

**H.R. 8070—SERVICEMEMBER QUALITY OF  
LIFE IMPROVEMENT AND NATIONAL  
DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR  
FISCAL YEAR 2025**

**SUBCOMMITTEE ON READINESS**

SUMMARY OF BILL LANGUAGE.....	1
BILL LANGUAGE.....	21
DIRECTIVE REPORT LANGUAGE.....	160

# **SUMMARY OF BILL LANGUAGE**

# Table Of Contents

## **DIVISION A—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS**

### **TITLE III—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE**

#### **LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS**

##### **SUBTITLE B—ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT**

Section 311—Extension of Prohibition on Required Disclosure

Section 312—Initiative to Control and Combat the Spread of Coconut Rhinoceros Beetle in Hawaii

Section 313—Review and Plan Regarding Biosecurity Protocols for Hawaii

##### **SUBTITLE C—LOGISTICS AND SUSTAINMENT**

Section 321—Plans Regarding Condition and Maintenance of Prepositioned Stockpiles of Navy, Air Force, and Marine Corps

##### **SUBTITLE D—OTHER MATTERS**

Section 331—Joint Safety Council Report and Briefing Requirements

### **TITLE VIII—ACQUISITION POLICY, ACQUISITION**

### **MANAGEMENT, AND RELATED MATTERS**

#### **LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS**

##### **SUBTITLE A—ACQUISITION POLICY AND MANAGEMENT**

Section 801—Notice of Contract Cancellation or Termination Relating to Remote or Isolated Installations

##### **SUBTITLE B—AMENDMENTS TO GENERAL CONTRACTING AUTHORITIES, PROCEDURES, AND LIMITATIONS**

Section 813—Sunset of Authority to Procure Fire Resistant Rayon Fiber

### **TITLE X—GENERAL PROVISIONS**

#### **LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS**

##### **SUBTITLE B—NAVAL VESSELS AND SHIPYARDS**

Section 1015—Pilot Program on Use of Automated Inspection Technologies at Shipyards

##### **SUBTITLE F—OTHER MATTERS**

Section 1051—Air Force Technical Training Center of Excellence

Section 1052—Installation Energy Plans and Assessment for Reduction of Reliance on Russian Energy

## **DIVISION B—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS**

Section 2001—Short Title

Section 2002—Expiration of Authorizations and Amounts Required To Be Specified by Law

Section 2003—Effective Date

### **TITLE XXI—ARMY MILITARY CONSTRUCTION**

#### **LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS**

Section 2101—Authorized Army Construction and Land Acquisition Projects

Section 2102—Family Housing

Section 2103—Authorization of Appropriations, Army

Section 2104—Extension of Authority to Carry Out Fiscal Year 2018 Project at Kunsan Air Base, Korea

Section 2105—Extension of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 2019 Project at Mihail Kogalniceanu Forward Operating Site, Romania

Section 2106—Extension of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 2020 Projects

Section 2107—Extension of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 2021 Projects

Section 2108—Extension of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 2022 Projects

## **TITLE XXII—NAVY MILITARY CONSTRUCTION**

### **LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS**

Section 2201—Authorized Navy Construction and Land Acquisition Projects

Section 2202—Family Housing

Section 2203—Authorization of Appropriations, Navy

Section 2204—Extension of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 2019 Projects

Section 2205—Extension of Authority to Carry Out Fiscal Year 2020 Project at Marine Corps Air Station Yuma, Arizona

Section 2206—Extension of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 2021 Projects

Section 2207—Extension of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 2022 Projects

## **TITLE XXIII—AIR FORCE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION**

### **LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS**

Section 2301—Authorized Air Force Construction and Land Acquisition Projects

Section 2302—Family Housing

Section 2303—Authorization of Appropriations, Air Force

Section 2304—Extension of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 2017 Project at Spangdahlem Air Base, Germany

Section 2305—Extension of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 2018 Projects

Section 2306—Extension of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 2019 Projects

Section 2307—Extension of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 2020 Projects

Section 2308—Extension of Authority to Carry Out Fiscal Year 2021 Project at Joint Base Langley-Eustis, Virginia

Section 2309—Extension of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 2022 Projects

## **TITLE XXIV—DEFENSE AGENCIES MILITARY CONSTRUCTION**

### **LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS**

Section 2401—Authorized Defense Agencies Construction and Land Acquisition Projects

Section 2402—Authorized Energy Resilience and Conservation Investment Program Projects

Section 2403—Authorization of Appropriations, Defense Agencies

Section 2404—Extension of Authority to Carry Out Fiscal Year 2018 Project at Iwakuni, Japan

Section 2405—Extension of Authority to Carry Out Fiscal Year 2019 Project at Iwakuni, Japan

Section 2406—Extension of Authority to Carry Out Fiscal Year 2020 Project at Fort Indiantown Gap, Pennsylvania

Section 2407—Extension of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 2021 Projects

Section 2408—Modification of Authority to Carry Out Fiscal Year 2022 Project at Joint Base Anacostia-Bolling, District of Columbia

Section 2409—Extension of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 2022 Projects

## **TITLE XXV—INTERNATIONAL PROGRAMS**

### **LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS**

#### **SUBTITLE A—NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM**

Section 2501—Authorized NATO Construction and Land Acquisition Projects

Section 2502—Authorization of Appropriations, NATO

#### **SUBTITLE B—HOST COUNTRY IN-KIND CONTRIBUTIONS**

Section 2511—Republic of Korea Funded Construction Projects

Section 2512—Republic of Poland Funded Construction Projects

## **TITLE XXVI—GUARD AND RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES**

### **LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS**

Section 2601—Authorized Army National Guard Construction and Land Acquisition Projects

Section 2602—Authorized Army Reserve Construction and Land Acquisition Projects

Section 2603—Authorized Navy Reserve and Marine Corps Reserve Construction and Land Acquisition Projects

Section 2604—Authorized Air National Guard Construction and Land Acquisition Projects

Section 2605—Authorized Air Force Reserve Construction and Land Acquisition Projects

Section 2606—Authorization of Appropriations, National Guard and Reserve

Section 2607—Extension of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 2020 Projects

Section 2608—Extension of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 2021 Projects

Section 2609—Modification of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 2022 Project for National Guard Readiness Center

Section 2610—Extension of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 2022 Projects

**TITLE XXVII—BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACTIVITIES  
LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS**

Section 2701—Authorization of Appropriations for Base Realignment and Closure Activities Funded through Department of Defense Base Closure Account

**TITLE XXVIII—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION GENERAL  
PROVISIONS**

**LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS**

**SUBTITLE A—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAMS**

Section 2801—Development and Operation of the Naval Innovation Center at the Naval Postgraduate School

Section 2803—Expansion of Eligible Grant Recipients under the Defense Community Infrastructure Program

Section 2804—Annual Five-Year Plans on Improvement of Department of Defense Innovation Infrastructure

Section 2805—Expansion of Stormwater Management Projects for Installation and Defense Access Road Resilience; Modification of Project Priorities

**SUBTITLE B—MILITARY HOUSING REFORMS**

Section 2811—Modification to Definition of Privatized Military Housing

Section 2812—Analysis of Housing Availability for Critical Civilian and Contractor Personnel Near Rural Military Installations

**SUBTITLE C—REAL PROPERTY AND FACILITIES ADMINISTRATION**

Section 2821—Process for Strategic Basing Actions for the Department of the Air Force

Section 2822—Deferral of Execution of Certain Requirements for Covered Housing Facilities and Covered Landscape Features; Report

Section 2823—Pilot Programs of Department of Army and Department of Navy to Conduct Repair and Maintenance Projects on Covered Historic Facilities

Section 2824—Strategy and Assessment with Respect to Non-Operational, Underutilized, and Other Department of Defense Facilities; Briefing Required

Section 2825—Temporary Authority for Use of Imitative Substitute Building Materials for Maintenance, Repair, Rehabilitation, or Renovation of Covered Historic Facilities

**SUBTITLE D—LAND CONVEYANCES**

Section 2831—Land Conveyance, Boyle Memorial Army Reserve Center, Paris, Texas

Section 2832—Transfer Authority, Mare Island Naval Shipyard, Vallejo, California

**SUBTITLE E—OTHER MATTERS**

Section 2841—Extension of Prohibition on Joint Use of Homestead Air Reserve Base with Civil Aviation

Section 2842—Schedule of Repairs at Naval Air Station, Pensacola, Florida  
**DIVISION C—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL  
SECURITY AUTHORIZATIONS AND OTHER  
AUTHORIZATIONS**

**TITLE XXXIV—NAVAL PETROLEUM RESERVES  
LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS**

Section 3401—Authorization of Appropriations

---

**DIVISION A—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS**

**TITLE III—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE**

**LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS**

**SUBTITLE B—ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT**

Section 311—Extension of Prohibition on Required Disclosure

This section would extend the prohibition on required disclosure by prime contractors.

Section 312—Initiative to Control and Combat the Spread of Coconut Rhinoceros Beetle in Hawaii

This section would authorize and direct the Secretary of Defense to enhance efforts to support the control, interdiction, research, and eradication efforts related to the coconut rhinoceros beetle on military installations in Hawaii.

Section 313—Review and Plan Regarding Biosecurity Protocols for Hawaii

This section would direct the Department of Defense to review its biosecurity protocols in Hawai'i to prevent the introduction and spread of invasive species. This section would also direct the Department to create a plan to improve biosecurity protocols in Hawai'i and improve coordination with State and local entities.

**SUBTITLE C—LOGISTICS AND SUSTAINMENT**

Section 321—Plans Regarding Condition and Maintenance of Prepositioned Stockpiles of Navy, Air Force, and Marine Corps

This section would require the Navy, Marine Corps, and Air Force to develop a plan to improve inspection procedures of prepositioned stockpiles and

conduct biannual inspections of these prepositioned stockpiles. This section would follow the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2024 (Public Law 118-31), which required the Army to develop plans for the maintenance of prepositioned stockpiles.

#### SUBTITLE D—OTHER MATTERS

##### Section 331—Joint Safety Council Report and Briefing Requirements

This section would amend section 185 of title 10, United States Code to require biannual briefings from the Joint Safety Council.

### TITLE VIII—ACQUISITION POLICY, ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT, AND RELATED MATTERS

#### LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

##### SUBTITLE A—ACQUISITION POLICY AND MANAGEMENT

##### Section 801—Notice of Contract Cancellation or Termination Relating to Remote or Isolated Installations

This section would require the Department of Defense to notify Congress when cancelling or terminating a contract, if it involves a reduction in employment of 100 contractor employees or 50 remote and isolated installation contractor employees.

##### SUBTITLE B—AMENDMENTS TO GENERAL CONTRACTING AUTHORITIES, PROCEDURES, AND LIMITATIONS

##### Section 813—Sunset of Authority to Procure Fire Resistant Rayon Fiber

This section would sunset the Fire Resistant Rayon Fiber Domestic Nonavailability Determination.

### TITLE X—GENERAL PROVISIONS

#### LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

##### SUBTITLE B—NAVAL VESSELS AND SHIPYARDS

##### Section 1015—Pilot Program on Use of Automated Inspection Technologies at Shipyards



This section would authorize a pilot program to procure automated inspection technologies for maintenance inspections of naval vessels and upgrade information technology infrastructure to support integrating these technologies.

#### SUBTITLE F—OTHER MATTERS

##### Section 1051—Air Force Technical Training Center of Excellence

This section would require the Secretary of the Air Force to operate a Technical Training Center of Excellence.

##### Section 1052—Installation Energy Plans and Assessment for Reduction of Reliance on Russian Energy

This section would modify section 1086 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2023 (Public Law 117-283) to include all operating bases within U.S. European Command and would limit the availability of travel funds within the Office of the Secretary of Defense until the report is delivered to the congressional defense committees.

### **DIVISION B—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS**

#### Section 2001—Short Title

This section would cite division B and title XLVI of this Act as the "Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2025."

#### Section 2002—Expiration of Authorizations and Amounts Required To Be Specified by Law

This section would ensure that the authorizations provided in titles XXI through XXVII of this Act shall expire on October 1, 2027, or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2028, whichever is later.

#### Section 2003—Effective Date

This section would provide that titles XXI through XXVII of this Act would take effect on October 1, 2024, or the date of the enactment of this Act, whichever is later.

### **TITLE XXI—ARMY MILITARY CONSTRUCTION**

#### **LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS**

## Section 2101—Authorized Army Construction and Land Acquisition Projects

This section would authorize a list of Army construction projects for fiscal year 2025. The authorized amounts are listed on an installation-by-installation basis. The State list contained in this Act is intended to be the binding list of the specific projects authorized at each location.

## Section 2102—Family Housing

This section would authorize new construction, improvements, and planning and design of family housing units for the Army for fiscal year 2025.

## Section 2103—Authorization of Appropriations, Army

This section would authorize appropriations for Army military construction levels identified in section 4601 of division D of this Act.

## Section 2104—Extension of Authority to Carry Out Fiscal Year 2018 Project at Kunsan Air Base, Korea

This section would extend the authorization of certain fiscal year 2018 projects until October 1, 2025, or the date of enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2026, whichever is later.

## Section 2105—Extension of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 2019 Project at Mihail Kogalniceanu Forward Operating Site, Romania

This section would extend the authorization of certain fiscal year 2019 projects until October 1, 2025, or the date of enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2026, whichever is later.

## Section 2106—Extension of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 2020 Projects

This section would extend the authorization of certain fiscal year 2020 projects until October 1, 2025, or the date of enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2026, whichever is later.

## Section 2107—Extension of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 2021 Projects

This section would extend the authorization of certain fiscal year 2021 projects until October 1, 2025, or the date of enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2026, whichever is later.

Section 2108—Extension of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 2022  
Projects

This section would extend the authorization of certain fiscal year 2022 projects until October 1, 2025, or the date of enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2026, whichever is later.

TITLE XXII—NAVY MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

Section 2201—Authorized Navy Construction and Land Acquisition Projects

This section would authorize a list of Department of the Navy construction projects for fiscal year 2025. The authorized amounts are listed on an installation-by-installation basis. The State list contained in this Act is intended to be the binding list of the specific projects authorized at each location.

Section 2202—Family Housing

This section would authorize new construction, improvements, and planning and design of family housing units for the Department of the Navy for fiscal year 2025.

Section 2203—Authorization of Appropriations, Navy

This section would authorize appropriations for Department of Navy military construction levels identified in section 4601 of division D of this Act.

Section 2204—Extension of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 2019  
Projects

This section would extend the authorization of certain fiscal year 2019 projects until October 1, 2025, or the date of enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2026, whichever is later.

Section 2205—Extension of Authority to Carry Out Fiscal Year 2020 Project at  
Marine Corps Air Station Yuma, Arizona

This section would extend the authorization of a certain fiscal year 2020 project until October 1, 2025, or the date of enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2026, whichever is later.

Section 2206—Extension of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 2021  
Projects

This section would extend the authorization of certain fiscal year 2021 projects until October 1, 2025, or the date of enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2026, whichever is later.

Section 2207—Extension of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 2022 Projects

This section would extend the authorization of certain fiscal year 2022 projects until October 1, 2025, or the date of enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2026, whichever is later.

## TITLE XXIII—AIR FORCE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

### LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

Section 2301—Authorized Air Force Construction and Land Acquisition Projects

This section would authorize a list of Air Force construction projects for fiscal year 2025. The authorized amounts are listed on an installation-by-installation basis. The State list contained in this Act is intended to be the binding list of the specific projects authorized at each location.

Section 2302—Family Housing

This section would authorize new construction, improvements, and planning and design of family housing units for the Department of the Air Force for fiscal year 2025.

Section 2303—Authorization of Appropriations, Air Force

This section would authorize appropriations for Air Force military construction levels identified in section 4601 of division D of this Act.

Section 2304—Extension of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 2017 Project at Spangdahlem Air Base, Germany

This section would extend the authorization of a certain fiscal year 2017 project until October 1, 2025, or the date of enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2026, whichever is later.

Section 2305—Extension of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 2018 Projects

This section would extend the authorization of certain fiscal year 2018 projects until October 1, 2025, or the date of enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2026, whichever is later.

Section 2306—Extension of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 2019 Projects

This section would extend the authorization of certain fiscal year 2019 projects until October 1, 2025, or the date of enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2026, whichever is later.

Section 2307—Extension of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 2020 Projects

This section would extend the authorization of certain fiscal year 2020 projects until October 1, 2025, or the date of enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2026, whichever is later.

Section 2308—Extension of Authority to Carry Out Fiscal Year 2021 Project at Joint Base Langley-Eustis, Virginia

This section would extend the authorization of a certain fiscal year 2021 project until October 1, 2025, or the date of enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2026, whichever is later.

Section 2309—Extension of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 2022 Projects

This section would extend the authorization of certain fiscal year 2022 projects until October 1, 2025, or the date of enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2026, whichever is later.

## TITLE XXIV—DEFENSE AGENCIES MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

### LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

Section 2401—Authorized Defense Agencies Construction and Land Acquisition Projects

This section would authorize a list of defense agency construction projects for fiscal year 2025. The authorized amounts are listed on an installation-by-installation basis. The State list contained in this Act is intended to be the binding list of the specific projects authorized at each location.

Section 2402—Authorized Energy Resilience and Conservation Investment Program  
Projects

This section would authorize a list of energy resilience and conservation investment projects for fiscal year 2025. The authorized amounts are listed on an installation-by-installation basis. The State list contained in this Act is intended to be the binding list of the specific projects authorized at each location.

Section 2403—Authorization of Appropriations, Defense Agencies

This section would authorize appropriations for defense agencies' military construction at the levels identified in section 4601 of division D of this Act.

Section 2404—Extension of Authority to Carry Out Fiscal Year 2018 Project at  
Iwakuni, Japan

This section would extend the authorization of a certain fiscal year 2018 project until October 1, 2025, or the date of enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2026, whichever is later.

Section 2405—Extension of Authority to Carry Out Fiscal Year 2019 Project at  
Iwakuni, Japan

This section would extend the authorization of a certain fiscal year 2019 project until October 1, 2025, or the date of enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2026, whichever is later.

Section 2406—Extension of Authority to Carry Out Fiscal Year 2020 Project at Fort  
Indiantown Gap, Pennsylvania

This section would extend the authorization of a certain fiscal year 2020 project until October 1, 2025, or the date of enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2026, whichever is later.

Section 2407—Extension of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 2021  
Projects

This section would extend the authorization of certain fiscal year 2021 projects until October 1, 2025, or the date of enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2026, whichever is later.

Section 2408—Modification of Authority to Carry Out Fiscal Year 2022 Project at  
Joint Base Anacostia-Bolling, District of Columbia

This section would modify the authorization of a fiscal year 2022 project.

Section 2409—Extension of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 2022  
Projects

This section would extend the authorization of certain fiscal year 2022 projects until October 1, 2025, or the date of enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2026, whichever is later.

TITLE XXV—INTERNATIONAL PROGRAMS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION SECURITY INVESTMENT  
PROGRAM

Section 2501—Authorized NATO Construction and Land Acquisition Projects

This section would authorize the Secretary of Defense to make contributions to the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program in an amount not to exceed the sum of the amount specifically authorized in section 2502 of this Act and the amount collected from the North Atlantic Treaty Organization as a result of construction previously financed by the United States.

Section 2502—Authorization of Appropriations, NATO

This section would authorize appropriations for the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program at the levels identified in section 4601 of division D of this Act.

SUBTITLE B—HOST COUNTRY IN-KIND CONTRIBUTIONS

Section 2511—Republic of Korea Funded Construction Projects

This section would authorize the Secretary of Defense to accept 10 military construction projects totaling \$418.6 million pursuant to agreement with the Republic of Korea for required in-kind contributions.

Section 2512—Republic of Poland Funded Construction Projects

This section would authorize the Secretary of Defense to accept seven military construction projects totaling \$188.0 million pursuant to agreement with the Republic of Poland for required in-kind contributions.

TITLE XXVI—GUARD AND RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

Section 2601—Authorized Army National Guard Construction and Land Acquisition Projects

This section would authorize a list of Army National Guard construction projects for fiscal year 2025. The authorized amounts are listed on an installation-by-installation basis. The State list contained in this Act is intended to be the binding list of the specific projects authorized at each location.

Section 2602—Authorized Army Reserve Construction and Land Acquisition Projects

This section would authorize a list of Army Reserve construction projects for fiscal year 2025. The authorized amounts are listed on an installation-by-installation basis. The State list contained in this Act is intended to be the binding list of the specific projects authorized at each location.

Section 2603—Authorized Navy Reserve and Marine Corps Reserve Construction and Land Acquisition Projects

This section would authorize a list of Navy Reserve and Marine Corps Reserve construction projects for fiscal year 2025. The authorized amounts are listed on an installation-by-installation basis. The State list contained in this Act is intended to be the binding list of the specific projects authorized at each location.

Section 2604—Authorized Air National Guard Construction and Land Acquisition Projects

This section would authorize a list of Air National Guard construction projects for fiscal year 2025. The authorized amounts are listed on an installation-by-installation basis. The State list contained in this Act is intended to be the binding list of the specific projects authorized at each location.

Section 2605—Authorized Air Force Reserve Construction and Land Acquisition Projects

This section would authorize a list of Air Force Reserve construction projects for fiscal year 2025. The authorized amounts are listed on an installation-by-installation basis. The State list contained in this Act is intended to be the binding list of the specific projects authorized at each location.

Section 2606—Authorization of Appropriations, National Guard and Reserve

This section would authorize appropriations for National Guard and Reserve military construction at the levels identified in section 4601 of division D of this Act.



Section 2607—Extension of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 2020  
Projects

This section would extend the authorization of certain fiscal year 2020 projects until October 1, 2025, or the date of enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2026, whichever is later.

Section 2608—Extension of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 2021  
Projects

This section would extend the authorization of certain fiscal year 2021 projects until October 1, 2025, or the date of enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2026, whichever is later.

Section 2609—Modification of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 2022  
Project for National Guard Readiness Center

This section would modify the authorization of a fiscal year 2022 project.

Section 2610—Extension of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 2022  
Projects

This section would extend the authorization of certain fiscal year 2022 projects until October 1, 2025, or the date of enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2026, whichever is later.

**TITLE XXVII—BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACTIVITIES**

**LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS**

Section 2701—Authorization of Appropriations for Base Realignment and Closure  
Activities Funded through Department of Defense Base Closure Account

This section would authorize appropriations for ongoing activities that are required to implement the Base Realignment and Closure activities authorized by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (part A of title XXIX of Public Law 101-510), at the levels identified in section 4601 of division D of this Act.

**TITLE XXVIII—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION GENERAL  
PROVISIONS**

**LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS**

**SUBTITLE A—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAMS**

Section 2801—Development and Operation of the Naval Innovation Center at the Naval Postgraduate School

This section would allow the Secretary of the Navy to enter into a contract or other agreements with nonprofit organizations for the design, construction, and maintenance of a facility to serve as the Naval Innovation Center at the United States Naval Postgraduate School. It would also allow the Secretary of the Navy to accept gifts or donations in support of the Naval Innovation Center.

Section 2803—Expansion of Eligible Grant Recipients under the Defense Community Infrastructure Program

This section would clarify that not-for-profit member-owned utility services are eligible participants in the Defense Community Infrastructure Program as well as clarify that covered insular areas are eligible for the waiver of requirements for cost contribution under the program.

Section 2804—Annual Five-Year Plans on Improvement of Department of Defense Innovation Infrastructure

This section would require each Secretary of a military department and the Secretary of Defense to submit, alongside the President's budget request, a five-year plan for the improvement of laboratories; test and evaluation ranges; and other research, development, test, and evaluation infrastructure. This five-year plan should include major lines of effort and milestones, as well as elements on military construction, renovation, and other infrastructure authorities.

Section 2805—Expansion of Stormwater Management Projects for Installation and Defense Access Road Resilience; Modification of Project Priorities

This section would authorize the modification of the storm water reuse program to minimize runoff of untreated water and designate an official responsible for coordinating regional storm water management among military departments.

SUBTITLE B—MILITARY HOUSING REFORMS

Section 2811—Modification to Definition of Privatized Military Housing

This section would clarify that the definition of privatized military housing in the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2020 (Public Law 116-92) does not include government-owned housing.

Section 2812—Analysis of Housing Availability for Critical Civilian and Contractor Personnel Near Rural Military Installations

This section would require the Secretary of Defense to revise the Department of Defense Manual for Housing Management to require availability analysis of suitable housing for civilian personnel and defense contractors in rural locations.

#### SUBTITLE C—REAL PROPERTY AND FACILITIES ADMINISTRATION

##### Section 2821—Process for Strategic Basing Actions for the Department of the Air Force

This section would codify the Air Force Strategic Basing process and require additional notification to Congress.

##### Section 2822—Deferral of Execution of Certain Requirements for Covered Housing Facilities and Covered Landscape Features; Report

This section would delay requirements for the Secretary of each military department under chapter 3061 of title 54, United States Code, for facilities or landscape features built after 1975. The Secretary of each military department would be responsible for requirements under chapter 3061 of title 54, United States Code, after these covered facilities reach 60 years of age. Each Secretary of a military department would also be required to provide a report to congressional defense committees that identifies facilities built between 1975 and 1985, within their jurisdiction, as well as a strategy for their management or demolition.

##### Section 2823—Pilot Programs of Department of Army and Department of Navy to Conduct Repair and Maintenance Projects on Covered Historic Facilities

This section would require the Secretary of the Army and the Secretary of the Navy to carry out a pilot program for the repair and maintenance of facilities on an installation built prior to 1919, within their jurisdiction, by adhering to Department of Interior guidance for such activities. The pilot would allow the Secretary of the Army and the Secretary of the Navy to address critical maintenance issues for facilities built prior to 1919 without individual consultation requirements for each project. Each Secretary would be required to select a single installation within their jurisdiction for this pilot program and notify Congress of their selection within 30 days. Authority to obligate funds using this authority would expire at the end of 2029.

##### Section 2824—Strategy and Assessment with Respect to Non-Operational, Underutilized, and Other Department of Defense Facilities; Briefing Required

This section would require each Secretary of a military department to develop a strategy for the demolition of facilities on military installations, within their jurisdiction, that are in poor or failing condition, not in operational use, or

determined by the Secretary to be underutilized. The section would also require an assessment to determine the cost to maintain such facilities and provide a briefing to the congressional defense committees on the required strategy and results of the assessment.

Section 2825—Temporary Authority for Use of Imitative Substitute Building Materials for Maintenance, Repair, Rehabilitation, or Renovation of Covered Historic Facilities

This section would allow each Secretary of a military department to use imitative substitute materials in the maintenance, repair, rehabilitation, or renovation of facilities, within their jurisdiction, built prior to 1919. This authority would be limited to instances where the quality of life, health, and safety of occupants of the facility is a concern, or the use of original building materials is not financially feasible.

SUBTITLE D—LAND CONVEYANCES

Section 2831—Land Conveyance, Boyle Memorial Army Reserve Center, Paris, Texas

This section would grant permissive authority to the Secretary of the Army to convey to the Paris Junior College located in Paris, Texas, approximately 4 acres, known as the Boyle Memorial Army Reserve Center.

Section 2832—Transfer Authority, Mare Island Naval Shipyard, Vallejo, California

This section would authorize the expedited cleanup and transfer of Department of Defense lands at former Mare Island Naval Shipyard to the city of Vallejo, California.

SUBTITLE E—OTHER MATTERS

Section 2841—Extension of Prohibition on Joint Use of Homestead Air Reserve Base with Civil Aviation

This section would extend the prohibition on the joint use of Homestead Air Reserve Base with civil aviation until September 30, 2036.

Section 2842—Schedule of Repairs at Naval Air Station, Pensacola, Florida

This section would require the Secretary of the Navy to develop and implement a plan for repair or replacement of facilities at Naval Air Station Pensacola damaged by Hurricane Sally. The plan would detail the estimate of cost and schedule for repair or replacement of Hangar 3260 as well as how these

infrastructure plans support current and future operational requirements at Naval Air Station Pensacola.

**DIVISION C—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL  
SECURITY AUTHORIZATIONS AND OTHER  
AUTHORIZATIONS**

**TITLE XXXIV—NAVAL PETROLEUM RESERVES**

**LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS**

**Section 3401—Authorization of Appropriations**

This section would authorize \$13,010,000 for fiscal year 2025 for operation and maintenance of the Naval Petroleum Reserves.

# **BILL LANGUAGE**

1                   **Subtitle B—Energy and**  
2                   **Environment**

3   **SEC. 311 [Log 80549]. EXTENSION OF PROHIBITION ON RE-**  
4                   **QUIRED DISCLOSURE.**

5           Section 318(a)(2) of the National Defense Authoriza-  
6   tion Act for Fiscal Year 2024 (Public Law 118–31) is  
7   amended by striking “one-year period” and inserting  
8   “five-year period”.

1 **SEC. 312 [Log 80598]. INITIATIVE TO CONTROL AND COMBAT**  
2 **THE SPREAD OF COCONUT RHINOCEROS**  
3 **BEETLE IN HAWAII.**

4 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense shall  
5 enhance efforts to manage, control, and interdict the coco-  
6 nut rhinoceros beetle on military installations in Hawaii.

7 (b) AUTHORIZED ACTIVITIES.—The efforts required  
8 under subsection (a) shall include the following:

9 (1) Carrying out science-based management  
10 and control programs to reduce the effect of the co-  
11 conut rhinoceros beetle on military installations and  
12 to prevent the introduction or spread of the coconut  
13 rhinoceros beetle to areas where such beetle has not  
14 yet been established.

15 (2) Providing support for interagency and inter-  
16 governmental response efforts to control, interdict,  
17 monitor, and eradicate the coconut rhinoceros beetle.

18 (3) Pursuing chemical, biological, and other  
19 control techniques, technology transfer, and best  
20 practices to support management, control, interdic-  
21 tion and, where possible, eradication of the coconut  
22 rhinoceros beetle from Hawaii.

23 (4) Establishing an early detection and rapid  
24 response mechanism to monitor and deploy coordi-  
25 nated efforts if the coconut rhinoceros beetle, or an-  
26 other newly detected invasive alien species, is de-



1        tected at new sites on military installations in Ha-  
2        waii.

3            (5) Carrying out such other activities as the  
4        Secretary determines appropriate to manage, con-  
5        trol, and interdict the coconut rhinoceros beetle on  
6        military installations in Hawaii.

7        (c) ANNUAL BRIEFINGS.—Not later than 180 days  
8        after the date of the enactment of this Act, and annually  
9        thereafter for each of the next three years, the Assistant  
10       Secretary of the Navy for Energy, Installations, and Envi-  
11       ronment shall provide to the Committees on Armed Serv-  
12       ices of the House of Representatives and the Senate a  
13       briefing on the implementation of this section, which shall  
14       include detailed information about the efforts of the Sec-  
15       retary to manage, control, and interdict the coconut rhi-  
16       noceros beetle on military installations in Hawaii.

1 **SEC. 313 [Log 80597]. REVIEW AND PLAN REGARDING BIO-**  
2 **SECURITY PROTOCOLS FOR HAWAII.**

3 (a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than one year after the  
4 date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of De-  
5 fense, in consultation with each Secretary of a military  
6 department, the commanders of United States Indo-Pa-  
7 cific Command and its component commands, and State,  
8 local, and non-governmental organizations, shall submit to  
9 the congressional defense committees a report on biosecu-  
10 rity protocols and procedures to prevent the introduction  
11 and spread of invasive species to the State of Hawaii.

12 (b) ELEMENTS.—The report required under sub-  
13 section (a) shall include each of the following:

14 (1) A review of current Department of Defense  
15 protocols and procedures, including gaps and dif-  
16 ferences between military installations, for biosecu-  
17 rity and to prevent the introduction and spread of  
18 invasive species in the State of Hawaii.

19 (2) A review of the efforts and progress of the  
20 Department of Defense in implementing the relevant  
21 recommendations of the 2015 Regional Biosecurity  
22 Plan for Micronesia and Hawaii;

23 (3) A plan to—

24 (A) improve coordination and alignment  
25 between Department of Defense components in  
26 Hawaii to prevent the introduction and spread

1 of invasive species, including through early de-  
2 tection on Department of Defense assets;

3 (B) develop and implement best practices  
4 to improve biosecurity protocols while mini-  
5 mizing the effects on military operations, in-  
6 cluding during military exercises; and

7 (C) improve coordination with State and  
8 local government entities and non-governmental  
9 organizations to enhance biosecurity and to pre-  
10 vent the introduction and spread of invasive  
11 species.

12 (e) UPDATE.—Not later than five years after the date  
13 of the submission of the report required under subsection  
14 (a), the Secretary of Defense shall provide to the congres-  
15 sional defense committees an update on the progress of  
16 the Department of Defense in implementing the plan re-  
17 ferred to in subsection (b)(3).

18 (d) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

19 (1) The term “invasive species” has the mean-  
20 ing given such term in section 10(a)(4) of the Fish  
21 and Wildlife Coordination Act (16 U.S.C. 666c-  
22 1(a)(4)).

23 (2) The term “biosecurity” means measures  
24 taken to protect against biological agents that pose

- 1 a threat to public health, plant or animal health, or
- 2 the environment.

1                   **Subtitle C—Logistics and**  
2                   **Sustainment**

3 **SEC. 321 [Log 80671]. PLANS REGARDING CONDITION AND**  
4                   **MAINTENANCE OF PREPOSITIONED STOCK-**  
5                   **PILES OF NAVY, AIR FORCE, AND MARINE**  
6                   **CORPS.**

7           (a) PLAN REQUIRED.—Not later than 90 days after  
8 the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of  
9 the Navy, the Secretary of the Air Force, and the Com-  
10 mandant of the Marine Corps shall each develop a plan  
11 to—

12                   (1) improve the required inspection procedures  
13 for the prepositioned stockpiles of the Armed Force  
14 concerned, for the purpose of identifying deficiencies  
15 and conducting maintenance repairs at levels nec-  
16 essary to ensure such prepositioned stockpiles are  
17 mission capable; and

18                   (2) with respect to the Navy and Marine Corps,  
19 provide an analysis of the readiness of ships that  
20 hold or facilitate the off-loading of prepositioned  
21 stocks and suggestions for improving inspection pro-  
22 cedures of such ships.

23           (b) IMPLEMENTATION.—Not later than 30 days after  
24 the date on which the Secretary or the Commandant com-  
25 pletes the development of a plan under subsection (a), and

1 not less frequently than twice each year thereafter for the  
2 three-year period beginning on the date of the enactment  
3 of this Act, the Secretary or the Commandant shall inspect  
4 the prepositioned stockpiles of the Armed Force concerned  
5 in accordance with the procedures under such plan.

6 (c) BRIEFINGS.—

7 (1) BRIEFING ON PLAN.—Not later than 120  
8 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the  
9 Secretaries and the Commandant shall each provide  
10 to the congressional defense committees a briefing  
11 on the plan developed under subsection (a).

12 (2) BRIEFINGS ON STATUS OF PREPOSITIONED  
13 STOCKPILES.—Not later than 180 days after the  
14 date of the enactment of this Act, and every 180  
15 days thereafter for the three-year period beginning  
16 on the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secre-  
17 taries and the Commandant shall each provide to the  
18 congressional defense committees a briefing on the  
19 status and condition of the prepositioned stockpiles  
20 of the Armed Force concerned.

21 (d) ARMED FORCE CONCERNED.—In this section, the  
22 term “Armed Force concerned” means—

23 (1) the Navy, with respect to the Secretary of  
24 the Navy;

- 1           (2) the Marine Corps with respect to the Com-
- 2           mandant of the Marine Corps; and
- 3           (3) the Air Force, with respect to the Secretary
- 4           of the Air Force.

## 1                   **Subtitle D—Other Matters**

### 2   **SEC. 331 [Log 80180]. JOINT SAFETY COUNCIL REPORT AND** 3                   **BRIEFING REQUIREMENTS.**

4           Section 185 of title 10, United States Code, is  
5 amended—

6                   (1) in subsection (k)—

7                           (A) in paragraph (1)—

8                                   (i) by striking “Chair” and inserting  
9                                   “Chairperson”; and

10                                  (ii) by striking “semi-annual” and in-  
11                                  serting “biannual”; and

12                           (B) in paragraph (2)—

13                                   (i) in the matter preceding subpara-  
14                                  graph (A)—

15    (I) by striking “, 2023, and not  
16                                  later than” and inserting “and”;

17    (II) by striking “thereafter”; and

18    (III) by inserting “biannual” be-  
19                                  fore “report”;

20                                  (ii) in subparagraph (A), by striking  
21                                  “and” after the semicolon;

22                                  (iii) in subparagraph (B), by striking  
23                                  the period and inserting “; and”; and

24                                  (iv) by adding at the end the following  
25                                  new subparagraph:



1 “(C) for the year covered by the report—

2 “(i) releasable information regarding any  
3 mishap that occurred during such year; and

4 “(ii) an identification of any corrective or  
5 preventative action implemented pursuant to a  
6 recommendation made in a safety or legal in-  
7 vestigation report of such a mishap.”; and

8 (2) by adding at the end the following new sub-  
9 section:

10 “(j) BIENNIAL BRIEFINGS.—Not later than March  
11 31 and December 31 of each year, the Chairperson of the  
12 Joint Council shall provide to the congressional defense  
13 committees a briefing on the contents of the report re-  
14 quired under subsection (k) for the corresponding date.”.

1     **Subtitle A—Acquisition Policy and**  
2                                   **Management**

3     **SEC. 801[Log 80770]. NOTICE OF CONTRACT CANCELLATION**  
4                                   **OR TERMINATION RELATING TO REMOTE OR**  
5                                   **ISOLATED INSTALLATIONS.**

6             Chapter 365 of title 10, United States Code, is  
7     amended by adding at the end the following new section:

8     **“§ 4705. Notice of contract cancellation or termi-**  
9                                   **nation relating to remote or isolated in-**  
10                                  **stallations**

11            “(a) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided by subsection  
12     (b), not later than 30 days prior to the Secretary or any  
13     other official of an element of the Department of Defense  
14     cancelling or terminating a contract, the Secretary shall  
15     submit to Congress a notice of such cancellation or termi-  
16     nation if such cancellation or termination involves a reduc-  
17     tion in employment of not fewer than—

18                    “(1) 50 remote or isolated installation con-  
19     tractor employees; or

20                    “(2) 100 employees of contractors, including re-  
21     mote or isolated installation contractor employees.

22            “(b) WAIVER.—(1) The Secretary may waive sub-  
23     section (a) with respect to the cancellation or termination  
24     of a contract if the Secretary determines that such waiver  
25     is in the interest of national security.

1 “(2) If the Secretary waives subsection (a) with re-  
2 spect to the cancellation or termination of a contract, the  
3 Secretary shall submit the notice required by such sub-  
4 section with respect to such cancellation or termination  
5 not later than one week after such cancellation or termi-  
6 nation.

7 “(c) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

8 “(1) The term ‘military installation’ has the  
9 meaning given such term in section 2801(c) of this  
10 title.

11 “(2) The term ‘remote or isolated installation’  
12 means a military installation that is a remote mili-  
13 tary installation, as determined by the Secretary  
14 pursuant to the policy required by section 565 of the  
15 National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year  
16 2022 (Public Law 117–81; 135 Stat. 1749; 10  
17 U.S.C. 1781b note).

18 “(3) The term ‘remote or isolated installation  
19 contractor employee’ means an individual who—

20 “(A) is an employee of a contractor;

21 “(B) as such an employee, provides goods  
22 or services to a remote or isolated installation;  
23 and

24 “(C) resides in the same geographic area  
25 as such remote or isolated installation.

1                   “(4) The term ‘Secretary’ means the Secretary  
2                   of Defense.”.

1 **SEC. 813.[Log 80736]. SUNSET OF AUTHORITY TO PROCURE**  
2 **FIRE RESISTANT RAYON FIBER.**

3       Effective 90 days after the date of the enactment of  
4 this Act, section 829 of the National Defense Authoriza-  
5 tion Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (10 U.S.C. 4862 note) is  
6 repealed.

1 **SEC. 1015 [Log 80432]. PILOT PROGRAM ON USE OF AUTO-**  
2 **MATED INSPECTION TECHNOLOGIES AT**  
3 **SHIPYARDS.**

4 (a) IN GENERAL.—Beginning not later than 90 days  
5 after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary  
6 of the Navy shall carry out a pilot program on the use  
7 of automated inspection technologies at shipyards.

8 (b) SELECTION OF LOCATION.—The Secretary shall  
9 select one shipyard at which to carry out the pilot program  
10 required under subsection (a) and shall take such steps  
11 as may be necessary to minimize the disruption to the op-  
12 erations of the shipyard during the conduct of the pilot  
13 program.

14 (c) ELEMENTS.—In carrying out the pilot program  
15 required under subsection (a), the Secretary shall—

16 (1) select at least one surface ship as a test  
17 platform to collect a comprehensive set of inspection  
18 criteria used for defining maintenance requirements;

19 (2) define requirements for the upgrade or over-  
20 haul of the information technology infrastructure at  
21 the shipyard to ensure compatibility with new tech-  
22 nologies implemented under the pilot program;

23 (3) provide for the training of personnel on the  
24 operation and maintenance of the automated inspec-  
25 tion technologies selected for use during the pilot  
26 program;

1           (4) designate an individual who shall be respon-  
2           sible for implementing and overseeing each phase of  
3           the pilot program; and

4           (5) recommend a strategic sequencing plan of  
5           the pilot program to ensure the execution of nec-  
6           essary information technology upgrades prior to the  
7           deployment of robotic systems.

8           (d) REPORT AND BRIEFINGS.—

9           (1) REPORT.—Not later than 180 days after  
10          the termination of the pilot program under sub-  
11          section (e), the Secretary shall submit to the con-  
12          gressional defense committees a report on the results  
13          of the pilot program.

14          (2) BRIEFINGS.—Upon completion of the se-  
15          quencing plan required under subsection (c)(5), the  
16          Secretary shall provide to the congressional defense  
17          committees a briefing on the plan.

18          (e) TERMINATION.—The authority to carry out a  
19          pilot program under this section shall terminate on the  
20          date that is three years after the date of the enactment  
21          of this Act.

1                   **Subtitle F—Other Matters**

2   **SEC. 1051 [Log 80768]. AIR FORCE TECHNICAL TRAINING**  
3                   **CENTER OF EXCELLENCE.**

4           Chapter 903 of title 10, United States Code, is  
5 amended by adding at the end the following new section:

6   **“§ 9025. Air Force Technical Training Center of Ex-**  
7                   **cellence**

8           “(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—The Secretary of the Air  
9 Force shall operate a Technical Training Center of Excel-  
10 lence. The head of the Center shall be the designee of the  
11 Commander of Airmen Development Command.

12           “(b) PURPOSE.—The purpose of the Center shall be  
13 to—

14                   “(1) facilitate collaboration among all Air Force  
15 technical training installations;

16                   “(2) serve as a premier training location for all  
17 maintainers throughout the military departments;

18                   “(3) publish a set of responsibilities aimed at  
19 driving excellence, innovation, and leadership across  
20 all technical training specialties;

21                   “(4) advocate for innovative improvements in  
22 curriculum, facilities, and medial;

23                   “(5) foster outreach with industry and aca-  
24 demia;



1           “(6) identify and promulgate best practices,  
2 standards, and benchmarks;

3           “(7) create a hub of excellence for the latest ad-  
4 vancements in aviation technology and training  
5 methodologies; and

6           “(8) carry out such other responsibilities as the  
7 Secretary determines appropriate.

8           “(c) LOCATION.—The Secretary shall select a loca-  
9 tion for the Center that is an Air Force installation that  
10 provides technical training and maintenance proficiency.”.

1 **SEC. 1052 [Log 80183]. INSTALLATION ENERGY PLANS AND**  
2 **ASSESSMENT FOR REDUCTION OF RELIANCE**  
3 **ON RUSSIAN ENERGY.**

4 Section 1086 of the James M. Inhofe National De-  
5 fense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2023 (Public Law  
6 117–283; 10 U.S.C. 2911 note) is amended—

7 (1) in subsection (c)(2)—

8 (A) by striking “Not later than 12 months  
9 after the date of the enactment of this Act”  
10 and inserting “Not later than 90 days after the  
11 date of the enactment of the National Defense  
12 Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2025”; and

13 (B) in subparagraph (A), by striking  
14 “main operating base on the list submitted  
15 under paragraph (1)(A)” and inserting “oper-  
16 ating base within the area of responsibility of  
17 the United States European Command”; and

18 (2) by adding at the end the following new sub-  
19 section:

20 “(h) LIMITATION.—Of the funds authorized to be ap-  
21 propriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fis-  
22 cal year 2025 for the Office of the Secretary of Defense  
23 for travel, not more than 75 percent may be obligated or  
24 expended until the installation energy plans and assess-  
25 ment required under subsection (c)(2).”.

1 **SEC. 2001. [Log 80367]. SHORT TITLE.**

2       This division may be cited as the “Military Construc-  
3 tion Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2025”.

1 **SEC. 2002. [Log 80386]. EXPIRATION OF AUTHORIZATIONS**  
2 **AND AMOUNTS REQUIRED TO BE SPECIFIED**  
3 **BY LAW.**

4 (a) EXPIRATION OF AUTHORIZATIONS AFTER THREE  
5 YEARS.—Except as provided in subsection (b), all author-  
6 izations contained in titles XXI through XXVII for mili-  
7 tary construction projects, land acquisition, family housing  
8 projects and facilities, and contributions to the North At-  
9 lantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program  
10 (and authorizations of appropriations therefor) shall ex-  
11 pire on the later of—

12 (1) October 1, 2027; or

13 (2) the date of the enactment of an Act author-  
14 izing funds for military construction for fiscal year  
15 2028.

16 (b) EXCEPTION.—Subsection (a) shall not apply to  
17 authorizations for military construction projects, land ac-  
18 quisition, family housing projects and facilities, and con-  
19 tributions to the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Se-  
20 curity Investment Program (and authorizations of appro-  
21 priations therefor), for which appropriated funds have  
22 been obligated before the later of—

23 (1) October 1, 2027; or

24 (2) the date of the enactment of an Act author-  
25 izing funds for fiscal year 2028 for military con-  
26 struction projects, land acquisition, family housing

- 1 projects and facilities, or contributions to the North
- 2 Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment
- 3 Program.

1 **SEC. 2003. [Log 80387]. EFFECTIVE DATE.**

2       Titles XXI through XXVII shall take effect on the  
3 later of—

4               (1) October 1, 2024; or

5               (2) the date of the enactment of this Act.

1 **SEC. 2101.[Log 80369]. AUTHORIZED ARMY CONSTRUCTION**  
 2 **AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.**

3 (a) INSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—Using amounts  
 4 appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropria-  
 5 tions in section 2103(a) and available for military con-  
 6 struction projects inside the United States as specified in  
 7 the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the  
 8 Army may acquire real property and carry out military  
 9 construction projects for the installations or locations in-  
 10 side the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in  
 11 the following table:

**Army: Inside the United States**

State	Installation	Amount
Alaska .....	Fort Wainwright .....	\$23,000,000
California .....	Concord .....	\$68,000,000
	Fort Irwin .....	\$44,000,000
Florida .....	Key West Naval Air Station .....	\$457,000,000
Hawaii .....	Wheeler Army Air Field .....	\$231,000,000
Kentucky .....	Fort Campbell .....	\$11,800,000
Louisiana .....	Fort Johnson .....	\$117,000,000
Maryland .....	Fort Meade .....	\$46,000,000
Michigan .....	Detroit Arsenal .....	\$37,000,000
Missouri .....	Fort Leonard Wood .....	\$144,000,000
New York .....	Watervliet Arsenal .....	\$53,000,000
North Carolina .....	Fort Liberty .....	\$39,000,000
Pennsylvania .....	Letterkenny Army Depot .....	\$346,000,000
Texas .....	Fort Cavazos .....	\$147,000,000
	Red River Army Depot .....	\$34,000,000
Virginia .....	Joint Base Myer-Henderson Hall .....	\$180,000,000
Washington .....	Joint Base Lewis-McChord .....	\$192,000,000

12 (b) OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—Using amounts  
 13 appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropria-  
 14 tions in section 2103(a) and available for military con-  
 15 struction projects outside the United States as specified  
 16 in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the  
 17 Army may acquire real property and carry out military

1 construction projects for the installations or locations out-  
 2 side the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in  
 3 the following table:

**Army: Outside the United States**

<b>Country</b>	<b>Installation or Location</b>	<b>Amount</b>
Belgium .....	SHAPE Headquarters .....	\$45,000,000
Germany .....	U.S. Army Garrison Rheinland-Pfalz .....	\$61,000,000
	U.S. Army Garrison Ansbach .....	\$191,000,000
	U.S. Army Garrison Wiesbaden .....	\$44,000,000



1 **SEC. 2102.[Log 80370]. FAMILY HOUSING.**

2 (a) CONSTRUCTION AND ACQUISITION.—Using  
 3 amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of ap-  
 4 propriations in section 2103(a) and available for military  
 5 family housing functions as specified in the funding table  
 6 in section 4601, the Secretary of the Army may construct  
 7 or acquire family housing units (including land acquisition  
 8 and supporting facilities) at the installations or locations,  
 9 in the number of units, and in the amounts set forth in  
 10 the following table:

**Army: Family Housing**

Country	Installation	Units	Amount
Belgium .....	Chievres AB .....	Family Housing New Construc- tion (84 units)	\$100,954,000
Germany .....	Baumholder .....	Family Housing Replacement Construction (54 units) .....	\$63,246,000

11 (b) IMPROVEMENTS TO MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING  
 12 UNITS.—Subject to section 2825 of title 10, United States  
 13 Code, and using amounts appropriated pursuant to the  
 14 authorization of appropriations in section 2103(a) and  
 15 available for military family housing functions as specified  
 16 in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the  
 17 Army may improve existing military family housing units  
 18 in an amount not to exceed \$81,114,000.

19 (c) PLANNING AND DESIGN.—Using amounts appro-  
 20 priated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in  
 21 section 2103(a) and available for military family housing

1 functions as specified in the funding table in section 4601,  
2 the Secretary of the Army may carry out architectural and  
3 engineering services and construction design activities  
4 with respect to the construction or improvement of family  
5 housing units in an amount not to exceed \$31,333,000.

1 **SEC. 2103.[Log 80371]. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIA-**  
2 **TIONS, ARMY.**

3 (a) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—Funds  
4 are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years  
5 beginning after September 30, 2024, for military con-  
6 struction, land acquisition, and military family housing  
7 functions of the Department of the Army as specified in  
8 the funding table in section 4601.

9 (b) LIMITATION ON TOTAL COST OF CONSTRUCTION  
10 PROJECTS.—Notwithstanding the cost variations author-  
11 ized by section 2853 of title 10, United States Code, and  
12 any other cost variation authorized by law, the total cost  
13 of all projects carried out under sections 2101 and 2102  
14 of this Act may not exceed the total amount authorized  
15 to be appropriated under subsection (a), as specified in  
16 the funding table in section 4601.

1 **SEC. 2104. [Log 80775]. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO**  
 2 **CARRY OUT FISCAL YEAR 2018 PROJECT AT**  
 3 **KUNSAN AIR BASE, KOREA.**

4 (a) **EXTENSION.**—Notwithstanding section 2002 of  
 5 the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal  
 6 Year 2018 (division B of Public Law 115–91; 131 Stat.  
 7 1817), the authorization set forth in the table in sub-  
 8 section (b), as provided in section 2101(b) of that Act  
 9 (131 Stat. 1819) and extended by section 2106(a) of the  
 10 Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year  
 11 2023 (division B of Public Law 117–263; 136 Stat. 2395)  
 12 and amended by section 2105 of the Military Construction  
 13 Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2024 (division B of  
 14 Public Law 118–31; 137 Stat. 712), shall remain in effect  
 15 until October 1, 2025, or the date of the enactment of  
 16 an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fis-  
 17 cal year 2026, whichever is later.

18 (b) **TABLE.**—The table referred to in subsection (a)  
 19 is as follows:

**Army: Extension of 2018 Project Authorization**

<b>Country</b>	<b>Installation or Location</b>	<b>Project</b>	<b>Original Authorized Amount</b>
Korea .....	Kunsan Air Base .....	Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Hangar ...	\$53,000,000

1 **SEC. 2105. [Log 80776]. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO**  
 2 **CARRY OUT FISCAL YEAR 2019 PROJECT AT**  
 3 **MIHAIL KOGALNICEANU FORWARD OPER-**  
 4 **ATING SITE, ROMANIA.**

5 (a) **EXTENSION.**—Notwithstanding section 2002 of  
 6 the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal  
 7 Year 2019 (division B of Public Law 115–232; 132 Stat.  
 8 2240), the authorization set forth in the table in sub-  
 9 section (b), as provided in section 2901 of that Act (132  
 10 Stat. 2286) and extended by section 2106(b)(1) of the  
 11 Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year  
 12 2024 (division B of Public Law 118–31; 137 Stat. 713),  
 13 shall remain in effect until October 1, 2025, or the date  
 14 of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military  
 15 construction for fiscal year 2026, whichever is later.

16 (b) **TABLE.**—The table referred to in subsection (a)  
 17 is as follows:

**Army: Extension of 2019 Project Authorization**

<b>Country</b>	<b>Installation or Location</b>	<b>Project</b>	<b>Original Authorized Amount</b>
Romania .....	Mihail Kogalniceanu FOS .....	EDI: Explosives and Ammo Load/Un- load Apron. ....	\$21,651,000

1 **SEC. 2106. [Log 80777]. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO**  
 2 **CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2020**  
 3 **PROJECTS.**

4 (a) **EXTENSION.**—Notwithstanding section 2002 of  
 5 the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal  
 6 Year 2020 (division B of Public Law 116–92; 133 Stat.  
 7 1862), the authorizations set forth in the table in sub-  
 8 section (b), as provided in section 2101 of that Act (133  
 9 Stat. 1862), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2025,  
 10 or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds  
 11 for military construction for fiscal year 2026, whichever  
 12 is later.

13 (b) **TABLE.**—The table referred to in subsection (a)  
 14 is as follows:

**Army: Extension of 2020 Project Authorizations**

<b>State/ Country</b>	<b>Installation or Location</b>	<b>Project</b>	<b>Original Au- thorized Amount</b>
Kwajalein .....	Kwajalein Atoll .....	Air Traffic Control Tower and Ter- minal .....	\$40,000,000
South Carolina	Fort Jackson .....	Reception Complex, Ph2 .....	\$88,000,000

1 **SEC. 2107. [Log 80778]. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO**  
 2 **CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2021**  
 3 **PROJECTS.**

4 (a) **EXTENSION.**—Notwithstanding section 2002 of  
 5 the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal  
 6 Year 2021 (division B of Public Law 116–283; 134 Stat.  
 7 4294), the authorizations set forth in the table in sub-  
 8 section (b), as provided in section 2101(a) of that Act  
 9 (134 Stat. 4295) and extended by section 2107(a) of the  
 10 Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year  
 11 2024 (division B of Public Law 118–31; 137 Stat. 713),  
 12 shall remain in effect until October 1, 2025, or the date  
 13 of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military  
 14 construction for fiscal year 2026, whichever is later.

15 (b) **TABLE.**—The table referred to in subsection (a)  
 16 is as follows:

**Army: Extension of 2021 Project Authorizations**

<b>State</b>	<b>Installation or Location</b>	<b>Project</b>	<b>Original Authorized Amount</b>
Arizona .....	Yuma Proving Ground	Ready Building .....	\$14,000,000
Georgia .....	Fort Gillem .....	Forensic Laboratory	\$71,000,000

1 **SEC. 2108. [Log 80779]. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO**  
 2 **CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2022**  
 3 **PROJECTS.**

4 (a) **EXTENSION.**—Notwithstanding section 2002 of  
 5 the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal  
 6 Year 2022 (division B of Public Law 117–81; 135 Stat.  
 7 2161), the authorizations set forth in the table in sub-  
 8 section (b), as provided in sections 2101 and 2105 of that  
 9 Act (135 Stat. 2163, 2165), shall remain in effect until  
 10 October 1, 2025, or the date of the enactment of an Act  
 11 authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year  
 12 2026, whichever is later.

13 (b) **TABLE.**—The table referred to in subsection (a)  
 14 is as follows:

**Army: Extension of 2022 Project Authorizations**

<b>State/ Country</b>	<b>Installation or Location</b>	<b>Project</b>	<b>Original Au- thorized Amount</b>
Georgia .....	Fort Stewart .....	Barracks .....	\$105,000,000
Germany .....	Smith Barracks .....	Live Fire Exercise Shoothouse .....	\$16,000,000
	Smith Barracks .....	Indoor Small Arms Range .....	\$17,500,000
Hawaii .....	West Loch Naval Mag- azine Annex .....	Ammunition Storage	\$51,000,000
	Wheeler Army Airfield	Aviation Unit OPS Building .....	\$84,000,000
Kansas .....	Fort Leavenworth .....	Child Development Center .....	\$37,000,000
Kentucky .....	Fort Knox .....	Child Development Center .....	\$30,000,000
Louisiana .....	Fort Johnson (Polk) ...	Joint Operations Center .....	\$116,000,000
Maryland .....	Fort Dietrick .....	Incinerator Facility	\$27,000,000
New Mexico ...	White Sands Missile Range .....	Missile Assembly Support Building	\$29,000,000
Pennsylvania ..	Letterkenny AD .....	Fire Station .....	\$25,400,000
Texas .....	Fort Bliss .....	Defense Access Roads .....	\$20,000,000



1 **SEC. 2201. [Log 80376]. AUTHORIZED NAVY CONSTRUCTION**  
 2 **AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.**

3 (a) INSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—Using amounts  
 4 appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropria-  
 5 tions in section 2203(a) and available for military con-  
 6 struction projects inside the United States as specified in  
 7 the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the  
 8 Navy may acquire real property and carry out military  
 9 construction projects for the installations or locations in-  
 10 side the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in  
 11 the following table:

**Navy: Inside the United States**

<b>State</b>	<b>Installation or Location</b>	<b>Amount</b>
Florida .....	Cape Canaveral Space Force Station .....	\$221,060,000
Georgia .....	Naval Submarine Base Kings Bay .....	\$264,030,000
Guam .....	Andersen Air Force Base .....	\$78,730,000
	Joint Region Marinas	\$107,439,000
	Naval Base Guam .....	\$241,880,000
Hawaii .....	Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam .....	\$505,000,000
	Marine Corps Base Kaneohe Bay .....	\$203,520,000
Nevada .....	Naval Air Station Fallon .....	\$48,300,000
North Carolina .....	Marine Corps Air Station Cherry Point .....	\$747,540,000
Virginia .....	Naval Weapons Station Yorktown .....	\$151,850,000
	Norfolk Naval Shipyard .....	\$568,200,000
Washington .....	Naval Base Kitsap-Bangor .....	\$200,550,000
	Puget Sound Naval Shipyard .....	\$182,200,000

12 (b) OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—Using amounts  
 13 appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropria-  
 14 tions in section 2203(a) and available for military con-  
 15 struction projects outside the United States as specified  
 16 in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the  
 17 Navy may acquire real property and carry out military  
 18 construction projects for the installations or locations out-

1 side the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in  
2 the following table:

**Navy: Outside the United States**

<b>Country</b>	<b>Installation or Location</b>	<b>Amount</b>
Australia .....	Royal Australian Air Force Base Darwin ...	\$179,700,000

1 **SEC. 2202. [Log 80378]. FAMILY HOUSING.**

2 (a) CONSTRUCTION AND ACQUISITION.—Using  
 3 amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of ap-  
 4 propriations in section 2203(a) and available for military  
 5 family housing functions as specified in the funding table  
 6 in section 4601, the Secretary of the Navy may construct  
 7 or acquire family housing units (including land acquisition  
 8 and supporting facilities) at the installations or locations,  
 9 and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

**Navy: Family Housing**

Country or Terri- tory	Installation	Amount
Guam .....	Andersen Air Force Base .....	\$196,975,000

10 (b) IMPROVEMENTS TO MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING  
 11 UNITS.—Subject to section 2825 of title 10, United States  
 12 Code, and using amounts appropriated pursuant to the  
 13 authorization of appropriations in section 2203(a) and  
 14 available for military family housing functions as specified  
 15 in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the  
 16 Navy may improve existing military family housing units  
 17 in an amount not to exceed \$35,438,000.

18 (c) PLANNING AND DESIGN.—Using amounts appro-  
 19 priated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in  
 20 section 2203(a) and available for military family housing  
 21 functions as specified in the funding table in section 4601,  
 22 the Secretary of the Navy may carry out architectural and  
 23 engineering services and construction design activities

- 1 with respect to the construction or improvement of family
- 2 housing units in an amount not to exceed \$13,329,000.

1 **SEC. 2203. [Log 80379]. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIA-**  
2 **TIONS, NAVY.**

3 (a) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—Funds  
4 are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years  
5 beginning after September 30, 2024, for military con-  
6 struction, land acquisition, and military family housing  
7 functions of the Department of the Navy, as specified in  
8 the funding table in section 4601.

9 (b) LIMITATION ON TOTAL COST OF CONSTRUCTION  
10 PROJECTS.—Notwithstanding the cost variations author-  
11 ized by section 2853 of title 10, United States Code, and  
12 any other cost variation authorized by law, the total cost  
13 of all projects carried out under sections 2201 and 2202  
14 of this Act may not exceed the total amount authorized  
15 to be appropriated under subsection (a), as specified in  
16 the funding table in section 4601.

1 **SEC. 2204. [Log 80780]. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO**  
 2 **CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2019**  
 3 **PROJECTS.**

4 (a) **EXTENSION.**—Notwithstanding section 2002 of  
 5 the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal  
 6 Year 2019 (division B of Public Law 115–232; 132 Stat.  
 7 2240) the authorizations set forth in the table in sub-  
 8 section (b), as provided in section 2201(b) and 2902 of  
 9 that Act (132 Stat. 2244, 2286) and extended by section  
 10 2204 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for  
 11 Fiscal Year 2024 (division B of Public Law 118–31; 137  
 12 Stat. 716), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2025,  
 13 or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds  
 14 for military construction for fiscal year 2026, whichever  
 15 is later.

16 (b) **TABLE.**—The table referred to in subsection (a)  
 17 is as follows:

**Navy: Extension of 2019 Project Authorizations**

<b>Country</b>	<b>Installation or Location</b>	<b>Project</b>	<b>Original Authorized Amount</b>
Bahrain .....	SW Asia .....	Fleet Maintenance Facility and TOC	\$26,340,000
Greece .....	Naval Support Activity Souda Bay .....	EDI: Joint Mobility Processing Center	\$41,650,000

1 **SEC. 2205. [Log 80781]. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO**  
 2 **CARRY OUT FISCAL YEAR 2020 PROJECT AT**  
 3 **MARINE CORPS AIR STATION YUMA, ARI-**  
 4 **ZONA.**

5 (a) **EXTENSION.**—Notwithstanding section 2002 of  
 6 the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal  
 7 Year 2020 (division B of Public Law 116–92; 133 Stat.  
 8 1862) the authorizations set forth in the table in sub-  
 9 section (b), as provided in sections 2201(a) and 2809 of  
 10 that Act (133 Stat. 1865, 1887), shall remain in effect  
 11 until October 1, 2025, or the date of the enactment of  
 12 an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fis-  
 13 cal year 2026, whichever is later.

14 (b) **TABLE.**—The table referred to in subsection (a)  
 15 is as follows:

**Navy: Extension of 2020 Project Authorizations**

<b>State</b>	<b>Installation or Location</b>	<b>Project</b>	<b>Original Authorized Amount</b>
Arizona .....	Marine Corps Air Station Yuma .....	Bachelor Enlisted Quarters .....	\$99,600,000

1 **SEC. 2206. [Log 80782]. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO**  
 2 **CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2021**  
 3 **PROJECTS.**

4 (a) **EXTENSION.**—Notwithstanding section 2002 of  
 5 the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal  
 6 Year 2021 (division B of Public Law 116–283; 134 Stat.  
 7 4294), the authorizations set forth in the table in sub-  
 8 section (b), as provided in section 2201 of that Act (134  
 9 Stat. 4297) and extended by section 2205 of the Military  
 10 Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2024 (di-  
 11 vision B of Public Law 118–31; 137 Stat. 718), shall re-  
 12 main in effect until October 1, 2025, or the date of the  
 13 enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military con-  
 14 struction for fiscal year 2026, whichever is later.

15 (b) **TABLE.**—The table referred to in subsection (a)  
 16 is as follows:

**Navy: Extension of 2021 Project Authorizations**

<b>State/ Country</b>	<b>Installation or Location</b>	<b>Project</b>	<b>Original Au- thorized Amount</b>
Guam .....	Joint Region Marianas	Joint Communica- tions Upgrade .....	\$22,000,000
Maine .....	NCTAMS LANT De- tachment Cutler .....	Perimeter Security ..	\$26,100,000
Nevada .....	Fallon .....	Range Training Complex, Phase 1	\$29,040,000



1 **SEC. 2207. [Log 80783]. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO**  
 2 **CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2022**  
 3 **PROJECTS.**

4 (a) **EXTENSION.**—Notwithstanding section 2002 of  
 5 the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal  
 6 Year 2022 (division B of Public Law 117–81; 135 Stat.  
 7 2161), the authorizations set forth in the table in sub-  
 8 section (b), as provided in sections 2201 and 2202(a) of  
 9 that Act (135 Stat. 2166, 2167), shall remain in effect  
 10 until October 1, 2025, or the date of the enactment of  
 11 an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fis-  
 12 cal year 2026, whichever is later.

13 (b) **TABLE.**—The table referred to in subsection (a)  
 14 is as follows:

**Navy: Extension of 2022 Project Authorizations**

<b>State/ Country</b>	<b>Installation or Location</b>	<b>Project</b>	<b>Original Au- thorized Amount</b>
Arizona .....	Marine Corps Air Sta- tion Yuma .....	Combat Training Tank Complex .....	\$29,300,000
California .....	Naval Base Ventura County .....	MQ-25 Aircraft Maintenance Hangar .....	\$125,291,000
	Marine Corps Air Sta- tion Miramar .....	F-35 Centralized Engine Repair Facility .....	\$31,400,000
	Marine Corps Base Camp Pendleton .....	CLB MEU Complex	\$83,900,000
	Marine Corps Base Camp Pendleton .....	Warehouse Replace- ment .....	\$22,200,000
District of Co- lumbia .....	Marine Barracks Wash- ington .....	Family Housing Im- provements .....	\$10,415,000

**Navy: Extension of 2022 Project Authorizations**—Continued

<b>State/ Country</b>	<b>Installation or Location</b>	<b>Project</b>	<b>Original Au- thorized Amount</b>
Florida .....	Marine Corps Support Facility Blount Is- land .....	Lighterage and Small Craft Facil- ity .....	\$69,400,000
Hawaii .....	Marine Corps Base Kaneohe .....	Electrical Distribu- tion Moderniza- tion .....	\$64,500,000
South Carolina	Marine Corps Air Sta- tion Beaufort .....	Aircraft Mainte- nance Hangar .....	\$122,600,000
Spain .....	Naval Station Rota .....	EDI: Explosive Ord- nance Disposal (EOD) Mobile Unit Facilities .....	\$85,600,000

1 **SEC. 2301. [Log 80383]. AUTHORIZED AIR FORCE CON-**  
 2 **STRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION**  
 3 **PROJECTS.**

4 (a) **INSIDE THE UNITED STATES.**—Using amounts  
 5 appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropria-  
 6 tions in section 2303(a) and available for military con-  
 7 struction projects inside the United States as specified in  
 8 the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the  
 9 Air Force may acquire real property and carry out mili-  
 10 tary construction projects for the installations or locations  
 11 inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth  
 12 in the following table:

**Air Force: Inside the United States**

State	Installation or Location	Amount
Alaska .....	Joint Base Elmendorf-Richardson	\$250,000,000
Arkansas .....	Ebbing Air National Guard Base	\$73,000,000
California .....	Beale Air Force Base .....	\$148,000,000
	Vandenberg Space Force Base .....	\$277,000,000
Colorado .....	Buckley Space Force Base .....	\$57,611,000
Florida .....	Cape Canaveral Space Force Sta- tion.	\$11,400,000
Idaho .....	Mountain Home Air Force Base ..	\$40,000,000
Louisiana .....	Barksdale Air Force Base .....	\$22,000,000
Massachusetts .....	Hanscom Air Force Base .....	\$315,000,000
Montana .....	Malmstrom Air Force Base .....	\$20,000,000
North Carolina .....	Seymour-Johnson Air Force Base	\$41,000,000
Ohio .....	Wright-Patterson Air Force Base	\$45,000,000
Oregon .....	Mountain Home Air Force Base ..	\$1,093,000,00
South Dakota .....	Ellsworth Air Force Base .....	\$177,000,000
Tennessee .....	Arnold Air Force Base .....	\$21,400,000
Texas .....	Dyess Air Force Base .....	\$31,300,000
	Joint Base San Antonio .....	\$684,000,000
	Laughlin Air Force Base .....	\$56,000,000
Utah .....	Hill Air Force Base .....	\$258,000,000
Virginia .....	Joint Base Langley-Eustis .....	\$81,000,000
Wyoming .....	F.E. Warren Air Force Base .....	\$1,581,000,000

13 (b) **OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.**—Using amounts  
 14 appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropria-  
 15 tions in section 2303(a) and available for military con-

1 struction projects outside the United States as specified  
 2 in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the  
 3 Air Force may acquire real property and carry out mili-  
 4 tary construction projects for the installations or locations  
 5 outside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth  
 6 in the following table:

**Air Force: Outside the United States**

<b>Country</b>	<b>Installation or Location</b>	<b>Amount</b>
Denmark .....	Royal Danish Air Force Base Karup.	\$110,000,000
Federated States of Micro- nesia.	Yap International Airport .....	\$400,314,000
Spain .....	Naval Station Rota .....	\$15,200,000
United Kingdom .....	Royal Air Force Lakenheath .....	\$185,000,000
	Royal Air Force Mildenhall .....	\$51,000,000

1 **SEC. 2302. [Log 80384]. FAMILY HOUSING.**

2 (a) CONSTRUCTION AND ACQUISITION.—Using  
 3 amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of ap-  
 4 propriations in section 2303(a) and available for military  
 5 family housing functions as specified in the funding table  
 6 in section 4601, the Secretary of the Air Force may con-  
 7 struct or acquire family housing units (including land ac-  
 8 quisition and supporting facilities) at the installations or  
 9 locations and in the amounts set forth in the following  
 10 table:

**Air Force: Family Housing**

Country	Installation	Amount
Germany .....	Ramstein Air Base .....	\$5,750,000

11 (b) IMPROVEMENTS TO MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING  
 12 UNITS.—Subject to section 2825 of title 10, United States  
 13 Code, and using amounts appropriated pursuant to the  
 14 authorization of appropriations in section 2303(a) and  
 15 available for military family housing functions as specified  
 16 in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the  
 17 Air Force may improve existing military family housing  
 18 units in an amount not to exceed \$209,242,000.

19 (c) PLANNING AND DESIGN.—Using amounts appro-  
 20 priated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in  
 21 section 2303(a) and available for military family housing  
 22 functions as specified in the funding table in section 4601,  
 23 the Secretary of the Air Force may carry out architectural

- 1 and engineering services and construction design activities
- 2 with respect to the construction or improvement of family
- 3 housing units in an amount not to exceed \$6,557,000.

1 **SEC. 2303. [Log 80385]. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIA-**  
2 **TIONS, AIR FORCE.**

3 (a) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—Funds  
4 are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years  
5 beginning after September 30, 2024, for military con-  
6 struction, land acquisition, and military family housing  
7 functions of the Department of the Air Force, as specified  
8 in the funding table in section 4601.

9 (b) LIMITATION ON TOTAL COST OF CONSTRUCTION  
10 PROJECTS.—Notwithstanding the cost variations author-  
11 ized by section 2853 of title 10, United States Code, and  
12 any other cost variation authorized by law, the total cost  
13 of all projects carried out under sections 2301 and 2302  
14 of this Act may not exceed the total amount authorized  
15 to be appropriated under subsection (a), as specified in  
16 the funding table in section 4601.

1 **SEC. 2304. [Log 80784]. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO**  
 2 **CARRY OUT FISCAL YEAR 2017 PROJECT AT**  
 3 **SPANGDAHLEM AIR BASE, GERMANY.**

4 (a) **EXTENSION.**—Notwithstanding section 2002 of  
 5 the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal  
 6 Year 2017 (division B of Public Law 114–328; 130 Stat.  
 7 2688), the authorization set forth in the table in sub-  
 8 section (b), as provided in section 2902 of that Act (130  
 9 Stat. 2743) and extended by section 2304 of the Military  
 10 Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2022 (di-  
 11 vision B of Public Law 117–81; 135 Stat. 2169) and  
 12 amended by section 2304(b) of the Military Construction  
 13 Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2024 (division B of  
 14 Public Law 118–31; 137 Stat. 721), shall remain in effect  
 15 until October 1, 2025, or the date of the enactment of  
 16 an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fis-  
 17 cal year 2026, whichever is later.

18 (b) **TABLE.**—The table referred to in subsection (a)  
 19 is as follows:

**Air Force: Extension of 2017 Project Authorization**

<b>Country</b>	<b>Installation or Location</b>	<b>Project</b>	<b>Original Authorized Amount</b>
Germany .....	Spangdahlem Air Base	ERI: F/A–22 Low Observable/Comp Repair Fac. ....	\$12,000,000



1 **SEC. 2305. [Log 80785]. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO**  
 2 **CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2018**  
 3 **PROJECTS.**

4 (a) **EXTENSION.**—Notwithstanding section 2002 of  
 5 the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal  
 6 Year 2018 (division B of Public Law 115–91; 131 Stat.  
 7 1817), the authorizations set forth in the table in sub-  
 8 section (b), as provided in section 2903 of that Act (131  
 9 Stat. 1876) and extended by section 2304(b) of the Mili-  
 10 tary Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2023  
 11 (division B of Public Law 117–263; 136 Stat. 2980) and  
 12 amended by section 2305(b) of the Military Construction  
 13 Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2024 (division B of  
 14 Public Law 118–31; 137 Stat. 722), shall remain in effect  
 15 until October 1, 2025, or the date of the enactment of  
 16 an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fis-  
 17 cal year 2026, whichever is later.

18 (b) **TABLE.**—The table referred to in subsection (a)  
 19 is as follows:

**Air Force: Extension of 2018 Project Authorizations**

<b>Country</b>	<b>Installation or Location</b>	<b>Project</b>	<b>Original Authorized Amount</b>
Hungary .....	Keckemet Air Base ....	ERI: Airfield Up-grades .....	\$12,900,000
	Keckemet Air Base ....	ERI: Construct Parallel Taxiway .....	\$30,000,000
	Keckemet Air Base ....	ERI: Increase POL Storage Capacity	\$12,500,000
Slovakia .....	Malacky .....	ERI: Increase POL Storage Capacity	\$20,000,000

1 **SEC. 2306. [Log 80786]. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO**  
 2 **CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2019**  
 3 **PROJECTS.**

4 (a) **EXTENSION.**—Notwithstanding section 2002 of  
 5 the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal  
 6 Year 2019 (division B of Public Law 115–232; 132 Stat.  
 7 2240), the authorizations set forth in the table in sub-  
 8 section (b), as provided in section 2903 of that Act (132  
 9 Stat. 2287) and extended by section 2306(b) of the Mili-  
 10 tary Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2024  
 11 (division B of Public Law 118–31; 137 Stat. 724), shall  
 12 remain in effect until October 1, 2025, or the date of the  
 13 enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military con-  
 14 struction for fiscal year 2026, whichever is later.

15 (b) **TABLE.**—The table referred to in subsection (a)  
 16 is as follows:

**Air Force: Extension of 2019 Project Authorizations**

<b>Country</b>	<b>Installation or Location</b>	<b>Project</b>	<b>Original Authorized Amount</b>
United Kingdom .....	Royal Air Force Fairford .....	EDI: Construct DABS-FEV Storage .....	\$87,000,000
	Royal Air Force Fairford .....	EDI: Munitions Holding Area .....	\$19,000,000

1 **SEC. 2307. [Log 80787]. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO**  
 2 **CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2020**  
 3 **PROJECTS.**

4 (a) **EXTENSION.**—Notwithstanding section 2002 of  
 5 the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal  
 6 Year 2020 (division B of Public Law 116–92; 133 Stat.  
 7 1862), the authorizations set forth in the table in sub-  
 8 section (b), as provided in sections 2301(a) and 2912(a)  
 9 of that Act (133 Stat. 1867, 1913), shall remain in effect  
 10 until October 1, 2025, or the date of the enactment of  
 11 an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fis-  
 12 cal year 2026, whichever is later.

13 (b) **TABLE.**—The table referred to in subsection (a)  
 14 is as follows:

**Air Force: Extension of 2020 Project Authorizations**

<b>State</b>	<b>Installation or Location</b>	<b>Project</b>	<b>Original Authorized Amount</b>
Florida .....	Tyndall Air Force Base	Deployment Center/ Flight Line Dining/AAFES .....	\$43,000,000
New Mexico ....	Kirtland Air Force Base .....	Combat Rescue Helicopter Simulator (CRH) ADAL .....	\$15,500,000
Texas .....	Joint Base San Antonio	BMT Recruit Dormitory 8 .....	\$110,000,000
Washington ....	Fairchild-White Bluff ..	Consolidated TFI Base Operations ..	\$31,000,000

1 **SEC. 2308. [Log 80788]. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO**  
 2 **CARRY OUT FISCAL YEAR 2021 PROJECT AT**  
 3 **JOINT BASE LANGLEY-EUSTIS, VIRGINIA.**

4 (a) **EXTENSION.**—Notwithstanding section 2002 of  
 5 the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal  
 6 Year 2021 (division B of Public Law 116–283; 134 Stat.  
 7 4294), the authorization set forth in the table in sub-  
 8 section (b), as provided in section 2301(a) of that Act  
 9 (132 Stat. 2287) and extended by section 2307(a) of the  
 10 Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year  
 11 2024 (division B of Public Law 118–31; 137 Stat. 725),  
 12 shall remain in effect until October 1, 2025, or the date  
 13 of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military  
 14 construction for fiscal year 2026, whichever is later.

15 (b) **TABLE.**—The table referred to in subsection (a)  
 16 is as follows:

**Air Force: Extension of 2021 Project Authorization**

<b>State</b>	<b>Installation or Location</b>	<b>Project</b>	<b>Original Authorized Amount</b>
Virginia .....	Joint Base Langley-Eustis .....	Access Control Point Main Gate With Land Acq .....	\$19,500,000

1 **SEC. 2309. [Log 80789]. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO**  
 2 **CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2022**  
 3 **PROJECTS.**

4 (a) **EXTENSION.**—Notwithstanding section 2002 of  
 5 the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal  
 6 Year 2022 (division B of Public Law 117–81; 135 Stat.  
 7 2161), the authorizations set forth in the table in sub-  
 8 section (b), as provided in section 2301 of that Act (135  
 9 Stat. 2168), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2025,  
 10 or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds  
 11 for military construction for fiscal year 2026, whichever  
 12 is later.

13 (b) **TABLE.**—The table referred to in subsection (a)  
 14 is as follows:

**Air Force: Extension of 2022 Project Authorizations**

<b>State/ Country</b>	<b>Installation or Location</b>	<b>Project</b>	<b>Original Au- thorized Amount</b>
Australia .....	Royal Australian Air Force Base Darwin ..	Squadron Operations Facility .....	\$7,400,000
	Royal Australian Air Force Base Tindal ...	Aircraft Mainte- nance Support Facility .....	\$6,200,000
	Royal Australian Air Force Base Tindal ...	Squadron Operations Facility .....	\$8,200,000
Massachusetts	Hanscom Air Force Base .....	NC3 Acquisitions Management Fa- cility .....	\$66,000,000
United King- dom .....	Royal Air Force Lakenheath .....	F–35A Child Devel- opment Center .....	\$24,000,000
	Royal Air Force Lakenheath .....	F–35A Munition In- spection Facility ..	\$31,000,000

**Air Force: Extension of 2022 Project Authorizations**—Continued

<b>State/ Country</b>	<b>Installation or Location</b>	<b>Project</b>	<b>Original Au- thorized Amount</b>
	Royal Air Force Lakenheath .....	F-35A Weapons Load Training Facility .....	\$49,000,000

1 **SEC. 2401. [Log 80391]. AUTHORIZED DEFENSE AGENCIES**  
 2 **CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION**  
 3 **PROJECTS.**

4 (a) **INSIDE THE UNITED STATES.**—Using amounts  
 5 appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropria-  
 6 tions in section 2403(a) and available for military con-  
 7 struction projects inside the United States as specified in  
 8 the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of De-  
 9 fense may acquire real property and carry out military  
 10 construction projects for the installations or locations in-  
 11 side the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in  
 12 the following table:

**Defense Agencies: Inside the United States**

<b>State or Terri- tory</b>	<b>Installation or Location</b>	<b>Amount</b>
Alaska .....	Eielson Air Force Base .....	\$14,000,000
	Joint Base Elmendorf-Richardson .....	\$55,000,000
Arizona .....	Marine Corps Air Station Yuma .....	\$62,000,000
California .....	Marine Corps Base Camp Pendleton .....	\$96,410,000
	Marine Corps Mountain Warfare Training Center Bridgeport .....	\$19,300,000
	Naval Base Coronado .....	\$51,000,000
Colorado .....	Fort Carson .....	\$41,000,000
Florida .....	Hurlburt Field .....	\$14,000,000
Georgia .....	Hunter Army Airfield .....	\$63,800,000
Guam .....	Joint Region Marianas .....	\$929,224,000
Missouri .....	Whiteman Air Force Base .....	\$19,500,000
North Carolina .....	Fort Liberty .....	\$11,800,000
	Marine Corps Base Camp Lejeune .....	\$25,400,000
South Carolina .....	Marine Corps Air Station Beaufort .....	\$31,500,000
	Marine Corps Recruit Depot Parris Island .....	\$72,050,000
Texas .....	Naval Air Station Corpus Christi .....	\$79,300,000
	NSA Texas (NSAT) .....	\$347,000,000
Virginia .....	Fort Belvoir .....	\$225,000,000
	Joint Expeditionary Base Little Creek- Fort Story .....	\$32,000,000
	Pentagon .....	\$36,800,000
Washington .....	Naval Air Station Whidbey Island .....	\$54,000,000
	Naval Undersea Warfare Center Keyport ..	\$35,000,000

13 (b) **OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.**—Using amounts  
 14 appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropria-

1 tions in section 2403(a) and available for military con-  
 2 struction projects outside the United States as specified  
 3 in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of De-  
 4 fense may acquire real property and carry out military  
 5 construction projects for the installations or locations out-  
 6 side the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in  
 7 the following table:

**Defense Agencies: Outside the United States**

<b>Country</b>	<b>Installation or Location</b>	<b>Amount</b>
Japan .....	Marine Corps Base Camp Smedley D. Butler .....	\$160,000,000
Korea .....	Kunsan Air Base .....	\$64,942,000
United Kingdom	Royal Air Force Lakenheath .....	\$153,000,000



1 **SEC. 2402. [Log 80392]. AUTHORIZED ENERGY RESILIENCE**  
 2 **AND CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROGRAM**  
 3 **PROJECTS.**

4 (a) **INSIDE THE UNITED STATES.**—Using amounts  
 5 appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropria-  
 6 tions in section 2403(a) and available for energy conserva-  
 7 tion projects as specified in the funding table in section  
 8 4601, the Secretary of Defense may carry out energy con-  
 9 servation projects under chapter 173 of title 10, United  
 10 States Code, for the installations or locations inside the  
 11 United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the fol-  
 12 lowing table:

**ERCIP Projects: Inside the United States**

<b>State</b>	<b>Installation or Location</b>	<b>Amount</b>
Alabama .....	Anniston Army Depot .....	\$56,450,000
Delaware .....	Major Joseph R. “Beau” Biden III National Guard/Reserve Center .....	\$22,050,000
Illinois .....	Rock Island Arsenal .....	\$70,480,000
Indiana .....	Camp Atterbury-Musecatuck .....	\$39,180,000
Maine .....	Naval Shipyard Portsmouth .....	\$28,700,000
Maryland .....	Aberdeen Proving Ground .....	\$30,730,000
	Joint Base Andrews .....	\$17,920,000
New Jersey .....	Joint Base McGuire-Dix-Lakehurst ....	\$17,730,000
Ohio .....	Wright-Patterson Air Force Base .....	\$53,000,000
Washington .....	Joint Base Lewis-McChord-Gray Army Airfield .....	\$40,000,000
	Naval Magazine Indian Island .....	\$39,490,000

13 (b) **OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.**—Using amounts  
 14 appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropria-  
 15 tions in section 2403(a) and available for energy conserva-  
 16 tion projects as specified in the funding table in section  
 17 4601, the Secretary of Defense may carry out energy con-  
 18 servation projects under chapter 173 of title 10, United

1 States Code, for the installations or locations outside the  
 2 United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the fol-  
 3 lowing table:

**ERCIP Projects: Outside the United States**

<b>Country</b>	<b>Installation or Location</b>	<b>Amount</b>
Bahrain .....	Naval Support Activity Bahrain .....	\$15,330,000
Greece .....	Naval Support Activity Souda Bay .....	\$42,500,000
Italy .....	Naval Air Station Sigonella .....	\$13,470,000
Japan .....	Camp Fuji .....	\$45,870,000

4 (c) IMPROVEMENT OF CONVEYED UTILITY SYS-  
 5 TEMS.—In the case of a utility system that is conveyed  
 6 under section 2688 of title 10, United States Code, and  
 7 that only provides utility services to a military installation,  
 8 notwithstanding subchapters I and III of chapter 169 and  
 9 chapters 221 and 223 of title 10, United States Code, the  
 10 Secretary of Defense or the Secretary of a military depart-  
 11 ment may authorize a contract with the conveyee of the  
 12 utility system to carry out the military construction  
 13 projects set forth in the following table:

**Improvement of Conveyed Utility Systems**

<b>State</b>	<b>Installation or Location</b>	<b>Project</b>
Maryland .....	Aberdeen Proving Ground .....	Power Generation and Microgrid
Washington .....	Joint-Base Lewis-McChord Gray Army Air- field .....	Power Generation and Microgrid

1 **SEC. 2403. [Log 80393]. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIA-**  
2 **TIONS, DEFENSE AGENCIES.**

3 (a) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—Funds  
4 are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years  
5 beginning after September 30, 2024, for military con-  
6 struction, land acquisition, and military family housing  
7 functions of the Department of Defense (other than the  
8 military departments), as specified in the funding table  
9 in section 4601.

10 (b) LIMITATION ON TOTAL COST OF CONSTRUCTION  
11 PROJECTS.—Notwithstanding the cost variations author-  
12 ized by section 2853 of title 10, United States Code, and  
13 any other cost variation authorized by law, the total cost  
14 of all projects carried out under sections 2401 and 2402  
15 of this Act may not exceed the total amount authorized  
16 to be appropriated under subsection (a), as specified in  
17 the funding table in section 4601.

1 **SEC. 2404. [Log 80790]. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO**  
 2 **CARRY OUT FISCAL YEAR 2018 PROJECT AT**  
 3 **IWAKUNI, JAPAN.**

4 (a) **EXTENSION.**—Notwithstanding section 2002 of  
 5 the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal  
 6 Year 2018 (division B of Public Law 115–91; 131 Stat.  
 7 1817), the authorization set forth in the table in sub-  
 8 section (b), as provided in section 2401(b) of that Act  
 9 (131 Stat. 1829) and extended by section 2404 of the  
 10 Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year  
 11 2023 (division B of Public Law 117–263; 136 Stat.2984)  
 12 and amended by section 2404 of the Military Construction  
 13 Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2024 (division B of  
 14 Public Law 118–31; 137 Stat. 728), shall remain in effect  
 15 until October 1, 2025, or the date of the enactment of  
 16 an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fis-  
 17 cal year 2026, whichever is later.

18 (b) **TABLE.**—The table referred to in subsection (a)  
 19 is as follows:

**Defense Agencies: Extension of 2018 Project Authorization**

<b>Country</b>	<b>Installation or Location</b>	<b>Project</b>	<b>Original Authorized Amount</b>
Japan .....	Iwakuni .....	PDI: Construct Bulk Storage Tanks PH 1 .....	\$30,800,000

1 **SEC. 2405. [Log 80791]. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO**  
 2 **CARRY OUT FISCAL YEAR 2019 PROJECT AT**  
 3 **IWAKUNI, JAPAN.**

4 (a) **EXTENSION.**—Notwithstanding section 2002 of  
 5 the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal  
 6 Year 2019 (division B of Public Law 115–232; 132 Stat.  
 7 2240), the authorization set forth in the table in sub-  
 8 section (b), as provided in section 2401(b) of that Act  
 9 (132 Stat. 2250) and extended by section 2405(a) of the  
 10 Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year  
 11 2024 (division B of Public Law 118–31; 137 Stat. 729),  
 12 shall remain in effect until October 1, 2025, or the date  
 13 of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military  
 14 construction for fiscal year 2026, whichever is later.

15 (b) **TABLE.**—The table referred to in subsection (a)  
 16 is as follows:

**Defense Agencies: Extension of 2019 Project Authorization**

<b>Country</b>	<b>Installation or Location</b>	<b>Project</b>	<b>Original Authorized Amount</b>
Japan .....	Iwakuni .....	Fuel Pier .....	\$33,200,000

1 **SEC. 2406. [Log 80792]. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO**  
 2 **CARRY OUT FISCAL YEAR 2020 PROJECT AT**  
 3 **FORT INDIANTOWN GAP, PENNSYLVANIA.**

4 (a) **EXTENSION.**—Notwithstanding section 2002 of  
 5 the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal  
 6 Year 2020 (division B of Public Law 116–92; 133 Stat.  
 7 1862), the authorization set forth in the table in sub-  
 8 section (b), as authorized pursuant to section 2402 of such  
 9 Act (133 Stat. 1872), shall remain in effect until October  
 10 1, 2025, or the date of the enactment of an Act author-  
 11 izing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2026,  
 12 whichever is later.

13 (b) **TABLE.**—The table referred to in subsection (a)  
 14 is as follows:

**ERCIP Project: Extension of 2020 Project Authorization**

<b>State/ Country</b>	<b>Installation or Location</b>	<b>Project</b>	<b>Original Au- thorized Amount</b>
Pennsylvania ..	Fort Indiantown Gap ...	Install Geothermal and 413 kW Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Array .....	\$3,950,000

1 **SEC. 2407. [Log 80793]. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO**  
 2 **CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2021**  
 3 **PROJECTS.**

4 (a) **EXTENSION.**—Notwithstanding section 2002 of  
 5 the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal  
 6 Year 2021 (division B of Public Law 116–283; 134 Stat.  
 7 4294), the authorization set forth in the table in sub-  
 8 section (b), as provided in sections 2401(b) and 2402 of  
 9 that Act (134 Stat. 4305, 4306) and extended by sections  
 10 2406 and 2407 of the Military Construction Authorization  
 11 Act for Fiscal Year 2024 (division B of Public Law 118–  
 12 31; 137 Stat. 730), shall remain in effect until October  
 13 1, 2025, or the date of the enactment of an Act author-  
 14 izing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2026,  
 15 whichever is later.

16 (b) **TABLE.**—The table referred to in subsection (a)  
 17 is as follows:

**Defense Agencies and ERCIP Projects: Extension of 2021  
 Project Authorizations**

State/ Country	Installation or Location	Project	Original Au- thorized Amount
Arkansas .....	Ebbing Air National Guard Base .....	PV Arrays and Bat- tery Storage .....	\$2,600,000
California .....	Marine Corps Air Ground Combat Cen- ter Twentynine Palms .....	Install 10 Mw Bat- tery Energy Stor- age for Various Buildings .....	\$11,646,000
	Naval Support Activity Monterey .....	Cogeneration Plant at B236 .....	\$10,540,000
Italy .....	Naval Support Activity Naples .....	Smart Grid .....	\$3,490,000

**Defense Agencies and ERCIP Projects: Extension of 2021  
Project Authorizations—Continued**

<b>State/ Country</b>	<b>Installation or Location</b>	<b>Project</b>	<b>Original Au- thorized Amount</b>
Japan .....	Def Fuel Support Point Tsurumi .....	Fuel Wharf .....	\$49,500,000



1 **SEC. 2408. [Log 80801]. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO**  
2 **CARRY OUT FISCAL YEAR 2022 PROJECT AT**  
3 **JOINT BASE ANACOSTIA-BOLLING, DISTRICT**  
4 **OF COLUMBIA.**

5 In the case of the authorization contained in the table  
6 in section 2402(a) of the Military Construction Authoriza-  
7 tion Act for Fiscal Year 2022 (division B of Public Law  
8 117–81; 135 Stat. 2174) for Joint Base Anacostia-  
9 Bolling, District of Columbia, for construction of PV car-  
10 ports, the Secretary of Defense may install a 1.0-mega-  
11 watt battery energy storage system for a total project  
12 amount of \$40,650,000.

1 **SEC. 2409. [Log 80794]. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO**  
 2 **CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2022**  
 3 **PROJECTS.**

4 (a) **EXTENSION.**—Notwithstanding section 2002 of  
 5 the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal  
 6 Year 2022 (division B of Public Law 117–81; 135 Stat.  
 7 2161), the authorizations set forth in the table in sub-  
 8 section (b), as provided in sections 2401 and 2402 of that  
 9 Act (135 Stat. 2173, 2174), shall remain in effect until  
 10 October 1, 2025, or the date of the enactment of an Act  
 11 authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year  
 12 2026, whichever is later.

13 (b) **TABLE.**—The table referred to in subsection (a)  
 14 is as follows:

**Defense Agencies and ERCIP Projects: Extension of 2022  
 Project Authorizations**

<b>State/ Country</b>	<b>Installation or Location</b>	<b>Project</b>	<b>Original Au- thorized Amount</b>
Alabama .....	Fort Novosel (Formerly Fort Rucker) .....	10 MW RICE Gen- erator Plant and Microgrid Con- trols .....	\$24,000,000
California .....	Marine Corps Air Sta- tion Miramar .....	Additional LFG Power Meter Sta- tion .....	\$4,054,000
	Naval Air Weapons Station China Lake- Ridgecrest .....	Solar Energy Stor- age System .....	\$9,120,000
Georgia .....	Fort Moore (Formerly Fort Benning) .....	4.8 MW Generation and Microgrid .....	\$17,593,000
	Fort Stewart .....	10 MW Generation Plant, with Microgrid Control	\$22,000,000
Guam .....	Polaris Point Sub- marine Base .....	Inner Apra Harbor Resiliency Up- grades Ph 1. ....	\$38,300,000

**Defense Agencies and ERCIP Projects: Extension of 2022  
Project Authorizations—Continued**

<b>State/ Country</b>	<b>Installation or Location</b>	<b>Project</b>	<b>Original Au- thorized Amount</b>
Michigan .....	Camp Grayling .....	650 KW Gas-Fired Micro-Turbine Generation Sys- tem .....	\$5,700,000
Mississippi .....	Camp Shelby .....	10 MW Generation Plant an Feeder level Microgrid System .....	\$34,500,000
	Camp Shelby .....	Electrical Distribu- tion Infrastruc- ture Under- grounding Hard- ening Project .....	\$11,155,000
New York .....	Fort Drum .....	Wellfield Field Ex- pansion Project ...	\$27,000,000
North Carolina	Fort Liberty (Formerly Fort Bragg) .....	10 MW Microgrid Utilizing Existing and New Genera- tors .....	\$19,464,000
	Fort Liberty (Formerly Fort Bragg) .....	Emergency Water System .....	\$7,705,000
Ohio .....	Springfield-Beekley Mu- nicipal Airport .....	Base-Wide Microgrid With Natural Gas Generator, Photo- voltaic and Bat- tery Storage .....	\$4,700,000
Puerto Rico ...	Aguadilla .....	Microgrid Control System, 460 KW PV, 275 KW Gen- erator, 660 Kwh Bess .....	\$10,120,000
	Fort Allen .....	Microgrid Control System, 690 KW PV, 275 KW Gen, 570 Kwh Bess ....	\$12,190,000
Tennessee .....	Memphis International Airport .....	PV Arrays and Bat- tery Storage .....	\$4,780,000
United King- dom .....	Royal Air Force Lakenheath .....	Hospital Replace- ment-Temporary Facilities .....	\$19,283,000
Virginia .....	National Geospatial-Int- elligence Agency Campus East .....	Electrical System Redundancy .....	\$5,299,000

1 **Subtitle A—North Atlantic Treaty**  
2 **Organization Security Invest-**  
3 **ment Program**

4 **SEC. 2501. [Log 80394]. AUTHORIZED NATO CONSTRUCTION**  
5 **AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.**

6 The Secretary of Defense may make contributions for  
7 the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Invest-  
8 ment Program as provided in section 2806 of title 10,  
9 United States Code, in an amount not to exceed the sum  
10 of the amount authorized to be appropriated for this pur-  
11 pose in section 2502 and the amount collected from the  
12 North Atlantic Treaty Organization as a result of con-  
13 struction previously financed by the United States.

1 **SEC. 2502. [Log 80395]. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIA-**  
2 **TIONS, NATO.**

3 Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for  
4 fiscal years beginning after September 30, 2024, for con-  
5 tributions by the Secretary of Defense under section 2806  
6 of title 10, United States Code, for the share of the United  
7 States of the cost of projects for the North Atlantic Treaty  
8 Organization Security Investment Program authorized by  
9 section 2501 as specified in the funding table in section  
10 4601.

1 **Subtitle B—Host Country In-Kind**  
 2 **Contributions**

3 **SEC. 2511. [Log 80795]. REPUBLIC OF KOREA FUNDED CON-**  
 4 **STRUCTION PROJECTS.**

5 Pursuant to agreement with the Republic of Korea  
 6 for required in-kind contributions, the Secretary of De-  
 7 fense may accept military construction projects for the in-  
 8 stallations or locations in the Republic of Korea, and in  
 9 the amounts, set forth in the following table:

**Republic of Korea Funded Construction Projects**

<b>Component</b>	<b>Installation or Location</b>	<b>Project</b>	<b>Amount</b>
Army .....	Camp Carroll .....	MSC-K Paint Removal Booth .....	\$9,400,000
Army .....	Camp Carroll .....	Tactical Equipment Maintenance Facility (TEMF) .....	\$72,000,000
Army .....	Camp Walker .....	Elementary School .....	\$46,000,000
Army .....	USAG Humphreys .....	Embedded Behavioral Health Clinic .....	\$10,000,000
Army .....	USAG Humphreys .....	General Support Aviation Battalion Hangar .....	\$180,000,000
Navy .....	Chinhae .....	Upgrade Main Access Control Point .....	\$9,200,000
Air Force .....	Daegu AB .....	Upgrade Water Distribution System .....	\$9,600,000
Air Force .....	Kunsan AB .....	Combat Small Arms Range	\$31,000,000
Air Force .....	Kunsan AB .....	Fighter Squadron and Fighter Generation Squadron Operations Facility .....	\$46,000,000
Air Force .....	Osan AB .....	Distributed Mission Operations (DMO) Flight Simulator .....	\$15,000,000

1 **SEC. 2512. [Log 80796]. REPUBLIC OF POLAND FUNDED**  
 2 **CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS.**

3 Pursuant to agreement with the Republic of Poland  
 4 for required in-kind contributions, the Secretary of De-  
 5 fense may accept military construction projects for the in-  
 6 stallations or locations in the Republic of Poland, and in  
 7 the amounts, set forth in the following table:

**Republic of Poland Funded Construction Projects**

<b>Component</b>	<b>Installation or Location</b>	<b>Project</b>	<b>Amount</b>
Air Force .....	Lask AB .....	AT/FP Upgrades for PPI Mission .....	\$22,000,000
Air Force .....	Lask AB .....	Connecting Taxiways for RPA Mission .....	\$18,000,000
Air Force .....	Lask AB .....	Ground Comms and Data Support Area for RPA Mission .....	\$5,000,000
Air Force .....	Lask AB .....	Maintenance Hangar for PPI Mission .....	\$69,000,000
Air Force .....	Lask AB .....	RPA Parking Apron .....	\$18,000,000
Air Force .....	Wroclaw AB .....	AT/FP Upgrades for APOD Mission .....	\$46,000,000
Air Force .....	Wroclaw AB .....	Comms Infrastructure for APOD Mission .....	\$10,000,000

1 **SEC. 2601. [Log 80397]. AUTHORIZED ARMY NATIONAL**  
 2 **GUARD CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISSI-**  
 3 **TION PROJECTS.**

4 Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the author-  
 5 ization of appropriations in section 2606 and available for  
 6 the National Guard and Reserve as specified in the fund-  
 7 ing table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Army may  
 8 acquire real property and carry out military construction  
 9 projects for the Army National Guard locations inside the  
 10 United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the fol-  
 11 lowing table:

**Army National Guard**

<b>State or Terri- tory</b>	<b>Installation or Location</b>	<b>Amount</b>
Alaska .....	Fort Richardson .....	\$67,000,000
Iowa .....	Sioux City Armory .....	\$13,800,000
Louisiana .....	Lafayette Readiness Center .....	\$33,000,000
Mississippi .....	Southaven Readiness Center .....	\$33,000,000
Montana .....	Malta Readiness Center .....	\$14,800,000
Nevada .....	Hawthorne Army Depot .....	\$18,000,000
New Jersey .....	Vineland .....	\$23,000,000
Oklahoma .....	Shawnee Readiness Center .....	\$29,000,000
Puerto Rico .....	Gurabo Readiness Center .....	\$63,000,000
Utah .....	Nephi Readiness Center .....	\$20,000,000
Washington .....	Camp Murray .....	\$40,000,000



1 **SEC. 2602. [Log 80398]. AUTHORIZED ARMY RESERVE CON-**  
 2 **STRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION**  
 3 **PROJECTS.**

4 Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the author-  
 5 ization of appropriations in section 2606 and available for  
 6 the National Guard and Reserve as specified in the fund-  
 7 ing table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Army may  
 8 acquire real property and carry out military construction  
 9 projects for the Army Reserve locations inside the United  
 10 States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following  
 11 table:

**Army Reserve: Inside the United States**

<b>State or Territory</b>	<b>Installation or Loca- tion</b>	<b>Amount</b>
California .....	Bell .....	\$55,000,000
	Camp Parks .....	\$42,000,000
Georgia .....	Dobbins Air Reserve Base.	\$78,000,000
Kentucky .....	Fort Knox .....	\$138,000,000
Massachusetts .....	Devens Reserve Forces Training Area.	\$39,000,000
New Jersey .....	Joint Base McGuire- Dix-Lakehurst.	\$16,000,000
Pennsylvania .....	Wilkes-Barre .....	\$22,000,000
Puerto Rico .....	Fort Buchanan .....	\$39,000,000
Virginia .....	Richmond .....	\$23,000,000

1 **SEC. 2603. [Log 80399]. AUTHORIZED NAVY RESERVE AND**  
 2 **MARINE CORPS RESERVE CONSTRUCTION**  
 3 **AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.**

4 Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the author-  
 5 ization of appropriations in section 2606 and available for  
 6 the National Guard and Reserve as specified in the fund-  
 7 ing table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Navy may  
 8 acquire real property and carry out military construction  
 9 projects for the Navy Reserve and Marine Corps Reserve  
 10 location inside the United States, and in the amount, set  
 11 forth in the following table:

**Navy Reserve and Marine Corps Reserve**

State	Installation or Location	Amount
Texas .....	Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base Fort Worth.	\$75,000,000
Washington .....	Joint Base Lewis-McChord.	\$26,610,000

1 **SEC. 2604. [Log 80400]. AUTHORIZED AIR NATIONAL GUARD**  
 2 **CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION**  
 3 **PROJECTS.**

4 Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the author-  
 5 ization of appropriations in section 2606 and available for  
 6 the National Guard and Reserve as specified in the fund-  
 7 ing table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Air Force  
 8 may acquire real property and carry out military construc-  
 9 tion projects for the Air National Guard locations inside  
 10 the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the  
 11 following table:

**Air National Guard**

State	Installation or Location	Amount
Alaska .....	Joint Base Elmendorf-Richardson.	\$19,300,000
California .....	Moffett Air Field .....	\$12,600,000
Florida .....	Jacksonville International Airport.	\$26,200,000
Hawaii .....	Hickam Air Force Base	\$36,600,000
New Jersey .....	Atlantic City International Airport.	\$18,000,000
New York .....	Francis S. Gabreski Airport.	\$14,000,000
Texas .....	Fort Worth .....	\$13,100,000

1 **SEC. 2605. [Log 80401]. AUTHORIZED AIR FORCE RESERVE**  
 2 **CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION**  
 3 **PROJECTS.**

4 Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the author-  
 5 ization of appropriations in section 2606 and available for  
 6 the National Guard and Reserve as specified in the fund-  
 7 ing table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Air Force  
 8 may acquire real property and carry out military construc-  
 9 tion projects for the Air Force Reserve locations inside  
 10 the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the  
 11 following table:

**Air Force Reserve**

State	Location	Amount
Delaware .....	Dover Air Force Base ..	\$42,000,000
Georgia .....	Dobbins Air Reserve Base.	\$22,000,000
Indiana .....	Grissom Air Reserve Base.	\$21,000,000
Ohio .....	Youngstown Air Re- serve Station.	\$25,000,000

1 **SEC. 2606. [Log 80402]. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIA-**  
2 **TIONS, NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVE.**

3 Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for  
4 fiscal years beginning after September 30, 2024, for the  
5 costs of acquisition, architectural and engineering services,  
6 and construction of facilities for the Guard and Reserve  
7 Forces, and for contributions therefor, under chapter  
8 1803 of title 10, United States Code (including the cost  
9 of acquisition of land for those facilities), as specified in  
10 the funding table in section 4601.

1 **SEC. 2607. [Log 80797]. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO**  
 2 **CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2020**  
 3 **PROJECTS.**

4 (a) **EXTENSION.**—Notwithstanding section 2002 of  
 5 the Military Construction Defense Authorization Act for  
 6 Fiscal Year 2020 (division B of Public Law 116–92; 133  
 7 Stat. 1862), the authorizations set forth in the table in  
 8 subsection (b), as provided in section 2601 of that Act  
 9 (133 Stat. 1875), shall remain in effect until October 1,  
 10 2025, or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing  
 11 funds for military construction for fiscal year 2026, which-  
 12 ever is later.

13 (b) **TABLE.**—The table referred to in subsection (a)  
 14 is as follows:

**National Guard and Reserve: Extension of 2020 Project Authorizations**

<b>State/ Country</b>	<b>Installation or Location</b>	<b>Project</b>	<b>Original Au- thorized Amount</b>
California .....	Camp Roberts .....	Automated Multi- purpose Machine Gun (MPMG) Range .....	\$12,000,000
Massachusetts	Camp Edwards .....	Automated Multi- purpose Machine Gun (MPMG) Range .....	\$9,700,000
Pennsylvania ..	Moon Township .....	Combined Support Maintenance Shop	\$23,000,000

1 **SEC. 2608. [Log 80798]. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO**  
 2 **CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2021**  
 3 **PROJECTS.**

4 (a) **EXTENSION.**—Notwithstanding section 2002 of  
 5 the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal  
 6 Year 2021 (Division B of Public Law 116–283; 134 Stat.  
 7 4294), the authorizations set forth in the table in sub-  
 8 section (b), as provided in sections 2601 and 2602 of that  
 9 Act (134 Stat. 4312, 4313) and extended by section 2609  
 10 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal  
 11 Year 2024 (division B of Public Law 118–31; 137 Stat.  
 12 738), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2025, or the  
 13 date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for mili-  
 14 tary construction for fiscal year 2026, whichever is later.

15 (b) **TABLE.**—The table referred to in subsection (a)  
 16 is as follows:

**National Guard and Reserve: Extension of 2021 Project  
 Authorizations**

State/ Country	Installation or Location	Project	Original Au- thorized Amount
Arkansas .....	Fort Chaffee .....	National Guard Readiness Center	\$15,000,000
California .....	Bakersfield .....	National Guard Ve- hicle Maintenance Shop .....	\$9,300,000
Massachusetts	Devens Reserve Forces Training Area .....	Automated Multi- purpose Machine Gun Range .....	\$8,700,000
North Carolina	Asheville .....	Army Reserve Cen- ter .....	\$24,000,000
Puerto Rico ....	Fort Allen .....	National Guard Readiness Center	\$37,000,000
South Carolina	Joint Base Charleston	National Guard Readiness Center	\$15,000,000

**National Guard and Reserve: Extension of 2021 Project  
Authorizations—Continued**

<b>State/ Country</b>	<b>Installation or Location</b>	<b>Project</b>	<b>Original Au- thorized Amount</b>
Texas .....	Fort Worth .....	Aircraft Mainte- nance Hangar Ad- dition/Alt .....	\$6,000,000
Virgin Islands	St. Croix .....	Army Aviation Sup- port Facility (AASF) .....	\$28,000,000
	St. Croix .....	CST Ready Building	\$11,400,000



1 **SEC. 2609. [Log 80799]. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO**  
2 **CARRY OUT FISCAL YEAR 2022 PROJECT FOR**  
3 **NATIONAL GUARD READINESS CENTER.**

4 In the case of the authorization contained in the table  
5 in section 2601 of the Military Construction Authorization  
6 Act for Fiscal Year 2022 (division B of Public Law 117–  
7 81; 135 Stat. 2178) for Bennington National Guard Ar-  
8 mory, Vermont, for construction of a National Guard  
9 Readiness Center as specified in the funding table in sec-  
10 tion 4601 of such Act, the Secretary of the Army may  
11 construct the National Guard Readiness Center in Lyn-  
12 don, Vermont.

1 **SEC. 2610. [Log 80800]. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO**  
 2 **CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2022**  
 3 **PROJECTS.**

4 (a) **EXTENSION.**—Notwithstanding section 2002 of  
 5 the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal  
 6 Year 2022 (Division B of Public Law 117–81; 135 Stat.  
 7 2161), the authorizations set forth in the table in sub-  
 8 section (b), as provided in sections 2601, 2602, 2604 and  
 9 2605 of that Act (135 Stat. 2178, 2179, 2180) and  
 10 amended by section 2607(1) of the Military Construction  
 11 Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2023 (division B of  
 12 Public Law 117–263; 136 Stat. 2988), shall remain in ef-  
 13 fect until October 1, 2026, or the date of the enactment  
 14 of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for  
 15 fiscal year 2027, whichever is later.

16 (b) **TABLE.**—The table referred to in subsection (a)  
 17 is as follows:

**National Guard and Reserve: Extension of 2022 Project Authorizations**

<b>State</b>	<b>Installation or Location</b>	<b>Project</b>	<b>Original Authorized Amount</b>
Alabama .....	Huntsville Readiness Center .....	National Guard Readiness Center	\$17,000,000
Georgia .....	Fort Moore (Formerly Fort Benning) .....	Post-Initial Mil. Training Unaccompanied Housing .....	\$13,200,000
Indiana .....	Grissom Air Reserve Base .....	Logistics Readiness Complex .....	\$29,000,000
Massachusetts	Barnes Air National Guard Base .....	Combined Engine/ ASE/NDI Shop ...	\$12,200,000

**National Guard and Reserve: Extension of 2022 Project  
Authorizations—Continued**

<b>State</b>	<b>Installation or Location</b>	<b>Project</b>	<b>Original Authorized Amount</b>
Mississippi .....	Jackson International Airport .....	Fire Crash and Rescue Station .....	\$9,300,000
New York .....	Francis S. Gabreski Airport .....	Base Civil Engineer Complex .....	\$14,800,000
Ohio .....	Wright-Patterson Air Force Base .....	AR Center Training Building/ UHS ...	\$19,000,000
Vermont .....	Bennington National Guard Armory .....	National Guard Readiness Center	\$16,900,000
Wisconsin .....	Fort McCoy .....	Transient Training Officer Barracks	\$29,200,000
Wyoming .....	Cheyenne Municipal Airport .....	Combined Vehicle Maintenance and ASE Complex .....	\$13,400,000

1 **SEC. 2701. [Log 80404]. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIA-**  
2 **TIONS FOR BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLO-**  
3 **SURE ACTIVITIES FUNDED THROUGH DE-**  
4 **PARTMENT OF DEFENSE BASE CLOSURE AC-**  
5 **COUNT.**

6 Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for  
7 fiscal years beginning after September 30, 2024, for base  
8 realignment and closure activities, including real property  
9 acquisition and military construction projects, as author-  
10 ized by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act  
11 of 1990 (part A of title XXIX of Public Law 101–510;  
12 10 U.S.C. 2687 note) and funded through the Department  
13 of Defense Base Closure Account established by section  
14 2906 of such Act (as amended by section 2711 of the Mili-  
15 tary Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013  
16 (division B of Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2140), as  
17 specified in the funding table in section 4601.

1     **Subtitle A—Military Construction**  
2                             **Programs**

3     **SEC. 2801. [Log 80649]. DEVELOPMENT AND OPERATION OF**  
4                             **THE NAVAL INNOVATION CENTER AT THE**  
5                             **NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL.**

6             Chapter 855 of title 10, United States Code, is  
7     amended by adding at the end the following new section:

8     **“§ 8551. Development and operation of the Naval In-**  
9                             **novation Center at the Naval Post-**  
10                            **graduate School**

11            “(a) AUTHORITY TO SUPPORT THE NAVAL INNOVA-  
12     TION CENTER.—(1) The Secretary of the Navy may enter  
13     into a contract or other agreement with one or more eligi-  
14     ble nonprofit organizations for the design, construction,  
15     and maintenance of a multipurpose facility—

16                    “(A) to be known as the ‘Naval Innovation Cen-  
17     ter’ (in this section referred to as the ‘NIC’); and

18                    “(B) to be located at the United States Naval  
19     Postgraduate School.

20            “(2) The NIC shall be used—

21                    “(A) to convene interested persons to develop  
22     and accelerate the adoption of new and innovative  
23     technologies and practices for the benefit of the De-  
24     partment of Defense; and

1           “(B) to support such education, training, re-  
2           search, and associated activities, as determined by  
3           the Secretary, in support of the Naval Postgraduate  
4           School and the Department of Defense.

5           “(b) FUNDS.—Under the contract or other agree-  
6           ment described in paragraph (1), the Secretary may—

7           “(1) accept funds from a partner organization  
8           for any phase of development of the NIC; and

9           “(2) accept funds, personal property, or services  
10          from a covered entity that is not a partner organiza-  
11          tion for maintenance of the NIC.

12          “(c) AUTHORITY TO ACCEPT GIFTS.—(1) The Sec-  
13          retary of the Navy may accept, hold, administer, and  
14          spend any gift, device, or bequest of real property, per-  
15          sonal property, services, or money on the condition that  
16          the gift, device, or bequest be used for the benefit, or in  
17          connection with, the establishment, operation, or mainte-  
18          nance of the NIC. Section 2601 (other than subsections  
19          (b), (c), and (e)) of this title shall apply to gifts accepted  
20          under this subsection.

21          “(2) The Secretary may display at the NIC recogni-  
22          tion for an individual or entity that contributes money to  
23          a partner organization or for a corporate partner that con-  
24          tributes money directly to the Navy for the benefit of the  
25          NIC, whether or not the contribution is subject to the con-

1 dition that the recognition be provided. The Secretary  
2 shall prescribe regulations governing the circumstances  
3 under which contributor recognition may be provided, ap-  
4 propriate forms of recognition, and suitable display stand-  
5 ards.

6 “(3) The Secretary may authorize the sale of donated  
7 property received under paragraph (1). A sale under this  
8 paragraph need not be conducted in accordance with dis-  
9 posal requirements that would otherwise apply, so long as  
10 the sale is conducted at arms-length and includes an  
11 auditable transaction record.

12 “(4) Any money received under paragraph (1) and  
13 any proceeds from the sale of property under paragraph  
14 (3) shall be deposited into a fund established in the Treas-  
15 ury to support the NIC.

16 “(d) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The  
17 Secretary of the Navy may require such additional terms  
18 and conditions in connection with a contract or other  
19 agreement described in subsection (a) as the Secretary  
20 considers appropriate to protect the interests of the  
21 United States.

22 “(e) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

23 “(1) The term ‘eligible nonprofit organization’  
24 means an organization that —

1           “(A) is described in section 501(c)(3) of  
2           the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and that is  
3           exempt from taxation under section 501(a) of  
4           such Code; and

5           “(B) has as its primary purpose the sup-  
6           port and operation of the Naval Postgraduate  
7           School.

8           “(2) The term ‘partner organization’ means an  
9           eligible nonprofit organization with which the Sec-  
10          retary of the Navy enters into a contract or other  
11          agreement under subsection (a).

12          “(3) The term ‘covered entity’ means—

13                 “(A) an entity incorporated or operating  
14                 under the laws of any State; or

15                 “(B) a nonprofit organization.”.



1 **SEC. 2803.[Log 80652]. EXPANSION OF ELIGIBLE GRANT RE-**  
2 **CIPIENTS UNDER THE DEFENSE COMMUNITY**  
3 **INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAM.**

4 (a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (d) of section 2391 of  
5 title 10, United States Code, is amended—

6 (1) in paragraph (1)(A), by striking “State and  
7 local governments” and inserting “State govern-  
8 ments, local governments, and not-for-profit, mem-  
9 ber-owned utility services”; and

10 (2) in paragraph (2)—

11 (A) in subparagraph (A), by striking “the  
12 State or local government agree” and inserting  
13 “the recipient of such assistance agrees”; and

14 (B) in subparagraph (B)—

15 (i) in the matter preceding clause (i),  
16 by striking “in a rural area or the Sec-  
17 retary of Defense” and inserting “in a  
18 rural area or a covered insular area, or if  
19 the Secretary of Defense”;

20 (ii) in clause (i), by striking “a State  
21 or local government” and inserting “the  
22 recipient of assistance under this sub-  
23 section”; and

24 (iii) in clause (ii), by striking “a State  
25 or local government contribution” and in-

1                   serting “the contribution of such recipi-  
2                   ent”.

3           (b) COVERED INSULAR AREA DEFINED.—Subsection  
4 (e) of such section is amended by adding at the end the  
5 following new paragraph:

6                   “(7) The term ‘covered insular area’ means the  
7           Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, American Samoa,  
8           Guam, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana  
9           Islands, and the Virgin Islands.”.

10          (c)           TECHNICAL           AMENDMENT.—Section  
11 2391(d)(1)(B)(iii) of such title is amended by striking  
12 “section 101(e)(8) of this title” and inserting “section 101  
13 of this title”.

1 **SEC. 2804.[Log 80651]. ANNUAL FIVE-YEAR PLANS ON IM-**  
2 **PROVEMENT OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**  
3 **INNOVATION INFRASTRUCTURE.**

4 Section 2810 of title 10, United States Code, is  
5 amended by adding at the end the following new sub-  
6 section:

7 “(e) ANNUAL FIVE YEAR-PLANS ON IMPROVEMENT  
8 OF INNOVATION INFRASTRUCTURE.—

9 “(1) SUBMISSION.—Along with the budget for  
10 each fiscal year submitted by the President pursuant  
11 to section 1105(a) of title 31, each Secretary of a  
12 military department and the Secretary of Defense  
13 shall submit to the congressional defense committees  
14 a plan that describes the objectives of that Secretary  
15 to improve innovation infrastructure during the five  
16 fiscal years following the fiscal year for which such  
17 budget is submitted.

18 “(2) ELEMENTS.—Each plan submitted by a  
19 Secretary of a military department under paragraph  
20 (1) shall include the following:

21 “(A) With respect to the five-year period  
22 covered by the plan, an identification of the  
23 major lines of effort, milestones, and investment  
24 goals of the Secretary over such period relating  
25 to the improvement of innovation infrastructure

1 and a description of how such goals support  
2 such goals, including the use of—

3 “(i) military construction, facilities  
4 restoration and modernization funds,

5 “(ii) the defense lab modernization  
6 program under section 2805(d); and

7 “(iii) military construction projects for  
8 innovation, research, development, test,  
9 and evaluation under section 2810 of this  
10 title.

11 “(B) The estimated costs of necessary in-  
12 novation infrastructure improvements and a de-  
13 scription of how such costs would be addressed  
14 by the Department of Defense budget request  
15 submitted during the same year as the plan and  
16 the applicable future-years defense program.

17 “(C) Information regarding the plan of the  
18 Secretary to initiate such environmental and en-  
19 gineering studies as may be necessary to carry  
20 out planned innovation infrastructure improve-  
21 ments.

22 “(D) Detailed information regarding how  
23 innovation infrastructure improvement projects  
24 will be paced and sequenced to ensure contin-  
25 uous operations.

1           “(3) INCORPORATION OF RESULTS-ORIENTED  
2           MANAGEMENT PRACTICES.—Each plan under sub-  
3           section (a) shall incorporate the leading results-ori-  
4           ented management practices identified in the report  
5           of the Comptroller General of the United States ti-  
6           tled ‘Actions Needed to Improve Poor Conditions of  
7           Facilities and Equipment that Affect Maintenance  
8           Timeliness and Efficiency’ (GAO–19–242), or any  
9           successor report, including—

10                   “(A) analytically based goals;

11                   “(B) results-oriented metrics;

12                   “(C) the identification of required re-  
13                   sources, risks, and stakeholders; and

14                   “(D) regular reporting on progress to deci-  
15                   sion makers.

16           “(4) INNOVATIVE INFRASTRUCTURE DE-  
17           FINED.—In this subsection, the term ‘innovation in-  
18           frastructure’ includes laboratories, test and evalua-  
19           tion ranges, and any other infrastructure whose pri-  
20           mary purpose is research, development, test, and  
21           evaluation.”.

1 **SEC. 2805.[Log 80547]. EXPANSION OF STORMWATER MAN-**  
2 **AGEMENT PROJECTS FOR INSTALLATION**  
3 **AND DEFENSE ACCESS ROAD RESILIENCE;**  
4 **MODIFICATION OF PROJECT PRIORITIES.**

5 Section 2815a of title 10, United States Code, is  
6 amended—

7 (1) in subsection (a)—

8 (A) in paragraph (1), by striking “and” at  
9 the end;

10 (B) in paragraph (2), by striking the pe-  
11 riod and inserting “; and”; and

12 (C) by adding at the end the following:

13 “(3) providing water storage or otherwise sup-  
14 porting water resilience at military installations.”;

15 (2) in subsection (b)—

16 (A) by redesignating paragraphs (5), (6),  
17 and (7) as paragraphs (6), (7), and (8), respec-  
18 tively; and

19 (B) by inserting after paragraph (4) the  
20 following:

21 “(5) A military installation resilience project  
22 under section 2684a of this title.”;

23 (3) by striking subsection (c) and inserting the  
24 following:

25 “(c) **PROJECT PRIORITIES.**—In selecting stormwater  
26 management projects to be carried out under this section,

1 the Secretary concerned shall give a priority to project  
2 proposals for—

3 “(1) minimizing the runoff of untreated  
4 stormwater into freshwater systems;

5 “(2) protecting military installations and de-  
6 fense access roads from stormwater runoff and  
7 water levels resulting from extreme weather condi-  
8 tions; and

9 “(3) supporting water resilience at military in-  
10 stallations.”;

11 (4) in subsection (d)—

12 (A) by redesignating paragraphs (2) and  
13 (3) as paragraphs (3) and (4), respectively; and

14 (B) by inserting after paragraph (1) the  
15 following:

16 “(2) The capture or storage of stormwater for  
17 use in supporting water resilience at a military in-  
18 stallation.”; and

19 (5) in subsection (e)—

20 (A) by striking “In the case of” and in-  
21 serting “(1) In the case of”;

22 (B) by striking “section 2391(d),” and in-  
23 serting “section 2391, 2684,”; and

24 (C) by adding at the end the following new  
25 paragraph:

1           “(2) The Assistant Secretary of Defense for Energy,  
2 Installations, and Environment shall designate an official  
3 to be responsible for coordinating regional stormwater  
4 management among the military departments.”.



1           **Subtitle B—Military Housing**  
2                           **Reforms**

3   **SEC. 2811. [Log 80936]. MODIFICATION TO DEFINITION OF**  
4                           **PRIVATIZED MILITARY HOUSING.**

5           Section 3001(a)(2) of the National Defense Author-  
6    ization Act for Fiscal Year 2020 (Public Law 116-92; 10  
7    U.S.C. 2821 note) is amended by striking “military hous-  
8    ing provided” and inserting “military housing that is not  
9    Government-owned that is provided”.

1 **SEC. 2812. [Log 80520]. ANALYSIS OF HOUSING AVAIL-**  
2 **ABILITY FOR CRITICAL CIVILIAN AND CON-**  
3 **TRACTOR PERSONNEL NEAR RURAL MILI-**  
4 **TARY INSTALLATIONS.**

5 Not later than one year after the date of the enact-  
6 ment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall revise the  
7 Department of Defense Manual 4165.63-M titled “DoD  
8 Housing Management” issued October 28, 2010, to re-  
9 quire an analysis of the availability of suitable housing lo-  
10 cated in close proximity to a military installation (as de-  
11 fined in section 2801 of title 10, United States Code) in  
12 a rural location for civilian personnel and defense contrac-  
13 tors that provide critical functions for the operations of  
14 such military installation, as determined by the Secretary.

1           **Subtitle C—Real Property and**  
2           **Facilities Administration**

3   **SEC. 2821. [Log 80254]. PROCESS FOR STRATEGIC BASING**  
4                   **ACTIONS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR**  
5                   **FORCE.**

6           Chapter 141 of title 10, United States Code, is  
7   amended by inserting after section 2391 the following new  
8   section:

9   **“§ 2392. Process for strategic basing actions for the**  
10                   **Department of the Air Force**

11           “(a) **BASING ACTION REQUESTS.**—(1) An action pro-  
12   ponent desiring the Secretary of the Air Force to under-  
13   take a basing action shall submit to the Assistant Sec-  
14   retary of the Air Force for Energy, Installations, and En-  
15   vironment a basing action request.

16           “(2) The Assistant Secretary shall coordinate with  
17   the Deputy Chief of Staff for Strategy and Requirements  
18   of the Air Force on the assessment and resolution of a  
19   basing action request.

20           “(b) **ASSESSMENT OF BASING ACTION REQUEST.**—  
21   (1) The Assistant Secretary shall assess a request sub-  
22   mitted under subsection (a) to determine whether the bas-  
23   ing action described in such request is a strategic basing  
24   action.

1           “(2) Not later than 14 days after the Assistant Sec-  
2 retary makes a determination with respect to such a bas-  
3 ing action, the Assistant Secretary shall submit to the  
4 Committees on Armed Services of the House of Represent-  
5 atives and the Senate a notification of such determination.

6           “(3)(A) Upon determining that a basing action de-  
7 scribed in a request submitted under subsection (a) is a  
8 strategic basing action, the Secretary of the Air Force may  
9 not carry out such strategic basing action pursuant to the  
10 process established for a programmatic basing decision (as  
11 described in subsection (h)) until the Secretary notifies the  
12 congressional defense committees of the determination to  
13 use a programmatic basing decision process for such bas-  
14 ing action request.

15           “(B) Upon designation of a Strategic Basing Lead  
16 for a basing action request submitted under subsection  
17 (a), the Secretary of the Air Force may not implement  
18 such request pursuant to the processes established for a  
19 programmatic basing decision (as described in subsection  
20 (h)).

21           “(c) CRITERIA FOR STRATEGIC BASING ACTION.—  
22 (1)(A) Upon determining that a basing action described  
23 in a request submitted under subsection (a) is a strategic  
24 basing action, the Assistant Secretary shall designate a  
25 Strategic Basing Lead to, for each such request—

1           “(i) develop a list of military installations  
2           under the jurisdiction of the Secretary of the  
3           Air Force at which the strategic basic action  
4           may be implemented;

5           “(ii) develop criteria to determine the suit-  
6           ability of each military installation on such list  
7           for the strategic basing action, including cri-  
8           teria relating to mission requirements, capacity  
9           of each military installation to support the stra-  
10          tegic basing action, environmental consider-  
11          ations, and cost;

12          “(iii) assign a weight to each criteria devel-  
13          oped under clause (ii); and

14          “(iv) if required, request modifications of  
15          the criteria or weight of criteria from the Stra-  
16          tegic Basing Panel.

17          “(B) The Strategic Basing Lead shall submit to the  
18          Strategic Basing Panel a report containing the informa-  
19          tion described in subparagraph (A).

20          “(2)(A) Not later than 30 days after receipt of report  
21          required under paragraph (1), the Strategic Basing Panel  
22          shall review such report and make a determination wheth-  
23          er to approve or reject the list of military installations,  
24          the criteria developed, and the weights assigned such cri-  
25          teria under such paragraph.

1       “(B) If the Strategic Basing Panel rejects such list,  
2 criteria, or weights, the Assistant Secretary shall require  
3 the Strategic Basing Lead to redevelop such list, redevelop  
4 such criteria, or reassign such weights (as appropriate)  
5 and submit the modified criteria or weights to the Stra-  
6 tegic Basing Panel for a subsequent review to be con-  
7 ducted in accordance with subparagraph (A).

8       “(C) There shall be no limitation on the number of  
9 times the Assistant Secretary may require the Strategic  
10 Basing Lead to redevelop such list, redevelop such criteria,  
11 or reassign such weights (as appropriate).

12       “(D) The Strategic Basing Panel shall submit to the  
13 Strategic Basing Group a report that includes the ap-  
14 proved list of military installations, criteria developed, and  
15 weights assigned such criteria.

16       “(3)(A) The Strategic Basing Group shall review the  
17 report submitted under paragraph (2)(D) and submit to  
18 the Assistant Secretary a determination of whether to ap-  
19 prove or reject such report.

20       “(B) If the Strategic Basing Group rejects the inclu-  
21 sion of a military installation, the criteria developed, or  
22 the weights assigned such criteria in the report, the Assis-  
23 tant Secretary shall require the Strategic Basing Panel to  
24 submit to the Strategic Basing Group a modified report

1 for a subsequent review to be conducted in accordance  
2 with subparagraph (A).

3 “(C) There shall be no limitation on the number of  
4 times the Assistant Secretary may require the Strategic  
5 Basing Panel to submit to Strategic Basing Group a modi-  
6 fied report.

7 “(D) The Strategic Basing Group shall submit to the  
8 Assistant Secretary a report that includes the approved  
9 list of military installations, criteria developed, and  
10 weights assigned such criteria.

11 “(4) Not later than 14 days after the date of receipt  
12 of the report under paragraph (3)(D), the Assistant Sec-  
13 retary shall provide to the Committees on Armed Services  
14 of the House of Representatives and the Senate a briefing  
15 on—

16 “(A) the work of the Strategic Basing Lead;

17 “(B) the list of military installations under the  
18 jurisdiction of the Secretary of the Air Force at  
19 which the strategic basic action may be imple-  
20 mented; and

21 “(C) the criteria developed under paragraph  
22 (1)(A) and the weight assigned to such criteria, as  
23 approved by the Strategic Basing Group.

24 “(5)(A) If the Strategic Basing Lead modifies the list  
25 of military installations, the criteria developed, or the

1 weight assigned to such criteria under paragraph (1), or  
2 requests a modification pursuant to paragraph (1)(A)(iv),  
3 after the date of the briefing required under paragraph  
4 (4), the Strategic Basing Lead shall submit to the Stra-  
5 tegic Basing Panel a report describing such modifications.

6 “(B) The Assistant Secretary shall—

7 “(i) notify the Committees on Armed Services  
8 of the House of Representatives and the Senate of  
9 any modifications made by the Strategic Basing  
10 Lead as described in subparagraph (A);

11 “(ii) require the Strategic Basing Lead to sub-  
12 mit such modifications to the Strategic Basing Panel  
13 for subsequent review to be conducted in accordance  
14 with paragraph (2);

15 “(iii) require the Strategic Basing Panel to sub-  
16 mit approved modifications to the Strategic Basing  
17 Group for subsequent review to be conducted in ac-  
18 cordance with paragraph (3); and

19 “(iv) provide to the Committees on Armed Serv-  
20 ices of the House of Representatives and the Senate  
21 a briefing on such modifications approved by the  
22 Strategic Basing Group.

23 “(d) LIST OF PROPOSED MILITARY INSTALLATIONS  
24 FOR SITE VISITS.—(1)(A) After reviewing the relevant in-  
25 formation provided by the appropriate commanders of



1 military installations and commanders of tenant or other  
2 relevant activities with respect to the report approved by  
3 the Strategic Basing Group under subsection (c), the Stra-  
4 tegic Basing Lead shall—

5           “(i) determine which military installations  
6           in such report are the most suitable for a site  
7           survey; and

8           “(ii) complete a scorecard for each military  
9           installation, using the criteria developed under  
10          subsection (c)(1)(A), to evaluate the suitability  
11          of each military installation for implementing  
12          the strategic basing decision.

13          “(B) The Strategic Basing Lead shall submit to the  
14 Strategic Basing Panel a report containing the informa-  
15 tion described in subparagraph (A).

16          “(2)(A) Not later than 30 days after receipt of report  
17 required under paragraph (1), the Strategic Basing Panel  
18 shall review such report and submit to the Strategic Bas-  
19 ing Group a determination of which military installations  
20 in such report are most suitable for a site survey.

21          “(B) If the Strategic Basing Panel rejects the inclu-  
22 sion of a military installation under the review required  
23 under subparagraph (A), the Assistant Secretary shall re-  
24 quire the Strategic Basing Lead to submit to the Strategic  
25 Basing Panel a modified list of military installations for

1 a subsequent review to be conducted in accordance with  
2 subparagraph (A).

3 “(C) There shall be no limitation on the number of  
4 times the Assistant Secretary may require the Strategic  
5 Basing Lead to submit to Strategic Basing Panel a modi-  
6 fied list of military installations.

7 “(D) The Strategic Basing Panel shall submit to the  
8 Strategic Basing Group a report that includes the ap-  
9 proved list of military installations and the relevant score-  
10 cards for such military installations.

11 “(3)(A) The Strategic Basing Group shall review the  
12 report submitted under paragraph (2)(D) and submit to  
13 the Assistant Secretary a determination of which military  
14 installations on the list are most suitable for a site survey.

15 “(B) If the Strategic Basing Group rejects the inclu-  
16 sion of a military installation under the review required  
17 under subparagraph (A), the Assistant Secretary shall re-  
18 quire the Strategic Basing Panel to submit to the Stra-  
19 tegic Basing Group a modified list of military installations  
20 for a subsequent review to be conducted in accordance  
21 with subparagraph (A).

22 “(C) There shall be no limitation on the number of  
23 times the Assistant Secretary may require the Strategic  
24 Basing Panel to submit to Strategic Basing Group a modi-  
25 fied list of military installations.

1           “(D) The Strategic Basing Group shall submit to the  
2 Assistant Secretary a report that includes the approved  
3 list of military installations and the relevant scorecards  
4 for such military installations.

5           “(4) Not later than 14 days after the date of receipt  
6 of the report under paragraph (3)(D), the Assistant Sec-  
7 retary shall provide to the Committees on Armed Services  
8 of the House of Representatives and the Senate a briefing  
9 on such report that includes the relevant scorecards for  
10 each military installation included in such report.

11           “(5) After providing the briefing described in para-  
12 graph (4), the Assistant Secretary shall make the list de-  
13 scribed in such paragraph publicly available.

14           “(e) RECOMMENDATION OF A MILITARY INSTALLA-  
15 TION.—(1) The Strategic Basing Lead shall conduct a site  
16 survey at each military installation included on the list ap-  
17 proved by the Strategic Basing Group in the report de-  
18 scribed in subsection (d)(3)(D).

19           “(2) Not later than 60 days after the completion of  
20 all site surveys, the Strategic Basing Lead shall submit  
21 to the Strategic Basing Panel a report containing the re-  
22 sults of each such survey, including—

23                   “(A) an updated scorecard described in sub-  
24           section (d)(1)(a)(ii) for each military installation

1 using information from the site survey for such in-  
2 stallation; and

3 “(B) a comprehensive cost evaluation of imple-  
4 menting the strategic basing action at each such  
5 military installation.

6 “(3)(A) Not later than 30 days after receipt of report  
7 required under paragraph (2), the Strategic Basing Panel  
8 shall review such report and submit to the Strategic Bas-  
9 ing Group a report that includes—

10 “(i) a recommendation of a single military in-  
11 stallation from the report as the most suitable for  
12 implementation of the strategic basing action, and a  
13 list of any reasonable alternatives; and

14 “(ii) data on each military installation for which  
15 a site survey was conducted under paragraph (1), in-  
16 cluding the updated scorecard described in para-  
17 graph (2)(A).

18 “(B) If the Strategic Basing Panel cannot rec-  
19 ommend a single military installation under the review re-  
20 quired under subparagraph (A), the Assistant Secretary  
21 shall require the Strategic Basing Lead to submit to the  
22 Strategic Basing Panel a modified scorecard and cost eval-  
23 uation for each military installation for a subsequent re-  
24 view to be conducted in accordance with subparagraph  
25 (A).

1           “(C) There shall be no limitation on the number of  
2 times the Assistant Secretary may require the Strategic  
3 Basing Lead to submit to Strategic Basing Panel a modi-  
4 fied scorecard and cost evaluation.

5           “(D) The Strategic Basing Panel shall submit to the  
6 Strategic Basing Group a report that includes the rec-  
7 ommendation of a single military installation and the rel-  
8 evant scorecard for such military installation.

9           “(4)(A) The Strategic Basing Group shall evaluate  
10 the single military installation from the report required  
11 under paragraph (3)(D) and determine whether or not to  
12 recommend to the Assistant Secretary implementation of  
13 the strategic basing action at such installation.

14           “(B) If the Strategic Basing Group cannot rec-  
15 ommend implementing the strategic basing action at such  
16 military installation, the Assistant Secretary shall require  
17 the Strategic Basing Panel to submit to the Strategic Bas-  
18 ing Group a modified scorecard and cost evaluation for  
19 another military installation included in the report sub-  
20 mitted under paragraph (2) for a subsequent review to be  
21 conducted in accordance with subparagraph (A).

22           “(C) There shall be no limitation on the number of  
23 times the Assistant Secretary may require the Strategic  
24 Basing Panel to submit to Strategic Basing Group a modi-  
25 fied scorecard and cost evaluation.

1           “(D) The Strategic Basing Group shall submit to the  
2 Assistant Secretary a report that includes the a rec-  
3 ommendation of a single military installation for imple-  
4 mentation of the strategic basing action, and a list of any  
5 reasonable alternatives.

6           “(5) The Assistant Secretary shall submit to the Sec-  
7 retary of the Air Force an analysis of the recommendation  
8 of a single military installation for implementation of the  
9 strategic basing action made by the Strategic Basing  
10 Group, including all relevant data and a list of any reason-  
11 able alternatives.

12           “(6) The Secretary of the Air Force shall make a de-  
13 termination to implement the strategic basing action at  
14 the military installation recommended under paragraph  
15 (5).

16           “(7) Not later than 14 days after submission of a  
17 recommendation under paragraph (5), the Secretary of the  
18 Air Force shall provide to the Committees on Armed Serv-  
19 ices of the House of Representatives and the Senate a  
20 briefing on the decision to implement the strategic basing  
21 action at a military installation, including—

22                   “(A) the site surveys conducted under para-  
23 graph (1);

24                   “(B) the reports submitted under paragraphs  
25 (2), (3), and (4); and

1           “(C) the recommendation made under para-  
2           graph (5).

3           “(8) After providing the briefing described in para-  
4           graph (7), the Assistant Secretary shall make the rec-  
5           ommendation described in such paragraph publicly avail-  
6           able.

7           “(f) SELECTION OF MILITARY INSTALLATION.—(1)  
8           Not later than 90 days after the completion of all reviews  
9           required under this section, the Secretary of the Air Force  
10          may begin implementation of the strategic basing action  
11          for which such reviews were conducted and shall publicly  
12          announce the military installation at which such strategic  
13          basing action will be implemented.

14          “(2) No amounts may be obligated or expended, and  
15          no personnel, equipment, or other resources of the Depart-  
16          ment of Defense may be detailed, transferred, obligated,  
17          or assigned to implement a strategic basing action under  
18          this section until the date on which the Secretary of the  
19          Air Force makes the public announcement described in  
20          paragraph (1).

21          “(g) APPLICABILITY.—This section and the require-  
22          ments of this section shall apply to a basing action request  
23          submitted on or after the date of the enactment of this  
24          section.

1       “(h) REQUIREMENTS FOR PROGRAMMATIC BASING  
2 DECISIONS.—(1) The Assistant Secretary may not make  
3 a programmatic basing decision (as described in chapter  
4 7 of the Department of the Air Force Instruction 10–503  
5 issued June 12, 2023, as in effect on April 1, 2024) with  
6 respect to a basing action request submitted under sub-  
7 section (a) until the Secretary of the Air Force—

8               “(A) has published a revision of such instruc-  
9               tion that includes a definition of ‘programmatic bas-  
10              ing decision’; and

11              “(B) provides to the congressional defense com-  
12              mittees a briefing on such revision that includes a  
13              description of the process for making a pro-  
14              grammatic basing decision (as revised under sub-  
15              paragraph (A)) and the criteria evaluated under  
16              such process.

17       “(2) With respect to a basing action request sub-  
18       mitted under subsection (a) for which the Assistant Sec-  
19       retary determines a programmatic basing decision (as de-  
20       fined under the revision required by paragraph (1)) may  
21       be made, the Assistant Secretary—

22              “(A) shall submit to the congressional defense  
23              committees an explanation justifying why such re-  
24              quest was not determined to be a strategic basing  
25              action;



1           “(B) shall provide to the congressional defense  
2           committees a briefing on the implementation of the  
3           programmatic basing decision; and

4           “(C) may not implement the programmatic bas-  
5           ing decision until 30 days after the later of the date  
6           on which the submission described in subparagraph  
7           (A) or the briefing described in subparagraph (B) is  
8           made.

9           “(3) Upon implementation of the programmatic bas-  
10          ing decision (as defined under the revision required by  
11          paragraph (1)) for a basing action request submitted  
12          under subsection (a), the Secretary of the Air Force may  
13          not implement such request pursuant to the processes es-  
14          tablished for a strategic basing decision.

15          “(i) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

16                 “(1) The term ‘action proponent’ has the mean-  
17                 ing given in the Department of the Air Force In-  
18                 struction 10–503 issued June 12, 2023, as in effect  
19                 on April 1, 2024.

20                 “(2) The term ‘Assistant Secretary’ means the  
21                 Assistant Secretary of the Air Force for Energy, In-  
22                 stallations, and Environment.

23                 “(3) The term ‘basing action’ means an action  
24                 by the Secretary of Air Force to determine the loca-  
25                 tion or relocation of a unit, an establishment, a mis-

1 sion, manpower, or a major weapon system (as de-  
2 fined in section 483 of title 10, United States Code)  
3 of the Air Force or Space Force for a period of one  
4 year or longer.

5 “(4) The term ‘military installation’ has the  
6 meaning given in section 2801 of title 10, United  
7 States Code.

8 “(5) The term ‘strategic basing action’ means  
9 a basing action that involves one or more of the fol-  
10 lowing:

11 “(A) Location or relocation of aircraft and  
12 non-aircraft weapon systems.

13 “(B) An increase or decrease of 35 or  
14 more personnel assigned to a military installa-  
15 tion, including members of the Department of  
16 the Air Force, civilian employees of the Depart-  
17 ment of the Air Force, and contractors.

18 “(C) A request to move a non-Air Force  
19 entity onto a military installation or other real  
20 property of the Air Force.

21 “(D) A continuous rotational presence of a  
22 Department of the Air Force or non-Air Force  
23 entity on a military installation or other real  
24 property of the Air Force that would require—

1                   “(i) a new military construction  
2                   project; or

3                   “(ii) presence for more than 300 days  
4                   during a consecutive 18-month period with  
5                   a increase of 35 or more personnel.

6                   “(E) Any special interest action, regardless  
7                   of scope or size, as determined by the Secretary  
8                   of the Air Force or Secretary of Defense.

9                   “(6) The term ‘Strategic Basing Group’ means  
10                  a forum of officers in a grade of O–7 or O–8 and  
11                  the civilian equivalents of such officers convened by  
12                  the Assistant Secretary to evaluate strategic basing  
13                  actions and providing alternatives to such strategic  
14                  basing actions that are consistent with the oper-  
15                  ations, basing objectives, policies, and programming  
16                  requirements of the Department of the Air Force.

17                  “(7) The term ‘Strategic Basing Lead’ means a  
18                  commander of a major command, field command, or  
19                  national guard base, and may be the action pro-  
20                  ponent that submitted a request under subsection  
21                  (a).

22                  “(8) The term ‘Strategic Basing Panel’ means  
23                  a forum of officers in a grade of O–6 and the civil-  
24                  ian equivalents of such officers convened by the As-  
25                  sistant Secretary to support the Strategic Basing

- 1 Group by providing an initial comprehensive review
- 2 and assessment of a request for a strategic basing
- 3 action.”.

1 **SEC. 2822. [Log 80259]. DEFERRAL OF EXECUTION OF CER-**  
2 **TAIN REQUIREMENTS FOR COVERED HOUS-**  
3 **ING FACILITIES AND COVERED LANDSCAPE**  
4 **FEATURES; REPORT.**

5 (a) **AUTHORITIES.**—Notwithstanding any provision  
6 of chapter 3041 or chapter 3061 of title 54, United States  
7 Code, that requires review from or consultation with the  
8 head of any other Federal agency, each Secretary of a  
9 military department may defer the execution of the re-  
10 quirements of each such chapter with respect to a covered  
11 housing facility or covered landscape feature until the date  
12 that is 60 years after the date on which the construction  
13 of such covered housing facility or covered landscape fea-  
14 ture was completed.

15 (b) **REPORT.**—Not later than 180 days after the date  
16 of the enactment of this section, each Secretary of a mili-  
17 tary department shall submit to the appropriate congres-  
18 sional committees a report that includes—

19 (1) an identification of covered housing facili-  
20 ties under the respective jurisdiction of each such  
21 Secretary constructed between 1975 and 1985; and

22 (2) a strategy for the demolition or manage-  
23 ment, as the case may be, of each such covered  
24 housing facility.

25 (c) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

1           (1) The term “appropriate congressional com-  
2       mittees” means—

3           (A) the congressional defense committees;

4           (B) the Committee on Natural Resources  
5       of the House of Representatives; and

6           (C) the Committee on Energy and Natural  
7       Resources of the Senate.

8           (2) The term “covered housing facility” means  
9       a housing facility that—

10          (A) is subject to the requirements of chap-  
11       ter 3061 of title 54, United States Code;

12          (B) is located on a military installation;

13          (C) is under the jurisdiction of a Secretary  
14       of a military department; and

15          (D) was constructed after December 31,  
16       1975.

17          (3) The term “covered landscape feature”  
18       means a landscape feature (as such term is used in  
19       the document of the Office of the Assistant Sec-  
20       retary of the Army for Installations, Energy and  
21       Environment titled “Program Comment for the  
22       Preservation of pre-1919 Historic Army Housing,  
23       Associated Buildings and Structures, and Landscape  
24       Features” and published on March 1, 2024) that—

25          (A) is subject to such chapter;

1 (B) is located on a military installation;  
2 (C) is under the jurisdiction of a Secretary  
3 of a military department; and  
4 (D) was constructed after December 31,  
5 1975.  
6 (4) The term “facility” has the meaning given  
7 such term in section 2801 of title 10, United States  
8 Code.

1 **SEC. 2823. [Log 80257]. PILOT PROGRAMS OF DEPARTMENT**  
2 **OF ARMY AND DEPARTMENT OF NAVY TO**  
3 **CONDUCT REPAIR AND MAINTENANCE**  
4 **PROJECTS ON COVERED HISTORIC FACILI-**  
5 **TIES.**

6 (a) ESTABLISHMENT.—Notwithstanding any provi-  
7 sion of chapter 3041 or chapter 3061 of title 54, United  
8 States Code, that requires review from or consultation  
9 with the head of any other Federal agency, each applicable  
10 Secretary shall carry out a pilot program under which the  
11 applicable Secretary may enter into agreements to conduct  
12 repair and maintenance projects on covered historic facili-  
13 ties.

14 (b) SELECTION CRITERIA.—

15 (1) IN GENERAL.—Each applicable Secretary  
16 shall select one military installation under the juris-  
17 diction of the applicable Secretary concerned at  
18 which to carry out a pilot program under subsection  
19 (a).

20 (2) PRIORITY.—In selecting a military installa-  
21 tion pursuant to paragraph (1), an applicable Sec-  
22 retary shall give priority to military installations at  
23 which such Secretary determines there exists a large  
24 quantity of covered historic facilities.

25 (c) NOTIFICATION.—Not later than 30 days after the  
26 date on which an applicable Secretary selects a military



1 installation pursuant to subsection (b), the applicable Sec-  
2 retary concerned shall submit to the appropriate congres-  
3 sional committees a notification of such selection.

4 (d) STANDARDS FOR PROJECTS.—

5 (1) IN GENERAL.—Each repair and mainte-  
6 nance project conducted pursuant to a pilot program  
7 under subsection (a) shall be in accordance with rel-  
8 evant standards established by the Secretary of the  
9 Interior for historic building preservation and main-  
10 tenance.

11 (2) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this  
12 subsection shall be construed to require an applica-  
13 ble Secretary to consult the Secretary of the Interior  
14 with respect to a repair or maintenance project con-  
15 ducted pursuant to a pilot program under subsection  
16 (a).

17 (e) SUNSET.—The authority of an applicable Sec-  
18 retary to obligate or expend amounts to carry out a pilot  
19 program under this section shall terminate on December  
20 31, 2029.

21 (f) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

22 (1) The term “applicable Secretary” means—

23 (A) the Secretary of the Army; and

24 (B) the Secretary of the Navy.

1           (2) The term “appropriate congressional com-  
2       mittees” means—

3           (A) the congressional defense committees;

4           (B) the Committee on Natural Resources  
5       of the House of Representatives; and

6           (C) the Committee on Energy and Natural  
7       Resources of the Senate.

8           (3) The term “covered historic facility” means  
9       a housing or operational facility located on a mili-  
10      tary installation under the jurisdiction of the appli-  
11      cable Secretary concerned that—

12           (A) was constructed before 1919; and

13           (B) is subject to the requirements of chap-  
14      ter 3061 of title 54, United States Code.

15           (4) The term “military installation” has the  
16      meaning given in section 2801 of title 10, United  
17      States Code.

1 **SEC. 2824. [Log 80256]. STRATEGY AND ASSESSMENT WITH**  
2 **RESPECT TO NON-OPERATIONAL, UNDERUTI-**  
3 **LIZED, AND OTHER DEPARTMENT OF DE-**  
4 **FENSE FACILITIES; BRIEFING REQUIRED.**

5 (a) STRATEGY FOR DEMOLITION.—Each Secretary of  
6 a military department shall develop a strategy to demolish  
7 facilities under the respective jurisdiction of each such  
8 Secretary that—

9 (1) are in poor or failing condition under the  
10 uniform index developed under section 2838 of the  
11 National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year  
12 2024 (Public Law 118–31);

13 (2) are not in operational use; or

14 (3) such Secretary determines are underutilized.

15 (b) ASSESSMENT OF CERTAIN MAINTENANCE  
16 COSTS.—Each Secretary of a military department shall  
17 conduct an assessment to determine the total cost to the  
18 United States to maintain facilities that—

19 (1) are not in operational use; and

20 (2) such Secretary determines are underutilized.

21 (c) REQUIRED CONSIDERATION.—In determining  
22 whether a facility is underutilized pursuant to subsection  
23 (a) or subsection (b), each Secretary of a military depart-  
24 ment shall compare the occupancy of such facility to the  
25 total square footage of such facility.

26 (d) BRIEFING.—

1           (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days  
2 after the date of enactment of this Act, each Sec-  
3 retary of a military department shall provide to con-  
4 gressional defense committees a briefing on—

5                   (A) the strategy required by subsection (a);  
6           and

7                   (B) the results of the assessment required  
8 by subsection (b).

9           (2) ELEMENTS.—Each such briefing shall in-  
10 clude—

11                   (A) a summary of the existing authorities  
12 of each Secretary of a military department to  
13 demolish the facilities covered by the strategy  
14 required by subsection (a);

15                   (B) a plan to implement such strategy; and

16                   (C) recommendations of each such Sec-  
17 retary with respect to reducing—

18                           (i) the inventory of facilities in poor  
19 or failing condition under the uniform  
20 index developed under section 2838 of the  
21 National Defense Authorization Act for  
22 Fiscal Year 2024 (Public Law 118–31);  
23 and

1                   (ii) the total cost to the United States  
2                   to maintain the facilities covered by the as-  
3                   sessment required by subsection (b).

4       (e) FACILITY DEFINED.—In this section, the term  
5 “facility” has the meaning given such term in section  
6 2801 of title 10, United States Code.

1 **SEC. 2825. [Log 80258]. TEMPORARY AUTHORITY FOR USE**  
2 **OF IMITATIVE SUBSTITUTE BUILDING MATE-**  
3 **RIALS FOR MAINTENANCE, REPAIR, REHA-**  
4 **BILITATION, OR RENOVATION OF COVERED**  
5 **HISTORIC FACILITIES.**

6 (a) AUTHORITY FOR USE OF IMITATIVE MATE-  
7 RIALS.—

8 (1) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding any provi-  
9 sion of chapter 3041 or chapter 3061 of title 54,  
10 United States Code, that requires review from or  
11 consultation with the head of any other Federal  
12 agency, and subject to paragraph (2), each Secretary  
13 of a military department may use imitative sub-  
14 stitute building materials in projects for the mainte-  
15 nance, repair, rehabilitation, or renovation of a cov-  
16 ered historic facility.

17 (2) CONDITIONS.—A Secretary of a military de-  
18 partment may exercise the authority under para-  
19 graph (1) if the Secretary of the military depart-  
20 ment concerned determines—

21 (A) the applicable maintenance, repair, re-  
22 habilitation, or renovation project affects the  
23 quality of life, health, and safety of occupants,  
24 if any, of a covered historic facility; or

25 (B) the use of building materials original  
26 to a covered historic facility or in-kind building

1 materials in an applicable maintenance, repair,  
2 rehabilitation, or renovation project is not fi-  
3 nancially feasible.

4 (b) SUNSET.—The authority of a Secretary of a mili-  
5 tary department to obligate or expend amounts pursuant  
6 to this section shall terminate on December 30, 2029.

7 (c) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

8 (1) The term “covered historic facility” means  
9 a housing or operational facility located on a mili-  
10 tary installation under the jurisdiction of a Secretary  
11 of a military department that—

12 (A) was constructed before 1919; and

13 (B) is subject to the requirements of chap-  
14 ter 3061 of title 54, United States Code.

15 (2) The term “imitative substitute building ma-  
16 terials” means modern, industry-standard, natural,  
17 composite, and synthetic materials that—

18 (A) simulate the appearance of building  
19 materials original to a covered historic facility;  
20 and

21 (B) are more cost effective than such  
22 building materials.

23 (3) The term “military installation” has the  
24 meaning given in section 2801 of title 10, United  
25 States Code.

## 1           **Subtitle D—Land Conveyances**

### 2   **SEC. 2831. [Log 80525]. LAND CONVEYANCE, BOYLE MEMO-** 3                   **RIAL ARMY RESERVE CENTER, PARIS, TEXAS.**

4           (a) CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary of  
5 the Army may convey to Paris Junior College, located in  
6 Paris, Texas (in this section referred to as the “College”),  
7 all right, title, and interest of the United States in and  
8 to a parcel of real property, including any improvements  
9 thereon, consisting of approximately 4 acres, known as the  
10 former Boyle Memorial Army Reserve Center, located in  
11 Paris, Texas.

12           (b) CONSIDERATION.—

13               (1) CONSIDERATION REQUIRED.—As consider-  
14 ation for the conveyance under subsection (a), the  
15 College shall pay to the Secretary of the Army an  
16 amount equal to not less than the fair market value  
17 of the property to be conveyed, as determined by the  
18 Secretary, which may consist of cash payment, in-  
19 kind consideration as described in paragraph (2), or  
20 a combination thereof.

21               (2) IN-KIND CONSIDERATION.—In-kind consid-  
22 eration provided by the College under paragraph (1)  
23 may include—

24                       (A) the acquisition, construction, provision,  
25                       improvement, maintenance, repair, or restora-



1           tion (including environmental restoration), or a  
2           combination thereof, of any property, facilities,  
3           or infrastructure; or

4                   (B) the delivery of services relating to the  
5           needs of the Department of the Army that the  
6           Secretary considers acceptable.

7           (3) CONVEYANCE.—Cash payments received  
8           under subsection (b) as consideration for the convey-  
9           ance under subsection (a) shall be deposited in the  
10          special account in the Treasury established under  
11          section 572(b)(5) of title 40, United States Code.

12          (c) PAYMENT OF COSTS OF CONVEYANCE.—

13                   (1) PAYMENT REQUIRED.—The Secretary of  
14          the Army shall require the College to cover costs to  
15          be incurred by the Secretary, or to reimburse the  
16          Secretary for such costs incurred by the Secretary,  
17          to carry out the conveyance under subsection (a), in-  
18          cluding survey costs, costs for environmental docu-  
19          mentation related to the conveyance, and any other  
20          administrative costs related to the conveyance. If  
21          amounts are collected from the Township in advance  
22          of the Secretary incurring the actual costs, and the  
23          amount collected exceeds the costs actually incurred  
24          by the Secretary to carry out the conveyance, the

1 Secretary shall refund the excess amount to the Col-  
2 lege.

3 (2) TREATMENT OF AMOUNTS RECEIVED.—

4 Amounts received as reimbursement under para-  
5 graph (1) shall be credited to the fund or account  
6 that was used to cover the costs incurred by the Sec-  
7 retary in carrying out the land conveyance under  
8 subsection (a) or, if the period of availability of obli-  
9 gations for that appropriation has expired, to the  
10 appropriations of a fund that is currently available  
11 to the Secretary for the same purpose. Amounts so  
12 credited shall be merged with amounts in such fund  
13 or account and shall be available for the same pur-  
14 poses, and subject to the same conditions and limita-  
15 tions, as amounts in such fund or account.

16 (d) DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.—The exact acreage  
17 and legal description of the parcel of real property to be  
18 conveyed under subsection (a) shall be determined by sur-  
19 veys satisfactory to the Secretary of the Army.

20 (e) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The  
21 Secretary of the Army may require such additional terms  
22 and conditions in connection with the conveyance under  
23 subsection (a) as the Secretary considers appropriate to  
24 protect the interests of the United States.

1 **SEC. 2832. [Log 80542]. TRANSFER AUTHORITY, MARE IS-**  
2 **LAND NAVAL SHIPYARD, VALLEJO, CALI-**  
3 **FORNIA.**

4 (a) IN GENERAL.—With respect to a transfer of real  
5 property located at the former Mare Island Naval Ship-  
6 yard, Vallejo, California to the City of Vallejo (referred  
7 to in this section as the “City”), made on or after the  
8 date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the  
9 Navy may enter into an agreement with the City and the  
10 California State Lands Commission (referred to in this  
11 section as “SLC”) if such agreement includes the fol-  
12 lowing terms:

13 (1) That the City, SLC, and the Governor of  
14 California agree to a deferral of the completion of all  
15 environmental remedial actions necessary to protect  
16 human health and the environment with respect to  
17 the real property until after the date of the transfer.

18 (2) That additional remedial action found to be  
19 necessary after the date of such transfer shall be  
20 conducted by the Secretary.

21 (3) That the Secretary shall have access to the  
22 property after the date of such transfer for the pur-  
23 pose of conducting such remedial actions.

24 (b) TRANSFER.—If the Secretary of the Navy issues  
25 a determination that the real property described in sub-  
26 section (a) is suitable for transfer to the City, such trans-

1 fer may be accomplished using a quitclaim deed or other  
2 legal instrument and upon terms and conditions mutually  
3 satisfactory to the Secretary and the City that include—

4 (1) the terms described in paragraphs (1)  
5 through (3) of subsection (a); and

6 (2) such additional terms and conditions as the  
7 Secretary considers appropriate to protect the inter-  
8 ests of the United States.

9 (c) DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.—The exact acreage  
10 and legal description of the property to be transferred  
11 under subsection (a) shall be determined by a survey satis-  
12 factory to the Secretary of the Navy.

1           **Subtitle E—Other Matters**

2   **SEC. 2841. [Log 80530]. EXTENSION OF PROHIBITION ON**  
3                   **JOINT USE OF HOMESTEAD AIR RESERVE**  
4                   **BASE WITH CIVIL AVIATION.**

5           Section 2874 of the James M. Inhofe National De-  
6   fense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2023 (Public Law  
7   117–263; 136 Stat. 3014) is amended by striking “On or  
8   before September 30, 2026”, and inserting “On or before  
9   September 30, 2036”.

1 **SEC. 2842. [Log 80923]. SCHEDULE OF REPAIRS AT NAVAL**  
2 **AIR STATION, PENSACOLA, FLORIDA.**

3 (a) SCHEDULE.—The Secretary of the Navy shall de-  
4 velop and implement a plan for repair or replacement of  
5 facilities at Naval Air Station Pensacola that the Sec-  
6 retary determines are damaged by Hurricane Sally.

7 (b) ELEMENTS.—The plan required under subsection  
8 (a) shall include the following:

9 (1) An estimate of the cost and schedule for—

10 (A) the repair of Hangar 3260; and

11 (B) a military construction project (as de-  
12 fined in section 2801 of title 10, United States  
13 Code) to replace Hangar 3260 and other infra-  
14 structure at Naval Air Station, Pensacola, Flor-  
15 ida, that the Secretary of the Navy determines  
16 are damaged by Hurricane Sally.

17 (2) An assessment that compares the estimated  
18 cost and schedule under subparagraph (A) of para-  
19 graph (1) to the estimated cost and schedule under  
20 subparagraph (B) of such subparagraph.

21 (3) Any planned demolition projects necessary  
22 to support future military construction.

23 (4) An assessment of how the repair and re-  
24 placement schedules for facilities at Naval Air Sta-  
25 tion Pensacola that the Secretary determines are  
26 damaged by Hurricane Sally support current and fu-

1       ture operational requirements at the naval air sta-  
2       tion.

3       (c) LIMITATION.—Of the amounts authorized to be  
4 appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for  
5 fiscal year 2025 for the Office of the Secretary of the Navy  
6 for travel expenses, not more than 80 percent may be obli-  
7 gated or expended until the Secretary of the Navy submits  
8 to the congressional defense committees the schedule re-  
9 quired by subsection (a).

10       (d) DEFINITIONS.—In this section, the terms “facil-  
11 ity” and “military construction project” have the mean-  
12 ings given such terms in section 2801 of title 10, United  
13 States Code.

1                   **TITLE XXXIV—NAVAL**  
2                   **PETROLEUM RESERVES**

Sec. 3401 [Log 80864]. Authorization of appropriations.

3   **SEC. 3401 [Log 80864]. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIA-**  
4                   **TIONS.**

5           (a) **AMOUNT.**—There are hereby authorized to be ap-  
6   propriated to the Secretary of Energy \$13,010,000 for fis-  
7   cal year 2025 for the purpose of carrying out activities  
8   under chapter 869 of title 10, United States Code, relating  
9   to the naval petroleum reserves.

10          (b) **PERIOD OF AVAILABILITY.**—Funds appropriated  
11   pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in sub-  
12   section (a) shall remain available until expended.



# **DIRECTIVE REPORT LANGUAGE**

# Table Of Contents

## DIVISION A—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS

### TITLE III—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

#### ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

##### ENERGY ISSUES

- Electrical Infrastructure, Grid Reliability, and Quality of Life Reporting
- Mobile Energy Storage Systems for Combatant Commands
- Mobile Energy Supply System Evaluation
- Reliable Power Source for Data Centers

##### LOGISTICS AND SUSTAINMENT ISSUES

- Army and Navy Predictive Maintenance Implementation
- Comptroller General Review of Air Force Tanker Force Structure and Sustainment
- Comptroller General Review of Sustainment for Space Operations
- Comptroller General Review of Weapon System Maintenance in a Contested Pacific Environment and Implications for Working Capital Fund Cash Balances
- Implementation of Comptroller General Recommendations to Improve Navy Maintenance and Sustainment
- Review of Port Security Protocols at Private Shipyards

##### READINESS ISSUES

- Advanced Airlift Tactics Training Center and Air Mobility Command in Contested Logistics in the Indo-Pacific Region
- Allied Live Training Program Interoperability
- Army Multi-Domain Task Force Structure
- Army Total Analysis Explosive Ordnance Disposal Domestic Response
- Aviation Simulation Training
- Comptroller General Review of Department of Defense Munitions for High-End Conflict
- Comptroller General Review of Department of Defense Strategic Readiness
- Comptroller General Review of Submarine Force Generation
- Comptroller General Review of Support for Operational Use of Bomber Fleet in the Indo-Pacific
- Sustainable and Elastic United States Platelet Supply

##### OTHER MATTERS

- Air Force Undergraduate Pilot Training Briefing
- Comptroller General Review on Military Moves
- Department of Defense Flood Mapping
- Kirtland Air Force Base Jet Fuel Leak
- Transition of Global Household Goods Contract Services
- Warehouse Modernization

### TITLE X—GENERAL PROVISIONS

#### ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

OTHER MATTERS

Sensitive Compartmented Information Facilities Access and Availability

**DIVISION B—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS**

**TITLE XXIII—AIR FORCE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION**

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

Strategic Importance of Lajes Field

**TITLE XXVIII—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION GENERAL PROVISIONS**

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

Comptroller General Review of Military Construction Cost Estimation

Defense Community Infrastructure Program and Installation Resilience Efforts

Hardened Infrastructure on Guam

Hunter Hall Army Reserve Center, San Pablo, CA

Improving Alternative Transportation Options for Servicemembers

Infrastructure at Fort McClellan Training Center and Clarke Range Complex

Joint Base Lewis-McChord North Clear Zone

Joint Housing Requirements and Market Analysis for Maui

Large Unit Apartment-Style Housing for Military Housing Privatization

Initiative Feasibility Study

Quality of Life Resourcing at Creech Air Force Base

Technical Assistance for Guam Environmental Protection Agency

White Sands Missile Range Infrastructure Requirements

---

**DIVISION A—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS**

**TITLE III—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE**

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

ENERGY ISSUES

Electrical Infrastructure, Grid Reliability, and Quality of Life Reporting

Grid reliability and interconnected electrical transmission are essential to delivering power to United States military installations and ensuring operational and personnel readiness. The Department of Defense relies on electricity generated and delivered outside installation boundaries, despite efforts to increase base load power generation on military installations. The committee would benefit from receiving a comprehensive briefing from the Department to understand the current grid reliability and transmission challenges that military installations are facing, their impact on mission critical operations and personnel, potential solutions, and the extent to which the Department of Defense will need to collaborate with other agencies and utility providers to ensure readiness.

The committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Energy, Installations, and Environment to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than December 1, 2025, on military installation grid reliability. The briefing should include the following:

(1) an assessment of the range of grid reliability and transmission challenges that domestic military installations have faced from fiscal year 2020 through fiscal year 2024 inside and outside of installation boundaries along with their associated financial costs, impact to installation and personnel readiness, and length of operational interruption;

(2) an overview and assessment of the success of mitigation and hardening strategies that the Department of Defense has undertaken within installation boundaries to overcome electrical distribution infrastructure issues;

(3) an overview of the extent and success to which the Department of Defense collaborates with other agencies and regional electrical utility owners and operators to address energy infrastructure concerns that originate outside of installation boundaries; and

(4) an assessment of energy infrastructure resilience projects that the Department of Defense has authority to implement inside installation boundaries to improve operational and personnel readiness as well as such projects outside installation boundaries that the Department of Defense does not have authority to direct and needs other agencies or regional utility owners and operators to implement maximal installation readiness.

#### Mobile Energy Storage Systems for Combatant Commands

The committee recognizes the importance of bolstering installation energy resilience and readiness and is aware that innovative solutions exist outside of the Department of Defense to fulfill this objective. Developing modernized power storage systems are an important aspect of building resiliency and mobile battery energy storage systems offer a versatile solution to potentially meet the tactical needs of the military services, while bolstering the resilience of defense communities on bases.

The committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Energy, Installations, and Environment to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than January 30, 2025, on plans for the acquisition of mobile battery energy storage systems. The briefing should include the following information:

(1) ways mobile battery energy storage systems can improve installation resilience and operational readiness for the military departments; and

(2) plans for the acquisition of mobile battery energy storage systems at combatant commands.

#### Mobile Energy Supply System Evaluation

The committee remains concerned about energy resilience, particularly in regards to expeditionary energy needs within the U.S. Indo-Pacific Command (USINDOPACOM) region. Furthermore, the committee is aware of rapid industry development in systems that may provide flexible energy distribution to the warfighter and critical mission equipment in austere environments. The committee notes that mobile energy supply systems could be deployed strategically to relieve traditional logistical burdens in a geographically challenging and demanding environment.

Therefore, the committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Energy, Installations, and Environment to submit a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than March 1, 2025, on the availability of mobile energy supply systems that could mitigate expeditionary energy resilience concerns in the USINDOPACOM area of responsibility. The briefing should include:

- (1) a description of the economic, environmental, national security, and technical viability of installing and maintaining mobile energy supply systems in the USINDOPACOM region;
- (2) locations with a high potential for the installation and maintenance of fuel cell technologies including hydrogen and hydrogen-capable fuel cells;
- (3) an assessment of the maturity of these technologies and their availability for acquisition at scale; and
- (4) any barriers to the acquisition of such technologies.

### Reliable Power Source for Data Centers

The committee recognizes the critical national importance of data centers in facilitating the advancement of artificial intelligence as well as the storage, processing, and dissemination of vast amounts of data crucial to our nation's security. Recognizing the strategic significance of the Tahoe Reno Industrial Center (TRIC) as a hub for technological innovation critical to national security, the committee emphasizes the economic and national security benefits linked to expanding data centers both within TRIC and across the United States.

The committee is concerned with the lack of available data center space and an adequate and reliable power supply to data centers nationwide. Therefore, the committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Energy, Installations, and Environment to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than April 1, 2025, including the following information:

- (1) ways the Department of Defense can collaborate with local municipalities, utilities, and the private sector to ensure robust and reliable access to data center space as well as reliable power supplies; and
- (2) power transmission, distribution, and all other necessary infrastructure needed to facilitate the expansion of those data centers.

### LOGISTICS AND SUSTAINMENT ISSUES

#### Army and Navy Predictive Maintenance Implementation

The committee recognizes the importance of modernizing the Department's sustainment and maintenance enterprise to improve readiness and maintenance efficiency of defense materiel. The committee recognizes current, long-standing Department guidance directing the military services to implement predictive maintenance or condition-based maintenance plus technologies across current and future weapons systems, equipment, and materiel sustainment programs. The committee also notes the findings of the Government Accountability Office report, "Military Readiness: Actions Needed to Further Implement Predictive Maintenance on Weapon Systems". The committee commends the Air Force for designating a system of record for condition-based maintenance plus, the Predictive Analytics and Decision Assistant, and the leadership of the Air Force Rapid Sustainment Office in successfully deploying the capability. The committee notes the Air Force's reports that benefits from such efforts include reduced unscheduled maintenance, reduced disruptions to operations, increased aircraft reliability, and increased probability of mission success.

The committee encourages the military services to accelerate deployment of conditions-based maintenance plus for materiel sustainment and overall readiness. Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of the Army, in coordination with the Secretary of the Navy, to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than March 31, 2025. The briefing shall include, at a minimum:

- (1) information regarding current efforts to field a conditions-based maintenance plus capability across materiel asset classes;
- (2) current efforts to leverage near real-time platform sensor data to provide predictive insights on system degradation to inform maintenance on systems or subsystems before failure;
- (3) investments made to date to develop and deploy such a capability at scale, as well as associated results of the investment in terms of improved platform readiness and mission capable rates;
- (4) efforts to leverage proven commercial-off-the-shelf technologies for conditions-based maintenance plus;
- (5) a list of platforms currently not meeting readiness goals that could benefit most from modern sustainment solutions like conditions-based maintenance plus; and
- (6) resource and timeline recommendations for how each service can accelerate deployment of conditions-based maintenance plus across all materiel assets.

#### Comptroller General Review of Air Force Tanker Force Structure and Sustainment

The Air Force plans to continue its divestment of older tankers (KC-10A and KC-135R/T) as the KC-46A Pegasus is fielded and begins conducting operations. The Air Force has been incrementally enhancing the capability of the KC-46A so that it can refuel additional aircraft, most recently with the B-2 being incorporated into the KC-46A mission set in 2023. The Air Force is planning to

retire its inventory of KC-10As in September 2024. The Air Force will be relying heavily on the KC-135R/T, which average 50+ years in age and present considerable sustainment challenges. The Government Accountability Office has reported that the mission capable rates of the KC-135R/T fall well short of the Air Force's goals over the last decade—presenting challenges to supporting requirements of combatant commanders. Furthermore, it is unclear as to the Air Force's plans for recapitalizing its aerial refueling fleet to meet requirements as the Air Force prepares for future operations in more contested environments.

The committee directs the Comptroller General of the United States to assess Air Force refueling capabilities, its sustainment of aerial refueling platforms, and its force structure plans for its aerial refueling platforms. This review should address the following:

(1) the extent to which the Department has identified any capability gaps in the Air Force's aerial refueling platforms to meet current and future needs and the Air Force's plans for mitigating any gaps, including recapitalizing its aerial refueling platforms;

(2) the extent to which the Air Force has taken actions to improve the availability and condition of the KC-135R/T and address any sustainment challenges for the KC-46A to meet current and future requirements;

(3) the extent to which the Air Force made and begun to implement plans to sustain these aircraft and achieve its availability goals, as the Air Force continues to procure additional tankers to replace its aging fleet over the 2020s, and the risks associated with these plans; and

(4) the extent to which the Air Force assessed other options for meeting its tanker needs, including leveraging commercial capabilities or reducing demand through changes in operational concepts or force mix.

The committee further directs the Comptroller General to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than April 1, 2025, on the Comptroller General's preliminary findings and present final results in a format and timeframe agreed to at the time of the briefing.

### Comptroller General Review of Sustainment for Space Operations

The Department of Defense spends significant resources acquiring its space capabilities, which include satellites in orbit and the ground-based capabilities needed to operate them. The Department sustains the terrestrial elements of over 56 individual weapon systems used to execute space operations. Complicating these efforts is the inherent challenge of sustaining and maintaining highly unique systems that are limited in number. Further, while the Department generally cannot conduct maintenance on satellites once they are in orbit, U.S. Space Command has identified a need for on-orbit sustainment services to support future operations. Given the legacy systems involved, the rapid fielding of new systems, and an increasingly contested space domain, the demand for sustainment planning and investments has increased, and is likely to do so for the foreseeable future.

Therefore, the committee directs the Comptroller General of the United States to undertake a comprehensive review of the Department's efforts to sustain and maintain its space capabilities. This review should address the following:

(1) the Department's approach to sustaining space-related weapon systems, including efforts to track sustainment performance;

(2) the extent to which the Department has identified and addressed space-related weapon system sustainment challenges that may be inhibiting current capability readiness; and

(3) the extent to which the Department has planned to sustain space-related weapon systems to support anticipated operational requirements in a contested space domain.

The committee further directs the Comptroller General to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than April 1, 2025, on the Comptroller General's preliminary findings and present final results in a format and timeframe agreed to at the time of the briefing.

#### Comptroller General Review of Weapon System Maintenance in a Contested Pacific Environment and Implications for Working Capital Fund Cash Balances

In the event of a major conflict within the Indo-Pacific region, the military services will need to determine how to quickly maintain weapon systems. Given the region's geographical size and the prospect of a contested environment, the military services will face challenges in returning weapon systems to continental United States (CONUS) maintenance facilities, such as shipyards and depots. The military services must develop plans to address both in-theatre and CONUS maintenance logistics and costs. The military services must also plan for how to fund emergent weapon system maintenance needs at shipyards and depots, including the potential impact on working capital fund cash balances. The committee is also concerned that potential Indo-Pacific operational missions may be at risk if the military services do not determine in advance the logistical maintenance abilities, and related funding implications, necessary to maintain major weapon systems both in-theatre and in CONUS during a major Indo-Pacific conflict.

The committee directs the Comptroller General of the United States to review the Department's maintenance of key weapon systems in a contested Indo-Pacific environment and the implications for base funding needs and working capital fund balances. This review should address the following:

(1) to what extent has the Department conducted studies, analyses, war games, or exercises to understand weapon system maintenance requirements to regenerate key equipment in a contested Indo-Pacific environment;

(2) to what extent have the military services planned for maintenance of key weapon systems in a contested Indo-Pacific environment, to include considerations such as leveraging force deployment, military construction, existing military depots and shipyards, and agreements with allies and partners;



(3) to what extent have the military services identified and addressed challenges related to the maintenance of key weapon systems in a contested Indo-Pacific environment; and

(4) to what extent does the Department's plans and its working capital fund cash management policy ensure financial solvency and continued operations at military service depots during a potential Indo-Pacific conflict.

The committee further directs the Comptroller General to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than April 1, 2025, on the Comptroller General's preliminary findings and present final results in a format and timeframe agreed to at the time of the briefing.

### Implementation of Comptroller General Recommendations to Improve Navy Maintenance and Sustainment

The committee remains concerned about Navy practices for maintenance and sustainment of its fleet due to persistent delays and a growing backlog that directly impacts operational readiness. These issues not only undermine the Navy's ability to execute its missions but also contribute to increased costs and reduced survivability of the fleet. Additionally, the Navy's lack of transparency and inefficiency in its maintenance and sustainment practices have prompted the committee to take action, including requiring studies from the Government Accountability Office (GAO) to identify deficiencies and recommend improvements.

These studies have produced several recommendations for the Navy, only a fraction of which have been implemented to date. Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of the Navy, to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than March 1, 2025, on detailed plans and timeframes for fully addressing open recommendations in the following GAO reports regarding Navy maintenance and sustainment:

(1) Navy Ship Maintenance: Actions Needed to Monitor and Address the Performance of Intermediate Maintenance Periods, GAO-22-104510;

(2) Navy Ships: Applying Leading Practices and Transparent Reporting Could Help Reduce Risks Posed by Nearly \$1.8 Billion Maintenance Backlog, GAO-22-105032;

(3) Navy Shipyards: Actions Needed to Address the Main Factors Causing Maintenance Delays for Aircraft Carriers and Submarines, GAO-20-588;

(4) Navy Ship Maintenance: Actions Needed to Address Maintenance Delays for Surface Ships Based Overseas, GAO-20-86; and

(5) Navy Shipbuilding: Increasing Focus on Sustainment Early in The Acquisition Process Could Save Billions, GAO-20-2.

The briefing shall include, with respect to each recommendation in these reports that the Secretary of Defense or the Secretary of the Navy has not yet implemented, the following elements:

(1) a summary of actions that have been or will be taken to fully implement the recommendation;

(2) a schedule, with specific milestones, for completing implementation of the recommendation; and

(3) offices of primary and collateral responsibility for the actions to implement the recommendations.

### Review of Port Security Protocols at Private Shipyards

In light of the current security landscape and emerging global threats, it is critical that the Navy maintain consistent and rigid security standards for its vessels stationed at military installations and private repair shipyards. Safeguarding personnel, installations, assets, and information from espionage, terrorism, and other criminal activities will continue to be vital to protect our national security. The SECNAVINST 5500.35 directive outlines a framework for physical security within the Navy and Marine Corps, offering a set of guidelines designed to protect critical infrastructure.

Building on this foundation, the committee directs the Secretary of the Navy to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than March 1, 2025, on port security protocols at private repair shipyards. The briefing shall include the following:

(1) current port security measures implemented at private repair shipyards against those mandated for Navy and Marine Corps installations as detailed in SECNAVINST 5500.35, specifically comparing physical security protocols, intrusion detection systems, security forces roles, and reporting mechanisms for breaches of security measures;

(2) access control measures at private shipyards, including procedures for controlling access to shipyards, vetting processes for personnel, and managing assets;

(3) adequacy of security awareness and compliance training programs at private repair shipyards; and

(4) any discrepancies between the two-level security protocols (port security barrier and armed security patrol boats) applied to Navy ships at military bases versus the security posture permitted during routine repairs.

### READINESS ISSUES

#### Advanced Airlift Tactics Training Center and Air Mobility Command in Contested Logistics in the Indo-Pacific Region

The committee recognizes the significant contributions of the Advanced Airlift Tactics Training Center (AATTC) for the mission and warfighting capabilities of the Air Mobility Command. The Department is prioritizing training for an environment in which an adversary or near-peer competitor intentionally engages in activities or generates conditions, across any domain, to deny, disrupt, destroy, or defeat friendly force logistics operations, facilities, and activities, especially in the Indo-Pacific Region. The tyranny of time and distance make this

region especially challenging for the projection of forces and sustainment of war fighting capabilities.

The Air Mobility Command is key across all five core capabilities of the fly, fix and support functions including airlift, air refueling, aeromedical evacuation, air mobility ground support operations (Global Air Mobility Support System), and command and control in contested, degraded, and operationally limited environments, particularly in the Indo-Pacific Region.

The AATTC is crucial to increasing the war fighting effectiveness and survivability of mobility forces. The National Defense Strategy also focuses on the importance of training with allies and partners, and the AATTC is critical to providing advanced tactical training to airlift aircrews of the Air National Guard, Air Force Reserve Command, Air Mobility Command, Air Combat Command, Air Force Special Operations Command, United States Marine Corps, and 18 allied partners in preparation for a contested logistics environment in the Indo-Pacific Region.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force, in coordination with the Commander, U.S. Indo-Pacific Command, to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services by December 1, 2025, on plans to enhance logistical warfighting readiness across Air Mobility Command formations, sister services, and allied partners through the AATTC to better prepare our forces for the threats, distances, and geography that will challenge us in a Pacific campaign.

#### Allied Live Training Program Interoperability

The committee is concerned about the potential lack of interoperability of the Department of Defense's new live training equipment with North Atlantic Treaty Organization countries and allied nations in the Indo-Pacific region. Given the benefits to combat readiness, the committee supports the Department of Defense's new live training modernization programs that upgrade hardware and software to ensure seamless operation in joint force-on-force training exercises with allied militaries. The committee encourages the Department — in particular, the Army — to make interoperability with United States allies a requirement in the development of new live training equipment.

The committee directs the Secretary of the Army, in coordination with the Secretary of the Navy, to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than December 1, 2024, that describes efforts by the Army and Marine Corps to ensure that interoperability with allied militaries is included as a requirement for their new live training programs.

#### Army Multi-Domain Task Force Structure

The Army's Multi-Domain Task Forces (MDTFs) represent the critical centerpiece in operationalizing the Army's Multi-Domain Operations concept to transform into a modern force. The committee understands the Army's desire to

design the structure and role of MDTFs to counter anti-access and aerial denial capabilities across the spectrum of conflict against near-peer adversaries. The ability to provide long range precision effects will enable the Army to provide the joint force a counter to hybrid threats posed by both Russia and China and additional freedom of maneuver which will be critical in a high-end conflict.

However, the committee is concerned about how the Army intends to operationally employ MDTFs and incorporate them with other Army formations, as well as how it intends to incorporate National Guard and Reserves forces into these plans. Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of the Army to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than December 31, 2024, on MDTF future employment and force structure. The briefing should include the following information:

- (1) benefits of MDTF experimentation and lessons learned that can be adopted within other Army formations;
- (2) operational concepts to employ MDTFs in war-time or crisis scenarios;
- (3) plans or requirements to leverage joint-storage facilities for MDTF sustainment;
- (4) an outline of plans or concepts to employ Reserves and National Guard forces to support MDTF operations; and
- (5) current status of each MDTF, timelines to operationalize each, and impediments to reaching full operational capability before 2027.

#### Army Total Analysis Explosive Ordnance Disposal Domestic Response

The committee is aware of the Army's plans to reorganize and adopt new force structure models and that the Army utilizes a process known as Total Army Analysis (TAA) to evaluate its current force posture and to inform recommended changes to total force structure. The committee is concerned the TAA process does not take into consideration all covered Army mission sets in the TAA process, and is especially concerned that Army units, such as Explosive Ordnance Disposal, which provide support to civilian authorities, is undervalued in this process.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of the Army to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than March 31, 2025, on the Army's evaluation of Explosive Ordnance Disposal units within the TAA process. This briefing should include, but is not limited to, the following information:

- (1) the process in which Explosive Ordnance Disposal units are analyzed;
- (2) the role these units play in support to civilian authorities, and the weight given to that covered mission set in the analysis and recommendation process;
- (3) the role these units play in very important personnel protection support missions and how this is included or excluded from the analysis and the reasoning for either;

(4) risks associated with excluding units that provide support to civilian authorities and very important personnel protection activity missions from TAA; and

(5) a list of Explosive Ordnance Disposal units that have been evaluated under TAA.

### Aviation Simulation Training

The committee is aware of the U.S. Army aviation enterprise's deployment of the Tactical Engagement Simulation System (TESS) and Training Aircraft Survivability Equipment Stimulation Suite (TASS) in conducting aviation mission survivability (AMS) training at combat training centers that meet the training standards enumerated in U.S. Army Training Circular No. 3-04.11.

The committee also recognizes that TESS and TASS are the only training solutions available to the Army that are capable of facilitating in-aircraft AMS training during flight operations, and there is a lack of uniformity and availability of these flight training aids between the Air Force, Army, Marine Corps and Navy. The committee remains concerned about in-flight accidents and mishaps related to the Department's rotary wing operations due to training gaps.

The committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than March 31, 2025, on current access to, and usage of, the Army's Threat Integrated Air Defense Systems Training Aircraft Survivability Equipment Simulation Suite survivability training across the services. The briefing shall include the following information:

(1) an analysis of the frequency to which Air Force, Army, Marine Corps and Navy rotary wing units are afforded the opportunity to conduct in-aircraft AMS training using TESS & TASS;

(2) a determination of whether such frequency of training sufficiently prepares helicopter crews' collective AMS training; and

(3) an analysis of pilot flight hours, current gaps in training, and the potential to fill training gaps with simulation training aids such as TESS and TASS.

### Comptroller General Review of Department of Defense Munitions for High-End Conflict

The 2022 National Defense Strategy states that the Department of Defense will act urgently to support efforts to reconstitute the joint force in a major conflict, to include the production of preferred munitions. To accomplish this, the Department must ensure it has the right types and quantities of these preferred munitions (e.g., precision-guided missiles and bombs) to conduct missions such as maritime strike, force protection, and long-range strike for a high-end conflict. Further, munitions inventories need to be in locations that are accessible to the joint force in operationally-relevant timeframes. The Department studies of high-priority national security scenarios suggest that the United States could use its

available supply of preferred munitions in the early days of a conflict. Over the past year, the United States has expended large amounts of preferred munitions to protect naval vessels and commercial shipping in the Red Sea. The committee is concerned about the significant challenges associated with ensuring the military services have sufficient inventories of preferred munitions, while also balancing proposals to provide such munitions to allies and partners.

The committee directs the Comptroller General of the United States to review the Department's munitions levels and plans. This review should address the following:

(1) to what extent have munition inventories of the military services changed over time and what factors, if any, affect these trends;

(2) to what extent do the military services have the required levels of preferred munitions that they have identified as necessary for operational deployments;

(3) to what extent do the military services have processes to determine and reassess requirements and inventory locations for their preferred munitions; and

(4) to what extent have the military services taken actions to mitigate any actual or potential shortfalls in preferred munitions.

The committee directs the Comptroller General to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services by April 30, 2025, on the Comptroller General's preliminary findings and present final results in a format and timeframe agreed to at the time of the briefing.

### Comptroller General Review of Department of Defense Strategic Readiness

On November 30, 2023, the Department of Defense issued its Strategic Readiness policy that described a significant shift in the way it will analyze and report readiness across the Department. The Strategic Readiness policy provides the initial framework and processes used to assess and report readiness beyond traditional operational readiness. According to the Department, Strategic Readiness will go beyond the measured factors that the current Defense Readiness Reporting System (DRRS) collects and analyzes. The committee is interested in the extent to which the office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness (OUSD-P&R) plans to incorporate traditional operational readiness measurements — such as resource ratings, or C-ratings — into Strategic Readiness and to what extent OUSD-P&R has coordinated and ensured that Strategic Readiness measures will be used consistently across the military departments.

The committee directs the Comptroller General of the United States to review the Department's implementation of Strategic Readiness. This review should address the following:

(1) to what extent will the new Strategic Readiness Assessment model integrate and affect the collection, analysis, and reporting of traditional operational readiness systems, such as DRRS;

(2) to what extent does the new Strategic Readiness Assessment model incorporate lessons learned from prior approaches to readiness assessment and inform decision-makers of potential readiness trade-offs from operational decisions;

(3) to what extent is OUSD-P&R coordinating and operationalizing the information inputs used to develop the annual Strategic Readiness Assessment across the military departments and other relevant DOD Components; and

(4) to what extent, if any, is OUSD-P&R planning to develop new data systems and identifying related resources to provide the information required by the annual Strategic Readiness Assessment.

The committee directs the Comptroller General to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than April 1, 2025, on the Comptroller General's preliminary findings and present final results in a format and timeframe agreed to at the time of the briefing.

### Comptroller General Review of Submarine Force Generation

The Navy's attack submarines provide the United States an asymmetric advantage to gather intelligence undetected, attack enemy targets, and insert special forces, among other things. These capabilities make attack submarines some of the most requested assets by the global combatant commanders. The 2022 National Defense Strategy states that the Department of Defense will prioritize a future force that is lethal, sustainable, resilient, survivable, and agile to strengthen and sustain deterrence and prevail in conflict, if necessary. Between fiscal years 2014 and 2020, however, attack submarines incurred 9,568 days of idle time and maintenance delays resulting in the Navy spending more than \$1.50 billion in fiscal year 2018 constant dollars to support attack submarines that provided no operational capability while waiting for maintenance. Sustainably maximizing operational availability depends on the Navy adhering to its schedules for maintenance, training, and deployment. Submarines were the last to implement the Navy's new force generation process, the Optimized Fleet Response Plan, and their ability to meet the goals under this revised process has not been independently evaluated.

Therefore, the committee directs the Comptroller General of the United States to assess the readiness and availability of the Navy's attack submarine fleet. This review should address the following:

(1) to what extent have Navy attack submarines met the intended goals (such as meeting desired operational availability, timely maintenance, adequate crewing, and training to fight advanced adversaries) of the Optimized Fleet Response Plan;

(2) what factors, if any, affect submarine readiness and how has the Navy mitigated any readiness challenges; and

(3) how does the Navy's approach to submarine force generation compare to that of strategic competitors and what insights, if any, can be leveraged to enhance the Navy's attack submarine fleet.

The committee directs the Comptroller General to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than April 1, 2025, on the Comptroller General's preliminary findings and present final results in a format and timeframe agreed to at the time of the briefing.

#### Comptroller General Review of Support for Operational Use of Bomber Fleet in the Indo-Pacific

The aging U.S. bomber fleet is an important component of the Department's ability to counter near peer adversaries. To boost long range strike capabilities, the Air Force is sustaining an aging fleet of bombers while acquiring the B-21 Raider. The Air Force is also changing its concept of operations for the Indo-Pacific region. As part of its distributed basing concept, the Air Force plans to preposition some bombers, which will require technicians and maintainers in more austere locations. The committee is interested in the extent to which the Air Force has developed its new concept of conventional operations for its bomber fleet, how the fleet will be forward deployed, and how preparing for the new B-21 will impact planning in the Indo-Pacific region. The committee is concerned that the Air Force's development of a new concept of operations for the conventional use of its bomber fleet and the associated plans and timelines to preposition it may require more crew (operators and maintainers) and logistics than initially planned.

Therefore, the committee directs the Comptroller General of the United States to undertake a comprehensive review of the Air Force's plans for operating bombers in the Indo-Pacific. This review should address the following:

- (1) the extent to which the Air Force has identified and mitigated any challenges sustaining its bomber fleet, particularly during operational deployments;
- (2) the extent to which the Air Force planned for maintaining the readiness of the bomber fleet to meet combatant commander requirements through its transition to the B-21;
- (3) the extent to which the military services and combatant commands are adjusting their approach of employing bombers in contested environments;
- (4) the extent to which the Air Force has identified and resourced requirements for the crews and logistics to forward deploy its current and planned bomber fleet; and
- (5) the extent to which the Air Force has identified and addressed bomber squadron training needs for threats from near peer adversaries.

The committee further directs the Comptroller General to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than April 1, 2025, on the Comptroller General's preliminary findings and provide final results to the committee in a mutually agreed upon format and timeframe.

#### Sustainable and Elastic United States Platelet Supply

The committee recognizes that the Armed Services Blood Program has demonstrated the importance of providing blood and blood products to



servicemembers and their families. Blood donations are used for battlefield injuries, cancer patients, or other health-related issues. It is critical that the United States continue to invest in the availability of blood and blood products for military operations, potential pandemics, and the needs of our servicemembers, their families, and veterans. The committee directs the Assistant Secretary for Health Affairs to submit a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than March 1, 2025, on the strategy to maintain sufficient supply of blood and blood products, to include platelets. The briefing shall include:

- (1) information on technologies the Department of Defense is pursuing;
- (2) technologies to provide blood and blood products;
- (3) availability and transportability of blood and blood products to remote sites; and
- (4) analysis of commercial options to transport blood and blood products during overseas contingency operations.

## OTHER MATTERS

### Air Force Undergraduate Pilot Training Briefing

The committee recognizes that the United States leads the world in training military pilots, both American and international, and has done so for the past 50 years. The committee supports the Department of the Air Force's Pilot Training Transformation initiative and its efforts to modernize undergraduate pilot training (UPT) through implementing advanced technology and modern instructional techniques. The committee believes transforming UPT involves integrating emerging technologies, modernizing instructional methods, and adapting to evolving military requirements.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than February 28, 2025, on its UPT modernization efforts. The briefing shall include, at a minimum:

- (1) a review of current United States and international UPT programs, including internationally manned and operated programs;
- (2) a comparison of the identified UPT programs, to include aircraft operated, integration of virtual and augmented reality, and pilot production rates;
- (3) an assessment of opportunities to expand internationally manned and operated UPT programs in the United States by further integrating international partners;
- (4) ongoing efforts by the Department of the Air Force to utilize data analytics, artificial intelligence, and simulations to enhance UPT programs in the United States;
- (5) a detailed list of any additional resources or authorities that Congress could provide to support UPT programs and encourage participation by international partners; and

(6) recommendations for policies or initiatives that could improve pilot production rates, including through internationally manned and operated UPT programs such as the Euro-NATO Joint Jet Pilot Training Program.

### Comptroller General Review on Military Moves

The U.S. Transportation Command (USTRANSCOM) awarded the Global Household Goods Contract (GHC) to a single commercial move manager to oversee the movement of household goods. The end state of GHC implementation is intended to increase and standardize customer satisfaction and to automate the management of moves and experiences of the servicemember. The committee directs the Comptroller General of the United States to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than April 1, 2025, to evaluate management and oversight of the GHC and the Defense Personal Property Program. The briefing shall include:

(1) the extent to which USTRANSCOM has developed an effective process for documenting and monitoring GHC performance;

(2) the extent to which steps taken by USTRANSCOM have been effective in mitigating risk and addressing deficiencies or challenges experienced during the initial GHC implementation phase;

(3) the extent to which the Department is monitoring and utilizing feedback from across the military services regarding the new processes and systems established by the GHC, to include effects on personnel rotation planning and readiness requirements;

(4) the extent to which USTRANSCOM is using data, customer service feedback, and other information to make improvements to GHC processes and ensure transparency for both military servicemembers and transportation service providers;

(5) the extent to which the single property manager is securing and providing capacity under GHC to sufficiently meet the Department's relocation requirements and small business goals, and to reduce impacts to military readiness and quality of life;

(6) the extent to which implementation of the Service Contract Act has affected military moves, and how this is projected to impact future military moves;

(7) the extent to which the new GHC technology is functional, user-friendly for servicemembers, civilians and their families, and integrated between the Department, individual services, military families, and the prime and subcontractors;

(8) the extent to which the historic baseline cost and performance under Tenders of Service for household goods shipments during peak and non-peak season has changed compared to the baseline of the same under GHC; and

(9) any other matters that the Comptroller General identifies as relevant.

The committee further directs the Comptroller General to present final results in a format and timeframe agreed to at the time of the briefing.

## Department of Defense Flood Mapping

The committee notes that changes in sea level can cause flooding on military installations, negatively impacting resiliency and mission assurance. The committee further notes that many of the Nation's flood maps are out of date and impede the Department's ability to make sound resourcing decisions related to flood mitigation and military construction.

Accordingly, the committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Energy, Installations, and Environment to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than December 15, 2024, on the Department's efforts to update flood maps impacting its installations. The briefing shall include, at a minimum, the following:

- (1) a description of the Department's flood mapping efforts and the periodicity in which flood maps are updated;
- (2) the methodology and resources that are used to undertake flood mapping projects; and
- (3) the extent to which information is coordinated and/or shared with other executive agencies, including, but not limited to, the Federal Emergency Management Agency.

## Kirtland Air Force Base Jet Fuel Leak

The committee is concerned about the progress the Air Force has made in addressing fuel leaks around Kirtland Air Force Base Bulk Fuels Facility, first discovered in 1999. The committee notes that the jet fuel leak continues to threaten the drinking water supply for Albuquerque and Bernalillo County. The committee is concerned that the plume containing the leaked fuel is continuing to get closer to drinking water wells. Accordingly, the committee directs the Assistant Secretary of the Air Force for Energy, Installations, and Environment to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services by December 1, 2024, on the actions identified to remediate the fuel leak and the timeline for performing these actions. The briefing shall include, at a minimum, the following:

- (1) a list of remedial actions identified to include, but not limited to, the resumption of soil vapor extraction or similar methodologies;
- (2) a description of ongoing or planned engagement and collaboration with the Water Authority and other stakeholders;
- (3) a timeline for remedial actions to be taken by the Air Force over the next five years; and
- (4) a description of any barriers to implementing an effective remediation plan.

## Transition of Global Household Goods Contract Services

The committee is invested in U.S. Transportation Command's successful stand-up and transition to the Global Household Goods Contract (GHC). Schedule

delays and limited moving capacity would jeopardize a seamless transition to ensure successful military personal property moves.

Therefore, the committee directs the Commander, U.S. Transportation Command to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than December 31, 2024, assessing the initial transition of GHC services. The briefing should include:

- (1) transition evaluation metrics and stakeholder feedback from the first 8 months;
- (2) peak season forecast for 2025;
- (3) an updated timeline for continued phase-in;
- (4) a progression schedule for future locations;
- (5) a current assessment of industry enrollment;
- (6) additional specifications on the duration, retention, and sunset of existing Defense Personal Property Program (DP3) services; and
- (7) assurances that DP3 services will remain in place until the evaluation and confirmation of GHC capacity and quality is complete.

### Warehouse Modernization

The Department of Defense operates approximately 4,000 warehouses, of which 400 warehouses are operated by the Defense Logistics Agency, that store and distribute parts in support of the armed forces. The committee recognizes that the Department of Defense has implemented several efforts, including the use of technologies, to reduce costs and increase utilization of warehouses. The committee is aware of commercial warehouse solutions that may benefit the Department's efforts including, but not limited to, digital twinning, robotic pallets, and automation. Therefore, the committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Sustainment to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than March 1, 2025, on the following:

- (1) a plan and timeline of the Department of Defense's efforts to modernize warehouses, including, but not limited to, locations and sizes of warehouses;
- (2) plans to use automation to reduce warehouse operating costs;
- (3) a list of commercial solutions that have been investigated, assessed, or field-tested to increase efficient utilization of space, including, but not limited to, solutions that use robotic pallets, digital twinning, and/or artificial intelligence and machine learning; and
- (4) an outline of resources needed to invest in warehouse modernization efforts.

## TITLE X—GENERAL PROVISIONS

### ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

#### OTHER MATTERS

## Sensitive Compartmented Information Facilities Access and Availability

The committee recognizes the importance of Sensitive Compartmented Information Facilities (SCIFs) for preserving the confidentiality and national security of our nation's defense. The committee is concerned about the availability and lack of SCIFs outside of the Washington, D.C., Maryland, and Virginia region (DMV). The committee notes that greater access to SCIFs across the country could spur additional defense collaboration and innovation throughout the industry.

The committee is aware of the "Report on Common Sensitive Compartmented Information Facility," released by the Office of the Director of National Intelligence in September 2020, which touched on the challenges and cost-prohibitive nature of multi-use classified space, as well as the policy restrictions in place that would need to be surmounted. The report concluded that an alternative approach is not needed at this time as current policies and procedures already maximize industrial SCIF reciprocity and co-utilization, while providing requisite security protection to sensitive compartmented information. The committee recognizes that the needs and status of our Defense Industrial Base is different today than at the time of this report.

Therefore, committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence and Security to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services by April 1, 2025, on the availability of SCIFs nationwide, particularly outside of the DMV. The briefing may included a classified portion, if necessary. The briefing should include:

- (1) the number and location of SCIFs located within the United States;
- (2) an assessment of areas lacking in SCIF access;
- (3) challenges to the accessibility of SCIFs for small businesses, especially in regions which lack military bases;
- (4) any plans for, and hindrances to, the construction and maintenance of multi-use classified space including considerations of cost and planning; and
- (5) any proposed policy changes to facilitate necessary access for industry partners.

## **DIVISION B—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS**

### **TITLE XXIII—AIR FORCE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION**

#### **ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST**

##### **Strategic Importance of Lajes Field**

The committee notes that Lajes Field, Portugal, is home to the 65th Air Base Group and has long served as a strategic asset for the United States military, particularly due to its prime geographic location for operations spanning the Atlantic. This significance is highlighted by the base's operational capabilities,

which include the second-largest runway among U.S. utilized bases in Europe. This has established Lajes Field as a critical logistical hub, supporting aircraft transiting the Atlantic.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than February 1, 2025, on the strategic importance of Lajes Field to current and future United States national security operations. The briefing shall include an assessment of the following elements:

- (1) strategic value of Lajes Field, including its runway size and fuel storage capacity in the context of broader U.S. operations in the European theater;
- (2) current state of logistical capabilities at Lajes Field and how these support U.S. and allied operations; and
- (3) future infrastructure plans and recommendations on the use of Lajes Field to enhance U.S. strategic interests.

## TITLE XXVIII—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION GENERAL PROVISIONS

### ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

#### Comptroller General Review of Military Construction Cost Estimation

The committee is concerned with the rate of cost growth in military construction projects. The committee commends Navy Facilities Engineering Systems Command and the Army Corps of Engineers for the efforts they have made to improve cost estimation for military construction and modernization projects. The committee notes that the accuracy of cost estimation seems particularly inconsistent in more complex projects, or those that have associated logistical challenges such as underground, remote, hardened or in-water facilities. The committee directs the Comptroller General of the United States to conduct a comprehensive review of the Department's efforts to improve cost estimation in complex or high-cost military construction projects as well as large renovation or modernization projects. The review should address the following:

- (1) the extent to which the various early contractor involvement models, including integrated design and construction, are being used to ensure more accurate cost estimation earlier in the budgeting process for projects over \$100.0 million;
- (2) the extent to which other contracting tools such as risk profiles, economic price adjustments, and un-definitized contract vehicles are impacting cost estimation;
- (3) the extent to which cost schedule risk analysis is effectively used to derive an initial cost estimate;
- (4) an assessment of whether 35 percent design completion is sufficient for an initial cost estimate;

(5) an assessment of the extent to which the Department is using software enabled sustainment management systems to better plan for investments in restoration, modernization, and recapitalization of infrastructure for existing missions;

(6) the extent to which there are opportunities for improving cost estimation for projects under \$100.0 million; and

(7) an assessment of the efficacy of any staff education programs the Department has implemented to ensure more effective cost estimation.

The committee further directs the Comptroller General to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than June 1, 2025, on the preliminary findings of the review and to provide final results to the House Committee on Armed Services and the Senate Committee on Armed Services in a format and timeframe mutually agreed upon.

#### Defense Community Infrastructure Program and Installation Resilience Efforts

The committee notes that the Defense Community Infrastructure Program (DCIP), administered by the Office of Local Defense Community Cooperation (OLDCC), codified in section 2391(d) of title 10, United States Code, authorizes the award of grants to address infrastructure deficiencies in communities that support military installations. The committee further notes that OLDCC also engages with communities for installation resilience initiatives through vulnerability assessments as well as studies related to transportation, compatible land use, housing, and storm water management. The committee is interested in how OLDCC coordinates the two efforts and how DCIP may support installation resilience efforts.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than March 1, 2025, on DCIP and resilience efforts. The briefing shall include:

- (1) information on how OLDCC administers these two programs;
- (2) the extent to which they are complementary;
- (3) how resilience efforts with communities inform potential DCIP projects;

and

- (4) how DCIP, in general, addresses installation resilience concerns.

#### Hardened Infrastructure on Guam

The committee notes that Guam's strategic location in the Pacific makes it a critical hub for U.S. military operations, enhancing the U.S. military's ability to project power and maintain stability in the U.S. Indo-Pacific Command's area of operations. This strategic importance also makes Guam a potential target. Protecting servicemembers and critical infrastructure from attacks is critical to maintaining operational readiness and ensuring the safety of U.S. assets on the island. To better understand efforts to harden infrastructure on the island, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a briefing to the House

Committee on Armed Services not later than February 1, 2025, on facility hardening on Guam. The briefing shall include an assessment of the following elements:

- (1) resilience and capability of existing infrastructure on Guam to withstand attack;
- (2) utility of hardened structures on Guam to ensure continuity of operations and the safety of military and civilian personnel; and
- (3) financial requirements or other barriers for hardening new or existing structures.

#### Hunter Hall Army Reserve Center, San Pablo, CA

The committee is aware that the Army Reserve is considering the future of the Hunter Hall Reserve Center in San Pablo, California. The committee understands that both the City of San Pablo and the Department of Homeland Security have expressed interest in the property. The committee is concerned that the Department of Defense has not considered all potential uses for this property, should it be deemed excess property. Accordingly, the committee directs the Assistant Secretary of the Army for Installations, Energy, and Environment to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than November 15, 2024, on the process the Army Reserve is using to determine the future of Hunter Hall Reserve Center. This briefing shall include, at a minimum, the following:

- (1) a description of the process by which the Army Reserve is assessing whether Hunter Hall Reserve Center should be declared excess property, to include any reasons why the Department of Defense has chosen not to begin such a screening process;
- (2) a description of authorities that would allow the use by a Federal agency, other than the Department of Defense, without the property being declared excess;
- (3) potential uses of Hunter Hall Reserve Center, should it not be deemed excess property;
- (4) a description of the public engagement plan that will be used to assess public support for any planned future use of Hunter Hall Reserve Center; and
- (5) a description of the engagement plan for considering the feasibility of the City of San Pablo's preferred future uses for the property, to include uses should the property be declared excess.

#### Improving Alternative Transportation Options for Servicemembers

The committee is aware that servicemembers, their families, contractors, visitors, and Department of Defense civilians face challenges in accessing transportation options on military bases due to various security concerns. Civilians operating outside bases can utilize ride sharing and public transportation, but these services are typically unavailable inside the base perimeter. Unfortunately, many



servicemembers encounter difficulties reaching the gates to access alternative transportation solutions safely and conveniently.

Therefore, the committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than February 1, 2025, on alternative transportation options for servicemembers, their families, contractors, visitors, and Department of Defense civilians to access the community outside the military base. The report, at a minimum, should include the following information:

(1) whether the office has considered military base transportation options for servicemembers, their families, contractors, visitors, and Department of Defense civilians and what on-base options the office has considered;

(2) a review of the military bases that have barracks or military housing located more than 1 mile from a military exit; and

(3) the cost associated with conducting a pilot shuttle program on military bases to provide transportation options around a military base and to exit the base.

#### Infrastructure at Fort McClellan Training Center and Clarke Range Complex

The Army National Guard (ARNG) plays a pivotal role in our national defense as a Reserve force by augmenting Active Forces, supporting operational readiness, and providing crucial capabilities to State governments. The committee recognizes that Fort McClellan Training Center (FMTC), located in Alabama, serves as a significant training and operational base for the ARNG, but currently faces significant infrastructure challenges. The committee is concerned that across the state of Alabama, ARNG facilities have an average Installation Status Report of "poor", indicating several unmet functional needs.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of the Army to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than January 31, 2025, on the prioritization and execution of facility upgrades at FMTC and the nearby Clarke Range Complex, ensuring it can support both current and future ARNG missions. The briefing shall include, at a minimum:

(1) an assessment of current conditions and future needs of aerial system training facilities, educational facilities, and gunnery ranges at FMTC and Clark Range Complex; and

(2) planned infrastructure projects at FMTC and Clark Range Complex, including timelines for execution and strategies for ensuring they meet future requirements.

#### Joint Base Lewis-McChord North Clear Zone

The committee commends the Army for executing a cooperative agreement with stakeholders related to the Joint Base Lewis-McChord (JBLM) North Clear Zone (NCZ) property acquisition. The committee understands that the cooperative agreement (CA) commits Army Environmental Command and the City of Lakewood to a contract to clear the Joint Base Lewis-McChord North Clear Zone of

incompatible land uses. The committee notes that under the agreement, the Air Force provides the funds while the Army manages real estate transactions. The committee is concerned that the first property acquisition took 850 days to complete and the potential impacts of further property acquisition delays on JBLM. The committee is concerned that the current funding process is unable to keep pace with the commercial real estate market and may exacerbate encroachment issues. The committee encourages the Department of Defense to prioritize resolving encroachment in the JBLM NCZ with the goal of processing future property transactions within a calendar year. The committee directs the Assistant Secretary of the Army for Energy, Installations, and Environment to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than June 2, 2025, on the status of property acquisition in the North Clear Zone. The briefing shall include a discussion of how to increase the speed and efficiency of these transactions to include the feasibility of setting up an escrow account.

#### Joint Housing Requirements and Market Analysis for Maui

The committee notes that housing constraints on Maui have been exacerbated by the wildfires that took place in 2023. The committee is aware that availability and affordability of housing could impact the retention and quality of life of Department of Defense employees whether they are servicemembers, Department of Defense civilians, or contractors. In addition, the growing Air Force and Space Force presence, coupled with the loss of affordable housing due to wildfire destruction, may contribute to housing concerns on the island. The committee is concerned that due to the relatively small footprint of personnel, the Department of Defense has failed to analyze the potential impact of the changing housing market on its equities.

Accordingly, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than June 2, 2025, to include, at a minimum, the following:

- (1) an analysis of the extent to which the August 2023 fires on Maui have affected the availability and affordability of housing for Department of Defense personnel on Maui;
- (2) an analysis of the number of Department of Defense personnel and their dependents stationed on Maui over the next 10 years;
- (3) an assessment of the feasibility of creating privatized or government-owned housing options located on Maui to create stable housing supply for Department of Defense and Department of Homeland Security personnel;
- (4) an analysis of how growth of other Federal agency populations, to include the Coast Guard, may impact the availability of affordable housing on Maui; and
- (5) any other relevant information, as determined by the Secretary.

#### Large Unit Apartment-Style Housing for Military Housing Privatization Initiative Feasibility Study

The committee notes that in recent years, the escalating costs of housing in metropolitan areas has posed significant challenges for servicemembers and their families. Given the demographic of many servicemember families, there is significant interest in multi-unit apartment-style living arrangements. Additionally, servicemembers have indicated that integrating essential services such as childcare within residential complexes is a growing need. Long commutes and scarcity of available childcare options complicate the living situations of military families, highlighting an area for improvement in their quality of life.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretaries of the military departments, to conduct a feasibility study and submit a report to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than March 1, 2025, on the development of large multi-unit apartment-style housing for Military Housing Privatization Initiative (MHPI) housing. This feasibility study should include an assessment of the following elements:

- (1) cost implications of constructing and maintaining large multi-unit apartment complexes under MHPI, considering direct costs and long-term savings;
- (2) suitable locations within high-cost metropolitan areas where such housing developments could be most beneficial;
- (3) potential use of these facilities to include spaces dedicated to child development centers; and
- (4) regulatory or financial barriers that might prevent the implementation of such housing projects.

#### Quality of Life Resourcing at Creech Air Force Base

The committee is aware of the unique nature of Creech Air Force Base (AFB), Nevada, due to the remoteness of the installation and the specialized operational requirements of the missions conducted there. The committee is concerned that the Air Force is not adequately considering how to resource Creech AFB given its limited access to services both on base and in the community nearby. Military members at Creech AFB were previously authorized assignment incentive pay to address the remote location. The committee notes that Creech AFB is currently not designated as a “remote and isolated” installation for purposes of morale, welfare, and recreation (MWR), as MWR services are provided through Nellis Air Force Base. The committee is aware of the work done by the Comptroller General in GAO 21-276, “Military Installations: DOD Should Consider Various Support Services when Designating Sites as Remote or Isolated,” such as housing, education services, health care and employment opportunities in accordance with GAO recommendations.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than December 31, 2024, detailing the following:

- (1) an assessment of the need for and status of reinstatement of Assignment Incentive Pay based on location for Creech AFB reinstatement;

- (2) a review of the need for and impacts of a remote and isolated designation for Creech AFB to provide organic MWR services at the installation;
- (3) a review of the need for and impacts of designating Creech AFB as a remote and isolated installation in respect to consideration for additional support services such as housing, education services, health care and employment opportunities as recommended by GAO 21-276; and
- (4) an assessment of any other ways in which to mitigate the impact of limited services on servicemembers assigned to Creech AFB.

#### Technical Assistance for Guam Environmental Protection Agency

The committee notes that Guam Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has a critical role in overseeing environmental compliance and inspections for military construction projects on the island. Due to the increasing volume of projects, the agency may not have the capacity to manage necessary permissions and approvals to meet construction schedules. Potential delays threaten the timely completion of construction projects essential for national security and military readiness.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than February 1, 2025, on ways in which the Department of Defense may support Guam EPA for the purposes of accelerating military construction approvals. The briefing shall include an assessment of the following elements:

- (1) history of assistance provided by the Department or other Federal agencies to the Guam EPA;
- (2) current timelines for completion of military construction requirements performed by the Guam EPA; and
- (3) any existing mechanisms within the Department of Defense to assist Guam EPA with requirements for military construction projects or additional authorities that may be needed.

#### White Sands Missile Range Infrastructure Requirements

The committee notes that White Sands Missile Range (WSMR) holds a critical position within the Department of Defense. This testing ground in New Mexico is critical for the advancement of missile technology and other defense-related innovations. As defense technologies continue to progress, there is a need to ensure that the infrastructure at WSMR is capable of supporting these advancements. However, many of the facilities at WSMR have become outdated and require modernization to keep pace with its workload requirements.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than February 1, 2025, on WSMR infrastructure requirements. The briefing shall include an assessment of the following elements:

- (1) areas where existing facilities require modernization or improvements;

- (2) capability gaps where new facility construction is required;
- (2) potential risks if modernization and new construction initiatives are not implemented; and
- (4) current and future plans for construction and modernization initiatives at WSMR.