly. Yes, I will. 2872 The Chairman. I just wanted to urge my colleagues to support 2873 the gentlewoman's amendment and also the underlying bill. bill helps states improve the energy efficiency of public 2874 2875 buildings and facilities by providing grants to states for the 2876 renovation of existing public buildings to reduce energy use for the construction of new energy efficient buildings. 2877 2878 buildings consume a large amount of energy and this bill will 2879 help put a dent in that consumption. The bill will lower utility costs for local communities and help mitigate the crisis 2880 2881 of global climate change by reducing carbon pollution. 2882 also support the gentlewoman's amendment because it includes the 2883 important provision to ensure workers employed to do this work 2884 are paid the living wage they deserve. So I want to thank her 2885 for both the amendment and the underlying bill, then yield back 2886 to the gentlewoman. Ms. Kelly. Mr. Chairman, I yield back. 2887 2888 The Chairman. The gentlewoman yields back. Are there any 2889 2890 Mr. Chairman, I move to strike the last word. Mr. Flores. 2891 The Chairman. Mr. Flores in opposition to the amendment,

2892 | I assume?

Mr. Flores. I am in opposition. Thank you, Chairman Pallone. This bill has similar problems as H.R. 2088 and essentially spends money that has not been requested in the past. This bill reauthorizes an expired program for energy efficient buildings from the Energy Policy Act of 2005, and this is the important issue here, this program has never been funded. It hasn't been used through the past three administrations.

The Department of Energy confirmed to committee staff that this has never received funding and we find no evidence that the past administrations ever even asked for funding. So there was a statement earlier about throwing good money after bad, we are throwing good money after something that has never been funded before. Beyond reauthorizing a program that has never been used, the bill would increase the authorization level from an initial \$30 million per year to \$100 million per year for 5 years.

There are numerous other energy efficiency support programs at the Department and at other agencies like Housing and Urban Development and without a better accounting of all the taxpayer funds that go to assist new and existing building efficiency projects, it is difficult to see why we should resurrect this unused program. So without better information or a more complete picture, the committee should not support this bill and we should work to get a full accounting of all the efficiency programs available to assist public buildings. I urge a no vote on the

2917	amendment because it doesn't solve the problems with the
2918	underlying bill and I also urge a no vote on the bill. I yield
2919	back the balance of my time.
2920	The Chairman. Does anyone else want to speak on the Kelly
2921	amendment? All right, then we are going to go to a vote on that
2922	amendment.
2923	All those in favor of the Kelly amendment will signify by
2924	saying aye.
2925	All those opposed will say no.
2926	In the opinion of the chair the ayes have it and the amendment
2927	is agreed to. Do we have any further amendments? No? All right,
2928	does anyone want to speak on the underlying bill, for or against?
2929	No. So the question now occurs on favorably reporting H.R. 2119
2930	to the House.
2931	All those in favor of reporting the bill to the House will
2932	signify by saying aye.
2933	All those opposed will say no.
2934	In the opinion of the chair the ayes have it and H.R. 2119
2935	is reported to the Full House. I am sorry. H.R. 2119, as amended,
2936	is reported to the full House.
2937	And now we go oh, now we are going to go to the health
2938	bills, okay. So now we start with the health bills. The chair
2939	calls up H.R. 2781, the EMPOWER for Health Act.
2940	[The bill H.R. 2781 follows:]
2941	

133

2942

**********INSERT 28******

2943	The Chairman. As forwarded by the Subcommittee for Health
2944	on July 11th, the clerk will report the title of the bill.
2945	The Clerk. Committee print to H.R. 2781, a bill to amend
2946	Title VII of the Public Health Service Act to reauthorize
2947	The Chairman. Without objection, the first reading of the
2948	bill will be dispensed with. The bill is now considered as read.
2949	Can we have less talk in the back here, gentlemen or ladies?
2950	Seems like it is mostly the gentlemen.
2951	All right, without objection, the first reading of the bill
2952	will be dispensed with. The bill is now considered as read.
2953	Do we have any amendments to this bill?
2954	The gentlewoman from Illinois is recognized. Do you have
2955	
2956	Ms. Schakowsky. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Dr. Burgess and
2957	I have an amendment at the desk to H.R. 2781, the EMPOWER for
2958	Health Act that we introduced in May.
2959	[The Amendment offered by Ms. Schakowsky follows:]
2960	
2961	**************************************

2962 The Chairman. The clerk has the amendment. 2963 Ms. Schakowsky. Do you have that Amendment 1 to this bill? 2964 The Clerk. Yes, I have it. 2965 The clerk will report the amendment. The Chairman. 2966 The Clerk. Amendment to H.R. 2781 offered by Ms. Schakowsky. 2967 The Chairman. Without objection, the reading of the amendment is dispensed with and the gentlewoman from Illinois 2968 2969 is recognized for 5 minutes. 2970 Ms. Schakowsky. Thank you. 2971 Upon consulting with the Health Resources and Services 2972 Administration and relevant stakeholders, we are offering this 2973 amendment to clarify section 4 of our bill which invites the 2974 pediatric health care -- invests, I am sorry -- which invests 2975 in pediatric healthcare workforce. This amendment ensures the 2976 section of the bill will particularly impact regions of the nation 2977 in which there is a shortage of pediatric medical specialists, 2978 pediatric surgeons, surgical subspecialties, child and adolescent 2979 psychiatric, and child and adolescent mental and behavioral health 2980 professionals. 2981 So I encourage all of my colleagues to vote for this important 2982 -- technical, but important -- addition to the bill. This is 2983 a technical amendment. And I yield back. 2984 The Chairman. We have the Schakowsky amendment. 2985 anyone else want to speak on that? No. So we will move to the 2986 Schakowsky amendment.

2987	All those in favor of her amendment will signify by saying
2988	aye.
2989	All those opposed will say no.
2990	In the opinion of the chair the ayes have it and the Schakowsky
2991	amendment is agreed to.
2992	The Chairman. Are there any other amendments to the EMPOWER
2993	for Health Act?
2994	All right, anyone want to speak on the underlying bill?
2995	Mrs. McMorris Rodgers. Mr. Chairman?
2996	The Chairman. Yes, Ms. Rodgers is recognized.
2997	Mrs. McMorris Rodgers. Mr. Chairman, I move to strike the
2998	last word. I am proud to have joined my colleague, Mr. Rush,
2999	in supporting a more diverse workforce in the fields of
3000	occupational therapy, physical therapy, speech language
3001	pathology, and audiology, by co-leading the Allied Workforce
3002	Diversity Act. I have seen firsthand the benefits of these
3003	programs in helping people to live more full and independent lives.
3004	When people from underserved areas go into these fields, they
3005	are more likely to serve in underserved or rural communities.
3006	This legislation will help better serve Eastern Washington
3007	and the people who rely on these allied health professionals.
3008	I urge adoption of this amendment and the underlying bill and
3009	yield back the balance of my time.
3010	The Chairman. Thank you. Anyone else want to speak on the
3011	underlying bill?
	NEAL R. GROSS

3012 Ms. Schakowsky?

Ms. Schakowsky. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I move to strike the last word. I am really excited that this committee is moving toward advancing the EMPOWER for Health Act, which I along with -- well, that Dr. Burgess and I introduced in May. Research suggests that by 2030 the United States may see a shortage of up to 122,000 physicians, but we actually already have a significant physician shortage today.

If healthcare access were equitable across race, social economic status, and geography, then we would see that the United States needs almost 100,000 more doctors right now. With the increasing population and a growth in the aging population, the demand for physicians continues to rise faster than the supply. This bill will spur growth in our healthcare workforce by reauthorizing several Title VII health professional education and training programs at higher funding levels for fiscal year 2020 through 2024, the Center for Excellence program awards, grants for innovative resources and educational centers to recruit, train, and retain underrepresented minority students and faculty at health profession schools.

The health professional training for diversity programs provides training for disadvantaged students through scholarships, loan repayment plans, and fellowships and the health career opportunities program. The primary care training and enhancement grants create accredited primary care professional

training programs and provides need-based financial assistance.

The training in public health dentistry programs awards grants to develop training programs and provides financial assistance to dental students, practicing dentists, dental hygienists and other approved primary care dental trainees. And the program emphasizes training for students from minority and disadvantaged backgrounds and engages the students to — encourages the students to choose primary care fields to practice in underserved urban and rural areas.

The Area Health Education Centers Program develops and enhances education and training networks within communities, academic institutions, and community-based organizations. The National Center for Healthcare Workforce Analysis also reauthorizes through this bill. What it authorizes is absolutely essential, develops information describing the health professions workforce to -- anyway -- and many, many things under the national care for health.

The public health workforce grant enhances the quality of the public health workforce and ability of the workforce to meet national, state, and local healthcare needs. The Geriatric Workforce Enhancement Program and the Geriatric Academic Career Award Program provides grants for training across the provider continuum to integrate geriatrics and primary care delivery systems. The pediatric healthcare workforce loan repayment program is for health professionals agreeing to serve at least

3062 2 years in pediatric medical subspecialties, pediatric surgical 3063 subspecialties or child and adolescent mental health behavior, 3064 mental and behavioral health. 3065 And I want to emphasize that for decades, Title VII programs 3066 have assisted students from minority and economically 3067 disadvantaged backgrounds to enter the health professionals by 3068 focusing on student development, retention, matriculation, and 3069 But we still have to work on it. In 1980, about 3070 seven percent of the medical school matriculation were black, 3071 and in 2016 we only increased to eight percent. We have a lot 3072 of work to do. This bill brings us in the right direction to 3073 have a culturally competent and diverse workforce. And I yield 3074 back. I thank the gentlewoman. 3075 The Chairman. So we are on the 3076 underlying Schakowsky bill, the EMPOWER for Health Act. 3077 anyone else want to speak on the bill? If not, we are going to The question now occurs on favorably reporting H.R. 3078 go a vote. 3079 2781, as amended, to the House. 3080 All those in favor of reporting the bill, as amended, to 3081 the House will signify by saying aye. 3082 All those opposed will say no. 3083 In the opinion of the chair the ayes have it and H.R. 2781, 3084 as amended, is reported to the full House. 3085 The next health bill deals with the Nursing Workforce. 3086 chair calls up H.R. 728.

3087 [The Bill H.R. 728 follows:]

3088

3089 | ********* INSERT 30*******

3090 The Title VIII Nursing Workforce The Chairman. 3091 Reauthorization Act of 2019 as forwarded by the Subcommittee On 3092 Health on July 11th, the clerk will report the title of the bill. 3093 Committee print to H.R. 728, a bill to amend The Clerk. 3094 Title VIII of the Public Health Services Act. 3095 The Chairman. Without objection, the first reading of the 3096 bill will be dispensed with. The bill is now considered as read. 3097 Do we expect any amendments? No. Sponsors, want to speak? 3098 The gentlewoman from California, Ms. Matsui? 3099 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I move to strike the Ms. Matsui. 3100 Nurses are an integral of patient care in many 3101 They are often the most memorable part of patients' settings. 3102 hospital stays and serve as a vital role in communities delivering 3103 primary care and promoting public health and prevention. 3104 population grows, the demand for nurses will only continue to 3105 grow. 3106 To ensure that our system is ready to meet current and future 3107 healthcare needs, it is imperative that we invest in Title VIII nursing workforce programs, the largest dedicated source of 3108 3109 funding that supports training, developing, and retaining a 3110 strong, diverse, competent nursing workforce across all care 3111 We know it is expensive and time-consuming to become 3112 trained as a medical professional, but loan repayment and

I am thankful for my colleagues' support for my amendment

scholarship programs can help alleviate that burden.

3113

3115	at subcommittee that raises Title VIII funding levels and gives
3116	more nurses the opportunity to benefit the loan repayment programs
3117	authorized in the legislation before us today. Our nurses will
3118	be able to practice at facilities in critical shortage areas where
3119	they are needed rather than having to seek employment in places
3120	where they can receive enough compensation to repay their
3121	investment. Bolstering our nursing workforce is a key piece of
3122	the broader solution to address our nation's provider shortage
3123	challenges.
3124	I appreciate the work of my colleagues, Representative Joyce,
3125	and this committee to advance this important legislation. Thank
3126	you and I yield back.
3127	The Chairman. I thank the gentlewoman. Any of the other
3128	co-sponsors want to speak? And we have no amendments, so we will
3129	just go to the vote. The question now occurs on favorably
3130	reporting H.R. 728, as amended, to the House.
3131	All those in favor of reporting the bill, as amended, will
3132	signify by saying aye.
3133	All those opposed will say no.
3134	In the opinion of the chair the ayes have it and H.R. 728,
3135	as amended, is reported to the full House.
3136	The Chairman. Next is the autism reauthorization. The
3137	chair calls up a committee print for H.R. 1058, the AUTISM Cares
3138	Act of 2019.
3139	[The Bill H.R. 1058 follows:]

3140

3141

3142	The Chairman. As forwarded by the Subcommittee on Health
3143	on July 11th and the clerk will report the title of the bill.
3144	The Clerk. Committee print to H.R. 1058, a bill to
3145	reauthorize certain provisions of the Public Health Service Act
3146	related to autism.
3147	The Chairman. Without objection, the first reading of the
3148	bill will be dispensed with. The bill is now considered as read.
3149	Does Mr. Doyle have an amendment in the nature of a substitute?
3150	Mr. Doyle. Yes, I have an amendment at the desk.
3151	[The Amendment offered by Mr. Doyle follows:]
3152	
3153	**************************************

3154 The clerk has the amendment and will report The Chairman. 3155 the amendment. 3156 The Clerk. Amendment in the nature of a substitute to H.R. Strike all after the enacted clause. 3157 1058, offered by Mr. Doyle. 3158 Without objection, the reading of the The Chairman. 3159 amendment will be dispensed with and Mr. Doyle is now recognized 3160 for 5 minutes. 3161 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I would like to offer Mr. Doyle. 3162 this amendment in the nature of a substitute to the AUTISM Cares 3163 reauthorization, a bill that I have introduced with Representative 3164 Chris Smith of New Jersey. As co-chair of the Autism Caucus, 3165 I am grateful that we are considering the bill before the committee 3166 today. 3167 I hear from parents, families, and individuals who have been 3168 impacted by autism spectrum disorders, on an almost daily basis. 3169 I hear about the big stuff, about the progress a child has made, 3170 about struggles to find appropriate support services and their 3171 I hear about the small stuff too, daily victories, daily 3172 pitfalls, laughs, tears, and more. These are the kinds of stories 3173 that motivate me to push for this bill and hopefully more like it. 3174 In 1995, the CDC spent less than \$300,000 annually to study 3175 3176 the prevalence of autism spectrum disorders and the NIH spent 3177 20 years later, we have made significant about 10 million. 3178 progress, but we are still far behind where we would like to be and where individuals and families need us to be. In 2000, the CDC reported approximately 1 in 150 children with ASD. The latest report found that number had increased to 1 in 59 children. Similarly, even though ASD can be diagnosed as early as 2 years old, most children are not diagnosed with ASD until after age 4.

The total cost per year for children with ASD in the United States, are estimated to be between 11.5 billion to upwards of \$60 billion in both direct and indirect costs from medical care to special education to lost parental productivity. And children and adults with ASD had average medical expenditures that were 4,000 to \$6,000 higher than children without ASD.

We also don't have a reliable estimate of autism's prevalence As autism is a lifelong condition, each year an estimated 50,000 teens and young adults with autism age out of school-based services. We have an unacceptable gap in our awareness of their needs, particularly in areas such as employment, housing, and social inclusion. That is why it is so important we pass this bill, to continue to close the gaps in knowledge and services surrounding ASD. The AUTISM Cares Act of 2019 increases authorized levels to match our recent success at the Appropriations Committee to \$296 million annually at the NIH, 23 million at the CDC, and 55 million at HRSA. This money will be used for research, surveillance, education, detection, and intervention for individuals with autism spectrum disorders

3179

3180

3181

3182

3183

3184

3185

3186

3187

3188

3189

3190

3191

3192

3193

3194

3195

3196

3197

3198

3199

3200

3201

3202

3204 of all ag

of all ages, not just children.

The bill also supports training the healthcare workforce to better understand and treat individuals with autism and prioritizes awards to medically underserved areas. It also directs HHS to submit a report to Congress on the health and well-being of individuals on the autism spectrum including proposals to improve health outcomes for individuals with autism, an often overlooked part of ASD.

The bill also adds an important voices to the Interagency Autism Coordinating Committee. We have included representatives from the Department of Labor, the Department of Justice, the Department of Housing and Urban Development, and the VA. It also increases the minimum number of self-advocates included in the public membership of the committee, an important step for a committee whose voices are invaluable. I am proud of the progress we have made over the past 20 years, but I know we still have more to do. The AUTISM Cares Act of 2019 takes important steps towards our ultimate goals to ensure that every individual has access to the treatment and support that is right for them. Mr. Chairman, with that I yield back and urge a positive vote. And I would yield the balance of my time to Ms. Eshoo.

Ms. Eshoo. I thank the gentleman. I want to really point out that the superb advocacy of Mr. Doyle and his partner who chairs the Autism Caucus in the House, Mr. Chris Smith, this would not have happened without them and the legislation really is going

3229	to move the needle, finally, on autism. And you say it is the
3230	AUTISM Cares Act. I think it is the Doyle-Smith Cares Act.
3231	So bravo to you and for families that are faced with
3232	individuals that they love with this. I think that we really
3233	are offering hope with this legislation. So thank you and I am
3234	proud that we were able to move it through the Health Subcommittee
3235	and now the full committee. I yield back.
3236	Mr. Doyle. I thank the gentlelady. Mr. Chairman, I yield
3237	back and thank you.
3238	The Chairman. I thank Mr. Doyle. We have before us the
3239	Doyle amendment in the nature of a substitute to his underlying
3240	bill. Does anyone else want to speak on the amendment?
3241	All right, let's go to a vote on the amendment. Oh, I mean
3242	there are no other amendments, right? Oh, there is. I apologize.
3243	Okay. Oh, I see. This is an amendment to the AINS. Okay.
3244	Ms. Rodgers is recognized for that purpose.
3245	Mrs. McMorris Rodgers. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I have
3246	an amendment at the desk.
3247	[The Amendment offered by Ms. McMorris Rodgers follows:]
3248	**************************************

3249 The gentlewoman -- oh, we need to have the The Chairman. 3250 Does this amendment have a label? Do you have it? 3251 The clerk will report the amendment to the AINS. All right. 3252 The amendment to the amendment in the nature The Clerk. 3253 of a substitute to H.R. 1058 offered by Mrs. Rodgers of Washington. 3254 The Chairman. Without objection, the reading of this 3255 amendment will be dispensed with and the gentlewoman is recognized 3256 on her amendment to the AINS. 3257 Mrs. McMorris Rodgers. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I am a 3258 proud co-sponsor of H.R. 1058, the AUTISM Cares Act, led by 3259 Representative Doyle and Representative Smith. Ensuring that 3260 individuals with disabilities have adequate access to education, 3261 housing, and other programs has always been a priority for me. We have made great progress over the years, and this bill helps 3262 to continue our efforts. 3263 3264 This amendment makes technical changes to the amendment in 3265 the nature of a substitute in order to ensure that individuals 3266 with autism spectrum disorder and other developmental 3267 disabilities are more clearly included in the statute for autism 3268 education, early detection, and intervention activities. 3269 adoption of the amendment and the underlying bill and yield back the balance of my time. 3270 3271 The Chairman. Thank you. Anyone want to speak on the 3272 Rodgers amendment to the AINS? Hearing none, we will proceed

to that vote.

3274 All those in favor of the Rodgers amendment will signify 3275 by saying aye. 3276 All those opposed will say no. 3277 In the opinion of the chair the ayes have it and the amendment 3278 to the AINS is agreed to. I assume now we go to the AINS. 3279 will proceed to a vote on the amendment in the nature of a 3280 substitute introduced by Mr. Doyle. All those in favor of the Doyle amendment in the nature of 3281 3282 a substitute, as amended, to H.R. 1058 will signify by saying 3283 aye. 3284 All those opposed will signify by saying no. 3285 In the opinion of the chair, the ayes have it and the amendment 3286 in the nature of a substitute, as amended, to H.R. 1058 is agreed 3287 to. 3288 Now we are going to go to the underlying Doyle bill. 3289 question now occurs on favorably reporting H.R. 1058, as amended, 3290 to the House. 3291 All those in favor of favorably reporting the bill as amended 3292 will signify by saying aye. 3293 All those opposed will say no. 3294 In the opinion of the chair, the ayes have it and the H.R. 3295 1058, as amended, is reported to the House. All members shall 3296 have 2 additional days in which to file supplemental, additional, 3297 minority, and dissenting views. And the chair intends to file 3298 the report for H.R. 1058 with the House on Friday. The next health The Chairman. As forwarded by the Subcommittee on Health on July 11th, the clerk will report the title of the bill.

The Clerk. Committee print to H.R. 2507, a bill to amend the Public Health Service Act to reauthorize certain programs under Part A.

The Chairman. Without objection, the first reading of the bill will be dispensed with. The bill is now considered as read. Do we have or expect any amendments to this? No, okay. Would anyone like to -- the sponsors would like to speak on this, the gentlewoman -- oh, the gentlewoman from New York is recognized for 5 minutes.

Ms. Clarke. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. I just move to strike the last word. Mr. Chairman, it is great today to know that the Newborn Screening Saves Lives Reauthorization Act of 2019 is being considered on our agenda. I would like to thank my colleagues for their diligent work on this bill which will reauthorize newborn screening programs for the next 5 years.

Since its original passage in 2008, the Newborn Screening Saves Lives Act has helped to create a federal advisory committee to review significant disorders and it has also established a grant program for screening, counseling, and other services related to heritable disorders. While the act has made significant strides towards eliminating preventable newborn deaths, one crucial component that we still need to address is the patchwork method through which states are implementing

3329 disorders on the federal Recommended Uniform Screening Panel list, 3330 otherwise known as RUSP. With our current system, it could 3331 potentially take a decade or more for all 50 states to test for 3332 an added disorder leading to thousands of unnecessary lives lost 3333 due to screening limitations. 3334 Today, I would like to recognize Ms. Elisa Seeger who is 3335 with us today in this markup. Ms. Seeger is the mother of Aidan Jack Seeger, a spirited 6-year-old Brooklyn boy who developed 3336 3337 vision and concentration problems. In 2011, Ms. Seeger 3338 discovered that Aidan had ALD, a deadly brain disease from which 3339 he has unfortunately passed away. It is in Aidan's memory that 3340 I now look forward to working with the committee on this important 3341 issue to adding ALD and three other disorders to RUSP in order 3342 to eliminate death by ZIP Code. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and 3343 I yield back the remainder of my time. 3344 The Chairman. I thank the gentlewoman, our committee vice Does anyone else want to speak on the gentlewoman's bill? 3345 3346 All right, then we are going to go to a vote. The question now 3347 occurs on favorably reporting H.R. 2507, as amended, to the full 3348 House. All those in favor of reporting the bill, as amended, will 3349 3350 signify by saying aye. 3351 All those opposed will say no. 3352 In the opinion of the chair, the ayes have it and H.R. 2507,

as amended, is reported to the full House. And all members shall

3354	have 2 additional days in which to file supplemental, additional,
3355	minority, and dissenting views. The chair intends to file the
3356	report for the bill with the House on Friday.
3357	The next health bill, call up H.R. 776, the Emergency Medical
3358	Services for Children Program Reauthorization Act of 2019.
3359	[The Bill H.R. 776 follows:]
3360	
3361	**************************************

3362 The clerk will report the title of the bill. The Chairman. 3363 The Clerk. H.R. 776, a bill to amend the Public Health 3364 Service Act to reauthorize the Emergency Medical Services for 3365 Children Program. 3366 Without objection, the first reading of the 3367 bill will be dispensed with. The bill is now considered as read. 3368 Do we expect an amendment? No amendments. Sponsors would like 3369 The gentlewoman from Florida? 3370 Thank you. Chairman Pallone and Health Ms. Castor. 3371 Subcommittee Chair Eshoo, I want to thank you very much for 3372 including my bill in the markup today. H.R. 776 is the Emergency 3373 Medical Services for Children Program Reauthorization which I 3374 introduced with Congressman Peter King of New York along with my colleagues, Congressman Butterfield from our committee, and 3375 3376 Chris Stewart. 3377 Our bill reauthorizes the Emergency Medical Services for Children program through 2024. 3378 EMSC is a vital initiative 3379 because it is the only federal funding specifically focused on 3380 addressing the unique needs of children in emergency medical 3381 Children have specific healthcare needs. 3382 not like adults. And EMSC helps bring innovation in pediatric 3383 emergency care to each state. 3384 In 2016, twenty-two percent of Emergency Department visits 3385 in my home state of Florida were made by children, so it is critical

that we reauthorize this initiative as soon as possible to ensure

3387	that America's kids are getting the right care when they need
3388	it. I want to thank my colleagues on the committee,
3389	Representatives Bobby Rush, Darren Soto, Lisa Blunt Rochester,
3390	and Susan Brooks for co-sponsoring H.R. 776. I urge my colleagues
3391	to support this bill and I yield back my time.
3392	The Chairman. I want to thank the gentlewoman. Does anyone
3393	else want to speak on the Castor bill? All right, we are going
3394	to go to a vote. The question now occurs on favorably reporting
3395	H.R. 776 to the House.
3396	All those in favor of reporting the bill to the full House
3397	will signify by saying aye.
3398	All those opposed will say no.
3399	In the opinion of the chair the ayes have it and H.R. 776
3400	is reported to the House. All members shall have 2 additional
3401	days in which to file supplemental, additional, minority, and
3402	dissenting views, and the chair intends to file the report on
3403	Friday.
3404	Next health bill is the chair calls up H.R. 2035, the Lifespan
3405	Respite Care Reauthorization Act of 2019.
3406	[The Bill H.R. 2035 follows:]
3407	
3408	**************************************

3409	The Chairman. As forwarded by the Subcommittee on Health
3410	on July 11th, the clerk will report the title.
3411	The Clerk. Committee print to H.R. 2035, a bill to amend
3412	Title XXIX.
3413	The Chairman. Without objection, the first reading of the
3414	bill will be dispensed with. The bill is now considered as read.
3415	Do we expect any amendments? No amendments. The sponsor is
3416	not on the committee, but who is it anyway?
3417	Oh, Mr. Langevin. Oh, Ms. Rodgers oh, does she want
3418	did you want to speak?
3419	Mrs. McMorris Rodgers. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
3420	The Chairman. I recognize the gentlewoman from Washington.
3421	Mrs. McMorris Rodgers. Move to strike the last word.
3422	The Chairman. The gentlewoman is recognized.
3423	Mrs. McMorris Rodgers. Respite care is an essential part
3424	of a comprehensive healthcare approach and this legislation will
3425	support respite care agencies by providing funding for state
3426	grants to improve and expand these services in our communities,
3427	improve coordination, and streamline access to programs. I am
3428	proud to have joined Mr. Langevin in introducing the Lifespan
3429	Respite Care Act of 2019, to help fill gaps in respite care in
3430	Eastern Washington and all across our country. I yield back.
3431	The Chairman. I thank the gentlewoman. Anyone else want
3432	to talk on this respite care bill? If not, we will go to a vote.
3433	The question now occurs on favorably reporting H.R. 2035, as
	NEAL R. GROSS

3434	amended, to the House.
3435	All those in favor of reporting the bill, as amended, to
3436	the House will signify by saying aye.
3437	All those opposed will say no.
3438	In the opinion of the chair the ayes have it. H.R. 2035,
3439	as amended, is reported to the House. All members shall have
3440	2 additional days in which to file supplemental, additional,
3441	minority, and dissenting views, and the chair will file the report
3442	for the bill on Friday.
3443	Next healthcare bill, the chair calls up H.R. 2296, the More
3444	Efficient Tools to Realized Information for Consumers Act, or
3445	the METRIC Act.
3446	[The Bill H.R. 2296 follows:]
3447	
3448	**************************************

3449 The Chairman. As forwarded by the Subcommittee of Health 3450 on July 11th, the clerk will report the title of the bill. 3451 The Clerk. Committee print to H.R. 2296, a bill to require 3452 reporting regarding certain drug price increases and for other 3453 purposes. 3454 The Chairman. Without objection, the first reading of the 3455 bill will be dispensed with. The bill is now considered as read. 3456 Expecting any amendments, okay, Ms. Schakowsky has a manager's 3457 amendment. Did you want to be recognized? 3458 Ms. Schakowsky. Mr. Chairman, may I speak on the underlying 3459 bill first? 3460 The Chairman. Absolutely. The gentlewoman is recognized 3461 to speak on the underlying bill. 3462 Ms. Schakowsky. So the first thing I want to say is thank 3463 I am grateful, first, to Representative Francis Rooney of 3464 Florida who was the first Republican to join me in introducing 3465 the FAIR Drug Pricing Act, which is included in this package, 3466 and who has been committed to working across the aisle on drug 3467 pricing for several years now. 3468 I am also very grateful to Senator Baldwin and Braun, senators 3469 both of them, in the Senate, who are fighting to get this companion 3470 bill over the finish line. I am also grateful to Ranking Member 3471 Walden, his staff, and my Republican colleagues on this committee 3472 who agreed to work diligently with us to reach bipartisan 3473 compromise on the FAIR Drug Pricing Act and other drug pricing

transparency measures that are all included in this package of bills.

I hope that people will look at this markup today and realize that bipartisanship is still possible, especially in this committee but also in this Congress. And, finally, I am most grateful to our chairman and his staff for being so committed to this issue of true drug price transparency, transparency that actually has teeth. They have worked with me throughout the Congress to set what I hope will be a unanimous committee vote to make the whole package -- move the whole package to the House floor today.

This package is essential and it is long overdue. The bills being considered today are only a start and transparency is only one piece of the puzzle in bringing down the cost of prescription drugs. But let me make everyone aware, it is a huge deal that the Energy and Commerce Committee has taken the lead on marking up the most robust, the most comprehensive, the most effective drug price transparency legislation that has ever been seriously considered in the United States Congress. We should all be proud of that effort.

AARP, the Campaign for Sustainable Prescription Drugs,
Patients for Affordable Drugs, Families USA, the National Multiple
Sclerosis Society, the American Academy of Neurology, the Alliance
for Retired Americans, the Medicare Rights Center, all agree that
they have endorsed this package. But most importantly, I want

3499 to say that this package will for the very first time give taxpayers 3500 public notice of price increases. It will for the first time 3501 bring basic transparency to the market for prescription drugs. 3502 The American people are crying out and prescription drug 3503 prices are literally killing them. H.R. 2296 will finally force 3504 drug companies to answer to the American people. So what we need to do right today is to pass this package and I yield back. 3505 3506 The Chairman. I thank the gentlewoman. So this was the 3507 underlying bill, but now unless anyone wants to speak on that 3508 we are going to go the Schakowsky manager's amendment. 3509 The gentleman from Georgia wants to speak on the underlying 3510 bill? The gentleman is recognized. 3511 Mr. Carter. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I move to strike the 3512 Mr. Chairman, I will be brief, but I want to again 3513 state my appreciation for the work that has gone into these bills. 3514 I have had concerns with earlier versions of these bills, but 3515 I think after a robust process of hearings and negotiations this 3516 is a package of bills that will help patients. 3517 So far, this committee has demonstrated sincere effort to 3518 work together to address prescription drug prices. While I think 3519 we have some work to do, today's transparency bills are a good 3520 Sunlight is the best disinfectant and I am concerned and 3521 I am confident that the policies in this bill will help lower 3522 costs for patients. 3523 Again, I want to thank you, Chairman Pallone and Chairwoman

3524 Eshoo, for your leadership throughout this process. And I want 3525 to thank the staff on both sides for their work on this as well. 3526 I look forward to continuing to work with you all to address 3527 other drug pricing reforms moving forward. 3528 I remember during one of the insulin hearings in the Oversight 3529 Committee earlier this year, Chairwoman DeGette said she thought she would never see the day when Buddy Carter was channeling Jan 3530 3531 Schakowsky. But here we are and I am thankful we have been able 3532 to work together on these issues. 3533 Mr. Chairman, today marks another strong step in this 3534 committee's work to lower drug prices for Americans I encourage 3535 all of my colleagues to support this bill. I look forward to 3536 working, to continue to work with you all to get this bill across 3537 the President's desk so we can start delivering for patients across 3538 the country. Thank you and I yield back. 3539 The Chairman. I thank the gentleman. So anyone else want 3540 to comment on the underlying --3541 Mr. Olson. Mr. Chair, I move to strike the last word. 3542 The Chairman. Who is --3543 Mr. Olson. Over here. 3544 The gentleman is recognized. The Chairman. 3545 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. H.R. 2296, the METRIC Mr. Olson. 3546 Act, includes much needed transparency in the cost of prescription 3547 Last month, my Texas colleague, Jodey Arrington, and I 3548 introduced H.R. 3408, the Shop Rx Act of 2019. H.R. 3408 ensures

3549	that sponsors of prescription drug plans offer real-time benefit
3550	information to Medicare beneficiaries.
3551	Real-time benefits allow providers to see the cost of a drug
3552	and its alternatives before they prescribe it to their patients.
3553	By incorporating price transparency tools, both patients and
3554	their providers can have more informed, productive conversations
3555	about their healthcare options. Physicians and patients should
3556	be on the same page and be aware of all the options available.
3557	I am pleased to see this committee included H.R. 3408 in this
3558	bill. I urge my colleagues to support H.R. 2296. I yield back.
3559	The Chairman. I thank the gentleman. Any other statements
3560	on the underlying bill? If not, we will move to Schakowsky
3561	manager's amendment, and the gentlewoman is recognized.
3562	Ms. Schakowsky. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Before
3563	beginning, let me just thank Chair Eshoo of the Health Subcommittee
3564	for bringing us
3565	The Chairman. Oh, you know, I apologize. We have to
3566	the clerk has to report your amendment, first.
3567	Ms. Schakowsky. Okay. So let me just say then, for the
3568	purposes of offering an amendment to H.R. 2296.
3569	[The Amendment of Ms. Schakowsky follows:]
3570	
3571	**************************************

3572 The Chairman. The clerk has the amendment? 3573 The Clerk. Yes, sir. 3574 The Chairman. Want to read it? 3575 Amendment to H.R. 2296 offered by Ms. The Clerk. I do. 3576 Schakowsky. 3577 The Chairman. Without objection, the reading of the 3578 amendment will be dispensed with and Ms. Schakowsky is recognized 3579 to speak in support of her bill. 3580 Ms. Schakowsky. Once again, I want to thank Chair Eshoo 3581 for bringing us to this day where we are doing some very important 3582 work, and also thank her for -- I have had the opportunity to 3583 waive on to the subcommittee on several occasions and I appreciate 3584 Mr. Carter, they don't call you Buddy for nothing. 3585 I am so happy to be able to work with you on this legislation. 3586 I want to take just a few moments to explain why the provisions 3587 in this package are stronger and more effective than similar bills that have been introduced this Congress. First, section 2 is 3588 3589 the bicameral and bipartisan FAIR Drug Pricing Act, which I have 3590 introduced with Congressman Rooney, I alluded to it before, which 3591 is, I think, the least we can do for consumers. 3592 This section does two essential things. First, it requires 3593 pharmaceutical manufacturers to notify HHS and submit a 3594 transparency and justification report 30 days before they increase 3595 the price of certain drugs by more than ten percent or by more than twenty-five percent over 3 years. All nonproprietary 3596

information will be made public on the date of the price increase so that American taxpayers who fund research and development on so many prescription drugs will receive notice -- who rely on those drugs.

The justification report will require manufacturers to accurately and truthfully provide an explanation for the price increase, the manufacturing research and development costs for the drug, the net profits attributed to that drug, the marketing and advertising spending on the drug, the expenditure on patents and licensing for the drug, executive compensation during the period of the price increase, and other information that the Secretary can decide is necessary through rulemaking.

While other transparency legislation has been considered for the first time this Congress, I feel confident that H.R. 2296 will provide greater information for consumers about true cost of drugs developed and marketed. Unlike other proposals, my bill does not allow manufacturers to pick and choose what information they would like to disclose.

Additionally, I want to highlight section 3 and 4 of this package which will increase transparency around the rebates and merger activity of Pharmacy Benefit Managers. This is the issue that Representative Carter has brought to our attention. As chair of the Consumer Protection and Commerce Subcommittee, I am especially happy that section 4 mandates a report from the Federal Trade Commission that studies merger activity of PBMs and

potentially anti-competitive practices that could increase drug
prices.

Section 5 of this package is based off of a very strong bill
that was introduced by my friend Judy Chu in last April. I commend

that was introduced by my friend Judy Chu in last April. I commend her for introducing robust legislation to help Americans understand exactly what is influencing or driving the distribution of drug samples. And I am happy that through our negotiation around her bill, we reached bipartisan agreement to make aggregate information on sample distribution publicly available online.

Section 6 of this package incorporates a bill introduced by my friend Lloyd Doggett who has been an ally in the fight for true drug price transparency and a champion of the FAIR Drug Pricing Act. His bill would force drug companies to report more information to CMS about their average sales prices. Section 7 of the package requires that insurers give real-time drug price information to seniors enrolled in Medicare Part D.

I hope you all will join me in voting for this landmark drug pricing package, and with that I yield back.

The Chairman. I thank the gentlewoman. I recognize the ranking member, Mr. Walden.

Mr. Walden. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I ask to strike the last word. As I mentioned in the subcommittee, I want to sincerely thank Chairman Pallone and his team for a commendable process on these bills. Today, this package of bills is focused around transparency and I think that is a very laudable goal. If there

is one thing I think the healthcare market could benefit from it is more transparency to empower consumers and ultimately open up more choice and competition.

But I do want to make sure that as this process continues that we do not open ourselves up to unintended consequences, the language we began with several months ago. So I can support this package because I feel we have mitigated many of those issues. While I still have some concerns, honestly, a few more than I did last week, I feel they are outweighed by all the progress we were able to make.

I also plan to continue to diligently work to ensure that we do not hamper innovation or impede patient access to their needed medication as this process continues. Now a lot of compromises were made on both sides as we worked into the night, just yesterday. As I said many times previously, if I feel in our attempt to pay homage to the idea of transparency that we actually end up violating any of the core principles in this space, I will have to reevaluate my support.

But you understood the concerns we had and you worked with our members and staff, Mr. Chairman, and I do again want to thank you and your staff and I hope I have your commitment to protect this important work that we have accomplished. It is my sincere hope, Mr. Chairman, that you can defend this committee work and ensure that it is our language that we report out today that actually proceeds to the floor.

I am encouraged by our work in this bill to support the amendment and I thank the chairman for his process and urge members to support this legislation and I yield back the balance of my time.

The Chairman. I thank the ranking member and I yield myself 5 minutes in support of the amendment offered by Representative Schakowsky. And I do want to -- I will certainly commit to the ranking member to protect this important work. I know that there are competing bills and I do believe that our work of this Energy and Commerce Committee is important to protect and bring to the floor.

This amendment includes important bipartisan improvements to the bill since its consideration by the Health Subcommittee last week. We have incorporated stakeholder feedback, technical assistance, and other changes that strengthen the underlying legislation all while maintaining our commitment that we reach bipartisan agreement on legislation that will provide meaningful transparency for consumers and policymakers.

This amendment clarifies the underlying reporting requirements for manufacturers of qualifying drugs that raise their prices more than ten percent in 1 year or twenty-five percent in 3 consecutive years, such as the total expenditures for research and development in order to obtain FDA approval as well as the identity of other manufacturers that may have contributed to the advancement of the drug previously.

3697 And, specifically, the bill denotes each data reporting 3698 requirement that must be disclosed publicly, removing any 3699 subjectivity that could be applied in justifying high price 3700 This means that exactly what manufacturers report 3701 to HHS will then be disclosed publicly, and we have also reinforced 3702 these reporting requirements by making clear that manufacturers 3703 must provide information that is truthful, not misleading, and accurate while also ensuring that any information that is 3704 3705 protected from disclosure today will continue to be protected 3706 under those reporting requirements. We are sending a message 3707 to drug manufacturers that if you indiscriminately raise your 3708 prices you have to answer to the American people and tell them 3709 And this goes to the very heart of this bill, increasing 3710 meaningful transparency. Consumers have a right to know why the 3711 drugs they are taking are increasing in price. They have a right 3712 to know how much a drug will cost them when it is being prescribed. 3713 3714 samples on price. 3715 as the format in which it is provided. 3716

And they should be able to understand the impact of product samples on price. However, information is only as meaningful as the format in which it is provided.

The METRIC Act ensures that the reported documentation required to be submitted by drug manufacturers when they increase their prices is publicly disclosed in full, while also in a format that is user-friendly and can be readily understood. For example, this bill will allow the American people to know just how much money drug makers are spending on marketing and advertising as

3717

3718

3719

3720

compared to other costs like manufacturing and research. Access to information like this will provide insight into manufacturers' real intentions and motivations when they increase their prices.

In addition to the reporting provisions for drug manufacturers, the bill ensures researchers have access to information related to the distribution of drug samples to facilitate analysis and study the implications of distributing product samples to healthcare providers. And this will encourage both qualitative and quantitative analysis that will ultimately help consumers and policymakers to better understand what connections, if any, there are regarding samples and health provider behavior.

I think we need more transparency in the drug supply chain, but for transparency to be useful it must be in a way that consumers can appreciate and understand, and this amendment and the underlying bill accomplish that goal, in my opinion. H.R. 2296 represents a strong bipartisan agreement that will bring greater transparency to the marketplace and to the American people.

And again, I want to thank Representative Schakowsky for her leadership. I know how hard she has worked on this bill, as well as my Republican colleagues and Ranking Member Walden. I am proud of this legislation and our committee's work, and I urge my colleagues to support the amendment and the underlying bill. And with that I would -- let me also -- let me yield now to the gentlewoman, the chairman of the Health Subcommittee, who

has also been so helpful with this. I yield to Ms. Eshoo.

Ms. Eshoo. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I move to strike the last word, but I will be brief. I won't use the whole 5 minutes. I want to thank Ms. Schakowsky for her work, for everyone that has been involved in this because it is a very important undertaking. Everyone is for transparency. I don't know anyone that isn't for it. What I want to make sure we do moving forward is that once people see the price that we actually bring that price down, because when they see the price their temperature is going to go up.

So transparency is one thing and it is another thing to us continue working to bring the overall prices down for the American people. I want to highlight that in the METRIC Act, which I really think is important, is it requires PBMs to report the discounts they negotiate with drug manufacturers. And I know that members on both sides of the aisle were -- this is something that they cared a great deal about in the subcommittee. I think that that transparency is going to help patients to benefit from that discount.

And the bill also directs the FTC to review PBMs' anticompetitive behavior. At our hearings we learned that there are three PBMs that control the majority of the market and they own pharmacies too. So how do you like that one? I think it is a potential conflict of interest. So with this bill the FTC is going to scrutinize the PBMs and if there isn't anticompetitive

3772	behavior, terrific. But if there is, they will we will need
3773	to, together, address any distortions in the market.
3774	So I wanted to highlight those things in the underlying bill
3775	and I look forward to its passage and I thank everyone that has
3776	worked on it. I think it is important work for the American people
3777	and I yield back.
3778	The Chairman. I thank Chairwoman Eshoo for her contribution
3779	with this legislation as well. So now we have the Schakowsky
3780	amendment. Does anyone want to speak on that? If not, we will
3781	go to a vote.
3782	All those in favor of the gentlewoman's amendment in the
3783	nature of a substitute will signify by saying aye.
3784	All those opposed will say no.
3785	In the opinion of the chair the ayes have it and the amendment
3786	in the nature of a substitute is agreed to. Do we have any further
3787	amendments?
3788	Okay, so we are going to go now to the underlying bill.
3789	The question now occurs on favorably reporting H.R. 2296, as
3790	amended, to the House.
3791	All those in favor of reporting the bill, as amended, to
3792	the House will signify by saying aye.
3793	All those opposed will say no.
3794	In the opinion of the chair the ayes have it and H.R. 2296,
3795	as amended, is reported to the full House.
3796	The next healthcare bill it is the last one? Don't we

3797	have two more? Oh, I see. Surprise billing and extenders are
3798	all in one bill. All right.
3799	So the chair calls up H.R. 2328.
3800	[The Bill H.R. 2328 follows:]
3801	
3802	**************************************

 *********INSERT 40******
[The Amendment offered by The Chairman follows:]
the nature of a substitute which is labeled AINS 01.
right. I am going to recognize myself to offer an amendment in
The Chairman. We resolved the staff differences? All
easy.
The Chairman. We are putting this all together. It is not
Mr. Walden. Please stand by.
second here.
two, but so what amendments do we have? Let's just pause a
has surprise billing all rolled into one. And now there is
health centers, it has the territories under Medicaid, and it
everything, right? It has all the extenders, it has the community
have one? All right, so now this bill, you know, has
This is my own, all right. So I am now going to oh, you
Do we have any amendments to this?
bill will be dispensed with. The bill is now considered as read.
The Chairman. Without objection, the first reading of the
reauthorize
The Clerk. Committee print to H.R. 2328, a bill to
title of the bill.
Subcommittee on Health on July 11th, the clerk will report the
Community Health Act, or the REACH Act, as forwarded by the
The Chairman. The Reauthorizing and Extending America's

The Chairman. Do you have that? The clerk will report the amendment.

The Clerk. Amendment in the nature of a substitute to H.R. 2328, offered by Mr. Pallone.

The Chairman. Without objection, the reading of the amendment will be dispensed with and I recognize myself for 5 minutes. This amendment adds two additional bipartisan bills to the underlying health extenders package which is, itself, a product of a successful bipartisan compromise coming out of our Health Subcommittee. I want to thank the Ranking Member Mr. Walden for his willingness to work with me to get to this point.

First, this amendment incorporates H.R. 3630, the No Surprises Act, which I have introduced with Ranking Member Walden, and I am proud of the open bipartisan process the ranking member and I have had with this legislation. We have done our best to hear all sides of the issue and I think we have landed with a strong product that will provide consumers with significant protections from surprise medical bills. While I still have reservations about the inclusion of an independent dispute resolution process, I believe the amendment will be offered today by Representatives Ruiz and Bucshon and that is an appropriately targeted amendment that represents their concerns, and I am committed to getting this legislation done for consumers and so that is what we are doing.

This amendment also incorporates the Territories Health Care

Improvement Act which was introduced by Representatives Soto and Bilirakis and provides critical funding and important program integrity improvements to the Medicaid programs in the territories. We all know that the territories' Medicaid programs are in crisis. Without additional federal financial support they won't have enough money to last them through next year. Without additional federal funds, the American citizens who live in the territories will be at risk of losing their health coverage, losing access to doctors, hospitals, and drugs.

So this bipartisan bill would provide the territories with several years of enhanced federal funds at an increased matching rate. It will ensure that the territories have the money they need to ensure people continue to have access to health care. It also makes important program integrity improvements that will help ensure that federal Medicaid dollars are being spent to improve the health of Medicaid beneficiaries.

The policies in the amendment augment the significant investment the REACH Act makes to community health centers, teaching health centers, the National Health Service Corps, and other health programs. Community health centers provide access to primary health care and other services for millions of Americans especially in areas that have been underserved. Health centers provide high quality care to individuals regardless of their ability to pay and are often the only access point available to patients in their area.

Since the House created the Community Health Center Fund in the Affordable Act of 2010, we have seen a significant expansion of health centers and their ability to serve. At our legislative hearing we heard how important it was for community health centers to receive long-term, stable funding to allow them to invest in care for their patients without the risk of shutting their doors, and I am proud that the reauthorization that we are passing today represents the longest extension of the fund since it was created and includes more funding than any other one piece of legislation that has ever put into community centers.

Let me just add for my Republican friends, I know I am mentioning the ACA here, but I also am very much aware of the fact that community health centers concept were started, were very much supported by the Republicans over the years, particularly President Bush who was a big advocate for it.

This bill also invests in the future of our healthcare workforce in underserved communities by extending funding for the National Health Service Corps and the teaching health centers program. The National Health Service Corps program provides financial support to professional students and primary care providers who commit to serve in medically underserved communities. In the past when Congress has failed to extend funding for this program on time, providers were left in limbo for months without the ability to renew their contracts. It is critical we prevent that from happening again by passing this

3903 package today.

The teaching health center program has similarly increased training of primary care medical and dental residents in community-based settings. Physicians trained at teaching health centers are far more likely to practice in a medically underserved or rural community helping better ensure that all Americans receive the care they need.

And, finally, the REACH Act includes important extensions of the Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute, or PCORI, and important Medicare programs that improve access to quality care for low-income beneficiaries and allow seniors to remain in their home as they age. Patients are counting on us to put them first and the REACH Act does that. The amendment in the nature of a substitute provides the investments necessary to improve access to care in the U.S. territories and a lifeline to millions in traditionally underserved areas and it protects patients from the unreasonable and unacceptable practice of surprise billing.

So I thank all the members for their work on this package.

I think it is one of the things we can be truly proud of and

I urge support for this amendment and the underlying bill then,

and yield back.

Mr. Walden. Mr. Chairman?

The Chairman. I now recognize Mr. Walden. Do you want to speak on the AINS?

3928

Mr. Walden. Yes. Yes.

3929

The Chairman. He wants to speak on it, okay. I recognize

3930

our ranking member.

3931

3932

3933

3934

3935 3936

3937

3938

3939

3940

3941

3942

3943

3944

3945

3946

3947

3948

3949

3950

3951

3952

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. H.R. 2328, as you Mr. Walden. have said, reauthorizes for 4 years funding for the Special Diabetes Program, Teaching Health Center Graduate Medical Education, the National Health Service Corps, community health centers, and other critical health programs. It also reauthorizes for 3 years important expiring Medicare programs and eliminates 2 years of DSH cuts that would have had a significant

This amendment in the nature of a substitute to H.R. 2328 incorporates the language of H.R. 3631 which is the Territories Health Care Improvement Act, and H.R. 3630, the No Surprises Act. I support the inclusion of these important provisions, appreciate the bipartisan commitment made to get the policies in this amendment right, and I urge my colleagues to support its package.

impact on hospitals and that were called for under Obamacare.

And I really want to give a shout-out to our delegate resident commissioner from Puerto Rico who is in the front row there. Jenniffer Gonzalez-Colon has been a terrific resource for us and a very effective representative for the island and the people who live there to make sure that we get Medicaid at the right level for the people who need it most, and we appreciate your counsel and we will be submitting your comments for the record.

Mr. Chairman, with that I yield back.

The Chairman. Thank you, Mr. Walden. I want to recognize Ms. Eshoo next, but I do want to thank her and Dr. Burgess. You know, we are very proud of the fact that on all legislation or most legislation when we follow the regular order that we have hearings in the subcommittee and markups in the subcommittee, and I know that this bill basically comes from numerous Health Subcommittee hearings, so I just wanted to mention it and thank you for that. I recognize Chairwoman Eshoo.

Ms. Eshoo. I thank The Chairman for his kind words and move to strike the last word. I just want to put this very simply. I think that this bill is a very big deal. First, on the territories there was a great deal of thought on the part of many that went into what has produced the outcome that is before us today, and I want to recognize all of the delegates representing the territories for their terrific work and for their — they were highly instructive to us. Mr. Soto has done marvelous work and again all of my thanks to the delegates.

I say that the bill is a big deal because it is going to really improve health care for every single American including the 1 in 13 Americans who receive primary care from community health centers regardless of their ability to pay, the 30 million Americans who have diabetes and depend on the research that is funded through the Special Diabetes Program, the 10,000 people who newly enroll in Medicare every day and are dependent on personalized counseling to understand their benefits and their

premiums, the 11 million people who receive care from clinicians in the National Health Service Corps, and the millions of Americans who rely on the care provided by the Disproportionate Share Hospital in their community.

It also will help the one and a half million Medicaid enrollees in the territories whose lives hang in the balance of a funding cliff. I think this is the most comprehensive legislation relative to the territories at least since I have been on the Energy and Commerce Committee and that is a long time. It is more than 2 decades.

As we heard at our historic hearing, for too long the territories have struggled with inadequate, unfair, I think, federal funding with their low federal match. So I am really proud of the work that the subcommittee has done. This longer term fix for the territories offers them certainty and that is, I think, one of the most essential ingredients in this because they need to invest in their healthcare systems and they will be able to. And it is bipartisan and that is very important, obviously, as well. And I am also pleased that the bill includes a sense of Congress acknowledging the need for a permanent fix relative to Puerto Rico.

So, with that I am going to yield back the balance of my time.

The Chairman. May I ask the gentlewoman to yield to me?

Ms. Eshoo. Sure, I would be glad to.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

I just wanted to follow up on what you said. The Chairman. I notice that Jenniffer Gonzalez is here, the delegate from Puerto Are there other delegates here? But I wanted to mention Rico. that both she and Stacey Plaskett were great when we had the CODEL that went to the two -- well, I quess there is more than two islands in the Virgin Islands, right -- you know, after Hurricane Maria and were very instructive in telling us about the problems with the, you know, the difficulty in funding the Medicaid program.

And Mr. --

4003

4004

4005

4006

4007

4008

4009

4010

4011

4012

4013

4014

4015

4016

4017

4018

4019

4020

4021

4022

4023

4024

4025

4026

4027

Mr. Chairman, can I reclaim just --Ms. Eshoo.

The Chairman. Yeah, sure. Of course.

-- 30 seconds to say something about surprise Ms. Eshoo. billing? There has been a ton of work that has gone into this bill and I think the good news is, is that everyone agrees that patients should not be caught in the middle of this. I appreciate the work that has been done hearing out members and sensibilities that they had and that there is a blend to the bill that we have with the stop gap measure. I think it improves the legislation. And I am really very proud of our committee's ability to listen to members so that legislation can be improved and that ultimately that patients are going to be protected from this sticker shock of surprise billings. So thank you to you and the ranking member and I yield back.

Well, let me just take the last 15 seconds, The Chairman. if you will --

4028

Ms. Eshoo. Sure, bouncy ball.

4029

4030

4031 4032

4033

4034 4035

4036

4037 4038

4039

4040

4042

4043

4044

4045

4046

4047

4048

4049

4050

4051

4052

The Chairman. -- and say that, you know, obviously Darren Soto did so much work on this, but we also had a lot of help from other Members of Congress who are, you know, who are of Puerto Rican descent, Nydia Velazquez, Jose Serrano, and others, so I just wanted to thank all of them as well. Thank you.

The gentlewoman yields back. Is there anybody on the Republican side that wants to speak on this? Yes, the gentleman from Florida.

Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I move to strike I would first like to thank you for offering this amendment in the nature of a substitute, H.R. 2328, also known as the Reauthorizing and Extending America's Community Health Act, or the REACH Act. I am very pleased to see this amendment includes the longest reauthorization of the community health centers, a critical community lifeline. Community health centers treat one in five uninsured Americans, a third of those living in poverty, and one in six Medicaid beneficiaries. They provide high quality, cost effective care that annually saves the healthcare system an estimated \$24 billion by reducing the need for patients to seek care in costlier settings such as Emergency Departments.

And I know, Mr. Chairman, you worked with my father,

Congressman Mike Bilirakis, for years. I think you all co-chaired

the caucus for many years, the Community Health Centers Caucus,

and I appreciate that.

I have witnessed impressive innovation and collaboration as the community health centers in my district, like Premier Community Health Center and Community Health Center of Pinellas, work with other community partners to provide a system of care that is accessible and cost efficient. Community health centers have long enjoyed bipartisan support because they are a prime example of what is working in our healthcare system.

Additionally, this amendment includes Medicaid funding for the U.S. territories to address the pending fiscal cliff. I want to thank my friend and colleague, Jenniffer Gonzalez, who works on behalf of her constituents. She does a wonderful job and we are very, very fortunate to have her here in Congress working on behalf of these wonderful American citizens in Puerto Rico.

Also, Stacey, I'm not sure if she is in the room, but all the delegates, they have done an outstanding job with regard to this. I'd like to also thank my colleague, and colleague on this effort, Representative Soto, who has also done a great job and he has worked in a bipartisan fashion. We appreciate it so much. He has made this bill better.

As I said last week, while it may be tempting to engage the political brakes due to malfeasance in Puerto Rico, we must remember that the pending Medicaid fiscal cliff is not only an issue for the United States territories but also for the United States, an issue impacting U.S. citizens. Again we don't want

to punish the U.S. citizens in Puerto Rico. They need the health care and they need to get it soon.

Under this bill, the Medicaid caps are responsibly raised to properly reflect the needs of American patients in the territories while robust program integrity measures have also been included. I urge my colleagues to continue to support our communities through our community health centers by supporting the reauthorization in addition to supporting our citizens in the territories by supporting this responsible, bipartisan Medicaid funding package, and I yield back. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I appreciate it.

The Chairman. I thank the gentleman.

Ms. Schakowsky is recognized.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Ms. Schakowsky. I move to strike the last word. I congratulate the sponsors of this legislation and the staff on both of sides of the aisle for their tireless work in crafting this bipartisan, essential legislation. critical that we extend funding for critical public health programs and protect consumers from outrageous medical bills, surprise billing, and otherwise. This bill quarantees community health centers, teaching health centers, and National Health Service Corps the steady of stream of funding that they need to continue the work they do. So I will be voting for this legislation and hope all my colleagues will join me in doing the same so that we pass it unanimously and send a strong message

4078

4079

4080

4081

4082

4083

4084

4085

4086

4087

4088

4089

4090

4091

4092

4093

4094

4095

4096

4097

4098

4099

4100

4101

4103 to spur action on both the House floor and the Senate floor.

Still, I would be remiss if I did not express my disappointment that this bill maintains the current limitations on federal funding for abortion. Let us remember that community health centers are safety net facilities that provide care regardless of one's income and insurance status and ability to pay. The lower income, vulnerable women who rely on these centers for care should not be denied comprehensive reproductive health care simply because they are poor. Reproductive health care is a fundamental component of health care, and health care is a human right. And with that I yield back.

The Chairman. I thank the gentlewoman. Who is first, Ms. Rodgers? Yes. You are recognized.

Mrs. McMorris Rodgers. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I move to strike the last word. I strongly support the Teaching Health Center Graduate Medical Education Program and its positive impact on increasing the number of physicians practicing in America. Living in rural Eastern Washington, I know firsthand the challenges of getting doctors into the rural areas in my district in Eastern Washington as well as all over the country.

I want to thank Chairman Pallone and the Ranking Member Walden for their work in extending this important program for another 4 years. I appreciate the chance to work with Mr. Ruiz and together get this legislation passed. I look forward to continuing to work with you as this package moves to the floor

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

and ensuring continued robust funding for this important program. We need to be mindful of the effect that short-term, level funding can have on the stabilization and the expansion of these important programs. And that is why I am happy that we, in this legislation, are authorizing the longest extension that we have seen in the THCGME program.

I also want to recognize my friend and colleague, Jenniffer Gonzalez, for her leadership and tireless efforts on behalf of the people of Puerto Rico. Per her invitation, I had the chance to visit Puerto Rico right after the hurricane, and saw the devastation and had the chance to go back this spring and see how far they have come rebuilding. The work of this committee in helping especially with the Medicaid that is so important to many in Puerto Rico as well as the other territories is to be lauded, and I am glad that we are moving forward. So thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. I yield back.

The Chairman. I thank the gentlewoman. Anybody on the -- Mr. Welch?

Mr. Welch. I am going to support this bill and I appreciate the work that so many people have done to get it to this point.

But I do want to raise a serious concern I have. You mentioned President Bush as someone who has supported these community health centers. He has a close ally in that effort and that is Senator Bernie Sanders. And he contacted me, but it was to raise a question that I think all of us had on our mind and that is that

level funding does over time, through 2024, not keep up with inflation, does not keep up with the population increase.

So we have got to be clear-eyed about this that we are giving stability to our community health centers and they want to avert that disaster where there is uncertainty about funding altogether, but on the other hand, you know, we don't level-fund the Pentagon. And why is that we level-fund health care, and I think that is a concern to all of us. I am not offering the amendment because this bill is comprehensive. It includes many things that many people have worked on very hard.

And I am going to say something nice about the Republican Ranking Member so I want my Republican colleagues to close your ears, we don't want this to go to his head. But after talking to Mr. Pallone who, himself, is a strong supporter of community health centers, and I think if he had a magic wand would want to raise the funding as well, told me that Mr. Walden was extremely cooperative and helpful in getting this bill to the place it is at.

And I actually inquired from Mr. Walden about the advisability of me proceeding and he gave me his opinion, and for that I appreciate it. So there is something to be said, actually an awful lot to be said for finding ways to work together especially in this environment. But on the other hand, there is also something to be said for candid acknowledgment that level funding for health care, but not level funding for the Pentagon

4178 suggests priorities that many of us question. And I do hope that 4179 at some point when the opportunity arises, we may find a way forward 4180 to increase funding to reflect population increase and inflation 4181 adjustment which generally in health care is higher than the 4182 regular CPI. 4183 But I do want to express my appreciation to Mr. Pallone and 4184 to Mr. Walden for the work that they did not just on this aspect 4185 of the bill, but on the other aspects of the bill. But I also 4186 do want to alert my colleagues that if we have any opportunity 4187 to restore what I would call full funding, level funding that it would include that inflation and population adjustments that 4188 4189 are necessary to make certain that our citizens get access to 4190 those community health centers that George Bush and Bernie Sanders 4191 think are so important. I yield back. 4192 The Chairman. I thank the gentleman. We are going to go 4193 to the Republican side. 4194 Mr. Olson. Mr. Chairman. 4195 The Chairman. Mr. Olson? 4196 Mr. Olson. Mr. Chairman, I move to strike the last word. 4197 The Chairman. The gentleman is recognized. 4198 Mr. Olson. Mr. Chairman, the REACH Act includes H.R. 3029, 4199 the Improving Low Income Access to Prescription Drugs Act, which 4200 I introduced with my good friend from California, Representative 4201 Nanette Diaz Barragan. H.R. 3029 would permanently, I say it

again, permanently authorize the Limited Income Newly Eligible

Transition Program, known as LINET. When a family suffers a sudden reduction in income, the LINET program helps ensure these families maintain needed prescriptions as they transition to Medicare Part D. As we continue to tackle prescription drug prices, this program provides a critical backstop for families in need of help. Folks shouldn't have to worry if they can afford needed medications during this horrific transition.

And, finally, Mr. Chairman, H.R. 2328 contains a bipartisan, much-needed, 2-year delay in cuts to Medicaid Disproportionate Share Hospitals, also known as DSH cuts, D-S-H. Earlier this year, despite speaking different languages, nasal New York and a Texas twang, my good friend, Mr. Engel, and I sent a letter to Speaker Pelosi with over 300 signatures asking for a 2-year delay in the upcoming DSH cuts. I want to thank Mr. Engel for working hard on this issue with me.

Last month, I introduced H.R. 3054, the DSH Cuts Delay Act, to do exactly this, delay these scheduled cuts for 2 more years. Medicaid is a critical lifeline for low-income folks all across America and Texas and many of these folks rely on local community hospitals for their care. The Medicaid DSH program plays an important role in ensuring quality care. Cuts to this program would create an immediate void for essential health care by forcing local community hospitals to close their doors.

We must act to protect our nation's most vulnerable citizens, especially children, the elderly, and the disabled. I will close

4228 by thanking Dr. Michael Burgess, lead GOP member of the Health 4229 Subcommittee, for getting these DSH cuts delayed for 2 years in 4230 I urge my colleagues to all support H.R. 2328. this bill. 4231 yield back. 4232 I thank the gentleman from Texas. The Chairman. 4233 The gentlewoman from California, Ms. Barragan? 4234 Mr. Chairman, I wish to strike the last word. Ms. Barragan. 4235 The Chairman. The gentlewoman is recognized. 4236 First, let me start by thanking Mr. Olson, Ms. Barragan. 4237 my friend from Texas, for, first of all, pronouncing the name 4238 That was pretty darn good. It is as close as I say it, 4239 But I also want to thank you for working with me to 4240 work on the LINET program which is so critically important. represent a district that is almost 90 percent Latino African 4241 42.42 American, a district that is very working class and low income, 4243 so this program is critically important to my constituents and to so many of those across the country. 4244 4245 I also want to take an opportunity to echo comments by my 4246 colleagues about the importance of community health centers and 4247 the work that they do. I was glad to see that we were able to 4248 authorize funding for a longer period of time, the 4 years, and 4249 do echo comments by my colleague, Mr. Welch. Mr. Welch, who, 4250 you know, at some point would like to also look into seeing how

The last thing I want to mention is I think it so great to

we can make sure to increase funding to account for inflation.

4251

4253 be in a committee where we have so much bipartisan work done. 4254 When you turn on the television these days, you wouldn't know 4255 that we are doing things on a bipartisan basis. And so to be 4256 here and to work with our colleagues across the aisle to make 4257 sure we are doing things to improve lives and communities is so 4258 important, and it is nice. It feels really good. And so, I hope 4259 we have more opportunities to do good work for the American people. 4260 The issue of diabetes is very personal for me. My mother 4261 4262 And so, all the work we have been doing to lower

has diabetes. She has type 2. My sister-in-law has diabetes, type 1. And so, all the work we have been doing to lower prescription drug pricing especially on insulin is critically important and so I know we will continue to work on that. But to see the Special Diabetes Program being funded as well is good. We have the highest diabetes rate in my congressional district than any other district in the state of California, so the bills that we are passing here today are going to be very, very helpful and I am glad the House is able to move this out of committee and I hope that we will see some action on the Senate side as well. And with that I yield back.

The Chairman. I thank the gentlewoman.

On the Republican side, is there anyone? If not, Mr. Ruiz, Dr. Ruiz?

Mr. Ruiz. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I, first, would like to thank Representative McMorris Rodgers for working with me on the Training the next Generation of Primary Care Doctors Act.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

4263

4264

4265

4266

42.67

4268

4269

4270

4271

4272

4273

4274

4275

4276

That really emboldens the teaching healthcare program. And I also would like to thank the chairman and committee staff for working with me to include elements of this bill, especially a very important change from previous reauthorizations of this very important program which makes logistical sense for the program itself.

So we have heard that the family medicine programs of primary care residencies take 3 years to complete, yet previously we have been funding them at a 2-year level making it very precarious for residents to join a program of which they don't know if it is going to receive the necessary funding every 2 years. It makes it logistically impossible to start planning for growth within these programs if they only receive funding very 2 years. So adding the funding for 4 years is a great addition.

It is a great victory to this program, a program which directly addresses the health disparities that we see in rural communities and underserved communities, because these programs exist in rural and underserved communities and this program has shown success in making sure that we train more primary care doctors in the locations of which they are needed the most. Residents who train in teaching health centers are more likely to stay at those teaching health centers for employment after their residency which means that they are more likely to stay in underserved rural areas.

And given our overall physician shortage, especially the

4303 crisis that we have in underserved physician -- underserved rural 4304 areas with the physician shortage crisis, this bill is a necessary, 4305 proven solution to the physician shortage crisis that will 4306 alleviate pain, suffering, and promote wellness of all Americans 4307 throughout our great country. 4308 So I want to thank you for moving this ball forward. I want 4309 to thank you for continuing to find ways to add upon the successes I want to thank you for looking into the funding 4310 that we have made. 4311 issue, as Representative Welch as said, for future 4312 reauthorizations and I yield back my time. 4313 Thank you, Dr. Ruiz. The Chairman. Do we have any other 4314 Republicans that want to speak on the AINS? Any Democrats? Okay, 4315 we will start with Mr. Soto. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 4316 Mr. Soto. I move to strike the 4317 last word. 4318 The Chairman. The gentleman is recognized. 4319 After what was a long day yesterday, it is great 4320 to see us coming together today and show we can still get the 4321 job done in a bipartisan fashion here in Congress on health care, 4322 energy, consumer protection, for jobs, for all Americans. 4323 let this be a sign that, you know, if we work together there is 4324 nothing that this Congress can't achieve. 4325 I would like to also thank both you, Mr. Chairman, and my 4326 fellow Floridian Representative Gus Bilirakis, for working with 4327 me in a bipartisan fashion to move the Territories Health Care

Improvement Act forward for our fellow Americans in the territories. I would like to thank Ranking Member Walden, Chairwoman Eshoo, and Ranking Member Burgess for their bipartisan support and collaborative efforts to have the Territory Health Care Improvement Act included in this amendment.

When you think about all the members of this Congress from our territories, Representative Plaskett, Gonzalez-Colon, Sablan, Radewagen, San Nicolas, as well as supporters such as Congresswoman Velazquez and other co-sponsors, it has been a long journey for a lot of those representing our territories and those areas of the countries that often have strong links. legislation, in short, will right a longtime injustice to Americans in the territories as well as save lives there. Unlike the states whose federal medical assistance percentage, FMAP, is determined by its per capita income, the territories receive a fixed amount of federal funds in the form of block grants. While the FMAP for states can go as high as 83 percent for states that need it, the territories' matching rate is fixed at 55 Section 1108 of the Social Security Act further caps the amount territories are eligible to receive, thus restricting additional Medicaid funds that territories desperately need.

This amendment would provide the territories with several years of increased federal funds. Puerto Rico's Medicaid allotment would be increased to approximately three billion per year for 4 years, which is huge. Allotments for the U.S. Virgin

4328

4329

4330

4331

4332

4333

4334

4335

4336

4337

4338

4339

4340

4341

4342

4343

4344

4345

4346

4347

4348

4349

4350

4351

Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands would be increased substantially to prevent future federal funding shortfalls for the next 6 years.

This legislation is needed because the Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands has exhausted its ACA funds as well as all other sources of federal and local funds for its Medicaid programs -- and we have heard from Delegate Sablan about that -- while Guam and American Samoa are unable to generate the non-federal share required to draw down federal funds, which we have heard from their members as well. Additionally, the USVI will exhaust its Medicaid funding in 2020. This amendment could increase the territories' Medicaid cap to alleviate the shortcomings. Following the devastation caused by Hurricane Maria, many specialists and residents fled Puerto Rico. was due to specialists not being able to be reimbursed through Medicaid, leaving patients unable to receive the care they desperately needed. The lack of sufficient Medicaid coverage and statutory caps also contributed to Puerto Rico's debt issues. By not having FMAP, they actually contributed to the reimbursements of medical specialists and patient needs.

Puerto Rico has been forced to spend more of their already strained budget to meet required Medicaid standards, thus leading to many medical specialists and Medicaid-eligible patients fleeing the island to states where they could receive coverage and access to quality care. My home state of Florida, New York,

4353

4354

4355

4356

4357

4358

4359

4360

4361

4362

4363

4364

4365

4366

4367

4368

4369

4370

4371

4372

4373

4374

4375

4376

New Jersey, are favored destinations for Puerto Ricans fleeing the Medicaid shortfalls.

By passing this legislation we also help states by ensuring that the Medicaid systems that so many of our fellow citizens

that the Medicaid systems that so many of our fellow citizens depend on are properly funded. However, following the news of corruption of officials in Puerto Rico, we know that merely funding the Medicaid systems in the territories is insufficient. We must also have stringent integrity measures to ensure the allocated funds reach our fellow citizens in need of medical assistance instead of lining the pockets of corrupt officials.

I thank the Ranking Member Walden as well as my fellow Floridian Representative Bilirakis for their leadership in working with me and others on the committee for good governance amendments. This will ensure strong integrity measures are put in place to guarantee further corrupt actions of officials will not be tolerated moving forward. I thank you for all who participated in this amendment to safeguard the ability of fellow Americans in the territories to achieve the quality health care they are entitled to. Thank you again, Mr. Chairman. I yield back.

The Chairman. Thank you, Mr. Soto. Anybody on the Republican side? I saw Mr. O'Halleran's hand was up.

Mr. O'Halleran?

Mr. O'Halleran. Mr. Chairman, I move to strike the last word.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

4403

The Chairman. The gentleman is recognized.

4404

4405

4406

4407 4408

4409

4410 4411

4412

4413

4414

4415

4416

4417

4418

4419

4420

4421

4422

4423

4424

4425

4426

4427

Mr. O'Halleran. I want to thank the chairman and the ranking member of this committee and the same with the subcommittees, their staffs, the members here and their staffs that have done such a great job on showing that we can work together on this critical piece of legislation. As other members, I am proud to have advocated for increased funding for community health centers

and the National Health Service Corps during my time in Congress.

Mr. Chairman, as you know, community health centers nationwide provide over 27 million Americans with affordable, accessible, and quality health care regardless of their ability Their reach is expansive. For example, they serve 350,000 veterans nationwide. These programs have provided critical services to underserved, rural, and tribal communities for decades. In Arizona's 1st congressional district, eight federally funded health center organizations serve nearly 200,000 For example, the Sun Life Family Health Centers serves nearly 50,000 patients and has 11 centers in my district alone, including locations solely dedicated to women and children. Their impacts are tremendous.

I am disappointed that the final version of the bill provides lower funding than my introduced legislation, the CHIME Act, though I recognize that this amendment includes the highest funding levels and the longest extension since the Affordable Care Act became law, providing certainty to providers across

Arizona and the nation. I am also pleased that this has been a bipartisan endeavor and there is support on both sides of the aisle for longer term extension. But let me be clear. In the future, I remain committed to ensuring that these programs have the necessary funding they need to continue to provide vital services for Americans for decades to come.

I am also proud to have introduced two pieces of legislation that aim to improve the lives of millions of Americans by reauthorizing the Special Diabetes Program and the Special Diabetes Program for Indians. SDPI has proven to be successful at curbing diabetes across Indian country where American Indian and Alaskan Native adults are twice as likely to suffer from the disease. SDPI has been instrumental in improving health outcomes in native communities as diabetes rates among American Indians and Alaskan Natives have declined by 54 percent since 1998.

Numbers this significant demonstrate the program's value to communities across the country and the overall public health. It also demonstrates that the will of Congress does bring about results.

While I applaud the committee for moving this legislation through committee, I want to emphasize that this is just one step in a long journey to improve preventive care and treatment. While the program has proven valuable, the prevalence of the disease remains highest among American Indians and Alaska Natives. We must work to address other contributing factors. Driven by

4453	additional challenges from food deserts to lack of access to care,
4454	I remain committed to working with all of you, my colleagues on
4455	both sides of the aisle, to improve outcomes for all Americans.
4456	In my 2-1/2 years here now it has been such a pleasure to
4457	watch how this group has come together with the leadership provided
4458	by the chairman and the ranking member to find common ground.
4459	And I yield.
4460	The Chairman. Thank you, Mr. O'Halleran.
4461	So we are still on the AINS. Anyone else want to speak on
4462	the AINS because we still have five amendments to the AINS. All
4463	right, so I guess we are going to go to the amendments to the
4464	AINS. Any members who seek recognition to offer an amendment
4465	to the amendment in the nature of a substitute?
4466	Mr. Walden. Mr. Chairman?
4467	The Chairman. Yes, I recognize the ranking member.
4468	Mr. Walden. I have an amendment at the desk.
4469	[The Amendment offered by Mr. Walden follows:]
4470	
4471	**************************************

4472

Territory 01, I am told. Mr. Walden.

4473

The Clerk. Amendment to the amendment in the nature of a substitute to H.R. 2328 offered by Mr. Walden of Oregon.

4475

4474

Without objection, the reading of the The Chairman.

4476

amendment will be dispensed with and the gentleman from Oregon

4477

is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. Walden.

4478

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. As we know, funding for the territories expires September 30th and the result of such

4479 4480

an expiration would have a devastating effect on the program in

4481

each of the territories. It was my commitment at our hearing

4482

last month that we work together in a bipartisan way to find a

4483

sustainable solution that avoids this hit on September 30th.

4484

The bill before us today meets those requirements.

4485

important work on this issue. I would also like to thank the

So I want to thank Mr. Soto and Mr. Bilirakis for their

4486 4487

territories' delegates here in the House. Their tireless work

4488

on behalf of their constituents has proven invaluable to me and

4489

to my staff. I am also thankful to Chairman Pallone and Chairwoman

4490

Eshoo for their partnership and willingness to work together on

4491

these and so many other matters so that we could put forward a

4492

bold package that will ensure that the territories' Medicaid

4493

programs are adequately funded and that these programs will have

accountability is critically important to ensure these dollars

4494

program integrity measures in place. This heightened

4495 4496

go to the people who need them most.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

Now with that said, given the news out of Puerto Rico last week, we all agree that additional program integrity measures are needed before we advance this bill to the floor. My amendment does just that. It adds these additional measures for Puerto Rico to ensure that the wholesale fraud that has taken place is not something that can continue moving forward.

My amendment adds the following program integrity measures for Puerto Rico to meet as well as incorporates TA, technical assistance, from the agency on the base bill. If Puerto Rico fails to meet a single one of these, we will be hard pressed to discuss additional enhanced funding once these 4 years of funding come to a close.

So number one, beginning 1 year after the date of enactment, Health and Human Services Office of Inspector General shall audit areas of the Puerto Rico Medicaid program that it considers to be high risk for waste, fraud, or abuse, such as contracting protocols, inappropriate denials of care, and financial management. Number two, not later than 1 year after the date of enactment, HHS's Office of Inspector General shall develop a work plan to audit and/or investigate contracting practices related to the Puerto Rico Medicaid program.

Number three, not later than 2 years after the date of enactment, GAO shall issue a report on contracting oversight and approval for the Puerto Rico Medicaid program. That report shall examine Puerto Rico's process for evaluating bids and awarding

contracts including which contracts are not subject to competitive bidding or requests for proposals, CMS oversight of contracts, and any recommendations to HHS, Congress, or Puerto Rico for changes necessary to improve program integrity.

Number 4, Puerto Rico must establish and maintain a tracking system for all federal funds disbursed. It should include initial fund balance, each request for federal funds, and the remaining fund balance. It should be broken out by quarter for each federal fiscal year and it must be reported to CMS every quarter.

And five, Puerto Rico must make available to CMS, upon request, all documentation related to the awarding of contracts related to the Medicaid program. Now with this amendment, and the underlying policy, we can ensure that our territories are properly funded for the years to come and that these dollars are actually serving the people they are intended to serve, so I urge adoption of my amendment.

And, Mr. Chairman, I do have prepared remarks from our delegate from Puerto Rico, Congresswoman Jenniffer

Gonzalez-Colon, which I would like to enter into the record.

And again she has been a terrific advocate for Puerto Rico and has been very helpful in getting us to this point and I greatly value her input and counsel as I know other members on the committee do as well. So, without objection, Mr. Chairman, if we could add her prepared statement.

The Chairman. Without objection, the gentlewoman from

4551

4552

4554

4553

4555 4556

4557 4558 4559

4561

4560

4563

4562

4564 4565

4566

4567

4568

4569

4570

4571 4572

4573

4574

4575

Mr. Walden. And with that, Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time and ask for support on my amendment.

The Chairman. Thank you. Let me recognize myself to speak in support of the amendment to the AINS sponsored by the ranking member.

Last week, we were all shocked and troubled by the allegations of misconduct by some of the individuals involved with the Puerto Rico Medicaid program. As my friend, the ranking member, and I said last week at the subcommittee markup, the news of these allegations broke the day before the markup and there wasn't enough time to address the issues raised by the allegations in the bill we were considering. But we agreed to work together on a bipartisan basis to address the concerns we both had about the program integrity in the Puerto Rico Medicaid program, and I think the ranking member's amendment does just that. It makes common sense improvements to strengthen the oversight, accountability, and integrity of the Medicaid program in Puerto Rico. audits, oversight, and reporting will help to ensure that federal Medicaid dollars are serving their intended purpose of helping to improve access to health care for people in need. And I think that is what is really important here. As we discussed last week, there are no allegations that beneficiaries did anything wrong, but they are the ones who will suffer if we fail to act to ensure the integrity of the program. So I am glad we were able to reach an agreement that preserves the package

4576 introduced by Representatives Soto and Representative Bilirakis, 4577 and the increased funds and matching rates in their bill will 4578 help avert a humanitarian disaster. People in the 4579 territories are counting on us to make sure that Medicaid programs 4580 don't go off the funding cliff, but we also have an obligation 4581 to ensure that the additional funds serve their intended purpose 4582 of improving access to health care. It is our responsibility as the committee of jurisdiction for Medicaid to ensure that we 4583 4584 are improving access to care while being good stewards of taxpayer 4585 dollars and this amendment ensures that we are doing that. 4586 So for this reason, I support the gentleman's amendment and 4587 I urge my colleagues to do the same and I yield back. Would anybody 4588 else like to comment on the Walden amendment? If not, we will 4589 And this is an amendment to the AINS. 4590 All those in favor of the Walden amendment will signify by 4591 saying aye. 4592 All those opposed will signify by saying no. 4593 In the opinion of the chair, the ayes have it and the amendment 4594 is agreed to. Do we have anymore -- we have other amendments

is agreed to. Do we have anymore -- we have other amendments to the AINS? Does anyone else -- you want to do the gentlewoman from New Hampshire? Do you want me to go next? All right, I have a technical memo we will do before we do the New Hampshire amendment. Mine is FCTA 01, technical changes.

[The Amendment offered by The Chairman follows:]

4600

4599

4595

4596

4597

		207	
4601	**************************************		

4602	The Chairman. Do you have it?
4603	The Clerk. Amendment to the amendment in the nature of a
4604	substitute to H.R. 2328 offered by Mr. Pallone. Page 36
4605	The Chairman. Without objection, the reading of my
4606	amendment will be dispensed with. Do we even need to comment
4607	on it? I don't think so. I don't think anybody has any objection,
4608	so we will just move to a vote on my amendment to the AINS.
4609	All those in favor of the amendment will signify by saying
4610	aye.
4611	All those opposed will say no.
4612	And the amendment to the AINS is adopted. Now we are supposed
4613	to go to the gentleman from Montana is recognized.
4614	Mr. Gianforte. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I have an
4615	amendment at the desk.
4616	[The Amendment offered by Mr. Gianforte follows:]
4617	
4618	**************************************

4619 The Chairman. The clerk has it? 4620 The Clerk. Yes, sir. 4621 The Chairman. The clerk will report the gentleman's 4622 amendment. 4623 Amendment to the amendment in the nature of a The Clerk. 4624 substitute to H.R. 2328, offered by Mr. Gianforte. 4625 Without objection, the reading of the The Chairman. 4626 amendment will be dispensed with and the gentleman from Montana 4627 is recognized in support of his amendment. 4628 Mr. Gianforte. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I am encouraged 4629 that we have worked together and across the aisle to reauthorize 4630 so many vital public health programs. All of the bills we are 4631 working on today are important to ensure that all Montanans have 4632 access to quality healthcare, especially folks in our rural 4633 communities. 4634 We need to reach a bipartisan solution to end surprise I have heard from many Montanans about this issue, both 4635 4636 patients and providers. Too many Montanans are getting their 4637 mail and finding an unexpected and outrageous bill for healthcare. 4638 Just last month, a woman in Ekalaka reached out to me. 4639 was surprised when she received a \$70,000 bill from a small Montana 4640 She had no idea how this had come about or how to handle 4641 the situation. This has to stop. 4642 I often hear from providers in Montana that Washington 4643 doesn't understand the needs of our rural communities. We need

4644 to ensure that all patients who are trying in good faith to work 4645 through our convoluted system to receive care and pay their bills 4646 are not victimized when providers and insurers can't agree. 4647 the same time, we need to ensure we aren't harming rural providers 4648 in the process. 4649 That is why I am introducing this amendment. The amendment 4650 simply ensures that the Secretary of Health and Human Services 4651 takes rural America, where too many are underserved, into account 4652 when setting in-network benchmark rates. I urge my colleagues 4653 to sort -- support this amendment. We need to make sure that 4654 rural communities are not lost in this process. 4655 I look forward to working with all of you to end the practice 4656 of surprise billing and reauthorize these critical programs. And with that, Mr. Chairman, I yield back the remainder of 4657 4658 my time. 4659 The Chairman. I thank the gentleman. 4660 Does anyone else want to speak on Mr. Gianforte's amendment? 4661 Mr. Butterfield is recognized for 5 minutes. 4662 Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Butterfield. 4663 Chairman, and to the ranking member, thank you for your work on 4664 this bipartisan solution to the problem of surprise medical bills. 4665 I am continuing to hear, Mr. Chairman, as I said in our last 4666 markup, from providers in my district who are concerned that 4667 setting a benchmark rate could impede access to care in rural 4668 That is a fact. communities.

4669 Mr. Gianforte's statement, which I am pleased -- amendment, 4670 which I am pleased to cosponsor, will allow the Secretary of HHS 4671 and the Secretary of Labor to consider rural and underserved areas, 4672 including health professional shortage areas when developing the 4673 benchmark methodology included in this bill. There has been a 4674 reduction in local access to care. This is why the inclusion 4675 of this amendment is so very important for rural districts like 4676 mine and like the gentleman from Montana. 4677 And so I urge my colleagues to support this amendment, please 4678 support this amendment, to ensure that access to care in rural 4679 communities is protected. 4680 Thank you for the time. I yield back. 4681 The Chairman. I thank the gentleman from North Carolina. 4682 The gentleman from Indiana. 4683 Mr. Bucshon. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I move to strike 4684 the last word. I will be brief. 4685 I want to speak in support of the amendment. And, you know, 4686 in many areas of rural America the Medicare reimbursement is lower than it is in other parts of the country. And as we know, a lot 4687 4688 of times that falls into the private, you know, commercial 4689 insurance rates. And so I think it is very important with what 4690 we are about to do on surprise medical billing that we take into 4691 strong consideration what is happening in rural America, and make 4692 sure that every American has access to quality, affordable care, 4693 and also is not subjected to surprise medical bills.

4694 I yield back. 4695 The Chairman. Dr. Ruiz is recognized. 4696 Mr. Ruiz. Yes, thank you, Mr. Chairman. I want to associate 4697 myself with Representative G.K. Butterfield's comments and 4698 concerns about rural and underserved areas. I want to thank 4699 Representative Gianforte and Butterfield working together in a 4700 bipartisan manner. I support this amendment. 4701 I yield back. 4702 The Chairman. I thank the gentleman. It is the rural, rural 4703 day here. Oh, I am sorry, is there someone on the Republican side that 4704 4705 wants to speak on this? And we will go to the gentleman from 4706 Iowa. 4707 Mr. Loebsack. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I didn't plan to 4708 speak on this, but this is such a great amendment I do want to 4709 second it. Thank you, Mr. Gianforte. And I want to associate 4710 myself with all the comments that were made by the previous 4711 speakers. 4712 Being from Iowa, being from a rural part of America, we 4713 experience the same problems that all the other folks that all the other folks who have already spoken have. And so thank you 4714 4715 very much, and I just want to second your thoughts. And I do 4716 support the amendment. I hope all of us do. 4717 Thank you. And I yield back. 4718 Thank you. Anyone else on the Gianforte The Chairman.

4719	amendment?
4720	[No response.]
4721	The Chairman. All right. So, this is an amendment to the
4722	AINS. We will proceed to a vote.
4723	All those in favor of the amendment by Mr. Gianforte will
4724	signify by saying aye.
4725	All those opposed will say no.
4726	In the opinion of the chair, the ayes have it, and the
4727	amendment to the AINS is agreed to.
4728	We have more amendments to the AINS; right?
4729	The Clerk. Yes, sir.
4730	The Chairman. Congresswoman Matsui is recognized.
4731	Ms. Matsui. Yes, Mr. Chairman. I have an amendment at the
4732	desk.
4733	[The Amendment offered by Ms. Matsui follows:]
4734	
4735	**************************************

4736 The Chairman. Does the clerk have that amendment? 4737 The Clerk. Yes, sir. 4738 The clerk will report the amendment. The Chairman. 4739 Amendment to the amendment in the nature of a The Clerk. 4740 substitute to H.R. 2328 offered by Ms. Matsui. 4741 The Chairman. Without objection, the reading of the Matsui 4742 amendment will be dispensed with. And the gentlewoman from California is recognized for 5 minutes. 4743 4744 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I am supportive of Ms. Matsui. 4745 the critical steps that the No Surprises Act takes to protect 4746 patients from surprise medical bills. I am also proud of the 4747 urgent action this committee has taken to correct critical gaps 4748 in consumer protection that until now have left too many American families footing the bill for a fundamental failure in the 4749 4750 healthcare markets. 4751 Throughout this process I have worked to preserve an 4752 equitable balance between providers and insurers when it comes 4753 to procuring fair reimbursement for services. I thank the 4754 committee for supporting changes by creating more predictable 4755 payment rate for providers and require greater transparency from 4756 insurers. My amendment today requires that a provider acuity level 4757 4758 and case mix are taken into consideration when determining the 4759 appropriate amount to be paid by insurers. Caring for patients 4760 at highly specialized and higher acuity settings comes with

different challenges. Trauma centers and teaching hospitals,
for example, often treat complex emergency cases that require
different level of resources to optimally care for injuries.

In addition to looking at similar services, specialties,
and geographic regions in determining median contractor rates
as required in the underlying bill, my amendment will help ensure
that highly specialized sites of care can remain financially

I thank my colleague in this amendment, Ranking Member
Burgess, and I urge my colleagues to support this change and the
vital patient protections from surprise medical bills included
in the underlying bill.

strong in the changing healthcare reimbursement landscape.

Thank you, and I yield back.

The Chairman. The Matsui Amendment to the AINS. Dr. Burgess is recognized.

Mr. Burgess. Move to strike the last word.

This is a common sense amendment, and it will improve upon the benchmark included in the bill as it passed through the Health Subcommittee. It allows for the benchmark methodology to take into consideration relevant payment adjustments that account for facility type, and that would include higher acuity settings and case sites. Patients require different levels of care, and if facilities or physicians are providing a higher acuity of care, they should be eligible, that should be eligible for consideration in the establishment of a benchmark.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

4786 I urge members support this bipartisan amendment. 4787 yield back. 4788 The Chairman. Thank you, Dr. Burgess. 4789 Dr. Ruiz. 4790 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I agree with this 4791 amendment wholeheartedly. I want to thank Representative Matsui 4792 and Representative Burgess for working together in a bipartisan 4793 manner. It is very common that in rural and underserved 4794 communities the type of hospital you have, which are usually the 4795 only type of healthcare hospital in the entire region, are tertiary 4796 care, trauma centers that see pediatrics, OB/GYN, and have a very 4797 broad case mix. And right now we have problems with hospitals 4798 shutting down in rural areas. So, I think this would help tease out the relativeness of 4799 4800 the types of different hospitals available, and match apples to 4801 apples instead of apples to oranges that we can do our logistic regressions and account for these higher acuity hospitals when 4802 4803 determining median in-network payments. 4804 So, I appreciate the effort. And I support this. And I 4805 yield back. 4806 The Chairman. Thank you. We have all the doctors today. 4807 Dr. Bucshon. 4808 Mr. Bucshon. Don't you love it. 4809 I want to speak in favor of this amendment. I want to thank 4810 Congresswoman Matsui and Congressman Burgess for offering it.

4811	Acuity of care is very important when considering payment levels
4812	for providers. And I support the amendment, and I hope everyone
4813	else will support the amendment.
4814	I yield.
4815	The Chairman. Thank you. Anyone else on the Matsui
4816	amendment?
4817	[No response.]
4818	The Chairman. All right. We are going to go to a vote.
4819	All those in favor of the Matsui amendment to the AINS will
4820	signify by saying aye.
4821	All those opposed will say no.
4822	In the opinion of the chair, the ayes have it, and the
4823	amendment is agreed to.
4824	Now we are going to go to Ms. Kuster, who has another amendment
4825	to the AINS.
4826	[The Amendment offered by Ms. Kuster follows:]
4827	
4828	**************************************

4829 The Chairman. Does the clerk have that? The clerk will 4830 report the amendment. 4831 Amendment to the amendment in the nature of a 4832 substitute to H.R. 2328 offered by Ms. --4833 Without objection, the reading of the The Chairman. 4834 amendment will be dispensed with, and the gentlewoman from New 4835 Hampshire is recognized for 5 minutes. 4836 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Ms. Kuster. I would first like 4837 to commend your leadership on the underlying legislation and 4838 acknowledge the incredible work on both sides of the aisle that 4839 we have achieved thus far in this committee to ensure that patients 4840 are not left with surprise medical bills. 4841 My home state of New Hampshire has been a leader in this 4842 area by passing a comprehensive law that protects Granite Staters 4843 from surprise billing by out-of-network providers at in-network 4844 facilities. Working in tandem with surprise billing protections, 4845 the New Hampshire approach includes network adequacy rules to 4846 ensure that insurance companies can actually provide access to 4847 their services that their policies cover. 4848 As I am sure many of my colleagues who represent rural districts are all too well aware, network adequacy is especially 4849 4850 important where time and distance to providers play a critical 4851 role in access to care. One of the concerns that we have 4852 consistently heard from the provider community regarding this

legislation is the fear that they would lose their negotiating

4854 leverage with plans. They ask again and again, why would an 4855 insurer negotiate when they know they will only have to pay a 4856 certain rate for a service? 4857 We want to make sure we are not exacerbating some of the 4858 issues that rural communities continually face: limited access 4859 to affordable care due to narrow networks. We should strike a 4860 balance and ensure that while we are removing the incentives for 4861 providers and insurers alike to game the system through balanced 4862 billing we are not inadvertently creating new incentives that 4863 would encourage plans to simply narrow their networks if they 4864 don't get the contracted rate they want. 4865 My amendment, which I am proud to offer with my colleague 4866 and friend Representative Susan Brooks from Indiana, directs the Secretary of Health and Human Services to conduct a study examining 4867 4868 network adequacy, taking into account maximum time and distance. 4869 In short, we should be able to judge the effectiveness of 4870 insurance networks so that we can say with confidence that our 4871 work here lowers the cost of care without limiting access, especially in rural America. 4872 4873 And with that, I yield back. 4874 The Chairman. I thank the gentlewoman from California is 4875 -- I mean Indiana -- is recognized. 4876 Mrs. Brooks. Pretty far away. But I move to strike the 4877 Great states though, both of them. last word. 4878 Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you to the Chairwoman

Eshoo and Ranking Member Burgess, and special thanks to my friend Representative Kuster for introducing this amendment.

According to a national opinion research center study, more than half of American adults have received a surprise medical bill. Two-thirds of Americans who have filed for bankruptcy cite medical debt as a contributing factor. Out-of-pocket costs for patients have risen dramatically in recent years, and don't seem to be slowing down. We have been hearing for such a long time how patients have been stuck in the middle and suffer from surprise billing.

There isn't always a clear path forward on how to approach this complex facet of healthcare system. Whatever our solution, I believe it's critical we evaluate and continue to reevaluate the efficacy of the policies we are moving forward. That is why I am proud to co-lead this important amendment which will require HHS to conduct an annual study in the next 5 years on the effects of premiums and out-of-pocket costs that this bill will have should it pass into law. The study will also review the adequacy of providers networks in the individual and small group health plans.

I commend the committee, the many stakeholders, and all who have reached out to all of us to provide input on this legislation. This study will help future lawmakers evaluate and adjust the policy we are putting forward today. Ultimately, we have to continue to keep the patient foremost in mind as we work together to fix this broken healthcare system where surprise billing is

4904	so common.
4905	I yield back.
4906	The Chairman. I thank the gentlewoman.
4907	Anyone else want to speak on the Kuster amendment? If not,
4908	we will go to a vote.
4909	[No response.]
4910	The Chairman. All those in favor of the amendment offered
4911	by the gentlewoman from New Hampshire will signify by saying aye.
4912	All those opposed will say no.
4913	In the opinion of the chair, the ayes have it, and the
4914	amendment to the AINS is agreed to.
4915	Now we go to the gentlewoman from Delaware is recognized.
4916	She has an amendment to the AINS.
4917	Ms. Blunt Rochester. I have an amendment at the desk, Mr.
4918	Chairman.
4919	[The Amendment offered by Ms. Blunt Rochester follows:]
4920	
4921	**************************************

4922 The Chairman. You have it? The clerk will report the 4923 amendment. 4924 Amendment to the amendment in the nature of a 4925 substitute to H.R. 2328 offered by Ms. Blunt Rochester of Delaware. 4926 The Chairman. Without objection, the reading of the 4927 amendment will be dispensed with. 4928 The gentlewoman from Delaware is recognized for 5 minutes. 4929 Ms. Blunt Rochester. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And first 4930 I want to thank you and Ranking Member Walden, and all of the 4931 members of this committee. And I join with my colleagues in saying 4932 how good it is to be a part of something that is this important 4933 to the American people and also bipartisan, and that the stellar 4934 reputation of this committee is warranted. And I am proud to 4935 be a member of it. 4936 I have heard from many stakeholders in my home state of 4937 Delaware about the surprise billing legislation. Many are 4938 excited and support this bill. Others are more apprehensive. 4939 They shared with me their support for eliminating surprise 4940 billing, but also their worries about how this law could impact 4941 them, our state, and some of our most vulnerable communities in 4942 both Delaware and across the country. 4943 I have listened to them, and I think their concerns are 4944 understandable. Any changes can be difficult and often 4945 uncertain. However, one thing is certain: we need to fix surprise billing, and to protect the American people. 4946

4947 I am offering an amendment to require the Government 4948 Accounting Office to do -- Government Accountability Office to 4949 do a study on the impact this bill has had once it is enacted. 4950 This study will allow us to see what changes have actually 4951 occurred, and make sure the bill has had its intended effect. 4952 We will be able to actually learn what the data shows about whether 4953 more patients are finding themselves in out-of-network 4954 situations, and whether there have been changes in provider 4955 availability in rural and medically underserved communities. 4956 Additionally, the GAO study will tell how much grant funding 4957 states have received for all payer claims databases, what they 4958 have done with that money, and how they have used the data they 4959 have collected. I am pleased that our committee is willing to 4960 take a bold and bipartisan step to protect patients from surprise 4961 And I believe that it is our responsibility to analyze bills. 4962 the effects of this law, that this law will have. I also want to thank my colleague Mr. Mullin for joining 4963 4964 me in offering this amendment. And I urge my colleagues to vote 4965 in support of it. 4966 I yield back. 4967 The Chairman. I thank the gentlewoman. 4968 Does, does Mark? 4969 Mr. Mullin. Yes. I move to strike the last word, Mr. 4970 Chairman. 4971 The gentleman from Oklahoma is recognized. The Chairman.

4972 Mr. Mullin. Well, thank you, Mr. Chairman. This amendment 4973 ensures that Congress is striking the right balance between 4974 oversight and limited government. I would like to commend my 4975 colleagues for taking a balanced approach to this legislation 4976 that will not only protect patients but strengthen our healthcare 4977 system. Patients deserve certainty on what it is going to cost 4978 when they go to healthcare providers. 4979 Rural communities, like the one I live in, face unique 4980 challenges when it comes to healthcare. Sometimes that means 4981 we have to drive an hour or even go across state lines to our 4982 closest hospital. This amendment helps ensure rural areas are 4983 not left behind and that they get the relief they need. 4984 I am proud to once again join my colleague Ms. Rochester, 4985 who we have spent time together, really enjoy her company. 4986 is, I found out she was actually not even that far different on 4987 a lot of policies when we spent some time speaking at schools. 4988 In fact, we're more alike than Joe Kennedy. He is really liberal. 4989 We can't -- no, I am kidding. Not at all, actually. 4990 But I am proud to, I am proud to join you in this amendment. 4991 It is good to work with someone that we get along with so good. 4992 And, hopefully, we can move this forward. 4993 I yield back. 4994 The Chairman. I thank the gentleman. Anyone else? 4995 Mr. O'Halleran. 4996 Mr. O'Halleran. Motion to strike the last word.

Mr. Chairman, I would like to speak in support of the gentlelady's amendment that would require the GAO to submit a report to the Congress on the legislation's impacts on provider shortages in rural and medically underserved areas.

Throughout this process I, and others, have been concerned with potential effects of the so-called benchmarking approach. So I am pleased to see this amendment, as well as the amendment offered by Dr. Ruiz, that -- to curb these potential effects for rural and medically underserved areas, particularly at a time when many communities nationwide are suffering from physician shortages.

In the 1st District of Arizona, the Cobre Valley Regional Medical Center is a critical access hospital located in Globe-Miami. The center has been attempting to recruit a general surgeon for a year-and-a-half. They have also been attempting to hire a neurologist and an ENT specialist, with negative results. The problem is that Cobre and other hospitals simply cannot find providers willing to relocate. And if they do find them, they must pay them a premium rate, a rate much higher than hospitals in urban areas.

This is why I strongly support this amendment. It is a prudent, common sense amendment that would allow Congress to be well informed of the law's implications and, therefore, better serve Americans nationwide.

And I yield back.

na i yiela back.

5022	The Chairman. I thank the gentleman. Although I don't know
5023	why they can't get people, because I think it is awful nice there
5024	where you are. Well, whatever.
5025	So, we have the gentlewoman from Delaware's amendment.
5026	Anyone else on that? If not, we will have a vote.
5027	[No response.]
5028	The Chairman. All those in favor of the amendment sponsored
5029	by the gentlewoman from Delaware will signify by saying aye.
5030	All those opposed will say no.
5031	In the opinion of the chair the ayes have it, and the amendment
5032	is adopted.
5033	Next we have an amendment to the AINS from Mr. Lujan who
5034	is recognized.
5035	[The Amendment offered by Mr. Lujan follows:]
5036	
5037	**************************************

5038 The Chairman. Do you have it? 5039 Mr. Lujan. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. During last week's 5040 subcommittee market of the No Surprises Act --5041 The Chairman. Wait a minute. I'm sorry. We have to have 5042 the clerk report it. You have it? All right, go ahead. 5043 The Clerk. Amendment to the amendment in the nature of a 5044 substitute to H.R. 2328 offered by Mr. Lujan of New Mexico. Without objection, the reading of the 5045 The Chairman. 5046 amendment is dispensed with. And the gentleman from New Mexico 5047 is recognized for 5 minutes. 5048 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. During last week's Mr. Lujan. 5049 subcommittee markup of the No Surprises Act, Chairman Pallone 5050 and Ranking Member Walden agreed to work with me to strengthen 5051 provisions regarding the transparency in air ambulance billing. 5052 I want to thank the chairman and ranking member for following 5053 through on this important commitment. Today I am introducing an amendment to the No Surprises Act 5054 5055 to require full and comprehensive transparency from both air 5056 ambulance providers and health insurance companies. Through many 5057 conversations about why billing by air ambulances can be 5058 egregious, I was told this practice was due to a lack of transparent 5059 data and subsequently low Medicare and Medicaid reimbursement 5060 rates. 5061 Well, then let's go to the source. Let's require this data

to be reported, and let the numbers speak for themselves.

5062

This

5063 amendment will do just that. It will require air ambulance 5064 providers to report cost data to the Department of Health and 5065 Human Services. It will require insurance companies to submit 5066 Additionally, it will require the all claims data to HHS. 5067 secretary of HHS and the Government Accountability Office to 5068 provide two separate and independent reports on this data to 5069 Congress. 5070 While I plan to continue to investigate additional action 5071 that can be taken to strengthen governance of the industry on 5072 behalf of constituents, I believe this amendment takes action 5073 to address the lack of transparency in air ambulance billing, 5074 and takes a necessary step to prevent surprise billing by air 5075 ambulances. 5076 I urge my colleagues to support this amendment. And I yield 5077 back. 5078 Mr. Guthrie. Will the gentleman yield? 5079 Mr. Lujan. I would yield to the gentleman as well, Mr. 5080 Guthrie. 5081 Thank you very much. I thank the gentleman Mr. Guthrie. And I will speak in support of your amendment. 5082 for yielding. 5083 I want to thank my friend from New Mexico on your efforts 5084 to ensure we have proper data. I have, my one experience, I had 5085 someone contact me that had a over \$30,000 bill. And he was just 5086 trying to figure out what the, what the right number should be

before he paid the bill. And it was hard to get to the numbers.

5088	And I met with several groups that represent the services.
5089	And the point that you made that we don't know exactly what
5090	Medicare and Medicaid pays, the underpayment of that is what forces
5091	some of the other. So I think it is vitally important that we
5092	find out exactly what those numbers are an address it, and make
5093	sure that we have the proper payment. Whether it is one way or
5094	the other, we need to know the right answer.
5095	And I believe that your amendment gets us in that direction,
5096	and I urge my colleagues to support it.
5097	Mr. Lujan. Thank you. Mr. Chairman, thank you very much.
5098	Mr. Guthrie, thank you always for your leadership, it is always
5099	an honor to partner with you. And, again, thank you to Chairman
5100	Pallone and Ranking Member Walden.
5101	And with that, I yield back.
5102	The Chairman. Thank you. Does anyone else want to speak
5103	on the Lujan amendment?
5104	Well, wait a minute, Mr. Guthrie, did you already speak or
5105	do you want your own time?
5106	Mr. Guthrie. I spoke on the amendment.
5107	The Chairman. You're okay. All right. Well, we will ask
5108	Mr. Ruiz and then we will go to Ms. Eshoo.
5109	Mr. Ruiz. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I was a
5110	flight physician for STAT MedEvac and Medical Command for the
5111	City of Pittsburgh. Representative Doyle knows STAT MedEvac very
5112	well. It has the most air medical transportation flights and

landings on helipads aside from the Pentagon. And I have seen some very critical patients out in the field from traumas in rural areas, hard-to-reach areas. And I know firsthand that they provide an incredible, an incredible value to our nation and the American public's health.

In emergency care, especially in rural areas, if you do not get to the cardiac catheterization lab within a certain time frame, the muscles in your heart die after an ischemic episode. And you will have long-lasting, permanent disabilities. If you don't get to an interventional radiologist or a stroke center within a certain amount of time then your brain cells will die due to an ischemic episode, which will augment a lifetime of permanent disability when, in fact, you could have prevented that by getting to those locations in a timely manner.

In addition to not only saving life and saving costs through reducing morbidity and long-term disability, the air medical community is our last chance in case of disaster. When there is a disaster, an earthquake, or rubble, who do you think is going to come to the rescue of the American people to lift them out and take them to the appropriate locations? I know that it is the air medical ambulance community because I have seen it done in other areas, and I have seen the important value it -- the important value of that, and that we should not discount that value, not only in the way that we work with the air medical transportation community, but also when we value the way we

5138 calculate what is fair in terms of reimbursement. 5139 I introduced a bill last Congress, and I am working through 5140 a bill with other members of this committee, but the bill last 5141 Congress was called Ensuring Access to Air Ambulance Services 5142 And the air ambulance community basically are very willing 5143 to share the information and the data. 5144 In fact, it is part of that bill that there would be a 5145 long-term study of transparency in terms of cost so that everybody 5146 understands how much it actually costs, and so people can 5147 understand the percentage of that reimbursement that goes on. 5148 Because as of now it is unsustainable. And we need transparency. 5149 We need data. We need the information. We need to help rural 5150 communities like those in New Mexico. And we need to make sure that patients don't bear the brunt 5151 5152 of that cost, and we need a fair, value-based system for 5153 reimbursement for that very valuable industry in our nation that 5154 saves lives and prevents permanent disability for thousands of 5155 Americans, if not millions. 5156 I yield back my time. 5157 The Chairman. I thank the gentleman. Anyone on the 5158 Republican side? If not, we go to Chairwoman Eshoo. You had 5159 a question? 5160 Ms. Eshoo. Yes, Mr. Chairman. Thank you for recognizing 5161 I move to strike the last word. me. 5162 I just want to make a couple of comments given the comments

5163 I don't think there is -- there isn't anyone that have been made. 5164 here that is carrying a brief to diminish emergency services for 5165 anyone in the country, wherever they are, especially in rural 5166 So, no one is carrying that brief. areas of our country. 5167 What came up in the hearing at the Health Subcommittee under 5168 the very tough questioning of Mr. Lujan were the costs of the 5169 So, and that was a legitimate tough line of questioning. 5170 And to our government reimbursement, we need to know what 5171 these services cost. They were reluctant, as I recall, to really 5172 come clean with what their real costs are. 5173 So, I think that there is a different, and I just want to 5174 place that down on the record, maybe as a reminder, maybe people 5175 don't remember, or members that are not members of the 5176 subcommittee. That is A. 5177 And B, under the umbrella of surprise billing, the surprise 5178 billing that can come out of these services because the price 5179 tag is so high, if we think going to the emergency room just via 5180 ambulance driving to an emergency room has sticker shock, this 5181 one is, this one is extraordinary. 5182 So, I compliment the gentleman for the work he is doing on 5183 But, you know, whatever part of the system any of this 5184 is, we really need to drill down on the costs. 5185 Mr. Ruiz. Will you yield? 5186 And I think that Mr. Lujan has done a good job Ms. Eshoo. 5187 with it. And I yield back the balance of my time.

5188	Mr. Ruiz. Will you yield?
5189	Ms. Eshoo. Oh, to Mr yes.
5190	Mr. Ruiz. Yes. I just want to concur with what you said.
5191	And in no way, shape, or form I want to imply that anybody was
5192	disparaging the air ambulance of emergency transportation
5193	services. I agree with this amendment. My comments are
5194	complimentary.
5195	Ms. Eshoo. You know, I want to reclaim my time. I am not
5196	disparaging anyone. I think it is absolutely essential that the
5197	issue of what the cost of these services are be addressed.
5198	Mr. Ruiz. No, I agree with you.
5199	Ms. Eshoo. And they weren't, under questioning. They
5200	weren't, under questioning.
5201	Yes, I will yield.
5202	Mr. Ruiz. Yes, I agree with you. What I am saying is I
5203	am not saying anybody is disparaging, nobody, not anybody in this
5204	committee. And I just want to make sure everybody understands
5205	I support this amendment. I support Representative Lujan's
5206	effort. And I think it is very important that we get transparency
5207	so we can get to the bottom of this and fix this issue.
5208	And you have done a great job in committee making sure that
5209	all the tough questions are asked. And I am sure we are going
5210	to come to a very good solution. Thank you.
5211	Ms. Eshoo. Yield back.
5212	The Chairman. Thank you. So, now we are on the Lujan
	NEAL D. CDOSS

5213	amendment to the AINS. Does anyone else want to speak on that?
5214	If not, we are going to vote on it.
5215	[No response.]
5216	The Chairman. All those in favor of Mr. Lujan's amendment
5217	will signify by saying aye.
5218	All those opposed, say no.
5219	In the opinion of the chair, the ayes have it, and the Lujan
5220	amendment is agreed to.
5221	We have another amendment to the AINS. Mr. Ruiz?
5222	Mr. Ruiz. Mr. Chairman, yes, I have an amendment at the
5223	desk.
5224	[The Amendment offered by Mr. Ruiz follows:]
5225	
5226	**************************************

5227 The Chairman. Does the clerk have that one? Statute of 5228 limitations amendment, Mr. Ruiz? 5229 Mr. Ruiz. Yes. 5230 Have you got that one? The Chairman. 5231 The Clerk. Amendment to the amendment in the nature of a 5232 substitute to H.R. 2328 offered by Mr. Ruiz of --5233 Without objection, reading of the amendment The Chairman. 5234 will be dispensed with. And the gentleman from California is 5235 recognized for 5 minutes. 5236 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I would like to thank Mr. Ruiz. 5237 my colleague Dr. Bucshon for cosponsoring this amendment with 5238 This amendment simply states that a patient cannot be billed 5239 for the first time more than a year after the service provided. 5240 I can tell you the importance of this from not only the 5241 anecdotes and the experiences from family, friends, communities, 5242 but from distraught constituents who received medical care and 5243 then, for no fault of their own, due to a hospital or provider's billing, lack of billing capacity, 3 or 4 years later get a surprise 5244 5245 bill when they had moved on. They find themselves in difficult 5246 They have budgeted for other, other monthly or yearly 5247 expenses, and then suddenly they get a bill for \$500, \$600. 5248 So, so we want to make sure that we incentivize billing 5249 capacity but also to make sure that patients are not caught in 5250 that middle and receive that surprise bill after a year. 5251 have not received it, then they cannot be billed.

5252	I yield back.
5253	The Chairman. Anyone else want to speak on the Ruiz statute
5254	of limit Dr. Bucshon.
5255	Mr. Bucshon. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I want to speak in
5256	favor of the amendment. Thank Dr. Ruiz for offering it.
5257	This is a perfectly reasonable approach to prevent another
5258	form of a surprise medical bill that happens when, for whatever
5259	reason, a provider does not bill a patient till after a year after
5260	the service. In fact, many patients that I have heard from they
5261	have no idea or can't even remember what the service was for.
5262	So, I want to speak in support of the amendment. I hope
5263	all my colleagues support it. I yield back.
5264	The Chairman. The gentleman from Virginia, Mr. McEachin.
5265	Mr. McEachin. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I think this is
5266	a question for counsel. And I may be thinking in too much of
5267	a parochial manner. But this amendment talks about a bill to
5268	an individual more than a year after such date of service.
5269	Is there not a doctrine of necessity? And does that doctrine
5270	not suggest that a spouse can be billed for services that are
5271	necessary? And if so, does this amendment exempt the spouse after
5272	a year?
5273	The Chairman. God bless you if you can answer that question.
5274	Go ahead.
5275	Ms. Goldman. The amendment is specific to the individual
5276	receiving the service.

5301	necessity. NEAL R. GROSS
5300	it doesn't defeat what we call in Virginia the doctrine of
5299	a year later, but it doesn't exempt the spouse. In other words,
5298	an individual, an individual that received a service more than
5297	as I am. And so a year talks so this amendment talks about
5296	especially on an emergency basis, my wife is as liable for them
5295	have certain health care needs and they are provided to me,
5294	a Virginia thing and maybe Mr. Griffith can help me. But if I
5293	Mr. McEachin. I am sorry. And, again, this might be just
5292	what you
5291	The Chairman. Would you explain to me again, to all of us
5290	accomplishes what the gentleman is trying to get at.
5289	to slow down this train, but I don't think this amendment
5288	Mr. McEachin. Well, Mr. Chairman, I am certainly not trying
5287	Mr. Ruiz. Yes.
5286	to except the entire family from a bill after a year, do you not?
5285	Mr. McEachin. I assume from you presentation that you mean
5284	The Chairman. Okay. And you
5283	Ms. Goldman. No.
5282	The Chairman. Not to the spouse?
5281	receiving the service.
5280	Ms. Goldman. If the amendment is specific to the individual
5279	What did you say?
5278	The Chairman. I didn't really hear what you guys said.
5277	Mr. McEachin. Would the sponsor yield for a question?

5302 And I think that is what he is trying to get at is to defeat 5303 the doctrine of necessity in this particular instance. 5304 Would the counsel agree with Mr. McEachin's The Chairman. 5305 analysis of this? 5306 As currently crafted it is specific to Yes. 5307 the individual receiving the services. If the intent were to 5308 apply to family members you would have to insert reference to 5309 such family members. 5310 The Chairman. Dr. Ruiz? 5311 Mr. Ruiz. Yes, I have a question. 5312 So, if let's say my wife is under my health insurance, the 5313 bill comes to me but it is her services; right? It is the 5314 individual who received that. So, wouldn't that be considered 5315 protected in this case because regardless if the bill comes to 5316 me it is that individual's services, it is just a way to identify 5317 which service would not, would not require a payment after a year 5318 billing? 5319 Mr. McEachin. You are still liable. You are still liable. 5320 Your wife may not be but you are still liable. 5321 So, so could I offer an amendment to my amendment 5322 to state that not only the individual who receives care but the 5323 family member who received that care? 5324 The Chairman. What I would suggest, if it is okay with both 5325 of you, that we try to address this, you know, before we go to the floor only because I don't think we should be writing these 5326

5327 amendments now. 5328 I think everyone agrees that Mr. McEachin has a legitimate 5329 And the counsel has said that he does. So why don't 5330 we just say we will all agree that we will change this before 5331 we go to the floor, if that is okay with everyone. 5332 Mr. Ruiz. I agree. And I want to thank Representative McEachin for ensuring that the intent of the amendment is followed 5333 5334 through so that we can have the most robust protection for patients 5335 and families from this. Thank you very much. 5336 The Chairman. Can we just pause a second here. 5337 [Pause.] 5338 The Chairman. Mr. Griffith has the proposed amendment, but 5339 we are concerned that this, you know, we just don't want to do this the last minute. So we just promise you that we will do 5340 5341 something like this before we go to the floor, if that is okay 5342 with everyone. 5343 Mr. Burgess. Mr. Chairman. 5344 The Chairman. Agreed? 5345 Yes, Dr. Burgess. 5346 Mr. Burgess. Strike the last word. Just to speak on this 5347 And I am not opposed to what you propose but, or maybe 5348 I am just cynical for having worked with insurance companies for 5349 25 years, and they always find a reason to delay your pay, or 5350 not pay you, or require more information. And they can drag things

I promise you they can drag things out for a

out for a year.

5352	year.
5353	So, am I, as a provider, then enjoined from ever collecting
5354	that debt that the insurance company has managed to drag out for
5355	over a year's time?
5356	The Chairman. Well, that is why
5357	Mr. Burgess. Should we, should we think about, as you work
5358	on this? And maybe I am just
5359	The Chairman. Yes.
5360	Mr. Burgess being overly cynical.
5361	The Chairman. No. I think you raise a good point. And
5362	I think that is why we should take some time.
5363	Mr. Burgess. Well, I was just putting a prompt pay issue
5364	from the standpoint of a payer in there.
5365	The Chairman. Yes.
5366	Mr. Burgess. Because otherwise the game is, well, we will
5367	just kite the check till the end of the month and leave the doctor
5368	holding the bag. Because that has been my experience.
5369	The Chairman. I think another reason why we should take
5370	another look.
5371	But go ahead, Mr. Griffith.
5372	Mr. Griffith. Mr. Chairman, if I might. And I regret I
5373	was over here in a little bit of a fog, and I appreciate the good
5374	lawyering work done by my colleague from Virginia. Reminds me
5375	of our days on the Virginia Courts of Justice Committee.
5376	But I think that is why we have to limit it not to others

5377	but to an immediate family member because I think otherwise we
5378	let the insurance company off. What we don't want them doing
5379	is coming back on the individual that got the service or the
5380	immediate family member.
5381	We may want to put in some clarifying language to take care
5382	of Dr. Burgess' problem that says but that does not excuse or
5383	negate the responsibility of an insurance company or a corporate
5384	insurer from having to pay the bill subsequent or greater than
5385	one year later. We could do that as well.
5386	But I just, I have to tell you it never entered my mind.
5387	And I just think Representative McEachin did great lawyering work
5388	today.
5389	The Chairman. All right. We are going to comment, we are
5390	going to tell him how great a lawyer he is. Thank you, I guess.
5391	Whose time is this by the way?
5392	Mr. Burgess. It is mine, if you give it to me.
5393	Mr. Ruiz. It is actually my time. And I will yield back,
5394	Mr. Chair.
5395	The Chairman. All right. Let me, let's yield back and we
5396	will go to Mr. Bucshon.
5397	Mr. Bucshon. I just want to add, it is kind of a
5398	parliamentary question. Then Mr. Ruiz will have to formally
5399	withdraw this amendment; is that correct?
5400	The Chairman. Oh, are you is that what you want to do?
5401	Mr. Ruiz. If that is necessary to make the correction so

5402	that we can provide those robust protections and make sure that
5403	Dr. Burgess' concerns are addressed then, yes, I would withdraw
5404	the amendment and
5405	The Chairman. Before you do that, can I just ask everybody,
5406	I would like to I mean he has a legitimate issue here for trying
5407	to address the statute of limitations. I would prefer if we moved
5408	your amendment and we made a commitment that myself and Mr. Walden
5409	would address your concerns rather than just dropping the statute
5410	of limitations.
5411	Mr. Ruiz. Great. Why don't we, why don't we go ahead and
5412	do that for the sake of time.
5413	I do not withdraw my amendment and
5414	The Chairman. If it is okay with everyone, and unless
5415	someone objects, I am going to ask that we move this amendment
5416	and that we try to address this as an amendment to his amendment
5417	before we go to the floor.
5418	Is that okay, Mr. McEachin, and the doctors amongst us?
5419	All right.
5420	All right. Is there anybody else wants to comment on this?
5421	[No response.]
5422	The Chairman. All right. We are going to move forward with
5423	that understanding.
5424	All those in favor of the relief statute of limitations
5425	amendment will signify by saying aye.
5426	All those opposed will say no.

5427	And in the opinion of the chair, the ayes have it, and the
5428	amendment is agreed to.
5429	Now, do we have an Now we have a Schrader amendment.
5430	[The Amendment offered by Mr. Schrader follows:]
5431	
5432	**************************************

5433	The Chairman. The gentleman from Oregon is recognized.
5434	Well, if
5435	Mr. Schrader. I want Don to go outside the room first so
5436	he can't find a problem with my amendment, if that is okay.
5437	[Laughter.]
5438	The Chairman. I don't even know what he said, but okay.
5439	Does the clerk have the amendment?
5440	The Clerk. Yes, sir.
5441	The Chairman. The clerk will report the amendment.
5442	The Clerk. Amendment to the amendment in the nature of a
5443	substitute to H.R. 2328 offered by Mr. Schrader.
5444	The Chairman. Without objection, the reading of the
5445	amendment is dispensed with.
5446	And the gentleman from Oregon is recognized for 5 minutes.
5447	Mr. Schrader. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you for your
5448	leadership, along with the ranking member, in bringing this
5449	bipartisan bill before the committee today, and all my colleagues'
5450	collaboration on these efforts. I just wish this would get some
5451	news media attention quite frankly. You don't see this every
5452	day, and it would be nice to get this great work that members
5453	play heavily into before the American people.
5454	I want to thank Mr. Griffith for also supporting this
5455	amendment, the goal being to strengthen the integrity of the
5456	surprise billing bill that we have before us. As a committee
5457	we have done great work. This is balanced, I think, and fair

in total. We have all agreed with the central tenet that patients should be protected, not caught in the middle and on the hook for these, these big, huge medical bills that they don't have any control over. They thought they had done the right thing.

We have considered it with great debate, the various approaches to the contracting and reimbursement issues that are the foundation of these surprise medical bills. And while we may not have solved absolutely everything here today, we are moving forward I think on a great compromise that will solve the patient portion for sure.

My amendment before you will improve the audit provisions of the bill, and important safeguard in the bill to ensure that the methodology determined by the secretary is being properly calculated by payers in determining that median network rate. It clarifies the secretary's authorities to do so, and adds some specification on how the audits will be performed, evaluating up to 25 health plans a year. Twenty-five is not a huge sample, but a big enough sample, and the secretary also has the authority to audit any plan where there's been a complaint.

I believe this amendment strengthens the balance of the bill.

It is necessary for ensuring unintentional mistakes aren't made and, frankly, to corral some of the bad actors that are out there.

It strikes a good balance I think for us and for the committee, and would urge everybody to vote in favor of the amendment.

And I yield back.

5483	The Chairman. Thank you. Mr. Griffith.
5484	Mr. Griffith. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I concur with my
5485	colleague and ask that everybody vote for the amendment.
5486	The Chairman. That was brief. Thank you.
5487	Anyone else on the Schrader amendment?
5488	[No response.]
5489	The Chairman. We will move to vote.
5490	All those in favor of the Schrader amendment will signify
5491	by saying aye.
5492	All those opposed will say no.
5493	In the opinion of the chair, the ayes have it, and the
5494	amendment is agreed to.
5495	What else do we have? Chairwoman Schakowsky has an
5496	amendment. Do we have it, Madam Clerk? There are two different
5497	ones?
5498	Ms. Schakowsky. This is Schakowsky 35. There is an
5499	amendment at the desk.
5500	[The Amendment offered by Ms. Schakowsky follows:]
5501	
5502	**************************************

5503 The Clerk. We have it. 5504 The Chairman. The clerk will report the amendment. 5505 The Clerk. Amendment to the amendment in the nature of a 5506 substitute to H.R. 2328 offered by Ms. Schakowsky of Illinois. Page 76 --5507 5508 The Chairman. Without objection, the reading of the 5509 amendment is dispensed with. And the gentlewoman from Illinois 5510 is recognized. 5511 Ms. Schakowsky. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Loebsack and 5512 I have an amendment at the desk to amend to the amendment, amendment to the amendment in the nature of a substitute to H.R. 2328. 5513 5514 As I have said many times before today, I am very proud of the 5515 work of this committee, what we are doing today to shield consumers 5516 from outrageous bills. 5517 One way to do that, a solution not included in this bill, 5518 is to expand patient choice in providers. I have always believed 5519 that all, that all providers in our healthcare system should be 5520 able to engage in their full scope of practice. 5521 non-physicians, especially advanced practice registered nurses, 5522 play a primary role in providing the highest quality care and 5523 filling gaps in access to care across our country. 5524 Our amendment would underline the importance of the 5525 providers' non-discrimination law that was established through 5526 the ACA, which prohibits insurance networks from discriminating 5527 against a class of healthcare providers acting within the scope

5528 of their practice. We must work to ensure that we are promoting 5529 competition, and consumer choice, and avoiding anything that might 5530 restrict access to necessary care. 5531 I look forward to working with the chairman, and the ranking 5532 member, and Mr. Loebsack to strengthen our -- the protection 5533 against provider non-discrimination moving forward. 5534 And I yield right now to Mr. Loebsack. 5535 Mr. Loebsack. Thank you, Congresswoman Schakowsky, for 5536 offering this important amendment today. Thanks for yielding. 5537 In 2010, Congress enacted into law a provider 5538 non-discrimination provision that prohibits health plans from 5539 discriminating against qualified healthcare providers based only 5540 on their licensure. Unfortunately, health insurance issuers are 5541 continuing to discriminate against providers, and this is 5542 especially problematic for folks in rural areas who already have 5543 access limitations as it is. One of the underlying causes of surprise billing and 5544 5545 restricting access to licensed providers in rural and underserved 5546 areas is provider discrimination. I have heard from a number 5547 of provider groups, like CRNAs for example, who have experienced discrimination from insurance issuers which forces them to become 5548 5549 an out-of-network provider. 5550 When health plans organize their healthcare delivery in a 5551 way that blocks out whole classes of qualified licensed healthcare

professionals, patient access to care is impaired and healthcare

5553 costs climb from lack of competition. Congress must address this 5554 problem by properly enforcing provider non-discrimination. 5555 And, again, I think Ms. Schakowsky for this amendment. 5556 I yield back to you. 5557 Ms. Schakowsky. I thank the gentleman for yielding back 5558 and for his remarks. Again, I do look forward to working with the chairman and 5559 5560 ranking member. If we could strengthen the protections for --5561 the protections against non -- the non-discrimination 5562 protections, that would be great. In the meantime, and for the 5563 moment, I withdraw this amendment and yield back. 5564 The Chairman. The gentlewoman has withdrawn her amendment. 5565 You want to comment on it? Yeah, can I just comment, Mr. Chairman? 5566 5567 The Chairman. The gentleman from Indiana strikes the last 5568 word? Yeah, I move to strike the last word. 5569 Mr. Bucshon. 5570 Even though it has been withdrawn, I would be in support 5571 I had in the rural part of my district a nurse practitioners 5572 who had gone, who was practicing within the scope of her practice 5573 and needed to call my office to have congressional intervention 5574 to finally get on some health plan because they were drag -- they 5575 had been dragging the process out for months. And it was 5576 inhibiting her ability to provide access to medical care in a 5577 rural community. And this was under a M.D.'s supervision.

5578	So, I would, I would agree that in rural America particularly,
5579	you know, if people are practicing within the scope of practice
5580	that has been determined by their state, that we should not be
5581	discriminating against providers.
5582	I yield back.
5583	Ms. Schakowsky. Mr. Chairman. Mr. Chairman.
5584	The Chairman. The gentlewoman is
5585	Ms. Schakowsky. Let me just ask you. If this amendment
5586	could be bipartisan, could it be considered now or between now
5587	and the floor?
5588	Mr. Bucshon. Yeah, I think the latter would probably be
5589	best. I think we, we can talk about it and see where we might,
5590	might make a difference.
5591	The Chairman. And we can certainly work with you
5592	Ms. Schakowsky. Thank you.
5593	The Chairman before we go to the floor.
5594	And just let me tell you, the gentlewoman has withdrawn her
5595	amendment. What we are going to do is going to recognize Mr.
5596	Peters to strike the last word. And then we are going to end
5597	on the high note with the Ruiz-Bucshon amendment.
5598	And I was a little concerned because I saw Mr. Shimkus
5599	studying some paper over there with Mr. McEachin. I don't want
5600	you to study too much. We have to get done.
5601	All right. So, I will recognize Mr. Peters to strike the
5602	last word.

Mr. Peters. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I move to strike the last word and discuss an important piece of bipartisan legislation I have been working on with other members of the committee as well as with your staff.

First, I want to thank you and your staff for all your effort to bring together this important package today. And the package we are discussing and we will pass extends many critical programs and will ensure that our communities will have access to much of the care that they need and deserve.

The package does not, however, deal with one important issue, that of ensuring that seniors will continue to have access to clinical lab diagnostic services in the coming years. I have had the pleasure of working on a bipartisan basis with Mr. Bilirakis, Mr. Hudson, and Mr. Schrader on my bill, the Laboratory Access for Beneficiaries, or LAB Act. When Congress passed the Protecting Access to Medicare Act, or PAMA, we had the goal of updating the clinical laboratory fee schedule so that it is more reflective of market rates for lab services.

Unfortunately, the first round of reporting collecting by CMS captured only 1 percent of the laboratory market. And that means that CMS is basing its fee schedule on only a very, very small piece of the laboratory landscape. The resulting fee adjustments for lab services threaten \$10 million in cuts, which is four times greater than CBO had projected.

Cuts of this magnitude threaten the health of our seniors,

5628	as they will be delayed in, or prevented from obtaining the lab
5629	tests they need to diagnose, treat, or prevent disease. If
5630	Congress fails to act, CMS will continue to gather incomplete
5631	data, adjust rates based on this incomplete data, and further
5632	threaten patient access.
5633	And, Mr. Chairman, I appreciate the work of you and your
5634	staff to iron out a few details on the bill, hope it can be
5635	considered as soon as possible.
5636	I ask unanimous consent to submit for the record a letter
5637	of support for the LAB Act, signed by more than 25 organizations,
5638	including the Infectious Disease Society of America, the Caregiver
5639	Action Network, and the American Academy of Family Physicians.
5640	And I yield back.
5641	The Chairman. Without objection, so ordered.
5642	[The information follows:]
5643	
5644	*********COMMITTEE INSERT******
	II

5645	The Chairman. And we will work with you on the LAB issue
5646	before we go to the floor.
5647	Okay. So lastly, I think, we have an amendment sponsored
5648	by Mr. Ruiz and Bucshon. Bucshon I guess is the lead. Is that
5649	accurate? No, you are.
5650	Okay, recognize Mr. Ruiz.
5651	Mr. Ruiz. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I have an amendment
5652	at the desk.
5653	[The Amendment offered by Mr. Ruiz follows:]
5654	
5655	**************************************

5656 The clerk will report the amendment. The Chairman. 5657 The Clerk. Amendment to the amendment in the nature of a 5658 substitute to H.R. 2328 offered by Mr. Ruiz. After the subsection 5659 (e) --5660 The Chairman. Without objection, the reading of the 5661 amendment will be dispensed with. 5662 And the gentleman from California is recognized on his 5663 amendment. 5664 I would like to thank Chairman Pallone, Ranking Mr. Ruiz. 5665 Member Walden, Dr. Bucshon, and all of your staff for working 5666 together to move forward on this important piece of legislation. 5667 I appreciate the collaborative process, and I am pleased that 5668 we are all able to come to agreement. My amendment with Dr. Bucshon simply adds an appeals process 5669 5670 in the form of an independent dispute resolution in cases where 5671 the in-network median rate may not be the proper reimbursement 5672 for a specific episode of care. We believe that adding this 5673 backstop strengthens this legislation, which already protects 5674 patients from getting surprise bills from unanticipated and often unavoidable out-of-network care. 5675 5676 I want to thank in particular Dr. Bucshon and his staff. 5677 This is a true bipartisan amendment. And I urge everyone to 5678 support this important amendment and the underlying bill. 5679 Thank you, and I yield back. 5680 The gentleman from Indiana is recognized. The Chairman.

5681 Well, thank you, Mr. Chairman. I also would Mr. Bucshon. 5682 like to thank the chairman and ranking member, as well as Dr. 5683 Ruiz, the chairwoman, and the ranking member of the Health 5684 Subcommittee also for the collaborative approach of working on 5685 this amendment. I have stated at both the legislative hearing 5686 and the subcommittee markup the critical nature of having an 5687 independent dispute resolution process as part of the solution 5688 to surprise billing. And I am grateful for the fact that we have 5689 been able to make that happen today in a bipartisan way. 5690 And I look forward to being supportive of the amendment and the underlying bill. And with that, I yield to my colleague, 5691 5692 Congressman Carter. 5693 Mr. Carter. I thank the gentleman for yielding. 5694 I want to thank Chairman Pallone, Republican Leader Walden, 5695 Congressman Ruiz, and Congressman Bucshon for their hard work 5696 leading on this issue. I have said from the beginning that we 5697 need to find middle ground in this issue because protecting 5698 patients from surprise bills is simply too important to fall by This has been a complex problem to tackle, but 5699 the wayside. 5700 inaction was simply not an option. 5701

I am proud that we found a way to move forward. I think this amendment strengthens this bill. And I look forward to moving this important policy to the House floor.

And I yield back to the gentleman from Indiana.

Mr. Bucshon. And with that, I yield to Dr. Burgess.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

5702

5703

5704

5706 I thank the gentleman from Indiana, thank the Mr. Burgess. 5707 gentleman from California for putting this amendment together. 5708 It is a good amendment. It improves the bill significantly. 5709 I believe there was no way to move forward on this without a 5710 mechanism for an independent dispute resolution. And you have 5711 accomplished that, so that is a good thing. 5712 Allowing backstop into an independent dispute resolution process that accounts for their quality level and the physician's 5713 5714 acuity level is critical. And, again, there really was no way 5715 to move forward without this. So I am grateful that it has been 5716 included today. 5717 I will yield back to the gentleman from Indiana. 5718 Mr. Bucshon. Any other members on this side want to speak 5719 to the amendment? 5720 [No response.] 5721 Mr. Bucshon. If not, Mr. Chairman, I yield back. I thank the gentleman from Indiana. 5722 The Chairman. 5723 And I would now like to strike the last word myself on the 5724 amendment and yield myself 5 minutes for that purpose. 5725 won't use it all. 5726 First of all, our most important task today is to protect 5727 patients from the unreasonable and unacceptable practice of 5728 surprise billing. Under the Pallone-Walden legislation, 5729 providers would no longer be able to balance bill patients for 5730 out-of-network emergency services or for scheduled services from providers the patient was not aware would be in their treatment.

Our legislation removes the surprise from billing by completely protecting patients and taking them out of the middle of disputes between insurers, providers, and hospitals. The Ruiz-Bucshon amendment adds a backstop for providers to appeal their claim to an independent dispute resolution process if they can demonstrate that they have not been fairly compensated.

The amendment would allow providers to have 30 days within which to file an appeal of a benchmark payment with the insurer.

The insurer would then have 30 days to adjudicate the appeal, after which the provider could initiate independent dispute resolution.

The Ruiz-Bucshon amendment would limit appeals to extenuating circumstances so that only complex cases would qualify. And it also limits the variables that can be considered during arbitration.

Most importantly to me, it bars arbitrators from considering billed charges which are unilaterally set by providers. Provider charges are often double or triple Medicare rates, and in some cases for some large physician staffing companies, it is around 500 percent of Medicare rates. If Congress sends this signal to arbiters that provider charges are to be considered, we would be creating a significantly higher standard for payment, decreasing incentives for providers to be in network, and putting upward pressure on healthcare premiums.

I am supporting this amendment because it addresses concerns raised by members on both sides of the aisle that providers should have some recourse to be able to make the case to a neutral third party if payment is inadequate. But I have to be honest, that I do continue to be concerned that arbitration may come with additional administrative costs which could be passed on to consumers in the form of higher premiums.

Our priority is that we protect consumers without raising healthcare costs for working Americans. And I believe the Ruiz-Bucshon amendment achieves these goals. It is imperative that we pass this legislation today and finally protect American families from the financial devastation and the tremendous emotional toll of surprise medical bills.

And that, I yield back at this time and yield to the ranking member.

Mr. Walden. I thank the chairman and for his leadership. And I do mean that, leadership. It takes a lot to get this done and to legislate on challenging topics like surprise medical bills takes patience, too -- both words, patience and patients -- and a commitment to share ideas, listen to feedback, and produce consensus. And you have done that here, and it reflects decades' long legacy of this committee's chairman.

When this committee released our discussion draft on the No Surprises Act it did so in front of a packed room of people. What we are doing today will impact millions of people.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

Or goal is clear: protect patients, hold them harmless.

Along the way we heard input from a wide range of stakeholders:
doctors, such as pathologists; emergency room physicians;
anesthesiologists and radiologists, who provide critical and
often lifesaving care for our friends, our neighbors, literally
for ourselves; hospitals who continue to innovate and modernize
their services and facilities to achieve better healthcare
outcomes; insurers, our community partners in building and
strengthening advanced analytics and research to uncover
healthcare trends and lower the cost of care; Families USA; the
American Medical Association; the Federation of American
Hospitals; and Blue Cross/Blue Shield Association. We heard from
insurance commissioners, ambulance companies.

And in a city splintered by partisanship, those groups rarely, if ever, can agree on policy principles. But every single one of them told me the same thing: take the patient out of the middle, hold the patient harmless.

Patients like Sonji Wilkes who received a \$50,000 surprise bill. Remember, she testified before our committee, Mr. Chairman. Her son stayed 50 steps from her in the NICU for one night. Mr. Chairman, I know that was our driving force, our motivation for action: protect the families, the single moms, the couples, the younger professionals, the senior citizens from New Jersey to Oregon. To protect Americans who, through no fault of their own, played by the rules but received unaffordable

5806 surprise bills.

Hear me say this clearly. Surprise billing is not right, it is not fair, frankly, in some cases it is downright deceitful. So if hospitals, doctors, and insurers mean what they say, that patients should be held harmless, that patients are not responsible, then hospitals, doctors, and health insurers are responsible; it is that simple.

So, today we improve on that shared responsibility, adding a pragmatic backstop to the median in-network rate. What the Ruiz-Bucshon amendment does is simple, it says if a doctor, hospital, or insurance company thinks a payment higher than the \$1,250 median in-network rate is unfair, you can challenge it through an independent dispute resolution process. In addition to the median in-network payment, the arbiter can take two things into consideration: the complexity of the patient's care, and the quality of the doctor's services.

While I prefer the benchmark like we have in Oregon, Mr. Chairman, I believe this strikes a fair and necessary balance.

This should be true for doctors, hospitals, insurance companies who told me they share my top goal.

Mr. Chairman, I urge each of these groups to join us and patients to hold patients harmless, and support this amendment and support this bill.

And, again, thank you for your, your efforts on this, and all colleagues on the committee who played such important roles.

5831 Being the chair of the Health Subcommittee and the top Republican 5832 on the committee, we appreciate your great leadership and counsel 5833 as well. 5834 And with that, I would urge support of the amendment and 5835 the underlying bill, and I yield back the balance of my time. 5836 The Chairman. I thank the ranking member for his comments. Does anyone else want to -- Yes, the chairwoman of the Health 5837 5838 Subcommittee, Ms. Eshoo, is recognized. 5839 Ms. Eshoo. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I move to strike the 5840 last word. 5841 I support the amendment. And I want to salute each one of 5842 the individuals, the chairman, the ranking member, the offerors 5843 of the amendments, people that have worked really hard on this for what they are bringing forward. 5844 5845 I have said it before and I am going to repeat it. 5846 hospitals, doctors, insurers, even the stakeholders that have 5847 really created what I would call a mess really, and we are the 5848 referees, so we are trying to fix this. And I think it is a great 5849 credit to the members for, as I said earlier today, for listening 5850 to each other and being willing to amend the legislation. 5851 And I think what we have done with this bill is, the most 5852 important thing is is that we have taken the patient out of it. 5853 And that is exactly what the witnesses said we should do. 5854 Secondly, was make sure that the bill's protections are

strong enough so that no patient gets a surprise bill because

5856 of a medical emergency. I think we have achieved a delicate 5857 balance so that hospitals, doctors, and insurance pull their fair 5858 weight by combining the arbitration and the benchmark payment 5859 And obviously this is, you know, the debate is over 5860 money. So, like, what is new around here? 5861 So, as I have said previously, if doctors are charging 5862 inflated rates, then we are going to come down. If insurers aren't 5863 negotiating with doctors fairly, now we have a hammer to bring 5864 them to the table. If insurers save money from our bill, we are 5865 tracking those savings to make sure they are passed on to patients 5866 in the form of lower premiums. 5867 Really, I think the real winners in this are who we intended 5868 to be the winner, and that is the patient. So, bravo to everyone 5869 that has worked so hard on this. And I think this is something 5870 that every single one of us can support. And thank you. 5871 And I yield back. 5872 The Chairman. Thank you. Does anyone else want to speak 5873 on the Ruiz-Bucshon amendment? 5874 Mr. Schakowsky. Yes, I do. Yes. 5875 The Chairman. The gentlewoman from Illinois, Ms. 5876 Schakowsky. 5877 Mr. Schakowsky. Let me just first admit this has been a 5878 very great day for this, for this committee, and I am proud to 5879 be a part of it. And I want to say, start with by first saying

how much I sincerely respect both Dr. Ruiz and Dr. Bucshon.

5881 I have to say that I am very concerned this amendment will not 5882 allow us to really address our skyrocketing healthcare, healthcare 5883 costs. 5884 This bill includes a median benchmark rate that will lower 5885 healthcare costs by bringing rates down from the often 5886 astronomical numbers that we see providers making today, anywhere 5887 from 500 percent of Medicare rates to 1,500 percent of Medicare 5888 rates in some circumstances. But arbitration in my view, which 5889 is used as the backstop, will not lower the healthcare, healthcare 5890 So I actually do disagree with this amendment. 5891 Arbitration actually comes with additional administrative 5892 costs and complexities which could then be passed on to consumers 5893 in the form of higher premiums. And even as a backstop, I think 5894 that binding arbitration leaves a public interest, public health 5895 decision up to an unaccountable, private decision maker. 5896 I don't think that is a very progressive way to be dealing with the issue of pricing. 5897 5898 So, I just want to say I would prefer that this bill move 5899 forward without the amendment. And having said my piece, I will 5900 yield back. 5901 Thank you. Does anyone else want to speak The Chairman. 5902 on this amendment? 5903 [No response.] 5904 If not, we will proceed. Again, this is an The Chairman. 5905 amendment to the AINS.

5906	All those in favor of the Ruiz-Bucshon amendment will signify
5907	by saying aye.
5908	All those opposed will say no.
5909	In the opinion of the chair, the ayes have it, and the
5910	amendment is agreed to.
5911	Now, we still, we have no more amendments to the AINS, so
5912	we are going to go to the AINS, if there is no other discussion.
5913	All right?
5914	We will proceed to a vote on the amendment in the nature
5915	of a substitute which was offered by myself.
5916	All those in favor of the amendment in the nature of a
5917	substitute, as amended, as amended to H.R. 2328, will signify
5918	by saying aye.
5919	All those opposed will say no.
5920	In the opinion of the chair, the ayes have it, and the
5921	amendment in the nature of a substitute, as amended, is adopted.
5922	Oh. Oh, it is agreed to. Okay.
5923	Now, unless anybody wants to say anything else, we are going
5924	to go to the Nobody. Okay. We will go to the bill.
5925	The question now occurs on agreeing to the measure as amended.
5926	So, we are talking about now H.R. 2328.
5927	All those in favor of agreeing to H.R. 2328, as amended,
5928	will signify by saying aye.
5929	All those opposed will say no.
5930	In the opinion of the chair, the ayes have it, and H.R. 2328,
	NEAL R. GROSS

5931	as amended, is reported to the full House.
5932	Mr. Walden. Mr. Chairman.
5933	The Chairman. Yes?
5934	Mr. Walden. I ask for two days to submit additional views
5935	on the legislation considered by the committee.
5936	The Chairman. So ordered.
5937	And without objection, the staff is authorized to make
5938	technical and conforming changes to the bill, consistent with
5939	the actions taken by the committee today.
5940	The chair requests unanimous consent to enter the following
5941	into the record. This is a long list:
5942	A letter in support of consumer product safety bills from
5943	consumer groups;
5944	A letter on H.R. 3375 from the National Association of
5945	Federally Insured Credit Unions;
5946	A letter on H.R. 3375 from Electronic Transactions
5947	Association.
5948	Oh. Look, before I continue with this, because you can leave
5949	after if you want to, but I just wanted to thank everyone. Really,
5950	I know everybody has been so great in commenting about what a
5951	good job we all did today, and I really think that is true. So
5952	I just want to thank the staff and both sides of the aisle. We
5953	really, I mean to get through this list today in, what, about
5954	six hours, is pretty amazing, and it really says a lot about this
5955	committee and how we operate.
	NEAL R. GROSS

5956	So, thank you all again. I appreciate it.
5957	[Applause.]
5958	The Chairman. If you don't want to stay while I read this
5959	list, don't worry about it.
5960	The chair requests unanimous consent to enter the following
5961	into the record:
5962	A letter in support of consumer product safety bills from
5963	consumer groups;
5964	A letter on H.R. 3375 from the National Association of
5965	Federally Insured Credit Unions;
5966	A letter on H.R. 3375 from the Electronic Transactions
5967	Association;
5968	A letter on H.R. 3375 from the Credit Union National
5969	Association;
5970	A letter on H.R. 2328 and H.R. 2781 from the Association
5971	of American Medical Colleges;
5972	A letter on H.R. 3375 from AARP;
5973	A letter on H.R. 2328 from the American Hospital Association;
5974	A letter on H.R. 3375 from the Consumer Bankers' Association;
5975	A letter on H.R. 1058 from Consortium for Citizens with
5976	Disabilities;
5977	A letter on H.R. 2035 from the Consortium for Citizens with
5978	Disabilities;
5979	A letter on H.R. 2328 from the AARP;
5980	A letter on H.R. 2328 from American Academy of Family
	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

5981	Physicians;
5982	A letter in support of Medicare and Medicaid extended
5983	provisions from the Federation of American Hospitals;
5984	A letter on H.R. 2781 from the LeadingAge;
5985	A letter from the U.S. COPD Coalition;
5986	A letter on H.R. 3375 from Consumer Reports;
5987	A letter on H.R. 2328 from American Cancer Society, Cancer
5988	Action Network, American Heart Association, and American Lung
5989	Association;
5990	A letter on H.R. 2041 from the Alliance to Save Energy;
5991	A letter in support of Territory's Healthcare Improvement
5992	Act from the Puerto Rican Hospital Association;
5993	A letter in support of Territory's Healthcare Improvement
5994	Act from Congresswoman Jennifer Gonzalez-Colon.
5995	Continue to the next page.
5996	These are a number of letters on the Stopping on the
5997	Stopping Bad Robocalls Act:
5998	From the AARP endorsing the bill;
5999	From Consumer Reports in support of the bill;
6000	From the Credit Union National Association;
6001	From the National Association of Federally Insured Credit
6002	Unions;
6003	From the Electronic Transaction Association;
6004	From the Consumer Bankers' Association;
6005	From Americans for Financial Reform;
	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

6006	From Center for Responsible Lending;
6007	From Consumer Action;
6008	From the Consumer Federation of America; National
6009	Association of Consumer Advocates; National Consumer Law Center;
6010	Public Citizens; Public Knowledge.
6011	All these letters, without objection, so ordered.
6012	[The information follows:]
6013	
6014	**************************************

6015	The Chairman. Without objection, the staff is authorized
6016	to make technical and conforming changes to the bill consistent
6017	with the actions taken by the committee today.
6018	Thank you all. Committee stands adjourned.
6019	[Whereupon, at 3:18 p.m., the committee was adjourned.]