- 1 {York Stenographic Services, Inc.}
- 2 RPTS BURDETTE
- 3 HIF120.000
- 4 MARKUP OF H.R. 6, THE DOMESTIC PROSPERITY AND GLOBAL FREEDOM
- 5 ACT; H.R. 2689, THE ENERGY SAVINGS THROUGH PUBLIC-PRIVATE
- 6 PARTNERSHIPS ACT; AND H.R. 4092, THE STREAMLINING ENERGY
- 7 EFFICIENCY FOR SCHOOLS ACT OF 2014 (DAY 2)
- 8 WEDNESDAY, APRIL 30, 2014
- 9 House of Representatives,
- 10 Committee on Energy and Commerce
- 11 Washington, D.C.

- 12 The Committee met, pursuant to call, at 10:05 a.m., in
- 13 Room 2123 of the Rayburn House Office Building, Hon. Fred
- 14 Upton [Chairman of the Full Committee] presiding.
- 15 Members present: Representatives Upton, Hall, Barton,
- 16 Shimkus, Pitts, Walden, Terry, Rogers, Murphy, Burgess,
- 17 Blackburn, Gingrey, Scalise, Latta, McMorris Rodgers, Lance,
- 18 Cassidy, Guthrie, Olson, McKinley, Gardner, Pompeo,

- 19 Kinzinger, Griffith, Bilirakis, Johnson, Long, Ellmers,
- 20 Waxman, Dingell, Pallone, Rush, Eshoo, Engel, Green, DeGette,
- 21 Capps, Doyle, Schakowsky, Matheson, Barrow, Matsui,
- 22 Christensen, Castor, Sarbanes, McNerney, Braley, Welch,
- 23 Lujan, Tonko and Yarmuth.
- 24 Staff present: Nick Abraham, Legislative Clerk; Gary
- 25 Andres, Staff Director; Charlotte Baker, Deputy
- 26 Communications Director; Mike Bloomquist, General Counsel;
- 27 Sean Bonyun, Communications Director; Matt Bravo,
- 28 Professional Staff Member; Patrick Currier, Counsel, Energy
- 29 and Power; Brad Grantz, Policy Coordinator, Oversight and
- 30 Investigations; Sydne Harwick, Legislative Clerk; Tom
- 31 Hassenboehler, Chief Counsel, Energy and Power; Brittany
- 32 Havens, Legislative Clerk; Kirby Howard, Legislative Clerk;
- 33 Peter Kielty, Deputy General Counsel; Jason Knox, Counsel,
- 34 Energy and Power; Brandon Mooney, Professional Staff Member;
- 35 Chris Sarley, Policy Coordinator, Environment and Economy;
- 36 Charlotte Savercool, Legislative Coordinator; Tom Wilbur,
- 37 Digital Media Advisor; Michelle Ash, Democratic Chief
- 38 Counsel, Commerce, Manufacturing and Trade; Jeff Baran,
- 39 Democratic Senior Counsel; Phil Barnett, Democratic Staff
- 40 Director; Jen Berenholz, Democratic Chief Clerk; Greg Dotson,
- 41 Democratic Staff Director, Energy and Environment; Caitlin

- 42 Haberman, Democratic Policy Analyst; and Karen Lightfoot,
- 43 Democratic Communications Director and Senior Policy Advisor.

```
44
         The {Chairman.} Committee will come to order.
         At the conclusion of the opening statements yesterday,
45
    the chair called up H.R. 2689. The Bill was opened for
46
47
    amendment at any point, and the chair recognizes Mr. Gardner
    for the purpose of offering an amendment in the nature of a
48
49
    substitute.
50
         Mr. {Gardner.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I would
51
    offer an amendment in the nature of a substitute to H.R.
52
    2689.
53
         The {Chairman.} The clerk will report the amendment.
54
         The {Clerk.} Amendment in the nature of a substitute to
55
    H.R. 2689 offered by Mr. Gardner of Colorado and Mr. Welch of
56
    Vermont.
         [The amendment of Mr. Gardner and Mr. Welch follows:]
57
58
    ********** INSERT 1 ********
```

```
59
         The {Chairman.} And without objection, the reading of
    the amendment is dispensed with. The gentleman is recognized
60
    for 5 minutes in support of the amendment, and the staff will
61
62
    distribute copies of the amendment. Is that right?
63
         {Voice.} Yeah.
64
         The {Chairman.} Wait. I think--it has already been
    circulated, so you are fine. Gentleman is recognized for 5
65
    minutes in support of his amendment.
66
67
         Mr. {Gardner.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
68
         This amendment makes the following changes to the
    underlying legislation. First, it requires a report to
69
70
    Congress in each of 2015 and 2016 on the status of each
71
    agency's energy-related performance contracts, the value of
72
    these contracts for the previous year, the goal for the
73
    coming year, and an explanation by agency about why --
74
    explanation by the agency about why goals were or were not
    met.
75
         For projects discovered in the energy audits required in
76
77
    law, the agencies must explain why any lifecycle cost-
78
    effective measures were not implemented using DOE developed
79
    quidelines.
80
         The amendment also clarifies that agencies cannot
```

- 81 arbitrarily limit use of operations and maintenance savings
- 82 in an energy savings performance contract, and it makes
- 83 consistent the definition of a federal building within
- 84 federal energy provisions of law. It clarifies in federal
- 85 energy statute that plug loads are allowable energy
- 86 conservation measures, and the amendment also specifies as
- 87 energy savings the use, sale or transfer of energy
- 88 incentives, rebates or credits for federal, state, local
- 89 governments or utilities, and any revenue generated from a
- 90 reduction in energy use, more efficient waste recycling, or
- 91 more energy generated from more efficient equipment.
- 92 I ask for support for the amendment, and I thank those
- 93 members of the committee who have worked with us on this
- 94 Bill, Mr. Welch in particular, and I ask for support of this
- 95 amendment. And I yield back.
- 96 The {Chairman.} Gentleman yields back.
- 97 Are there other Members wishing to speak on the
- 98 amendment? The gentleman from Vermont, Mr. Welch.
- 99 Mr. {Welch.} Okay. Well, I want to thank the committee
- 100 and you, Mr. Chairman, and my colleague, Mr. Gardner. You
- 101 know, what this legislation does basically is enhance a
- 102 public-private partnership. You have the private sector that
- 103 is doing the work and providing the upfront costs. You are

```
104
     getting the taxpayer who is reaping the savings.
105
     legislation recognizes that when something is working, energy
106
     savings, performance contracts, we should do more of it but
     do it better, and this legislation allows us to do it better.
107
108
     And then the third thing, everybody on this committee is in
109
     favor of energy independence. We are all in favor of energy
110
     independence. Whatever your fuel source may be, and whatever
111
     your view may be about climate change, less is more. And the
112
     thing that Mr. Gardner have--and I have in common is we are
113
     both cheap. So if you--whatever your fuel source, if you
114
     lose--you are using less and saving money, and in the
115
     process, putting some of the folks in your community to work,
116
     that is a good thing.
117
          I yield back.
          The {Chairman.} Gentleman yields back.
118
119
          Are there other Members wishing to speak on the
120
     amendment? Seeing--the gentlelady from California is
     recognized for 5 minutes. Are there Members wishing to speak
121
122
     on the amendment? Seeing none, the vote occurs on the
     amendment offered by Mr. Gardner and Mr. Welch. Are there--
123
124
          All those in favor, say aye.
125
          Those opposed, say no.
126
          Opinion of the chair, the ayes have it.
```

```
127
          The ayes have it. The amendment is agreed to.
          Are there further amendments to the Bill? Seeing none,
128
129
     the vote will occur on the Bill as amended.
130
          And those in favor say aye.
131
          Those opposed, say no.
          Opinion of the chair, the ayes have it.
132
133
          The ayes have it. The amendment--or the Bill as amended
134
     is approved. Favorably reporting H.R. 2689 to the House.
135
          The chair now calls up H.R. 4092, and asks the clerk to
136
     report.
137
          The {Clerk.} H.R. 4092, to amend the energy policy and
138
     conservation Act to establish the Office of Energy Efficiency
     and Renewable Energy as a lead federal agency for
139
140
     coordinating federal, state and local assistance provided to
     promote the energy retrofitting of schools.
141
142
          [H.R. 4092 follows:]
```

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* INSERT 2 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

```
144
         The {Chairman.} Without objection, the first reading of
     the Bill is dispensed with, and the Bill will be open for
145
146
    amendment at any point. So ordered.
147
         The chair would recognize Mr. Welch for the purpose of
148
    offering amendment in the nature of a substitute.
         Mr. {Welch.} Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.
149
         Our colleague, Mr. Cartwright, has legislation--
150
151
         The {Chairman.} Excuse me just one second. The clerk
152
    needs to report the title of the amendment.
153
         The {Clerk.} Amendment in the nature of a substitute to
154
    H.R. 4092, offered by Mr. Welch of Vermont.
          [The amendment of Mr. Welch follows:]
155
     ************ INSERT 3 *********
```

157 The {Chairman.} All right, the amendment will be considered as read. The staff will distribute the amendment. 158 159 The gentleman is recognized for 5 minutes in support of the 160 amendment. 161 Mr. {Welch.} Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. Our colleague, Mr. Cartwright, has legislation that is 162 163 more or less incorporated into this amendment. This 164 amendment will replace the entire Bill with language that has been worked out with the chairman and the ranking member in 165 166 the relevant agencies, and, of course, I do thank the 167 chairman and I thank the ranking member for their leadership 168 on this. Our amendment will establish a clearinghouse through the 169 Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy to 170 171 disseminate information on federal programs and financing 172 mechanisms that can be used to develop energy efficiency, distributed generation and energy retrofitting projects for 173 174 schools. So we all have schools. They all have big expenses 175 with utilities, and anything that is out there that will help them save money is going to be good for property taxes and 176 177 good for instruction. You know, we have got a lot of initiatives--federal 178

```
179
     initiatives that are already available to help schools, but
     these programs, they are spread across the entire Federal
180
181
     Government, making it very difficult for some of our small
     school boards, even big ones, you know, and time-consuming
182
183
     and costly just to identify them. So this amendment seeks to
     address that problem by clearing up some of the bureaucratic
184
     obstacles to schools that are seeking assistance for energy
185
     efficiency upgrades, all at no additional cost to the
186
187
     taxpayers.
          K-12 school districts spend literally billions of
188
189
     dollars on energy each year, and lowering the energy bills
190
     for those schools is going to help control cost. As I
191
    mentioned, good for the taxpayers certainly in Vermont, but I
192
     am sure that is true for all of us. It is going to make
193
     classrooms more comfortable in the hot weather and in the
     cold weather. And by the way, that is good for instruction.
194
195
    We don't want our kids in overheated or too cold schools.
          I want to thank Mr. Cartwright of Pennsylvania for
196
197
     authoring this legislation and working with me on this
     effort. I ask my colleagues to support this amendment and
198
199
     the underlying Bill.
200
          And, Mr. Chairman, I yield back.
```

The {Chairman.} Gentleman yields back.

```
202
          I would just like to say we commend your work on this
     and look forward to supporting it.
203
204
          Are there other Members wishing to speak on this
     amendment? Seeing none, the vote occurs on the amendment in
205
206
     the nature of a substitute.
          Those in favor will say aye.
207
208
          Those opposed, say no.
209
          Opinion of the chair, the ayes have it.
210
          The ayes have it. The amendment is agreed to.
211
          Are there further amendments to the Bill? Seeing none,
212
     the vote occurs on favorably reporting H.R. 4092 as amended
213
     to the House.
214
          All those in favor will say aye.
215
          All those opposed, say no.
          Opinion of the chair, the ayes have it.
216
217
          The ayes have it, and the Bill is favorably reported.
218
          The clerk--the chair now calls up H.R. 6 as amended by
     the Subcommittee on Energy and Power, and we will ask the
219
220
     clerk to again report.
221
          The {Clerk.} H.R. 6, as amended by the Subcommittee on
222
     Energy and Power on April 9, 2014.
```

[The amendment of the Subcommittee follows:]

224 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* INSERT 4 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

```
225
          The {Chairman.} Without objection, the first reading of
226
     the Bill is dispensed with, and the Bill will be open for
227
    amendment at any point. So ordered.
228
          Are there any amendments to the Bill? Gentleman from
229
     Colorado, Mr. Gardner.
          Mr. {Gardner.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I offer
230
    amendment to H.R. 6.
231
232
          The {Chairman.} And the clerk will report the title of
233
    the amendment.
234
          The {Clerk.} Amendment to H.R. 6, offered by Mr.
235
    Gardner of Colorado.
          [The amendment of Mr. Gardner follows:]
236
```

237 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* INSERT 5\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

238 The {Chairman.} And the amendment will be considered as read. The staff will distribute the amendment, and the 239 240 gentleman is recognized for 5 minutes in support of his 241 amendment. Mr. {Gardner.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 242 And to answer the call of our allies, this amendment is 243 244 offered to gain strong support, and should show the world 245 that we are serious about exporting LNG and job creation here 246 at home. Section 3(a) of the--Section 3(a) establishes a 247 timeline on the Department of Energy to issue a decision on 248 any application to export LNG not later than 90 days after the later of the expiration of the comment period, or the 249 date of enactment. For those aggrieved by the DOE decision 250 251 or its inaction, Section 3(b) of the amendment provides that 252 the venue for an appeal shall be the Circuit Court of Appeals 253 in which the facility is proposed. It further provides that 254 such an appeal will receive an expedited consideration by the 255 Court. 256 This amendment, while imposing a deadline on DOE to take 257 action on pending applications, does not predetermine the outcome, leaving Department of Energy to address each 258 259 application according to its existing promulgated rules and

```
regulations. This amendment provides for an expedited
260
     judicial review in the event an aggrieved party seeks such a
261
262
     review in the court. This amendment does not interfere with
263
     the determinations to be made by the Department of Energy.
264
     The amendment does not alter, does not alter, the substantive
     law established by the Natural Gas Act.
265
          While this amendment does establish a timeline on DOE to
266
267
     issue a decision, it places the burden on the aggrieved party
     to pursue a remedy. It does not deem the applications
268
269
     approved. Again, I say it does not deem the applications
270
     approved. This amendment does not substitute the will of the
271
     Congress over the deliberative process of the federal agency;
     it merely places a timeline on DOE to conclude the
272
     deliberative process, with the burden on the aggrieved party
273
274
     to take the initiative through the court and not the
     Congress. And I would encourage my colleagues to vote for
275
276
     the manager's amendment to H.R. 6.
277
          The {Chairman.} Yield back.
          Chair would recognize the gentleman from California.
278
          Mr. {Waxman.} Well, thank you, Mr. Chairman. I
279
280
     appreciate that Congressman Gardner is proposing some changes
281
     in an effort to broaden support for this Bill, but I don't
```

think the proposed changes really address the major concerns

```
that have been raised, and the amendment actually raises some
283
284
     new concerns.
285
          The amendment establishes a new deadline for DOE to
286
     decide on applications within 90 days of the close of the
287
     public comment period, or enactment of the Bill, whichever
     comes later. Well, for nearly all of the 2 dozen pending
288
289
     applications, the comment period is already over, so this
290
     provision would require DOE to simultaneously review and make
291
     a decision on virtually all of the pending applications
     within 90 days. I don't think that is realistic.
292
293
          FERC's environmental reviews haven't been completed for
294
     any of these applications, so the deadline would force DOE to
     rush its review of the application, and make a final decision
295
     without any environmental review. This is likely to have
296
297
     unintended and counterproductive results. If DOE is forced
298
     to make a decision on an incomplete record, it may have no
299
     choice but to deny the applications. That is exactly what
300
     happened when Congress passed legislation forcing the
301
     President to make a decision about the Keystone XL Pipeline
     on an unworkable timetable. He had to reject the
302
     application. I don't see how that outcome helps the LNG
303
304
     export applicants.
```

Another possibility is that DOE will decide that it has

```
306
     no choice but to grant all of the pending applications within
307
     90 days, without an inadequate -- without an adequate review,
    because of the existing statute placing the burden of proof
308
309
     on opponents of an export application. Well, that result
310
     would be no different than the original Bill. The original
311
    Bill would automatically grant all of these applications.
312
     The original Bill really said why review applications, just
313
     allow export.
314
          Either way, the unworkable deadline results in a
    meaningless and inadequate public interest review. A
315
316
    meaningful process provides time for the Agency to consider
317
     issues raised by the public and the environmental review, and
     allows the Agency to make an informed public interest
318
     determination based on a complete record. There is not much
319
320
    point of preserving a public interest determination if the
321
     review is going to be so truncated that it is not--there is
322
     not an opportunity to weighing the pros and cons.
323
          Bear in mind, if this amendment passes, LNG will not be
     exported from the U.S. any faster. Nothing in this Bill
324
     affects FERC's permitting of the actual LNG export terminals.
325
326
     FERC's process takes longer than the DOE process because it
327
     necessarily includes an environmental review, and site-
328
     specific analysis. So rushing the DOE review is not going to
```

```
speed up the construction of these projects. With this
329
330
     amendment, we get all of the disadvantages of a truncated DOE
    process with none of the advantages. This amendment and the
331
332
    Base Bill both have significant problems. Whether we adopt
333
     this amendment or reject it, we should vote against the
    underlying Bill.
334
          I yield back my time.
335
336
          The {Chairman.} Gentleman yields back.
          Are there further comments to the amendment offered by
337
     the gentleman from Colorado?
338
339
          Mr. Green is recognized for 5 minutes.
340
          Mr. {Green.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And first of
341
     all, I want to thank Mr. Gardner for working with some of us
342
     on the Bill.
          This is a--this amendment is a compromise on what the
343
344
     original Bill is. The DOE currently takes about 2 1/2 years
345
     to approve these permits. FERC is about 18 months. None of
346
     the environmental reviews are done through the Department of
347
    Energy; they are done through FERC. What this amendment only
348
     affects is the Department of Energy's permitting process, and
349
     that is why, instead of the original Bill said deeming, we
350
     would just deem all these permits approved, this actually
351
     gives--keeps the Department of Energy in the program, and 90
```

```
days after the closing of the comment period, they have to
352
    make a decision. It could be yes or no, but they have to
353
354
    make a decision. So that is why--because some of you know
355
     that I represent a substantial chemical industry, and my
356
     worry, if we open the door all the way, that we will end up
     seeing world prices on natural gas. That may be great with
357
358
    my producers, but it is also not going to be good for my
359
     folks downstream and our electrical utilities. So that is
     why I want DOE to be part of the process, but I also don't
360
     want to have the 2 1/2 delay--year delay that we are seeing
361
362
     now, and that is why this amendment is a compromise. And I
     just appreciate Mr. Gardner working with a number of us to
363
364
     come up with this.
          And I yield back my time.
365
          The {Chairman.} Gentleman yields back. Are there other
366
367
    Members wishing to comment on the amendment?
368
          The gentlelady from California is recognized for 5
369
    minutes.
          Ms. {Eshoo.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
370
371
          I oppose this amendment because it would deem all future
372
     LNG projects as automatically in the public interest, and
     that simply is not the case. We need to keep in mind that
373
374
     despite our nation's recent boom in natural gas production,
```

```
375
     and the talk and the suggestions relative to helping Ukraine
     and Europe, the United States is still a net importer of
376
377
     natural gas. We currently are on track to produce more gas
378
     than we consume by 2016, which is excellent, but if every
379
     pending LNG export project were approved, we would be
     exporting over 40 percent of our average daily consumption
380
381
     overseas, and this, no doubt, will drive up prices for
382
    American households, for the American consumer, and American
383
    businesses. So in the rush to accomplish what the underlying
384
    Bill has, I think that lawmakers really need to be highly
385
     cognizant of the impacts it is going to have on American
386
    businesses and American consumers.
387
          There have been recent fluctuations in the natural gas
     inventory levels in our country, and that should, again,
388
389
     elicit caution on the part of all of us as lawmakers who are
390
     eager to export large quantities of LNG.
391
          At the beginning of April this year, inventories were 50
392
     percent lower than at this time last year, and nearly 50
393
    percent below the lowest level of the last 5 years. So that
     is a very important indication, and I think it needs to be
394
395
    paid attention to. Low inventory levels leave consumers
396
    vulnerable to price spikes and supply disruptions if
397
    production doesn't keep up with demand. So we are not at
```

```
398
     peek volumes, steady volumes where we can afford to export,
     and I think that there is real cause concern in this -- in the
399
     underlying legislation relative to American consumers, the
400
401
     public interest, and American businesses.
402
          The DOE does have an established process to make this
     public interest determination, and the process has approved 7
403
404
     projects so far, it has a schedule for considering the
405
     remaining 24 pending applications. I think if you really
406
     start throwing sand in the gears, that it is going to not
     only mess up the market, it is going to hurt consumers, it is
407
408
     going to hurt businesses, and we are not taking into
409
     consideration the recent fluctuations, which is a very, very
     important consideration relative to this. So I think for any
410
411
     future project, this amendment would deem it automatically in
412
     the public interest as long as it is exporting to a WTO
413
     member nation, it has practical purposes, but there are--I
414
     think this is riddled with problems. I don't think that this
415
     is a clear-cut case. I think it is being presented as one,
     but I think that there are some very broad, important
416
     implications in this that we all need to take into
417
418
     consideration, and for those reasons I oppose the amendment
419
     because it--the Bill simply does not automatically have these
420
     projects deemed in the public interest, and at the end of the
```

- 421 day, that is what we are here for.
- 422 I will yield back.
- 423 The {Chairman.} The gentlelady yields back.
- Chair recognizes the gentleman from Texas, Mr. Barton,
- 425 for 5 minutes.
- 426 Mr. {Barton.} Mr. Chairman, I rise in support of the
- 427 Gardner amendment to the pending Bill.
- I think it is important to reiterate what the Bill does.
- 429 The Bill does, unamended, changes current law permanently for
- 430 all projects under consideration, and projects that might be
- 431 presented to Department of Energy in the future. Mr. Gardner
- 432 takes a step back and says, well, let us just clear the
- 433 pipeline, so to speak, for the projects that are over at DOE,
- 434 they have to be reviewed and a decision made 90 days after
- 435 the comment period.
- Now, we have some projects at DOE that the comment
- 437 period has been closed for over 2 years. There are a few
- 438 projects that the comment period is still open, but the vast
- 439 majority of these projects the comment period is closed. And
- $440\,$  Mr. Gardner says give them 90 days after that and let us go
- 441 forward.
- Now, the reality, you know, they are not going to be
- 443 hundreds of LNG terminals built off the coast of the United

```
444
     States. The world market is big but it is not that big. You
     are going to have 1 or 2 on the east coast, and 1 or 2 on the
445
     west coast, and maybe 2 or 3 on the Gulf coast. And the
446
447
     probability is that within the projects pending at DOE, that
448
     will be the universe from which the projects that actually
     get funded are built. And as Mr. Waxman has pointed out in
449
450
     his comments earlier, this doesn't change any procedure at
451
     FERC; this simply says the Department of Energy clears the
     projects that are currently under review to determine whether
452
453
     it is in the national interest, and then they go to FERC.
454
     FERC has approved 1 project which is going to be built, and
     there--I think there are 7 projects that are still pending.
455
          This Gardner bill and the Gardner amendment doesn't
456
     change any process at FERC; it simply--if this amendment is
457
458
     enacted, and I hope it will be, and I hope it is on a
459
     bipartisan basis, the projects that are already at Department
460
     of Energy will be reviewed as soon as the comment period is
461
     over, within 90 days, and either a green light will be given
     or a red light will be given. And since the current law is
462
     you have to prove it is not in the national interest to
463
464
     disapprove, the probability is that all these projects will
     be approved and sent to FERC.
465
          So I support the amendment, and I hope we pass it on a
```

```
bipartisan vote.
467
          And I yield back, Mr. Chairman.
468
          The {Chairman.} Gentleman yields back.
469
          Other Members wishing to comment?
470
471
          Gentleman from New York, Mr. Tonko.
          Mr. {Tonko.} Thank you, Mr. Chair.
472
          I move to strike the last word.
473
474
          I reviewed the list of applications that DOE has
     received for LNG projects, and requests for exporting natural
475
     gas that was last updated at the end of March. Since
476
477
     applications for export to countries with which we have a
     free trade agreement essentially are approved automatically,
478
     plenty of gas could be, and can be, exported.
479
480
          Or the non-free trade agreement applications, DOE has
481
     approved 7 of these already.
482
          What is the problem that this Bill is supposed to be
483
     fixing? None of this is giving any serious consideration to
484
     the potential impacts on our economy, on our ability to
     rebuild our manufacturing base, and to consumers'
485
     pocketbooks, of allowing gas exporters to commit to sending
486
     large volumes of gas to the world market.
487
488
          The provisions of the Natural Gas Act contain very
489
     modest requirements for the Secretary to consider the public
```

490 interest, but apparently any interests but those of the oil 491 and gas industry are irrelevant. I am very concerned about the impacts of cumulative LNG 492 493 exports on domestic consumer prices for home heating and for 494 home heating electricity, and I fear we are exporting away the competitive advantage of lower energy prices that we need 495 496 to spur our domestic manufacturing base. 497 As the witness representing the American Public Gas Association pointed out, both studies commissioned by the 498 Department of Energy, by the Energy Information Agency, and 499 500 by NERA conclude that LNG exports will raise domestic natural 501 gas prices. While this is obviously a benefit to gas producers and marketers, higher heating and electric bills 502 are not beneficial to the many Americans who rely on natural 503 504 gas for heating and cooking, and whose electricity supply is based on natural gas generation. Higher prices for natural 505 506 gas are not beneficial for small businesses, and they will 507 not encourage reinvestment in our domestic manufacturing 508 base. 509 Natural gas price increases, when supplies are drawn 510 down, and that is the effect of exporting, this past winter 511 provides an example of the type of situation I am concerned

about, that we should all be concerned about. In New York,

```
as in many other parts of the country, we experienced one of
513
     the coldest winters on record. As a result of the increased
514
515
     demand for natural gas for both heating and electricity, the
    wholesale commodity price for electricity increased to a
516
517
     level 122 percent higher than last year. That cost resulted
518
     in higher electric and heating bills for individual
    homeowners and for our businesses. Electricity prices
519
520
     tripled in my area. This situation led to a significant
521
    hardship for everyone, especially our residents with low or
522
     fixed incomes. It impacted many businesses also, both
523
     directly and indirectly, as cost of operations were
524
     increased, and as consumer dollars were diverted to paying
    higher utility bills, reducing sales revenues.
525
526
          LNG export contracts are long-term agreements to supply
527
    natural gas to other markets. As we also saw with propane,
528
     when the domestic supplies fell and prices rose, there was no
529
     quick fix or significant pullback of exports. So the
530
     domestic natural gas boom was supposed to offer our nation
531
     and its citizens some benefits. Current law is not doing
     enough to ensure that. H.R. 6 is unnecessary at best. This
532
533
     is a bad Bill aimed at promoting a shortsighted and flawed
534
     energy policy.
```

With that, I yield back.

```
536
          The {Chairman.} Gentleman yields back.
537
          Other Members wishing to speak on the amendment?
          The gentleman from Georgia, Dr. Gingrey.}
538
          Dr. {Gingrey.} Mr. Chairman, I just--my friend from New
539
540
     York, as he outlined his concern about our own domestic use
     of natural gas in the market and the price, and selling
541
542
     liquefied natural gas to other countries. Look, it has been
543
     estimated that we probably, in this country, have a -- at least
544
     a century worth of natural gas. You talk the Bakken Shale,
     the Bakken Field up in North Dakota, or the Marcellus Shale
545
546
     Field in Pennsylvania, and we have got plenty, a century's
547
     worth, of natural gas. Sure, prices will change, commodity
     prices are up and they down, and they are up and they are
548
     down, they are down now, but the point I am making is that we
549
550
     are not going to run out of natural gas in this country, and
551
     we have plenty of natural gas. We would have even more to be
552
     able to market to other countries if this Administration
553
     would listen to all of the reports, even from their own State
554
     Department, about the safety of the Keystone XL Pipeline, is
555
     an example, or about the safety of the process known as
556
     hydraulic fracturing, or fracking, or allowing more permits
557
     for oil and gas exploration on public land, we would have
558
     maybe 2 centuries worth of natural gas.
```

```
559
          And the question coming up, well, who--where is this
     natural gas going to go to? Well, how about let us think
560
561
     about foreign policy a little bit, and what is going on in
562
     Ukraine, and what Mr. Putin is doing right now, and the
563
     ability to hopefully solve that problem. Certainly a better
     chance of solving that problem than slapping 8 or 10
564
565
     Oligarchs on the wrist, and tell them they -- we are going to
566
     freeze their assets in this country, when most of their
     assets are in Cypress and Switzerland and the U.K. It is -- it
567
     has marked significance. And, plus, the profits from the
568
569
     sale of liquefied natural gas to these World Trade
570
     Organization countries, there are how many of them, 150 or
     so, compared to 20 countries that we have free trade
571
     agreements with, bilateral trade agreements. So look at that
572
     delta. And the extra money, we could use this for solving
573
574
     the deficiency of the Highway Trust Fund. We are going to
575
     run out of money 2 months before we run out of fiscal year.
576
     Or how about solving the problem of the National Flood
577
     Insurance Program, where we are about $25 billion in arrears,
     rather than taking that money out of the General Treasury,
578
579
     the General Fund. So come on, guys and gals. Let us listen
580
     to common logic and commonsense.
          The gentleman from Colorado has an absolutely excellent
581
```

```
Bill, and I find no fault with any of it.
582
          And I yield back.
583
          Mr. {Shimkus.} Gentleman--would the gentleman yield,
584
585
     Mr. Gingrey, your last--remaining time?
586
          Dr. {Gingrey.} Yes, I will yield.
          Mr. {Shimkus.} Yeah, and I apologize for coming late
587
588
     and stuff.
589
          I just--Mr. Engel and I met with the Latvian Prime
     Minister yesterday. As you all know, I am very involved and
590
591
     was at the Lithuanian Embassy last night celebrating their
592
     ninetieth anniversary of their embassy, the tenth year in
593
     NATO, the tenth year in EU.
594
          The number 1 foreign public policy issue for our allies
     in Europe is H.R. 6. The number 1 foreign policy requirement
595
596
     and request from our allies in Europe is H.R. 6. And I would
597
     hope that those who want and support freedom of democracy
598
     rule of law in our NATO allies would be very receptive to
599
     what Cory is doing.
          Again, I have said it numerous times, as someone who
600
601
     spends a lot of time in the eastern European world, and
602
     understand the threats there, this is a very big deal and it
603
     shouldn't be underscored.
```

And I yield back my time.

605 The {Chairman.} The gentleman from Georgia yields back. Other Members wishing to speak on the amendment? 606 607 The gentleman from California, Mr. McNerney. 608 Mr. {McNerney.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 609 I want to address some of Mr. Gardner's comments earlier, that this Bill shows that we are serious about job 610 611 creation here at home. 612 One of the things I am concerned about is exporting natural gas is going to increase the price of natural gas. 613 614 Cheap domestic natural gas prices are providing a big boost 615 and a competitive advantage to U.S. manufacturing. We shouldn't make hasty decisions that could undermine that 616 617 advantage. According to the Energy Information Agency, ``increased 618 619 natural gas exports lead to increased natural gas prices, and 620 larger export levels lead to larger domestic price 621 increases.'' 622 The EIA found that rapid increases in exports would lead to sharp price increases. So the EIA looks specifically at 623 624 potential impacts on U.S. manufacturers. They found that a 625 high level of LNG exports could increase natural gas costs for the industry sector by between 5 and 27 percent annually. 626 So we are talking about affecting job creation and job--and 627

```
manufacturing here in this country. So we need to be mindful
628
     of the impacts of high levels of LNG exports would have on
629
     U.S. manufacturers and workers. Let us be careful before we
630
631
     establish rushed deadlines that could result in high volumes
632
     of LNG exports being approved with an incomplete record and
     no environmental review.
633
          As I have said in the subcommittee, we can't possibly
634
635
     build LNG export terminals as fast as they are being
     permitted by the current process. So in my opinion, this
636
     Bill is unnecessary, and is really a message Bill.
637
638
          So with that, any other Members wish to speak?
          I will yield back.
639
          The {Chairman.} Gentleman yields back.
640
641
          Other Members wishing to speak on the amendment?
642
          Mr. {Gardner.} Mr. Chairman.
643
          The {Chairman.} Voting--
644
          Mr. {Gardner.} Mr. Chairman.
          The {Chairman.} Gentleman has had his 5 minutes.
645
          Mrs. {Blackburn.} Mr. Chairman.
646
647
          The {Chairman.} The gentlelady from Tennessee is
648
     recognized.
649
          Mrs. {Blackburn.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
```

I yield my time to the gentleman from Colorado to speak

on his Bill. 651 652 Mr. {Gardner.} I thank the gentlelady from Tennessee for vielding. 653 Just a couple of points. On this issue of employment, I 654 655 think it is important to point out that Dr. Montgomery with NERA, an economist, said, and he was the lead consultant and 656 657 author of the DOE study, testified that LNG exports would 658 take upwards of 45,000 workers off the unemployment rolls over the next 4 years. 45,000 workers, according to the same 659 study that has been cited, could take--could be taken off of 660 the unemployment rolls in this country over the next 4 years 661 if this Bill were to pass. 662 663 And as far as messages that this Bill sends, we heard the message from Dr. Orbun from Hungary, who said that the 664 665 message this Bill sends to the world is that the United 666 States is serious about helping us, and helping wean 667 ourselves off of energy from aggressive nations like Russia. 668 That is the message that this Bill sends. It sends a serious signal to the world that the United States is ready, willing 669 670 and able to help our allies. 671 We have heard testimony and statements from Hungary, Lithuania, Czech Republic. Mr. Shimkus talked about the 672 673 allies that we have who are saying their number 1 foreign

```
674
    policy or their goal is to pass H.R. 6. And when it comes to
    manufacturing, here is a letter from the National Association
675
     of Manufacturers in support of H.R. 6. Here is a letter from
676
677
     the Colorado Association of Commerce and Industry in support
678
     of H.R. 6. These are manufacturers who recognize that we can
     increase productivity, we can create jobs, we can take people
679
680
     off of the unemployment rolls if we pass H.R. 6.
681
          And I would also point out this. According to the study
     that was done by NERA. It talked and addressed the issue of
682
    price increases, saying that they simply will be offset--
683
684
     excuse me, not materialized because they will be--because the
     economic development opportunity that this Bill will create.
685
     And I am sorry that I can't find the--
686
687
          {Voice.}
                    That is okay.
          Mr. {Gardner.} I am sorry that I can't find the--there
688
689
     it is, right here. According to the NERA study conducted by
690
     the Department of Energy, it dispels completely the myth that
691
     LNG exports will increase the cost of natural gas or create
     volatility in price. On the contrary, the private sector
692
693
     investments in drilling equipment pipelines to allow LNG
694
     exports will provide a built-in buffer which will be
     available to surge deliveries for domestic needs in an
695
696
     emergency, or if the U.S. price ever approached international
```

```
697
     levels. On balance, U.S. LNG exports will provide economic
     benefits to all Americans, reducing unemployment and
698
699
     strengthening national security. That is the study by NERA
700
     and Dr. Montgomery.
701
          I thank the gentlelady for her time, and I yield back to
702
     the gentlelady for--
703
          Mr. {Green.} Mr. Chairman, will the gentlelady yield?
          Mrs. {Blackburn.} I will yield, but first I want to
704
705
     congratulate Congressman Murphy on the arrival of little
706
     Thomassina, his granddaughter, who arrived last night. And
707
     all is well there.
708
          I will yield to the gentleman from Texas, and then I
709
     want to yield to Mr. McKinley.
710
          To the gentleman from Texas.
711
          Mr. {Green.} Thank you.
712
          I just want to put us in the process of where we are at.
713
          If we vote down the Gardner amendment, we go back to the
714
     original base Bill. From our side of the aisle, that is
715
     worse than this amendment. If you want to make the Bill a
716
     little better, then you vote for this amendment. And you can
717
     vote against the final passage if you want, but this is an
718
     effort to improve the Bill so we can at least keep the
```

Department of Energy in the loop. And so that is what we are

- 720 voting on in this amendment.
- 721 And I thank you for yielding to me.
- 722 Mrs. {Blackburn.} Reclaiming my time, and I yield to
- 723 Mr. McKinley.
- 724 Mr. {McKinley.} Thank you.
- 725 I just wanted to get back to the sponsor of the
- 726 amendment, and just comment that there are reports, when we
- 727 hear about this discussion about gas prices increasing when
- 728 you export, these studies were the same remarks that were
- 729 made back in the '50's and '60's when we were exporting--when
- 730 we were taking about--Congress was talking about exporting
- 731 coal. Before we did that, they were saying that is going to
- 732 increase the price of coal.
- 733 We now probably export coal in America about 15 percent
- 734 of the production. Fifteen percent. 150 million tons are
- 735 exported from America, and there has been no increase in the
- 736 cost of fuel, of coal, as a result of that.
- 737 So I just wonder if these were just scare tactics that
- 738 possibly could be there, when it is proven in history other
- 739 resources, when we export, have not driven up the price.
- 740 Mrs. {Blackburn.} I thank the gentleman for yielding
- 741 back. I yield the balance of my time.
- 742 Mr. {Waxman.} Mr. Chairman, I move the previous

```
743
     question.
          The {Chairman.} The question asked--move the previous
744
745
     question. Are there any objections? Seeing none on this
     amendment, the vote occurs on the amendment offered by the
746
747
     gentleman from Colorado.
748
          Those in favor will say aye.
749
          Those opposed, so no.
          Opinion of the chair the ayes have it.
750
751
          The ayes have it. The amendment is agreed to.
752
     Amendment is agreed to.
753
          Are there further amendments to the Bill?
754
          Mr. {Green.} I have amendment.
          The {Chairman.} Gentleman from Texas, Mr. Green, has
755
     amendment. The clerk will report the title of the amendment.
756
757
          The {Clerk.} Amendment to H.R. 6 offered by Mr. Gene
758
     Green of Texas.
759
          [The amendment of Mr. Green follows:]
760
     ********** TNSERT 6 ********
```

761 The {Chairman.} The clerk will--the--without objection, the amendment is considered as read. The staff will read--or 762 the staff will distribute the amendment. 763 764 The gentleman from Texas is recognized for 5 minutes in support of his amendment. 765 Mr. {Green.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I thank my 766 colleague from Pennsylvania for reminding me I have the 767 768 amendment. But I want to thank the chairman and ranking member for 769 770 holding the markup, and I want to thank Mr. Gardner and my 771 colleagues on both sides for their hard work. 772 The amendment I offer is a result of the hard bipartisan work. The amendment would strike Section 2 of H.R. 6, the 773 774 Domestic Prosperity and Global Freedom Act. 775 I support LNG exports, and I think many of my colleagues 776 I believe we should expedite the permitting, and I 777 believe we should improve the system and the structure within 778 DOE, but Section 2 of H.R. 6 would expedite the process without improving it. 779 780 The Natural Gas Act states that the exportation of 781 natural gas to a nation which there is a free trade agreement 782 should be deemed in the public interest, and shall be granted

- 783 without modification or delay.
- 784 Section 2 changes that to change to--a free trade
- 785 agreement to the World Trade Organization. By changing the
- 786 Natural Gas Act from the free trade agreement to the World
- 787 Trade Organization, any application of any WTO country could
- 788 be granted. Section 2 would significantly modify how the
- 789 Department of Energy assesses the applications to export LNG,
- 790 and it would also change the public interest determination
- 791 that many of us find valuable.
- 792 I admit, I would like to export it, but I also know
- 793 there are some WTO folks that may not be in our national
- 794 interest, and I would like to leave DOE in that position to
- 795 make that decision.
- 796 Our goal has always been to address the backlog of
- 797 applications at DOE, and improve the process for future
- 798 applications. I believe we accomplish this with Mr.
- 799 Gardner's amendment.
- And at this time, I would like to yield to my colleague
- 801 from Pennsylvania, Mr. Doyle.
- Mr. {Doyle.} Thank you, Mr. Green.
- I am happy to support this amendment. I don't need to
- 804 repeat the words of my friend, but I do want to express my
- 805 gratitude and thanks to both Gene Green and our friends on

```
806
     the other side of the aisle for working together to come to a
807
     compromise on this legislation.
808
          I am glad we will be able to strike the language, giving
809
     fast track authority to all WTO countries, including good
810
     friends like Russia. I am also pleased to have been able to
     work with Mr. Gardner and Chairman Upton, along with their
811
     staffs, to come to agreement on striking this language.
812
813
          With the adoption of this amendment, along with the
     passage of the Gardner amendment, I believe H.R. 6 is moved
814
     in a positive enough direction to garner my support. I
815
816
     believe that the Department of Energy might find this
817
     framework one that they can develop entirely themselves
     useful to clear out the backlog of LNG export applications.
818
819
          I want to point out to my colleagues that of the 24
820
     applications that are pending, 14 of them have been pending
821
     for 90 days or longer following the comment period.
822
     Therefore, only 14 are subject to the 90-day decision
823
     deadline. I also believe it is important to note that of
     those 14, the comment periods for 10 of those 14 have been
824
     closed for over a year. I believe that 1 year is enough time
825
826
     for the Department of Energy to make a decision, even if it
827
     is a denial. It is my strong hope that such a framework will
     be a tool to aid the Department in dissolving the queue of
828
```

```
829
    pending applications, and providing guidance for additional
     applications. We know full well that most of these
830
     facilities will never be built, but each deserves a fair shot
831
832
     and a timely decision from the DOE.
833
          I still have significant concerns about the feasibility
     of the 90-day timeline for DOE to approve closed
834
835
     applications, but I have been assured by the majority that
836
     this is an issue that we can work together on, along with the
     Department of Energy. For meaningful change, the Department
837
838
    must be given guidelines that are actually manageable, and I
839
     look forward to working with Mr. Gardner and Mr. Upton to
840
     come to a reasonable time frame for DOE before this
841
     legislation comes before the full House.
842
          Therefore, with the passage of this amendment, and with
843
     the understanding that the 90-day time frame is subject to
844
     change pending further research and discussion, I am inclined
845
     to support this legislation.
846
          Mr. {Waxman.} Will the--
          Mr. {Doyle.} I yield back.
847
848
          Mr. {Waxman.} --gentleman from Texas yield to me?
849
          Mr. {Green.} I would be glad to yield--
850
          Mr. {Waxman.} I want to--
          Mr. {Green.} -- the remainder of my time.
851
```

```
852
          Mr. {Waxman.} I want to indicate my support for your
853
     amendment. This strikes the section of the Bill that
854
     automatically approves all applications to export LNG to any
855
     of the 159 World Trade Organization member countries, without
856
     any determination by DOE that they are in the public
     interest, and I think that is a good change.
857
          This amendment, however, doesn't fix all the problems
858
859
     with the Bill. It is a clear improvement, although, for this
     amendment, but I certainly am not going to vote for the Bill.
860
861
          And I thank you for yielding to me.
          The {Chairman.} Will the gentleman yield as well?
862
          Mr. {Green.} I yield.
863
864
          Mr. {Waxman.} I just want to say I appreciate the
     words--the--being able to work on both sides on this, and I
865
866
     just want to confirm that we continue to be open and have
867
     discussions before the Bill gets to the floor, and a number
868
     of discussions that will take place prior to then, and look
     forward to your constructive input, as we have done already
869
870
     in the last number of weeks.
871
          And I yield back.
          The {Chairman.} Are there further Members--additional
872
873
     Members wishing to speak? Let me yield to the gentleman from
874
     Pennsylvania.
```

```
875
          Mr. {Murphy.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
876
          Just move to strike the last word.
          I just--I am in agreement with the amendment, and just
877
     pointing out that this was my granddaughter that was born
878
879
     yesterday, and that is all I really wanted to talk about. So
     6 pound, 9 ounces, Thomassina Murphy-Dessanis.
880
881
          And I yield back. Thank you.
882
          The {Chairman.} Gentleman yields back.
883
          Mr. {Murphy.} Thank God, she doesn't look like Murphy.
          The {Chairman.} Mr. Waxman was wondering why it wasn't
884
885
     Henrietta, but I will--the chair would recognize the
886
     gentleman from New York, Mr. Engel.
887
          Mr. {Engel.} Well, thank you, Mr. Chairman. It is
     difficult to compete with Mr. Murphy after that announcement,
888
889
     but congratulations to him.
890
          I am going to support the Green amendment because it
891
     removes the problematic WTO language. And I did support Mr.
892
     Gardner's amendment because I feel that it is -- it improved
893
     the Bill, although I think we still have a way to go. I hope
     that we will continue to work on the 90-day timeline for the
894
895
     DOE decision on applications as we go to the floor.
896
          And let me say that I am really very conflicted about
897
     this, because I do believe very strongly that to counter Mr.
```

```
898
     Putin and some of the Russian blackmail that we see, the
899
     United States needs to be an exporter of energy, but, of
900
     course, the devil is in the details. Fracking, the whole
901
     process continues to be problematic, but I do hope that the
902
     United States will look at the policy as we move to the
     future, where the United States could and should be a net
903
     exporter of energy. I think that if we don't do that, and we
904
905
     stay mired in the past, we are going to pay the price down
906
     the line.
907
          One of the reasons we are having difficulty in getting
908
     our European allies to go along with us in terms of
909
     sanctioning Mr. Putin is that they rely on him, on Russia,
910
     for their energy needs. And if we can somehow lessen the
911
     reliance that countries like Germany and others have on
912
     Russian needs, their needs are on Russian energy, I think in
913
     the long run we will be doing this country a very good
914
     service.
915
          But, of course, the devil is in the details, and we have
916
     to be concerned with environmental concerns with fracking and
917
     other things, but I do hope that we can come together. This
918
     is not going to be something that is going to be done in this
919
     legislation, but I do hope it is something that we will all
920
     take seriously because it really is a national strategic
```

```
921
     priority as far as I am concerned.
922
          And I yield. I yield back.
          Mr. {Lujan.} Will the gentleman yield?
923
924
          Mr. {Engel.} Yes.
925
          Mr. {Lujan.} I thank the gentleman from New York.
          And I have a question for General Counsel. Are there
926
927
     other similar pieces of legislation to H.R. 6 that are
928
     currently before us?
929
          Mr. {Knox.} Are you saying the -- as far as the deal with
     LNG exports?
930
931
          Mr. {Lujan.} Yes.
932
          Mr. {Knox.} Yes, there have been other pieces of
933
     legislation that have been introduced that were put into
     jurisdiction at the committee.
934
          Mr. {Lujan.} That includes Mr. Poe's legislation?
935
936
          Mr. {Knox.} Yes. Mr. Poe, I believe, has introduced 3
937
     separate pieces of legislation, and I believe all 3 have gone
938
     to the primary jurisdiction of this committee.
939
          Mr. {Lujan.} And are there any similar pieces of
940
     legislation in the Senate?
941
          Mr. {Knox.} Yes, I believe that there is one that is
942
     similar to a piece of legislation that Congressman Turner
943
     from Ohio introduced, I believe, that Senator Barrasso
```

```
introduced, a companion piece. Senator Mark Udall also
944
945
     introduced a Bill, and Senator Begich from Alaska also
946
     introduced a Bill.
947
          Mr. {Lujan.} I appreciate that. And I--to my
     colleagues that had the conversation yesterday, I appreciate
948
949
     the emphasis on H.R. 6, but did the other 4 or 5 pieces of
     legislation come up as priorities as we were having that
950
951
     conversation? And it is not my time, it is the gentleman's
952
     from New York, but if someone is able to respond to that, and
     what I would say is I am inclined to support -- I am definitely
953
954
     supporting the Gene Green legislation. I am inclined to
955
     support the Gardner legislation as well. But, Mr. Chairman,
     as we also approach this conversation, I hope that some of
956
     the attention that was brought to us that suggests that there
957
958
     are delays in processing these applications, that we also
959
     look at staffing levels for what is happening there, and that
960
     we find a way to work together to necessarily staff-up so
961
     that we can get rid of those backlogs as well. And I hope
     that that is a way that Democrats and Republicans can find a
962
963
     way to work across the aisle.
964
          And I would yield back my -- the time back to the
     gentleman from New York. And I don't know if the gentleman
965
     from Illinois or New York are able to respond to the
966
```

```
question.
967
968
          Mr. {Shimkus.} If the gentleman would yield, I can just
     respond on one of those pieces--
969
970
          Mr. {Engel.} Certainly.
971
          Mr. {Shimkus.} --of legislation.
972
          The Turner legislation was LNG exports just to NATO
     countries, so in the internal debate, it was decided it would
973
     be easier to move on the WTO, but now with the provisions
974
975
     that Mr. Doyle has addressed, that there should be some
976
     discriminatory aspects on some or all the people who are WTO,
977
     so I think some of that discussion was involved, but the
978
     Turner was NATO-specific versus WTO in total.
979
          Yield back.
980
          Mr. {Engel.} Let me say before I yield back.
          I am certainly willing to work with all my colleagues on
981
982
     both sides of the aisle to address environmental concerns as
983
     we look to find an appropriate vehicle for exporting LNG.
984
          And I yield back.
985
          The {Chairman.} Gentleman's time has expired.
          Are there other Members wishing to comment?
986
987
          Seeing none, the vote occurs on the amendment offered by
988
     the gentleman from Texas.
989
          Those in favor will say aye.
```

```
990
           Those opposed, say no.
991
           Opinion of the chair the ayes have it.
992
           The amendment is agreed to.
993
           Are there further amendments to the Bill?
994
           Seeing none, the question now occurs on passage of the
995
     Bill as amended. H.R. 6 as amended to the House.
996
           All those in favor will say aye.
997
           Those opposed, say no.
998
           Opinion of the chair, the ayes have it.
999
           Roll call has been requested by Mr. Barton.
1000
           The clerk will call the roll in--asking Mr. Waxman first
1001
      if he is still here, I know he had an urgent appointment, and
1002
      if you call the roll, starting with Mr. Waxman, and go to the
1003
     Republican side.
1004
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Waxman.
           The {Chairman.} Call the roll.
1005
1006
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Hall.
1007
           Mr. {Hall.} Aye.
1008
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Hall votes aye.
1009
           Mr. Barton.
1010
           Mr. {Barton.} Aye.
1011
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Barton votes aye.
1012
           Mr. Whitfield. Mr. Shimkus.
```

```
1013
           Mr. {Shimkus.} Aye.
1014
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Shimkus votes aye.
1015
           Mr. Pitts.
1016
           Mr. {Pitts.} Aye.
1017
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Pitts votes aye.
1018
           Mr. Walden.
1019
           Mr. {Walden.} Aye.
1020
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Walden votes aye.
1021
           Mr. Terry.
1022
           Mr. {Terry.} Aye.
1023
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Terry votes aye.
1024
           Mr. Rogers.
1025
           Mr. {Rogers.} Aye.
1026
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Rogers votes aye.
1027
           Mr. Murphy.
1028
           Mr. {Murphy.} Aye.
1029
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Murphy votes aye.
1030
           Mr. Burgess.
1031
           Dr. {Burgess.} Aye.
1032
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Burgess votes aye.
           Mrs. Blackburn.
1033
1034
           Mrs. {Blackburn.} Aye.
1035
           The {Clerk.} Mrs. Blackburn votes aye.
```

```
1036
           Mr. Gingrey.
1037
           Dr. {Gingrey.} Aye.
1038
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Gingrey votes aye.
1039
           Mr. Scalise.
1040
           Mr. {Scalise.} Aye.
1041
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Scalise votes aye.
1042
           Mr. Latta.
1043
           Mr. {Latta.} Aye.
1044
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Latta votes aye.
1045
           Mrs. McMorris Rodgers.
1046
           Mrs. {McMorris Rodgers.} Aye.
1047
           The {Clerk.} Mrs. McMorris Rodgers votes aye.
1048
           Mr. Harper. Mr. Lance.
1049
           Mr. {Lance.} Aye.
1050
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Lance votes aye.
1051
           Mr. Cassidy.
1052
           Dr. {Cassidy.} Aye.
1053
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Cassidy votes aye.
1054
           Mr. Guthrie.
1055
           Mr. {Guthrie.} Aye.
1056
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Guthrie votes aye.
1057
           Mr. Olson.
1058
           Mr. {Olson.} Aye.
```

```
The {Clerk.} Mr. Olson votes aye.
1059
1060
           Mr. McKinley.
1061
           Mr. {McKinley.} Aye.
           The {Clerk.} Mr. McKinley votes aye.
1062
1063
           Mr. Gardner.
1064
           Mr. {Gardner.} Aye.
1065
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Gardner votes aye.
1066
           Mr. Pompeo.
1067
           Mr. {Pompeo.} Aye.
1068
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Pompeo votes aye.
1069
           Mr. Kinzinger.
1070
           Mr. {Kinzinger.} Aye.
1071
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Kinzinger votes aye.
1072
           Mr. Griffith.
1073
           Mr. {Griffith.} Aye.
1074
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Griffith votes aye.
1075
           Mr. Bilirakis.
1076
           Mr. {Bilirakis.} Aye.
1077
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Bilirakis votes aye.
1078
           Mr. Johnson.
1079
           Mr. {Johnson.} Aye.
1080
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Johnson votes aye.
1081
           Mr. Long.
```

```
1082
           Mr. {Long.} Aye.
1083
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Long votes aye.
1084
           Mrs. Ellmers.
1085
           Mrs. {Ellmers.} Aye.
1086
           The {Clerk.} Mrs. Ellmers votes aye.
1087
           Mr. Waxman. Mr. Dingell.
1088
           Mr. {Dingell.} No.
1089
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Dingell votes no.
1090
           Mr. Pallone.
1091
           Mr. {Pallone.} No.
1092
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Pallone votes no.
1093
           Mr. Rush.
           Mr. {Rush.} No.
1094
1095
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Rush votes no.
1096
           Ms. Eshoo.
1097
           Ms. {Eshoo.} No.
1098
           The {Clerk.} Ms. Eshoo votes no.
1099
           Mr. Engel.
1100
           Mr. {Engel.}
                         No.
1101
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Engel votes no.
           Mr. Green.
1102
1103
           Mr. {Green.} Yes.
1104
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Green votes aye.
```

```
1105
           Ms. DeGette.
1106
           Ms. {DeGette.} No.
1107
           The {Clerk.} Ms. DeGette votes no.
1108
           Mrs. Capps.
1109
           Mrs. {Capps.} No.
1110
           The {Clerk.} Mrs. Capps votes no.
1111
           Mr. Doyle.
1112
           Mr. {Doyle.} Aye.
1113
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Doyle votes aye.
1114
           Ms. Schakowsky.
1115
           Ms. {Schakowsky.} No.
1116
           The {Clerk.} Ms. Schakowsky votes no.
1117
           Mr. Matheson.
1118
           Mr. {Matheson.} Aye.
1119
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Matheson votes aye.
           Mr. Butterfield.
1120
1121
           Mr. {Butterfield.} Aye.
1122
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Butterfield votes aye.
1123
           Mr. Barrow.
1124
           Mr. {Barrow.} No.
1125
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Barrow votes no.
1126
           Ms. Matsui.
1127
           Ms. {Matsui.} No.
```

```
1128
           The {Clerk.} Ms. Matsui votes no.
1129
           Ms. Christensen.
1130
           Dr. {Christensen.} No.
1131
           The {Clerk.} Ms. Christensen votes no.
1132
           Ms. Castor.
1133
           Ms. {Castor.} No.
1134
           The {Clerk.} Ms. Castor votes no.
           Mr. Sarbanes.
1135
1136
           Mr. {Sarbanes.} No.
1137
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Sarbanes votes no.
1138
           Mr. McNerney.
1139
           Mr. {McNerney.} No.
1140
           The {Clerk.} Mr. McNerney votes no.
1141
           Mr. Braley.
1142
           Mr. {Braley.} No.
1143
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Braley votes no.
1144
           Mr. Welch.
1145
           Mr. {Welch.} No.
1146
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Welch votes no.
1147
           Mr. Lujan.
1148
           Mr. {Lujan.} Aye.
1149
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Lujan votes aye.
1150
           Mr. Tonko.
```

```
1151
           Mr. {Tonko.}
                         No.
1152
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Tonko votes no.
1153
           Mr. Yarmuth.
1154
           Mr. {Yarmuth.} No.
1155
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Yarmuth votes no.
1156
           Chairman Upton.
           The {Chairman.} Votes aye.
1157
1158
           The {Clerk.} Chairman Upton votes aye.
1159
           The {Chairman.} Other Members wishing to cast a vote?
1160
           Seeing none, the clerk will report the tally.
1161
           The {Clerk.} Mr. Chairman, on that vote there were 33
1162
     ayes and 18 nays.
1163
           The {Chairman.} Thirty-three ayes, eighteen noes.
1164
           The Bill is favorably reported as amendment.
           Without objection, the staff is authorized to make
1165
1166
      technical and conforming changes to the legislation reported
1167
     by the committee.
1168
           And the committee stands adjourned.
1169
           [Whereupon, at 11:03 a.m., the committee was adjourned.]
```