## AMENDMENT TO H.R. 4563

## Offered by M\_.

Amend section 3 to read as follows:

1	SEC. 3. FINDINGS OF GENERAL CONSTITUTIONAL AUTHOR-
2	ITY.
3	Congress finds that the Constitution of the United
4	States grants explicit and broad authority to protect the
5	right to vote, to regulate elections for Federal office, to
6	prevent and remedy discrimination in voting, and to de-
7	fend the Nation's democratic process. Congress enacts leg-
8	islation related to the conduct of Federal elections pursu-
9	ant to this broad authority, including but not limited to
10	the following:
11	(1) Congress finds that it has broad authority
12	to regulate the time, place, and manner of congres-
13	sional elections under the Elections Clause of the
14	Constitution, article I, section 4, clause 1. The Su-
15	preme Court has affirmed that the "substantive
16	scope" of the Elections Clause is "broad"; that
17	"Times, Places, and Manner" are "comprehensive
18	words which embrace authority to provide for a com-
19	plete code for congressional elections"; and "[t]he
20	power of Congress over the Times Places and Man-

1	ner of congressional elections is paramount, and may
2	be exercised at any time, and to any extent which
3	it deems expedient; and so far as it is exercised, and
4	no farther, the regulations effected supersede those
5	of the State which are inconsistent therewith". Ari-
6	zona v. Inter Tribal Council of Arizona, 570 U.S. 1,
7	8–9 (2013) (internal quotation marks and citations
8	omitted). Indeed, "Congress has plenary and para-
9	mount jurisdiction over the whole subject" of con-
10	gressional elections, Ex parte Siebold, 100 U.S. (10
11	Otto) 371, 388 (1879), and this power "may be ex-
12	ercised as and when Congress sees fit", and "so far
13	as it extends and conflicts with the regulations of
14	the State, necessarily supersedes them". Id. at 384.
15	Among other things, Congress finds that the Elec-
16	tions Clause was intended to "vindicate the people's
17	right to equality of representation in the House".
18	Wesberry v. Sanders, 376 U.S. 1, 16 (1964), and to
19	address partisan gerrymandering, Rucho v. Common
20	Cause, 139 S. Ct. 2484 (2019).
21	(2) Congress also finds that it has both the au-
22	thority and responsibility, as the legislative body for
23	the United States, to fulfill the promise of article IV,
24	section 4, of the Constitution, which states: "The
25	United States shall guarantee to every State in this

1	Union a Republican Form of Government[.]". Con-
2	gress finds that its authority and responsibility to
3	enforce the Guarantee Clause is clear given that
4	Federal courts have not enforced this clause because
5	they understood that its enforcement is committed
6	to Congress by the Constitution.
7	(3)(A) Congress also finds that it has broad au-
8	thority pursuant to section 5 of the Fourteenth
9	Amendment to legislate to enforce the provisions of
10	the Fourteenth Amendment, including its protec-
11	tions of the right to vote and the democratic process.
12	(B) Section 1 of the Fourteenth Amendment
13	protects the fundamental right to vote, which is "of
14	the most fundamental significance under our con-
15	stitutional structure". Ill. Bd. of Election v. Socialist
16	Workers Party, 440 U.S. 173, 184 (1979); see
17	United States v. Classic, 313 U.S. 299 (1941) ("Ob-
18	viously included within the right to choose, secured
19	by the Constitution, is the right of qualified voters
20	within a State to cast their ballots and have them
21	counted"). As the Supreme Court has repeatedly
22	affirmed, the right to vote is "preservative of all
23	rights", Yick Wo v. Hopkins, 118 U.S. 356, 370
24	(1886). Section 2 of the Fourteenth Amendment
25	also protects the right to vote, granting Congress

1	additional authority to reduce a State's representa-
2	tion in Congress when the right to vote is abridged
3	or denied.
4	(C) As a result, Congress finds that it has the
5	authority pursuant to section 5 of the Fourteenth
6	Amendment to protect the right to vote. Congress
7	also finds that States and localities have eroded ac-
8	cess to the right to vote through restrictions on the
9	right to vote including excessively onerous voter
10	identification requirements, burdensome voter reg-
11	istration procedures, voter purges, limited and un-
12	equal access to voting by mail, polling place closures,
13	unequal distribution of election resources, and other
14	impediments.
15	(D) Congress also finds that "the right of suf-
16	frage can be denied by a debasement or dilution of
17	the weight of a citizen's vote just as effectively as by
18	wholly prohibiting the free exercise of the franchise".
19	Reynolds v. Sims, 377 U.S. 533, 555 (1964). Con-
20	gress finds that the right of suffrage has been so di-
21	luted and debased by means of gerrymandering of
22	districts. Congress finds that it has authority pursu-
23	ant to section 5 of the Fourteenth Amendment to
24	remedy this debasement.

1	(4)(A) Congress also finds that it has authority
2	to legislate to eliminate racial discrimination in vot-
3	ing and the democratic process pursuant to both sec-
4	tion 5 of the Fourteenth Amendment, which grants
5	equal protection of the laws, and section 2 of the
6	Fifteenth Amendment, which explicitly bars denial
7	or abridgment of the right to vote on account of
8	race, color, or previous condition of servitude.
9	(B) Congress finds that racial discrimination in
10	access to voting and the political process persists.
11	Voting restrictions, redistricting, and other electoral
12	practices and processes continue to disproportion-
13	ately impact communities of color in the United
14	States and do so as a result of both intentional ra-
15	cial discrimination, structural racism, and the ongo-
16	ing structural socioeconomic effects of historical ra-
17	cial discrimination.
18	(C) Recent elections and studies have shown
19	that minority communities wait longer in lines to
20	vote, are more likely to have their mail ballots re-
21	jected, continue to face intimidation at the polls, are
22	more likely to be disenfranchised by voter purges,
23	and are disproportionately burdened by excessively
24	onerous voter identification and other voter restric-

tions. Research shows that communities of color are

25

1 more likely to face nearly every barrier to voting 2 than their white counterparts. 3 (D) Congress finds that racial disparities in dis-4 enfranchisement due to past felony convictions is 5 particularly stark. In 2020, according to the Sen-6 tencing Project, an estimated 5,200,000 Americans 7 could not vote due to a felony conviction. One in 16 8 African Americans of voting age is disenfranchised, 9 a rate 3.7 times greater than that of non-African 10 Americans. In seven States—Alabama, Florida, 11 Kentucky, Mississippi, Tennessee, Virginia, and Wy-12 oming—more than one in seven African Americans 13 is disenfranchised, twice the national average for Af-14 rican Americans. Congress finds that felony dis-15 enfranchisement was one of the tools of intentional 16 racial discrimination during the Jim Crow era. Con-17 gress further finds that current racial disparities in 18 felony disenfranchisement are linked to this history 19 of voter suppression, structural racism in the crimi-20 nal justice system, and ongoing effects of historical 21 discrimination. 22 (5)(A) Congress finds that it further has the 23 power to protect the right to vote from denial or 24 abridgment on account of sex, age, or ability to pay

1	a poll tax or other tax pursuant to the Nineteenth
2	Twenty-Fourth, and Twenty-Sixth Amendments.
3	(B) Congress finds that electoral practices in-
4	cluding voting rights restoration conditions for peo-
5	ple with convictions and other restrictions to the
6	franchise burden voters on account of their ability to
7	pay.
8	(C) Congress further finds that electoral prac-
9	tices including voting restrictions related to college
10	campuses, age restrictions on mail voting, and simi-
11	lar practices burden the right to vote on account of
12	age.

