#### SUBCOMMITTEE ON READINESS EN BLOC #2

| LOG<br>ID | REV | MEMBER                  | MARKUP<br>LOC | DESCRIPTION  | MARKUP<br>ACT |
|-----------|-----|-------------------------|---------------|--|---------------|
| 3101      | 8   | Davis,<br>Donald G.     | RDY           | A DRL amendment to require a report to the House Committee on Armed Services on the anticipated use of the rail line from Marine Corps Air Station Cherry Point.   | EB 2          |
| 3112      | 0   | Kiggans,<br>Jennifer A. | RDY           | Directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a report to the committee on the status of privatized housing communities designated solely for unaccompanied servicemembers that currently exist or are planned in each service.  | EB 2          |
| 3116      | 1   | Turner,<br>Michael      | RDY           | Report language directing the Secretary of the Air Force to provide a report on classified administrative space shortages across the department, especially in support of acquisition functions.   | EB 2          |
| 3121      | 3   | Kelly, Trent            | RDY           | This language directs a report by OSD reviewing the proposed recompete of the LOGCAP V regional GCC operational task orders and conduct an independent business case analysis.   | EB 2          |
| 3122      | 3   | Davis,<br>Donald G.     | RDY           | To require the Secretary of the Army to return to the House Armed Services Committee a briefing on its plans to upgrade its existing field feeding systems and the need to acquire additional units.   | EB 2          |
| 3135      | 1   | Sewell,<br>Terri A.     | RDY           | Directs the Secretary of the Air Force to provide a briefing on facility modernization plans for Air National Guard instillations in Alabama.  | EB 2          |
| 3140      | 1   | Garamendi,<br>John      | RDY           | SECDEF must provide guidance for use of unmanned aircraft systems by National Guard, and be no more restrictive than the use of other aircraft for covered activities  | EB 2          |
| 3146      | 1   | Waltz,<br>Michael       | RDY           | Report on plans to gradually stand-up GHC services   | EB 2          |
| 3151      | 1   | Scott,<br>Austin        | RDY           | Requires a briefing on the state of the Expeditionary Solid Waste Disposal System (ESWDS) program and what resources are needed to move the program forward to large-scale deployments.  | EB 2          |
| 3154      | 0   | Garamendi,<br>John      | RDY           | Authorization for FireGuard program  | EB 2          |
| 3161      | 0   | Sherrill,<br>Mikie      | RDY           | Directs DoD to assess the levels of light pollution at its various infrastructure, including the analysis of the impact to security and safety, cost savings, the environment, any pollution trends across facilities, and recommendations for light pollution mitigation. | EB 2          |
| 3168      | 0   | Sherrill,<br>Mikie      | RDY           | Updates text from last year's NDAA on "prototype and demonstration projects for energy resilience at certain military installations" to include hydrogen as an energy storage and power generation tool that DoD can research, develop, and test.                          | EB 2          |
| 3202      | 0   | DesJarlais,<br>Scott    | RDY           | Clarifies language in the Pilot Program created under Sec. 2862 of the FY22 NDAA (PL 117-81) to allow facilities covered by the pilot program to use funds allocated to FSRM as well as user fees collected from customers.  | EB 2          |

Cover Page: 1 of 4 Created 6/20/23, 6:44 PM

| LOG<br>ID | REV | MEMBER                 | MARKUP<br>LOC | DESCRIPTION   | MARKUP<br>ACT |
|-----------|-----|------------------------|---------------|---|---------------|
| 3232      | 0   | Fallon, Pat            | RDY           | Directs a briefing from the SECDEF on its efforts to identify and develop domestic hybrid energy technologies that would reduce foreign dependence on energy solutions and leverage private advancements in energy technologies.  | EB 2          |
| 3233      | 1   | Wittman,<br>Robert     | RDY           | Development and operation of the Marine Corps Heritage Center and National Museum of the Marine Corps at Marine Corps Base, Quantico, Virginia.   | EB 2          |
| 3235      | 1   | Strickland,<br>Marilyn | RDY           | Funding Accounts for Housing Unaccompanied Junior Enlisted Service Members  | EB 2          |
| 3239      | 0   | Strickland,<br>Marilyn | RDY           | Financial Viability of Privatized Housing Projects  | EB 2          |
| 3244      | 1   | Escobar,<br>Veronica   | RDY           | Army Vantage Program  | EB 2          |
| 3249      | 0   | Strong,<br>Dale W.     | RDY           | Directs the Army to provide a report on potential power source options for energy resilience on U.S. Army installations hosting one or more four-star command.  | EB 2          |
| 3250      | 1   | Garamendi,<br>John     | RDY           | Report on use of CH-47 HELOS for wildfire response and SAR  | EB 2          |
| 3262      | 0   | Waltz,<br>Michael      | RDY           | Assessment of southeastern ranges for testing and training to support the Pacific Deterrence Initiative   | EB 2          |
| 3300      | 0   | Wittman,<br>Robert     | RDY           | Clarifies the authority of the Department of Defense to carry out certain prototype projects by amending Section 4022(i) of Title 10 USC.   | EB 2          |
| 3302      | 2   | Kelly, Trent           | RDY           | This request is to direct a on the known risk in Western Pacific fuel supply chain and report on the feasibility of rapidly integrating advanced fuel security technologies and digital chemistry proven in the commercial market, to increase logistics readiness levels | EB 2          |
| 3305      | 2   | Golden,<br>Jared F.    | RDY           | DRL directing USD P&R to provide a report on cost estimates and repair schedule for Gull Cottage facility.  | EB 2          |
| 3315      | 1   | Sewell,<br>Terri A.    | RDY           | Directs the Army to provide a briefing on the usage of biometrics at instillations to verify visitors' identification.  | EB 2          |
| 3348      | 1   | Tokuda,<br>Jill N.     | RDY           | Requires the Secretary of Defense to designate a senior official responsible for coordinating negotiations between the Services and the State of Hawaii with regards to military land leases.   | EB 2          |

| LOG<br>ID | REV | MEMBER                    | MARKUP<br>LOC | DESCRIPTION  | MARKUP<br>ACT |
|-----------|-----|---------------------------|---------------|--|---------------|
| 3349      | 1   | Tokuda,<br>Jill N.        | RDY           | Requires the Department to report on the transition for community engagement and the plan for the removal of residual fuel at the Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility prior to the termination of the Joint Task Force Red Hill. | EB 2          |
| 3351      | 0   | Tokuda,<br>Jill N.        | RDY           | Adds reporting requirements on the Shipyard Infrastructure Optimization Program related to contingency planning for delays and risks to the availability schedule of submarines and aircraft carriers.                           | EB 2          |
| 3356      | 0   | Tokuda,<br>Jill N.        | RDY           | Adds consideration of recycled and reused minerals and metals as sources of critical minerals and metal reserves in public-private partnerships.   | EB 2          |
| 3368      | 2   | Moylan,<br>Jim            | RDY           | Study of Typhoon Mawar impact on Guam  | EB 2          |
| 3369      | 2   | Moylan,<br>Jim            | RDY           | DoD Partnership GPA Study  | EB 2          |
| 3370      | 2   | Moylan,<br>Jim            | RDY           | Defense Access Road Study  | EB 2          |
| 3378      | 3   | Lamborn,<br>Doug          | RDY           | Requires DoD to update their previous plan to close the Pueblo Chemical Depot no later than one year following the conclusion of their study or by September 2024, whichever occurs first  | EB 2          |
| 3394      | 2   | Moylan,<br>Jim            | RDY           | DCIP Cost Share  | EB 2          |
| 3431      | 1   | Mace,<br>Nancy            | RDY           | LOW-METHANE INTENSITY NATURAL GAS PILOT PROGRAM  | EB 2          |
| 3465      | 0   | Sherrill,<br>Mikie        | RDY           | Requires a briefing on DOD's efforts to strengthen operational energy resiliency and develop best practices.   | EB 2          |
| 3475      | 1   | Johnson,<br>Mike          | RDY           | Requires DOD to bring SCIF spaces into compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act and Architectural Barriers Act upon renovation, replacement, or other retrofit.   | EB 2          |
| 3476      | 1   | Jackson<br>(TX),<br>Ronny | RDY           | Require a briefing on the modernization of the Euro-NATO Joint Jet Pilot Training program and the plans for the program's future.  | EB 2          |
| 3482      | 1   | Panetta,<br>Jimmy         | RDY           | Environmental restoration and future conveyance of former Rio Vista Army Reserve Center, Rio Vista, California.  | EB 2          |

| LOG<br>ID | REV | MEMBER                    | MARKUP<br>LOC | DESCRIPTION   | MARKUP<br>ACT |
|-----------|-----|---------------------------|---------------|---|---------------|
| 3490      | 4   | Davis,<br>Donald G.       | RDY           | To require DoD to develop health and safety standards for barracks, including standards on fire and electrical safety. It also prevents waivers on certain safety standards.  | EB 2          |
| 3501      | 0   | Garamendi,<br>John        | RDY           | DRL Recapitalizing Mare Island Shipyard   | EB 2          |
| 3510      | 1   | Jackson<br>(TX),<br>Ronny | RDY           | Report on large-scale, government-owned RPA flight operations   | EB 2          |
| 3548      | 1   | Keating,<br>William R.    | RDY           | Would alter "10 U.S. Code § 2815 - Military installation resilience projects." We would like the amendment to alter the code to direct the Secretary of Defense to prioritize federally owned transportation infrastructure when considering which projects | EB 2          |
| 3551      | 2   | Keating,<br>William R.    | RDY           | Alters "10 U.S. Code § 2815 - Military installation resilience projects" by expanding maximum funding cap of \$100 million to \$200 million   | EB 2          |
| 3614      | 0   | Garamendi,<br>John        | RDY           | Requires a report on the use of progressive design-build in military contracting.   | EB 2          |

### Offered by: Mr. Davis of North Carolina

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 2670, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

[Camp Lejeune Rail Reporting]

Camp Lejeune is connected to Marine Corps Air Station Cherry Point via a 30-mile-long rail line owned by the U.S. Government and managed by the Marine Corps. The rail line is part of the Strategic Rail Corridor Network and is currently undergoing repairs and upgrades after years of deferred maintenance and substantial damage caused by Hurricane Florence in 2018. The committee directs the Deputy Commandant of the Marine Corps for Installations and Logistics and the Assistant Secretary of the Navy for Energy, Installations, and Environment to report to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than March 15, 2024 on the anticipated use of the rail line when it is put back into operation, including its maintenance plan and amount of rail traffic. Furthermore, the committee directs that the report contain an assessment of how the rail line can be transferred to ownership or long-term lease to the State of North Carolina or a state-owned railroad company in a way that will maintain military use of the rail line while accommodating economic development along the rail line.

#### Amendment to H.R. 2670

#### National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2024

#### Offered by: Mrs. Kiggans

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 2670, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

#### DoD Unaccompanied Privatizing Housing Report

The committee recognizes the vital role that housing plays in dictating the quality of life of our service members and their families. Given that the services are currently experiencing issues with recruitment and retention, the committee recognizes that ensuring high quality housing for our servicemembers would likely help reduce retention challenges.

The committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a report to the House Armed Services Committee by January 31, 2024, on the status of privatized housing communities designated solely for unaccompanied servicemembers that currently exist or are planned in each service. The report shall include details of the accommodation capacity of each community, the cost of each community, the cost per service member, the age of each community, and any expected realized cost savings. Furthermore, the report shall examine how each service's policies related to these communities differ and identify best practices that can be applied enterprise-wide.

Offered by: Mr. Turner

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 2670, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

Report on the Need for Classified Administrative Space

The committee is aware of a significant shortage in classified administrative space to meet current and future mission Air Force requirements, particularly for the acquisition workforce. Some of these requirements are driven by the "seven operational imperatives" established by the Secretary of the Air Force to meet new and emerging threats and challenges. The committee urges the Secretary of the Air Force to take immediate action to meet exigent requirements for classified administrative space in support of acquisition functions, which may include off-base leasing, enhanced use leases, Intergovernmental Support Agreements, relocatable facilities, facilities as a service, and other creative approaches and industry best practices.

Additionally, the committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to provide a report to the House Committee on Armed Services, not later than December 31, 2023, on classified administrative space shortages across the Department, especially in support of acquisition functions. The report, at a minimum, should include the following:

- (1) scope of classified administrative space shortages across the Department;
- (2) current efforts to address classified space shortfalls:
- (3) expected timeline and cost for resolving these shortages;
- (4) specific statutory and administrative barriers to addressing these shortages; and
- (5) impact on mission if these shortages are not resolved.

#### Offered by: Mr. Trent Kelly

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 2670, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

# REPORT ON THE ARMY'S LOGISTICS AUGMENTATION PROGRAM ACQUISITION STRATEGIES

The committee is aware that the Army intends to recompete the Global Combatant Command (GCC) operational task orders under the ten-year LOGCAP V contract, which is set to expire in 2028. Additionally, the Army plans to integrate the Army Prepositioned Stocks (APS) program into LOGCAP V while concurrently developing requirements for the upcoming LOGCAP VI competition.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of the Army, in collaboration with the Office of the Secretary of Defense, Joint Staff, and Global Combatant Commanders, to submit a report to the House Committee on Armed Services by January 15th, 2024. This report should review the proposed re-competition of the LOGCAP V regional GCC operational task orders. The analysis should encompass the following aspects:

- (1) an independent Business Case Analysis that evaluates the cost and operational benefits of recompeting the LOGCAP V GCC task orders at this stage of the program execution.
- (2) input from stakeholders, including the Army Sustainment Command, GCC Commanders, and Army Service Component Commanders, regarding the desirability and operational impacts of the proposed acquisition strategy.
- (3) detailed cost estimates and timelines, including projected task order transition costs and timelines.
- (4) Potential impacts on the quality and timing of the transition to LOGCAP VI.
- (5) analysis comparing the re-competition of LOGCAP V task orders with the transition to LOGCAP VI.
- (6) an overview of potential innovations and efficiencies that could result from a new LOGCAP VI competition.
- (7) assessment of the benefits of competition in the re-competition under LOGCAP V compared to the potential competition assumptions for LOGCAP VI.
- (8) a breakdown of any additional authorities needed to modify the proposed LOGCAP V GCC task order recompete strategy or to transition directly to LOGCAP VI.

# Offered by: Mr. Davis of North Carolina

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 2670, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

#### [Field Feeding Systems]

The committee is aware of the need for the Army to upgrade its existing field feeding and soldier sustainment equipment. The need for the Army to be able feed troops and even civilian populations is well known but the service's state of readiness, especially in its legacy sustainment equipment is less well understood. Given the need to properly budget and deploy for field feeding and expeditionary sustainment, the committee requires greater visibility into the Army's future funding requirements for this essential service.

The committee directs the Secretary of the Army to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services no later than March 31, 2024, on its plans to upgrade its existing field feeding systems, the need to acquire additional units, and what Congress should expect in terms of budgetary impacts from such procurement. This briefing should specifically include, but is not limited to, information regarding:

- 1) The Army's current inventory of legacy field feeding systems that are both deployable and safe to use;
- 2) The inventory of field feeding systems that is sufficient for maintaining readiness and maximizing distributed mission effectiveness;
- 3) The Army's prospective plans on how to fund, field, equip, and upgrade existing units in addition to phasing out older and less capable field feeding systems; and
- 4) The cost and timeline associated with implementing such a strategy, including additional outlays by Congress to accomplish this goal.

# Offered by: Ms. Sewell

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 2670, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

#### Air National Guard Facility Modernization

The committee notes that the Air National Guard serves a critical role as a reserve force that supports operational readiness, augments active duty personnel, and provides valuable capability to state governments. The committee also notes that to ensure these units are properly trained and equipped, infrastructure and facilities for the Air National Guard require the same planning for modernization and sustainment as active component facilities. However, the committee is concerned that current investment in the modernization of Air National Guard facilities and infrastructure is insufficient. The committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than December 1st, 2023, on facility modernization plans for Air National Guard installations in Alabama. The briefing shall include:

- 1) information on the condition of Air National Guard facilities in the state;
- 2) current facility needs and the impact on mission requirements, personnel safety, and the risk to aircraft;
- 3) any future infrastructure projects planned at such Air National Guard installations.

# AMENDMENT TO H.R. 2670 OFFERED BY MR. GARAMENDI OF CALIFORNIA

At the appropriate place in title X, insert the following:

| 1  | SEC. 10 GUIDANCE FOR USE OF UNMANNED AIRCRAFT              |
|----|--|
| 2  | SYSTEMS BY NATIONAL GUARD.                                 |
| 3  | (a) New Guidance Required.—Not later than 90               |
| 4  | days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Sec- |
| 5  | retary of Defense shall issue new guidance on the use of   |
| 6  | unmanned aircraft systems by the National Guard for cov-   |
| 7  | ered activities.   |
| 8  | (b) Briefing.—Not later than 60 days after the date        |
| 9  | on which the Secretary issues the new guidance under sub-  |
| 10 | section (a), the Secretary shall provide to the Committee  |
| 11 | on Armed Services of the House of Representatives. Such    |
| 12 | briefing shall include—                                    |
| 13 | (1) an explanation of whether the new guidance             |
| 14 | is more restrictive than guidance on the use of other      |
| 15 | types of aircraft for covered activities; and              |
| 16 | (2) if the new guidance is more restrictive, an            |
| 17 | explanation for the reasons why such guidance is           |
| 18 | more restrictive.  |

(c) COVERED ACTIVITIES DEFINED.—In this section,
 the term "covered activities" means any of the following:
 (1) Emergency operations.
 (2) Search and rescue operations.
 (3) Defense support to civil authorities.
 (4) Support provided under section 502(f) of
 title 32, United States Code.

 $\times$ 

Offered by: Mr. Waltz

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 2670, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

#### **Global Household Goods Contract Risk Mitigation**

The magnitude of the Defense Personal Property Program moving to a single move manager and the recent Global Household Goods Contract (GHC) schedule adjustments require U.S. Transportation Command (USTRANSCOM) to carefully consider the stand-up of these services and take steps to mitigate risk. The committee is committed to ensuring a responsible GHC transition and customer satisfaction.

Therefore, the committee directs the Commander of USTRANSCOM to submit a report to the Senate Committee on Armed Services and the House Committee on Armed Services, not later than December 1, 2023, on the plan to gradually stand-up GHC services and evaluate the GHC through the critical peak season during the height of personal property moves from May-July 2024. The report should include how the plan will provide customer satisfaction, establish metrics to cost effectively assume these responsibilities, and ensure that existing Defense Personal Property Program services are still available throughout the period of evaluation and confirmation of services.

#### Offered by: Mr. Austin Scott of Georgia

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 2670, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

Deployable Solid Waste Disposal Solutions for Expeditionary Bases

The committee notes the Department's progress thus far in eliminating open burn pits from military installations to protect the health and safety of all service members. The committee is aware of current efforts by the Department to move commercial waste to energy solutions from prototype to a program of record for deployable solid waste incinerators optimized for energy efficiency and clean emissions. Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees no later than January 31, 2024, that includes the following information:

- requirements and plans for the development and acquisition of deployable solid waste incinerators optimized for clean emissions and suitability for use on military missions;
- 2) the inventory of deployable solid waste incinerators that is sufficient for maintaining the readiness and maximizing distributed mission effectiveness;
- 3) the cost and timeline associated with implementing such a strategy, including additional outlays by Congress to accomplish this goal; and
- 4) issues for consideration and plans related to transitioning the program from prototype to production.

# AMENDMENT TO H.R. 2670 OFFERED BY MR. GARAMENDI OF CALIFORNIA

At the appropriate place in title V, insert the following:

| 1  | SEC. 5 AUTHORIZATION FOR FIREGUARD PROGRAM.                |
|----|--|
| 2  | (a) Authority.—Chapter 5 of title 32, United               |
| 3  | States Code, is amended by adding at the end the fol-      |
| 4  | lowing new section:  |
| 5  | "§ 510. Authorization for FireGuard Program                |
| 6  | "(a) Authorization.—The Secretary of Defense               |
| 7  | may use members of the National Guard to carry out a       |
| 8  | program to aggregate, analyze, and assess multi-source re- |
| 9  | mote sensing information for interagency partnerships in   |
| 10 | the detection and monitoring of wildfires, and to support  |
| 11 | any emergency response to such wildfires. Such a program   |
| 12 | shall be known as the 'FireGuard Program'.                 |
| 13 | "(b) RESOURCES; LIMITATION.—If the Secretary               |
| 14 | carries out a program under this section, the Secretary—   |
| 15 | "(1) shall transfer the functions, personnel, as-          |
| 16 | sets, and capabilities of the FireGuard Program, in        |
| 17 | existence on the day before the date of enactment of       |
| 18 | the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal          |

| 1  | Year 2024, to the FireGuard Program authorized           |
|----|--|
| 2  | under this section;                                      |
| 3  | "(2) may direct the Director of the National             |
| 4  | Geospatial-Intelligence Agency to provide such as-       |
| 5  | sistance as the Secretary determines necessary to        |
| 6  | carry out the FireGuard Program; and                     |
| 7  | "(3) may not reduce support, or transfer re-             |
| 8  | sponsibility for support to an interagency partner       |
| 9  | for the FireGuard Program authorized under this          |
| 10 | section.".   |
| 11 | (b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections            |
| 12 | at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding    |
| 13 | at the end the following new item:                       |
|    | "510. Authorization for FireGuard Program.".             |
| 14 | (c) Conforming Amendment.—The National De-               |
| 15 | fense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2022 (Public Law |

 $\times$ 

16 117-81) is amended by striking section 515.

g:\VHLD\061423\D061423.049.xml June 14, 2023 (11:08 a.m.)

#### Offered by: Ms. Sherrill

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 2670, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

Study on Limiting Light Pollution at US Military Facilities and Installations

The Committee commends the Department of Defense on its efforts to make facilities resilient, mitigate impacts on local communities, and remain a steward of over half a million facilities and 27 million acres of land, in urban, suburban, and rural areas, that often abut homes, community spaces, and protected natural landscapes. The Committee remarks that under the Department of Defense's Natural Resources Conservation Program, promulgated under Instruction 4715.3, that the Department, "...sustain the long-term ecological integrity of the resource base and ecosystem services it provides." The Committee notes that research points to yearly increases in light pollution, mostly from large buildings, streets, roads, airports, parking lots, homes, and industrial facilities, all types of infrastructure under the Department's charge, which affect human health, the local environment, and unneeded financial costs. The Committee notes that this makes the Department a crucial entity in limiting light pollution and good resource to see how light pollution mitigation policies might be executed at a large scale amongst the Department's diverse landscapes and infrastructure.

Therefore, the House Committee on Armed Services directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Energy, Installations, and Environment, in coordination with the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs, to provide a report to the House Committee on Armed Services and Senate Committee on Armed Services, not later than 1 December 2024, on the Department's sources of light pollution, analyzed health or environmental impacts, and potential use of new technology or methods to mitigate excessive light pollution. The report shall include at least:

- 1. an assessment of the Department's levels of light pollution at geographically disparate Department infrastructure across its property holdings, in particular singular high-emission entities, enterprise-wide recurring causes of light pollution, and trends across Department infrastructure;
- 2. an assessment of potential recommendations for limiting light pollution, particularly those that decrease unneeded skyward light pollution, have significant short- and long-term cost savings, or affect human health;

- 3. an assessment of how any light mitigation actions would affect security and safety;
- 4. an assessment of the methods, technologies, or regulatory changes that could mitigate light pollution at the Department's infrastructure;
- 5. an assessment of the physical and monetary cost and benefits to execute a Department-wide light pollution mitigation plan;
- 6. a discussion of how local and state regulations impact lighting at various Department infrastructure; and
- 7. a review of any current best practices or light pollution mitigation activities currently employed across the Department enterprise.

# AMENDMENT TO H.R. 2670 OFFERED BY MS. SHERRILL OF NEW JERSEY

At the appropriate place in title III, insert the following new section:

| 1  | SEC. 3 MODIFICATION TO PROTOTYPE AND DEM-                   |
|----|---|
| 2  | ONSTRATION PROJECTS FOR ENERGY RESIL-                       |
| 3  | IENCE AT CERTAIN MILITARY INSTALLA-                         |
| 4  | TIONS.  |
| 5  | (a) Modification to Covered Technologies for                |
| 6  | PROTOTYPE AND DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS.—Section               |
| 7  | 322(c)(6) of the James M. Inhofe National Defense Au-       |
| 8  | thorization Act for Fiscal Year 2023 (Public Law 117–       |
| 9  | 263; 136 Stat. 2511; 10 U.S.C. 2911 note) is amended        |
| 10 | by adding at the end the following:                         |
| 11 | "(C) Hydrogen creation, storage, and                        |
| 12 | power generation using natural gas or renew-                |
| 13 | able electricity.".   |
| 14 | (b) APPLICABILITY.—This section and the amend-              |
| 15 | ments made by this section shall apply with respect to cov- |
| 16 | ered prototype and demonstration projects (as defined in    |
| 17 | section 322(k) of the James M. Inhofe National Defense      |
| 18 | Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2023 (Public Law 117–     |

2

- 1 263; 136 Stat. 2511; 10 U.S.C. 2911 note)) commencing
- 2 on or after the date of the enactment of this Act.



# AMENDMENT TO H.R. 2670 OFFERED BY MR. DESJARLAIS OF TENNESSEE

At the appropriate place in title XXVIII, insert the following:

| 1  | SEC. 28 CLARIFICATION ON AMOUNTS AVAILABLE FOR               |
|----|--|
| 2  | PROJECTS UNDER CERTAIN PILOT PROGRAM                         |
| 3  | RELATING TO TESTING FACILITIES AT IN-                        |
| 4  | STALLATIONS OF THE DEPARTMENT OF THE                         |
| 5  | AIR FORCE.   |
| 6  | Section 2862 of the National Defense Authorization           |
| 7  | Act for Fiscal Year 2022 (Public Law 117–81) is amend-       |
| 8  | ed—  |
| 9  | (1) by redesignating subsections (c) through (e)             |
| 10 | as subsections (d) through (f), respectively; and            |
| 11 | (2) by inserting after subsection (b), the fol-              |
| 12 | lowing new subsection:                                       |
| 13 | "(c) AVAILABLE AMOUNTS.—The commander of an                  |
| 14 | installation selected for the pilot program may obligate or  |
| 15 | expend the following amounts for projects under such pilot   |
| 16 | program relating to testing facilities on such installation: |
| 17 | "(1) Subject to subsection (d), amounts allo-                |
| 18 | cated to such installation for Facility, Sustainment,        |
| 19 | Restoration, and Modernization.                              |

2

- 1 "(2) Fees charged for the use of such testing
- 2 facilities on such installation.".



#### Amendment to H.R. 2670

#### National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2024

#### Offered by: Mr. Fallon

#### Development and Acquisition of High-Powered, Fuel Efficient, Hybrid Energy Technology

The Committee is aware of significant potential vulnerabilities in the Department of Defense's operational fuel delivery systems, especially in austere environments. The Committee notes that the private sector has developed domestic energy solutions, which could significantly reduce dependence on foreign energy sources to meet the energy needs of the Department of Defense. Cooperation and collaboration between the public and private sectors would accelerate the development and deployment of high-powered, hybrid energy technology that can serve various applications across the Department of Defense.

Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a briefing to House Committee on Armed Services not later than January 1, 2024, on its efforts to identify and develop domestic hybrid energy technologies. This should include an acquisition plan for domestic hybrid energy technology solutions with applications across the Department of Defense. The briefing should contain the following:

- (1) An assessment of the Department of Defense's operational energy needs, specifically regarding recent advancements in mobile field hospitals, weapons, and radar systems.
- (2) An evaluation of existing and planned Department of Defense's collaboration with public and private sectors to invest, acquire, and deploy hybrid power systems.
- (3) An identification of field-ready, hybrid power systems currently in development that could be deployed for multiple-use applications with the sole intention of improving military readiness.

# AMENDMENT TO H.R. 2670 OFFERED BY MR. WITTMAN OF VIRGINIA

At the appropriate place in title XXVIII, insert the following:

| 1  | SEC. 28 DEVELOPMENT AND OPERATION OF MARINE                    |
|----|--|
| 2  | CORPS HERITAGE CENTER AND NATIONAL                             |
| 3  | MUSEUM OF THE MARINE CORPS.                                    |
| 4  | (a) In General.—Chapter 861 of title 10, United                |
| 5  | States Code, is amended by inserting after section 8617        |
| 6  | the following new section:                                     |
| 7  | "§ 8618. Marine Corps Heritage Center and National             |
| 8  | Museum of the Marine Corps at Marine                           |
| 9  | Corps Base, Quantico, Virginia                                 |
| 10 | "(a) Joint Venture for Development and Con-                    |
| 11 | TINUED MAINTENANCE AND OPERATION.—The Secretary                |
| 12 | of the Navy may enter into a joint venture with the Marine     |
| 13 | Corps Heritage Foundation (in this section referred to as      |
| 14 | the 'Foundation'), a not-for-profit entity, for the design,    |
| 15 | construction, and maintenance and operation of a multi-        |
| 16 | purpose facility to be used for historical displays for public |
| 17 | viewing, curation, and storage of artifacts, research facili-  |
| 18 | ties, classrooms, offices, and associated activities con-      |
| 19 | sistent with the mission of the Marine Corps University.       |

| 1  | The facility shall be known as the Marine Corps Heritage     |
|----|--|
| 2  | Center and the National Museum of the Marine Corps.          |
| 3  | "(b) Design and Construction.—For each phase                 |
| 4  | of development of the facility described in subsection (a),  |
| 5  | the Secretary may—   |
| 6  | "(1) permit the Foundation to contract for the               |
| 7  | design, construction, or both of such phase of devel-        |
| 8  | opment; or   |
| 9  | "(2) accept funds from the Foundation for the                |
| 10 | design, construction, or both of such phase of devel-        |
| 11 | opment.  |
| 12 | "(c) Acceptance Authority.—Upon completion of                |
| 13 | construction of any phase of development of the facility     |
| 14 | described in subsection (a) by the Foundation to the satis-  |
| 15 | faction of the Secretary, and the satisfaction of any finan- |
| 16 | cial obligations incident thereto by the Foundation, the fa- |
| 17 | cility shall become the real property of the Department      |
| 18 | of the Navy with all right, title, and interest in and to    |
| 19 | facility being in the United States.                         |
| 20 | "(d) Maintenance, Operation, and Support.—                   |
| 21 | (1) The Secretary may, for the purpose of maintenance        |
| 22 | and operation of the Marine Corps Heritage Center and        |
| 23 | the National Museum of the Marine Corps—                     |
| 24 | "(A) enter into contracts or cooperative agree-              |
| 25 | ments, on a sole-source basis, with the Foundation           |

| 1  | for the procurement of property or services for the         |
|----|---|
| 2  | direct benefit or use of the Marine Corps Heritage          |
| 3  | Center and the National Museum of the Marine                |
| 4  | Corps; and  |
| 5  | "(B) notwithstanding the requirements of sub-               |
| 6  | section (h) of section 2667 of this title and under         |
| 7  | such terms and conditions as the Secretary considers        |
| 8  | appropriate for the joint venture authorized by sub-        |
| 9  | section (a), lease in accordance with such section          |
| 10 | 2667 portions of the facility developed under sub-          |
| 11 | section (a) to the Foundation for use in generating         |
| 12 | revenue for activities of the facility and for such ad-     |
| 13 | ministrative purposes as may be necessary for sup-          |
| 14 | port of the facility.                                       |
| 15 | "(2) In making a determination of fair market value         |
| 16 | under section 2667(b)(4) of this title for payment of con-  |
| 17 | sideration pursuant to a lease described in paragraph       |
| 18 | (1)(B), the Secretary may consider the entirety of the edu- |
| 19 | cational efforts of the Foundation, support to the Marine   |
| 20 | Corps Heritage Center history division by the Foundation,   |
| 21 | or the funding of museum programs and exhibits by the       |
| 22 | Foundation, or other support related to the Marine Corps    |
| 23 | Heritage Center and the National Museum of the Marine       |
| 24 | Corps, in addition to the types of in-kind consideration    |
| 25 | provided under section 2667(c) of this title.               |

| 1  | "(3) The Secretary may authorize the Foundation to           |
|----|--|
| 2  | use real or personal property within the Marine Corps        |
| 3  | Heritage Center and National Museum of the Marine            |
| 4  | Corps to conduct additional revenue-generating activities,   |
| 5  | as the Secretary considers appropriate considering the       |
| 6  | work of the Foundation and needs of the Marine Corps         |
| 7  | Heritage Center and National Museum of the Marine            |
| 8  | Corps. The Secretary shall only authorize the use of such    |
| 9  | property for a revenue-generating activity if the Secretary  |
| 10 | determines the activity will not interfere with military ac- |
| 11 | tivities and personnel or the activities of the Marine Corps |
| 12 | Heritage Center and National Museum of the Marine            |
| 13 | Corps.   |
| 14 | "(4) The Secretary shall retain lease payments re-           |
| 15 | ceived under this section, other than in-kind consideration  |
| 16 | authorized under paragraph (2) or under section 2667(c)      |
| 17 | of this title, solely for use in support of the Marine Corps |
| 18 | Heritage Center and the National Museum of the Marine        |
| 19 | Corps, and funds received as lease payments shall remain     |
| 20 | available until expended.                                    |
| 21 | "(e) Authority to Accept Gifts.—(1) The Sec-                 |
| 22 | retary of the Navy may accept, hold, administer, and         |
| 23 | spend any gift, devise, or bequest of real property, per-    |
| 24 | sonal property, or money made on the condition that the      |
| 25 | gift, devise, or bequest be used for the benefit, or in con- |

- 1 nection with, the establishment, operation, or mainte-
- 2 nance, of the Marine Corps Heritage Center or the Na-
- 3 tional Museum of the Marine Corps. Section 2601 (other
- 4 than subsections (b), (c), and (e)) of this title shall apply
- 5 to gifts accepted under this subsection.
- 6 "(2) The Secretary may display at the Marine Corps
- 7 Heritage Center or the National Museum of the Marine
- 8 Corps recognition for an individual or organization that
- 9 contributes money to a partner organization, or an indi-
- 10 vidual or organization that contributes a gift directly to
- 11 the Navy, for the benefit of the Marine Corps Heritage
- 12 Center or the National Museum of the Marine Corps,
- 13 whether or not the contribution is subject to the condition
- 14 that the recognition be provided. The Secretary shall pre-
- 15 scribe regulations governing the circumstances under
- 16 which contributor recognition may be provided, appro-
- 17 priate forms of recognition, and suitable display stand-
- 18 ards.
- 19 "(3) The Secretary may authorize the sale of donated
- 20 property received under paragraph (1). A sale under this
- 21 paragraph need not be conducted in accordance with dis-
- 22 posal requirements that would otherwise apply, so long as
- 23 the sale is conducted at arms-length and includes an
- 24 auditable transaction record.

- 1 "(4) Any money received under paragraph (1) and
- 2 any proceeds from the sale of property under paragraph
- 3 (3) shall be deposited into a fund established in the Treas-
- 4 ury to support the Marine Corps Heritage Center and the
- 5 National Museum of the Marine Corps.
- 6 "(f) Additional Terms and Conditions.—The
- 7 Secretary may require such additional terms and condi-
- 8 tions in connection with the joint venture authorized by
- 9 subsection (a) as the Secretary considers appropriate to
- 10 protect the interests of the United States.".
- 11 (b) Conforming Repeal.—Section 2884 of the
- 12 Floyd D. Spence National Defense Authorization Act for
- 13 Fiscal Year 2001 (Public Law 106–398) is repealed.



# Offered by: Ms. Strickland

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 2670, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

Funding Accounts for Housing Unaccompanied Junior Enlisted Service Members

The military services rely on three appropriation accounts to house junior enlisted service members: Military Construction (MILCON) for new barracks, Operation & Maintenance (O&M) to maintain and renovate barracks, and Military Personnel to provide Basic Allowance for Housing for service members when barracks space is unavailable. The Government Accountability Office has noted that the Department does not track how much funding it spends to house junior enlisted service members across these accounts. Further, the Department has not conducted an analysis of the costs and tradeoffs of relying on these different accounts to meet housing needs. Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a report to the House Committee on Armed Services by February 1, 2024, which includes an assessment on the cost effectiveness of housing unaccompanied junior enlisted services members using MILCON, O&M or BAH, including an evaluation of costs by locality (urban, suburban, rural) across relevant appropriation accounts.

# Offered by: Ms. Strickland

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 2670, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

Financial Viability of Privatized Housing Projects

The National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2020 established a Chief Housing Officer to oversee privatized housing policies and processes. According to the Government Accountability Office, many privatized housing projects are experiencing financial strain. Therefore, the committee directs the Chief Housing Officer, in coordination with the Secretaries of the military departments, to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services no later than February 15, 2024, on the financial viability of privatization projects, including an assessment of risks to due to the financial condition of these projects, and plans to mitigate these risks.

# Offered by: Ms. Escobar

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 2670, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

#### Army Vantage Program

The committee recognizes the Department of the Army's efforts to enhance operational effectiveness through the implementation of the Army Vantage Program. The committee understands the Army Vantage program provides commanders key insights into the health, training, manning, and equipping of all Army units. The committee notes the Army Vantage program's Unliquidated Obligations Triage Tool has recovered over \$3.0 billion in buying power for the Army over the last three years, and its Commander's Risk Reduction Toolkit is used to address Army Senior leader concerns about reducing undesirable risk behaviors across Army formations. Moreover, the committee recognizes the Army Vantage Program connect standalone systems to adapt to contingency operations and streamline deployment processes.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of the Army to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than December 1, 2023, on how the Army intends to ensure the viability of the Army Vantage program and preserve its critical capabilities in the future. This briefing should, at minimum, include the following:

- (1) provide an update on the current status of the Army Vantage Program;
- (2) future goals for the Army Vantage Program; and
- (3) a thorough analysis of the funding and resource requirements to ensure continued operation of this program.

Offered by: Mr. Strong

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 2670, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

Report on Sustainable and Reliable Energy Sources for Army Installations

The committee notes the growing need for resilient and reliable energy sources for growing United States military installations. The committee directs the Assistant Secretary of the Army for Installations, Energy and Environment, in coordination with the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Sustainment, to provide a report on potential power source options for energy resilience on U.S. Army installations hosting one or more four-star command to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than February 1, 2024. The report should contain (1) existing installation power sources and how new options might integrate with existing infrastructure, (2) regional weather conditions and trends, (3) potential engagement/partnership opportunities with public and private organizations to improve energy resilience, (4) power source reliability, (5) projected growth of the installation, and (6) power source longevity.

#### Offered by: Mr. John Garamendi

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 2670, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

A report on the Use of CH-47 Helicopters for Wildfire Response and Search and Rescue.

The committee is aware of the devastating impact of deadly wildfires and other natural disasters. The committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a report to the House Committee on Armed Services by March 1, 2024 on the feasibility and advisability of using CH–47 helicopters, with appropriate firefighting modifications, to respond to wildfires and perform search and rescue activities. The report should:

- (1) identify the governmental organizations (including Federal, State, and local government organizations) that would be most effective in using the such helicopters to carry out wildfire response and search and rescue activities; and
- (2) address such other matters as the Secretary determines to be relevant.

# AMENDMENT TO H.R. 2670 OFFERED BY MR. WALTZ OF FLORIDA

At the appropriate place in title III, insert the following new section:

| 1  | SEC. 3 ASSESSMENT ON USE OF CERTAIN AREAS IN                 |
|----|--|
| 2  | SOUTHEASTERN UNITED STATES FOR TEST-                         |
| 3  | ING AND TRAINING IN SUPPORT OF PACIFIC                       |
| 4  | DETERRENCE INITIATIVE.                                       |
| 5  | (a) Assessment.—The Secretary of Defense shall               |
| 6  | conduct an assessment of the capacity of the Department      |
| 7  | of Defense to routinely train, test, evaluate, and qualify   |
| 8  | theater-level operations in support of the Pacific Deter-    |
| 9  | rence Initiative using test or training areas located in the |
| 10 | southeastern region of the United States, for the purpose    |
| 11 | of increasing the capacity and rate of force readiness with  |
| 12 | respect to deterrence and defense at theater-level dis-      |
| 13 | tances.  |
| 14 | (b) Elements.—The assessment under subsection                |
| 15 | (a) shall include the following:                             |
| 16 | (1) An assumption, for purposes of evaluating                |
| 17 | the capacity described in such subsection, that the          |
| 18 | Secretary of Defense will conduct at least one table-        |
| 19 | top exercise per fiscal quarter to inform and advance        |

1 operationally relevant testing and training in the Pa-2 cific context (across domains), employing test or 3 training areas located in the southeastern region of the United States. (2) An identification of any test or training 6 area located outside of the area of responsibility of 7 the United States Indo-Pacific Command (and in 8 particular, in the southeastern region of the United 9 States) with the potential to be used to expand the 10 capacity and persistence of theater-level operations, 11 including any such areas owned or operated by any 12 Federal department or agency, State, institution of 13 higher education, or commercial entity. 14 (3) An analysis of the combined capability of 15 the total test or training areas identified under para-16 graph (2) to simulate various public, private, and 17 academic initiatives in support of the Pacific Deter-18 rence Initiative while advancing military readiness. 19 (4) An identification of the coordination, sched-20 uling, reimbursement processes, and other require-21 ments necessary for the potential use of such test or 22 training areas to advance the challenge of distance 23 in the area of responsibility of the United States 24 Indo-Pacific Command and accelerate development

in such area or responsibility (across domains).

25

| 1  | (5) With respect to missions conducted in the          |
|----|--|
| 2  | area of responsibility of the United States Indo-Pa-   |
| 3  | cific Command, an analysis of—                         |
| 4  | (A) the estimated frequency of use, sched-             |
| 5  | uling lead time, cost, and other requirements          |
| 6  | associated with each test or training area lo-         |
| 7  | cated in the southeastern region of the United         |
| 8  | States and identified under paragraph (2) for          |
| 9  | purposes of such missions; and                         |
| 10 | (B) any other permissions required to in-              |
| 11 | crease force readiness levels using such test or       |
| 12 | training areas in support of stated national           |
| 13 | strategic objectives.                                  |
| 14 | (6) A review of any test or training areas iden-       |
| 15 | tified under paragraph (2) that may enhance efforts    |
| 16 | of the Department to train at scale and range, when    |
| 17 | persistently networked into a live, virtual and con-   |
| 18 | structive Pacific environment.                         |
| 19 | (7) An assessment of any cost savings or time          |
| 20 | savings that may result from the use of test or        |
| 21 | training areas located in the southeastern region of   |
| 22 | the United States to advance force readiness with      |
| 23 | respect to operations in the area of responsibility of |
| 24 | the United States Indo-Pacific Command.                |

| 1  | (8) A recurring assessment of training and op-             |
|----|--|
| 2  | erations necessary to fulfill integrate priority list line |
| 3  | items.   |
| 4  | (c) Report.—Not later than 180 days after the date         |
| 5  | of the enactment, the Secretary of Defense shall submit    |
| 6  | to the Committees on Armed Services of the House of        |
| 7  | Representatives and the Senate a report containing the     |
| 8  | findings of the assessments under subsection (a).          |
| 9  | (d) Test or Training Area Defined.—In this sec-            |
| 10 | tion, the term "test or training area" includes any range  |
| 11 | or other facility that may be used by the Secretary of De- |
| 12 | fense for testing or training purposes.                    |
|    |  |



# AMENDMENT TO H.R. 2670 OFFERED BY MR. WITTMAN OF VIRGINIA

At the appropriate place in subtitle B of title VIII, insert the following:

| 1  | SEC. 8 CLARIFICATION OF AUTHORITY OF THE DE-        |
|----|---|
| 2  | PARTMENT OF DEFENSE TO CARRY OUT CER-               |
| 3  | TAIN PROTOTYPE PROJECTS.                            |
| 4  | Section 4022(i) of title 10, United States Code, is |
| 5  | amended—  |
| 6  | (1) by redesignating paragraphs (2) and (3) as      |
| 7  | paragraphs (3) and (4), respectively;               |
| 8  | (2) by inserting after paragraph (1) the fol-       |
| 9  | lowing new paragraph:                               |
| 10 | "(2) Authority.—The authority of this sub-          |
| 11 | section may be exercised to conduct prototype       |
| 12 | projects using—                                     |
| 13 | "(A) funds available for research, develop-         |
| 14 | ment, test and evaluation;                          |
| 15 | "(B) appropriations for operation and               |
| 16 | maintenance; or                                     |
| 17 | "(C) appropriations for military construc-          |
| 18 | tion.";   |

| 1 | (3) in paragraph (3), as so redesignated, by in-     |
|---|--|
| 2 | serting "using appropriations for military construc- |
| 3 | tion" after "carrying out prototype projects"; and   |
| 4 | (4) in subparagraph (4)(A), as so redesignated,      |
| 5 | by inserting "using appropriations for military con- |
| 5 | struction" after "prototype projects".               |



## Offered by: Mr. Kelly

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 2670, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

Report language to address known risk in Western Pacific fuel supply chain

The committee is aware that there were multiple significant fuel contamination incidents in the commercial shipping sector in the last year which affected hundreds of large vessels in the Pacific, Baltic, and Mediterranean zones, resulting in vessel recovery and costly repair, and stoppage of commerce. This identified fuel contamination is not detectable by the current, regulated chemical tests for such fuels, and fleets must be able to procure and receive fuels with assurity of the fuel custody chain. Supply chain readiness is a fundamental element of Pacific Deterrence and National Security objectives.

Defense Logistics Agency (DLA)-Energy provides Force-wide legacy fuel testing oversight and is encouraged to conduct rapid prototyping and rapid fielding activities to address demonstrated security threats using advanced technology tools that include digital engineering and digital chemistry tools and architecture, which are available to and fielded in the commercial market.

The committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Energy, Installation, and Environment to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services by March 1, 2024. The briefing shall include, at a minimum, the following:

- (1) the feasibility of rapidly integrating advanced fuel security technologies and digital chemistry proven in the commercial market,
- (2) current logistics readiness levels required by primary objectives stated in the most recent National Defense strategy.
- (3) requirements to increase logistics readiness levels required by primary objectives stated in the most recent National Defense Strategy.

## Offered by: Mr. Golden of Maine

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 2670, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

Gull Cottage Prospect Harbor, ME

The committee is aware of the 2022 fire which rendered the historic Gull Cottage in Prospect Harbor, Maine untenable with an indefinite closure. The committee understands the long-standing history Gull Cottage holds as a premier Morale, Welfare, and Recreation site.

Therefore, the committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness to provide a report to the House Committee on Armed Services, not later than January 31, 2024, on the status of efforts to restore Gull Cottage to its pre-fire state. The report should, at a minimum, discuss the following:

- (1) Cost estimates of repair and restoration efforts; and
- (2) Timelines for completion of repairs and re-opening of the facility.

Offered by: Ms. Sewell

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 2670, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

#### **Visitor Identity Verification Technology**

The committee directs the Secretary of the Army, in coordination with the Defense Human Resources Activity, to provide a briefing to the House Armed Services Committee not later than February 1, 2024, on the usage of biometrics at installations for the purposes of visitor identity verification. Such briefing should include an evaluation of the effectiveness of current usage of biometrics and the desirability of, potential timeline for, and costs associated with expanding such usage to additional installations.

# AMENDMENT TO H.R. 2670 OFFERED BY MS. TOKUDA OF HAWAII

At the appropriate place in title III, insert the following:

| 1  | SEC DESIGNATION OF OFFICIAL RESPONSIBLE FOR                   |
|----|---|
| 2  | COORDINATION OF RENEGOTIATION OF CER-                         |
| 3  | TAIN LAND LEASES OWNED BY DEPARTMENT                          |
| 4  | OF DEFENSE IN HAWAI'I.  |
| 5  | (a) In General.—Not later than 90 days after the              |
| 6  | date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense   |
| 7  | shall designate an official to be responsible for, in coordi- |
| 8  | nation with appropriate officials from the covered military   |
| 9  | departments and the United States Indo-Pacific Com-           |
| 10 | mand—   |
| 11 | (1) coordinating Department of Defense-wide                   |
| 12 | efforts relating to the renegotiation of land leases          |
| 13 | owned by the Department of Defense in the State of            |
| 14 | Hawai'i expiring between 2029 and 2031;                       |
| 15 | (2) representing the Department of Defense                    |
| 16 | during any such renegotiation; and                            |
| 17 | (3) ensuring clear and consistent communica-                  |
| 18 | tion to such State, State and local elected officials,        |
| 19 | and the public of the needs and priorities of the De-         |

| 1  | partment of Defense with respect to joint land use        |
|----|---|
| 2  | in such State.  |
| 3  | (b) Selection.—In making the designation under            |
| 4  | subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense may appoint an   |
| 5  | individual with a significant background and expertise    |
| 6  | in—   |
| 7  | (1) relevant legal and technical aspects of land          |
| 8  | lease issues; and   |
| 9  | (2) working with State and local elected offi-            |
| 10 | cials and the public in such State.                       |
| 11 | (c) NOTIFICATION.—Not later than 30 days after the        |
| 12 | Secretary of Defense makes such designation, the Sec-     |
| 13 | retary shall submit to the congressional defense commit-  |
| 14 | tees and the Governor of Hawai'i a notification that in-  |
| 15 | cludes the name and contact information of the individual |
| 16 | designated under subsection (a).                          |
| 17 | (d) Covered Military Department Defined.—                 |
| 18 | In this section, the term "covered military department"   |
| 19 | means—  |
| 20 | (1) the Department of the Army;                           |
| 21 | (2) the Department of the Navy; and                       |
| 22 | (3) the Department of the Air Force.                      |
|    |   |

## AMENDMENT TO H.R. 2670 OFFERED BY MS. TOKUDA OF HAWAII

At the appropriate place in title III, insert the following:

| 1  | SEC. 3 REQUIREMENT FOR SECRETARY OF DEFENSE          |
|----|--|
| 2  | TO DEVELOP PLAN FOR TRANSITION OF                    |
| 3  | JOINT TASK FORCE RED HILL.                           |
| 4  | (a) Plan for Termination Required.—                  |
| 5  | (1) In General.—The Secretary of Defense, in         |
| 6  | consultation, to the maximum extent practicable,     |
| 7  | with appropriate Federal, State, and local stake-    |
| 8  | holders, shall develop a plan for the termination of |
| 9  | and transition from the Joint Task Force Red Hill.   |
| 10 | (2) Elements.—Under such plan, the Sec-              |
| 11 | retary shall—  |
| 12 | (A) subject to subsection (b), determine             |
| 13 | the date on which the Joint Task Force Red           |
| 14 | Hill (or any successor organization) shall be        |
| 15 | terminated;  |
| 16 | (B) designate appropriate officials or enti-         |
| 17 | ties to be responsible for—                          |
| 18 | (i) engaging and communicating with                  |
| 19 | communities in proximity to the Red Hill             |

| 1  | Bulk Fuel Storage Facility following such       |
|----|---|
| 2  | termination;                                    |
| 3  | (ii) communicating, in a clear and              |
| 4  | consistent manner, with the heads of rel-       |
| 5  | evant Federal and State agencies and such       |
| 6  | communities with respect to all operations      |
| 7  | involving the Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage        |
| 8  | Facility; and                                   |
| 9  | (iii) ensuring the attendance of appro-         |
| 10 | priate experts and public relations profes-     |
| 11 | sionals at any public meeting or event re-      |
| 12 | lating to such operations;                      |
| 13 | (C) coordinate and communicate with such        |
| 14 | communities and the heads of applicable State   |
| 15 | regulatory authorities with respect to—         |
| 16 | (i) such termination; and                       |
| 17 | (ii) the responsibilities designated            |
| 18 | under subparagraph (B);                         |
| 19 | (D) ensure adequate resourcing and per-         |
| 20 | sonnel to meet continued community engage-      |
| 21 | ment requirements and priorities of the Depart- |
| 22 | ment of Defense; and                            |
| 23 | (E) provide for or update any plan relating     |
| 24 | to the defueling of the Red Hell Bulk Fuel      |
| 25 | Storage Facility and removal of other potential |

| 1  | contaminants stored at such facilities following          |
|----|---|
| 2  | such termination.   |
| 3  | (3) DEADLINE.—Not later than 90 days after                |
| 4  | the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary      |
| 5  | of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense      |
| 6  | committees the plan under paragraph (1).                  |
| 7  | (b) AVAILABILITY OF PLAN.—The Secretary shall             |
| 8  | make such plan and any supporting documents available     |
| 9  | to the public and State and local elected officials.      |
| 10 | (c) RESTRICTION ON TERMINATION AUTHORITY.—                |
| 11 | The Secretary of Defense may not terminate the Joint      |
| 12 | Task Force Red Hill before the date that is 30 days after |
| 13 | the date on which the Secretary submits to the congres-   |
| 14 | sional defense committees such report.                    |



## AMENDMENT TO H.R. 2670 OFFERED BY MS. TOKUDA OF HAWAII

At the appropriate place in title III, insert the following new section:

| 1  | SEC. 3 MATTERS RELATING TO BRIEFINGS ON SHIP-            |
|----|--|
| 2  | YARD INFRASTRUCTURE OPTIMIZATION PRO-                    |
| 3  | GRAM OF THE NAVY.  |
| 4  | (a) Modification to Briefing Requirement.—               |
| 5  | Section 355(b)(2) of the National Defense Authorization  |
| 6  | Act for Fiscal Year 2022 (Public Law 117–81; 10 U.S.C.   |
| 7  | 8013 note) is amended by adding at the end the following |
| 8  | new subparagraph:  |
| 9  | "(D) A risk analysis of how the schedule                 |
| 10 | for such project affects the availability schedule       |
| 11 | for submarines and aircraft carriers, including          |
| 12 | the following:   |
| 13 | "(i) A timeline for the completion of                    |
| 14 | such project, including construction dates               |
| 15 | and dates of planned maintenance at each                 |
| 16 | shipyard under such project.                             |
| 17 | "(ii) Contingency maintenance plans                      |
| 18 | if such project is delayed, including any                |
| 19 | backup location for maintenance availabil-               |

| 1  | ities determined by the Chief Naval Officer             |
|----|---|
| 2  | and any resulting alteration in plans or                |
| 3  | schedules for maintenance.                              |
| 4  | "(iii) The effect on public shipyards                   |
| 5  | should a delay to such project result in the            |
| 6  | implementation of a contingency plan pur-               |
| 7  | suant to clause (ii), including the effect on           |
| 8  | the workforce and workload capacity at the              |
| 9  | public shipyard with respect to which such              |
| 10 | project is conducted.                                   |
| 11 | "(iv) A cost-benefit analysis of the po-                |
| 12 | tential for private shipyards to assist with            |
| 13 | such workload should such project be de-                |
| 14 | layed, including an identification of any               |
| 15 | gaps in the capability of private shipyards             |
| 16 | to conduct the maintenance described in                 |
| 17 | clause (ii).  |
| 18 | "(v) An assessment of whether great-                    |
| 19 | er flexibilities in authorities are necessary           |
| 20 | to better support fleet maintenance needs               |
| 21 | and the Shipyard Infrastructure Optimiza-               |
| 22 | tion Program.".   |
| 23 | (b) Briefing on Implementation Status.—Not              |
| 24 | later than February 1, 2024, the Secretary of the Navy  |
| 25 | shall provide to the congressional defense committees a |

- 1 briefing on the status of the implementation of the Ship-
- 2 yard Infrastructure Optimization Program of the Depart-
- 3 ment of the Navy. Such briefing shall include, with respect
- 4 to each covered project, the information specified in each
- 5 of subparagraphs (A) through (D) of section 355(b)(2) of
- 6 the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year
- 7 2022, as amended by subsection (a).



## Offered by: Ms. Tokuda of Hawai'i

In the portion of the report to accompany H.R. 2670 titled "Critical Minerals and Metal Reserve", insert at the end of the fourth item in the list before the period the following new text: "from a diversity of sources, including recycled and reused minerals and metals".

# AMENDMENT TO H.R. 2670 OFFERED BY MR. MOYLAN OF GUAM

At the appropriate place in title XVIII, insert the following:

| 1  | SEC. 18 STUDY AND REPORT ON DAMAGE TO INFRA-              |
|----|---|
| 2  | STRUCTURE IN GUAM RESULTING FROM TY-                      |
| 3  | PHOON MAWAR.  |
| 4  | (a) Study.—The Secretary of Defense shall conduct         |
| 5  | a study on damage to infrastructure in Guam resulting     |
| 6  | from Typhoon Mawar.                                       |
| 7  | (b) Report.—Not later than 180 days after the date        |
| 8  | of the enactment of this Act, such Secretary shall submit |
| 9  | to the congressional defense committees a report that in- |
| 10 | cludes—   |
| 11 | (1) the findings of such study;                           |
| 12 | (2) a list of each component of civilian infra-           |
| 13 | structure in Guam damaged by Typhoon Mawar,               |
| 14 | and the extent to which such damage impairs mili-         |
| 15 | tary readiness in Guam;                                   |
| 16 | (3) an analysis of existing authorities such Sec-         |
| 17 | retary could use to support recovery from such dam-       |
| 18 | age in Guam; and  |

2

| 1 | (4) a description of efforts, if any, of such Sec- |
|---|--|
| 2 | retary to coordinate with municipal governments in |
| 3 | Guam to support such recovery.                     |



## Offered by: Mr. Moylan

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 2670, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

Authorities of the Secretary of Defense Pursuant to section 501 of title 46, United States Code

The committee is aware of Guam's importance to the Department of Defense in the Indo-Pacific theater of operations. With the increased demand for basing and operations from Guam the committee is concerned about the energy capacity to support the department's growing operations on the island. Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a report no later than March 1, 2024, on the current and future energy requirements in Guam to support DoD missions. The report should include the following:

- (1) electric grid reliability and resiliency;
- (2) the importance of redundant energy sources; and
- (3) readiness and mission considerations for military installations located on Guam.
- (4) an assessment of the feasibility of entering into a negotiated partnership with the Guam Power Authority to install a 180-megawatt (MW) centralized Energy Storage System (ESS) within its island-wide power system in Guam.

## Offered by: Mr. Moylan of Guam

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 2670, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

DoD Study of Defense Access Roads in Guam

The committee notes that the civilian infrastructure on Guam also serves an important role in military readiness. The routes connecting Apra Harbor, Guam and the military installations on Guam are critical for both the civilian population and the movement of military personnel and equipment. To better understand how the Department of Defense utilizes the Defense Access Roads program to support infrastructure projects on Guam, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a report to the House Committee on Armed Services no later than March 31, 2024. The report shall include information on efforts to minimize the use of private land for Defense Access Roads projects, previous projects in the last ten years, and any planned or potential projects under consideration.

## Offered by: Mr. Lamborn

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 2670, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

Conditions on the Final Closure of Certain Portion of Pueblo Chemical Depot and Chemical Agent Destruction Pilot Plant, Colorado

The committee directs the Secretary of the Army to submit a report to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than February 1, 2024 a status update on the closure and disposal plan for a certain portion of the Pueblo Chemical Depot and Chemical Agent Destruction Pilot Plant in Colorado. The report should include the following:

- (1) a comprehensive, updated plan for the closure of the covered portion of Pueblo Chemical Depot not later than one year after the completion of the chemical demilitarization mission of the Chemical Agent-Destruction Pilot Plant at Pueblo Chemical Depot;
- (2) a comprehensive, updated plan for the disposal of all remaining land, building, facilities, and equipment of the covered portion of Pueblo Chemical Depot, to include analysis regarding the future role of the Local Redevelopment Authority;
- (3) a description of how such a plan will utilize the Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) guidance dated October 3, 2022 to dispose of any excess or surplus property, or state the updated guidance the Army intends to follow and the rationale for deviating from existing guidance;
- (4) any plans for assistance to the Local Redevelopment Authority in planning community adjustments and economic diversification required by the closure of Pueblo Chemical Depot and the Chemical Agent-Destruction Pilot Plant, including through grants, cooperative agreements, or supplements to other Federal funds; and
- (5) statements regarding whether action would be taken to close or realign the covered portion of Pueblo Chemical Depot or the Chemical Agent-Destruction Pilot Plant, or to dispose of any surplus land, building, facility, or equipment that comprises any portion of the Chemical Agent-Destruction Pilot Plant other than to the Local Development Authority.

## AMENDMENT TO H.R. 2670 OFFERED BY MR. MOYLAN OF GUAM

At the appropriate place in title XXVIII, insert the following:

| 1 | SEC. 28 EXPANSION OF CERTAIN EXEMPTION RELAT-             |
|---|---|
| 2 | ING TO FUNDING REQUIREMENT FOR CER-                       |
| 3 | TAIN DEFENSE COMMUNITY INFRASTRUC-                        |
| 1 | TURE PROJECTS.  |
| 5 | Section 2391(d)(2) of title 10, United States Code,       |
| 6 | is amended in subparagraph (B), by inserting "or an insu- |
| 7 | lar area" after "a rural area".                           |



## AMENDMENT TO H.R. 2670 OFFERED BY MS. MACE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

At the appropriate place in subtitle A of title VIII, insert the following:

| 1  | SEC. 8 LOW-METHANE INTENSITY NATURAL GAS PILOT               |
|----|--|
| 2  | PROGRAM.   |
| 3  | (a) In General.—The Director of the Defense Lo-              |
| 4  | gistics Agency, in coordination with the Secretary of each   |
| 5  | military department (as such term is defined in section      |
| 6  | 101(a) of title 10, United States Code), may establish a     |
| 7  | pilot program to demonstrate the feasibility of installa-    |
| 8  | tions of the Department of Defense using certified low-      |
| 9  | methane intensity natural gas, including demonstrating       |
| 10 | the quantities of such gas that are feasible.                |
| 11 | (b) Acquisition of Certified Low-methane In-                 |
| 12 | TENSITY NATURAL GAS.—In carrying out the pilot pro-          |
| 13 | gram, the Director shall select installations of the Depart- |
| 14 | ment for which the natural gas acquired for such installa-   |
| 15 | tions shall be certified low-methane intensity natural gas.  |
| 16 | (c) Department Installations.—                               |
| 17 | (1) LOCATION.—The Director may select only                   |
| 18 | installations of the Department that are located             |

| 1  | within the continental United States to participate         |
|----|---|
| 2  | in the pilot program.                                       |
| 3  | (2) Number.—In carrying out the pilot pro-                  |
| 4  | gram, the Director shall select not fewer than 5 in-        |
| 5  | stallations of the Department to participate in the         |
| 6  | pilot program.  |
| 7  | (d) DURATION.—If the Director establishes the pilot         |
| 8  | program, the Director shall carry out the pilot program     |
| 9  | until the date determined by the Director that is not ear-  |
| 10 | lier than two years after the date of the enactment of this |
| 11 | Act and not later than five years after the date of the     |
| 12 | enactment of this Act.                                      |
| 13 | (e) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:                           |
| 14 | (1) CERTIFIED LOW-METHANE INTENSITY NAT-                    |
| 15 | URAL GAS.—The term "certified low-methane inten-            |
| 16 | sity natural gas" means natural gas produced by fa-         |
| 17 | cilities and through processes certified by an inde-        |
| 18 | pendent, industry-recognized certifying entity as           |
| 19 | complying with low-methane intensity standards.             |
| 20 | (2) Department.—The term "Department"                       |
| 21 | means the Department of Defense.                            |
| 22 | (3) Director.—The term "Director" means                     |
| 23 | the Director of the Defense Logistics Agency                |

| 1  | (4) Low-methane intensity standards.—            |
|----|--|
| 2  | The term "low-methane intensity standards" means |
| 3  | industry-recognized standards—                   |
| 4  | (A) for verifying, quantifying, and dimin-       |
| 5  | ishing the unintentional release of methane dur- |
| 6  | ing the production of natural gas below the av-  |
| 7  | erage amount of methane unintentionally re-      |
| 8  | leased during such production; and               |
| 9  | (B) certification of compliance with which       |
| 10 | is commercially available from independent, in-  |
| 11 | dustry-recognized certifying entities.           |
| 12 | (5) PILOT PROGRAM.—The term "pilot pro-          |
| 13 | gram" means the pilot program established under  |
| 14 | subsection (a).                                  |



## Offered by: Ms. Sherrill

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 2670, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

#### Operational Energy Resiliency Practices

The committee commends the military departments for their work to develop energy resiliency guidelines for military installations and notes that those guidelines will increase mission assurance and decrease operation costs. However, the committee remains concerned about the availability of vital resources during potential future conflicts, including but not limited to fuel for combat vehicles and power generation for auxiliary functions on naval vessels. The committee further notes that innovative energy resiliency and power generation technologies have the potential to increase the United States' projection capabilities, shore up vulnerabilities in in-theater supply lines, and decrease the loads carried by service members and military vehicles.

Therefore, the committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Sustainment, in coordination with the Assistant Secretaries for Energy, Installations, and Environment of the military departments, to submit a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services by February 1, 2024, regarding the efforts of their respective departments to develop best practices for operational energy conservation. The briefing shall address the following:

- (1) Ongoing research and development programs related to operational energy and resiliency in combat environments;
- (2) Existing plans to transition new technologies related to operational energy and resiliency conservation to programs of record; and
- (3) Progress on the development of operational energy and resiliency guidelines and strategies for full implementation.

## AMENDMENT TO H.R. 2670 OFFERED BY MR. JOHNSON OF LOUISIANA

At the appropriate place in subtitle B of title XXVIII, insert the following:

| 1  | SEC. 28 REVISION UNIFIED FACILITIES CRITERIA ON             |
|----|---|
| 2  | USE OF LIFE SAFETY ACCESSIBILITY HARD-                      |
| 3  | WARE FOR COVERED DOORS.                                     |
| 4  | (a) In General.—The Secretary of Defense shall              |
| 5  | amend the Unified Facilities Criteria/DoD Building Code     |
| 6  | (UFC 1–200–01) to update applicable specifications, guid-   |
| 7  | ance, and technical documentation relating to the con-      |
| 8  | struction, renovation, replacement, or other retrofit of a  |
| 9  | covered door to ensure that life safety accessibility hard- |
| 10 | ware is used for such construction, renovation, replace-    |
| 11 | ment, or other retrofit.                                    |
| 12 | (b) Definitions.—In this section:                           |
| 13 | (1) The term "covered door" means a door to—                |
| 14 | (A) a sensitive compartmented information                   |
| 15 | facility, including a sensitive compartmented in-           |
| 16 | formation facility in which information des-                |
| 17 | ignated as sensitive compartmented information              |
| 18 | is stored and processed; or                                 |

| 1  | (B) any other room or facility in which in-      |
|----|--|
| 2  | formation designated as sensitive compart-       |
| 3  | mented information—                              |
| 4  | (i) is used, handled, discussed, or              |
| 5  | processed; or                                    |
| 6  | (ii) is stored in approved security con-         |
| 7  | tainers.   |
| 8  | (2) The term "life safety accessibility hard-    |
| 9  | ware" means a secure locking device that require |
| 10 | less than five pounds of force to open.          |



## Offered by: Mr. Jackson of Texas

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 2670, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

Ensuring the Continued Success of the Euro-NATO Joint Jet Pilot Training

The committee recognizes that since 1981, the Euro-NATO Joint Jet Pilot Training (ENJJPT) program has produced superior caliber combat aviators, enhanced interoperability amongst allies, and fostered continued friendship and respect between the United States and European partner-nations and is a one-of-kind endeavor that cannot be replicated through any other means. The committee was pleased that on March 10, 2023, the ENJJPT program was extended through September 30, 2036. Producing fighter pilots for both the United States and allied air forces has never been more important given current global conditions, and the committee commends the Air Force on the recent ENJJPT extension.

In order to ensure the continued mission success of the ENJJPT program, the committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than January 31, 2024, on future ENJJPT operations and plans through 2036 and beyond. The briefing shall include:

- (1) identification of other potential allied nations for inclusion in the ENJJPT program;
- (2) plans for integration of the T-7 into the ENJJPT program to replace the aging T-38;
- (3) needed infrastructure improvements at ENJJPT to ensure continued operations in a modernized environment, including necessary operations and maintenance facilities, ramp space modifications, and taxiway and runway improvements; and
- (4) a concept of operations for maintenance activities to ensure maximum aircraft mission-readiness.

## Offered by: Mr. Panetta

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 2670, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

Environmental restoration and future conveyance of former Rio Vista Army Reserve Center, Rio Vista, California.

The committee is aware that there may be environmental contamination caused by decades of military activity at the Rio Vista Army Reserve Center in Rio Vista, California. The committee notes that Rio Vista Reserve Center was closed during the 1992 BRAC round but environmental restoration activities continue at the site including a preliminary assessment and site investigation for contamination from per and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS). The committee notes that some portions of the land are subject to a reversionary interest of the State of California, and that these portions require environmental remediation prior to transfer. The committee encourages the Army to complete its investigation and any required remediation or restoration activities as expeditiously as practicable. Accordingly, the committee directs the Secretary of the Army to provide a report to the House Committee on Armed Services by no later than February 1, 2024 on current state of environmental remediation and restoration activities at Rio Vista Army Reserve Center. This report shall include at a minimum the following.

- 1. A summary of environmental remediation activities completed to date at the site;
- 2. An update on the current status of remediation and restoration activities at the site as a whole to include, but not limited to PFAS contamination;
- 3. An update on the status of coordination efforts with the California State Lands Commission for all sub-parcels subject to a reversionary interest of the State of California;
- 4. An updated timeline for the transfer of all parcels associated with the former Rio Vista Army Reserve Center; and
- 5. An updated cost to complete for environmental remediation and restoration activities at the site.

# AMENDMENT TO H.R. 2670 OFFERED BY MR. DAVIS OF NORTH CAROLINA

At the appropriate place in subtitle B of title XXVIII, insert the following:

| 1  | SEC. 28 REVISION OF CERTAIN MINIMUM STANDARDS              |
|----|--|
| 2  | RELATING TO HEALTH, SAFETY, AND CONDI-                     |
| 3  | TION FOR MILITARY UNACCOMPANIED HOUS-                      |
| 4  | ING; TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY TO GRANT                     |
| 5  | CERTAIN WAIVERS.   |
| 6  | (a) REVISION OF STANDARDS.—Not later than Janu-            |
| 7  | ary 1, 2025, the Secretary of Defense, in coordination     |
| 8  | with each Secretary of a military department, shall update |
| 9  | applicable minimum standards to include minimum stand-     |
| 10 | ards relating to—  |
| 11 | (1) sanitary facilities;                                   |
| 12 | (2) environmental hazards;                                 |
| 13 | (3) electrical safety;                                     |
| 14 | (4) water;   |
| 15 | (5) wastewater;  |
| 16 | (6) air quality and fire alarm systems; and                |
| 17 | (7) fire safety.   |
| 18 | (b) Modification of Waiver Authority; Termi-               |
| 19 | NATION.—   |

| 1  | (1) Modification.—Any waiver of applicable                 |
|----|--|
| 2  | minimum standards for military unaccompanied               |
| 3  | housing shall have no force or effect without the ap-      |
| 4  | proval of the appropriate Secretary of a military de-      |
| 5  | partment.  |
| 6  | (2) TERMINATION DATE.—The authority to                     |
| 7  | waiver such applicable minimum standards shall ter-        |
| 8  | minate on January 1, 2028.                                 |
| 9  | (c) QUARTERLY BRIEFING.—Not later than April 1,            |
| 10 | 2024, and on a quarterly basis thereafter, the Assistant   |
| 11 | Secretary of the Army for Energy, Installations, and Envi- |
| 12 | ronment, the Assistant Secretary of the Navy for Energy,   |
| 13 | Installations, and Environment, and the Assistant Sec-     |
| 14 | retary of the Air Force for Energy, Installations, and En- |
| 15 | vironment, shall provide to the congressional defense com- |
| 16 | mittees a briefing on each waiver described in subsection  |
| 17 | (b) approved by each Secretary of a military department    |
| 18 | during the period covered by the briefing that includes—   |
| 19 | (1) an identification of the military installation         |
| 20 | on which the military unaccompanied housing to             |
| 21 | which such waiver is applicable is located;                |
| 22 | (2) an identification of the number of members             |
| 23 | of the Armed Forces that reside in such military un-       |
| 24 | accompanied housing;                                       |

| 1  | (3) a description of the military necessity un-            |
|----|--|
| 2  | derlying such waiver; and                                  |
| 3  | (4) an statement of the period such waiver is              |
| 4  | effective.   |
| 5  | (d) Annual Briefing.—Not later than July 1,                |
| 6  | 2024, and annually thereafter in conjunction with the sub- |
| 7  | mission of the budget of the President to Congress pursu-  |
| 8  | ant to section 1105 of title 31, United States Code, the   |
| 9  | Assistant Secretary of the Army for Energy, Installations, |
| 10 | and Environment, the Assistant Secretary of the Navy for   |
| 11 | Energy, Installations, and Environment, and the Assistant  |
| 12 | Secretary of the Air Force for Energy, Installations, and  |
| 13 | Environment, shall provide to the congressional defense    |
| 14 | committees a briefing on waivers described in subsection   |
| 15 | (b) approved by each Secretary of a military department    |
| 16 | that includes—   |
| 17 | (1) the number of such waivers that were                   |
| 18 | granted during the period covered by the briefing;         |
| 19 | (2) a strategy to remedy issues, if any, caused            |
| 20 | by military unaccompanied housing that does not            |
| 21 | comply with applicable minimum standards;                  |
| 22 | (3) a strategy to remedy the factors, if any,              |
| 23 | that require the submission to the appropriate Sec-        |
| 24 | retary of a military department for approval of con-       |
| 25 | secutive waivers described in subsection (b) that in-      |

| 1  | cludes a timeline for the implementation of such     |
|----|--|
| 2  | strategy; and  |
| 3  | (4) an analysis of strategies to remedy the fac-     |
| 4  | tors described in paragraph (3), including—          |
| 5  | (A) projects to modernize existing military          |
| 6  | unaccompanied housing to comply with such ap-        |
| 7  | plicable minimum standards;                          |
| 8  | (B) projects to construct new military un-           |
| 9  | accompanied housing; and                             |
| 10 | (C) modifications to relevant policies of the        |
| 11 | Department of Defense, excluding such policies       |
| 12 | related to infrastructure.                           |
| 13 | (e) Definitions.—In this section:                    |
| 14 | (1) The term "applicable minimum standards"          |
| 15 | means minimum standards for health, safety, and      |
| 16 | condition described in the Department of Defense     |
| 17 | Manual 4165.63 titled "DoD Housing Management"       |
| 18 | and dated October 28, 2010 (or a successor docu-     |
| 19 | ment).   |
| 20 | (2) The term "military installation" has the         |
| 21 | meaning given such term in section 2801 of title 10, |
| 22 | United States Code.                                  |
| 23 | (3) The term "military unaccompanied hous-           |
| 24 | ing" has the meaning given such term in section      |
| 25 | 2871 of such title.                                  |

5

1 (4) The term "military department" has the 2 meaning given such term in section 101 of such 3 title.



#### Offered by: Mr. John Garamendi

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 2670, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

Mare Island Naval Shipyard Recapitalization Report

The committee acknowledges that in a potential future near-peer conflict, the Department of the Navy may require an all-hands-on-deck approach to ship repair. To repair damaged ships in a timely manner and on a large scale, the Department of the Navy may need access to additional naval ship repair infrastructure to supplement the work of existing public and private shipyards.

The committee directs the Secretary of the Navy to provide a report to the House Armed Services Committee no later than March 31, 2024, on the feasibility of recapitalizing the former Mare Island Naval Shipyard for surge capacity capabilities during a national emergency. Specifically, the report shall examine the capabilities the recapitalized facility could provide the Navy, the number and status of existing docks, the cost of recapitalizing the facility to a basic operational standard, any potential workforce challenges the facility may face, and any other factors the Department deems necessary in determining the feasibility of this endeavor.

## Offered by: Mr. Jackson of Texas

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 2670, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

Report on Large-Scale, Government-Owned Remotely Piloted Aircraft Flight Operations

The committee is aware that remotely piloted aircraft (RPA) continue to become more ubiquitous in military operations. At the same time, national airspace restrictions have impacted RPA operations and have had potentially detrimental effects. In order to assess the potentially deleterious effects of airspace regulations on RPA operations, the committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to provide a report to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than March 1, 2024 on current flight operations of all active duty, reserve, and air national guard large-scale, government-owned RPA. The report shall include:

- (1) Regulatory challenges to unescorted flight operations within the National Airspace System, including any unique challenges to individual basing locations;
- (2) Review of MQ-9 limiting factors preventing unescorted instrument flight rules transit in the National Airspace System, to include platform sensor limitations and platform optical limitations potentially preventing satisfaction of "see and avoid" requirements;
- (3) Average delay in acquiring certificates of waiver or authorization (COA) and impacts of those delays on operational readiness and real-world training;
- (4) Review of safety impacts of chase plane requirements on operational readiness and real-world training, to include the cost to units and safety challenges of dissimilar formation and dissimilar aircraft performance in close proximity;
- (5) Review of spectrum allocation limitations in ground-based sense and avoid systems;
- (6) Total MQ-1 and MQ-9 flight hours outside the National Airspace System without chase plane or ground-based sense and avoid systems to include any data pertaining to the total number of mid-air collisions or near midair collisions and frequency of non-cooperative traffic encountered; and
- (7) Any data on foreign governments seeking to impose a regulatory regime similar to that found in the National Airspace System on MQ-9 operations

in their sovereign airspace and any limiting factors associated with a chase requirement on such operations in strategic locations outside the National Airspace System.

## AMENDMENT TO H.R. 2670 OFFERED BY MR. KEATING OF MASSACHUSETTS

At the appropriate place in subtitle A of title XXVIII, insert the following:

| 1  | SEC. 28 PRIORITIZATION OF CERTAIN MILITARY CON-             |
|----|---|
| 2  | STRUCTION PROJECTS TO IMPROVE INFRA-                        |
| 3  | STRUCTURE AT CERTAIN FACILITIES DETER-                      |
| 4  | MINED TO BE CRITICAL TO NATIONAL SECU-                      |
| 5  | RITY.   |
| 6  | Section 2815 of title 10, United States Code, is            |
| 7  | amended—  |
| 8  | (1) by redesignating subsections (e) and (f) as             |
| 9  | subsections (f) and (g), respectively; and                  |
| 10 | (2) by inserting after subsection (d), the fol-             |
| 11 | lowing new subsection:                                      |
| 12 | "(e) Prioritization.—In carrying out this section,          |
| 13 | the Secretary concerned shall prioritize projects that im-  |
| 14 | prove federally owned infrastructure that provides the sole |
| 15 | means of ingress to and egress from a facility determined   |
| 16 | to be critical to the national security interests of the    |
| 17 | United States, as determined by the Secretary of De-        |
| 18 | fense.".  |
|    |   |



## AMENDMENT TO H.R. 2670 OFFERED BY MR. KEATING OF MASSACHUSETTS

At the appropriate place in subtitle A of title XXVIII, insert the following:

SEC. 28\_\_\_. EXPANSION OF AMOUNT OF CERTAIN FUNDS

SECRETARY CONCERNED MAY OBLIGATE AN
NUALLY FOR MILITARY INSTALLATION RE
SILIENCE PROJECTS.

Section 2815(e)(3) of title 10, United States Code,

is amended by striking "\$100,000,000" and inserting

"\$200,000,000".



Offered by: Mr. John Garamendi

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 2670, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

> Report on Progressive Design-Build model for Military Construction

The committee appreciates the Army Corps of Engineers' recent review of reforms to military construction that could accelerate project delivery and reduce cost. The committee is disappointed that the Progressive Design-Build model was not reviewed as part of that process. The committee notes that this contracting model has been used successfully by other federal and state agencies. Accordingly, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a report to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than April 1, 2024 on the potential for using the Progressive-Design Build contract method for military construction.