AMENDMENT IN THE NATURE OF A SUBSTITUTE TO H.R. 3019

OFFERED BY MR. CONNOLLY OF VIRGINIA

Strike all after the enacting clause and insert the following:

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE. 2 This Act may be cited as the "Promoting Value Based Procurement Act of 2017". 4 SEC. 2. AVOIDANCE OF USE OF LOWEST PRICE TECH-5 NICALLY ACCEPTABLE SOURCE SELECTION 6 CRITERIA IN CERTAIN PROCUREMENTS. 7 (a) STATEMENT OF POLICY.—It shall be the policy of the United States Government to avoid using lowest 8 price technically acceptable source selection criteria in circumstances that would deny the Government the benefits 10 11 of cost and technical tradeoffs in the source selection proc-12 ess.

- 13 (b) Avoidance of Use of Lowest Price Tech-
- 14 NICALLY ACCEPTABLE SOURCE SELECTION CRITERIA IN
- 15 CERTAIN PROCUREMENTS.—
- 16 (1) In General.—To the maximum extent
- 17 practicable, the use of lowest price technically ac-
- ceptable source selection criteria shall be avoided in

| 1 | the case of a procurement that is predominately for |
|----|---|
| 2 | the acquisition of— |
| 3 | (A) information technology services, |
| 4 | cybersecurity services, systems engineering and |
| 5 | technical assistance services, advanced elec- |
| 6 | tronic testing, audit or audit readiness services, |
| 7 | or other knowledge-based professional services; |
| 8 | (B) personal protective equipment; or |
| 9 | (C) knowledge-based training or logistics |
| 10 | services in contingency operations or other op- |
| 11 | erations outside the United States, including in |
| 12 | Afghanistan or Iraq. |
| 13 | (2) SITUATIONS IN WHICH AVOIDANCE IS NOT |
| 14 | PRACTICABLE.—In the case of a procurement de- |
| 15 | scribed in paragraph (1) with respect to which the |
| 16 | head of an executive agency determines that avoid- |
| 17 | ance of the use of lowest price technically acceptable |
| 18 | selection criteria is not practicable, lowest price tech- |
| 19 | nically acceptable source selection criteria may be |
| 20 | used if— |
| 21 | (A) the head of the executive agency is |
| 22 | able to comprehensively and clearly describe the |
| 23 | minimum requirements expressed in terms of |
| 24 | performance objectives, measures, and stand- |

| 1 | ards that will be used to determine acceptability |
|----|---|
| 2 | of offers; |
| 3 | (B) the executive agency would realize no |
| 4 | or minimal, value from a contract proposal ex- |
| 5 | ceeding the minimum technical or performance |
| 6 | requirements set forth in the request for pro- |
| 7 | posal; |
| 8 | (C) the proposed technical approaches will |
| 9 | require no, or minimal, subjective judgment by |
| 10 | the source selection authority as to the desir- |
| 11 | ability of one offeror's proposal versus a com- |
| 12 | peting proposal; |
| 13 | (D) the source selection authority has a |
| 14 | high degree of confidence that a review of tech- |
| 15 | nical proposals of offerors other than the lowest |
| 16 | bidder would not result in the identification of |
| 17 | factors that could provide value or benefit to |
| 18 | the executive agency; |
| 19 | (E) the contracting officer has included a |
| 20 | justification for the use of a lowest price tech- |
| 21 | nically acceptable evaluation methodology in the |
| 22 | contract file; and |
| 23 | (F) the head of the executive agency has |
| 24 | determined that the lowest price reflects ful |

| 1 | life-cycle costs, including for operations and |
|----|---|
| 2 | support. |
| 3 | (c) Reporting.—Not later than one year after the |
| 4 | date of the enactment of this Act, and annually thereafter |
| 5 | for two years, the Comptroller General of the United |
| 6 | States shall submit to the appropriate congressional com- |
| 7 | mittees a report on the number of instances in which low- |
| 8 | est price technically acceptable source selection criteria is |
| 9 | used for a contract exceeding \$5,000,000, including an ex- |
| 10 | planation of how the factors listed in subsection (b)(2) |
| 11 | were considered in making a determination to use lowest |
| 12 | price technically acceptable source selection criteria. |
| 13 | (d) REVISION OF FEDERAL ACQUISITION REGULA- |
| 14 | TION.—The Federal Acquisition Regulation shall be re- |
| 15 | vised to implement the requirements of this Act. |
| 16 | (e) APPLICABILITY.—This Act shall apply with re- |
| 17 | spect to any solicitation issued on or after the date that |
| 18 | is 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act. |
| 19 | (f) Definitions.—In this section: |
| 20 | (1) Executive agency.—The term "executive |
| 21 | agency" has the meaning given that term in section |
| 22 | 102 of title 40, United States Code, except that the |
| 23 | term does not include the Department of Defense. |

| l | (2) CONTINGENCY OPERATION.—The term |
|---|--|
| 2 | "contingency operation" has the meaning given that |
| 3 | term in section 101 of title 10, United States Code. |
| 4 | (3) Appropriate congressional commit- |
| 5 | TEES.—The term "appropriate congressional com- |
| 5 | mittees" means the Committee on Oversight and |
| 7 | Government Reform of the House of Representatives |
| 8 | and the Committee on Homeland Security and Gov- |
| 9 | ernmental Affairs of the Senate. |

