

**AMENDMENT TO THE AMENDMENT IN THE
NATURE OF A SUBSTITUTE TO H.R. 9610
OFFERED BY MR. SCOTT OF VIRGINIA**

After section 1, insert the following and redesignate the succeeding sections accordingly:

1 SEC. 2. FINDINGS.

2 Congress finds the following:

3 (1) The Department of Education Organization
4 Act (20 U.S.C. 3401 et seq.) created the Depart-
5 ment of Education. The Act was passed by the 96th
6 Congress and signed into law by President Carter on
7 October 19, 1979.

8 (2) The Department of Education Organization
9 Act, as amended, establishes multiple Offices within
10 the Department of Education.

11 (3) As Congress created the Department of
12 Education, it is only Congress that has the power to
13 close the Department.

14 (4) The Department of Education Organization
15 Act confers limited authority to the Secretary of
16 Education to transfer and delegate authorities with-
17 in the Department.

1 (5) The Congressional Research Service has
2 found that the Secretary of Education does not have
3 the authority under current law to “transfer her
4 statutory duties outside the Department.”

5 (6) During the 2024 election, then-candidate
6 Donald Trump pledged to close the Department of
7 Education, a pledge that legal experts widely agreed
8 he did not have the power to do.

9 (7) Upon winning election, President Trump
10 appointed Linda McMahon as Secretary of Edu-
11 cation.

12 (8) Starting in 2025, the Department of Edu-
13 cation began entering into Interagency Agreements
14 (referred to in this section as “IAAs”) with other
15 Executive Departments to take on tasks related to
16 the administration of programs which Congress vest-
17 ed sole authority with the Department of Education.

18 (9) The Department of Education has cited sec-
19 tion 1535 of title 31, United States Code (commonly
20 known as the “Economy Act”), as the legal justifica-
21 tion for these IAAs.

22 (10) The Congressional Research Service has
23 found that “given the size and scope of covered pro-
24 grams, tens of billions of dollars could be transferred
25 under the IAAs.”

1 (11) The Joint Explanatory Statement accom-
2 panying the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2026
3 (Public Law 119–75) notes “concern about the De-
4 partment of Education’s recent, unprecedented use
5 of Interagency Agreements to transfer significant
6 programmatic responsibilities, procure services, and
7 detail staff to other Federal agencies, including to
8 the Departments of Labor, Health and Human
9 Services, State, and Interior. The agreement is con-
10 cerned about the assignment of such programmatic
11 responsibilities to agencies that do not have experi-
12 ence, expertise, or capacity to carry out these pro-
13 grams and activities and lack developed relationships
14 and communications with relevant stakeholders, in-
15 cluding States. The agreement is concerned that
16 fragmenting responsibilities for education programs
17 across multiple agencies will create inefficiencies, re-
18 sult in additional costs to the American taxpayer,
19 and cause delays and administrative challenges in
20 Federal funding reaching States, school districts,
21 and schools. The agreement is also concerned this
22 will weaken Federal support to protect the rights of
23 students, children, youth, and families under Federal
24 education laws.”

1 (12) Based on these concerns, the Joint Ex-
2 planatory Statement directed “the Department of
3 Education and signatory agencies to provide bi-
4 weekly briefings . . . on implementation of any such
5 interagency agreements. These briefings shall in-
6 clude information on staffing transfers, implementa-
7 tion costs, metrics on the delivery of services, avail-
8 ability of technical support for programs to grantees,
9 the issuance of Notices Inviting Applications or
10 other funding opportunities, plans for maintaining
11 high standards of quality and objectivity in grant
12 competitions through multi-reviewer peer panels,
13 rates of accessing funds by grantees and any result-
14 ing delays through the draw down of funds, up dates
15 on monitoring for grantees’ compliance with all fed-
16 eral requirements, implementation of reporting re-
17 quirements by grantees and Federal agencies and
18 communications with eligible grantees, States, and
19 other stakeholders. Such information shall include
20 comparisons to prior years on a comparable basis.”

21 (13) While the Department of Education has
22 undertaken these briefings pursuant to the Joint
23 Explanatory Statement, they have not provided the
24 level of detail directed by the Joint Explanatory
25 Statement. Congress has limited ability to oversee

1 whether the Departments are abiding by the express
2 terms of the IAAs.

3 (14) The Department of Education has recently
4 cancelled the IAA briefings, allegedly based solely on
5 actions taken by one Member of Congress. This ac-
6 tion has left Congress even further in the dark as
7 to how these agreements are functioning.

8 (15) These actions have to be considered along
9 with the Secretary of Education's Reduction of
10 Force in March of 2025 that, when coupled with
11 other personnel actions, effectively cut the number
12 of employees at the Department of Education by 50
13 percent.

14 (16) The Department of Education is currently
15 being sued by states, school districts, and labor or-
16 ganizations that argue the IAAs are part of a broad-
17 er plan to close the Department, in violation of the
18 law.

Strike "section 2" each place it appears and insert
"section 3".

Strike "section 9" each place it appears and insert
"section 10".

