

**AMENDMENT TO THE AMENDMENT IN THE
NATURE OF A SUBSTITUTE TO H.R. 2825
OFFERED BY MR. PAYNE OF NEW JERSEY**
(GAO Review of R&D and CBRNE Organizational Review)

Page 58, line 13, after “Department” insert “, including a specific description of operational challenges resulting from the current organizational structure and a detailed explanation of how the proposed organizational structure will address such challenges”.

Page 58, line 18, strike “the” and insert “any”.

Page 58, line 22, after “changes” insert “, an explanation of why no statutory or organizational changes are necessary, or a request for additional time to complete the organizational justification”.

Page 60, line 8, after “Department” insert “, including a specific description of operational challenges resulting from the current organizational structure and a detailed explanation of how the proposed organizational structure will address such challenges”.

Page 60, line 9, strike “the” and insert “any”.

Page 60, line 13, after “changes” insert “, an explanation of why no statutory or organizational changes are necessary, or a request for additional time to complete the organizational justification.”.

Page 60, after line 13, insert the following:

1 (c) REVIEW REQUIRED.—Not later than three
2 months after the submission of the proposed organiza-
3 tional justifications required under subsections (a)(1) and
4 (b)(1), the Comptroller General of the United States shall
5 submit to the Committee on Homeland Security and the
6 Committee on Science, Space, and Technology of the
7 House of Representatives and the Committee on Home-
8 land Security and Governmental Affairs of the Senate a
9 review of the organizational justifications. The review shall
10 consider how the proposed organizational realignment, or
11 lack thereof, of research and development activities and
12 chemical, biological, radiological, nuclear, and explosives
13 activities will improve or impede the Department’s ongoing
14 efforts in such mission areas, including an assessment
15 of—

16 (1) any potential cost savings or additional
17 costs incurred as a result of any proposed organiza-
18 tional realignment;

19 (2) an assessment of the comparison of benefits
20 and costs of the proposed organizational structure;

1 (3) the extent to which the organizational jus-
2 tification submitted pursuant to subsections (a)(1)
3 and (b)(1) fully assesses, documents, and addresses
4 any potential problems that could result from any
5 proposed organizational realignment;

6 (4) the extent to which the organizational jus-
7 tification identifies specific deficiencies in operations
8 resulting from the existing organizational structure
9 of the Department and an explanation of how any
10 proposed realignment will address such deficiencies;

11 (5) the extent to which the Department solie-
12 ited and incorporated the feedback of its workforce
13 in the proposed organizational structure; and

14 (6) the extent to which the Department con-
15 ducted and incorporated stakeholder outreach in de-
16 veloping the proposed organizational structure.

