

**En Bloc Amendments to H.R. 1735**  
**National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2016**  
Wednesday, April 29, 2015

Subcommittee on Strategic Forces		
En Bloc # 2		
<b>Log #</b>	<b>Sponsor</b>	<b>Description</b>
086r1	Wilson	Report on pension adjustments
130	Lamborn	Sense of Congress on assuring U.S. access to space
131r1	Lamborn	Sense of Congress on ensuring a strong missile defense system through a robust space sensor network
187r1	MacArthur	Requires the Director of MDA, the CNO, and the Chief of Staff of the Army to submit a report to Congress evaluating the role of future Aegis Ashore sites to offset current demands on our Aegis Ships, Aegis sites, and other missile defense assets
212r1	Larsen	Requires a briefing on U.S. forward deployed nuclear weapons
214r1	Larsen	Report on Strategic Missile Commonality
221r1	Walorski	Increase in funding for Prompt Global Strike Capability Development
321	Bishop	Requires a report from the Department on its plan for the use of current Department assets for rapid space launch requirements

## Amendment Offered by Mr. Wilson

H.R. 1735—National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2016

To be inserted in the appropriate place in the report:

### Report on Pension Adjustments

The committee is aware that retired employees from various facilities of the National Nuclear Security Administration's nuclear security enterprise and the Department of Energy's Office of Environmental Management defense environmental cleanup program have been under financial strain due to fixed pension payments. For instance, retirees from the Savannah River Site have not received a cost-of-living adjustment in their pension payments for over fifteen years. With an increase in inflation of 30 percent since that time, the committee is concerned that the lack of pension adjustments may not be commensurate with other government and public sector pension plans over that period of time.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Energy to provide a briefing on pension cost-of-living adjustments to the House Committee on Armed Services by January 31, 2016. This briefing shall include the following elements:

- (1) an assessment and comparison of pension plan cost-of-living adjustments since 2000 for retirees from Department of Energy facilities, including those of the nuclear security enterprise and defense environmental cleanup sites as compared to other facilities of the Department;
- (2) an assessment and comparison of how such cost-of-living adjustments since 2000 compare to those in other U.S. Government pension plans and comparable public sector pension plans; and
- (3) an assessment of the impacts on site operations, the current workforce, and the costs associated with providing a cost-of-living adjustment to any pension plan that has not received one for more than ten years.

**AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1735**  
**OFFERED BY MR. LAMBORN OF COLORADO**

At the appropriate place in title XVI, insert the following:

1 **SEC. 16 \_\_\_\_ . SENSE OF CONGRESS ON SPACE DEFENSE.**

2       It is the sense of Congress that, as outlined in the  
3 National Space Policy of 2010, the United States should  
4 employ a variety of measures to help assure the use of  
5 space for all responsible parties, and, consistent with the  
6 inherent right of self-defense, deter others from inter-  
7 ference and attack, defend the space systems of the United  
8 States and contribute to the defense of allied space sys-  
9 tems, and, if deterrence fails, defeat efforts to attack  
10 them.



Log 13/R1

**AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1735**  
**OFFERED BY MR. LAMBORN OF COLORADO**

At the appropriate place in title XVI, insert the following:

1 **SEC. 16 \_\_\_\_. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON MISSILE DEFENSE**  
2 **SENSORS IN SPACE.**

3 (a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:

4 (1) The Missile Defense Agency has run a suc-  
5 cessful space sensor program with the space tracking  
6 and surveillance system.

7 (2) The Missile Defense Agency is now exe-  
8 cuting a promising and ground-breaking space sen-  
9 sor system called space-based kill assessment.

10 (3) The future missile defense architecture will  
11 require significantly improved sensors in space to  
12 provide tracking, discrimination, and more.

13 (b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Con-  
14 gress that a robust multi-mission space sensor network  
15 will be vital to ensuring a strong missile defense system.



**AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1735****OFFERED BY MR. MACARTHUR OF NEW JERSEY**

At the appropriate place in title XVI, insert the following:

1 **SEC. 16\_\_\_ . AEGIS ASHORE CAPABILITY DEVELOPMENT.**

2 (a) **EVALUATION.—**

3 (1) **IN GENERAL.—**The Director of the Missile  
4 Defense Agency, in coordination with the Chief of  
5 Naval Operations and the Chief of Staff of the  
6 Army, shall evaluate the role, feasibility, cost, and  
7 cost benefit of additional Aegis Ashore sites and up-  
8 grades to current ballistic missile defense system  
9 sensors to offset capacity demands on current Aegis  
10 ships, Aegis Ashore sites, and Patriot and terminal  
11 high altitude area defense capability and to meet the  
12 requirements of the combatant commanders.

13 (2) **SUBMISSION.—**Not later than 120 days  
14 after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Sec-  
15 retary of Defense and the Chairman of the Joint  
16 Chiefs of Staff shall—

17 (A) review the evaluation conducted under  
18 paragraph (1); and

1 (B) submit to the congressional defense  
2 committees such evaluation and the results of  
3 such review.

4 (b) IDENTIFICATION OF FMS OBSTACLES.—

5 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Under Secretary of De-  
6 fense for Policy and the Secretary of State shall  
7 jointly identify any obstacles to foreign military sales  
8 of Aegis Ashore or co-financing of additional Aegis  
9 Ashore sites. Such evaluation shall include, with ap-  
10 propriate coordination with other agencies and de-  
11 partments of the Federal Government as appro-  
12 priate, the feasibility of host nation manning or dual  
13 manning with the United States and such host na-  
14 tion.

15 (2) SUBMISSION.—

16 (A) Not later than 180 days after the date  
17 of the enactment of this Act, the Under Sec-  
18 retary shall provide to the congressional defense  
19 committees, the Committee on Foreign Affairs  
20 of the House of Representatives, and the Com-  
21 mittee on Foreign Relations of the Senate an  
22 interim briefing on the identification of obsta-  
23 cles under paragraph (1).

24 (B) Not later than one year after the date  
25 of the enactment of this Act, the Under Sec-

1           retary shall submit to such committees a report  
2           on such identification.

3           (c) NEGOTIATIONS.—

4           (1) IN GENERAL.—The President shall seek to  
5           enter into host nation agreements for Aegis Ashore  
6           sites and co-financing and co-development opportuni-  
7           ties as appropriate if the sites meet the requirements  
8           of the combatant commanders.

9           (2) SUBMISSION.—Not later than one year after  
10          the date of the enactment of this Act, the President  
11          shall transmit to the congressional defense, the Com-  
12          mittee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Rep-  
13          resentatives, and the Committee on Foreign Rela-  
14          tions of the Senate the status of efforts to seek to  
15          enter into agreements described in paragraph (1).



**AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1735**  
**OFFERED BY MR. LARSEN, REP SANCHEZ**

At the appropriate place in title XVI, insert the following:

1 **SEC. 16** \_\_\_\_. **ANNUAL BRIEFING ON THE COSTS OF FORWARD-DEPLOYING NUCLEAR WEAPONS IN EUROPE.**

2  
3  
4 (a) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 30 days after the  
5 date on which the President submits to Congress the  
6 budget for each of fiscal years 2016 through 2020 under  
7 section 1105 of title 31, United States Code, the Secretary  
8 of Defense shall provide to the congressional defense committees a briefing on the costs of forward-deploying nuclear weapons in Europe.

9  
10  
11 (b) **ELEMENTS.**—Each briefing required under paragraph (1) shall include the following:

12  
13 (1) The contributions of the United States, including with respect to sustainment (operations and maintenance) and manpower, to support forward-deployed nuclear weapons in Europe, during the fiscal year following the date of the briefing and the period covered by the future-years defense program sub-



1       mitted to Congress under section 221 of title 10,  
2       United States Code, for that fiscal year.

3           (2) Recent or planned contributions of the  
4       United States for security enhancements relating to  
5       such forward-deployed nuclear weapons.

6           (3) Any other contributions, including burden-  
7       share costs by the United States, for other security  
8       enhancements and upgrades relating to such for-  
9       ward-deployed nuclear weapons, including infrastruc-  
10      ture upgrades at weapons storage sites in Europe.



**Amendment Offered by Mr. Larsen, Mr. LANBEVIN**

**H.R. 1735—National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2016**

In the appropriate place in the report, insert the following:

**Report on Strategic Missile Commonality**

The committee strongly supports the nuclear triad and is aware of the urgent need for modernization of all three legs of the triad. The committee is also aware of the substantial cumulative cost to accomplish this modernization. The committee seeks to further understand opportunities to accomplish this effort at reduced cost and without reducing capability or delaying modernization plans.

Specifically, the committee is interested in assessing the potential to reduce or avoid certain costs by pursuing various types of commonality between the future ground- and sea-based strategic deterrent weapon systems. The committee notes that the Air Force plans to acquire a replacement weapon system for the Minuteman III intercontinental ballistic missile through the Ground Based Strategic Deterrent (GBSD) program, while the Navy continues the D5 sea-launched ballistic missile life extension program and will similarly require a replacement in the longer-term future.

As these programs develop, the committee seeks to understand the opportunities for commonality and its impacts on program executability, technical and schedule risk, and potential cost savings or avoidances from pursuing commonality in components, subsystems, supply chain, logistics, or other elements affecting acquisition or lifecycle costs. While the committee believes the benefits of limited commonality may be substantial, the committee continues, as it stated in the committee's report accompanying the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (House Report 113-102), to urge the Department of Defense "to use caution in implementing this approach to ensure that commonality does not lead to unacceptable risk of widespread impacts to the deterrent force, should a technical risk cause a common component or subsystem to fail."

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of the Air Force and the Secretary of the Navy, to submit a report to the congressional defense committees, by November 30, 2015, on the benefits and risks of pursuing various types of

Log 214 r1

commonality in these programs, including the range of possible options for commonality and any associated cost-reduction potential or technical risks.

AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1735

OFFERED BY MRS. WALORSKI OF INDIANA

In section 4201 of division D, relating to Systems Development and Demonstration, Defense-Wide, increase the amount for Prompt Global Strike Capability Development, Line 117, by \$15,000,000 for concept development of a Navy Program of Record.

In section 4101 of division D, relating to Other Aircraft, Air Force, reduce the amount for Family of Beyond Line-of-Sight Terminals, Line 53, by \$10,000,000.

In section 4201 of division D, relating to Applied Research, Air Force, reduce the amount for Aerospace Propulsion, Line 007, by \$5,000,000.

**AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1735**  
**OFFERED BY MR. BISHOP OF UTAH**

At the appropriate place in title XVI, insert the following:

1 **SEC. 16\_\_ . OPTIONS FOR RAPID SPACE RECONSTITUTION.**

2 (a) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Con-  
3 gress that—

4 (1) the United States Strategic Command has  
5 identified needs to rapidly reconstitute or replenish  
6 critical space capabilities;

7 (2) in accordance with section 915 of the Na-  
8 tional Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year  
9 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 826), the De-  
10 partment of Defense Executive Agent for Space is  
11 currently conducting a study and developing a plan  
12 regarding responsive launch in accordance with  
13 warfighter requirements; and

14 (3) rapid launch should avoid the creation of  
15 new Department of Defense-owned and operated in-  
16 frastructure.

17 (b) EVALUATION.—The Secretary of Defense shall  
18 evaluate options for the use of current assets of the De-  
19 partment of Defense for the purpose of rapid reconstitu-

1 tion of critical space-based warfighter enabling capabili-  
2 ties.

3 (c) BRIEFING.—Not later than March 31, 2016, the  
4 Secretary shall provide to the congressional defense com-  
5 mittees a briefing on the evaluation conducted under sub-  
6 section (b), including development timelines, a test plan,  
7 and technology readiness levels of key systems and tech-  
8 nologies.

