Congress of the United States

House of Representatives

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND REFORM 2157 RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING WASHINGTON, DC 20515-6143

> MAJORITY (202) 225-5051 MINORITY (202) 225-5074

http://oversight.house.gov

January 6, 2019

Ms. Renee P. Wynn Chief Information Officer National Aeronautics and Space Administration 300 E. Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20546

Dear Ms. Wynn:

Enclosed are questions that have been directed to you and submitted for the official record for the hearing on Wednesday, December 11, 2019, titled "FITARA 9.0."

Please return your written responses to these questions by Wednesday, January 22, including each question in full as well as the name of the Member. Your response should be addressed to the Committee office at 2157 Rayburn House Office Building, Washington, D.C. 20515. Please also send an electronic version of your response by email to Amy Stratton, Clerk, at Amy.Stratton@mail.house.gov.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this request. If you need additional information or have other questions, please contact Elisa LaNier, Chief Clerk, at (202) 225-5051.

Sincerely,

Gerald E. Connolly

Chairman

Subcommittee on Government Operations

Enclosure

cc: The Honorable Mark Meadows, Ranking Member

Questions for Ms. Renee P. Wynn Chief Information Officer, National Aeronautics and Space Administration

Questions from Chairman Gerald E. Connolly

December 11, 2019, Hearing: "FITARA 9.0"

- 1. How does data center consolidation and optimization fit into the cloud migration plans for the National Aeronautics and Space Administration?
- 2. NASA scored its worst grade, an "F," on the risk transparency metric. According to the Government Accountability Office's review, NASA was the only agency to not rate any of its IT investments as a moderate or high risk. Why does NASA not identify any risk associated with more than \$400 million in IT spending? Is NASA considering a change to its risk calculation policies?
- 3. What is the best policy or practice that NASA has implemented to achieve the requirements of the Federal IT Acquisition Reform Act? What improvements to the agency's IT posture did that policy or practice achieve?