

SUBCOMMITTEE ON CYBER, INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGIES, AND INFORMATION SYSTEMS EN  
BLOC #1

LOG ID	REV	MEMBER	MARKUP LOC	DESCRIPTION	MARKUP ACT
1586	0	Bacon, Don	CIT	Directs DHS to provide a report on the federal cyber incident response.	EB 1
1622	1	Crow, Jason	CIT	Report Language for a briefing on defense biosecurity molecular cryptography	EB 1
1674	1	Bacon, Don	CIT	Directs SECDEF to provide a briefing on the Electromagnetic Battle Management (EMBM) program	EB 1
1704	0	Fallon, Pat	CIT	Requires the Secretary of Defense to submit a report on commercial 5G deployment on military installations	EB 1
1721	0	Johnson, Mike	CIT	Standardizes the process and software platform for requesting an authority to operate for an IT system on DoD Networks; requires the issuance of a reciprocity policy by the DOD - CIO	EB 1
1784	2	McClain, Lisa C.	CIT	Directs a briefing by the Army on plans for partnership with HBCUs and non-profit organizations in order to build the next generation of STEM leaders.	EB 1
1805	2	Brown, Anthony G.	CIT	Requires a cost estimate for the relocation of the offices of the Joint Spectrum Center	EB 1
1811	2	Moore, Blake D.	CIT	A report on the Department's Commercial Solutions for Classified (CSfC) Program, to include information about engagement with the private sector accessibility of related information by the public.	EB 1
1820	3	Moore, Blake D.	CIT	Directive Report Language on actions being taken to address IoT security of endpoint devices and how the DIU is working with non-traditional software development locations on these innovative technologies.	EB 1
1849	1	Jackson, Ronny	CIT	Directs the U.S. Army Research Labs to continue working with academic partners when developing stronger and lighter soldier protection materials	EB 1
1892	0	Waltz, Michael	CIT	National research and development strategy for distributed ledger technology	EB 1
1903	1	Wittman, Robert	CIT	Requests a report on opportunities the visible light spectrum might offer for secure communications for the Department of Defense	EB 1
1911	0	Speier, Jackie	CIT	Establishes an electric battery research pilot	EB 1

LOG ID	REV	MEMBER	MARKUP LOC	DESCRIPTION	MARKUP ACT
1943	1	Fallon, Pat	CIT	Requires the Secretary of Defense to establish a target date to deploy 5G wireless infrastructure on all military installations	EB 1
1947	0	Banks, Jim	CIT	Establishes an Innovation Fellowship program at DARPA to expand opportunities for early career scientists.	EB 1
1948	0	Banks, Jim	CIT	Directs a report on options to support graduate students working on DARPA projects.	EB 1
2049	1	Bice, Stephanie I.	CIT	This DRL amendment tasks the USD(A&S) to provide a report that includes options to streamline the Information Assurance and Cyber Security approval processes for software acquisitions	EB 1
2089	1	Scott, Austin	CIT	This DRL directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a briefing on Cybersecurity activities with the country of Georgia.	EB 1
2097	1	Moulton, Seth	CIT	Clarifies the ability of the Under Secretary of Defense for Policy to delegate roles and responsibilities related to Information Operations.	EB 1
2100	1	Bice, Stephanie I.	CIT	Requires a briefing on efforts to identify and mitigate software supply chain threats and vulnerabilities.	EB 1
2107	0	Rogers, Mike	CIT	Allow the Secretary of DHS to select regional consortia	EB 1
2115	1	Bice, Stephanie I.	CIT	A report on DoD's strategy for future multi-cloud projects.	EB 1
2167	1	Horsford, Steven	CIT	Requires GAO to examine the impacts of restrictive enterprise software licenses.	EB 1
2204	2	Panetta, Jimmy	CIT	Requests that the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Principal Information Operations Advisor, conduct an assessment concerning the policymaking process for information operations across the defense enterprise	EB 1
2206	0	Panetta, Jimmy	CIT	Requests that the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Under Secretary for Research and Engineering, produce an Intellectual Property Strategy and report back to the Armed Services Committees regarding its implementation.	EB 1
2208	0	Panetta, Jimmy	CIT	Adds specificity to Sec. 1521 of the FY 2021 NDAA related to cybersecurity products	EB 1

LOG ID	REV	MEMBER	MARKUP LOC	DESCRIPTION	MARKUP ACT
2232	2	DesJarlais, Scott	CIT	Directs the Under Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering to undertake a review of the resources, budget, needs and opportunities to expand S&T research participant appointments.	EB 1
2234	1	Golden, Jared F.	CIT	DRL to examine large scale additive manufacturing potential to enhance expeditionary capability across a range of operational environments	EB 1
2247	0	Morelle, Joseph D.	CIT	Directive Report Language mandating a briefing on the Department of the Army's data infrastructure modernization efforts	EB 1
2251	2	Moore, Blake D.	CIT	Requires a briefing identifying identifying opportunity areas for further collaboration between military leadership at the installation level and non-traditional small businesses, startups, and venture-backed startups and scaleups.	EB 1
2328	1	Bice, Stephanie I.	CIT	Expresses support for Army Research Lab partnerships with academia and private industry to develop commercially available CUAS technologies	EB 1
2350	1	Golden, Jared F.	CIT	Requirement for SECDEF to provide a report on implementing uniform standards and requirements regarding the deployment of gesture control technology.	EB 1
2388	0	Moore, Blake D.	CIT	Requires a report on how the DoD can improve the Small Business Innovation Research program	EB 1
2394	0	Crow, Jason	CIT	Requires an assessment and optimization plan for DoD operations within the cyber domain	EB 1
2396	0	Crow, Jason	CIT	Requires a comprehensive assessment of cybersecurity vulnerabilities to Department of Defense weapons systems	EB 1
2433	0	Garcia, Sylvia	CIT	Requires the Sec. of the Treasury to submit an annual report to the Financial Services Committee on the state of its cybersecurity measures to protect the financial sector	EB 1
2437	0	Banks, Jim	CIT	Directs a report on plans to integrate advanced combustion technologies into Navy systems.	EB 1

**AMENDMENT TO H.R. 7900****OFFERED BY MR. BACON OF NEBRASKA**

At the appropriate place in the bill, insert the following:

1 **SEC. \_\_\_\_ . REPORT ON CYBERSECURITY ROLES AND RE-**  
2 **SPONSIBILITIES OF THE DEPARTMENT OF**  
3 **HOMELAND SECURITY.**

4 (a) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than one year after the  
5 date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Home-  
6 land Security, in coordination with the Director of the Cy-  
7 bersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency of the De-  
8 partment of Homeland Security, shall submit to the Com-  
9 mittee on Homeland Security of the House of Representa-  
10 tives and the Committee on Homeland Security and Gov-  
11 ernmental Affairs of the Senate a report on the roles and  
12 responsibilities of the Department and its components re-  
13 lating to cyber incident response.

14 (b) **CONTENTS.**—The report required under sub-  
15 section (a) shall include the following:

16 (1) A review of how the cyber incident response  
17 plans under section 2210(c) of the Homeland Secu-  
18 rity Act of 2002 (6 U.S.C. 660(c)) are utilized in

1 the Federal Government's response to a cyber inci-  
2 dent.

3 (2) An explanation of the roles and responsibil-  
4 ities of the Department of Homeland Security and  
5 its components with responsibility for, or in support  
6 of, the Federal Government's response to a cyber in-  
7 cident, including primary responsibility for working  
8 with impacted private sector entities.

9 (3) An explanation of which and how authori-  
10 ties of the Department and its components are uti-  
11 lized in the Federal Government's response to a  
12 cyber incident.

13 (4) Recommendations to provide further clarity  
14 for roles and responsibilities of the Department and  
15 its components relating to cyber incident response.



**Amendment to H.R. 7900**  
**National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2023**

**Offered by: Mr. Crow**

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 7900, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

**Defense Biosecurity Molecular Cryptography Research**

The committee recognizes the importance of biosecurity and the potential threats posed by the proliferation of advanced gene editing technologies by state and non-state actors. As biotechnology capabilities have become more democratized and globally accessible, the strategic importance of securing servicemembers genetic data has become more critical. The committee notes the continued importance of investing in research and development to mature technologies that provide protection of sensitive genetic information, including the utilization of Small Business Innovation Research contracts to engage small businesses and non-traditional defense contractors for leading edge commercial technologies. The committee is aware of ongoing research that applies novel cryptography directly to DNA molecules, significantly enhancing the protection provided for genetic information, reducing the risk of incidental data breaches, supply chain vulnerabilities, and malicious cyber actors. The committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering to provide a briefing by March 1, 2023 to the House Armed Services Committee on biosecurity molecular cryptography research within the Department.

## **Amendment to H.R. 7900 National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2023**

### **Offered by Mr. Bacon of Nebraska**

As appropriate in the report to accompany H.R. 7900, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

#### **Electromagnetic Battle Management Machine Learning Integration**

The committee supports the efforts of the Defense Information Systems Agency (DISA) to rapidly prototype and develop a Joint Electromagnetic Battle Management (EMBM) system. The committee anticipates that the EMBM Minimum Viable Capability Release 1 (MVCR1) will provide capabilities that are critical to countering the efforts of near peer competitors who seek to degrade our operational capabilities in the electromagnetic spectrum.

The committee also supports DISA's use of an agile acquisition methodology for EMBM, and agrees that future iterations of EMBM will need to add and refine functionality in order to provide a mature solution that is capable of enabling long term electromagnetic superiority. Furthermore, the committee believes that achieving superiority in electromagnetic spectrum operations will require the rapid integration of machine learning technologies into programs that support this critical mission area. Some machine learning capabilities will be included in MVCR1, however, additional resourcing will be required to provide a mature solution capable of incorporating machine learning that implements EMBM tasks within the DoD's Electromagnetic Spectrum Superiority Strategy Implementation plan and enables long term electromagnetic superiority.

Therefore, the committee directs the Director of the Defense Information Systems Agency to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services by March 31, 2023, on DISA's plan to incorporate machine learning capabilities into the EMBM MVCR1 program.

## **Amendment to H.R. 7900**

### **National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2023**

**Offered by: Mr. Fallon**

In the portion of the report to accompany H.R. 7900 titled “Report on commercial 5G deployment on military installations”, strike the following text:

“The committee believes that robust information technology and wireless infrastructure contribute to readiness, safety, and quality of life for service members and their families.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a report to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than May 1, 2023, that includes the following:

(1) an assessment of the number of military installations with access to commercial 5G infrastructure;

(2) a review of barriers to broader commercial 5G deployment on military installations;

(3) a review of the funding and approval process for commercial 5G deployment on military installations; and

(4) a plan, to include funding and a timeline, on the feasibility of ensuring commercial 5G is available at all military installations.”

and insert the following new text:

“The committee is concerned about the pace at which the Department of Defense is facilitating commercial 5G infrastructure deployment on military installations. Maintaining parity between the development of civilian coverage outside an installation and coverage on an installation is both a readiness and quality of life issue for servicemembers. Despite the establishment of a common form for siting a communications facility installation on federal lands in Public Law 115-141, each Military Department appears to be establishing its own process which is slowing wireless broadband deployment. The lack of designation of a singular official responsible for the oversight of this process for each Military Department is also hampering execution.

With this in mind, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the House Committees on Armed Services by May 1, 2023 on the Department of Defense’s plan to reduce barriers to siting communications facilities necessary for commercial 5G on military installations. At a minimum, the report should include:



- (1) An assessment of the number of military installations with access to commercial 5G infrastructure
- (2) A description of the Department of Defense's current process for reviewing an application for communications facilities necessary for commercial 5G deployment on military installations within each Military Department, and the role each Military Department and Installation plays in that process.
- (3) How the Department of Defense is utilizing the Standard Form 299 process as required by Public Law 115-141, Division P, Title VI, Sec. 606.
  - a. This should include compliance with the 270 day "shot clock" requirement.
- (4) The number of applications each Military Department has received for the communications facilities necessary for commercial 5G deployment on installations over the last 6 years.
- (5) The number of applications each Military Department has approved for communications facilities necessary for commercial 5G deployment on installations over the last 6 years.
- (6) The average response time to each of these applications.
- (7) Whether each Military Department has an online portal for processing the Standard Form 299. In the case of a Military Department that does not utilize an online portal, what the plan of the Military Department is to implement an online portal for reviewing the Standard Form 299.
- (8) A plan, to include funding and a timeline, on the feasibility of ensuring commercial 5G is available at all military installations."

**AMENDMENT TO H.R. 7900**  
**OFFERED BY MR. JOHNSON OF LOUISIANA**

At the appropriate place in title XV, insert the following new section:

1 **SEC. 15 \_\_\_\_ . STANDARDIZATION OF AUTHORITY TO OPER-**  
2 **ATE APPLICATIONS IN THE DEPARTMENT OF**  
3 **DEFENSE.**

4 (a) POLICY.—

5 (1) REQUIREMENT.—Not later than 270 days  
6 after the date of the enactment of this Act, the  
7 Chief Information Officer of the Department of De-  
8 fense shall establish a policy with criteria for the  
9 reciprocity of authority to operate for software and  
10 hardware between all networks of the Department of  
11 Defense.

12 (2) CONTENTS.—The policy under paragraph  
13 (1) shall contain the following:

14 (A) Procedures for requesting an authority  
15 to operate that applies to all networks of the  
16 Department.

17 (B) Guidance on when authorizing officials  
18 should grant an information technology plat-  
19 form that has already received an authority to

1 operate on another network of the Federal Gov-  
2 ernment a reciprocal authority to operate on a  
3 network of the Department of Defense.

4 (C) A standardized format for documenta-  
5 tion to support the evaluation of a request for  
6 an authority to operate.

7 (b) SINGLE PLATFORM.—Not later than one year  
8 after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Chief In-  
9 formation Officer shall implement a single software tool  
10 or platform for the submission and review of requests for  
11 an authority to operate applications. The tool or platform  
12 shall—

13 (1) be used by all authorizing officials of the  
14 Department for the receipt, review, and adjudication  
15 of all such requests; and

16 (2) authorize persons who submit such requests  
17 to see the progress of the request at all steps in the  
18 review process.

19 (c) REPORT.—Not later than one year after the date  
20 of the enactment of this Act, the Chief Information Officer  
21 shall submit to the congressional defense committees a re-  
22 port on the following:

23 (1) The operational status of the software tool  
24 or platform implemented under subsection (b).

1 (2) A list of all networks and authorizing offi-  
2 cials of the Department that are using the software  
3 tool or platform.

4 (3) A list of all networks and authorizing offi-  
5 cials of the Department that are not using the soft-  
6 ware tool or platform.

7 (d) AUTHORITY TO OPERATE DEFINED.—In this sec-  
8 tion, the term “authority to operate” means the official  
9 management decision given by a senior organizational offi-  
10 cial to authorize operation of an information system and  
11 accept the risk to organizational operations.



**Amendment to H.R. 7900  
National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2023**

**Offered by: Mrs. McClain**

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 7900, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

**Support for STEM Education in Historically Black Colleges and Universities**

The Department of Defense faces challenges recruiting and retaining a workforce skilled in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM). While this is a nationwide concern, the Committee supports the efforts of the Department of Defense to grow the STEM workforce pipeline, particularly for women and under-represented minorities in the areas of additive metals manufacturing, modeling and simulation, renewable energies, and skilled trades.

The Committee directs the Secretary of the Army to provide a briefing to the House Armed Services Committee by December 30, 2022 on how it plans to partner with HBCUs and non-profit organizations to leverage their expertise in the aforementioned areas of research to inform future requirements while building the next generation of STEM leaders.

**Amendment to H.R. 7900**  
**National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2023**

**Offered by: MR. BROWN OF MARYLAND**

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 7900, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

Joint Spectrum Center Relocation

The committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide the House and Senate Armed Services Committees, not later than December 23, 2022, with a report containing a cost estimate for the relocation of the offices of the Joint Spectrum Center to Fort Meade, Maryland . The cost estimate shall include, but not be limited to, the costs associated with moving the Joint Spectrum Center to its current designated building at Fort Meade, Maryland, as well as the cost and mission efficiencies that could be achieved by consolidating some or all Joint Spectrum Center personnel and mission with the Defense Spectrum Organization and other existing missions located at the Defense Information Systems Agency headquarter building at Fort Meade, Maryland. The committee also directs the Secretary of Defense to provide the committees with the results of a full Balanced Survivability Assessment of the Joint Spectrum Center's current leased facility at the former David Taylor Research Center site in Annapolis, MD as part of the report directed above.

**Amendment to H.R. 7900**  
**National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2023**

**Offered by: Mr. Moore**

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 7900, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

**Commercial Solutions for Classified Program Architecture Review**

The committee recognizes the success of the National Security Agency's Commercial Solutions for Classified (CSfC) Program, which enables commercial products to be used in layered solutions to protect classified National Security System data. However, the committee is aware that some stakeholders from industry have made claims that the CSfC Architecture is not reviewed or updated in a timely manner, and may not incorporate feedback from the private sector. While the committee is aware of recent and upcoming updates of CSfC Capability and Annexes, the committee believes that greater clarity on the issue is required. Therefore the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the House Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives by March 1, 2023, on the Department's efforts to date for CSfC, to include communication with the private sector, public accessibility of information, and existing forums or mechanism for public engagement.

**Amendment to H.R. 7900**  
**National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2023**

**Offered by: Mr. Moore**

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 7900, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

**Internet of Things Security**

Cyber competition with Russia and China is heightening the sense of urgency with which the nation must reform our acquisition approach to maintain our military and technological superiority. The committee is concerned that near peer adversaries are challenging the U.S. across several cyber dimensions, including the threat to Internet of Things (IoT) and end point devices. The committee believes this new era of competition requires technological superiority to ensure the security of IoT devices from enhanced cyberattacks. Therefore, the committee directs the Chief Information Officer of the Department of Defense to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services by March 1, 2023, on the Department's actions to address IoT security of end point devices.



**Amendment to H.R. 7900**  
**National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2023**

**Offered by: Mr. Jackson of Texas**

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 7900, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

**Material Development for Personal Protection Systems**

The committee recognizes the importance of developing enhanced technologies such as lightweight armors, protective structures, kinetic energy active protection, ballistic shock protection, and helmet technologies to meet the current threats posed by adversaries. The committee understands that utilizing computational modeling and simulation allows for greater cost savings to the Department of Defense. Methods such as computational research allow for the development of models that predict the mechanical response of materials that are used in research and development at the U.S. Army Research Laboratory.

Therefore, the committee directs the Commander, U.S. Army Futures Command, to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than March 1, 2023, that identifies efforts to collaborate with academic partners and to utilize computational modeling to develop new soldier protection materials that are lighter and stronger than current materials while providing greater protection.

**AMENDMENT TO H.R. 7900**  
**OFFERED BY MR. WALTZ OF FLORIDA**

At the appropriate place in division E, insert the following:

1 **SEC. \_\_\_\_ . NATIONAL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT**  
2 **STRATEGY FOR DISTRIBUTED LEDGER TECH-**  
3 **NOLOGY.**

4 (a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

5 (1) DIRECTOR.—Except as otherwise expressly  
6 provided, the term “Director” means the Director of  
7 the Office of Science and Technology Policy.

8 (2) DISTRIBUTED LEDGER.—The term “distrib-  
9 uted ledger” means a ledger that—

10 (A) is shared across a set of distributed  
11 nodes, which are devices or processes, that par-  
12 ticipate in a network and store a complete or  
13 partial replica of the ledger;

14 (B) is synchronized between the nodes;

15 (C) has data appended to it by following  
16 the ledger’s specified consensus mechanism;

17 (D) may be accessible to anyone (public)  
18 or restricted to a subset of participants (pri-  
19 vate); and

1 (E) may require participants to have au-  
2 thorization to perform certain actions  
3 (permitted) or require no authorization  
4 (permissionless).

5 (3) DISTRIBUTED LEDGER TECHNOLOGY.—The  
6 term “distributed ledger technology” means tech-  
7 nology that enables the operation and use of distrib-  
8 uted ledgers.

9 (4) INSTITUTION OF HIGHER EDUCATION.—The  
10 term “institution of higher education” has the  
11 meaning given the term in section 101 of the Higher  
12 Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1001).

13 (5) RELEVANT CONGRESSIONAL COMMIT-  
14 TEES.—The term “relevant congressional commit-  
15 tees” means—

16 (A) the Committee on Commerce, Science,  
17 and Transportation of the Senate; and

18 (B) the Committee on Science, Space, and  
19 Technology of the House of Representatives.

20 (6) SMART CONTRACT.—The term “smart con-  
21 tract” means a computer program stored in a dis-  
22 tributed ledger system that is executed when certain  
23 predefined conditions are satisfied and wherein the  
24 outcome of any execution of the program may be re-  
25 corded on the distributed ledger.

1 (b) NATIONAL DISTRIBUTED LEDGER TECHNOLOGY  
2 R&D STRATEGY.—

3 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Director, or a designee  
4 of the Director, shall, in coordination with the Na-  
5 tional Science and Technology Council, and the  
6 heads of such other relevant Federal agencies and  
7 entities as the Director considers appropriate, which  
8 may include the National Academies, and in con-  
9 sultation with such nongovernmental entities as the  
10 Director considers appropriate, develop a national  
11 strategy for the research and development of distrib-  
12 uted ledger technologies and their applications, in-  
13 cluding applications of public and permissionless dis-  
14 tributed ledgers. In developing the national strategy,  
15 the Director shall consider the following:

16 (A) Current efforts and coordination by  
17 Federal agencies to invest in the research and  
18 development of distributed ledger technologies  
19 and their applications, including through pro-  
20 grams like the Small Business Innovation Re-  
21 search program, the Small Business Technology  
22 Transfer program, and the National Science  
23 Foundation's Innovation Corps programs.

24 (B)(i) The potential benefits and risks of  
25 applications of distributed ledger technologies

1 across different industry sectors, including their  
2 potential to—

3 (I) lower transactions costs and facili-  
4 tate new types of commercial transactions;

5 (II) protect privacy and increase indi-  
6 viduals' data sovereignty;

7 (III) reduce friction to the interoper-  
8 ability of digital systems;

9 (IV) increase the accessibility,  
10 auditability, security, efficiency, and trans-  
11 parency of digital services;

12 (V) increase market competition in  
13 the provision of digital services;

14 (VI) enable dynamic contracting and  
15 contract execution through smart con-  
16 tracts;

17 (VII) enable participants to collabo-  
18 rate in trustless and disintermediated envi-  
19 ronments;

20 (VIII) enable the operations and gov-  
21 ernance of distributed organizations;

22 (IX) create new ownership models for  
23 digital items; and

1 (X) increase participation of popu-  
2 lations historically underrepresented in the  
3 technology, business, and financial sectors.

4 (ii) In consideration of the potential risks  
5 of applications of distributed ledger technologies  
6 under clause (i), the Director shall take into ac-  
7 count, where applicable—

8 (I) additional risks that may emerge  
9 from distributed ledger technologies, as  
10 identified in reports submitted to the  
11 President pursuant to Executive Order  
12 14067, that may be addressed by research  
13 and development;

14 (II) software vulnerabilities in distrib-  
15 uted ledger technologies and smart con-  
16 tracts;

17 (III) limited consumer literacy on en-  
18 gaging with applications of distributed  
19 ledger technologies in a secure way;

20 (IV) the use of distributed ledger  
21 technologies in illicit finance and their use  
22 in combating illicit finance;

23 (V) manipulative, deceptive, and  
24 fraudulent practices that harm consumers

1 engaging with applications of distributed  
2 ledger technologies;

3 (VI) the implications of different con-  
4 sensus mechanisms for digital ledgers and  
5 governance and accountability mechanisms  
6 for applications of distributed ledger tech-  
7 nologies, which may include decentralized  
8 networks;

9 (VII) foreign activities in the develop-  
10 ment and deployment of distributed ledger  
11 technologies and their associated tools and  
12 infrastructure; and

13 (VIII) environmental, sustainability,  
14 and economic impacts of the computational  
15 resources required for distributed ledger  
16 technologies.

17 (C) Potential uses for distributed ledger  
18 technologies that could improve the operations  
19 and delivery of services by Federal agencies,  
20 taking into account the potential of digital ledg-  
21 er technologies to—

22 (i) improve the efficiency and effec-  
23 tiveness of privacy-preserving data sharing  
24 among Federal agencies and with State,  
25 local, territorial, and Tribal governments;

1 (ii) promote government transparency  
2 by improving data sharing with the public;

3 (iii) introduce or mitigate risks that  
4 may threaten individuals' rights or broad  
5 access to Federal services;

6 (iv) automate and modernize proc-  
7 esses for assessing and ensuring regulatory  
8 compliance; and

9 (v) facilitate broad access to financial  
10 services for underserved and underbanked  
11 populations.

12 (D) Ways to support public and private  
13 sector dialogue on areas of research that could  
14 enhance the efficiency, scalability, interoper-  
15 ability, security, and privacy of applications  
16 using distributed ledger technologies.

17 (E) The need for increased coordination of  
18 the public and private sectors on the develop-  
19 ment of voluntary standards in order to pro-  
20 mote research and development, including  
21 standards regarding security, smart contracts,  
22 cryptographic protocols, virtual routing and for-  
23 warding, interoperability, zero-knowledge  
24 proofs, and privacy, for distributed ledger tech-  
25 nologies and their applications.



1 (F) Applications of distributed ledger tech-  
2 nologies that could positively benefit society but  
3 that receive relatively little private sector invest-  
4 ment.

5 (G) The United States position in global  
6 leadership and competitiveness across research,  
7 development, and deployment of distributed  
8 ledger technologies.

9 (2) CONSULTATION.—

10 (A) IN GENERAL.—In carrying out the Di-  
11 rector’s duties under this subsection, the Direc-  
12 tor shall consult with the following:

13 (i) Private industry.

14 (ii) Institutions of higher education,  
15 including minority-serving institutions.

16 (iii) Nonprofit organizations, includ-  
17 ing foundations dedicated to supporting  
18 distributed ledger technologies and their  
19 applications.

20 (iv) State governments.

21 (v) Such other persons as the Director  
22 considers appropriate.

23 (B) REPRESENTATION.—The Director  
24 shall ensure consultations with the following:

1 (i) Rural and urban stakeholders from  
2 across the Nation.

3 (ii) Small, medium, and large busi-  
4 nesses.

5 (iii) Subject matter experts rep-  
6 resenting multiple industrial sectors.

7 (iv) A demographically diverse set of  
8 stakeholders.

9 (3) COORDINATION.—In carrying out this sub-  
10 section, the Director shall, for purposes of avoiding  
11 duplication of activities, consult, cooperate, and co-  
12 ordinate with the programs and policies of other rel-  
13 evant Federal agencies, including the interagency  
14 process outlined in section 3 of Executive Order  
15 14067 (87 Fed. Reg. 14143; relating ensuring re-  
16 sponsible development of digital assets).

17 (4) NATIONAL STRATEGY.—Not later than 1  
18 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Di-  
19 rector shall submit to the relevant congressional  
20 committees and the President a national strategy  
21 that includes the following:

22 (A) Priorities for the research and develop-  
23 ment of distributed ledger technologies and  
24 their applications.

1 (B) Plans to support public and private  
2 sector investment and partnerships in research  
3 and technology development for societally bene-  
4 ficial applications of distributed ledger tech-  
5 nologies.

6 (C) Plans to mitigate the risks of distrib-  
7 uted ledger technologies and their applications.

8 (D) An identification of additional re-  
9 sources, administrative action, or legislative ac-  
10 tion recommended to assist with the implemen-  
11 tation of such strategy.

12 (5) RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT FUND-  
13 ING.—The Director shall, as the Director considers  
14 necessary, consult with the Director of the Office of  
15 Management and Budget and with the heads of such  
16 other elements of the Executive Office of the Presi-  
17 dent as the Director considers appropriate, to ensure  
18 that the recommendations and priorities with respect  
19 to research and development funding, as expressed  
20 in the national strategy developed under this sub-  
21 section, are incorporated in the development of an-  
22 nual budget requests for Federal research agencies.

23 (e) DISTRIBUTED LEDGER TECHNOLOGY RE-  
24 SEARCH.—

1 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Director of the National  
2 Science Foundation shall make awards, on a com-  
3 petitive basis, to institutions of higher education, in-  
4 cluding minority-serving institutions, or nonprofit  
5 organizations (or consortia of such institutions or or-  
6 ganizations) to support research, including inter-  
7 disciplinary research, on distributed ledger tech-  
8 nologies, their applications, and other issues that im-  
9 pact or are caused by distributed ledger tech-  
10 nologies, which may include research on—

11 (A) the implications on trust, trans-  
12 parency, privacy, accessibility, accountability,  
13 and energy consumption of different consensus  
14 mechanisms and hardware choices, and ap-  
15 proaches for addressing these implications;

16 (B) approaches for improving the security,  
17 privacy, resiliency, interoperability, perform-  
18 ance, and scalability of distributed ledger tech-  
19 nologies and their applications, which may in-  
20 clude decentralized networks;

21 (C) approaches for identifying and ad-  
22 dressing vulnerabilities and improving the per-  
23 formance and expressive power of smart con-  
24 tracts;

1 (D) the implications of quantum com-  
2 puting on applications of distributed ledger  
3 technologies, including long-term protection of  
4 sensitive information (such as medical or digital  
5 property), and techniques to address them;

6 (E) game theory, mechanism design, and  
7 economics underpinning and facilitating the op-  
8 erations and governance of decentralized net-  
9 works enabled by distributed ledger tech-  
10 nologies;

11 (F) the social behaviors of participants in  
12 decentralized networks enabled by distributed  
13 ledger technologies;

14 (G) human-centric design approaches to  
15 make distributed ledger technologies and their  
16 applications more usable and accessible;

17 (H) use cases for distributed ledger tech-  
18 nologies across various industry sectors and  
19 government, including applications pertaining  
20 to—

21 (i) digital identity, including trusted  
22 identity and identity management;

23 (ii) digital property rights;

24 (iii) delivery of public services;

25 (iv) supply chain transparency;

- 1 (v) medical information management;
- 2 (vi) inclusive financial services;
- 3 (vii) community governance;
- 4 (viii) charitable giving;
- 5 (ix) public goods funding;
- 6 (x) digital credentials;
- 7 (xi) regulatory compliance;
- 8 (xii) infrastructure resilience, includ-
- 9 ing against natural disasters; and
- 10 (xiii) peer-to-peer transactions; and
- 11 (I) the social, behavioral, and economic im-
- 12 plications associated with the growth of applica-
- 13 tions of distributed ledger technologies, includ-
- 14 ing decentralization in business, financial, and
- 15 economic systems.

16 (2) ACCELERATING INNOVATION.—The Director  
17 of the National Science Foundation shall consider  
18 continuing to support startups that are in need of  
19 funding, would develop in and contribute to the  
20 economy of the United States, leverage distributed  
21 ledger technologies, have the potential to positively  
22 benefit society, and have the potential for commer-  
23 cial viability, through programs like the Small Busi-  
24 ness Innovation Research program, the Small Busi-  
25 ness Technology Transfer program, and, as appro-

1        appropriate, other programs that promote broad and di-  
2        verse participation.

3            (3) CONSIDERATION OF NATIONAL DISTRIB-  
4        UTED LEDGER TECHNOLOGY RESEARCH AND DEVEL-  
5        OPMENT STRATEGY.—In making awards under para-  
6        graph (1), the Director of the National Science  
7        Foundation shall take into account the national  
8        strategy, as described in subsection (b)(4).

9            (4) FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH.—The Director  
10       of the National Science Foundation shall consider  
11       continuing to make awards supporting fundamental  
12       research in areas related to distributed ledger tech-  
13       nologies and their applications, such as applied cryp-  
14       tography and distributed systems.

15        (d) DISTRIBUTED LEDGER TECHNOLOGY APPLIED  
16       RESEARCH PROJECT.—

17            (1) APPLIED RESEARCH PROJECT.—Subject to  
18       the availability of appropriations, the Director of the  
19       National Institute of Standards and Technology,  
20       may carry out an applied research project to study  
21       and demonstrate the potential benefits and unique  
22       capabilities of distributed ledger technologies.

23            (2) ACTIVITIES.—In carrying out the applied  
24       research project, the Director of the National Insti-  
25       tute of Standards and Technology shall—

1 (A) identify potential applications of dis-  
2 tributed ledger technologies, including those  
3 that could benefit activities at the Department  
4 of Commerce or at other Federal agencies, con-  
5 sidering applications that could—

6 (i) improve the privacy and interoper-  
7 ability of digital identity and access man-  
8 agement solutions;

9 (ii) increase the integrity and trans-  
10 parency of supply chains through the se-  
11 cure and limited sharing of relevant sup-  
12 plier information;

13 (iii) facilitate increased interoper-  
14 ability across healthcare information sys-  
15 tems and consumer control over the move-  
16 ment of their medical data;

17 (iv) facilitate broader participation in  
18 distributed ledger technologies of popu-  
19 lations historically underrepresented in  
20 technology, business, and financial sectors;  
21 or

22 (v) be of benefit to the public or pri-  
23 vate sectors, as determined by the Director  
24 in consultation with relevant stakeholders;



1 (B) solicit and provide the opportunity for  
2 public comment relevant to potential projects;

3 (C) consider, in the selection of a project,  
4 whether the project addresses a pressing need  
5 not already addressed by another organization  
6 or Federal agency;

7 (D) establish plans to mitigate potential  
8 risks, including those outlined in subsection  
9 (b)(1)(B)(ii), if applicable, of potential projects;

10 (E) produce an example solution leveraging  
11 distributed ledger technologies for 1 of the ap-  
12 plications identified in subparagraph (A);

13 (F) hold a competitive process to select  
14 private sector partners, if they are engaged, to  
15 support the implementation of the example so-  
16 lution;

17 (G) consider hosting the project at the Na-  
18 tional Cybersecurity Center of Excellence; and

19 (H) ensure that cybersecurity best prac-  
20 tices consistent with the Cybersecurity Frame-  
21 work of the National Institute of Standards and  
22 Technology are demonstrated in the project.

23 (3) BRIEFINGS TO CONGRESS.—Not later than  
24 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the  
25 Director of the National Institute of Standards and

1 Technology shall offer a briefing to the relevant con-  
2 gressional committees on the progress and current  
3 findings from the project under this subsection.

4 (4) PUBLIC REPORT.—Not later than 12  
5 months after the completion of the project under  
6 this subsection, the Director of the National Insti-  
7 tute of Standards and Technology shall make public  
8 a report on the results and findings from the  
9 project.



**Amendment to H.R. 7900**  
**National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2023**

**Offered by: Mr. Wittman**

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 7900, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

Evaluating advances in secure communication technologies

The committee recognizes the need to take advantage of technologies that might strengthen secure communications for the United States military. As the management of the radio frequency portion of the electromagnetic spectrum becomes more complex, the committee notes the importance of the Department of Defense investigating alternative methods of communication. The committee encourages the Department to evaluate the utility of light fidelity technologies to improve the security of the Department's communications and achieve other related efficiencies. Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services no later than March 1, 2023, on how the Department of Defense is considering the potential benefits of light fidelity technology.

**AMENDMENT TO H.R. 7900**  
**OFFERED BY MS. SPEIER OF CALIFORNIA**

At the appropriate place in title II, insert the following new section:

1 **SEC. 2\_\_\_. PILOT PROGRAM TO FACILITATE THE RE-**  
2 **SEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, AND PRODUCTION**  
3 **OF ADVANCED BATTERY TECHNOLOGIES FOR**  
4 **WARFIGHTERS.**

5 (a) ESTABLISHMENT.—The Secretary of Defense  
6 shall carry out a pilot program to be known as the “Amer-  
7 ican Sustainable Battery Production Technologies Pro-  
8 gram” (referred to in this section as the “Program”).  
9 Under the Program, the Secretary shall seek to award as-  
10 sistance to eligible entities to facilitate the research, devel-  
11 opment, and production of electric battery technologies  
12 that may be useful for defense-related purposes.

13 (b) COORDINATION WITH RELATED PROGRAMS.—  
14 The Secretary of Defense shall ensure that activities under  
15 the Program are coordinated with—

16 (1) the Strategic Environmental Research and  
17 Development Program under section 2901 of title  
18 10, United States Code; and

19 (2) the Department of Energy.

1 (c) PROGRAM ACTIVITIES.—Under the Program, the  
2 Secretary of Defense shall seek to award assistance to eli-  
3 gible entities—

4 (1) to conduct research and development into  
5 electric battery technologies and any associated man-  
6 ufacturing and production needs;

7 (2) to expand the battery recycling capabilities  
8 of the Department of Defense;

9 (3) to reduce the reliance of the Department of  
10 Defense on foreign competitors for critical materials  
11 and technologies, including rare earth materials; and

12 (4) to transition battery technologies, including  
13 technologies developed from other pilot programs,  
14 prototype projects, or other research and develop-  
15 ment programs, from the prototyping phase to pro-  
16 duction.

17 (d) FORM OF ASSISTANCE.—Assistance awarded to  
18 an eligible entity under the Program may consist of a  
19 grant, a contract, a cooperative agreement, other trans-  
20 action, or such other form of assistance as the Secretary  
21 of Defense considers appropriate.

22 (e) PRIORITY CONSIDERATION.—In awarding assist-  
23 ance to eligible entities under the Program, the Secretary  
24 of Defense shall give priority to entities that—

1           (1) are located in and operate in the United  
2 States, including any manufacturing operations;

3           (2) are owned by a United States entity; and

4           (3) deploy North American-owned intellectual  
5 property and content.

6       (f) DATA COLLECTION.—The Secretary of Defense  
7 shall collect and analyze data on the Program for the pur-  
8 poses of—

9           (1) developing and sharing best practices for  
10 achieving the objectives of the Program;

11           (2) providing information to the Secretary on  
12 the implementation of the Program, and related pol-  
13 icy issues; and

14           (3) reporting to the congressional defense com-  
15 mittees in accordance with subsection (h).

16       (g) TERMINATION.—The Program shall terminate on  
17 the date that is six years after the date of the enactment  
18 of this Act.

19       (h) REPORTS.—

20           (1) ANNUAL REPORTS.—Not later than one  
21 year after the date of the enactment of this Act and  
22 annually thereafter until the date on which the Pro-  
23 gram terminates under subsection (g), the Secretary  
24 of Defense shall submit to the appropriate congres-  
25 sional committees a report on the use of funds under

1 the Program. Each report shall include the fol-  
2 lowing:

3 (A) An explanation of whether and to what  
4 extent the assistance awarded to eligible entities  
5 under the Program met mission requirements  
6 during the period covered by the report, includ-  
7 ing—

8 (i) the value of the assistance award-  
9 ed, including the value of each grant, con-  
10 tract, cooperative agreement, other trans-  
11 action, or other form of assistance; and

12 (ii) a description of the research, tech-  
13 nology, or capabilities funded with such as-  
14 sistance.

15 (B) A description of any research, tech-  
16 nology, or capabilities being tested under the  
17 Program as of the date of the report together  
18 with an explanation of how the Secretary has  
19 applied, or expects to apply, such research,  
20 technology, or capabilities within the Depart-  
21 ment of Defense.

22 (2) FINAL REPORT.—Not later than one year  
23 after the date on which the Program terminates  
24 under subsection (g), the Secretary of Defense shall  
25 submit to the appropriate congressional committees

1 a final report on the results of the Program. Such  
2 report shall include—

3 (A) a summary of the objectives achieved  
4 by the Program; and

5 (B) recommendations regarding the steps  
6 that may be taken to promote battery tech-  
7 nologies that are not dependent on foreign com-  
8 petitors to meet the needs of the Armed Forces.

9 (i) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

10 (1) The term “appropriate congressional com-  
11 mittees” means—

12 (A) the congressional defense committees;

13 (B) the Committee on Energy and Com-  
14 merce and the Committee on Science, Space,  
15 and Technology of the House of Representa-  
16 tives; and

17 (C) the Committee on Energy and Natural  
18 Resources and the Committee on Commerce,  
19 Science, and Transportation of the Senate.

20 (2) The term “eligible entity” means a battery  
21 producer or other entity involved in the battery pro-  
22 duction supply chain.





**AMENDMENT TO H.R. 7900**  
**OFFERED BY MR. FALLON OF TEXAS**

At the appropriate place in title X, insert the following:

1 **SEC. 10 \_\_\_\_ . TARGET DATE FOR DEPLOYMENT OF 5G WIRE-**  
2 **LESS BROADBAND INFRASTRUCTURE AT ALL**  
3 **MILITARY INSTALLATIONS.**

4 (a) **TARGET REQUIRED.**—The Secretary of Defense  
5 shall—

6 (1) establish a target date by which the Sec-  
7 retary plans to deploy 5G wireless broadband infra-  
8 structure at all military installations; and

9 (2) establish metrics, which shall be identical  
10 for each of the military departments, to measure  
11 progress toward reaching the target required by  
12 paragraph (1).

13 (b) **ANNUAL REPORT.**—The Secretary shall submit  
14 to the congressional defense committees and annual report  
15 that includes—

16 (1) the metrics in use pursuant to subsection  
17 (a)(2); and

18 (2) the progress of the Secretary in reaching  
19 the target required by subsection (a)(1).

1 (c) TERMINATION.—No report shall be required  
2 under subsection (b) after the date that is five years after  
3 the date of the enactment of this Act.



**AMENDMENT TO H.R. 7900**  
**OFFERED BY MR. BANKS OF INDIANA**

At the appropriate place in title II, insert the following new section:

1 **SEC. 2\_\_\_. DEFENSE ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS**  
2 **AGENCY INNOVATION FELLOWSHIP PRO-**  
3 **GRAM.**

4 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Director of the Defense Ad-  
5 vanced Research Projects Agency shall develop a plan for  
6 the establishment of a fellowship program (to be known  
7 as the “Innovation Fellowship Program”) to expand op-  
8 portunities for early career scientists to participate in the  
9 programs, projects, and other activities of the Agency.

10 (b) ELEMENTS.—In developing the plan under sub-  
11 section (a), the Director of the Defense Advanced Re-  
12 search Projects Agency shall—

13 (1) review the programs, projects, and other ac-  
14 tivities of the Agency that are open to participation  
15 from early career scientists to identify opportunities  
16 for the expansion of such participation;

17 (2) conduct an assessment of the potential costs  
18 of the fellowship program described in subsection

19 (a);

1           (3) establish detailed plans for the implementa-  
2           tion of the fellowship program;

3           (4) define eligibility requirements for partici-  
4           pants in the fellowship program;

5           (5) identify criteria for evaluating applicants to  
6           the fellowship program; and

7           (6) address such other matters as the Director  
8           determines appropriate.

9           (c) SUBMITTAL TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 180  
10          days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Direc-  
11          tor of the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency  
12          shall submit to the congressional defense committee a re-  
13          port that includes—

14                (1) the plan developed under subsection (a);  
15          and

16                (2) recommendations for expanding opportuni-  
17          ties for early career scientists to participate in the  
18          programs, projects, and other activities of the Agen-  
19          cy.



## **Amendment to H.R. 7900 National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2023**

**Offered by: Mr. Banks**

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 7900, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

### **DARPA Graduate Student Funding**

The committee recognizes that U.S. defense depends on the Department and the country having a vibrant, cutting-edge scientific and engineering enterprise. That, in turn, requires having a well-trained, innovative workforce that is regularly refreshed with diverse new talent. DARPA-funded research has many strengths, but DARPA projects are generally not well aligned with academic timelines with respect to graduate student funding. The committee believes that familiarizing graduate students with DARPA's unique approach to technology innovation will strengthen their talent pipeline.

The high-risk nature of DARPA work often requires failing programs to be terminated abruptly, which puts graduate student education at risk. DARPA has informal processes to support continued graduate student funding until alternate sources can be secured by the home university, but these are not legally binding and put students at significant risk, particularly when there are unexpected close out costs associated with a given program. DARPA's contracts office has a history of innovation in contracting, and there appear to be opportunities to codify student funding in contracts or grants, consistent with federal acquisition regulations, and thus stabilize student funding and minimize the burden on individual students.

Therefore, the committee directs the Director of DARPA to study and report to the House Committee on Armed Services on options for stabilizing graduate student funding against termination of DARPA projects. The report should identify current efforts to do this, proposals to provide formal (contractual) obligation of partial funding for student support upon termination of a project, and any barriers to execution of these proposals. It should make clear whether any additional authorities would be needed and cost estimates. DARPA shall provide the report to the committee no later than February 1, 2023.

**Amendment to H.R. 7900  
National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2023**

**Offered by: Mrs. Stephanie Bice**

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 7900, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

**Streamlining of Information Assurance and Cybersecurity Protocols in  
Defense Software Acquisitions**

Congress notes that existing Information Assurance and Cybersecurity processes within the Department of Defense acquisition process often cause delays in software acquisition programs.

Accordingly, the committee directs the Undersecretary for Acquisition and Sustainment to provide a report that includes options to streamline the Information Assurance and Cyber Security approval processes for software acquisitions and submit such report to the House Committee on Armed Services by March 1, 2023.

**Amendment to H.R. 7900  
National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2023**

**Offered by: Mr. Scott of Georgia**

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 7900, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

**Cybersecurity Activities with Georgia**

The Republic of Georgia faces major regional security challenges, including extensive challenges in cyberspace. The committee expects the defense relationship between the United States and Georgia to continue to mature. To that end, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services, no later than March 1, 2023, on the last two years of DOD activities with Georgia on cyber-related matters. This briefing should describe activities by any and all components of DOD as relates to cyberspace, to include United States Cyber Command and United States European Command. Additionally, this briefing shall include potential recommendations and plans for future engagement with Georgia on cyber-related matters.

**AMENDMENT TO H.R. 7900**  
**OFFERED BY MR. MOULTON OF MASSACHUSETTS**

At the appropriate place in title XV insert the following new section:

1 **SEC. 15 \_\_\_\_ . CONSISTENCY IN DELEGATION OF CERTAIN**  
2 **AUTHORITIES RELATING TO INFORMATION**  
3 **OPERATIONS.**

4 Except as otherwise provided specifically by law, if  
5 any roles or responsibilities relating to information oper-  
6 ations are assigned pursuant to a provision of law or by  
7 the direction of the Secretary of Defense to the Under Sec-  
8 retary of Defense for Policy, the Under Secretary shall  
9 ensure that such roles or responsibilities are assigned or  
10 otherwise delegated to the same position within the Office  
11 of the Under Secretary of Defense of Policy.





**Amendment to H.R. 7900  
National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2023**

**Offered by: Mrs. Stephanie Bice**

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 7900, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

**Briefing on Department of Defense Software Supply Chain Vulnerabilities**

The Committee recognizes the importance of protection our software supply chain from attacks. It understands that trends show software supply chain attacks are increasing at an exponential rate of 4-5 times per year, with several thousand attacks in 2021. The Committee understands that the Department of Defense with support of Congress continues to address supply chain vulnerabilities but is concerned that the focus has been on material and hardware vice the software supply chain. Accordingly, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services no later than March 1, 2023, on its efforts to identify and mitigate supply chain threats and vulnerabilities throughout the software supply chain, to include software as well as procedures and controls to ensure the security of that software supply chain.

**AMENDMENT TO H.R. 7900**  
**OFFERED BY MR. ROGERS OF ALABAMA**

At the appropriate place in division E, insert the following:

1 **SEC. \_\_\_\_ . NATIONAL CYBERSECURITY PREPAREDNESS**  
2 **CONSORTIUM.**

3 The National Cybersecurity Preparedness Consor-  
4 tium Act of 2021 (Public Law 117–122; 6 U.S.C. 652  
5 note) is amended—

6 (1) in subsections (a) and (b), by striking “The  
7 Secretary may work with one or more consortia”  
8 each place it appears and inserting “The Secretary  
9 shall work with not fewer than three consortia”;

10 (2) in subsection (c)—

11 (A) in the matter preceding paragraph (1),  
12 by striking “In selecting a consortium” and in-  
13 serting “In selecting the consortia”; and

14 (B) in paragraph (2), by striking “Geo-  
15 graphic diversity of the members of any such  
16 consortium” and inserting “Regional diversity  
17 of such consortia, and geographic diversity of  
18 the members of such consortia,”; and

1           (3) in subsection (d), by striking “If the Sec-  
2           retary works with a consortium” and inserting “In  
3           working with the consortia”.



**Amendment to H.R. 7900  
National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2023**

**Offered by: Mrs. Bice of Oklahoma**

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 7900, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

**Cloud management software technology**

The Committee supports the Department's decision to deploy a multi-cloud architecture. A multi-cloud approach aligns better with the Department's mission and offers many benefits including allowing for more comprehensive future innovations, easier data portability, increased resilience and security, and decreased stove-piping. The Committee directs the Chief Information Officer of the Department of Defense to provide a briefing the House Committee on Armed Services not later than March 31, 2023 on the strategy for future multi-cloud projects.

## **Amendment to H.R. 7900 National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2023**

### **Offered by: Mr. Horsford**

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 7900, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

#### **Enterprise Software Licenses**

The committee is aware that a portion of the Department of Defense's workloads are dependent upon on the use of enterprise software licenses from software providers. The committee has observed how restrictive licensing practices can have the effect of limiting customer choice and increasing cost when customers are procuring one or more cloud services. These restrictive software licensing practices often apply to software widely adopted by the Department of Defense, and the committee is concerned that the Department of Defense may not have an accurate approach to accounting for the costs associated with restrictive licensing practices.

Therefore, the committee directs the Comptroller General of the United States to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than August 1, 2023, on the impact that restrictive software licenses could have on the Department of Defense as it transitions to cloud services and leverages innovation across multiple CSPs. Considerations as part of the Comptroller General's examination should include:

- (1) the relationship between restrictive software licenses and cloud costs and choice;
- (2) how the restrictive software licenses could affect different cloud architecture;
- (3) what efforts the Department is taking to mitigate the potential impact of restrictive software licenses; and
- (4) any additional matters the Comptroller General determines appropriate.

## **Amendment to H.R. 7900 National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2023**

**Offered by: Mr. Panetta**

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 7900, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

### **Information Operations for Modern Warfare Strategy**

The committee recognizes the growing importance of effectively leveraging information operations. The committee is concerned that fractured and siloed information operations doctrine across the Department of Defense could obstruct the United States' ability to effectively deter competition, particularly below the threshold of armed conflict.

The committee seeks to ensure efficient resourcing, funding, training, specialization, and utilization of scarce information operations resources. To that end, the committee requests additional information as to whether changes to doctrine governing information operations could clarify roles and responsibilities within the Department. The committee therefore directs the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Principal Information Operations Advisor, to brief the House Committee on Armed Services not later than December 23, 2022, regarding:

- (1) The feasibility and utility of adjustments to doctrine, roles, and responsibilities in the area of information operations;
- (2) The utility of recategorizing information operations into operations in the information environment, special information operations which enable the joint and interagency force to tackle operations that occur in the grey zone or competition between the threshold of armed conflict; and long term public diplomacy
- (3) Such other potential doctrinal changes as the Secretary deems appropriate; and
- (4) The applicability of other organizational and doctrinal models, such as the Key West Agreement and the Intelligence Community.

## **Amendment to H.R. 7900**

### **National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2023**

#### **Offered by: Mr. Panetta of California**

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 7900, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

#### **Developing an Intellectual Property Strategy and Advancing the Innovation Ecosystem**

The committee is aware of efforts by adversarial states to advance technology-based innovation as a key driver for their geopolitical power. The committee is therefore concerned that failure to foster a strong and resilient domestic innovation base could jeopardize our military technological superiority and our economic competitiveness. Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Under Secretary for Research and Engineering, to provide a report to the Committees on Armed Services not later than March 1, 2023 outlining an intellectual property strategy for the Department of Defense. The report should include:

- (1) means to promote a robust innovation ecosystem, to include talent management; research infrastructure; joint experimentation; and inter-service, intra-governmental, and public-private collaboration;
- (2) a description of additional means to protect intellectual property;
- (3) an examination of the utility of intellectual property as a tool for strategic competition, cost imposition, and counter-malign activity;
- (4) recommendations for changes to statute, regulations, or policies that would support the achievement of the goals set forth elsewhere in the report;
- (5) an examination of the ability of modified approaches to intellectual property to address near-term, mid-term, and long-term capability gaps, with an emphasis on spurring innovation and mitigating the gap between the research and development of and the procurement and fielding of emerging capabilities and technologies; and
- (6) an assessment of the ability of military education institutions and science and technology reinvention laboratories to encourage innovation and raise awareness of intellectual property related matters.

The committee further directs the Secretary of Defense to provide an interim briefing to the committee not later than December 23, 2022 providing a status update on the creation of the strategy outlined above.

**AMENDMENT TO H.R. 7900**  
**OFFERED BY MR. PANETTA OF CALIFORNIA**

At the appropriate place in title XV, insert the following:

1 **SEC. 15 \_\_\_\_ . DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ENTERPRISE-WIDE**  
2 **PROCUREMENT OF CYBER DATA PRODUCTS**  
3 **AND SERVICES.**

4 Section 1521 of the National Defense Authorization  
5 Act for Fiscal Year 2022 (Public Law 117–81; 10 U.S.C.  
6 2224 note) is amended—

7 (1) in subsection (a)(5), by inserting “, includ-  
8 ing the use of artificial intelligence-based endpoint  
9 security that prevents cyber attacks and does not re-  
10 quire constant internet connectivity to function,”  
11 after “services”; and

12 (2) in subsection (b), by inserting “, including  
13 by enhancing the security of the software supply  
14 chain of the Department” after “best interests of  
15 the Department”.





**Amendment to H.R. 7900  
National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2023**

**Offered by: Mr. DesJarlais**

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 7900, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

**DOD S&T Workforce**

The committee believes the expansion of undergraduate and post-graduate scientific research participant appointments within the Department Science and Technology (S&T) ecosystem is foundational for the future of the Department.

Therefore, the committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering to report to the House Committee on Armed Services no later than December 31, 2022, an assessment of the resources, budget, needs and opportunities to expand S&T research participant appointments. The report should include initial strategies, opportunities, and approaches to such appointments, including outlining how existing Strategic Partnership Programs with other departments can be utilized to achieve this goal.

**Amendment to H.R. 7900  
National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2023**

**Offered by: Mr. Golden of Maine**

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 7900, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

**Additive Manufacturing**

The committee supports efforts within the Department to study and develop additive manufacturing and identify opportunities for large scale deployment. The committee believes that additive manufacturing has the potential to address existing supply chain and material challenges, and it may enhance expeditionary capabilities across operational environments.

Therefore the committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense Research and Engineering to brief the House Committee on Armed Services no later than December 31, 2022 on deploying large scale additive manufacturing. The briefing, at minimum, should address potential benefits of forward-deployed capabilities; technology readiness, including potential to address metal or metallic alloy forging and casting needs for the Department of Defense; and actions needed to support the industrial base.

Amendment to H.R. 7900  
National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2023

Offered by: Mr. Morelle of New York

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 7900 insert the following new Directive Report Language:

*Infrastructure Modernization for the Department of Defense*

The Committee remains concerned about the Department of Defense's ability to have adequate infrastructure that can meet current and future data demands. To succeed in modern warfare, the Department will require sufficient bandwidth and capacity, necessitating sustained investment for persistent modernization. To date, much of this work has occurred through the Army's Installation Information Infrastructure Modernization (I3MP) effort. The committee is encouraged that the Department is taking steps to enable new data architecture requirements into its facility criteria. The Committee recognizes that the current unified facilities criteria (UFC) for design and construction of facilities does not inhibit utilization of new data architecture requirements into facilities. The committee encourages the Department and the military services to continue prioritizing its infrastructure modernization program and ensure whole-of-department efforts are synchronized to the maximum extent practicable. To this end, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Army to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services by April 1, 2023, detailing the Department of the Army's data infrastructure modernization efforts.

**Amendment to H.R. 7900**  
**National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2023**

**Offered by: Mr. Moore**

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 7900, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

**Military Installation Innovation Projects**

The committee is aware of the need to accelerate adoption of emerging technology in order to strengthen the national defense innovation base and meet the demands of near-peer competition. The committee encourages collaboration with non-traditional small businesses, startups and venture-backed scaleups, and believes that such efforts can accelerate and strengthen innovation and adoption across the Department of Defense. To this end, the committee supports empowering military leaders with direct responsibility for mission outcomes that have the potential to be improved by rapidly development and deployment of innovative technologies.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the House Committee on Armed Services, not later than March 1, 2023, identifying opportunity areas for further collaboration between military leadership at the installation level and non-traditional small businesses, startups, and venture-backed startups and scaleups. This briefing should include recommendations addressing the utility, feasibility, and cost of establishing a pilot program designed to enable military leadership at the installation level to adopt emerging technology.

**Amendment to H.R. 7900  
National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2023**

**Offered by: Mrs. Bice of Oklahoma**

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 7900, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

**Counter UAS Technology Research**

The Committee supports the Army's investment in advanced technologies to mitigate threats from Unmanned Aircraft Systems (UAS), especially as these threats emerge and mature rapidly. The Committee encourages the Army Research Lab to partner with academic institutions and private industry to further develop commercially available counter UAS technology.

The Committee directs the Commander of U.S. Army Futures Command to provide a briefing to the House Armed Services Committee no later than December 31, 2022 on opportunities to partner with academia and industry in counter-UAS research.

## **Amendment to H.R. 7900 National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2023**

### **Offered by: Mr. Golden of Maine**

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 7900, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

#### **Gesture Control Technology Standards**

The committee recognizes that advancements in gesture control technologies have a wide array of potential applications across Department of Defense activities.

The committee recognizes that the development and implementation of consistent standards and requirements for gesture control technology has the potential to reduce administrative redundancy and overlap, and may aid in advancing gesture control technology platforms to conventional forces more quickly and efficiently.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a report to the House Committee on Armed Services by December 31, 2022, on implementing uniform standards and requirements regarding the deployment of gesture control technology.

The report should include, at a minimum:

1. The department's projected timeline for the integration of wearable gesture control technology, the offices and agencies best suited to foster development, funding, and sustainment, and the scope of gesture control interoperability in military technology, namely unmanned systems, digital interfaces, and communications systems.
2. A potential acquisition strategy for wearable gesture control, including an estimate of the average production unit cost, and a schedule for full-rate production listed in paragraph one.

**Amendment to H.R. 7900**  
**National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2023**

**Offered by: Mr. Moore**

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 7900, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

**Small Business Innovation Research Improvements**

The committee recognizes the significant impact of the Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR) program and encourages the Department of Defense to continue stimulating technological innovation to meet the robust research and development needs of the warfighter. The committee recognizes the success of the SBIR program, and the notable contributions made through harnessing the creativity and innovation of small business owners in science, aerospace and defense. The committee acknowledges that in an era of rapidly developing and emergent technologies, fully utilizing the innovative might of American small businesses is central to maintaining superiority over peer competition. Therefore, the committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering, in coordination with the Office of Small Business Programs to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services, not later than March 1, 2023 on the utility, benefit and impact of increasing SBIR investment as part of the Department's research and development efforts. The briefing should include the impact of increasing SBIR funding as part of the Department's research and development budget, increases to the amount of Phase I and II awards, shortening the time from SBIR Phase 1 proposal submission to contract award, and setting a limit for the number of SBIR Phase 1 topics issued annually.

**AMENDMENT TO H.R. 7900**  
**OFFERED BY MR. CROW OF COLORADO**

At the appropriate place in title XV, insert the following:

1 **SEC. 15 \_\_\_\_ . ASSESSMENT AND OPTIMIZATION OF DEPART-**  
2 **MENT OF DEFENSE INFORMATION OPER-**  
3 **ATIONS WITHIN THE CYBER DOMAIN.**

4 (a) ASSESSMENT AND PLAN.—Not later than 90 days  
5 after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Principal  
6 Information Operations Advisor and the Principal Cyber  
7 Advisor to the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with  
8 the Commander of the United States Cyber Command,  
9 shall complete both an assessment and an optimization  
10 plan for integrating all information and influence oper-  
11 ations within cyberspace across the Department of De-  
12 fense.

13 (b) ELEMENTS.—The assessment under subsection  
14 (a) shall include the following:

15 (1) An inventory of the components of the De-  
16 partment of Defense conducting information and in-  
17 fluence operations within cyberspace.



1 (2) An examination of sufficiency of resources  
2 allocated for information and influence operations  
3 within cyberspace.

4 (3) An evaluation of the command and control,  
5 oversight, and management of matters related to in-  
6 formation and influence operations within cyber-  
7 space across the Office of the Secretary of Defense  
8 and the Joint Staff.

9 (4) Any other matters determined relevant by  
10 the Principal Information Operations Advisor and  
11 the Principal Cyber Advisor to the Secretary of De-  
12 fense, in coordination with the Commander of the  
13 United States Cyber Command.

14 (c) OPTIMIZATION PLAN.—The optimization plan  
15 under subsection (a) shall include the following:

16 (1) Actions that the Department will implement  
17 to integrate all Department information and influ-  
18 ence operations within cyberspace in a manner that  
19 ensures the proper level of visibility, unity of effort,  
20 synchronization, and deconfliction.

21 (2) Coordination procedures within the Depart-  
22 ment to ensure that coordination with the Com-  
23 mander of the United States Cyber Command takes  
24 place with regard to unity of effort, synchronization,

1 deconfliction of information and influence operations  
2 within cyberspace.

3 (3) An evaluation of potential organizational  
4 changes required to optimize information and influ-  
5 ence operations within cyberspace.

6 (4) Any other matters determined relevant by  
7 the Principal Information Operations Advisor and  
8 the Principal Cyber Advisor to the Secretary of De-  
9 fense, in coordination with the Commander of the  
10 United States Cyber Command.

11 (d) BRIEFINGS.—Not later than 30 days after com-  
12 pleting the assessment and optimization plan under sub-  
13 section (a), the Principal Information Operations Advisor  
14 and the Principal Cyber Advisor to the Secretary of De-  
15 fense, in coordination with the Commander of the United  
16 States Cyber Command, shall provide to the congressional  
17 defense committees a briefing on the assessment and plan.

18 (e) IMPLEMENTATION.—Not later than 180 days  
19 after the date on which the briefing is provided under sub-  
20 section (d), the Secretary of Defense shall implement the  
21 optimization plan under subsection (a).



**AMENDMENT TO H.R. 7900**  
**OFFERED BY MR. CROW OF COLORADO**

At the appropriate place in title XV, insert the following:

1 **SEC. 15\_\_ . CYBERSECURITY OF MILITARY STANDARDS**  
2 **FOR DATA.**

3 (a) IN GENERAL.—No later than 270 days after en-  
4 actment of this act, the principal staff assistant des-  
5 ignated with primary responsibility for the Strategic Cy-  
6 bersecurity Program of the Department of Defense pursu-  
7 ant to paragraph (2) of section 1640(c) of the National  
8 Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2018 (Public  
9 Law 115–91; 10 U.S.C. 2224 note), as amended by [sec-  
10 tion 1502] of this Act, shall conduct a comprehensive re-  
11 view of Military Standard 1553 (in this section referred  
12 to as “MIL–STD–1553”). At the discretion of the Sec-  
13 retary of Defense, the review required under this sub-  
14 section may include reviews of additional serial data  
15 standards beyond MIL–STD–1553.

16 (b) ELEMENTS.—The review required under sub-  
17 section (a) shall include the following elements:

18 (1) An identification of programs and weapon  
19 systems currently employing MIL–STD–1553 and

1 other serial data standards, as appropriate, across  
2 the Department of Defense, the military depart-  
3 ments, and components, with notations for any pro-  
4 grams previously assessed by the Strategic Cyberse-  
5 curity Program.

6 (2) An evaluation of, and inventory for, the  
7 vulnerabilities to MIL-STD-1553 and other serial  
8 data standards, as appropriate.

9 (3) An inventory of potential commercial- and  
10 Government-sourced mitigations and solutions, ei-  
11 ther in use or available to program offices.

12 (4) An assessment of potential changes to ad-  
13 dress identified vulnerabilities to MIL-STD-1553  
14 and other serial data standards, as appropriate.

15 (c) DETERMINATION.—Based on the findings of the  
16 review required under subsection (a), the Secretary of De-  
17 fense shall determine whether to revise or update MIL-  
18 STD-1553 and other serial data standards, as appro-  
19 priate.

20 (d) GUIDANCE.—Subsequent to the completion of the  
21 review required under subsection (a), the head of the Stra-  
22 tegic Cybersecurity Program shall issue guidance across  
23 the Department for program managers involved in pro-  
24 curing weapon systems that use MIL-STD-1553 and  
25 other serial data standards, as appropriate. The guidance

1 shall include information related to the potential threats  
2 to MIL–STD–1553, available mitigations and solutions,  
3 and technical resources for program managers to use in  
4 addressing issues with MIL–STD–1553 and other data se-  
5 rial standards, as appropriate.

6 (e) COMPLIANCE CERTIFICATION.—Subject to the  
7 findings for the review required under subsection (a), the  
8 senior official identified pursuant to section 1647(j) of the  
9 National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2016  
10 (Public Law 114–92) for a military department and the  
11 service acquisition executive (as such term is defined in  
12 section 101(10) of title 10, United States Code) shall, if  
13 applicable, issue a certification that mitigations identified  
14 by the Strategic Cybersecurity Program for assessed  
15 weapons systems have been applied and corrected. Not  
16 later than one year after the date of the enactment of this  
17 Act, such senior official and the service acquisition execu-  
18 tive shall submit to the congressional defense committees  
19 such assessment.

20 (f) TEST AND EVALUATION.—The Director of Oper-  
21 ational Test and Evaluation may include evaluations of  
22 MIL–STD–1553 and other serial data standards, as ap-  
23 propriate, in reports required to be provided to the con-  
24 gressional defense committees pursuant to law.

1 (g) REPORT.—Not later than 45 days after comple-  
2 tion of the review required under subsection (a), the head  
3 of the Strategic Cybersecurity Program shall submit to the  
4 congressional defense committees—

5 (1) a report on the review required under sub-  
6 section (a); and

7 (2) a copy of the guidance required under sub-  
8 section (d).



**AMENDMENT TO H.R. 7900**  
**OFFERED BY MS. GARCIA OF TEXAS**

At the appropriate place in division E, insert the following:

1 **SEC. \_\_\_\_ . REVIEW OF CYBER-RELATED MATTERS AT THE**  
2 **DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY.**

3 (a) IN GENERAL.—No later than 270 days after the  
4 date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Treas-  
5 ury shall complete a comprehensive review of the Depart-  
6 ment of the Treasury’s efforts dedicated to enhancing cy-  
7 bersecurity capability, readiness, and resilience of the fi-  
8 nancial services sector, specifically as it relates to—

9 (1) Treasury’s role as the sector risk manage-  
10 ment agency for the financial services sector, as de-  
11 fined by section 9002 of the William M. (Mac)  
12 Thornberry National Defense Authorization Act for  
13 Fiscal Year 2021; and

14 (2) integration of operational resilience and cy-  
15 bersecurity for the financial services sector across  
16 the Department of the Treasury.

17 (b) ELEMENTS.—The review required under sub-  
18 section (a) shall include the following elements and consid-  
19 erations:

1 (1) A comprehensive review of the components  
2 and offices within the Departmental Offices of the  
3 Department of the Treasury involved in efforts spec-  
4 ified in subsection (a).

5 (2) A review of activities by the Department of  
6 the Treasury involved in efforts specified in sub-  
7 section (a).

8 (3) An assessment of the how each activity  
9 identified in this subsection connects to the National  
10 Security Strategy and other related documents of  
11 the Executive Branch.

12 (4) An assessment of the Department of the  
13 Treasury's ability to discharge fully its duties speci-  
14 fied in subsection (a) and identify any areas where  
15 it may need additional resources, legislation or au-  
16 thority.

17 (5) An evaluation of the partnerships with other  
18 executive branch departments and agencies to sup-  
19 port efforts specified in subsection (a).

20 (6) An evaluation of support to and from the  
21 Financial and Banking Information Infrastructure  
22 Committee, and its member agencies to enhance ef-  
23 forts specified in subsection (a).



1           (7) A five-year plan for the Department of the  
2           Treasury that defines an objectives and goals related  
3           to the efforts specified in subsection (a).

4           (c) SUBMISSION TO CONGRESS.—No later than 30  
5           days after the completion of the review specified under  
6           subsection (a), the Secretary of the Treasury shall trans-  
7           mit the review to Committee on Financial Services of the  
8           House of Representatives and the Committee on Banking,  
9           Housing, and Urban Affairs of the Senate.

10          (d) ANNUAL UPDATE.—No later than February 1st  
11          of each year after the submission of the review until 2028,  
12          the Secretary shall provide an update on progress made  
13          in the preceding year in relation to the plan directed in  
14          subsection (b)(7) to the Committee on Financial Services  
15          of the House of Representatives and the Committee on  
16          Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs of the Senate.



**Amendment to H.R. 7900**  
**National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2023**

**Offered by: Mr. Banks**

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 7900, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

**Advanced Combustion Collaboration**

The Committee is encouraged by the US Naval Research Laboratory's continued study and development of rotating detonation combustion technologies. This advanced form of combustion offers significant advantages in both fuel efficiency and system size compared with current gas turbine architectures. The Committee is also aware that the Department of Energy is currently undertaking activities to integrate a rotating detonation combustor with an existing Navy inventory T63 powerplant.

The Committee directs the US Naval Research Laboratory to collaborate with the Department of Energy, along with academic and industry participants, to inform the integration and demonstration of this system to optimize dual-use applicability of the resulting system for both civilian and defense applications. This effort should be accompanied by an assessment of Navy systems that could utilize a 500kw generator of this type—to include ship power, expeditionary power, directed energy systems and high Mach devices. The Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of the Navy for Research, Development to brief the House Committee on Armed Services by June 30, 2023 on the results of this assessment and plans to integrate rotating detonation technology more broadly into Navy systems.