

PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF THE BILL (H.R. 8800) TO AUTHORIZE APPROPRIATIONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2027 FOR MILITARY ACTIVITIES OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, FOR MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AND FOR DEFENSE ACTIVITIES OF THE DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, TO PRESCRIBE MILITARY PERSONNEL STRENGTHS FOR SUCH FISCAL YEAR, AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES; PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF THE BILL (H.R. 8595) MAKING APPROPRIATIONS FOR NATIONAL SECURITY, DEPARTMENT OF STATE, AND RELATED PROGRAMS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2027, AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES; PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF THE BILL (H.R. 8884) TO AMEND TITLE II OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT TO REAUTHORIZE DEMONSTRATION AUTHORITY FOR THE DISABILITY INSURANCE PROGRAM; PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF THE RESOLUTION (H. RES. 1383) COMMEMORATING THE ONE-YEAR ANNIVERSARY OF THE ENACTMENT OF THE WORKING FAMILIES TAX CUTS; AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES.

June 30, 2026.—Referred to the House Calendar and ordered to be printed.

MR. AUSTIN SCOTT OF GEORGIA, from the Committee on Rules, submitted the following

R E P O R T

[To accompany H. Res. __]

The Committee on Rules, having had under consideration House Resolution ____, by a record vote of 8 to 4, report the same to the House with the recommendation that the resolution be adopted.

SUMMARY OF PROVISIONS OF THE RESOLUTION

The resolution provides for consideration of H.R. 8800, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2027, under a structured rule. The resolution waives all points of order against consideration of the bill. The resolution provides one hour of general debate equally divided and

controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Armed Services or their respective designees. The resolution provides that in lieu of the amendment in the nature of a substitute recommended by the Committee on Armed Services now printed in the bill, an amendment in the nature of a substitute consisting of the text of Rules Committee Print 119-33 shall be considered as adopted and the bill, as amended, shall be considered as read. The resolution waives all points of order against provisions in the bill, as amended. The resolution makes in order only those amendments printed in part A of the report, amendments en bloc described in section 3 and pro forma amendments described in section 4. Each amendment shall be considered only in the order printed in the report, may be offered only by a Member designated in the report, shall be considered as read, shall be debatable for the time specified in the report equally divided and controlled by the proponent and an opponent, shall not be subject to amendment except as provided by section 4 of the resolution, and shall not be subject to a demand for division of the question. The resolution waives all points of order against the amendments printed in part A of the report or amendments en bloc described in section 3 of the resolution. The resolution provides that the chair of the Committee on Armed Services or his designee may offer amendments en bloc consisting of further amendments printed in part A of the report not earlier disposed of. Amendments en bloc shall be considered as read, shall be debatable for 40 minutes equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Armed Services or their respective designees, shall not be subject to amendment except as provided by section 4 of the resolution, and shall not be subject to a demand for division of the question. The resolution provides that the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Armed Services or their respective designees may offer up to 10 pro forma amendments each at any point for the purpose of debate. The resolution provides for one motion to recommit. The resolution further provides for consideration of H.R. 8595, the National Security, Department of State, and Related Programs Appropriations Act, 2027, under a structured rule. The resolution waives all points of order against consideration of the bill. The resolution provides one hour of general debate equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Appropriations or their respective designees. The resolution provides that the bill shall be considered as read. The resolution waives all points of order against provisions in the bill for failure to comply with clause 2 or clause 5(a) of rule XXI. The resolution makes in order only those amendments printed in part B of the report, amendments en bloc described in section 8 and pro forma amendments described in section 9. Each amendment shall be considered only in the order printed in the report, may be offered only by a Member designated in the report, shall be considered as read, shall be debatable for the time specified in the report equally divided and controlled by the proponent and an opponent, shall not be subject to amendment except as provided by section 9 of the resolution, and shall not be subject to a demand for division of the question. The resolution waives all points of order against the amendments printed in part B of the report or amendments en bloc described in section 8 of the resolution. The resolution provides that the chair of the Committee on Appropriations or his designee may offer amendments en bloc consisting of amendments printed in part B of the report not earlier disposed of. Amendments en bloc shall be considered as read, shall be debatable for 20 minutes equally divided and controlled by

the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Appropriations or their respective designees, shall not be subject to amendment except as provided by section 9 of the resolution, and shall not be subject to a demand for division of the question. The resolution provides that the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Appropriations or their respective designees may offer up to 10 pro forma amendments each at any point for the purpose of debate. The resolution provides for one motion to recommit. The resolution further provides for consideration of H.R. 8884, the Removing Barriers to Work for Disabled Americans Act, under a closed rule. The resolution waives all points of order against consideration of the bill. The resolution provides that in lieu of the amendment in the nature of a substitute recommended by the Committee on Ways and Means now printed in the bill, an amendment in the nature of a substitute consisting of the text of Rules Committee Print 119-34 shall be considered as adopted and the bill, as amended, shall be considered as read. The resolution waives all points of order against provisions in the bill, as amended. The resolution provides one hour of general debate equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Ways and Means or their respective designees. The resolution provides for one motion to recommit. The resolution further provides for consideration of H. Res. 1383, Commemorating the one-year anniversary of the enactment of the Working Families Tax Cuts, under a closed rule. The resolution provides that upon adoption of the resolution it shall be in order without intervention of any point of order to consider H. Res. 1383. The resolution provides that the amendment to the preamble printed in part C of the report shall be considered as adopted and H. Res. 1383, as amended, shall be considered as read. The resolution provides one hour of general debate equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Ways and Means or their respective designees. The resolution further provides that H. Res. 1377 is laid on the table. The resolution further provides that in the engrossment of H.R. 8800, the Clerk shall add the text of S. 1383, as passed by the House, as new matter at the end of H.R. 8800; assign appropriate designations to provisions within the engrossment; conform cross-references and provisions for short titles within the engrossment; and be authorized to make technical corrections, to include corrections in spelling, punctuation, page and line numbering, section numbering, and insertion of appropriate headings.

EXPLANATION OF WAIVERS

The waiver of all points of order against consideration of H.R. 8800 includes:

- Clause 3(e)(1) of rule XIII, which requires the inclusion of a comparative print for a bill or joint resolution proposing to repeal or amend a statute.
- Section 306 of the Congressional Budget Act, which prohibits consideration of legislation within the jurisdiction of the Committee on the Budget unless referred to or reported by the Budget Committee.

The waiver of all points of order against provisions in H.R. 8800, as amended, includes:

- Clause 4 of rule XXI, which prohibits reporting a bill carrying an appropriation from a committee not having jurisdiction to report an appropriation.

Although the resolution waives all points of order against the amendments printed in part A of the report and against amendments en bloc described in section 3, the Committee is not aware of any points of order. The waiver is prophylactic in nature.

Although the resolution waives all points of order against consideration of H.R. 8595, the Committee is not aware of any points of order. The waiver is prophylactic in nature.

Although the resolution waives all points of order against the amendments printed in part B of the report and against amendments en bloc described in section 8, the Committee is not aware of any points of order. The waiver is prophylactic in nature.

The waiver of all points of order against consideration of H.R. 8884 includes:

—Clause 3(c)(4) of rule XIII, which requires the inclusion of a statement of general performance goals and objectives, including outcome-related goals and objectives, for which the measure authorizes funding.

—Clause 3(d) of rule XIII, which requires the inclusion of a committee cost estimate in a committee report.

The waiver of all points of order against provisions in H.R. 8884, as amended, includes:

—Clause 4 of rule XXI, which prohibits reporting a bill carrying an appropriation from a committee not having jurisdiction to report an appropriation.

Although the resolution waives all points of order against consideration of H. Res. 1383, the Committee is not aware of any points of order. The waiver is prophylactic in nature.

COMMITTEE VOTES

The results of each record vote on an amendment or motion to report, together with the names of those voting for and against, are printed below:

Rules Committee Record Vote No. 374

Motion by Mr. McGovern to strike section 14 of the rule, which provides that in the engrossment of H.R. 8800, the Clerk shall add the text of the SAVE America Act, as passed by the House. Defeated: 4–7

Majority Members	Vote	Minority Members	Vote
Mrs. Fischbach.....	Nay	Mr. McGovern.....	Yea
Mr. Norman.....	Nay	Ms. Scanlon.....	Yea
Mr. Roy.....		Mr. Neguse.....	Yea
Mrs. Houchin.....		Ms. Leger Fernández.....	Yea
Mr. Langworthy.....	Nay		
Mr. Austin Scott.....	Nay		
Mr. Griffith.....	Nay		
Mr. Jack.....	Nay		
Ms. Foxx, Chairwoman.....	Nay		

Rules Committee Record Vote No. 375

Motion by Mr. McGovern to make in order an amendment to H.R. 8800 consisting of the text of Representative Foxx’s amendment #512 to the FY2023 NDAA, as adopted on the Floor with appropriate conforming changes, to create an Inspector General for the Office of Management and Budget to bring transparency and accountability to the agency. Defeated: 4–7

Majority Members	Vote	Minority Members	Vote
Mrs. Fischbach.....	Nay	Mr. McGovern.....	Yea
Mr. Norman.....	Nay	Ms. Scanlon.....	Yea
Mr. Roy.....		Mr. Neguse.....	Yea
Mrs. Houchin.....		Ms. Leger Fernández.....	Yea
Mr. Langworthy.....	Nay		
Mr. Austin Scott.....	Nay		
Mr. Griffith.....	Nay		
Mr. Jack.....	Nay		
Ms. Foxx, Chairwoman.....	Nay		

Rules Committee Record Vote No. 376

Motion by Mr. McGovern to add a new section to the rule providing for immediate consideration of H.R. 7120, the bipartisan Purple Heart Freedom to Work Act, introduced by Representative Austin Scott, under a closed rule, debatable for one hour equally divided between the chair and ranking member of the Committee on Ways and Means. This bill would modify certain rules under the Social Security Disability Insurance program to help combat-disabled Purple Heart veterans who want to return to work. Defeated: 4–7

Majority Members	Vote	Minority Members	Vote
Mrs. Fischbach.....	Nay	Mr. McGovern.....	Yea
Mr. Norman.....	Nay	Ms. Scanlon.....	Yea
Mr. Roy.....		Mr. Neguse.....	Yea
Mrs. Houchin.....		Ms. Leger Fernández.....	Yea
Mr. Langworthy.....	Nay		
Mr. Austin Scott.....	Nay		
Mr. Griffith.....	Nay		
Mr. Jack.....	Nay		
Ms. Foxx, Chairwoman.....	Nay		

Rules Committee Record Vote No. 377

Motion by Mr. McGovern to make in order amendment #1351 to H.R. 8800, offered by Representative Garamendi, which prohibits the use of funds for the East Wing Modernization Project. Defeated: 4–7

Majority Members	Vote	Minority Members	Vote
Mrs. Fischbach.....	Nay	Mr. McGovern.....	Yea
Mr. Norman.....	Nay	Ms. Scanlon.....	Yea
Mr. Roy.....		Mr. Neguse.....	Yea
Mrs. Houchin.....		Ms. Leger Fernández.....	Yea
Mr. Langworthy.....	Nay		
Mr. Austin Scott.....	Nay		
Mr. Griffith.....	Nay		
Mr. Jack.....	Nay		
Ms. Foxx, Chairwoman.....	Nay		

Rules Committee Record Vote No. 378

Motion by Mr. McGovern to make in order amendment #961 to H.R. 8800, offered by Representative McGovern, which restricts certain security assistance to Pakistan unless the Secretary of State, in consultation with the Secretary of Defense, certifies the Government of Pakistan has taken measures to prevent human rights violations. Defeated: 4–7

Majority Members	Vote	Minority Members	Vote
Mrs. Fischbach.....	Nay	Mr. McGovern.....	Yea
Mr. Norman.....	Nay	Ms. Scanlon.....	Yea
Mr. Roy.....		Mr. Neguse.....	Yea
Mrs. Houchin.....		Ms. Leger Fernández.....	Yea
Mr. Langworthy.....	Nay		
Mr. Austin Scott.....	Nay		
Mr. Griffith.....	Nay		
Mr. Jack.....	Nay		
Ms. Foxx, Chairwoman.....	Nay		

Rules Committee Record Vote No. 379

Motion by Mr. McGovern to make in order amendment #511 to H.R. 8800, offered by Representative Moulton, which reduces the total amount authorized to be appropriated for the FY27 NDAA by \$150 billion. No reductions may be made to military personnel accounts, MILCON, or the Defense Health Program. Defeated: 4–7

Majority Members	Vote	Minority Members	Vote
Mrs. Fischbach.....	Nay	Mr. McGovern.....	Yea
Mr. Norman.....	Nay	Ms. Scanlon.....	Yea
Mr. Roy.....		Mr. Neguse.....	Yea
Mrs. Houchin.....		Ms. Leger Fernández.....	Yea
Mr. Langworthy.....	Nay		
Mr. Austin Scott.....	Nay		
Mr. Griffith.....	Nay		
Mr. Jack.....	Nay		
Ms. Foxx, Chairwoman.....	Nay		

Rules Committee Record Vote No. 380

Motion by Mr. McGovern to make in order amendment #1006 to H.R. 8800, offered by Representative Ryan, which prohibits the use of FY2027 DoD funds for military action in or against Iran unless explicitly authorized by Congress. Defeated: 4–7

Majority Members	Vote	Minority Members	Vote
Mrs. Fischbach.....	Nay	Mr. McGovern.....	Yea
Mr. Norman.....	Nay	Ms. Scanlon.....	Yea
Mr. Roy.....		Mr. Neguse.....	Yea
Mrs. Houchin.....		Ms. Leger Fernández.....	Yea
Mr. Langworthy.....	Nay		
Mr. Austin Scott.....	Nay		
Mr. Griffith.....	Nay		
Mr. Jack.....	Nay		
Ms. Foxx, Chairwoman.....	Nay		

Rules Committee Record Vote No. 381

Motion by Mr. McGovern to make in order amendment #974 to H.R. 8800, offered by Representative McGovern, which prohibits the use of Department of Defense funds to transport individuals to countries in which they would be at risk of being subjected to torture. Defeated: 4–7

Majority Members	Vote	Minority Members	Vote
Mrs. Fischbach.....	Nay	Mr. McGovern.....	Yea
Mr. Norman.....	Nay	Ms. Scanlon.....	Yea
Mr. Roy.....		Mr. Neguse.....	Yea
Mrs. Houchin.....		Ms. Leger Fernández.....	Yea
Mr. Langworthy.....	Nay		
Mr. Austin Scott.....	Nay		
Mr. Griffith.....	Nay		
Mr. Jack.....	Nay		
Ms. Foxx, Chairwoman.....	Nay		

Rules Committee Record Vote No. 382

Motion by Ms. Scanlon to make in order amendment #1196 to H.R. 8800, offered by Representative Brownley, which ensures access to abortion, abortion counseling, and related services and medication for veterans. Defeated: 4–8

Majority Members	Vote	Minority Members	Vote
Mrs. Fischbach.....	Nay	Mr. McGovern.....	Yea
Mr. Norman.....	Nay	Ms. Scanlon.....	Yea
Mr. Roy.....		Mr. Neguse.....	Yea
Mrs. Houchin.....	Nay	Ms. Leger Fernández.....	Yea
Mr. Langworthy.....	Nay		
Mr. Austin Scott.....	Nay		
Mr. Griffith.....	Nay		
Mr. Jack.....	Nay		
Ms. Foxx, Chairwoman.....	Nay		

Rules Committee Record Vote No. 383

Motion by Ms. Scanlon to make in order amendment #947 to H.R. 8800, offered by Representative Bilirakis, which includes the text of the Major Richard Star Act and would provide eligibility of disability retirees with combat-related disabilities, who have served fewer than 20 years, for concurrent receipt of veterans' disability compensation and retired pay.
 Defeated: 4–8

Majority Members	Vote	Minority Members	Vote
Mrs. Fischbach.....	Nay	Mr. McGovern.....	Yea
Mr. Norman.....	Nay	Ms. Scanlon.....	Yea
Mr. Roy.....		Mr. Neguse.....	Yea
Mrs. Houchin.....	Nay	Ms. Leger Fernández.....	Yea
Mr. Langworthy.....	Nay		
Mr. Austin Scott.....	Nay		
Mr. Griffith.....	Nay		
Mr. Jack.....	Nay		
Ms. Foxx, Chairwoman.....	Nay		

Rules Committee Record Vote No. 384

Motion by Mr. Austin Scott to report the rule. Adopted: 8–4

Majority Members	Vote	Minority Members	Vote
Mrs. Fischbach.....	Yea	Mr. McGovern.....	Nay
Mr. Norman.....	Yea	Ms. Scanlon.....	Nay
Mr. Roy.....		Mr. Neguse.....	Nay
Mrs. Houchin.....	Yea	Ms. Leger Fernández.....	Nay
Mr. Langworthy.....	Yea		
Mr. Austin Scott.....	Yea		
Mr. Griffith.....	Yea		
Mr. Jack.....	Yea		
Ms. Foxx, Chairwoman.....	Yea		

SUMMARY OF THE AMENDMENTS TO H.R. 8800 IN PART A MADE IN
ORDER

1. Roy (TX): Strikes section 1213 relating to the deadline for the Afghanistan War Commission's final report, and maintains the current statutory reporting deadline. (10 minutes)
2. Roy (TX): Strikes section 521 and replaces it with a prohibition on foreign nationals attending the United States Military Academies. (10 minutes)
3. Roy (TX): Strikes section 524, which removes the existing statutory limit on the number of cadets who may receive alternative service obligations to pursue professional athletic careers, thereby preserving the current cap. (10 minutes)
4. Roy (TX): Strikes section 518, relating to the pilot program on modernization of drug testing using voice-based risk assessment technology to identify servicemembers for drug testing. (10 minutes)
5. Roy (TX): Prohibits funds authorized to be appropriated by this act from going to Mexico until they approve use of the NovoFly strain for use within their borders to combat New World Screwworm. (10 minutes)
6. Hunt (TX), Bergman (MI), Mills (FL): Amends the NDAA to ensure that no court may issue injunctions that impair military readiness, military fuel supply, or defense-related logistical support. (10 minutes)
7. Hunt (TX), Mills (FL): Amends the NDAA to protect a critical component of California's defense fuel supply chain by ensuring the continued operation of the Santa Ynez Pipeline System, which transports domestically produced crude oil to refineries that supply Department of Defense installations. (10 minutes)
8. Gallagher (CA): Opens up a study to be conducted to explore mechanisms by which federal authority can preempt or otherwise mitigate state actions that effectively undermine domestic refining capacity critical to national defense.

Improves transparency on how state fuel policies may impact national security, and how the Federal government may intervene. (10 minutes)

9. Carter (GA): Preempts state and local laws that impose requirements different from federal standards on certain defense-related recycling, disposal, and materials recovery activities.

Specifically, it targets the mechanical processing of scrap metal and the disposition or recycling of defense articles, including defense equipment, materials, and munitions subject to demilitarization or disposal, so long as state rules are not more stringent or different than the applicable federal framework. (10 minutes)

10. Strong (AL), Moore (AL): Inserts the text of the TRUST Act, which bolsters national security by closing gaps in military vetting that have left the armed forces vulnerable to espionage, sabotage, and the compromise of sensitive technology, specifically by barring foreign nationals from adversary nations (China, Russia, Iran, and North Korea) from enlisting and tightening officer appointment criteria for

those with prior ties to those countries. This amendment is identical to H.R 9079 (119th Congress). (10 minutes)

11. Ogles (TN): Requires DOD to not enter contracts with providers that provide advance disclosure of cyber security vulnerabilities to entities based in countries of concern. (10 minutes)
12. Mills (FL): Expands the DOW ESOP Pilot Program to all organizations recognized under the tax code's definition of ESOP. (10 minutes)
13. Houchin (IN), Yakym (IN), Messmer (IN), Mrvan (IN), Carson (IN), Shreve (IN), Spartz (IN): Requires drivetrain systems for Army advanced combat tracked vehicles to be sourced from U.S. firms, unless U.S. drivetrain manufacturers receive substantially equal competitive access in the relevant foreign market. (10 minutes)
14. Crane (AZ): Prohibits the Department of Defense from using taxpayer funds to cover the costs of foreign military personnel participating in bilateral or multilateral military exercises conducted with the United States. The amendment allows the Secretary of Defense to waive the prohibition when necessary to support a U.S. national security interest, provided the Secretary submits a detailed justification and notification to the House and Senate Armed Services Committees at least 30 days in advance. (10 minutes)
15. Crane (AZ): Prohibits funds for Ukraine Security Assistance except for U.S. embassy security in Ukraine. (10 minutes)
16. Wilson (SC): Establishes a Classroom Safe Haven Force Protection Pilot Program. (10 minutes)
17. Crank (CO), Crane (AZ), McGuire (VA), Tenney (NY): Establishes a presumption of approval for qualified DoD servicemembers and civilian employees seeking authorization from their facility commander to carry personal firearms on military installations. (10 minutes)
18. Boebert (CO), Tenney (NY): Codifies Executive Order 14183 implements a ban on transgender service members by requiring all personnel to serve in accordance with their biological sex, citing military readiness and discipline. (10 minutes)
19. Mace (SC), Tenney (NY): Prohibits gender related medical care under TRICARE. Prevents TRICARE from covering certain gender related medical procedures and treatments. (10 minutes)
20. Mace (SC), Tenney (NY): Prohibits male participation in female sports at DoDEA schools. (10 minutes)
21. Luna (FL): Requires the Secretary of Defense to designate Department of Defense activities, support, and operations at the southwest land border as a named operation within 180 days of enactment. Directs the Secretary to include a dedicated budget line item, budgetary authority display, narrative justification, support summary, and performance metrics for the named operation in annual budget requests submitted to Congress. (10 minutes)
22. Luna (FL): Repeals the limitation on the appointment of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Special Operations and Low Intensity Conflict by striking the requirement under section 138(b)(2)(A) of title 10, United States Code, that a person may not be appointed within seven years after relief from active duty as a commissioned officer of a regular component of an armed force. (10 minutes)
23. Luna (FL): Requires the Secretary of Defense to ensure that no political appointee of the Department of Defense is subordinate to any career civilian employee of the Department. Exempts employees within the Office of the Secretary of Defense from this requirement. (10 minutes)
24. Ogles (TN): Expresses the sense of Congress that DOW participation in international defense exhibitions should be conditioned on the full

participation of Israeli companies and the absence of discriminatory restrictions targeting Israel. (10 minutes)

25. Gottheimer (NJ), Tenney (NY): Requires the Secretary of Defense and Secretary of State to use every opportunity at NATO forums/ forums with Major Non-NATO Allies to ensure that member countries do not enforce ICC warrants against one another's militaries. (10 minutes)
26. McDowell (NC), Tenney (NY): Authorizes the Secretary of Defense to establish a school choice pilot program for members of the Armed Forces. (10 minutes)
27. Onder (MO): Strikes Section 1115, which would prohibit DoD from implementing President Trump's Executive Order 14251, issued on March 27, 2025. The Executive Order exempts certain agencies from collective bargaining agreement protections, including the DoD. (10 minutes)
28. Self (TX): Codifies protections and responsibilities for chaplains and subjects such protections to prosecution under the Uniform Code of Military Justice. This amendment is identical to H.R.8769, introduced by Rep. Keith Self in the 119th Congress. (10 minutes)
29. Luttrell (TX), McCaul (TX), Correa (CA), Rulli (OH): Codifying Executive Order No. 14401, titled "Accelerating Medical Treatments for Serious Mental Illness", into law. (10 minutes)
30. Issa (CA): Authorizes the Secretary to approve any eligible investor and cleared investment professional who meets the program's statutory and regulatory requirements to assess potential investment opportunities that match with the Department of War's requirements or potential requirements. It also makes clear that the program is not capped, allowing an unlimited number of qualified investors, cleared personnel, and covered companies to participate at the discretion of the Secretary of War. (10 minutes)
31. Norman (SC): Terminates any pilot program authorized by the Act no later than two years after enactment, unless the provision authorizing the program specifies an earlier termination date. (10 minutes)
32. Norman (SC): Requires the cost of any project funded with financial support from the Department of Defense to disclose the cost to taxpayers (10 minutes)
33. Scott, Austin (GA): Authorizes Federal grants and cooperative agreements to support the operation and maintenance of National Guard facilities necessary for organizing, training, and equipping the National Guard for both State and Federal missions. (10 minutes)
34. Scott, Austin (GA): Prohibits certain foreign nationals from designated countries of concern to access non-public areas of any National Laboratory. The restriction applies to visitors seeking access to laboratory facilities, information, or technology, while exempting lawful permanent residents and authorized laboratory personnel. (10 minutes)
35. Scott, Austin (GA): Establishes a United States–Poland Military Space Cooperation Program to strengthen bilateral cooperation in support of military space operations, resilience, and preparedness. (10 minutes)
36. Scott, Austin (GA), Case (HI): Extends and modernizes the Indo-Pacific Maritime Security Initiative by authorizing unmanned systems experimentation, improving funding flexibility, and extending the program through 2031. (10 minutes)
37. Scott, Austin (GA): Raises the funding limit for the Regional Defense Fellowship Program from \$35 million to \$50 million to expand defense education, regional expertise, and partner-nation engagement opportunities. (10 minutes)

38. Arrington (TX), Tenney (NY), Pappas (NH): Requires the Department of War to submit a report that identifies, assesses, and mitigates risks arising from acquisitions of land near U.S. military installations from China, Russia, Iran, North Korea, and foreign terrorist organizations. (10 minutes)
39. Mace (SC): Increases line 9 (PE 0602204F) of the Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation, Air Force account for aerospace sensors by \$4.3 million for compact semiconductor based fast neutron detectors. Offset by decreasing the same amount allocated to procurement, Marine Corps for Fire Support System, Line 23. (10 minutes)

40. Mace (SC): Increases line 9 (PE 0602204F) of the Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation, Air Force account for aerospace sensors by \$8.5 million for ultra-high temperature thermal coatings for hypersonic vehicles. Offset by decreasing the same amount allocated to procurement, Marine Corps for Fire Support System, Line 23. (10 minutes)
41. Griffith (VA): Amends Section 1021(b) of the FY12 NDAA to limit the authority of the U.S. military to indefinitely detain individuals pursuant to the 2001 AUMF, to exclude American citizens from being subject to detention. (10 minutes)
42. Evans (CO): Increases funding amount for Rotary Wing Aviation Lift Upgrade Enhancement and offsets from Aviation Assets (O&M), Army by \$12M. (10 minutes)
43. Patronis (FL): Exempts military housing from National Historic Preservation Act requirements to expedite necessary repairs that protect families and to reduce project maintenance costs, which by the Army's own estimates are three times as expensive for historic homes. This language authorizes the Secretary of War to maintain truly historic homes, while also ensuring needed repairs on track-built homes are not delayed by months and years while permission is sought. (10 minutes)
44. Harrigan (NC): Prohibits use of automated speed enforcement cameras on military installations. (10 minutes)
45. Cloud (TX), Fallon (TX), Vindman (VA): To require the Secretary of Defense to carry out an operational pilot program under the Hybrid Space Architecture initiative to evaluate the use of commercially available orbital data center services and space-based cloud computing capabilities relevant to national security space and joint mission requirements, and for other purposes. (10 minutes)
46. Amo (RI), Magaziner (RI), Tenney (NY), Pappas (NH): Authorizes APEX Accelerators to assist small businesses in acquiring procurement contracts for defense articles for AUKUS. (10 minutes)
47. Arrington (TX), Cuellar (TX), McCaul (TX): Requires U.S. Special Operations Command to carry out a pilot program to provide anonymous nonmedical counseling services to members of the special operations forces and families of such members using a novel anonymous telehealth platform. (10 minutes)
48. Barragán (CA), Fulcher (ID): Directs the Department of Defense to assess geothermal energy potential at military installations and identify locations where geothermal resources could enhance energy resilience. (10 minutes)
49. Barrett (MI): Requires the Secretary of Defense to submit an action plan to Congress for advancing the deployment of the Airborne Collision Avoidance System-X. (10 minutes)
50. Baumgartner (WA): Increases funding by \$5 million for Defense-wide RDTE to accelerate the manufacturing of advanced reactive materials used to enhance the lethality of munitions. (10 minutes)
51. Begich (AK): Authorizes the Secretary of the Air Force to convey approximately 50 acres of land at Joint Base Elmendorf-Richardson to the Municipality of Anchorage, contingent on an independent appraisal and a determination that the parcel is excess to base needs, in accordance with the North Anchorage Land Agreement among the State

of Alaska, the Municipality, and Eklutna, Incorporated. The Municipality must cover all conveyance costs and may not use federal funds to do so, and all existing environmental law obligations are preserved. (10 minutes)

52. Begich (AK): Strengthens cyber incident reporting requirements for operationally critical DoD contractors by adding a mandatory 72-hour reporting deadline for the discovery of any hardware, software, or firmware manufactured by or linked to Chinese entities within covered networks, in addition to existing requirements to report cyber incidents. (10 minutes)
53. Begich (AK): Adds a three-year annual reporting requirement to the Berry Amendment seafood provision, directing the Secretary of Defense to report on the volume and origin of seafood procured by commissaries and exchanges, disaggregated by domestic and foreign source, and the steps taken to maximize domestic sourcing. (10 minutes)
54. Begich (AK): Requires the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Sustainment to brief Congress within 90 days on the feasibility of letting original equipment manufacturers (OEMs) fast-track their existing suppliers into DoD procurement systems, with a focus on improving readiness, reducing red tape, and preventing counterfeit parts in military aircraft ground support equipment. (10 minutes)
55. Begich (AK): Directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Industrial Base Policy, in coordination with the Secretary of the Navy, to submit a report within 180 days assessing the feasibility of establishing common certification standards across NAVAIR and NAVSEA supply chains. (10 minutes)
56. Begich (AK), Case (HI): Directs the Secretary of Defense, acting through the MDA, to report on DoD's strategy for developing resilient and distributed missile defense sensing architectures, covering current infrastructure vulnerabilities, modular and transportable sensing capabilities, open systems approaches, scalable radar integration, and acquisition barriers, and authorizes the use of appropriated funds to initiate pilot efforts implementing the report's recommendations. (10 minutes)
57. Bergman (MI): Amend Rest and Recuperation Absence to provide Service members stationed at designated overseas locations greater flexibility in how they utilize authorized rest and recuperation (R&R) leave earned through tour extensions. (10 minutes)
58. Bergman (MI): Increases funding amount for Next Generation Combat Vehicle Technology and offsets from Ship Depot Operations Support (O&M), Navy by \$7M. (10 minutes)
59. Bergman (MI): Increases funding amount for Ground Technology and offsets from Ship Depot Operations Support (O&M), Navy by \$10M. (10 minutes)
60. Bergman (MI): Increases funding amount for Rapid Prototyping Program and offsets from Ship Depot Operations Support (O&M), Navy by \$4.9M. (10 minutes)
61. Bergman (MI): Allows for tuition assistance to be used to pay for institution fees and allows the SECDEF to increase the per-semester-hour cap to \$350. (10 minutes)
62. Biggs (AZ): Modifies the Mining Schools Act to tighten the definition of mining schools. (10 minutes)
63. Biggs (AZ), Pocan (WI), Crane (AZ): Requires the Department of Defense to perform an audit. If it fails to, the discretionary budget authority available for the Department of Defense, the military department, or the Defense Agency shall be reduced by .5 percent. (10 minutes)

64. Biggs (SC): Calls for a study exploring the feasibility of allowing departments and agencies to have critical mineral purchasing and reselling capabilities for the purpose of reducing the bureaucratic burden and increasing the speed of purchasing minerals for projects within those departments and agencies. (10 minutes)
65. Biggs (SC), Bacon (NE), Fulcher (ID), Johnson (SD), Stauber (MN), Wilson (SC): Specifies phased inventory benchmarks for combat coded fighter aircraft. (10 minutes)
66. Biggs (SC), Mast (FL): Requires the Secretary of War to establish a pilot program evaluating the use of secure, commercially available digital faith-based platforms to enhance the spiritual fitness, mental health, and operational readiness of servicemembers and their families. (10 minutes)
67. Boebert (CO): Prohibits the acquisition, procurement, or lease of non-tactical electric vehicles containing any component produced by child and slave labor. (10 minutes)
68. Boebert (CO): Requires the Secretary of Defense, within 90 days of passage of the NDAA, to present a comprehensive plan for securing all United States military bases against drone-based threats. (10 minutes)
69. Boebert (CO): Requires the DoD to report on institutions of higher education that have received DoD funding and hosted a Confucius Institute. (10 minutes)
70. Boebert (CO): Requires the Secretary of the Air Force, within 60 days of passage of the NDAA, to present a comprehensive plan for F-16 fighter recapitalization for the 140th Wing of the Colorado Air National Guard. (10 minutes)
71. Buchanan (FL): Requires the Secretary of Defense to report to Congress on the threat of artificial intelligence-powered attacks, scams, and fraud to U.S. military installations, operations, and personnel. This report should evaluate the use of artificial intelligence by foreign adversaries for surveillance and targeting. (10 minutes)
72. Buchanan (FL): Requires the Department of the Navy and the Marine Corps to strengthen tactical vehicle risk management by establishing clearly defined responsibilities for vehicle commanders and implementing standardized procedures that enable first-line supervisors to identify, assess and mitigate operational risks during training and mission execution. (10 minutes)
73. Buchanan (FL): Directs the Secretary of Defense to carry out a pilot program to provide certain members of the Armed Forces with continuous glucose monitoring technology. (10 minutes)
74. Buchanan (FL): Requires the Secretary of Defense to provide a report on the implementation of the Go for Green (G4G) nutrition program at military dining facilities. (10 minutes)
75. Buchanan (FL): Requires the Department of the Army to submit a report on whether operational units have sufficient personnel, resources and organizational capacity to effectively implement ground-safety programs related to tactical vehicle operations. (10 minutes)
76. Buchanan (FL): Directs the Department of Defense to inventory legacy semiconductor dependencies in major weapon programs and submit a plan to eliminate reliance on foreign single-source suppliers. (10 minutes)
77. Buchanan (FL): Directs the Secretary of the Army and the Secretary of the Navy to begin and complete an assessment of their installation-wide food programs according to the recommendation of GAO-24-106155 within 180 days of the enactment of this act. (10 minutes)

78. Buchanan (FL): Requires the Secretary of Defense to submit a report within one year of enactment to the congressional defense committees on progress made by each military department, to reduce training-related accidents, fatalities, and serious injuries. The report shall include trend data and year-over-year comparisons for each military service; an assessment of the effectiveness of actions taken in response to prior congressional concerns regarding training safety; and a description of ongoing and planned efforts by each military department to further reduce training incidents, improve risk management practices, and enhance service member safety during training operations. (10 minutes)
79. Buchanan (FL): Require the Department of Defense to identify best practices for nutrition, fitness, and chronic disease prevention programs across the services and share successful models department-wide. (10 minutes)
80. Buchanan (FL): Requires the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to Congress assessing how military personnel use wearable devices for health, fitness, readiness, training, recovery, and operational purposes, including during deployments and combat. The report must evaluate the effectiveness of these devices and determine whether their use should be expanded across the Armed Forces. (10 minutes)
81. Burlison (MO): A sense of Congress regarding award of the Medal of Honor to Gregory McManus for acts of valor. (10 minutes)
82. Calvert (CA): Requires the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller), in coordination with the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Sustainment, to commission an independent review of Department of Defense financial management execution benchmarks, including their origin, methodology, authoritative basis, and traceability to Department-wide policy or guidance. Directs the review to assess whether current benchmarks remain relevant to modern acquisition practices to better reflect obligation and expenditure performance across appropriations and program types. (10 minutes)

83. Cammack (FL): Requires the Department of Defense to expand coordination with the Department of Health and Human Services and the Department of Justice regarding efforts to target fentanyl and precursor chemicals. Directs the Department to report to Congress on interagency coordination efforts and identify gaps in detection, monitoring, and interdiction activities. (10 minutes)
84. Cammack (FL): Requires the Department of Defense to develop and submit a strategy for identifying, assessing, and countering foreign malign influence activities conducted in the cognitive domain by foreign adversaries. Directs the strategy to include threat assessments, existing capabilities, capability gaps, resource requirements, and recommendations for improving Department efforts to counter disinformation, propaganda, psychological operations, and other influence activities. (10 minutes)
85. Cammack (FL): Requires the Comptroller General of the United States to conduct a component-level assessment of the Department of Defense's reliance on Chinese-sourced materials in munitions, microelectronics, and energy storage systems. Directs the Comptroller General to include recommendations for alternative sourcing strategies to reduce reliance on materials from China. (10 minutes)
86. Cammack (FL): Requires the Secretary of Defense to prioritize partnerships with institutions of higher education conducting research in hypersonics, biotechnology, and artificial intelligence when carrying out research, development, test, and evaluation activities. Directs the Secretary to report to Congress on existing partnerships with institutions conducting research in those priority areas. (10 minutes)
87. Cammack (FL): Requires the Secretary of Defense to assess vulnerabilities in military medical supply chains, including the Department's reliance on sources located in China for active pharmaceutical ingredients and medical devices. Directs the Secretary to report the findings of the assessment to Congress within 180 days of enactment. (10 minutes)
88. Cammack (FL): Requires the Secretary of Defense to conduct an assessment of the risks posed by foreign adversary-controlled digital platforms to operational security, force protection, military personnel, and military installations, and directs the Secretary to submit a report to Congress identifying those risks, including threats related to data collection, foreign influence operations, and propaganda activities, along with recommendations to mitigate them. (10 minutes)
89. Cammack (FL): Requires the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of Commerce, to develop a strategy for the adoption, fielding, integration, and scaling of artificial intelligence technologies across the Department of Defense and the defense industrial base, and directs the Secretary to submit that strategy to Congress, including barriers to adoption, workforce and infrastructure requirements, opportunities for collaboration with industry and academia, and recommendations to improve deployment of artificial intelligence technologies. (10 minutes)
90. Cammack (FL): Requires the Secretary of Defense to establish procedures to ensure continuity of care for pregnant and postpartum servicemembers and military spouses during a permanent change of station, including coordination between military treatment facilities,

timely transfer of medical records, assignment of a receiving provider, and measures to prevent interruptions in prenatal, obstetric, postpartum, and behavioral health services, and directs the Secretary to report to Congress on implementation of those procedures. (10 minutes)

91. Cammack (FL): Requires the Comptroller General to conduct an audit of the extent to which entities owned, controlled by, affiliated with, or subject to the influence of the Chinese Communist Party participate in Department of Defense contracts and supply chains, and directs the Comptroller General to submit a report to Congress identifying areas of exposure, associated risks to military readiness and national security, known ties to the People's Liberation Army or Chinese state-owned enterprises, and recommendations to reduce identified risks. (10 minutes)
92. Cammack (FL): Requires the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with FEMA, to submit an annual report identifying National Guard equipment shortfalls in each state and assessing how those gaps affect disaster response capabilities, including hurricane response. Directs the report to include recommendations to address identified shortfalls through equipment modernization and strategic prepositioning in disaster-prone states. (10 minutes)
93. Carbajal (CA), Van Orden (WI), Pingree (ME), Hurd (CO): Requires any cut flowers or greens purchased by the Department of Defense for display be grown domestically. (10 minutes)
94. Carey (OH), Beatty (OH): Requires the Secretary of Defense to submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on the use of intergovernmental support agreements for military installations funded by a working-capital fund. (10 minutes)
95. Carson (IN): Requires DoD to report on the impact and transition of research funded through the Congressionally Directed Medical Research Programs, including research with potential for use in the Military Health System, operational medicine, or clinical practice. Requires the report to identify key barriers to medical research transition. (10 minutes)
96. Carson (IN): Amends Sec. 1524(g) to require DoD to make publicly available an unclassified summary of each semiannual report on its policy for autonomous and AI-enabled systems, to the maximum extent practicable. (10 minutes)
97. Carter (GA), Pettersen (CO): Prohibits the Secretary of Defense awarding an eligible telecommunications contract to an entity if the Secretary determines that the entity is subject to foreign ownership, control, jurisdiction, or legal obligations that could require disclosure of Department of Defense information or Department of Defense network operational data to a foreign government. (10 minutes)
98. Carter (LA), Ezell (MS), Nehls (TX), Letlow (LA), Weber (TX), Davis (NC), Murphy (NC), Guest (MS), Bilirakis (FL), Gonzalez, V (TX): Prohibits DoD/DoW procurement and commissary sales of seafood originating or processed in China. (10 minutes)
99. Casar (TX): Requires a GAO study assessing the child care programs that exist across the Department of Defense including: Child Development Centers, Family Child Care, Military Child Care in Your Neighborhood, and Child Care in Your Home. Metrics must be disaggregated by service branch. (10 minutes)
100. Case (HI): Require the Space Force to assess the security, physical, cyber, and geopolitical vulnerabilities of commercially owned and operated satellite ground-based nodes located within the Indo-Pacific. (10 minutes)

101. Case (HI), King-Hinds (MP), Scott, Austin (GA), Moylan (GU): Counters People's Republic of China influence and activities in the Freely Associated States. (10 minutes)
102. Case (HI), Tokuda (HI), Moylan (GU), Begich (AK), Bacon (NE), King-Hinds (MP): Requires a study on the potential benefits of establishing a Strategic Infrastructure Partnership Initiative to assist INDOPACOM in identifying, developing and implementing dual-use infrastructure projects. (10 minutes)
103. Cisneros (CA): Requires the Department of Defense to establish a early release notification system for victims of sexual assault through the UCMJ. (10 minutes)
104. Cleaver (MO), Van Drew (NJ): Increases funding to the Combat and Operational Medicine Program, R&D research by \$5 million for alpha-gal syndrome research. Offsets \$5 million from Combat and Operational Medicine Program, R&D Advanced Development. (10 minutes)
105. Cline (VA), Moolenaar (MI), Harrigan (NC), Crane (AZ), Cloud (TX), Fitzgerald (WI), Davidson (OH): Requires the Pentagon to review and prohibit the use of covered payment processing equipment, systems, or services tied to foreign adversaries, including China, in contracts with retailers serving the Department. The amendment establishes a phased implementation process, culminating in a prohibition on DoW contracts with retailers that continue to rely on covered foreign-adversary payment processing technology and is identical to H.R. 8787 of the 119th Congress. (10 minutes)
106. Cloud (TX), Moore (UT): Adds Recovery of Outlays to Sec. 1862. (10 minutes)
107. Courtney (CT), McCaul (TX), Magaziner (RI), Bell (MO), Amo (RI), Moore (UT): Amends the AUKUS Submarine Transfer Authorization Act to allow for transfer of three in-service Virginia-class submarines, instead of two. (10 minutes)
108. Craig (MN): Ensures access for military families to cranial remolding orthosis treatment for babies with deformational plagiocephaly. (10 minutes)
109. Crane (AZ): Requires annual coordination between the Department of Defense and Department of Homeland Security to assess counter-subterranean technologies applicable to illicit cross-border tunnel operations, and directs an annual report and briefing to Congress on findings and recommendations. (10 minutes)
110. Crane (AZ): Requires the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of Education, to submit a report and briefing to Congress on opportunities to strengthen military recruitment, readiness, and end strength through educational partnerships, including JROTC and ROTC programs. The report will assess recruiting challenges and provide recommendations to expand the pipeline of qualified military applicants and increase the attractiveness of military service. (10 minutes)
111. Crane (AZ), Harrigan (NC), Perry (PA), Biggs (SC): Directs the Department of Defense to establish a pilot program to evaluate and rapidly field technologies that identify and control mobile application data transmissions that could expose servicemembers and other defense personnel to commercial surveillance and operational security risks. It also requires ongoing assessments and annual reporting to Congress on the effectiveness of these technologies in reducing threats from commercial mobile tracking and pattern-of-life analysis. (10 minutes)
112. Crawford (AR), Mast (FL): Relocates the Department of Homeland Security's Office of Bombing Prevention (OBP) from within the Cybersecurity & Infrastructure Security Agency (CISA) to the Office of Intelligence & Analysis. The amendment defines the functions and

responsibilities of OBP, including coordinating with DoD, information sharing, training, and providing technical assistance with the Private and Public Sectors regarding the disposal of explosive ordnance and the countering of terrorist explosive threats. Added Section to require Department of Defense synchronization.

June 26, 2026, 4:04 pm: Uploading the HOLC version of the previously uploaded amendment. There are no substantive changes to the previous pdf uploaded; the only difference is this one was generated by HOLC (same exact language as previous amendment). (10 minutes)

113. Crawford (AR), Mast (FL): Creates an incentive payment schedule for Explosive Ordnance Disposal (EOD) technicians based on years of experience to encourage continued service, given regular exposure to hazardous conditions (e.g., unexploded ordnance, VIP support). (10 minutes)
114. Crawford (AR), Mast (FL): Directs the U.S. Army National Guard to establish a pilot program to assess and develop National Guard Explosive Ordnance Disposal (EOD) units' response capabilities for homeland defense in the event of large-scale missile and drone unexploded ordnance on domestic territory. (10 minutes)
115. Crawford (AR), Mast (FL): Establishes a pilot program within the US Army in coordination with the Department of Homeland Security to provide eligible U.S. Army Explosive Ordnance Disposal (EOD) personnel to attend the Federal Law Enforcement Training Centers (FLETC) as they transition out of the military. (10 minutes)
116. Davidson (OH): Requires a report to Congress on unconventional threat networks that operate in the Western Hemisphere. (10 minutes)
117. Davidson (OH), Harrigan (NC), Khanna (CA), Lawler (NY): Directs the Secretary of Defense to publish a list of dietary supplement ingredients prohibited for use by members of the Armed Forces. Identical to H.R. 8962 (119th Congress). (10 minutes)
118. Davis (NC): Requires the Secretary of War to report on opportunities to accelerate development and acquisition of low-cost, platform-agnostic autonomy modules for small unmanned aerial systems (sUAS). The AI-enabled autopilot framing currently in H.R. 8800 is a start. Still, this amendment expands on it to ensure language is also specifically focused on the targeting layer and enabling effects on low-cost, platform-agnostic hardware. (10 minutes)
119. Davis (NC), Harrigan (NC): Requires SECARMY to report on resilient tactical power and battery safety systems to highlight the critical role of battery separators in stabilizing the battery supply chain and ensuring its security. (10 minutes)
120. Dunn (FL), Moolenaar (MI): Amends Section 152 of the FY24 NDAA by giving consideration to critical minerals derived from recycled and reused minerals and metals to the maximum extent practicable. (10 minutes)
121. Dunn (FL), Moolenaar (MI), Moylan (GU): Authorizes the Secretary of Defense to develop and implement a methodology to identify and assess dependencies on the People's Republic of China for the processing, refining, separation, alloying, magnet manufacturing, or other midstream production stages of materials critical to the defense industrial base. (10 minutes)
122. Dunn (FL), Moolenaar (MI): Requires the Secretary of Defense to conduct a department-wide medical supply chain risk assessment and develop a classified, prioritized list of high-risk critical medical products dependent on PRC-origin inputs. (10 minutes)

123. Dunn (FL), Moolenaar (MI): Supports the Secretary of Defense in recovering critical minerals relevant to the defense industrial base from unconventional feedstocks. (10 minutes)
124. Elfreth (MD), Bacon (NE): Directs a study on Reserve Child Care programs offered by the services. (10 minutes)
125. Elfreth (MD), Wittman (VA): Revises critical minerals reporting and briefing requirements. Requires briefings to be held annually for 8 years. (10 minutes)

126. Escobar (TX): Requires the Department of Defense to submit a report assessing the potential use of intergovernmental support agreements for unspecified minor military construction projects. (10 minutes)
127. Escobar (TX): Requires the Department of Defense to produce a report evaluating opportunities to strengthen the military childcare workforce while expanding employment pathways for military spouses, including assessing staffing shortages, licensing portability, innovative childcare models, and potential recruitment and retention incentives. (10 minutes)
128. Escobar (TX), Alford (MO): Requires DoD to update and standardize pay scales, recruitment metrics, and retention evaluation processes for JROTC instructors as well as collect consistent service-wide data and assess whether the new standardized pay system is improving instructor recruitment and retention. (10 minutes)
129. Evans (CO): Amends the FY22 NDAA to allow for the expedited implementation of special incentive pay parity between active duty and reserve components of the armed forces. (10 minutes)
130. Ezell (MS), Guest (MS), Kelly (MS), Thompson (MS): Increases Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation, Defense-Wide by \$10,000,000 for ultra-light Group 1 small unmanned aircraft systems for United States Special Operations Command (Program Element 1160405BB, Intelligence Systems Development). (10 minutes)
131. Figures (AL), Sewell (AL), Aderholt (AL): Expresses the sense of Congress that the Secretary of the Air Force should prioritize the design and development of a Combined All-Domain Wargaming Center at Maxwell Air Force Base to enhance the Department of Defense's strategic training, education, and simulation capabilities. (10 minutes)
132. Fine (FL): Requires the disclosure of foreign gifts over \$50,000 made to military colleges and establishes enforcement mechanisms for non-compliance. (10 minutes)
133. Fischbach (MN): Requires the Secretary of War, to conduct an assessment of supply chain vulnerabilities related to MicroLED displays used in Department of War weapon systems and platforms. (10 minutes)
134. Fitzgerald (WI): Requires the Secretary of Defense to seek to enter into an agreement with a multi-university research center focused on physics-based neutralization of threats to human tissues and organs to conduct research on understanding and preventing traumatic brain injuries in the US warfighter within 90 days of enactment. (10 minutes)
135. Fong (CA), Whitesides (CA): Directs the Secretary of Defense to conduct a study relating to establishing a supersonic airspace corridor between the airspace of the R-2508 Complex and the broad ocean area. (10 minutes)
136. Fong (CA), Obernolte (CA), Whitesides (CA): Honors those who died during an Air Force test mission on June 15, 2026, at Edwards AFB, and directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a briefing to the congressional defense committees on the crash once the investigation is complete. (10 minutes)
137. Fong (CA), Obernolte (CA), Whitesides (CA): Directs the Secretary of Defense to brief the congressional defense committees on the status and availability of medical and safety resources at Edwards Air Force Base

for Armed Forces members, Department of Defense civilian employees, and contractor personnel. (10 minutes)

138. Foster (IL), Morelle (NY), DesJarlais (TN): Designates the National Nuclear Security Administration as the technical nuclear forensics lead. (10 minutes)
139. Fry (SC): Requires the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Sustainment to report on the national security implications of restricting exports of rare earth-containing scrap and assess the impact on domestic recycling, defense supply chains, and foreign dependence. Directs the Department of Defense to identify data gaps and recommend legislative or administrative actions to strengthen domestic rare-earth recovery and reduce reliance on foreign adversaries, such as China. (10 minutes)
140. Gonzalez, V (TX): Requires the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to Congress within 60 days on the status of funds set aside for powertrain facility completion and facility modernization at Corpus Christi Army Depot (CCAD), Corpus Christi, Texas. (10 minutes)
141. Gooden (TX): Revises Section 1541 to include existing platforms and capabilities that will accelerate innovation while reducing delays. (10 minutes)
142. Gosar (AZ): Establishes a sense of Congress regarding naming a warship the USS Phoenix in memory of the 85th Anniversary of the attack on Pearl Harbor. (10 minutes)
143. Gosar (AZ): Requires a report on supply of rare earth materials and elements. (10 minutes)
144. Gosar (AZ): Requires a report on the use of copper by U.S. Armed Forces. (10 minutes)
145. Gosar (AZ): Directs the Secretary and Administrator of the National Nuclear Security Administration to give preference to domestic uranium and other critical minerals before seeking foreign sources. (10 minutes)
146. Gosar (AZ), Hamadeh (AZ): Inserts land withdrawal for U.S. Army Yuma Proving Ground. Identical to H.R. 8686, reported (119th Congress). (10 minutes)
147. Gottheimer (NJ): Authorizes a Report Authored by the Secretary of Defense to study steps Israel, the US, and Egypt can take to enhance international security measures on the Gaza-Egypt border to ensure Hamas and other actors cannot use tunnels or methods via the Mediterranean Sea to smuggle weapons and illicit goods. Additionally, the report will outline/ map existing tunnel networks. (10 minutes)
148. Gottheimer (NJ), Lawler (NY), Fitzpatrick (PA): Requires an assessment of Antisemitism in the Defense Organizational Climate Survey. The Secretary of Defense shall ensure that the Defense Organizational Climate Survey (DEOCS) includes specific questions to assess the prevalence, nature, and impact of antisemitism within the military service academies. (10 minutes)
149. Gottheimer (NJ), Moylan (GU): Increases funding for the National Defense Education Program by \$5 million for STEM education and workforce initiatives targeting military students. (10 minutes)
150. Gottheimer (NJ), Yakym (IN): Increases funding for the DoD SkillBridge program by \$5 million. (10 minutes)
151. Graves (MO): Establishes a pilot program to adequately and accurately test, identify, and analyze drug use among servicemembers. (10 minutes)
152. Graves (MO), Larsen (WA): Updates Coast Guard authorities to improve the Service's organization and strengthen mission performance. (10 minutes)

153. Harrigan (NC): Amends the Chief Digital and Artificial Intelligence Officer (CDAO) authorization to prioritize tracking PRC AI capabilities, and have a strategy for preparedness to counter adversarial AI. (10 minutes)
154. Harris (MD): Allows anesthesia to be covered by TRICARE for in-office dental procedures for pediatric dentistry. (10 minutes)
155. Hern (OK): Requires the Secretary of the Navy to submit a report to Congress on the plans of the Department of the Navy to transition to light-emitting diode (LED) lighting on naval vessels. (10 minutes)
156. Hill (AR): Directs the GAO to do a review of the health of the Department of Defense's cyber-related intelligence sharing with State, local, Tribal, territorial, and private sector partners. (10 minutes)
157. Hill (AR), Lucas (OK): Reauthorizes the Defense Production Act of 1950, a set of authorities that allows the President to strengthen the industrial base by providing financial support and allocating resources to meet national defense requirements. (10 minutes)
158. Hill (AR), Womack (AR), Crawford (AR): Authorizes the Secretary of the Army to conduct a no-cost land exchange between the Arkansas National Guard (AR NG) and the U.S. Army Reserve (USAR) on Camp Robinson in North Little Rock. (10 minutes)
159. Houchin (IN): Provides for a two-year program piloting a subscription-based funding model for cyber-physical test and training ranges under the Test Resource Management Center. (10 minutes)
160. Houchin (IN): Provides for a land swap and land use permissions change between the State of Indiana and Indiana Army Ammunition Reuse Authority (10 minutes)
161. Houchin (IN), Golden (ME), Carson (IN), Schweikert (AZ), Messmer (IN), Whitesides (CA): Directs the Department of Defense to assess existing AI data center security frameworks and tools for risks posed by espionage from nation-state adversaries. (10 minutes)
162. Houchin (IN), Messmer (IN), Yakym (IN), Mrvan (IN): Provides for a report on PFAS destruction technologies available to the Department of Defense and a draft plan for a single high-pass PFAS destruction pilot program. (10 minutes)
163. Houlahan (PA): Creates a Pilot Program within DoD to test standalone tech to increase supply chain management and medical readiness (10 minutes)
164. Hoyle (OR): Directs the DoD to study its military decorations process and report to Congress on ways to ensure award recommendations are handled in a timely, consistent and transparent way. (10 minutes)
165. Hudson (NC), Murphy (NC), Harrigan (NC), Harris (NC), Carter (GA), Moore (NC), Mace (SC), Miller (IL): Directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a report to the congressional defense committees, not later than June 1, 2027, on the Department's plan to rectify legal claims from contractors that seek compensatory relief from Covid-19 related inflation. (10 minutes)
166. Huizenga (MI), McCaul (TX), Messmer (IN), Ryan (NY), Bell (MO), Courtney (CT), Wittman (VA), Moore (UT): To amend the statutory prohibition excluding the transfer of MTCR-controlled technologies to AUKUS partners. (10 minutes)
167. Jack (GA): Revises the pilot program preemption language by applying preemption to insurance contracts issued to individual policyholders under the pilot program and aligns the bill with the existing regulations section that provides the necessary preemption authority. (10 minutes)
168. Jackson (TX), Schweikert (AZ), Whitesides (CA), Messmer (IN): Directs the Secretary of War to issue voluntary guidance for AI contractors

developing, maintaining, or operating AI systems for the Department of War to reduce insider threat, espionage, and other personnel-related security risks to sensitive AI systems and infrastructure. (10 minutes)

169. Jacobs (CA): Establishes a quarterly reporting requirement of the National Security Capital Forum. (10 minutes)
170. Johnson (SD): Directs the Secretary of Defense to conduct an assessment of supply chain vulnerabilities related to displays used in Department of War weapon systems and platforms. (10 minutes)
171. Joyce (OH): Requires the Department of Defense to carry out a two-year pilot program to insert suicide prevention resources onto smart devices issued to members of the Armed Forces and to provide training on these resources. (10 minutes)
172. Kean (NJ), Scott, Austin (GA), Tenney (NY): Funds research and development for counter-drone technology for the Army. (10 minutes)
173. King-Hinds (MP): Directs the Secretary of Defense to conduct a geothermal resource confirmation study on the island of Saipan, as part of the Energy Resilience and Conservation Investment Program. (10 minutes)
174. King-Hinds (MP), Case (HI): Directs the Department of Defense to conduct internal base hardening assessments focused on logistics nodes and commercial interfaces at major defense installations. (10 minutes)
175. LaHood (IL), Harrigan (NC), Davis (NC), Foushee (NC): Prohibits the procurement of certain semiconductors that are manufactured on silicon carbide wafers in the People's Republic of China (PRC). (10 minutes)
176. Langworthy (NY): Requires the Department of War to provide educational information on newborn screening, state newborn screening panel variations, and available supplemental screening options to TRICARE beneficiaries receiving prenatal care. Requires the Department of War to submit a report to Congress on the feasibility, costs, and logistical considerations of ensuring newborns of TRICARE beneficiaries receive screening for all federally recommended newborn screening conditions. (10 minutes)
177. Lee (NV): Directs the Department of Defense to brief Congress on their efforts to create and implement incentive programs that would encourage Family Child Care providers to expand their services, support military spouses, and provide after-hours childcare, which would support troops and their families, who often work outside of normal business hours due to the global operations they support. (10 minutes)
178. Levin (CA): Mandates tenants be notified of the Department of Defense Housing Feedback System (DHFS) upon signing a new lease or renewing an existing lease. (10 minutes)
179. Levin (CA): Requires a report to Congress on whether the Department met the 5% FSRM spending on barracks requirement (under Section 2814 of the FY22 NDAA) from FY22 through FY26. (10 minutes)
180. Liccardo (CA), Min (CA): Expresses the sense of Congress that the Secretary of Defense should continue to support the development, integration, and operational use of distributed commercial radar satellite constellations, including MMTI and AMTI capabilities. (10 minutes)
181. Lucas (OK), Min (CA): Expresses that it is sense of Congress that the Secretary of War should prioritize funding towards the acquisition of combat collaborative aircraft (CCA) to ensure there is a minimal, viable, fielded, and operational CCA capability by the end of FY28. (10 minutes)

182. Luna (FL): Requires the Secretary of Defense, not later than 180 days after enactment, to develop and submit to the congressional defense committees a plan for the Department of Defense to contribute to a common operating picture to improve domain awareness at the southern land border, and to transmit that plan to appropriate interagency partners within 60 days after its development. Specifies the required elements of the plan, including the proposed architecture and data sources, data-sharing procedures and authorities, a concept of operations, a phased implementation schedule, resource and cost requirements, training and sustainment plans, and effectiveness metrics, and requires submission in unclassified form with an optional classified annex. (10 minutes)
183. Luttrell (TX): Makes clarifying correction to Sec. 1706. (10 minutes)
184. Luttrell (TX), Bergman (MI), Van Orden (WI), Ezell (MS), Carter (LA), McGarvey (KY), Correa (CA), Moulton (MA), Elfrehth (MD), Rulli (OH): Extends the period of performance of the current DoD trial to treat certain conditions using psychedelic substances to September 30, 2033. (10 minutes)
185. Lynch (MA): Requires the Secretary of Defense to produce and submit to the congressional defense committees a report examining barriers that have impeded small and medium-sized business' ability to compete for classified contracts. The report will include recommendations on ways to lower such barriers to entry. (10 minutes)
186. Magaziner (RI), Amo (RI), Vindman (VA), Scott (VA): Expresses the sense of Congress that the United States reaffirms its unwavering commitment to the AUKUS partnership with Australia and the United Kingdom as vital to maintaining a safe and secure world. Congress supports robust investment in the United States submarine industrial base to promote the AUKUS partnership. (10 minutes)
187. Mannion (NY): Requires the Secretary of the Air Force to submit a report to the congressional defense committees on planned investments in advanced sensor and electronic warfare systems for Collaborative Combat Aircraft (10 minutes)
188. Mast (FL), Bishop (GA), Miller (OH), Moylan (GU), Mills (FL), Zinke (MT), Van Orden (WI), Issa (CA), Bacon (NE): Removes the limitation on the number of days of accrued leave for which a member of the Armed Forces may receive payment under USC Title 37 Section 501. (10 minutes)
189. Mast (FL), Houlihan (PA), Biggs (SC), Strickland (WA), Pfluger (TX): Directs the Secretary of War to conduct an independent study comparing military personnel tempo, workload demands, training requirements, and compensation with civilian counterparts to better understand the cumulative demands of military service and their implications for readiness, quality of life, and retention. (10 minutes)
190. McCaul (TX), Huizenga (MI), Owens (UT): Adds a section to the notification requirement in section 221 to notify Congress on potential statutory changes needed to allow for faster testing and development of unmanned systems within the designated corridors. (10 minutes)
191. McDonald Rivet (MI), Harrigan (NC), Davis (NC), Lawler (NY), Barragán (CA), Begich (AK), Neguse (CO), Dingell (MI): Expands credentialed, personalized financial and housing counseling to members of the Armed Forces serving on active duty or transitioning from service, and for other purposes. Identical to H.R. 8056 (119th Congress). (10 minutes)
192. McDowell (NC): Requires the Secretary of Defense to submit to Congress a strategy to support the cyber defense of Taiwan through coordination with the Five Eyes partners, including a description of

resources, authorities, and personnel required to implement the strategy. (10 minutes)

193. McDowell (NC): Requires the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to Congress on the military power and illicit activities of certain drug cartels, including recommendations for additional authorities or resources to enhance efforts of the U.S. to disrupt and dismantle the military capabilities of the cartels. (10 minutes)
194. Mejia (NJ), Kean (NJ): Directs the Navy to assess the feasibility and operational utility of gun-launched electromagnetic obscuration capabilities for naval systems. (10 minutes)
195. Meuser (PA), Bresnahan (PA): Expresses the Sense of Congress that the President should award the Medal of Honor to Robert J. Graham who displayed extraordinary heroism during the Vietnam War on May 1, 1966, by repeatedly attacking enemy forces in a severely damaged aircraft under intense fire, saving hundreds of American lives. (10 minutes)
196. Miller-Meeks (IA): Requires a report from the Secretary of the Army to the Committees on Armed Services that includes an explanation of the status of efforts to produce 155mm artillery ammunition for the Department of Defense. (10 minutes)
197. Miller-Meeks (IA): Expresses a sense of Congress on the importance of maintaining robust domestic production of M795 155mm artillery projectiles. (10 minutes)
198. Miller-Meeks (IA): Expresses a sense of Congress that encourages the Department of the Army to prioritize the Rock Island Arsenal Defense Alliance request for the Rock Island Arsenal Child Care Development Center, supporting the readiness of the workforce. (10 minutes)
199. Miller-Meeks (IA): Directs the Secretary of the Army to conduct a study, using existing funds, on the feasibility and cost-effectiveness of converting the energy fuel sources at the Iowa Army Ammunition Plant to natural gas. (10 minutes)
200. Min (CA), Joyce (OH), Horsford (NV), Correa (CA), Houlahan (PA): Directs the Secretary of the Air Force, in coordination with the Commander of the U.S. Transportation Command, to produce a strategy and report for the Collaborative Combat Aircraft program, including storage, mobility, maintenance, cost, and deployment requirements, to ensure that the service can field CCA at scale in contested environments. (10 minutes)
201. Moolenaar (MI), Case (HI): Directs the Department of War to inventory, assess, and prioritize all military construction and logistics infrastructure projects across the Pacific Islands aligned to 2030, 2035, and 2040 operational requirements. (10 minutes)
202. Moolenaar (MI), Gottheimer (NJ): Prohibits sensitive monitoring technology manufactured by a prohibited foreign entity on DoW-owned or -leased rail cars. (10 minutes)
203. Moore (AL): Expands a requirement for the Pentagon to report expenditures on the USAspending.gov website to include other transaction agreement expenditures. (10 minutes)
204. Moore (NC), Harrigan (NC): Amends Title 10 of the US Code to require the Secretary of the Army to establish the Army Quantum Readiness and Advanced Computing Initiative. The initiative aims to integrate quantum technologies and advanced computing into future military missions through research, pilot programs, workforce development, and an initial readiness report to Congress. (10 minutes)
205. Moore (UT): Codifies direct hiring authority to support the Department of War Domestic Defense Industrial Base Facilities and expands the

authority to support agencies located on the same installation or base. (10 minutes)

206. Moore (UT), Khanna (CA): Requires the designation of Department-wide and military department service delivery leaders to oversee implementation of the Government Service Delivery Improvement Act and improve servicemember-facing services. (10 minutes)
207. Moran (TX), Tenney (NY): Prohibits any FY27 DoD funds from going to any K-12 schools with a Confucius Institute or classroom. (10 minutes)
208. Morrison (MN): Requires the Department of Defense to report to Congress within 180 days on the average response time to: 1) provide a replacement Certificate of Release or Discharge from Active Duty (DD Form 214); and 2) notify the recipient that their request cannot be granted due to a lack of required documentation. (10 minutes)
209. Mullin (CA): Requires the Department of Defense to submit to Congress a report on the use of operation and maintenance funding for the purchase of small unmanned aircraft systems. (10 minutes)
210. Neguse (CO): Directs the Department of Defense to report the average military medical treatment facility patient referral and consult wait times for active-duty servicemembers and veterans. (10 minutes)
211. Neguse (CO): Requires the Department of Defense, in coordination with Federal labs, to establish a comprehensive shared database to help improve public and private cooperation to benefit national security. (10 minutes)

212. Neguse (CO), Bergman (MI), Dingell (MI): Requires the Department of Defense to report to Congress on the timeliness of providing electronic health records to members of the Armed Forces who are separating from active duty. Directs the Secretary of Defense to establish standards to ensure that separating servicemembers receive such records within a reasonable period. (10 minutes)
213. Nehls (TX): Supports the development and procurement of blast overpressure mitigation devices for small and medium caliber weapons. (10 minutes)
214. Nehls (TX), Issa (CA), Miller-Meeke (IA), Begich (AK): Requires the Department of Defense to review existing programs and, where feasible, implement software-based cryptographic protections that strengthen data security without requiring new hardware. It advances the Department's transition to post-quantum cybersecurity standards, promotes data ownership and key sovereignty, prevents vendor lock-in, and ensures future defense programs incorporate these protections from the outset. (10 minutes)
215. Newhouse (WA), Moolenaar (MI): Prioritizes support and procurement of domestically manufactured silicon anode materials for battery cells and battery systems. (10 minutes)
216. Norman (SC): Requires the Department of the Army to provide a briefing to the House and Senate Armed Services Committees within 180 days on currently validated insect repellents available to the Army. Directs the briefing to include ongoing evaluations of insect-repellent fabric treatments for combat uniforms, performance analyses of such treatments, and current research and development efforts to improve uniform insect repellency. (10 minutes)
217. Norman (SC): Prohibits use of CCP-linked financial services providers on Department of Defense devices. (10 minutes)
218. Nunn (IA), Davis (NC): Prohibits the Department of Defense from procuring, acquiring or utilizing any blockchain network infrastructure originating from a company or individual stemming from an entity or country of concern. Specifically, this bill aims to tackle the CCP's continued investment in distributed ledger technology. (10 minutes)
219. Nunn (IA), Horsford (NV): Directs the Undersecretary of Defense, Acquisitions and Sustainment to develop a plan for the Services' towards a comprehensive set of advanced manufacturing practices including AI-optimized robotic forming, additive and subtractive manufacturing, and advanced materials and processing practices for hypersonic research and development. (10 minutes)
220. Nunn (IA), Magaziner (RI): Requires the Comptroller General to conduct a study on the effectiveness of the Transition Assistance Program of the Department of Defense and the Solid Start program of the Department of Veterans Affairs in identifying, counseling, and supporting members of the Armed Forces and veterans regarding mental health risks and transition-related stressors during their separation from the Armed Forces. (10 minutes)
221. Nunn (IA), Miller-Meeke (IA), Feenstra (IA), Hinson (IA), Bacon (NE): Requires the Secretary of the Air Force to report on the feasibility, infrastructure readiness, and cost-benefits of basing permanent, manned flying missions at Air National Guard installations that have runways but lack manned active aircraft. It emphasizes utilizing

underutilized joint-use commercial airfields for strategic, distributed operations and assessing alternative basing for long-range strike systems. (10 minutes)

222. Nunn (IA), Moolenaar (MI): Requires the Department of War, in coordination with the Small Business Administration, to submit a report within 180 days, and annually thereafter for five years, detailing how its engagement with SBIC funds and portfolio companies aligns with capital-intensive defense production and manufacturing requirements, including munitions, shipbuilding suppliers, energetics, propulsion components, industrial equipment, tooling, and other critical defense industrial-base sectors. The report shall identify priority sectors, summarize engagement activities with relevant funds, assess the extent to which SBIC capital is supporting production scale-up and surge capacity, and provide recommendations to better align private investment with national security needs without modifying existing SBA authorities or investment decision-making. (10 minutes)
223. Nunn (IA), Moolenaar (MI), Case (HI), Tokuda (HI): Reforms Section 1248 of the FY22 National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) by extending and expanding the Department of Defense's annual assessments of Taiwan's military readiness, regional allied responses, and U.S. contingency planning in the face of rising Chinese aggression. (10 minutes)
224. Nunn (IA), Moolenaar (MI), Min (CA), Vindman (VA), Lieu (CA), Tokuda (HI): Directs the Secretary of Defense to establish a strategic defense technology partnership between the rapid acquisition offices of the DOD and the Taiwan Ministry of National Defense. (10 minutes)
225. Nunn (IA), Moolenaar (MI), Moulton (MA): Authorizes a dedicated Joint U.S.–Taiwan RDT&E program to accelerate co-development and transition of UAS/C-UAS capabilities, aligning bilateral innovation with INDOPACOM requirements and delivering scalable, conflict-relevant systems. (10 minutes)
226. Obernolte (CA): Directs the Secretary of Defense, through US TRANSCOM, to establish a pilot program demonstrating and assessing integrated road-to-rail logistics capabilities. The goal is to improve resiliency, throughput, and safety in surface distribution for joint force operations, particularly in austere or contested environments. (10 minutes)
227. Obernolte (CA): Directs DOD to assess demand, identify supply chain gaps, and recommend reforms to strengthen domestic production of turbojet pyrotechnic devices. (10 minutes)
228. Ogles (TN), Tenney (NY): Requires the Secretary of Defense to create a plan to include Taiwan in RIMPAC 2028. (10 minutes)
229. Owens (UT): Directs the Secretary of the Army to report on the efforts of the Army to field Link tactical data link networking capabilities on UH–60M and CH–47F aircraft. (10 minutes)
230. Pappas (NH): Requires the Department of Defense to submit a comprehensive report on its strategy for the research, development, and deployment of Friction Stir Additive Manufacturing (FSAM) technologies. (10 minutes)
231. Patronis (FL): Authorizes the President to award the Medal of Honor to six individuals who at great risk to themselves and disregard for their own personal safety attacked and helped to sink three aircraft carriers at the Battle of Midway on June 4, 1942. This decisive victory is considered the turning point of the Pacific War with momentous strategic consequences. (10 minutes)
232. Pettersen (CO): Directs the DoD to issue a report regarding the Space Development Agency's (SDA) optical communications and networking

standards as the SDA is distributed to other DoD / IC components. (10 minutes)

233. Pfluger (TX): Establishes a pilot program for integrated cognitive performance and readiness to modernize existing military resilience programs in support of Warfighter Performance Optimization priorities. (10 minutes)
234. Pfluger (TX): Closes a loophole in retired rank retention in Title 10, allowing for service members who qualify for active duty retirement to retain their highest rank upon retirement. (10 minutes)
235. Pfluger (TX): Requires the Secretary of Defense to establish a process for a member to enroll in a transitional health care plan no later than 2 business days following the date of their separation. (10 minutes)
236. Pfluger (TX): Establishes pilot program to evaluate hardware and software to counter the impact of foreign actors influencing critical equipment in our supply chain. (10 minutes)
237. Pfluger (TX), Bacon (NE): Requires the Superintendent of the United States Air Force Academy to submit a report containing a strategy to improve academic outcomes at the Academy. (10 minutes)
238. Pfluger (TX), Bacon (NE): Redesignates the position of Dean of the Faculty of the United States Air Force Academy as Provost and Dean of the Faculty. (10 minutes)
239. Pfluger (TX), Tenney (NY): Requires the Secretary of Defense to suspend or revoke a security clearance or eligibility for access to classified information for any retired or separated member of the Armed Forces or civilian employee of the Department of Defense who engages in lobbying for Chinese military companies. (10 minutes)
240. Plaskett (VI): Directs the Secretary of Defense to assess the feasibility of utilizing appropriate facilities on the island of St. Croix as an Army, Air Force, and Marine Corps forward operating base. (10 minutes)
241. Plaskett (VI): Directs the Secretary of Defense to assess the feasibility of utilizing appropriate facilities on the island of St. Thomas as a Navy forward operating base. (10 minutes)
242. Randall (WA), Fedorchak (ND): Extends the annual cyber resiliency assessment requirement for the nuclear command and control system to December 31, 2035. (10 minutes)
243. Raskin (MD), Bacon (NE), Davis (NC), LaLota (NY), Pfluger (TX), Dexter (OR): Moves the pension funds for the U.S. Public Health Service Commissioned Corps and the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Commissioned Officer Corps to the Military Retirement Fund to ensure all retired uniformed servicemembers receive pension payments during a government shutdown. Identical to H.R. 8732 (119th Congress). (10 minutes)
244. Rogers (AL): Requires Secretary of Army to maintain minimum inventory of DVH A1 combat vehicles in its Stryker Brigade Combat Teams. (10 minutes)
245. Rose (TN): Requires the Secretary of War to submit a report to the House and Senate Committees on Armed Services on the fraud scheme perpetrated by Janet Mello, a civilian employee of the Department of the Army who was indicted and pleaded guilty to stealing over \$100 million in 4-H Military Partnership Grant program funds. (10 minutes)
246. Ruiz (CA), Ezell (MS): Strengthens accountability and oversight of the Department of Defense's compliance with domestic food procurement requirements by directing the DoD Inspector General to conduct quarterly audits ensuring taxpayer dollars are supporting American farmers and domestic supply chains, not foreign competitors. (10 minutes)

247. Salazar (FL), Moskowitz (FL), Bilirakis (FL): Conveys certain retired naval vessels to the State of Florida to be used as artificial reefs. (10 minutes)
248. Salinas (OR), Harrigan (NC), Begich (AK), Auchincloss (MA): Requires the Secretary of Defense to evaluate the potential and feasibility of next-generation geothermal technologies to meet the power needs of the Department of Defense and strengthen national security. (10 minutes)
249. Scholten (MI): Directs the DoD to assess applications of noninvasive focused ultrasound technologies, including histotripsy, within the Military Health System. The assessment will examine opportunities to improve outcomes, reduce recovery times, and assess the impact on military readiness. (10 minutes)
250. Scholten (MI): Requires a study evaluating the use of alternative vessel materials and emerging hull technologies to improve durability, fuel efficiency, operational readiness, and resilience against extreme weather conditions. (10 minutes)
251. Scholten (MI): The Secretary of Defense shall assess workforce shortages among aviation maintenance technicians supporting military aircraft maintenance. (10 minutes)
252. Scholten (MI): Requires the Secretary of Defense to study the state of the domestic tool and die industry, the economic impact of the domestic industry, tool and die workforce shortages, and opportunities to increase U.S. tool and die casting capabilities. (10 minutes)
253. Scholten (MI): Directs the Secretary of Defense to conduct a study on the feasibility and effectiveness of the use of routine neuroimaging modalities in the diagnosis, treatment, and prevention of brain injury among members of the Armed Forces due to one or more blast pressure exposures during combat and training. (10 minutes)
254. Scholten (MI): Directs the Secretary of Defense to submit recommendations for a strategy to construct a sufficient number of child development centers to eliminate wait lists for members of the Armed Forces seeking child care services. (10 minutes)

255. Schweikert (AZ): Directs the Department of Defense to utilize artificial intelligence in the audit of its financial statements with the goal of achieving a clean audit opinion for the first time. (10 minutes)
256. Scott, Austin (GA): Establishes a comprehensive Department of Defense effort to identify, assess, and disrupt the defense-industrial supply chains of peer adversaries through enhanced intelligence integration, interagency coordination, and recurring assessments of foreign weapons production capabilities. (10 minutes)
257. Scott, Austin (GA): Protects the identities of special operators and other personnel involved in sensitive military activities by making the unauthorized disclosure of their identities subject to the same criminal penalties that currently apply to covert intelligence personnel. (10 minutes)
258. Scott, Austin (GA): Require the Department of Defense to establish a standardized "Schedule V" reporting requirement for major defense contractors and grant recipients to disclose veteran hiring and retention data. (10 minutes)
259. Scott, Austin (GA): Grants the 54 separate National Guard organizations (which comprise the 50 U.S. states, Puerto Rico, Guam, the U.S. Virgin Islands, and the District of Columbia) greater flexibility to employ national guard personnel for counterdrug missions. (10 minutes)
260. Scott, Austin (GA): Ensures leadership continuity within the National Guard Bureau by allowing the Vice Chief of the National Guard Bureau to remain in office beyond the expiration of the normal term when the position of Chief is vacant and a successor Vice Chief has not yet been confirmed by the Senate. The amendment also strengthens National Guard representation in joint force capability development by adding the Vice Chief of the National Guard Bureau as a statutory member of the Joint Requirements Oversight Council (JROC). (10 minutes)
261. Scott, Austin (GA): Ensures that the Joint Requirements Oversight Council (JROC) seeks and strongly considers input from the Commandant of the Coast Guard regarding Coast Guard capabilities that support national defense. (10 minutes)
262. Scott, Austin (GA): Authorizes the Secretary of the Navy to maintain a Department of the Navy Library as a centralized institution for preserving, curating, and providing access to historical, technical, operational, and educational resources. The library would support research, training, institutional knowledge, operational readiness, and public understanding of the history, heritage, and contributions of the Navy and Marine Corps. (10 minutes)
263. Scott, Austin (GA): Expresses the sense of Congress that the Secretary of the Navy should name a future aircraft carrier USS United States in recognition of the historic legacy of ships that have borne, or were intended to bear, the name, including one of the original six frigates authorized by the Naval Act of 1794 and the canceled aircraft carrier USS United States (CVA-58). (10 minutes)
264. Scott, Austin (GA): Expands eligibility for appointment as Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff by adding the Chief of the National Guard Bureau to the list of senior military leaders who may be selected for the position. (10 minutes)

265. Scott, Austin (GA): Prohibits the Department of Defense from charging members of the Armed Forces for meals provided while serving aboard U.S. naval vessels that are underway or deployed away from their homeport. (10 minutes)
266. Scott, Austin (GA): Requires the Army Installation Management Command to assess and report on the biological readiness of Army installations, including their ability to detect, respond to, mitigate, and sustain operations during biological threat conditions. (10 minutes)
267. Scott, Austin (GA): Requires the Department of Defense to report on the integration of commercial cyber capabilities into the Joint Cyber Warfighting Architecture (JCWA). (10 minutes)
268. Scott, Austin (GA): Requires the Commander of United States European Command to report on options for modernizing the Aegis Ashore missile defense site at Deveselu, Romania. (10 minutes)
269. Scott, Austin (GA): Codifies the Joint Special Operations University (JSOU) in title 10, United States Code, as the Department of Defense's principal institution for special operations education, research, and professional development. (10 minutes)
270. Scott, Austin (GA): Establishes new reporting and readiness requirements for the Naval Construction Force (Seabees) to support contested logistics, expeditionary infrastructure, and theater sustainment operations. (10 minutes)
271. Scott, Austin (GA): Expresses the sense of Congress that a future Navy vessel should be named USS Shangri-La to honor the April 1942 Doolittle Raiders and the legacy of USS Shangri-La (CV-38). (10 minutes)
272. Scott, Austin (GA): Establishes a formal U.S.–Poland Special Operations Cooperation Program to enhance interoperability, share operational lessons, and improve joint capabilities for special operations and irregular warfare missions. (10 minutes)
273. Scott, Austin (GA): Requires a report on options to improve Moldova's airspace awareness and defensive capabilities through existing U.S. security cooperation programs and partnerships. (10 minutes)
274. Scott, Austin (GA): Authorizes multiyear procurement and advance procurement for Armored Multi-Purpose Vehicles. (10 minutes)
275. Scott, Austin (GA): Authorizes the increase beyond the total planned procurement of the P-8A Poseidon aircraft beyond 136. (10 minutes)
276. Scott, Austin (GA): Extends special emergency procurement authorities under section 1903 of title 41, United States Code, to acquisitions supporting Special Operations Forces. (10 minutes)
277. Scott, Austin (GA): Prohibits the retirement or divestment of E-11 BACN aircraft during fiscal year 2027. (10 minutes)
278. Self (TX): Requests a detailed study of China's space capabilities. (10 minutes)
279. Sessions (TX): Requires the Department of Defense to achieve an unmodified financial statement audit opinion for the three consecutive years after 2028. (10 minutes)
280. Sewell (AL): Extends and modifies authority to carry out a Fiscal Year 2022 services training facility project at Sumpter Smith Air National Guard Base. (10 minutes)
281. Shreve (IN): Requires the accelerated modernization of the GPS enterprise to enhance the resiliency and security of the Global Positioning System for civil and military purposes. (10 minutes)
282. Shreve (IN): Requires the Secretary of Defense to conduct a study assessing the feasibility of enabling commanders of unified combatant

commands to independently identify, acquire, and dispose of critical minerals. (10 minutes)

283. Smith (NJ): Directs the DOW IG to produce a report on Unmanned Aircraft System (UAS) activity over critical military installations, and potential links to foreign adversaries. Also requests an appraisal of counter drone capabilities and authorities provided to installation commanders and their use. (10 minutes)
284. Smith (WA): Requires the Secretary of Defense to notify and consult with the HASC and SASC on any changes to evaluation criteria for officers not less than 180 days before conducting the action. (10 minutes)
285. Soto (FL): Authorizes funds for the Fan-Out-Wafer Level Packaging Program within the Industrial Base Analysis and Sustainment Program. (10 minutes)
286. Soto (FL): Increases the U.S. Air Force's Aerospace Propulsion line by \$5 million to accelerate high-hypersonic detonation propulsion research and flight demonstration. (10 minutes)
287. Soto (FL): Increases the Future AF Capabilities Applied Research account by \$1,200,000 for Secure Research Facilities in fast-growing metropolitan areas located in proximity to aerospace industries and facilities. (10 minutes)
288. Stefanik (NY): Directs the Secretary of Defense to develop, test, evaluate, demonstrate, and transition to production a portfolio of alternative low-cost weapon systems, including hypersonic strike systems, cruise missiles, interceptors, loitering munitions, autonomous systems, and counter-unmanned systems. Requires the Department of Defense to utilize rapid acquisition authorities, commercial acquisition approaches, and scalable manufacturing practices, and establishes requirements for the acquisition and sustainment of certain autonomous systems. Similar to section 212 of the Senate-passed FY27 NDAA, with additional provisions related to autonomous systems. (10 minutes)
289. Steube (FL), Wagner (MO): Facilitates the integration of Open-Source Intelligence into DoD intelligence collection management processes and systems. (10 minutes)
290. Steube (FL): Provides state, local, municipal, and tribal law enforcement agencies with priority in adopting retired ceremonial horses of the U.S. Army. (10 minutes)
291. Tenney (NY): Strikes Section 842(c) from the FY25 NDAA. (10 minutes)
292. Thompson (PA): Authorizes \$16 million for Advanced Naval Casting for Hardware and Operational Repairs (ANCHOR). Decreases Navy O&M, Administration by \$16 million to offset. (10 minutes)
293. Thompson (PA): Authorizes \$15 million for Navy Advanced Manufacturing- Accelerating the Adoption of Naval Aviation Advanced Manufacturing Processes (A4MP). Decreases RDT&E, Defense-wide, Cyber Operations Technology Support by \$5 million and RDT&E, Navy, Marine Corps Ground Combat/Support System by \$10 million to offset. (10 minutes)
294. Thompson (PA): Authorizes \$6.4 million for University Research Initiatives to secure U.S. silicon carbide for national security and critical technologies. Reduces Air Force O&M, service-wide activities by \$6.4 to offset. (10 minutes)
295. Thompson (PA): Authorizes \$5 million for University Innovative Materials Process Modernization Research. Reduces Defense-wide O&M, Washington Headquarters Services by \$5 million to offset. (10 minutes)
296. Thompson (PA): Requires the Secretary of War to submit a report to Congress on the threat of cyber-attacks and AI assisted cyber-attacks

targeting University Affiliated Research Centers (UARCs). The report should include a plan for the Department of War to provide assistance to UARCs against the threat of these attacks. (10 minutes)

297. Thompson (PA), Bishop (GA): Directs the Secretary of War to maintain a partnership between the Department of War and Scouting America in the manner in which such partnership has historically maintained. (10 minutes)

298. Turner (OH): Directs an extension of the TRICARE Competitive Plans Demonstration Project program. (10 minutes)
299. Turner (OH): Increases the development, prototyping, and demonstration of HALE and HAPS by \$5M. (10 minutes)
300. Van Duyne (TX), Schneider (IL): Adds long-term care pharmacies to the categories of pharmacies included in the Comptroller General study of the TRICARE pharmacy benefit program. Expands the required review of pharmacy reimbursement, price concessions, prior authorizations, dispensing timeliness, and retail network adequacy to include long-term care pharmacies. (10 minutes)
301. Van Duyne (TX), Wasserman Schultz (FL): Extends the U.S. Postal Service's authority to issue the Breast Cancer Research semipostal stamp for an additional 10 years. Identical to H.R.8358 - Breast Cancer Research Stamp Reauthorization Act of 2026. (10 minutes)
302. Van Epps (TN): Requires the Department of War to submit annual reports to Congress on how effectively successful prototype projects transition into procurement, production, and operational use, including data on transition rates, delays, and barriers facing innovative and nontraditional defense contractors. (10 minutes)
303. Van Epps (TN): Requires the Department of War to report to Congress on how quickly and at what cost key munitions and weapons systems could expand production capacity, including the investments, supply chain dependencies, and bottlenecks that would affect surge production. The report would assess the feasibility of increasing production to 150, 200, and 500 percent of current levels for systems covered by multiyear procurement authorities and other systems designated by the Secretary of War. (10 minutes)
304. Van Epps (TN), Comer (KY), Barr (KY): Directs the Department of War to identify water and wastewater infrastructure systems at military installations that are more than 60 years old, require significant maintenance or replacement, and pose substantial risks to readiness, force health protection, mission execution, or public health if they fail. The report would assess each system's condition, replacement costs, contingency operating costs, and impacts on servicemembers, military families, installation operations, and quality of life. Additionally, it would rank the most critical systems across the military and provide recommendations for prioritizing maintenance, modernization, and replacement efforts. (10 minutes)
305. Van Orden (WI): Authorizes the Department of War to procure emergency response maps for Defense Dependent Schools to ensure compatibility with public safety systems in the event of an emergency. (10 minutes)
306. Vasquez (NM): Directs the Secretary of Defense to invite the first 90 schools on the 2018 Public Schools on Military Installations (PSMI) Priority List to be eligible to submit requests for competitive grants awarded under the program for renovations, repairs or expansions of their facilities. (10 minutes)

307. Vindman (VA): Adopts language added to the Senate NDAA text which requires an assessment of emergency medical services capabilities at military installations, including the number and distribution of EMS units, staffing levels of EMS personnel, and an evaluation of the systems used to track naloxone distribution. (10 minutes)
308. Wagner (MO): Directs the Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence and Security, in coordination with the Director of National Intelligence, to establish department-wide definitions for the terms: Open-Source Intelligence, Commercially Available Information, and Publicly Available Information. (10 minutes)
309. Walkinshaw (VA): Establishes a pilot program for reciprocal compliance between FedRAMP High certification and DoD's cloud security requirements for DoD networks and national security systems. (10 minutes)
310. Webster (FL): Requires a report on the military camping and recreational park program. (10 minutes)
311. Womack (AR), Hill (AR), Crawford (AR): Directs the Department of Defense to conduct and publish an analysis to evaluate the full life-cycle costs associated with proposed or potential basing locations for F-35 aircraft expected to be delivered between FY30 and FY35. (10 minutes)
312. Zinke (MT), Messmer (IN): Amends 10 U.S.C. § 4124 to clarify that both DOD Centers and DOD Laboratories may use partnership intermediaries to support technology transfer and transition into or out of those entities. Authorizes Federal Laboratories to pay partnership intermediary costs using funds available for technology transfer and transition, research and development, or operations and maintenance. (10 minutes)

SUMMARY OF THE AMENDMENTS TO H.R. 8595 IN PART B MADE IN ORDER

1. Boebert (CO): Eliminates funding for the Fulbright Program. (10 minutes)
2. Cammack (FL): Prohibits any funds provided under the FY2027 National Security, Department of State, and Related Programs Appropriations Act from being used to finalize any agency action that qualifies as a rule under the Congressional Review Act definition. In effect, it would block the administration from issuing final regulations using funds appropriated by the bill. (10 minutes)
3. Cammack (FL): Increases funding to programs to combat human trafficking by \$5,000,000. (10 minutes)
4. Crane (AZ), Biggs (AZ): Requires nations to pay the U.S. for military training exercise rather than receive them as a grant or at no-cost (10 minutes)
5. Crane (AZ), Biggs (AZ): Prohibits funds for the National Endowment for Democracy. (10 minutes)
6. Fine (FL), Perry (PA): Strikes the \$139,575,000 for the Global Environment Facility. (10 minutes)
7. Kim (CA): Increases and decreases funding for the State Department's Office of the Inspector General by \$2M for the purpose of ensuring proper oversight of U.S. taxpayer dollars and prevention of fraudulent/illegal activities. (10 minutes)
8. Massie (KY): Prohibits funds appropriated by the Act from being used for Israel, and accordingly reduces the Foreign Military Financing Program account by \$3,300,000,000. (10 minutes)
9. Massie (KY): Prohibits funds appropriated by the Act from being used for Jordan, and accordingly reduces the National Security Investment

Programs, the Foreign Military Financing Program, the Global Health Programs, and other programs accounts, collectively, by \$2,100,000,000. (10 minutes)

10. Perry (PA): Strikes \$17,000,000 from the Asia Foundation. (10 minutes)
11. Perry (PA): Strikes \$16,700,000 from the East-West Center (10 minutes)
12. Perry (PA): Strikes \$410,500,000 from the Peace Corps. (10 minutes)
13. Perry (PA): Strikes \$830,000,000 from the Millennium Challenge Corporation. (10 minutes)
14. Perry (PA): Strikes language from lines 4-12 on page 40. (10 minutes)
15. Perry (PA): Strikes \$43,610,000 from the Asian Development Fund (10 minutes)
16. Perry (PA): Strikes \$32,417,000 from the African Development Bank (10 minutes)
17. Plaskett (VI): Increases and decreases funds by \$1 million to support funding within the International Narcotics Control and Law Enforcement State Department account for maritime interdiction and counter-narcotics cooperation in the Caribbean. (10 minutes)
18. Plaskett (VI): Increases and decreases funds by \$1 million to support funding within the National Security Investment Programs account to counter Russian and Chinese influence in Africa. (10 minutes)
19. Roy (TX): Strikes language requiring U.S.-funded security assistance programs to promote the integration of women into police and other security forces. (10 minutes)
20. Roy (TX), Biggs (AZ): Prohibits any funds from being made available to the United Nations. (10 minutes)
21. Roy (TX): Strikes the exemption provision that allows foreign assistance to flow through nongovernmental organizations in countries subject to aid restrictions, ensuring such restrictions apply equally to NGOs and foreign governments. (10 minutes)
22. Roy (TX): Prohibits funds made available under the Treasury International Assistance Programs account from being transferred to the Tropical Forest and Coral Reef Conservation account. (10 minutes)
23. Roy (TX): Prohibits funds appropriated or otherwise made available by the Act from being used for the Middle East Partnership Initiative. (10 minutes)
24. Roy (TX): Increases from 30 percent to 50 percent the amount of assistance for Mexico that is withheld pending the required certification. (10 minutes)
25. Stanton (AZ): Increases and decreases funding by \$5 million to the American Institute of Taiwan to reaffirm that it is the policy of the United States to sell arms to Taiwan for its self-defense in accordance with the Taiwan Relations Act. (10 minutes)

26. Stanton (AZ): Increases and decreases funding by \$5 million to supports funding within the Countering PRC Influence Fund to counter increasing Chinese influence in Latin America and the Caribbean. (10 minutes)
27. Steube (FL): Increase withholding of assistance to Nigeria from 50% to 100%, keeping metrics in place that force Nigeria to take effective steps to prevent and respond to violence in the country. (10 minutes)
28. Tenney (NY): Increases and decreases funding for the U.S. Commission on International Religious Freedom by \$1M to emphasize the importance of ensuring religious freedom and equal access for all with respect to the Temple Mount. (10 minutes)
29. Tran (CA): Increase and decreases funding by \$5 million for Democracy Fund at the Bureau of Democracy, Human Rights, and Labor to support the annual U.S.-Vietnam Human Rights Dialogue and express concern about Vietnam's growing restrictions on the freedom of speech, transnational repression techniques, and imprisonment of numerous prisoners of conscience. (10 minutes)

SUMMARY OF THE AMENDMENT TO H. RES. 1383 IN PART C
CONSIDERED AS ADOPTED

1. Smith (MO): strikes “Amiercans” and inserts “Americans”.

PART A—TEXT OF AMENDMENTS TO H.R. 8800 MADE IN ORDER

1. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE ROY OF TEXAS OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

Strike section 1213 (and redesignate accordingly).

2. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE ROY OF TEXAS OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

Strike section 521 (page 305, lines 9 through 25) and insert the following new section:

SEC. 521. INELIGIBILITY OF FOREIGN NATIONALS TO ATTEND A SERVICE ACADEMY.

Section 347 of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“§347. Ineligibility of foreign nationals to attend a service academy

“The Secretaries of the military departments may not permit persons from foreign countries to receive instruction at the United States Military Academy, the United States Naval Academy, or the United States Air Force Academy.”.

062426.118.xml

3. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE ROY OF TEXAS OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

Strike section 524.

F062426.028.xml

4. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE ROY OF TEXAS OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

Strike section 518.

5. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE ROY OF TEXAS OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle D of title X, insert the following:

SEC. 10_. PROHIBITION ON PROVISION OF FUNDS TO MEXICO PENDING APPROVAL OF NOVOFLY STRAIN TO COMBAT NEW WORLD SCREWORM.

(a) **PROHIBITION.**—Except as provided in subsection (b), none of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2027 may be provided to the government of Mexico for any purpose if such government has not approved use of the NovoFly strain for use within the borders of that country.

(b) **EXCLUSIONS.**—The prohibition under subsection (a) shall not apply to the following:

(1) Counter-narcotic activities, counter-transnational organized crime activities, counterterrorism, and related intelligence or support activities on-going or planned before the date that is 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) Any activity that the Secretary of Defense certifies to the congressional defense committees is vital to the national interest of the United States.

(c) **EFFECTS ON MILITARY WORKING ANIMALS.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees an assessment of potential effects on military working animals, particularly military working dogs, if nearby countries with confirmed cases of New World Screwworm fail to implement appropriate countermeasures to prevent such cases.

6. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE HUNT OF TEXAS OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle B of title III, add the following new section:

SEC. 3. JUDICIAL PROTECTIONS RELATING TO CERTIFIED ENERGY INFRASTRUCTURE SUPPORTING DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.

(a) CERTIFICATION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense may certify for purpose of this section any covered infrastructure if the Secretary submits to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives, and files with the clerk of each Federal district court in the district in which the infrastructure is located, a written determination (signed by the Secretary) that the following criteria are met:

(A) The infrastructure is necessary to ensure military readiness, the continuity of fuel supply to the Department of Defense, or related logistical support for the Department.

(B) Interference with the construction or operation of the infrastructure would materially impair military readiness, fuel supply chains for the Department of Defense, or the sustainment of military operations.

(2) RECORD.—The Secretary shall maintain a contemporaneous written record of the factual basis supporting each certification issued under paragraph (1), which shall constitute the record for purposes of judicial review under subsection (f) and termination proceedings under subsection (d).

(b) EFFECT.—A certification under subsection (a) shall take effect upon the date of filing pursuant to such subsection and shall remain in effect unless terminated in accordance with this section.

(c) REBUTTABLE PRESUMPTION.—There shall be a rebuttable presumption that infrastructure previously certified under subsection (a) continues to satisfy the criteria of such subsection.

(d) TERMINATION.—

(1) AUTHORITY TO TERMINATE.—The Secretary may terminate a certification under subsection (a) with respect to infrastructure only if

(A) the Secretary submits to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a written determination, based on substantial evidence in the record for such certification under subsection (a)(2) that the infrastructure no longer satisfies the criteria specified in such subsection, including detailed findings supporting such determination; and

(B) a period of 180 days has elapsed following the date of such submission.

(2) EFFECT OF TERMINATION.—The termination of a certification under paragraph (1) shall—

(A) constitute final agency action reviewable exclusively in the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit; and

(B) be stayed automatically during the pendency of any timely petition for judicial review filed not later than 60 days after the date on which the Secretary submits the written determination under paragraph (1)(A) with respect to the termination.

(3) PROHIBITION ON TERMINATION DURING PENDING COVERED ACTION.—No certification under subsection (a) may be

terminated while a covered action involving the certified infrastructure remains pending in any Federal or State court.

(e) PRIORITY AND EXPEDITED CONSIDERATION.—

(1) EXPEDITED REVIEW.—Notwithstanding the time period specified in subsection (h)(2), not later than 15 days after receiving a complete request for certification under subsection (h) from an operator of covered infrastructure that meets one or more of the criteria described in paragraph (2), the Secretary shall issue a written determination granting or denying certification under subsection (a).

(2) PRIORITY CRITERIA.—The criteria described in this paragraph are that the covered infrastructure, as of the date of the request—

(A) is subject to a pending civil action or administrative proceeding by a State, local government, Tribal government, or other governmental entity seeking to halt or condition its operations;

(B) constitutes the sole pathway for domestic transportation between a domestic crude oil production facility and a petroleum refinery supplying refined petroleum products to one or more military installations; or

(C) is operating pursuant to a Federal order or directive issued within the preceding two years on the basis of military readiness, the continuity of fuel supply to the Department of Defense, or related logistical support for the Department.

(f) JUDICIAL REVIEW.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—A certification issued under subsection (a) is a final agency action for purposes of chapter 7 of title 5, United States Code, and is reviewable in the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit. No court other than the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit shall have jurisdiction to review, enjoin, set aside, suspend, or determine the validity of a certification issued under subsection (a). The court of appeals shall give priority consideration to any petition for review of a certification under subsection (a) and shall endeavor to issue a final decision within 180 days of the date on which the petition is filed. A certification issued under subsection (a) shall remain in full force and effect during the pendency of any judicial review proceeding.

(2) LIMITATION ON STAYS.—No court may stay a certification under subsection (a) except upon a finding by clear and convincing evidence that—

(A) the petitioner is substantially likely to prevail on the merits; and

(B) the stay would not impair military readiness, the continuity of fuel supply to the Department of Defense, or related logistical support for the Department.

(g) LEGAL EFFECT OF CERTIFICATION.—In any covered action, a certification issued under subsection (a) shall constitute conclusive evidence that the Secretary of Defense has determined that interruption of the certified infrastructure would materially impair military readiness, the continuity of fuel supply to the Department of Defense, or related logistical support for the Department. No officer, employee, or agency of the United States may contest the existence of the determination reflected in a certification issued under subsection (a) unless such certification has been terminated pursuant to subsection (d). Nothing in this subsection shall be construed to limit the authority of the Department of Justice to represent the interests of the United States, to enforce Federal law, or to participate in litigation concerning issues not resolved by the certification.

(h) REQUEST FOR REVIEW.—

(1) AUTHORITY TO REQUEST.—Any operator of covered infrastructure that believes such infrastructure satisfies the criteria specified in subsection (a) may submit to the Secretary a written request

for certification of such infrastructure pursuant to such subsection, together with supporting documentation demonstrating how the infrastructure satisfies such criteria.

(2) DETERMINATIONS; REQUIREMENTS FOR DENIAL.—Not later than 90 days after receiving a complete request under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall issue a written determination granting or denying certification. Any denial shall include a written explanation of the basis for the determination and shall constitute a final agency action reviewable in the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit pursuant to subsection (f).

(i) MODIFIED STANDARD FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AGAINST CERTIFIED INFRASTRUCTURE.—

(1) MODIFIED STANDARD.—In any covered action involving certified infrastructure, no court of the United States and no State court shall issue a temporary restraining order, preliminary injunction, or other form of preliminary equitable relief halting, conditioning, or materially restricting the operation of certified infrastructure unless the court finds, on the basis of clear and convincing evidence presented in the record, that—

(A) the party seeking relief is substantially likely to succeed on the merits of its underlying claim;

(B) the relief sought would not impair the supply of refined petroleum products to the Department of Defense; and

(C) the balance of harms and the public interest clearly favor the issuance of preliminary relief.

(2) BURDEN OF PROOF.—The burden of establishing each element required under paragraph (1) rests upon the party seeking preliminary relief. Failure to establish any single element by clear and convincing evidence shall be grounds for denial of preliminary relief.

(3) CERTIFICATION AS CONCLUSIVE EVIDENCE.—Pursuant to subsection (g), a certification issued under subsection (a) constitutes conclusive evidence that the interruption of any construction of, modification to, or operation of, certified infrastructure would impair military readiness, the continuity of fuel supply to the Department of Defense, or related logistical support for the Department. No party seeking preliminary relief against certified infrastructure may introduce evidence to rebut or contradict this determination. The party seeking relief bears the burden of establishing by clear and convincing evidence each other element required under paragraph (1).

(4) EXPEDITED REVIEW.—In any covered action involving certified infrastructure, the United States or the operator of such infrastructure may seek expedited appellate review of any order granting preliminary relief against certified infrastructure. The court of appeals shall give priority consideration to such review and shall endeavor to issue a decision within 30 days of the filing of the notice of appeal.

(5) DECLARATORY RELIEF.—The modified standard established by this subsection applies to any declaratory judgment or other equitable relief that would have the practical effect of halting or materially conditioning the operation of certified infrastructure, regardless of how such relief is characterized by the party seeking it.

(j) EXPEDITED PROCEEDINGS IN COVERED ACTIONS.—

(1) TRIAL COURT PRIORITY.—In any covered action pending in a United States district court, the court shall accord the proceeding priority on its docket over other civil matters and shall—

(A) give priority consideration to any motion for temporary restraining order and endeavor to issue a ruling at the earliest practicable date, and in no event later than 10 days of filing;

(B) give priority consideration to any motion for preliminary injunctive relief and endeavor to issue a ruling within 30 days of

filing;

(C) give priority to establishing a scheduling order and endeavor to do so within 30 days of removal or initial filing, providing for completion of all discovery and briefing within one year of filing; and

(D) endeavor to conduct any trial or final hearing within 18 months of the date of removal or initial filing.

(2) APPELLATE EXPEDITED REVIEW.—In any covered action, the court of appeals having appellate jurisdiction shall—

(A) give priority consideration to any appeal of an order granting or denying preliminary injunctive relief and endeavor to issue a decision within 30 days of the filing of the notice of appeal;

(B) give priority consideration to any appeal of a final judgment in a covered action and endeavor to issue a final decision within 180 days of the filing of the notice of appeal; and

(C) give priority to covered actions on its docket over other civil matters.

(3) STAY STANDARD.—No court of the United States and no State court may stay proceedings in a covered action, or stay any order denying preliminary injunctive relief against certified infrastructure, except upon a finding by clear and convincing evidence that the party seeking the stay is substantially likely to prevail on the merits and that the stay would not impair military readiness, the continuity of fuel supply to the Department of Defense, or related logistical support for the Department. A stay of proceedings shall not stay the obligation of any court to accord priority to a covered action under this section.

(4) APPLICABILITY.—The requirements of this subsection apply to all covered actions in all Federal courts and, following removal under subsection (k), to all removed State civil actions pending in Federal court.

(k) MANDATORY REMOVAL OF STATE CIVIL ACTIONS INVOLVING CERTIFIED INFRASTRUCTURE.—

(1) REMOVAL.—Any covered action that is commenced in a State court shall be removable to the United States district court for the district in which the certified infrastructure is principally located or, at the election of an operator of the certified infrastructure, to the United States district court for the district in which the operator maintains its principal place of business. The operator or the United States may file a notice of removal under this section not later than 60 days after service of the initial pleading or any subsequent pleading first raising a claim involving certified infrastructure.

(2) EFFECT OF REMOVAL.—Upon removal under this subsection

(A) the State court shall have no further jurisdiction over any aspect of the covered action;

(B) any temporary restraining order, preliminary injunction, or other relief issued by the State court prior to removal shall be reviewed de novo by the Federal district court within 10 days of removal and shall remain in effect only if the Federal district court affirmatively finds that the requirements of subsection (i)(1) are satisfied; and

(C) the Federal district court shall apply Federal law, including the modified injunction standard under subsection (i), to all claims in the covered action.

(3) PENDING ACTIONS.—Any covered action pending in State court as of the date of enactment of this section may be removed under this section not later than 90 days after the date of enactment.

(4) RIGHT TO INTERVENE.—An operator of certified infrastructure shall be entitled to intervene as a matter of right in any

covered action involving such infrastructure pursuant to Rule 24(a)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

(5) **EXCLUSIVE VENUE FOR COVERED ACTIONS.**—Any civil action brought in a United States district court by a State, political subdivision of a State, Tribal government, or other governmental entity that constitutes a covered action shall be brought in the United States district court for the district in which the certified infrastructure is principally located or, at the election of an operator of the certified infrastructure, the United States district court for the district in which the operator maintains its principal place of business. Any such action filed in any other United States district court shall be transferred to such proper court upon motion of such operator or the United States, without regard to the convenience of the parties or witnesses. No United States district court other than a court designated by this subsection shall have jurisdiction over a covered action brought by a governmental entity after the date of enactment of this section.

(l) **ENFORCEMENT.**—

(1) **EXPRESS CAUSE OF ACTION.**—An operator of certified infrastructure that is subject to, or faces an imminent threat of, a State or local action that halts, conditions, restricts, or otherwise interferes with the construction or operation of certified infrastructure shall have an express cause of action to enforce any provision of this section and may seek declaratory relief, temporary relief, preliminary relief, permanent injunctive relief, specific performance, and such other relief as a court of competent jurisdiction determines appropriate.

(2) **VENUE.**—An operator of certified infrastructure may bring an action under paragraph (1) in any United States district court of competent jurisdiction, including in the district in which the operator maintains its principal place of business.

(3) **NO EXHAUSTION REQUIREMENT.**—No plaintiff bringing an action under this subsection shall be required to exhaust State administrative remedies prior to seeking relief in Federal court.

(4) **ATTORNEY FEES.**—In any action brought by an operator of certified infrastructure under this section in which the operator substantially prevails, the court shall award the operator reasonable attorney fees and costs against the governmental entity that brought or enforced the challenged action.

(5) **ATTORNEY GENERAL INTERVENTION.**—The Attorney General may intervene as a matter of right in any covered action in any Federal or State court in which the United States has an interest in protecting certified infrastructure from State or local governmental interference. The Attorney General shall not intervene in any covered action in a manner inconsistent with the estoppel established under subsection (g).

(6) **PRESUMPTION OF IRREPARABLE HARM.**—In any action brought by an operator of certified infrastructure under this subsection, interference with the construction or operation of certified infrastructure shall constitute irreparable harm for purposes of equitable relief. No operator of certified infrastructure seeking relief under this subsection shall be required to separately demonstrate irreparable harm.

(7) **STATE OR LOCAL INTERFERENCE.**—A State or local action that imposes any condition on the construction or operation of certified infrastructure shall be deemed to interfere with such construction or operation if the practical effect of such condition is to halt or substantially delay such construction or operation, without regard to whether such action is framed as a condition rather than a prohibition on such construction or operation. Any operator of certified infrastructure that is subject to such a State or local action may seek

relief in any United States district court of competent jurisdiction, consistent with this subsection.

(m) **RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.**—Nothing in this section shall be construed—

(1) to create any Federal property interest in any certified infrastructure;

(2) to authorize the Secretary of Defense to direct the operations of any certified infrastructure or to impose operational requirements on an operator of certified infrastructure beyond those imposed by applicable Federal law;

(3) to preempt any Federal environmental, pipeline safety, or occupational health and safety law applicable to certified infrastructure;

(4) to affect any pending Federal criminal proceeding;

(5) to limit the authority of a Federal court to issue injunctive relief in an action brought by the United States or an operator to enforce Federal law or protect Federal interests related to certified infrastructure; or

(6) to authorize the Secretary of Defense, the Attorney General, or any court to halt, restrict, condition, or compel the operation of any infrastructure.

(n) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) The term “certified infrastructure” means any covered infrastructure that the Secretary of Defense has certified under subsection (a).

(2) The term “covered action” means any civil action, special proceeding, administrative enforcement proceeding, application for equitable relief, or petition for judicial review of a Federal agency decision, brought by a State, political subdivision of a State, Tribal government, other governmental entity, or any private party, that seeks to halt, condition, vacate, remand, or otherwise interfere with the construction, operation, or Federal authorization of certified infrastructure.

(3) The term “covered infrastructure” means domestic energy infrastructure located within the United States that—

(A) consists of crude oil or refined petroleum product pipelines, natural gas pipelines, crude oil or natural gas production or processing facilities, or storage or transportation infrastructure associated with any such matter; and

(B) provides, or has the capacity to provide, crude oil, natural gas, refined petroleum products, or fuel to one or more military installations or operations of the Department of Defense, including through the delivery to a refinery or processing facility from which fuel is supplied to such an installation or operation.

(4) The term “operator” means an entity holding operating authority over infrastructure under applicable Federal permits and authorizations, and any successor operator.

7. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE HUNT OF TEXAS OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

Add at the end of subtitle D of title XXVIII, the following new section:

SEC. 28_. ACQUISITION OF EASEMENTS FOR DEFENSE FUEL SUPPLY INFRASTRUCTURE.

(a) **AUTHORITY TO ACQUIRE.**—The Secretary of the Army is authorized to acquire, by purchase, donation, exchange, or condemnation, on behalf of the United States, such permanent easements over all lands along the route of the Santa Ynez Pipeline System, including all lands owned or otherwise held by the State of California or any agency, department, or instrumentality thereof, as the Secretary determines necessary to ensure continuous pipeline transportation of crude oil from the Santa Ynez Unit to domestic refineries supplying Department of Defense installations in the State of California. The acquisition of such easements is an authorized purpose for which the Secretary may exercise the land acquisition authorities of section 2663 of title 10, United States Code. Easements authorized to be acquired under this subsection include—

(1) a permanent easement over the Gaviota Segment sufficient for the operation, maintenance, inspection, and repair of Lines CA-324 and CA-325;

(2) a permanent easement over the State submerged lands traversed by the offshore pipeline segments of the Santa Ynez Pipeline System, sufficient for the operation, maintenance, inspection, and repair of such segments; and

(3) such additional permanent easements over any other lands along the route of the Santa Ynez Pipeline System as the Secretary determines necessary for the continuous and unobstructed operation of such system.

(b) **CONDEMNATION.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—In acquiring easements under subsection (a), the Secretary of the Army may institute condemnation proceedings in the United States district court for the district in which the property is located, pursuant to section 2663 of title 10, United States Code, and subchapter III of chapter 31 of title 40, United States Code.

(2) **VITAL NATIONAL SECURITY DETERMINATION.**—Congress finds that the acquisition of easements under subsection (a) is required to satisfy a requirement vital to the national security within the meaning of section 2663(g) of title 10, United States Code, and that delay in such acquisition would be detrimental to the national security. The Secretary of the Army may accordingly commence condemnation proceedings under subsection (a) in advance of the report otherwise required under section 2663(f) of title 10, United States Code, and shall submit such report not later than seven days after commencement of such proceedings.

(3) **STATE-OWNED LAND AND STATE SUBMERGED LANDS.**—The authority to acquire easements under subsection (a) includes authority to acquire easements over—

(A) land owned or otherwise held by the State of California or any agency, department, or instrumentality thereof, including the California Department of Parks and Recreation; and

(B) State submerged lands.

(4) **INAPPLICABILITY OF STATE LAW.**—The State of California may not assert sovereign immunity, its title under section 3 of the Submerged Lands Act, or any other provision of State law as a defense

to, or limitation upon, any condemnation proceeding brought by the United States under this section.

(5) IMMEDIATE POSSESSION AND USE.—Upon filing a declaration of taking pursuant to section 3114 of title 40, United States Code, and depositing with the court the estimated amount of just compensation, the United States shall be entitled to immediate possession of, and the right to enter upon and use, the easements sought to be acquired. The court may not condition such possession, or such right to enter upon and use, upon any State administrative approval, State permit, or State agency determination.

(6) EXPEDITED PROCEEDINGS.—Any condemnation proceeding brought under this section shall be given priority on the docket of the court and shall be resolved on an expedited basis consistent with the national defense purposes of this section.

(c) JUST COMPENSATION.—The amount of just compensation payable to the State of California or any agency thereof shall be determined by the United States district court in which condemnation proceedings are brought, or by the United States Court of Federal Claims if the parties so elect. In determining just compensation for an easement over State submerged lands, the court shall account for the powers retained by the United States under section 6(a) of the Submerged Lands Act (43 U.S.C. 1314(a)), to which the State's title is subject.

(d) LICENSE TO OPERATOR.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Upon acquisition of any easement under subsection (a), the Secretary of the Army shall issue to the operator of the Santa Ynez Pipeline System a license authorizing such operator to use such easement for the operation, maintenance, inspection, and repair of the Santa Ynez Pipeline System for the purpose of transporting crude oil from the Santa Ynez Unit to domestic refineries supplying Department of Defense installations in the State of California. The Secretary shall include in any such license terms requiring compliance with all applicable Federal pipeline safety requirements under chapter 601 of title 49, United States Code.

(2) IRREVOCABILITY.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—A license issued under paragraph (1) may not be revoked, suspended, modified, or terminated by the Secretary of the Army or any other officer or employee of the executive branch except—

(i) by an Act of Congress that expressly references this section by name and subsection; or

(ii) by the Secretary of the Army, following a final order of the Secretary of Transportation under chapter 601 of title 49, United States Code (or, if such order is challenged, a final judgment of a United States court of appeals sustaining such order), determining that the operator has committed a violation of Federal pipeline safety requirements under such chapter that creates an imminent hazard to public safety, and the operator has failed to cure such violation within a reasonable period after written notice and an opportunity to cure.

(B) NO STATE REVOCATION.—No order, judgment, or determination of a court of the State of California or of any other State shall constitute a basis for revocation, suspension, modification, or termination of a license issued under paragraph (1).

(C) INAPPLICABILITY OF OTHER ACTIONS.—No executive order, presidential directive, secretarial determination, or other administrative action shall otherwise operate to revoke, suspend, modify, or terminate a license issued under paragraph (1).

(3) TRANSFERABILITY.—A license issued under paragraph (1) shall be transferable to any successor operator of the Santa Ynez Pipeline System without further action by the Secretary of the Army,

provided that the successor operator is in compliance with all applicable Federal pipeline safety requirements under chapter 601 of title 49, United States Code. A successor operator that holds the authorizations required under such chapter 601 shall be deemed to be in compliance for purposes of this paragraph.

(e) RELATIONSHIP TO STATE LAW.—

(1) PREEMPTION.—Any State law, regulation, order, or other requirement that has the purpose or effect of preventing, conditioning, or delaying the Secretary of the Army from exercising the authority granted under this section, or that has the purpose or effect of preventing the operator of the Santa Ynez Pipeline System from utilizing any easement acquired by the United States under this section, is preempted.

(2) NO STATE PERMIT REQUIRED.—No authorization, lease, permit, or approval of the State of California or any agency thereof, including the California State Lands Commission and the California Department of Parks and Recreation, shall be required as a condition of the operation, maintenance, repair, or use of any segment of the Santa Ynez Pipeline System.

(3) PENDING LITIGATION.—No State court order, injunction, or other judicial relief issued in any proceeding to which the United States is not a party shall operate to prevent the Secretary of the Army from exercising the authority granted under this section or to prevent the operator of the Santa Ynez Pipeline System from utilizing any easement acquired by the United States under this section.

(f) RETAINED FEDERAL POWER OVER STATE SUBMERGED LANDS.—The grant of title to State submerged lands under section 3 of the Submerged Lands Act (43 U.S.C. 1311) is, and has at all times remained, subject to the powers retained by the United States under section 6(a) of that Act (43 U.S.C. 1314(a)) for the constitutional purposes of commerce, navigation, national defense, and international affairs. The acquisition of easements over State submerged lands under this section, and the operation of the offshore pipeline segments of the Santa Ynez Pipeline System extending through such lands, are exercises of those retained powers, and the State of California holds no title or interest in such submerged lands that is superior to, or that may impede, the exercise of those powers.

(g) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) The term “Gaviota Segment” means the approximately four-mile segment of the Las Flores Pipeline System traversing land administered by the California Department of Parks and Recreation within Gaviota State Park, Santa Barbara County, California.

(2) The term “Las Flores Pipeline System” means the onshore pipeline comprising Lines CA-324 and CA-325 (previously designated as Lines 901 and 903), including all associated pipeline segments, pump stations, equipment, and appurtenant infrastructure extending from the Las Flores Canyon processing facilities in Santa Barbara County, California, to Pentland Station in Kern County, California.

(3) The term “Santa Ynez Pipeline System” means the complete network of offshore and onshore pipelines and associated infrastructure used to transport crude oil and associated production from offshore platforms Harmony, Heritage, and Hondo to Pentland Station in Kern County, California, including—

(A) the offshore pipeline segments extending from such platforms over the Outer Continental Shelf and over State submerged lands to the Las Flores Canyon processing facilities; and

(B) the Las Flores Pipeline System.

(4) The term “Santa Ynez Unit” means the Federal offshore oil and gas production unit operated pursuant to one or more leases granted under the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act (43 U.S.C. 1331 et seq.) off the coast of Santa Barbara County, California, including offshore platforms Harmony, Heritage, and Hondo.

(5) The term "State submerged lands" means the lands beneath navigable waters the title to which was conveyed to the State of California under section 3 of the Submerged Lands Act (43 U.S.C. 1311).

(h) RECOVERY OF COSTS.—The Secretary of the Army may, as a condition of any license issued under subsection (d), require the licensee to reimburse the United States for all or a portion of any costs incurred by the United States in acquiring easements under this section, including just compensation paid to any State or private party. Amounts received as reimbursement under this subsection shall be credited to the appropriation, fund, or account from which the costs were paid.

8. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
GALLAGHER OF CALIFORNIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR
10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle B of title XVII, add the following new section:

**SEC. 17. STUDY ON PREEMPTION OR MITIGATION OF STATE ACTIONS THAT
UNDERMINE CRITICAL DOMESTIC REFINING CAPACITY.**

(a) **STUDY.**—Not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, Comptroller General of the United States, in coordination with the Administrator of the United States Energy Information Administration, shall conduct a study on potential mechanisms by which the Federal government may preempt, repeal, or otherwise mitigate actions taken, or proposed to be taken, by a State or political subdivision thereof that have, or would have, the effect of undermining domestic refining capacity critical to national security.

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—The study under subsection (a) shall assess, at a minimum, the following mechanisms:

(1) The regulatory preemption of State actions specified in such subsection.

(2) The issuance of national security waivers to preclude such actions.

(3) The use of other appropriate Federal legal authorities to ensure the stability and sufficiency of the domestic refining capacity specified in such subsection.

(c) **SCOPE.**—In carrying out the study under subsection (a), the Comptroller General shall assess mechanisms available to the Federal government on a national basis, but shall take into consideration as a case study mechanisms available with respect to actions taken by the State of California.

(d) **REPORT.**—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, Comptroller General shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report containing the findings of the study under subsection (a).

(e) **APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES DEFINED.**—In this section, the term “appropriate congressional committees” means—

(1) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Energy and Commerce, and the Committee on Natural Resources of the House of Representatives; and

(2) the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources of the Senate.

9. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
CARTER OF GEORGIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle F of title XVIII, add the following new section:

SEC. 18__ PROTECTION FROM STATE LAWS IMPEDING DISPOSITION AND
RECYCLING OF DEFENSE ARTICLES OR RECOVERY AND REUSE OF
SOURCES OF STRATEGIC AND CRITICAL MATERIALS.

Subchapter V of chapter 385 of title 10, United States Code, is amended
by adding at the end the following new section:

**“§ 4893. Protection from State laws impeding disposition and
recycling of defense articles or recovery and reuse of
sources of strategic and critical materials**

“(a) PROHIBITION.—(1) Notwithstanding section 6929 of title 42, no
State or political subdivision thereof may adopt or enforce any law,
regulation, standard, or requirement, or apply any interpretation thereof,
with respect to any matter described in subsection (b)(1) unless such law,
regulation, standard, requirement, or interpretation is identical to the
Federal law, regulation, standard, or requirement, or Federal interpretation
thereof, governing such matter.

“(2) This subsection shall supersede and preempt any law, regulation,
standard, requirement, or interpretation of a State or political subdivision
thereof with respect to any matter described in subsection (b)(1) unless such
law, regulation, standard, requirement, or interpretation is identical to the
applicable Federal law, regulation, standard, requirement, or Federal
interpretation governing such matter. Any nonidentical State or local law,
regulation, standard, requirement, or interpretation shall have no force or
effect.

“(b) MATTERS DESCRIBED.—(1) The matters described in this
paragraph are the following:

“(A) The physical or mechanical processing of scrap metal (as such
term is defined in section 261.1(c)(6) of title 40, Code of Federal
Regulations).

“(B) The disposition or recycling of any defense article (as such term
is defined in section 301 of this title), including any defense equipment,
material, or munition subject to demilitarization or disposal.

“(2) The physical or mechanical processing of scrap metal described in
paragraph (1)(A) does not include the chemical treatment, stabilization,
fixation, or neutralization of waste generated by such processing.”.

10. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
STRONG OF ALABAMA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle B of title V, add the following new section:

**SEC. 5_. PROHIBITION ON ENLISTMENT OR APPOINTMENT OF FOREIGN
NATIONALS FROM FOREIGN ADVERSARIES.**

(a) **ENLISTMENT.**—Section 504 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(d) **NATIONALS OF FOREIGN ADVERSARY COUNTRIES.**—Notwithstanding subsection (b), no person who is a national of a covered nation (as defined in section 4872(f) of this title) may be enlisted in an armed force.”.

(b) **APPOINTMENT.**—Section 532(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (3), by striking “; and” and inserting a semicolon;

(2) by redesignating paragraph (4) as paragraph (5); and

(3) by inserting after paragraph (3) the following new paragraph:

“(4) has not been a national of a covered nation (as defined in section 4872(f) of this title) during the five-year period ending on the date of such appointment; and”.

(c) **REVIEW OF EXISTING PERSONNEL.**—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the House of Representatives and the Senate a report that—

(1) identifies the number of members of the Armed Forces who—

(A) are foreign nationals of a covered nation (as defined in section 4872(f) of title 10, United States Code); and

(B) were lawfully admitted for permanent residence (as defined in section 101(a) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1101(a))) on the date of accession to the Armed Forces;

(2) identifies the date of accession to the Armed Forces of each member identified in paragraph (1);

(3) identifies the number of years of service of each member identified in paragraph (1); and

(4) identifies how many members identified in paragraph (1) are in the process of seeking citizenship in the United States.

(d) **APPLICABILITY.**—The amendments made by subsections (a) and (b) shall apply to the original enlistment or original appointment of a person as a member of the Armed Forces on or after the date that is 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

11. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE OGLES
OF TENNESSEE OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

In title XV, subtitle B, add at the end the following:

SEC. 15__. **REQUIREMENT FOR MEMORANDA OF AGREEMENT REGARDING
VULNERABILITY DISCLOSURE AND PROHIBITION ON PROCUREMENT
FROM IT PROVIDERS WHO SHARE CYBER VULNERABILITIES WITH
COUNTRIES OF CONCERN.**

(a) **REQUIREMENT.**—On and after the date that is 270 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall not enter into or renew a contract for the procurement of information technology with any entity that makes such technology commercially available to customers in a country of concern or has operations, subsidiaries, or personnel located in a country of concern unless such entity has entered into a memorandum of agreement with the Department of Defense in accordance with subsection (b).

(b) **MEMORANDUM OF AGREEMENT.**—The Secretary of Defense shall require an entity covered under subsection (a) to agree to a memorandum of agreement under which the entity shall—

(1) disclose to the Department of Defense, prior to any advance disclosure to any other entity, all security vulnerabilities affecting the information technology procured under the contract; and

(2) not provide advance disclosure of such vulnerabilities to any covered company.

(c) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) The term “advance disclosure” means any action that preemptively and privately discloses information pertaining to security vulnerabilities to a select number of entities before publicly making such information available to the general public, including through a trusted partners program.

(2) The term “control” means the power, direct or indirect, whether or not exercised, to determine, direct, dictate, or decide important matters affecting an entity, including through—

(A) the ownership of at least 20 percent of the total outstanding voting interest in an entity;

(B) board representation;

(C) the ability to appoint or discharge any board members, officers, directors, employees, or contractors;

(D) proxy voting, a special share, contractual arrangements, legal obligations, formal or informal arrangements to act in concert;

or

(E) other means.

(3) The term “country of concern” has the meaning given the term “covered nation” in section 4872(f) of title 10, United States Code.

(4) The term “covered company” means—

(A) any entity owned or operated in whole or in part by a country of concern, or subject to the control of such country of concern, and any subsidiary or parent of such entity; or

(B) any entity organized under the laws of a country of concern, or having its principal place of business in a country of concern, and any subsidiary of any such entity.

(5) The term “information technology” has the meaning given the term in section 11101 of title 40, United States Code.

(6) The term “owned or operated in whole or in part” means that a country of concern—

(A) for an entity that is a publicly traded company, has the ability to control the company, has access to any material nonpublic technical information in the possession of the company, or has any other rights or involvement in directing, dictating, controlling, or participating in the decision-making of the company beyond those available to a retail investor holding an equivalent share of ownership; and

(B) for an entity that is a privately held company, has any share of ownership of such company.

(7) The term “security vulnerability” has the meaning given the term in section 2200 of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 (6 U.S.C. 650).

(8) The term “trusted partners program” means any initiative or program run by an information technology provider to provide advanced warnings of security vulnerabilities to defensive security providers for the purpose of helping such providers proactively develop defenses against such vulnerabilities.

(d) WAIVER.—The Secretary of Defense may waive the requirement in subsection (a) on a case-by-case basis if the Secretary certifies, in writing, to the congressional defense committees that the procurement action is required in the national interest of the United States.

12. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE MILLS
OF FLORIDA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle E of title VIII, insert the following new section:

SEC. 8___. Section 874 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2022 (10 U.S.C. 3204 note) is amended—

(1) by striking “wholly-owned” each place it appears and inserting “owned”;

(2) in subsection (a)—

(A) in the heading, by striking “WHOLLY-OWNED” and inserting “OWNED”;

(B) by striking “S corporation (as defined in section 1361(a)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986)” and inserting “S corporation or C corporation (as defined, respectively, in section 1361(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986)”;

(C) by striking “100 percent of the”;

(3) in subsection (b), in the heading, by striking “WHOLLY-OWNED” and inserting “OWNED”; and

(4) in subsection (c), in the heading, by striking “WHOLLY-OWNED” and inserting “OWNED”.

13. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
HOUCHIN OF INDIANA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

Add at the end of subtitle B of title XVIII, the following new section:

**SEC. 18. COMBAT TRACKED VEHICLE DRIVETRAIN RECIPROCITY AND
SUPPLY CHAIN SECURITY.**

(a) **LIMITATION.**—On or after the date of the enactment of this section, the Secretary of Defense may not procure, directly or through a prime contractor, original equipment manufacturer, lead systems integrator, or subcontractor at any tier, a drivetrain system for use in an advanced combat tracked vehicle of the Department of the Army currently in development if such system is—

(1) manufactured in a foreign country; or

(2) manufactured in the United States by a manufacturer that is directly or indirectly owned or controlled by a parent entity headquartered in a foreign country, unless United States firms that manufacture such drivetrain systems are permitted to compete on substantially equal terms for the sale of such systems in—

(A) that foreign country; or

(B) the foreign country in which the parent entity is headquartered.

(b) **WAIVER AUTHORITY.**—The Secretary may waive the limitation in subsection (a) if the Secretary—

(1) determines that procurement of a drivetrain system under such subsection is in the national security interests of the United States;

(2) determines that the benefits outweigh any risks to long-term sustainment or supply-chain continuity that may result from such procurement; and

(3) submits a written justification for issuing such waiver to the congressional defense committees not later than 120 days before the date on which the Secretary procures a drivetrain system that, but for the waiver, would otherwise be prohibited under subsection (a).

(c) **RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.**—Nothing in this section shall be construed to prohibit the Department of Defense from awarding or maintaining a contract with an original equipment manufacturer, prime contractor, or lead systems integrator for an advanced combat tracked vehicle. Nothing in this subsection shall be construed to authorize the procurement of a drivetrain system that does not comply with subsection (a) and is not covered by a waiver under subsection (b).

(d) **COMPLIANCE FLEXIBILITY.**—In implementing the requirements of this section with respect to an advanced combat tracked vehicle, the Secretary of Defense may use such program management and acquisition tools as the Secretary determines are appropriate, including supplier substitution, second sourcing, directed sourcing, licensing arrangements, teaming arrangements, domestic production arrangements, and technical-data or sustainment-access arrangements, to enable the continued use of an original equipment manufacturer, prime contractor, or lead systems integrator with respect to such vehicle while ensuring that the drivetrain system procured for use in such vehicle complies with subsection (a) or is covered by a waiver issued under subsection (b).

(e) **APPLICABILITY.**—The prohibition in subsection (a) shall apply with respect to contracts or other agreements to procure a drivetrain system entered into on or after the date of the enactment of this section.

(f) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) The term “advanced combat tracked vehicle” means a combat tracked vehicle of the Department of the Army that has entered, but not yet completed, the engineering and manufacturing development phase of the defense acquisition process.

(2) The term “drivetrain system” means a transmission, cross-drive assembly, final drive, drivetrain module, hybrid-electric drive module, or other mechanical or electro-mechanical system that transfers power from a propulsion source to the tracks of a combat tracked vehicle, but does not include engines.

(3) The term “headquartered”, with respect to an entity, means—

(A) such entity is organized under the laws of a country; or

(B) the principal place of business of such entity is located in a country.

(4) The term “lead systems integrator” has the meaning given in section 802 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (10 U.S.C. 4292 note).

(5) The term “long-term sustainment or supply-chain continuity risk” includes resilience against foreseeable geopolitical or trade-policy volatility over the expected service life of the drivetrain system.

(6) The term “United States firm” has the meaning given in section 4852(d)(1) of title 10, United States Code.

14. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE CRANE OF ARIZONA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle G of title XII, insert the following:

SEC. 12_. PROHIBITION OF FUNDS TO COVER COSTS OF FOREIGN MILITARY PERSONNEL PARTICIPATING IN BILATERAL OR MULTILATERAL MILITARY EXERCISES WITH THE UNITED STATES.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available to the Department of Defense may be used to pay, reimburse, subsidize, or otherwise cover any costs associated with the participation of foreign military personnel in a bilateral or multilateral military exercise conducted with the Armed Forces of the United States.

(b) **ASSOCIATED COSTS.**—The associated costs described in subsection (a) include—

- (1) transportation;
- (2) lodging;
- (3) meals;
- (4) per diem expenses;
- (5) training expenses;
- (6) administrative expenses; and
- (7) any other expense incurred by the Department of Defense on behalf of foreign military personnel in connection with participation in a bilateral or multilateral military exercise.

(c) **WAIVER.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary of Defense may waive the prohibition under subsection (a) if the Secretary determines that covering such costs is necessary to support a national security interest of the United States.

(2) **NOTIFICATION.**—Not later than 30 days before exercising the waiver authority under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives, the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate (or such successor committees) a written notification that includes—

- (A) the foreign country or countries whose personnel would receive such support;
- (B) the bilateral or multilateral military exercise involved;
- (C) the estimated costs to be covered by the Department of Defense; and
- (D) a detailed justification describing why the waiver is necessary to support a national security interest of the United States.

15. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE CRANE
OF ARIZONA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle A of title XVII, insert the following:

**SEC. 17_. PROHIBITION ON USE OF FUNDS FOR UKRAINE SECURITY
ASSISTANCE.**

None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2027 may be made available for Ukraine security assistance other than for funding United States embassy security in Ukraine.

16. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
WILSON OF SOUTH CAROLINA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE
FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle H of title V, add the following new section:

SEC. 5. CLASSROOM SAFE HAVEN FORCE PROTECTION PILOT PROGRAM.

(a) **PROGRAM.**—The Secretary of Defense, acting through the Director of the Department of Defense Education Activity, shall establish a Classroom Safe Haven Force Protection Pilot Program (in this section referred to as the “Program”) to enhance the physical security of classrooms in covered schools.

(b) **OBJECTIVES.**—The objectives of the Program shall be to—

- (1) provide enhanced security for the approximately 600 classrooms located in covered schools;
- (2) harden classroom entry points against armed attacks;
- (3) increase survivability by providing protected safe-haven spaces within classrooms;
- (4) improve emergency response effectiveness by extending the time available for law enforcement intervention;
- (5) evaluate the operational effectiveness of ballistic-resistant door systems in educational environments; and
- (6) assess the contribution of classroom hardening measures to the overall force protection posture and resilience of military communities and installations.

(c) **USE OF FUNDS.**—Under the Program, the Secretary of Defense shall provide support to covered schools to create protected safe havens within existing classrooms through the installation and evaluation of lightweight, fire-rated, ballistic-resistant door systems and related protective infrastructure designed to provide immediate protection for students and educators during an armed assault or active shooter incident. Such door systems shall—

- (1) provide ballistic protection meeting or exceeding UL 752 Level 8 standards, including protection against 7.62x51mm FMJ rounds;
- (2) be capable of defeating multiple impacts from high-velocity assault rifle rounds commonly associated with active shooter incidents;
- (3) be certified to applicable fire-resistance standards for educational occupancies;
- (4) be capable of retrofit installation within existing educational facilities without requiring substantial structural modification or major construction;
- (5) preserve the appearance and functionality of standard educational environments during normal operations;
- (6) maintain compliance with all applicable life-safety, accessibility, and emergency egress requirements; and
- (7) provide continuous passive protection without reliance on external power, electronics, or mechanical deployment during an emergency.

(d) **REPORT.**—Not later than 180 days after an initial round of security improvements have been completed in covered schools in accordance with this section, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the House of Representatives and the Senate a report detailing—

- (1) participating schools and the number of classrooms protected;
- (2) implementation costs;
- (3) safety and operational outcomes;
- (4) lessons learned;

(5) recommendations regarding expansion of the Program across additional covered schools and schools operated by the Department of Defense education activity outside the United States; and

(6) an assessment of the effectiveness of classroom hardening measures in enhancing protection for military-connected students, educators, and school personnel and supporting military family readiness and force protection objectives.

(e) COVERED SCHOOL DEFINED.—In this section, the term “covered school” means a school operated by the Department of Defense Education Activity in the United States.

17. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE CRANK
OF COLORADO OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle F of title X, add the following:

SEC. 10___. **CODIFICATION AND REVISION OF PROCESS BY WHICH CERTAIN
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PERSONNEL MAY BE PERMITTED TO
CARRY FIREARMS ON A MILITARY INSTALLATION OR OTHER
DEFENSE FACILITY.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Chapter 53 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

“§ 1060d. Firearms on military installations and other Defense facilities: process by which personnel may be permitted to carry

“(a) **AUTHORITY.**—A member of the Armed Forces or civilian employee of the Department of Defense who is assigned to duty at a facility specified in subsection (b) may carry a firearm when on that facility if permitted to do so by the designated commander. Such permission constitutes an authorization for purposes of section 930(d)(2) of title 18.

“(b) **DESIGNATED FACILITIES.**—For purposes of subsection (a), a facility designated in this subsection is any of the following located in the United States:

“(1) A military installation.

“(2) A military reserve center.

“(3) An armed services recruiting center.

“(4) Any other facility under the jurisdiction, custody, or control of the Department of Defense that is designated by the Secretary of Defense for purposes of this section.

“(c) **DESIGNATED COMMANDER.**—For purposes of subsection (a), a designated commander, with respect to a facility specified in subsection (b), is an officer serving in a grade below a General or Flag Officer grade who is

—“(1) the commander of the facility; or

“(2) a military commander otherwise designated by the Secretary of Defense for the facility for purposes of this section.

“(d) **PRESUMPTION OF APPROVAL.**—In considering a request for permission to carry a firearm on a designated facility, the designated commander with respect to that facility may deny the request only for objective, clearly-described, individualized reasons.

“(e) **IMPLEMENTATION.**—The Secretary of Defense shall establish a process for the implementation of this section.

“(f) **RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.**—Nothing in this section shall be construed to limit the authority of the Secretary of Defense to permit additional individuals from seeking, or being granted, permission to carry a firearm on a facility designated in subsection (b) in accordance with procedures established by the Secretary.”

(b) **DEADLINE.**—The process required by subsection (e) of section 1060d of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a), shall be implemented not later than December 31, 2027.

(c) **REPEAL.**—Section 526 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2016 (Public Law 114-92; 10 U.S.C. 2672 note) is repealed.

061726.141.xml

18. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
BOEBERT OF COLORADO OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle B of title V, add the following new section:

SEC. 5_. CODIFICATION OF EXECUTIVE ORDER 14183.

Executive Order 14183 (90 Fed Reg. 8757; relating to Prioritizing
Military Excellence and Readiness) shall have the force and effect of law.

19. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE MACE OF SOUTH CAROLINA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle A of title VII, add the following new section:

SEC. 7_. PROHIBITION ON COVERAGE OF GENDER-RELATED MEDICAL TREATMENT UNDER TRICARE.

(a) TRICARE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 55 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 1076f the following new section:

“§ 1076g. TRICARE program: prohibition on coverage and furnishment gender-related medical treatment

“(a) PROHIBITION.—Except as provided by subsection (b), medical care under section 1076 of this title with respect to members of the armed forces and dependents of such members does not include gender-related medical treatment, and the Secretary of Defense may not furnish any such treatment.

“(b) EXCEPTIONS.—The prohibition in subsection (a) shall not apply to medical treatment provided for purposes of treating—

“(1) a disorder of sex development, diagnosed by a physician after such physician has determined through genetic or biochemical testing that such minor does not have normal sex chromosome structure, sex steroid hormone production, or sex steroid hormone action;

“(2) irresolvably ambiguous biological sex characteristics of such minor, including the presence of—

“(A) 46 XX chromosomes with virilization;

“(B) 46 XY chromosomes with undervirilization; or

“(C) both ovarian and testicular tissue; or

“(3) an infection, injury, disease, or disorder caused or exacerbated by gender-related medical treatment.

“(c) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

“(1) The term ‘female’ means an individual who naturally has, had, will have, or would have, but for a developmental or genetic anomaly or historical accident, the reproductive system that at some point produces, transports, and utilizes eggs for fertilization.

“(2) The term ‘gender’—

“(A) means—

“(i) males, females, or the natural differences between males and females, unless such term is otherwise specified or used alone (rather than with or as an adjective modifying other words); and

“(ii) is a synonym for sex; and

“(B) does not mean gender identity, experienced gender, gender expression, or gender roles.

“(3) The term ‘gender-related medical treatment’ means—

“(A) with respect to a female individual, medical treatments provided for purposes of addressing the perception of such individual that the gender or sex of such individual is not female, including—

“(i) surgical procedures, including—

“(I) vaginectomy;

“(II) hysterectomy;

“(III) oophorectomy;

“(IV) reconstruction of the urethra;

“(V) metoidioplasty;

“(VI) phalloplasty;
“(VII) salpingo-oophorectomy;
“(VIII) scrotoplasty;
“(IX) implantation of erection or testicular protheses;
“(X) subcutaneous mastectomy;
“(XI) vocal cord surgery;
“(XII) pectoral implants; and
“(XIII) penile transplantation;

“(ii) exogenous doses of testosterone or other androgens;

and

“(iii) puberty blockers, including—

“(I) GnRH agonists; and

“(II) synthetic drugs that suppress the production of estrogen and progesterone or delay or suppress pubertal development in female individuals; and

“(B) with respect to a male individual, medical treatments provided for purposes of addressing the perception of such individual that the gender or sex of such individual is not male, including—

“(i) surgical procedures, including—

“(I) penectomy;

“(II) orchiectomy;

“(III) vaginoplasty;

“(IV) clitoroplasty;

“(V) vulvoplasty;

“(VI) augmentation mammoplasty;

“(VII) facial feminization surgery;

“(VIII) vocal cord surgery;

“(IX) chondrolaryngoplasty;

“(X) gluteal augmentation; and

“(XI) uterine transplantation;

“(ii) exogenous doses of estrogen; and

“(iii) puberty blockers, including—

“(I) GnRH agonists; and

“(II) synthetic drugs that suppress the production of testosterone or delay or suppress pubertal development in male individuals.

“(4) The term ‘male’ means an individual who naturally has, had, will have, or would have, but for a developmental or genetic anomaly or historical accident, the reproductive system that at some point produces, transports, and utilizes sperm for fertilization.

“(5) The term ‘sex’ means the biological determination as to whether an individual is male or female.

“(d) **RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.**—Nothing in this section may be construed to entitle an individual to medical care under this chapter for which they are not otherwise entitled to under this chapter.”.

(b) **CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.**—Such chapter is further amended as follows:

(1) In section 1077(b), by adding at the end the following:

“(4) Treatment prohibited under section 1076g of this title with respect to members of the armed forces and dependents of such members.”.

(2) In section 1079(a)(20), by striking “that could result in sterilization”.

20. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE MACE
OF SOUTH CAROLINA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle H of title V, add the following new section:

SEC. 5_. PROHIBITION ON MALE PARTICIPATION IN FEMALE SPORTS AT
DODEA SCHOOLS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense shall ensure that no school operated by the Department of Defense Education Activity permits a person enrolled at such school whose sex is male to participate in an athletic program or activity that is designated for females.

(b) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to prohibit any school operated by the Department of Defense Education Activity from permitting males to train or practice with an athletic program or activity that is designated for females so long as no female is deprived of a roster spot on a team or sport, opportunity to participate in a practice or competition, or any other benefit that accompanies participating in the athletic program or activity.

(c) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) The term “athletic programs and activities” includes all programs or activities that are provided conditional upon participation with any athletic team.

(2) The term “female” refers to an individual who naturally has, had, will have, or would have, but for a developmental or genetic anomaly or historical accident, the reproductive system that at some point produces, transports, and uses eggs for fertilization.

(3) The term “male” refers to an individual who naturally has, had, will have, or would have, but for a developmental or genetic anomaly or historical accident, the reproductive system that at some point produces, transports, and uses sperm for fertilization.

21. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE LUNA
OF FLORIDA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle F of title X, add the following new section:

**SEC. 10_. DESIGNATION OF SOUTHWEST LAND BORDER ACTIVITIES, SUPPORT,
AND OPERATIONS AS NAMED OPERATION.**

(a) **DESIGNATION.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall designate activities, support, and operations conducted by the Department of Defense in support of civil authorities at the southwest land border as a named operation, for the purposes of—

(1) providing unified identification, planning, budgeting, oversight, and reporting for such activities, support, and operations; and

(2) strengthening efforts to detect, deter, and disrupt transnational criminal organizations and other border-related threats.

(b) **ANNUAL BUDGET REQUEST.**—In the budget justification materials submitted to Congress in support of the Department of Defense budget for the first fiscal year following the designation under subsection (a) and each fiscal year thereafter (as submitted with the budget of the President under section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code), the Secretary of Defense shall include—

(1) a dedicated budget line item for the named operation so designated;

(2) a display of the requested budgetary authority for such named operation by appropriations account and fiscal year;

(3) a narrative justification describing the scope of, and legal authorities for, the planned activities, support, and operations to be carried out or provided under such named operation using amounts so requested;

(4) with respect to such planned support, a summary of the types and estimated values of support to be provided; and

(5) performance metrics and planned outputs with respect to such named operation for the subsequent fiscal year.

(c) **FORM.**—The summary specified in subsection (b)(4) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

(d) **RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.**—Nothing in this section may be construed to authorize the use of military force.

(e) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) The term “southwest land border” means the land border of the United States adjacent to Mexico.

(2) The term “support of civil authorities”—

(A) has the meaning given that term in Department of Defense Directive 3025.18, as amended;

(B) includes support relating to planning, logistics, intelligence, aviation, and engineering; and

(C) does not include support provided to a civilian law enforcement agency.

22. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE LUNA
OF FLORIDA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

Add at the end of title XI of division A the following:

**SEC. 11_. REPEAL OF LIMITATION ON APPOINTMENT OF ASSISTANT
SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR SPECIAL OPERATIONS AND LOW
INTENSITY CONFLICT.**

Section 138(b)(2)(A) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking "A person may not be appointed as Assistant Secretary within seven years after relief from active duty as a commissioned officer of a regular component of an armed force."

23. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE LUNA
OF FLORIDA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle B of title XVII, insert the following:

**SEC. 17__. CLARIFICATION OF SUPERVISORY CHAIN FOR POLITICAL
APPOINTEES AT THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.**

Except as provided under subsection (b), beginning on and after the date of the enactment of this section, the Secretary of Defense shall take such steps as are necessary to ensure that no political appointee of the Department of Defense is subordinate to any career civilian employee of the Department except for such employees in the Office of the Secretary of Defense.

24. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE OGLES
OF TENNESSEE OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle B of title XII, add the following:

SEC. 12__. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON INTERNATIONAL DEFENSE EXHIBITIONS.

It is the sense of Congress that the Department of Defense and its agencies should not participate in international defense exhibitions in any way until the Secretary of Defense certifies that such exhibitions and the jurisdictions in which they are located allow Israeli companies to fully participate in the exhibition and are not using restrictions or the threat of restrictions on any party's participation in the exposition as a means of deterring Israel from defending itself.

25. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
GOTTHEIMER OF NEW JERSEY OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE
FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle B of title XVII, add the following new section:
SEC. 17__. SENSE OF CONGRESS WITH RESPECT TO EXECUTION OF WARRANTS
OF THE INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL COURT.

It is the sense of Congress that the Secretary of Defense and Secretary of State must use every opportunity at forums involving NATO or major non-NATO allies to ensure that such allied countries do not enforce warrants issued by the International Criminal Court against members of the armed forces of the United States or of its other allies.

26. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
MCDOWELL OF NORTH CAROLINA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE
FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle H of title V, add the following new section:

**SEC. 5_. PILOT PROGRAM ON EXPANDED EDUCATIONAL CHOICE FOR
FAMILIES OF FULL-TIME, ACTIVE-DUTY MEMBERS OF THE ARMED
FORCES IN THE UNITED STATES.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary of Defense may carry out a pilot program under which the Secretary provides financial assistance to a full-time, active duty member of the Armed Forces in the United States to support the choices of the member for the education of a dependent of the member, including financial assistance for costs of the dependent associated with—

(1) attending a private elementary or secondary school, a faith-based school, or a public charter school;

(2) providing educational training aids to a home-schooled student;

or

(3) other costs as determined appropriate by the Secretary.

(b) **PURPOSES.**—The purposes of the pilot program under this section are—

(1) to evaluate the demand, feasibility, and scalability of expanded educational choice for dependents of members of the Armed Forces; and

(2) to assess the impact of the pilot program on military and family readiness.

(c) **DURATION OF PROGRAM.**—The authority of the Secretary of Defense to carry out the pilot program under this section shall terminate after the 2030–2031 school year.

(d) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) The term “dependent” means a minor individual—

(A) who has not completed secondary school; and

(B) who is the child, stepchild, adopted child, ward, or spouse of a sponsor, or who is a resident in the household of a sponsor who stands in loco parentis to such individual and who receives one-half or more of their support from such sponsor.

(2) The term “home-schooled student” has the meaning given such term in section 2164(l)(2) of title 10, United States Code.

(3) The term “United States” has the meaning given such term in section 101(a)(1) of title 10, United States Code.

061726.110.xml

27. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE ONDER
OF MISSOURI OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

Page 989, strike lines 11 through 18.

28. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE SELF
OF TEXAS OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of title V, add the following new subtitle:

**Subtitle __—Military Chaplains
Modernization Act Of 2026**

SEC. 5__. SHORT TITLE.

This subtitle may be cited as the “Military Chaplains Modernization Act of 2026”.

SEC. 5__. FINDINGS.

Congress makes the following findings:

(1) Religion and religious liberty have always served an essential role in society, culture, and military service, making them necessary for good democratic governance and a strong military.

(2) George Washington, as a young colonel, recognized the importance of religious practice for his soldiers, repeatedly requesting chaplains for his troops and periodically performing religious duties himself.

(3) When the Second Continental Congress established the Continental Army in June 1775, it recommended “all officers and soldiers diligently to attend Divine Service”.

(4) In 1775, at the behest of George Washington, then General of the Continental Army, the Second Continental Congress established the Federal chaplaincy that is in existence to this day, making it one of the oldest military services provided for American troops.

(5) From their earliest days, military chaplains have existed to provide pastoral care, meeting the religious needs of members of the Armed Forces and their families.

(6) In 1775, the Continental Congress, understanding the significance of chaplaincy, similarly instructed its fledgling navy that, “The commanders of the ships of the Thirteen United Colonies are to take care that divine services be performed twice a day on board, and a sermon preached on Sundays, unless bad weather or other extraordinary accidents prevent it.”.

(7) John Adams instructed his Secretary of the Navy on the influence of a Navy chaplaincy, stating, “I know not whether the commanders of our ships have given much attention to this subject [chaplains], but in my humble opinion, we shall be very unskillful politicians as well as bad Christians and unwise men if we neglect this important office in our infant Navy.”.

(8) In 1942, Franklin D. Roosevelt also acknowledged the importance of the chaplaincy by vowing to “never fail to provide for the spiritual needs of our officers and men under the Chaplains of our armed forces”, and during World War II, President Roosevelt had Bibles printed and provided to troops in the field.

(9) Understanding the importance of religion not only to the members of the United States military, but also to the United States as a whole, the Founders ratified the First Amendment to the United States Constitution in 1791.

(10) Under the First Amendment, which applies to the Armed Forces, the Federal Government has a constitutional duty to provide for the religious exercise of members of the Armed Forces, an obligation to which the chaplain corps is essential.

(11) The Supreme Court stated, in *Kennedy v. Bremerton School District*, 597 U.S. 507 (2022), that the court is “aware of no historically sound understanding of the Establishment Clause that begins to ‘mak[e] it necessary for government to be hostile to religion’...”.

(12) In *Kennedy v. Bremerton School District*, the Supreme Court further explained that “the Establishment Clause does not include anything like a ‘modified heckler’s veto, in which ... religious activity can be proscribed’ based on ‘perceptions’ or ‘discomfort’” and that the Clause does not “‘compel the government to purge from the public sphere’ anything an objective observer could reasonably infer endorses or ‘partakes of the religious’”.

(13) The Supreme Court made clear in *Parkerv. Levy*, 417 U.S. 733 (1974) that chaplains in the Armed Forces do not forfeit their First Amendment rights by virtue of their military service.

(14) Section 6 of the Religious Freedom Restoration Act of 1993 (42 U.S.C. 2000bb–3) specifies that that Act (42 U.S.C. 2000bb et seq.) “applies to all Federal law, and the implementation of that law, whether statutory or otherwise, and whether adopted before or after [November 16, 1993]”.

SEC. 5. DUTIES, RESPONSIBILITIES, REQUIREMENTS, AND PROTECTIONS FOR ARMY CHAPLAINS.

(a) CHAPLAINS.—Section 7073 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) by redesignating paragraphs (2) and (3) as paragraphs (5) and (6), respectively; and

(B) by inserting after paragraph (1) the following new paragraph:

“(2) the Deputy Chief of Chaplains;

“(3) the Deputy Chief of Chaplains for the Army Reserve;

“(4) the Deputy Chief of Chaplains for the Army National Guard;”;

(2) by redesignating subsection (b) as subsection (f);

(3) by inserting after subsection (a) the following new subsections:

“(b) The Chief of Chaplains shall serve as a principal advisor to the Secretary of the Army and the Chief of Staff of the Army.

“(c) The Deputy Chief of Chaplains shall serve under the authority, direction, and control of the Chief of Chaplains.

“(d) Under the authority, direction, and control of the Chief of Chaplains, the Deputy Chief of Chaplains for the Army Reserve shall serve as a principal advisor to the Chief of the Army Reserve.

“(e) Under the authority, direction, and control of the Chief of Chaplains, the Deputy Chief of Chaplains for the Army National Guard shall serve as a principal advisor to the Chief of the National Guard Bureau.”; and

(4) adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(g) The Deputy Chiefs of Chaplains, while so serving, hold the grade of brigadier general.”.

(b) CHIEFS OF BRANCHES: APPOINTMENT; DUTIES.—Section 7036 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsection (g) as subsection (h); and

(2) by inserting after subsection (f) the following new subsection (g):

“(g) The Chief of Chaplains serves as the principal advisor to the Secretary of the Army and the Chief of Staff of the Army on chaplaincy matters and the provision of religious support in the Army, including strategic planning and the development of policies that affect religious support or have a nexus with the chaplaincy.”.

(c) CHAPLAINS.—Section 7217 of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“§ 7217. Duties, responsibilities, requirements, and protections for Army chaplains

“(a) DUTIES, RESPONSIBILITIES, AND REQUIREMENTS.—(1) The Army Chaplaincy shall perform such duties as may be prescribed by the Secretary of the Army and the Chief of Chaplains of the Army.

“(2) The Army Chaplaincy shall be overseen by the Chief of Chaplains and shall—

“(A) serve as principal advisers to commanders on all issues concerning religious practices, spiritual readiness, spiritual care, religious provisions, and religion’s influence on military operations, which shall include, but not be limited to—

“(i) advising and assisting commanders in discharging their responsibilities to provide for the free exercise of religion in military service, pursuant to the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States, section 3 of the Religious Freedom Restoration Act of 1993 (42 U.S.C. 2000bb–1), and related statutes and policies;

“(ii) assisting commanders in managing religious affairs, including the accommodation of religious practices and the development of policies and procedures related to the free exercise of religion;

“(iii) assisting commanders by serving as advisers with respect to all religious accommodation requests and by providing advice on spiritual readiness and matters concerning religion, morals, ethics, well-being, and morale; and

“(iv) overseeing education and training programs concerning the accommodation of religious practices for members of the armed forces;

“(B) meet the religious requirements and care for the spiritual needs of members of the armed forces, other authorized persons, and dependents of members, potentially in isolated or combat environments;

“(C) have a primary role in providing for the free exercise of religion and other religious requirements associated with the free exercise of religion;

“(D) facilitate meeting the religious needs and requirements for members of the armed forces to whom an assigned chaplain cannot directly minister; and

“(E) maintain confidential, sacramental, and privileged communications, including those recognized under applicable laws and policies.

“(3) The tasks of chaplains in the Army shall include, but not be limited to, the following:

“(A) Performing religious rites, rituals, services, ordinances, ceremonies, and observances (such as memorials, weddings, retirements, sermons, worship, and burials).

“(B) Counseling, meeting, advising, and praying with individuals or groups.

“(C) Providing crisis prevention and response.

“(D) Providing pastoral and spiritual care, guidance, support, and activities.

“(E) Advising on religious accommodation requests.

“(F) Providing religious training and education.

“(b) PROTECTIONS.—(1) A chaplain’s duties, responsibilities, and requirements, as set forth in subsection (a), shall be conducted in a manner consistent with the chaplain’s sincerely held religious beliefs and the manner, form, and tenets of the chaplain’s religious-endorsing organization.

“(2) A chaplain shall have the right to conduct public worship, provide counseling, teach, deliver sermons, advise, minister, and offer prayer in accordance with the chaplain’s sincerely held religious beliefs and the manner, form, and tenets of the chaplain’s religious-endorsing organization. Those activities shall be free from censorship, undue restriction, or fear of retribution.

“(3) A chaplain shall uphold the chaplain’s duty to protect confidential, sacramental, and privileged communications, including communications

recognized under applicable laws and policies.

“(4) No member of the Armed Forces may—

“(A) require or assign a chaplain to perform any rite, ritual, ceremony, sermon, or speech contrary to the sincerely held religious beliefs of the chaplain or contrary to the manner, form, or tenets of the chaplain’s religious-endorsing organization;

“(B) require or assign a chaplain to perform any task or action contrary to the sincerely held religious beliefs of the chaplain or contrary to the manner, form, or tenets of the religious-endorsing organization of the chaplain, consistent with section 3 of the Religious Freedom Restoration Act of 1993 (42 U.S.C. 2000bb–1);

“(C) retaliate or discriminate against a chaplain based on the chaplain’s refusal to comply with a requirement prohibited under this subsection, or take, with respect to such a chaplain, any adverse personnel action including—

“(i) denial of promotion, schooling, training, assignment, or financial recoupment;

“(ii) issuance of letters of reprimand; or

“(iii) any other adverse action or entry in the chaplain’s record;

or

“(D) preclude a chaplain from conducting an activity described in paragraph (2).

“(5) A member of the Armed Forces who violates paragraph (4) shall be subject to prosecution under the Uniform Code of Military Justice.

“(c) ASSISTANCE BY COMMANDING OFFICERS.—Each officer shall furnish facilities, including necessary transportation, to any chaplain assigned to the command of the officer, to assist the chaplain in performing the duties, responsibilities, and requirements of the chaplain under subsection (a).

“(d) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

“(1) ADMINISTRATIVE ENDORSER.—The term ‘administrative endorser’ means an organization that was approved to endorse chaplains for service in the armed forces under the requirements of the Department of Defense and the armed force in which those chaplains will serve, as in effect at the time the organization initially began endorsing chaplains, not on its own behalf, but on behalf of one or more external religious organizations that—

“(A) are religious-endorsing organizations;

“(B) at the time the organization initially began endorsing chaplains, did not possess the organizational capacity to endorse chaplains directly; and

“(C) were not members of, nor otherwise affiliated with, a fellowship or other organizational structure meeting the requirements set forth in paragraph (5)(A)(iii) that possessed authority to endorse chaplains.

“(2) ADVERSE PERSONNEL ACTION.—The term ‘adverse personnel action’ means any action taken against a member of the Armed Forces that affects or has the potential to adversely affect the member’s current position or career, including—

“(A) a disciplinary action, transfer, negative performance evaluation, removal, separation, discharge, or mental health evaluation; and

“(B) a decision not to give the member a promotion, an increase in pay or benefits, an award, training, or relief.

“(3) CENSORSHIP.—The term ‘censorship’ means any governmental action taken to suppress or restrict information, ideas, or expression.

“(4) CONFIDENTIAL, SACRAMENTAL, AND PRIVILEGED COMMUNICATIONS.—The term ‘confidential, sacramental, and privileged communications’ means any private communication made to a chaplain acting in the chaplain’s capacity as a spiritual advisor.

“(5) RELIGIOUS-ENDORSING ORGANIZATION.—(A) The term ‘religious-endorsing organization’ means an entity that—

“(i)(I) is organized and functions primarily to perform religious ministries to nonmilitary lay members and meets the requirements of the Department of Defense and the armed force in which chaplains endorsed by the entity will serve; and

“(II) possesses ecclesiastical authority to endorse and withdraw endorsements for chaplains serving under the authority of the entity to conduct religious observances or ceremonies in a military context; or

“(ii)(I) meets the requirements of the Department of Defense and the armed force in which chaplains endorsed by the entity will serve;

“(II) is organized and functions primarily to support member religious organizations and religious ministry professionals that function primarily to perform religious ministries to nonmilitary lay members; and

“(III) performs the function described in clause (i)(II) on behalf of its member religious organizations and religious ministry professionals.

“(B) An administrative endorser that endorses chaplains before the date of the enactment of this section may continue to endorse chaplains on and after such date of enactment if administrative endorser meets the requirements of the Department of Defense and the armed force in which chaplains endorsed by the administrative endorser will serve to provide documentation verifying that the religious organizations the administrative endorser represents meet the requirements of subclause (I) and (II) of subparagraph (A)(i).”.

SEC. 5. DUTIES, RESPONSIBILITIES, REQUIREMENTS, AND PROTECTIONS FOR NAVY CHAPLAINS.

(a) **CHAPLAIN CORPS AND CHIEF OF CHAPLAINS.**—Section 8082 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(f) The Chief of Chaplains shall serve as a principal adviser to the Secretary of the Navy and the Chief of Naval Operations.”.

(b) **DEPUTY CHIEFS OF CHAPLAINS.**—Section 8082a of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“§8082a. Deputy Chiefs of Chaplains

“(a) The Secretary of the Navy shall detail as Deputy Chiefs of Chaplains officers of the Chaplain Corps in the grade of commander or above who are on active duty and who have served on active duty in the Chaplain Corps for at least eight years.

“(b) Under the authority, direction, and control of the Chief of Chaplains, the Deputy Chief of Chaplains shall also serve as the Chaplain of the Marine Corps and shall serve as a principal advisor to the Commandant of the Marine Corps.

“(c) Under the authority, direction, and control of the Chief of Chaplains, the Deputy Chief of Chaplains for Reserve Matters shall also serve as a principal advisor to the Chief of Navy Reserve.

“(d) The Deputy Chiefs of Chaplains, while so serving, hold the grade of rear admiral (lower half).”.

(c) **CHAPLAINS.**—Section 8221 of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“§ 8221. Duties, responsibilities, requirements, and protections for Navy chaplains

“(a) **DUTIES, RESPONSIBILITIES, AND REQUIREMENTS.**—(1) The Navy Chaplaincy shall perform such duties as may be prescribed by the Secretary of the Navy and the Chief of Chaplains of the Navy.

“(2) The Navy Chaplaincy shall be overseen by the Chief of Chaplains and shall—

“(A) serve as principal advisers to commanders on all issues concerning religious practices, spiritual readiness, spiritual care, religious provisions, and religion’s influence on military operations, which shall include, but not be limited to—

“(i) advising and assisting commanders in discharging their responsibilities to provide for the free exercise of religion in military service, pursuant to the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States, section 3 of the Religious Freedom Restoration Act of 1993 (42 U.S.C. 2000bb–1), and related statutes and policies;

“(ii) assisting commanders in managing religious affairs, including the accommodation of religious practices and the development of policies and procedures related to the free exercise of religion;

“(iii) assisting commanders by serving as an adviser with respect to all religious accommodation requests and by providing advice on spiritual readiness and matters concerning religion, morals, ethics, well-being, and morale; and

“(iv) overseeing education and training programs concerning the accommodation of religious practices for members of the armed forces;

“(B) meet the religious requirements and care for the spiritual needs of members of the armed forces, other authorized persons, and dependents, potentially in isolated or combat environments;

“(C) have a primary role in providing for the free exercise of religion and other religious requirements associated with the free exercise of religion;

“(D) facilitate meeting the religious needs and requirements for members of the armed forces to whom an assigned chaplain cannot directly minister; and

“(E) maintain confidential, sacramental, and privileged communications, including communications recognized under applicable laws and policies.

“(3) The tasks of chaplains in the Navy shall include, but not be limited to, the following:

“(A) Performing religious rites, rituals, services, ordinances, ceremonies, and observances (such as memorials, weddings, retirements, sermons, worship, and burials).

“(B) Counseling, meeting, advising, and praying with individuals or groups.

“(C) Providing crisis prevention and response.

“(D) Providing pastoral and spiritual care, guidance, support, and activities.

“(E) Advising on religious accommodation requests.

“(F) Providing religious training and education.

“(b) PROTECTIONS.—(1) A chaplain’s duties, responsibilities, and requirements, as set forth in subsection (a), shall be conducted in a manner consistent with the chaplain’s sincerely held religious beliefs and the manner, form, and tenets of the chaplain's religious-endorsing organization.

“(2) A chaplain shall have the right to conduct public worship, provide counseling, teach, deliver sermons, advise, minister, and offer prayer in accordance with the chaplain’s sincerely held religious beliefs and the manner, form, and tenets of the chaplain's religious-endorsing organization. Those activities shall be free from censorship, undue restriction, or fear of retribution.

“(3) A chaplain shall uphold the chaplain's duty to protect confidential, sacramental, and privileged communications, including communications recognized under applicable laws and policies.

“(4) No member of the Armed Forces may—

“(A) require or assign a chaplain to perform any rite, ritual, ceremony, sermon, or speech, contrary to the sincerely held religious

beliefs of the chaplain or contrary to the manner, form, or tenets of the chaplain's religious-endorsing organization;

“(B) require or assign a chaplain to perform any task or action contrary to the sincerely held religious beliefs of the chaplain or contrary to the manner, form, or tenets of the chaplain's religious-endorsing organization, consistent with section 3 of the Religious Freedom Restoration Act of 1993 (42 U.S.C. 2000bb-1);

“(C) retaliate or discriminate against a chaplain based on the chaplain's refusal to comply with a requirement prohibited under this subsection, or take, with respect to such a chaplain, any adverse personnel action including—

“(i) denial of promotion, schooling, training, assignment, or financial recoupment;

“(ii) issuance of letters of reprimand; or

“(iii) any other adverse action or entry in the chaplain's record;

or

“(D) preclude a chaplain from conducting an activity described in paragraph (2).

“(5) A member of the Armed Forces who violates paragraph (4) shall be subject to prosecution under the Uniform Code of Military Justice.

“(c) ASSISTANCE BY COMMANDING OFFICERS.—Each officer shall furnish facilities, including necessary transportation, to any chaplain assigned to the command of the officer, to assist the chaplain in performing the duties, responsibilities, and requirements of the chaplain under subsection (a).

“(d) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

“(1) ADMINISTRATIVE ENDORSER.—The term ‘administrative endorser’ means an organization that was approved to endorse chaplains for service in the armed forces under the requirements of the Department of Defense and the armed force in which those chaplains will serve, as in effect at the time the organization initially began endorsing chaplains, not on its own behalf, but on behalf of one or more external religious organizations that—

“(A) are religious-endorsing organizations;

“(B) at the time the organization initially began endorsing chaplains, did not possess the organizational capacity to endorse chaplains directly; and

“(C) were not members of, nor otherwise affiliated with, a fellowship or other organizational structure meeting the requirements set forth in paragraph (5)(A)(iii) that possessed authority to endorse chaplains.

“(2) ADVERSE PERSONNEL ACTION.—The term ‘adverse personnel action’ means any action taken against a member of the Armed Forces that affects or has the potential to adversely affect the member's current position or career, including—

“(A) a disciplinary action, transfer, negative performance evaluation, removal, separation, discharge, or mental health evaluation; and

“(B) a decision not to give the member a promotion, an increase in pay or benefits, an award, training, or relief.

“(3) CENSORSHIP.—The term ‘censorship’ means any governmental action taken to suppress or restrict information, ideas, or expression.

“(4) CONFIDENTIAL, SACRAMENTAL, AND PRIVILEGED COMMUNICATIONS.—The term ‘confidential, sacramental, and privileged communications’ means any private communication made to a chaplain acting in the chaplain's capacity as a spiritual advisor.

“(5) RELIGIOUS-ENDORSING ORGANIZATION.—(A) The term ‘religious-endorsing organization’ means an entity that—

“(i) (I) is organized and functions primarily to perform religious ministries to nonmilitary lay members and meets the requirements

of the Department of Defense and the armed force in which chaplains endorsed by the entity will serve; and

“(II) possesses ecclesiastical authority to endorse and withdraw endorsements for chaplains serving under the authority of the entity to conduct religious observances or ceremonies in a military context; or

“(ii)(I) meets the requirements of the Department of Defense and the armed force in which chaplains endorsed by the entity will serve;

“(II) is organized and functions primarily to support member religious organizations and religious ministry professionals that function primarily to perform religious ministries to nonmilitary lay members; and

“(III) performs the function described in clause (i)(II) on behalf of its member religious organizations and religious ministry professionals.

“(B) An administrative endorser that endorses chaplains before the date of the enactment of this section may continue to endorse chaplains on and after such date of enactment if administrative endorser meets the requirements of the Department of Defense and the armed force in which chaplains endorsed by the administrative endorser will serve to provide documentation verifying that the religious organizations the administrative endorser represents meet the requirements of subclause (I) and (II) of subparagraph (A)(i).”.

SEC. 5. DUTIES, RESPONSIBILITIES, REQUIREMENTS, AND PROTECTIONS FOR AIR FORCE CHAPLAINS.

(a) CHIEF OF CHAPLAINS.—Section 9039 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(d) The Chief of Chaplains shall serve as the principal adviser to the Secretary of the Air Force and the Chief of Staff of the Air Force on chaplaincy and religious support, including strategic planning and policy development related to those areas.

“(e) The Air Force Chaplaincy, which also services the Space Force, shall

—
“(1) be overseen by the Office of the Chief of Chaplains; and

“(2) advise and assist commanders in ensuring the right of members of the armed forces to religious freedom, in accordance with the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States, section 3 of the Religious Freedom Restoration Act of 1993 (42 U.S.C. 2000bb–1), and applicable laws and policies.”.

(b) DEPUTY CHIEF OF CHAPLAINS.—Chapter 905 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 9039 the following new section:

“§9039a. Deputy Chief of Chaplains: appointment; duties

“(a) The Secretary of the Air Force shall detail as a Deputy Chief of Chaplains one or more officers of the Chaplain Corps in the grade of colonel or above who are on active duty and who have served on active duty in the Chaplain Corps for at least eight years.

“(b) Under the authority, direction, and control of the Chief of Chaplains, a Deputy Chief of Chaplains shall also serve as the Chaplain of the Space Force and shall serve as a principal advisor to the Chief of Space Operations.

“(c) A Deputy Chief of Chaplains, while so serving, holds the grade of brigadier general.”.

(c) THE AIR STAFF: FUNCTION; COMPOSITION.—Section 9031 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraphs (7), (8), and (9) as paragraphs (8), (9), and (10), respectively; and

(2) by inserting after paragraph (6) the following new paragraph (7):

“(7) The Chief of Chaplains for the Air Force and the Space Force.”.

(d) CHAPLAINS.—Section 9217 of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“§ 9217. Duties, responsibilities, requirements, and protections for Air Force chaplains

“(a) DUTIES, RESPONSIBILITIES, AND REQUIREMENTS.—(1) The Air Force Chaplaincy shall perform such duties as may be prescribed by the Secretary of the Air Force and the Chief of Chaplains of the Air Force.

“(2) The Air Force Chaplaincy shall be overseen by the Chief of Chaplains and shall—

“(A) serve as principal advisers to commanders on all issues concerning religious practices, spiritual readiness, spiritual care, religious provisions, and religion’s influence on military operations, which shall include, but not be limited to—

“(i) advising and assisting commanders in discharging their responsibilities to provide for the free exercise of religion in military service, pursuant to the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States, section 3 of the Religious Freedom Restoration Act of 1993 (42 U.S.C. 2000bb–1), and related statutes and policies;

“(ii) assisting commanders in managing religious affairs, including the accommodation of religious practices and the development of policies and procedures related to the free exercise of religion;

“(iii) assisting commanders by serving as an adviser with respect to all religious accommodation requests and by providing advice on spiritual readiness and matters concerning religion, morals, ethics, well-being, and morale; and

“(iv) overseeing education and training programs concerning the accommodation of religious practices for members of the armed forces;

“(B) meet the religious requirements and care for the spiritual needs of members of the armed forces, other authorized persons, and dependents, potentially in isolated or combat environments;

“(C) have a primary role in providing for the free exercise of religion and other religious requirements associated with the free exercise of religion;

“(D) facilitate meeting the religious needs and requirements for members of the armed forces to whom an assigned chaplain cannot directly minister; and

“(E) maintain confidential, sacramental, and privileged communications, including communications recognized under applicable laws and policies.

“(3) The tasks of chaplains in the Air Force shall include, but not be limited to, the following:

“(A) Performing religious rites, rituals, services, ordinances, ceremonies, and observances (such as memorials, weddings, retirements, sermons, worship, and burials).

“(B) Counseling, meeting, advising, and praying with individuals or groups.

“(C) Providing crisis prevention and response.

“(D) Providing pastoral and spiritual care, guidance, support, and activities.

“(E) Advising on religious accommodation requests.

“(F) Providing religious training and education.

“(b) PROTECTIONS.—(1) A chaplain’s duties, responsibilities, and requirements, as set forth in subsection (a), shall be conducted in a manner consistent with the chaplain’s sincerely held religious beliefs and the manner, form, and tenets of the chaplain’s religious-endorsing organization.

“(2) A chaplain shall have the right to conduct public worship, provide counseling, teach, deliver sermons, advise, minister, and offer prayer in accordance with the chaplain’s sincerely held religious beliefs and the manner, form, and tenets of the chaplain’s religious-endorsing organization.

Those activities shall be free from censorship, undue restriction, or fear of retribution.

“(3) A chaplain shall uphold the chaplain's duty to protect confidential, sacramental, and privileged communications, including communications recognized under applicable laws and policies.

“(4) No member of the Armed Forces may—

“(A) require or assign a chaplain to perform any rite, ritual, ceremony, sermon, or speech contrary to the sincerely held religious beliefs of the chaplain or contrary to the manner, form, or tenets of the chaplain's religious-endorsing organization;

“(B) require or assign a chaplain to perform any task or action contrary to the sincerely held religious beliefs of the chaplain or contrary to the manner, form, or tenets of the chaplain's religious-endorsing organization, consistent with section 3 of the Religious Freedom Restoration Act of 1993 (42 U.S.C. 2000bb-1);

“(C) retaliate or discriminate against a chaplain based on the chaplain's refusal to comply with a requirement prohibited under this subsection, or take, with respect to such a chaplain, any adverse personnel action including—

“(i) denial of promotion, schooling, training, assignment, or financial recoupment;

“(ii) issuance of letters of reprimand; or

“(iii) any other adverse action or entry in the chaplain's record;

or

“(D) preclude chaplains from exercising the activities described in paragraph (2).

“(5) A member of the Armed Forces who violates paragraph (4) shall be subject to prosecution under the Uniform Code of Military Justice.

“(c) ASSISTANCE BY COMMANDING OFFICERS.—Each officer shall furnish facilities, including necessary transportation, to any chaplain assigned to the command of the officer, to assist the chaplain in performing the duties, responsibilities, and requirements of the chaplain under subsection (a).

“(d) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

“(1) ADMINISTRATIVE ENDORSER.—The term ‘administrative endorser’ means an organization that was approved to endorse chaplains for service in the armed forces under the requirements of the Department of Defense and the armed force in which those chaplains will serve, as in effect at the time the organization initially began endorsing chaplains, not on its own behalf, but on behalf of one or more external religious organizations that—

“(A) are religious-endorsing organizations;

“(B) at the time the organization initially began endorsing chaplains, did not possess the organizational capacity to endorse chaplains directly; and

“(C) were not members of, nor otherwise affiliated with, a fellowship or other organizational structure meeting the requirements set forth in paragraph (5)(A)(iii) that possessed authority to endorse chaplains.

“(2) ADVERSE PERSONNEL ACTION.—The term ‘adverse personnel action’ means any action taken against a member of the Armed Forces that affects or has the potential to adversely affect the member's current position or career, including—

“(A) a disciplinary action, transfer, negative performance evaluation, removal, separation, discharge, or mental health evaluation; and

“(B) a decision not to give the member a promotion, an increase in pay or benefits, an award, training, or relief.

“(3) CENSORSHIP.—The term ‘censorship’ means any governmental action taken to suppress or restrict information, ideas, or expression.

“(4) CONFIDENTIAL, SACRAMENTAL, AND PRIVILEGED COMMUNICATIONS.—The term ‘confidential, sacramental, and privileged communications’ means any private communication made to a chaplain acting in the chaplain’s capacity as a spiritual advisor.

“(5) RELIGIOUS-ENDORSING ORGANIZATION.—(A) The term ‘religious-endorsing organization’ means an entity that—

“(i)(I) is organized and functions primarily to perform religious ministries to nonmilitary lay members and meets the requirements of the Department of Defense and the armed force in which chaplains endorsed by the entity will serve; and

“(II) possesses ecclesiastical authority to endorse and withdraw endorsements for chaplains serving under the authority of the entity to conduct religious observances or ceremonies in a military context; or

“(ii)(I) meets the requirements of the Department of Defense and the armed force in which chaplains endorsed by the entity will serve;

“(II) is organized and functions primarily to support member religious organizations and religious ministry professionals that function primarily to perform religious ministries to nonmilitary lay members; and

“(III) performs the function described in clause (i)(II) on behalf of its member religious organizations and religious ministry professionals.

“(B) An administrative endorser that endorses chaplains before the date of the enactment of this section may continue to endorse chaplains on and after such date of enactment if administrative endorser meets the requirements of the Department of Defense and the armed force in which chaplains endorsed by the administrative endorser will serve to provide documentation verifying that the religious organizations the administrative endorser represents meet the requirements of subclause (I) and (II) of subparagraph (A)(i).”.

SEC. 5. DEFINITION OF CHAPLAIN FOR TITLE 10, UNITED STATES CODE.

Section 101(b) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(17) The term ‘chaplain’ means a fully qualified religious ministry professional from a religious tradition who—

“(A) satisfies the professional qualifications of the chaplain’s religious-endorsing organization (as defined in sections 7217, 8221, and 9217 of this title) and the educational requirements of the Department of Defense and the Armed Force in which the chaplain serves or will serve; and

“(B) is appointed as both an officer in the Chaplain Corps of the Armed Forces and a representative of the chaplain’s religious-endorsing organization, to which the chaplain shall remain accountable to for providing religious ministry to the armed forces.”.

SEC. 5. EFFECT OF VIOLATIONS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—A member of the Armed Forces who violates section 7217(b)(4), 8221(b)(4), or 9217(b)(4) of title 10, United States Code, as added by section 3, shall be subject to prosecution under section 934 of such title (article 134 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice).

(b) REGULATIONS.—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the President shall—

(1) prescribe regulations establishing that a violation of section 7217(b)(4), 8221(b)(4), or 9217(b)(4) of title 10, United States Code, as added by section 3, constitutes an offense punishable under section 934 of such title (article 134 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice); and

(2) revise the Manual for Courts-Martial to include such offenses.

SEC. 5. REGULATIONS.

The Secretary of Defense shall prescribe such regulations as are necessary to carry out the amendments made by this subtitle.

SEC. 5. CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.

(a) **EXISTING PROTECTIONS FOR CHAPLAINS.**—Section 533 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 10 U.S.C. 1030 note prec.) is amended—

(1) in the section heading, by striking “**AND CHAPLAINS OF SUCH MEMBERS**”;

(2) by striking subsection (b); and

(3) by redesignating subsection (c) as subsection (b).

(b) **PROFESSIONAL FUNCTIONS OF THE AIR FORCE.**—Section 9063(h) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding “in accordance with section 9217(a)” after “Secretary”.

29. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE LUTTRELL OF TEXAS OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of title XVII, add the following new subtitle:

Subtitle C—Initiating Biomedical Outcomes To Garner Advancements Into Innovative Efficacy

SEC. 17_. DESIGNATION OF SENIOR OFFICIAL FOR EMERGING THERAPEUTIC INTERVENTIONS WITHIN THE DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS.

(a) DESIGNATION.—Not later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Under Secretary for Health of the Department of Veterans Affairs shall designate a senior official of the Department to oversee policy, programs, and other activities related to emerging therapeutic interventions.

(b) ROLE, RESPONSIBILITY, AND AUTHORITY.—The Under Secretary for Health, in consultation with the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, shall prescribe the roles, responsibilities, and authorities of the official designated under subsection (a), including—

(1) assisting the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, the Deputy Secretary of Veterans Affairs, and the Under Secretary for Health with policies, operations, programs, and activities relating to emerging therapeutic interventions;

(2) working in coordination with the Secretary of Health and Human Services, the Commissioner of Food and Drugs, the Secretary of Defense, and the Attorney General to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of all activities related to emerging therapeutic interventions within the Department of Veterans Affairs; and

(3) working with Federal agencies, State and local governments, and nongovernmental organizations to improve the delivery of, and access to, emerging therapeutic interventions.

(c) BRIEFING ON DESIGNATION AND IMPLEMENTATION.—Not later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall provide a briefing to the Committees on Veterans' Affairs of the House of Representatives and Senate on—

(1) the status of the designation of the official under subsection (a); and

(2) the implementation of the roles, responsibilities, and the authorities of the official under subsection (b).

SEC. 17_. EMERGING THERAPEUTIC INTERVENTIONS AT THE DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS.

(a) REPORT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act, and biannually thereafter, the Under Secretary for Health of the Department of Veterans Affairs shall submit to the Committees on Veterans' Affairs of the House of Representatives and Senate a report on the activities of the Department with respect to emerging therapeutic interventions, including psychedelic-assisted therapies.

(2) CONTENTS.—At a minimum, each report under paragraph (1) shall, with respect to emerging therapeutic interventions, include—

(A) a summary of research activities, including a list of active and planned clinical trials, of the Department relating to emerging therapeutic interventions;

(B) an identification of key findings from clinical outcomes and patient-reported outcomes made during clinical trials conducted or supported by the Department;

(C) the number of veterans enrolled in treatment programs and clinical trials related to emerging therapeutic interventions;

(D) interagency coordination efforts of the Department, including with the Food and Drug Administration, the Drug Enforcement Agency, and other relevant government agencies;

(E) recommendations to improve the delivery of innovative therapies to veterans, including psychedelic-assisted therapies; and

(F) recommendations for legislative or administrative actions relating to emerging therapeutic interventions.

(b) **WORKFORCE READINESS.**—The Under Secretary for Health of the Department of Veterans Affairs shall develop a workforce implementation-readiness plan for emerging therapeutic interventions (including psychedelic-assisted therapies), including—

(1) conducting a workforce-readiness assessment to identify clinicians and peer support specialists with prior training or certification relevant to emerging therapeutic interventions and gaps in training, supervision, and clinical capacity necessary to support safe and effective implementation of such interventions;

(2) developing a standardized, competency-based training framework for clinicians and peer support specialists participating in emerging therapeutic interventions, including safety monitoring, supervision standards, competent care, interdisciplinary collaboration, and other areas where appropriate; and

(3) developing and implementing a plan to ensure training, using such framework, is conducted, and credentialing standards are applied, with respect to the appropriate clinicians and medical centers of the Department, including any centers of excellence, in a manner designed to ensure access across each Veterans Integrated Service Network.

SEC. 17_. REPORT ON ACCELERATING MEDICAL TREATMENTS FOR SERIOUS MENTAL ILLNESS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, in collaboration with the Commissioner of the Food and Drug Administration and the Administrator of the Drug Enforcement Agency, shall provide a report to appropriate committees of Congress on the implementation of Executive Order 14401, titled “Accelerating Medical Treatments for Serious Mental Illness” (April 18, 2026).

(b) **APPROPRIATE COMMITTEES OF CONGRESS.**—In this section, the term “appropriate committees of Congress” means—

(1) the Committee on Energy and Commerce of the House of Representatives;

(2) the Committee on Veterans’ Affairs of the House of Representatives;

(3) the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions of the Senate; and

(4) the Committee on Veterans’ Affairs of the Senate.

30. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE ISSA
OF CALIFORNIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle B of title II, add the following new section:

SEC. 2_. NATIONAL SECURITY INVESTOR PERSONNEL CLEARANCE PILOT PROGRAM.

(a) **ESTABLISHMENT.**—The Secretary of Defense, acting through the Defense Counterintelligence and Security Agency, shall establish a pilot program under which eligible investors may sponsor and maintain security clearances for certain personnel for the purpose of supporting investments in small- and medium-sized businesses developing technologies relevant to the national security interests of the United States.

(b) **AUTHORITY TO SPONSOR SECURITY CLEARANCES.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—An eligible investor may sponsor security clearances for cleared investment professionals, subject to approval by the Secretary of Defense.

(2) **RELATIONSHIP TO SPECIFIC CONTRACTS.**—A security clearance granted under this section may be maintained independent of any specific Federal Government contract, provided the sponsoring investor remains eligible under this section.

(3) **ACCESS LEVEL.**—Cleared investment professionals may access classified information only pursuant to a lawful determination of need-to-know and in accordance with applicable laws, regulations, and security procedures.

(c) **AUTHORIZED PURPOSES.**—A security clearance granted under this section may be used solely for purposes of—

(1) conducting diligence on covered companies;

(2) supporting the development, scaling, and commercialization of technologies relevant to national security;

(3) facilitating engagement between covered companies and the Department of Defense, intelligence community, or any other Federal agencies;

(4) providing strategic, operational, technical, governance, or management support to covered companies; and

(5) assisting covered companies in obtaining contracts, grants, cooperative agreements, facility clearances, personnel clearances, or other authorizations necessary to support national security missions.

(d) **SECURITY REQUIREMENTS.**—

(1) **FACILITY CLEARANCE.**—Each eligible investor participating in the pilot program shall maintain a facility clearance or such alternative security arrangement as the Secretary of Defense determines appropriate.

(2) **COMPLIANCE WITH OTHER REQUIREMENTS.**—Each eligible investor participating in the pilot program shall comply with all applicable industrial security requirements, insider threat requirements, and reporting obligations.

(3) **SUSPENSION.**—The Secretary of Defense may suspend or revoke an individual's or entity's eligibility to participate in the pilot program for any violation of security requirements or for any foreign ownership, control, or influence concern.

(e) **LIMITATIONS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—No participant in the pilot program may use access to classified information for personal financial gain, securities

trading, market manipulation, or any purpose unrelated to supporting covered companies and national security objectives.

(2) FOREIGN PERSONS.—No foreign person may serve as a cleared investment professional under this section.

(3) NO PREFERENCE IN FEDERAL AWARDS.—Participation in the pilot program shall not confer any preference in the award of Federal contracts, grants, or other Federal assistance.

(f) PARTICIPATION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may approve any eligible investor and any cleared investment professional that satisfies the requirements of this section and any regulations promulgated pursuant to this section.

(2) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to impose a numerical limitation on the number of participating investors, cleared investment professionals, or covered companies that may participate in the program.

(g) ANNUAL REPORT.—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, and annually thereafter, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report describing—

(1) the number of eligible investors participating in the pilot program under this section;

(2) the number and type of clearances sponsored under the program;

(3) the number of covered companies supported through the program;

(4) measurable impacts of the program on defense innovation, manufacturing capacity, technology transition, and the defense industrial base;

(5) any security incidents or counterintelligence concerns identified during implementation of the program; and

(6) recommendations for improving the effectiveness of the program.

(h) SUNSET.—The authority provided by this section shall terminate on the date that is five years after the date of the enactment of this Act unless subsequently reauthorized by law.

(i) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) The term “cleared investment professional” means an employee, partner, member, advisor, director, officer, operating partner, consultant, or other representative of an eligible investor who has been granted a security clearance under this section.

(2) The term “covered company” means a United States small business concern, as defined in section 3 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632), or a medium-sized business designated by the Secretary, that is engaged in the development, production, or commercialization of technology, products, or services relevant to national security.

(3) The term “eligible investor” means a United States person or entity that—

(A) provides equity, debt, strategic, operational, or other investment support to United States businesses;

(B) is not owned, controlled, or influenced by a foreign person;

(C) demonstrates a history of investing in, supporting, or developing technologies, products, or services with defense, intelligence, homeland security, or other national security applications; and

(D) meets such additional requirements as the Secretary of Defense may prescribe.

31. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
NORMAN OF SOUTH CAROLINA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE
FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle B of title XVII, add the following new section:

SEC. 17__. TERMINATION OF PILOT PROGRAMS.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, any pilot program authorized under this Act shall terminate on the earlier of—

(1) the date that is two years after the date of the enactment of this Act; or

(2) such earlier date as may be specified in the provision authorizing such program.

32. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
NORMAN OF SOUTH CAROLINA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE
FOR 10 MINUTES

Insert in the appropriate place in title XVII of division A the following:

SEC. 17. DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENTS FOR PERSONS PERFORMING
RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS FOR DEPARTMENT OF
DEFENSE.

(a) RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS.—Section 4001 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(e) DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENTS.—Whenever issuing a statement, press release, request for proposals, bid solicitation, or other document describing a project or program that is funded in whole or in part with Federal funding, a person performing a research or development project under paragraph (1) or (5) of subsection (b) shall clearly state the following:

“(1) The percentage of the total costs of the program or project financed with Federal funding.

“(2) The dollar amount of Federal funds obligated for the project or program.

“(3) The percentage and dollar amount of the total costs of the project or program that will be financed from nongovernmental sources.”.

(b) COOPERATIVE RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENTS UNDER STEVENSON-WYDLER TECHNOLOGY INNOVATION ACT OF 1980.—Section 4026 of such title is amended—

(1) by striking “The Secretary of Defense” and inserting the following:

“(a) AUTHORITY.—The Secretary of Defense”;

(2) in subsection (a), as designated by paragraph (1), in the second sentence, by striking “Technology may” and inserting the following:

“(b) TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER.—Technology may”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(c) DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENTS.—Whenever issuing a statement, press release, request for proposals, bid solicitation, or other document describing a project or program that is funded in whole or in part with Federal funding, a person performing a research or development project pursuant to a cooperative research and development agreement entered into under subsection (a) shall clearly state the following:

“(1) The percentage of the total costs of the program or project financed with Federal funding.

“(2) The dollar amount of Federal funds obligated for the project or program.

“(3) The percentage and dollar amount of the total costs of the project or program that will be financed from nongovernmental sources.”.

(c) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that the Secretary of Defense should direct the operating divisions of the Department of Defense to design and implement processes to manage and administer grantees’ compliance with the requirements added by this section, including determining to what extent to provide guidance to grantees on calculations.

33. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE SCOTT
OF GEORGIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle E of title III, insert the following:

SEC. __. FEDERAL FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE FOR OPERATION AND
MAINTENANCE OF NATIONAL GUARD FACILITIES.

Chapter 1 of title 32, United States Code, is amended by adding at the
end the following new section:

**“§116. Federal financial assistance for operation and maintenance of
National Guard facilities**

“The Secretary of Defense may provide assistance, through a grant or
cooperative agreement entered into with the Governor of a State (or, in the
case of the District of Columbia, with the commanding general of the District
of Columbia National Guard), a local government, or other recipient for the
purpose of operating or maintaining National Guard facilities required to
organize, train, and equip the National Guard to perform State and Federal
operations and missions.”.

34. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE SCOTT OF GEORGIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle B of title XXXI, add the following new section:

SEC. 31_. PROHIBITION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR NATIONAL LABORATORIES THAT ADMIT CERTAIN FOREIGN NATIONAL VISITORS.

(a) **PROHIBITION.**—No funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2027 for the Department of Energy may be obligated or expended for any National Laboratory that authorizes the admission of a covered visitor to any area of the National Laboratory not accessible to the general public.

(b) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) The term “covered visitor”, with respect to a National Laboratory

—
(A) means a foreign national from a country of concern (as such term is defined in section 8512 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2026 (50 U.S.C. 4581 note; Public Law 119–60)) that—

(i) is not an employee of the Department of Energy or a management and operations contractor operating the National Laboratory on behalf of the Department of Energy; and

(ii) has requested access to the premises, information, or technology of the National Laboratory; and

(B) does not include any lawful permanent resident of the United States.

(2) The term “National Laboratory” has the meaning given such term in section 2 of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (42 U.S.C. 15801).

35. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE SCOTT OF GEORGIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle D of title XII, add the following new section:

SEC. 12__. UNITED STATES-POLAND MILITARY SPACE COOPERATION PROGRAM.

(a) **ESTABLISHMENT.**—The Secretary of Defense shall establish a United States-Republic of Poland Military Space Cooperation Program.

(b) **PURPOSE.**—The purpose of the program shall be to strengthen military cooperation between the United States and the Republic of Poland in support of space-enabled military operations, resilience, and preparedness.

(c) **ACTIVITIES.**—The program may support the following activities:

(1) Analysis and assessment of threats to military space capabilities and supporting infrastructure.

(2) Development and dissemination of operational concepts, doctrine, tactics, techniques, procedures, and best practices relating to resilient positioning, navigation, and timing capabilities, satellite communications, space domain awareness, and space-enabled military operations.

(3) Collection, analysis, and dissemination of operational lessons learned from contemporary conflicts regarding the employment, protection, and resilience of military space capabilities.

(4) Military exercises, training events, simulations, wargames, personnel exchanges, and other cooperative military activities involving personnel of the United States and the Republic of Poland.

(5) Collaboration, as appropriate, with commercial entities, federally funded research and development centers, academic institutions, and other organizations on matters relating to military space operations, space resilience, satellite communications, positioning, navigation, and timing capabilities, and related emerging technologies.

(6) Such other activities as the Secretary of Defense determines necessary to advance the purposes of the program.

(d) **REPORT.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report describing—

(1) plans for implementation of the program;

(2) anticipated contributions of the United States and the Republic of Poland;

(3) opportunities to incorporate operational lessons learned from the conflict in Ukraine into military space cooperation activities under the program; and

(4) any legislative or policy recommendations necessary to support the activities of the program.

36. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE SCOTT OF GEORGIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle E of title XII, insert the following:

SEC. 12_. EXTENSION AND MODIFICATION OF INDO-PACIFIC MARITIME SECURITY INITIATIVE.

(a) **EXPANSION OF TYPES OF ASSISTANCE AND TRAINING.**— Subsection (c) of section 1263 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2016 (Public Law 114-92; 10 U.S.C. 4333 note) is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraph (2) as paragraph (3); and

(2) by inserting after paragraph (1) the following new paragraph:

“(2) **DEMONSTRATION AND OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION OF UNCREWED SYSTEMS AND ASYMMETRIC OPERATIONAL CONCEPTS.**—During the period ending on December 31, 2028, assistance provided under subsection (a)(1)(A) may also include the provision, in coordination with the Department of State, of the following:

“(A) Operational test and evaluation of uncrewed systems and asymmetric operational concepts as part of other assistance to the national military or other security forces described in subsection (a)(1)(A)(i) or the other national level governmental organizations described in subsection (a)(1)(A)(ii).

“(B) Demonstration of uncrewed systems and asymmetric operational concepts as part of other assistance to the national military or other security forces described in subsection (a)(1)(A)(i) or the other national-level governmental organizations described in subsection (a)(1)(A)(ii).”.

(b) **MODIFICATION OF REPORT PERIOD.**—Subsection (i)(1) of such section is amended by striking “calendar year” in the matter preceding subparagraph (A) and in subparagraph (G) and inserting “fiscal year”.

(c) **EXTENSION.**—Subsection (j) of such section is amended by striking “December 31, 2027” and inserting “December 31, 2031”.

37. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE SCOTT
OF GEORGIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle A of title XII, insert the following:

**SEC. 12_. INCREASE IN FUNDING THRESHOLD FOR THE REGIONAL DEFENSE
FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM.**

Section 345(d) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking
“\$35,000,000” and inserting “\$50,000,000”.

38. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
ARRINGTON OF TEXAS OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle C of title XXVIII, add the following new section:
SEC. 28_. REPORT ON COUNTERINTELLIGENCE RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH REAL
PROPERTY TRANSACTIONS NEAR MILITARY INSTALLATIONS.

(a) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the House of Representatives and the Senate a report on efforts of the Department of Defense to identify, assess, and mitigate counterintelligence, force protection, operational security, and military readiness risks arising from real property transactions located in proximity to military installations and other defense-sensitive sites within the United States.

(b) REPORT ELEMENTS.—The report required under subsection (a) shall include—

(1) a description of the policies, procedures, authorities, and resources used by the Department of Defense to identify, assess, and mitigate national security risks associated with real property acquisitions, leases, concessions, development projects, and other transactions located in proximity to military installations and other defense-sensitive sites;

(2) an assessment of counterintelligence, force protection, operational security, and military readiness risks associated with foreign ownership, control, influence, or investment involving property located in the United States and within—

(A) 100 miles of a military installation, as defined in section 2801(c)(4) of title 10, United States Code; or

(B) 50 miles of—

(i) a military training route, as defined in section 183a(h) of title 10, United States Code;

(ii) airspace designated as special use airspace under part 73 of title 14, Code of Federal Regulations, and managed by the Department of Defense;

(iii) a controlled firing area, as defined in section 1.1 of title 14, Code of Federal Regulations, used by the Department of Defense; or

(iv) a military operations area, as defined in section 1.1 of title 14, Code of Federal Regulations;

(3) a specific assessment of risks associated with transactions involving a foreign person owned or controlled by, acting on behalf of, or subject to the direction of—

(A) the Government of the People's Republic of China;

(B) the Government of the Russian Federation;

(C) the Government of the Islamic Republic of Iran;

(D) the Government of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea; or

(E) a foreign terrorist organization designated pursuant to section 219 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1189);

(4) a description of the processes used by the Department to coordinate with the Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States and other Federal departments and agencies regarding transactions that may present national security concerns;

(5) a description of transactions identified by the Department during the preceding three years as presenting potential counterintelligence, force protection, operational security, military readiness, or other national security concerns, including—

(A) the number and general nature of such transactions;

(B) the basis for the Department's concern, as appropriate;

(C) actions taken by the Department to assess, mitigate, or otherwise address such concerns; and

(D) coordination undertaken with the Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States or other Federal departments and agencies in connection with such transactions; and

(6) an assessment of any gaps in authorities, resources, personnel, intelligence collection, or information sharing capabilities that limit the ability of the Department to identify, assess, or mitigate such risks, together with recommendations for legislative action.

(c) FORM.—The report required by subsection shall be submitted in unclassified form but may include a classified annex.

(d) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that the Department of Defense should strengthen and maintain counterintelligence activities, threat awareness, and interagency coordination relating to real property transactions and development activities that may facilitate foreign intelligence collection or otherwise threaten the security of military installations and defense-sensitive sites within the United States, or otherwise present counterintelligence, intelligence collection, surveillance, operational security, force protection, or military readiness risks.

39. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE MACE
OF SOUTH CAROLINA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle A of title II, add the following new section:

**SEC. 2__. FUNDING FOR COMPACT SEMICONDUCTOR-BASED FAST NEUTRON
DETECTORS.**

(a) **INCREASE.**—Notwithstanding the amounts set forth in the funding tables in division D, the amount authorized to be appropriated in section 201 for Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation, Air Force, as specified in the corresponding funding table in section 4201, for Aerospace Sensors (PE 0602204F), Line 9, is hereby increased by \$4,300,000 for Compact Semiconductor-Based Fast Neutron Detectors.

(b) **OFFSET.**—Notwithstanding the amounts set forth in the funding tables in division D, the amount authorized to be appropriated in section 101 for procurement, Marine Corps, as specified in the corresponding funding table in section 4101, for Fire Support System, Line 023, is hereby reduced by \$4,300,000.

40. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE MACE
OF SOUTH CAROLINA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle A of title II, add the following new section:

**SEC. 2_. FUNDING FOR ENVIRONMENTAL AND THERMAL PROTECTION
COATINGS.**

(a) **INCREASE.**—Notwithstanding the amounts set forth in the funding tables in division D, the amount authorized to be appropriated in section 201 for Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation, Air Force, as specified in the corresponding funding table in section 4201, for Aerospace Sensors (PE 0602204F), Line 9, is hereby increased by \$8,500,000 for ultra-high-temperature metallic oxide environmental and thermal protection coatings for hypersonic vehicle leading edges, hot structures, and space vehicle heat shields.

(b) **OFFSET.**—Notwithstanding the amounts set forth in the funding tables in division D, the amount authorized to be appropriated in section 101 for procurement, Marine Corps, as specified in the corresponding funding table in section 4101, for Fire Support System, Line 023, is hereby reduced by \$8,500,000.

41. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
GRIFFITH OF VIRGINIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle C of title X, insert the following new section:

**SEC. 10_. LIMITATION ON AUTHORITY OF ARMED FORCES TO DETAIN
CITIZENS OF THE UNITED STATES.**

Section 1021(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 10 U.S.C. 801 note) is amended, in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by inserting “, other than a citizen of the United States,” after “any person”.

42. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE EVANS
OF COLORADO OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle C of title II, insert the following:

SEC. 2_. FUNDING FOR ROTARY WING AVIATION LIFT UPGRADE
ENHANCEMENT.

(a) INCREASE.—Notwithstanding the amounts set forth in the funding tables in Division D, the amount authorized to be appropriated in section 201 for Research, Development, Testing and Evaluation, Defense-Wide, as specified in the corresponding funding table in section 4201 for system development for Aviation Systems (PE1160403BB) Line 302 is hereby increased by \$12,000,000 (with the amount of such increase to be used to support Rotary Wing Aviation Lift Upgrade Enhancement).

(b) OFFSET.—Notwithstanding the amounts set forth in the funding tables in Division D the amount authorized to be appropriated in section 301 for operation and maintenance, Army, as specified in the corresponding funding table in section 4301, for Aviation Assets, Line 060, is hereby reduced by 12,000,000.

43. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
PATRONIS OF FLORIDA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle B of title XXVIII, add the following new section:

SEC. 28_. INAPPLICABILITY OF NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVATION ACT TO
CERTAIN MILITARY HOUSING.

Section 307104 of title 54, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“§307104.Inapplicability of division to White House, Supreme Court building, United States Capitol, and certain military housing

“(a) IN GENERAL.—Nothing in this division applies to—

“(1) the White House and its grounds, the Supreme Court building and its grounds; or

“(2) except as provided in subsection (b), any building or structure on a military installation that is or has ever been used as—

“(A) military unaccompanied housing; or

“(B) military family housing,

“(b) EXCEPTION.—The exception under subsection (a) shall not apply to

—
“(1) any building or structure described in paragraph (2) of such subsection that is listed on the National Register of Historic Places as of January 20, 2025; or

“(2) any such building or structure the Secretary of Defense specifically exempts from this provision, subject to subsection (c).

“(c) LIMITATION.—

“(1) NUMBER OF EXEMPTIONS.—If the Secretary of Defense elects to make a discretionary exemption under subsection (b), the total number of discretionary exemptions may not exceed one-one-tenth of one percent of the total number of buildings or structures on military installations that are or ever have been used as military unaccompanied housing or military family housing.

“(2) MANAGEMENT OF EXEMPTED BUILDINGS.—Any buildings or structures specifically exempted by the Secretary of Defense pursuant to subsection (b)(1) shall be managed in accordance with this division unless or until the Secretary of Defense elects to remove the discretionary exemption granted to any such building or structure.”.

44. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
HARRIGAN OF NORTH CAROLINA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE
FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle C of title XXVIII, add the following new section:

SEC. 28. PROHIBITION ON USE OF AUTOMATED SPEED ENFORCEMENT
CAMERAS ON MILITARY INSTALLATIONS.

Section 2661 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting at the end the following new subsection:

“(e) PROHIBITION ON USE OF AUTOMATED SPEED ENFORCEMENT CAMERAS.—(1) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, no Federal funds may be used by the Secretary of Defense to purchase, install, operate, maintain, or contract for the operation of an automated speed enforcement camera system on a military installation.

“(2) By not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this subsection, the Secretary shall ensure that any automated speed enforcement camera system in operation on a military installation as of the date of the enactment of this section is decommissioned and removed.

“(3) Nothing in this subsection may be construed to—

“(A) limit the authority of an installation commander to enforce posted speed limits on the military installation under the jurisdiction of the commander through means other than automated speed enforcement cameras; or

“(B) affect the use of any camera or photographic device for purposes of security, access control, force protection, or criminal investigation, so long as such camera or photographic device is not primarily used for speed enforcement.

“(4) In this subsection:

“(A) The term ‘automated speed enforcement camera system’ means any system that uses a photographic, video, or other electronic device to

—
“(i) automatically detect and record the speed of a motor vehicle; and

“(ii) generate a record of any vehicle traveling in excess of a posted speed limit.

“(B) The term ‘military installation’ has the meaning given such term in section 2801 of this title.”.

45. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE CLOUD
OF TEXAS OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle _ of title ___, add the following section:

SEC. ___. OPERATIONAL PILOT PROGRAM ON ORBITAL DATA CENTER SERVICES.

(a) SHORT TITLE. This section may be cited as the “Nodes, Enterprise Workloads, and Hybrid Operations, Resilience, Integration, Zero-Trust, Orbital Networks Act” or “NEW HORIZON Act”.

(b) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following findings:

(1) Modern national security space missions generate increasing volumes of data from space- based sensors, platforms, and constellations, placing growing demands on terrestrial data transport, processing, and analysis infrastructure.

(2) Reliance on ground-based data processing can introduce latency, bandwidth constraints, and vulnerabilities that may degrade the timeliness, resilience, and effectiveness of military and intelligence operations in contested environments.

(3) Commercial industry is developing orbital data center and space-based cloud computing capabilities that enable in-space data processing, storage, and analytics, which may reduce latency, enhance resilience, and improve mission outcomes.

(4) The Department of Defense has identified the need for hybrid architectures that integrate space, terrestrial, and commercial capabilities to support joint and national security missions.

(5) An operational pilot program is necessary to evaluate the military utility, operational integration, and transition potential of orbital data center services through real-world mission use cases before any broader adoption or sustained acquisition.

(6) Maintaining a competitive and resilient domestic industrial base for orbital infrastructure, including satellite platforms, communications systems, and in-space computing capabilities, is important to accelerating innovation and supporting operational resilience.

(c) PILOT PROGRAM.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense (referred to in this Act as the “Secretary”), acting through the Director of the Defense Innovation Unit, shall carry out an operational pilot program under the Hybrid Space Architecture initiative to evaluate the use of commercially available orbital data center services and space-based cloud computing capabilities relevant to national security space and joint mission requirements.

(2) PURPOSES.—The purposes of the pilot program shall be—

(A) to assess the military utility of orbital data center and space-based cloud computing services;

(B) to evaluate the operational integration of such services into existing and planned Department of Defense space and joint architectures;

(C) to examine the resilience, latency, security, and mission assurance benefits of in-space data processing;

(D) to inform the potential transition of such services into sustained programs of record or operational use;

(E) to evaluate concepts of operations for the protection and defense of orbital data center assets against kinetic, nonkinetic, and cyber threats;

(F) to assess the asset protection strategies and vulnerabilities of orbital data center infrastructure; and

(G) to evaluate the integration and operational performance of interoperable, commercially provided orbital infrastructure components sourced from multiple vendors across the hybrid space architecture ecosystem.

(3) SCOPE.—In carrying out the pilot program, the Secretary may—

(A) employ commercially available orbital data center services in support of real-world mission scenarios, including intelligence, space domain awareness, command and control, data transport, and other national security applications;

(B) conduct testing, demonstration, and limited operational employment necessary to assess technical performance and operational viability; and

(C) support integration activities required to evaluate interoperability with the Department of Defense’s space, ground, and network systems.

(4) ACQUISITION AUTHORITY.—The Secretary shall encourage competitive participation from a diverse set of nontraditional defense contractors and commercial space providers .

(5) SECURITY AND RESILIENCE MEASURES FOR SENSITIVE AND CLASSIFIED INFORMATION.—In carrying out the pilot program, the Secretary shall ensure that any orbital data center services used to process, store, or transmit sensitive or classified information have in place—

(A) cybersecurity protections, including zero-trust architecture, encryption, identity and access management, continuous monitoring, and protections against insider threats;

(B) risk-management measures—

(i) to address supply chain vulnerabilities and foreign ownership, control, or influence; and

(ii) that achieve compliance with applicable Department of Defense cybersecurity and authorization requirements;

(C) resilience and mission assurance capabilities, including redundancy, failover, operation in degraded or contested environments, and rapid reconstitution or replacement capabilities;

(D) protections against cyber, electronic warfare, counterspace, and other nonkinetic threats;

(E) secure telemetry, tracking, and command links and associated command-and-control systems, including authenticated command uplinks, encrypted telemetry and data links, anti-spoofing and anti-jamming protections, resilient cryptographic key management, protected timing and navigation inputs, and secure software and firmware update mechanisms;

(F) protections for associated ground systems, mission operations centers, terrestrial network connections, software supply chains, and user access interfaces, including segmentation, continuous monitoring, access controls, encryption, and resilience against cyber intrusion, disruption, and unauthorized access; and

(G) protections to ensure workload isolation, tenant separation, and data sovereignty for sensitive or classified information processed, stored, or transmitted through orbital data center services, including safeguards against unauthorized cross-tenant, cross-domain, or provider access.

(6) INTEGRATION AND INTEROPERABILITY.— The Secretary shall ensure that any orbital data center services evaluated under the pilot program are interoperable with existing Department of Defense command, control, communications, and intelligence systems.

(7) CONSULTATION.—In carrying out the pilot program, the Secretary, acting through the Director of the Defense Innovation Unit, shall consult with—

(A) the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Space Policy;

(B) service acquisition executives (as defined in section 101 of title 10, United States Code);

(C) the Space Force and other military departments with potential operational interest or transition pathways;

(D) the National Reconnaissance Office;

(E) the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency; and

(F) such other individuals and organizations as the Secretary considers appropriate.

(8) BRIEFING.—Not later than December 31, 2028, the Secretary shall provide the congressional defense committees (as defined in section 101 of title 10, United States Code) with a briefing on—

(A) execution of the pilot program;

(B) operational use cases evaluated;

(C) lessons learned from operational employment;

(D) recommendations regarding future acquisition or operational use of orbital data center services;

(E) cybersecurity risks, insider threat vulnerabilities, and mitigation measures;

(F) resilience against counterspace threats and contested space environments;

(G) commercial provider risks, including supply chain and foreign ownership concerns; and

(H) recommendations for security, resilience, and acquisition requirements for any future program of record.

(d) TERMINATION.—The authority to carry out the pilot program under this section shall terminate on the date that is five years after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(e) ORBITAL DATA CENTER DEFINED.—In this section, the term “orbital data center” means a space-based computing, data storage, or networking capability, including 1 or

more spacecraft, hosted payloads, or distributed orbital architectures, designed primarily to provide persistent, scalable, or shared in-orbit processing, analysis, storage, fusion, routing, or dissemination of data as a distinct operational capability, rather than as a function ancillary to the primary mission of a spacecraft, prior to transmission to terrestrial or other external infrastructure, including to reduce latency, mitigate bandwidth constraints, improve operational resilience, or support time-sensitive missions.

46. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE AMO
OF RHODE ISLAND OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

Add at the end of subtitle D of title XVIII, the following new section:
SEC. 18_. AUTHORIZATION OF USE OF APEX ACCELERATORS.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, APEX Accelerators may assist small business concerns (as defined under section 3 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632)) in receiving contracts for the production of and research on defense articles (as defined in section 301 of title 10, United States Code) under the partnership among Australia, the United Kingdom, and the United States (commonly known as "AUKUS").

47. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
ARRINGTON OF TEXAS OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle J of title V, add the following new section:

**SEC. 5__ PILOT PROGRAM OF ANONYMOUS NONMEDICAL COUNSELING FOR
SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES.**

(a) **PILOT PROGRAM.**—In addition to authorities under sections 167 and 1788a of title 10, United States Code, the Commander of the United States Special Operations Command shall carry out a pilot program to provide anonymous nonmedical counseling services to members of the special operations forces and families of such members using a novel anonymous telehealth platform. The Commander shall ensure that counseling services under the pilot program are available to eligible individuals described in subsection (c) beginning not later than June 1, 2027.

(b) **USE OF EXISTING PERSONNEL.**—

(1) **DESIGNATION.**—The Commander shall designate counselors and clinicians employed by, contracted to, or assigned to the United States Special Operations Command or its component commands, including counselors and clinicians supporting the Preservation of the Force and Family program, to provide services under the pilot program. Counseling hours dedicated to the pilot program shall be equivalent to not less than 20 percent of the total counseling capacity supporting the Preservation of the Force and Family program.

(2) **CONTINUATION OF EXISTING DUTIES.**—The Commander shall ensure that—

(A) designated personnel under paragraph (1) continue to perform the existing assigned duties of the personnel; and

(B) the pilot program and the anonymous telehealth platform serve as a supplemental intake pathway for participating counselors.

(3) **GEOGRAPHIC SCOPE OF SERVICE.**—A counselor or clinician designated under paragraph (1) may provide services under the pilot program to any eligible individual described in subsection (c), regardless of the installation, duty station, or geographic location of the counselor, clinician, or eligible individual.

(c) **ELIGIBILITY.**—The Commander shall ensure that the pilot program is available to all individuals currently or previously assigned to, supporting, or augmenting the United States Special Operations Command or its component commands, and to the spouses and dependents (as defined in section 1072 of title 10, United States Code) of such individuals.

(d) **STANDARD OF CARE.**—Services under the pilot program shall consist of nonmedical counseling similar in type and scope to the nonmedical counseling provided under the Department of Defense Military and Family Life Counseling Program under section 1781(d) of title 10, United States Code, including with respect to charting and recordkeeping practices and the cross-jurisdictional authorities described in paragraph (2) of such section, except that services under the pilot program shall be delivered exclusively through an anonymous telehealth platform.

(e) **ANONYMITY.**—

(1) **PLATFORM.**—The Commander shall ensure that—

(A) the anonymous telehealth platform does not collect or retain personally identifiable information regarding any participant; and

(B) session content transmitted during the course of services is protected by end-to-end encryption, such that the platform vendor

cannot access such content, and is not retained on the platform after the session.

(2) **PARTICIPANT DISCLOSURE.**—A participant may voluntarily disclose identifying information in the course of receiving services but is not required to do so as a condition of participation.

(3) **COUNSELOR CONDUCT.**—A counselor providing services under the pilot program may not request, require, or otherwise solicit personally identifiable information from a participant, except as necessary to act on a duty arising under applicable Federal or State law in cases involving imminent risk of harm.

(4) **USE OF INFORMATION.**—Participation in the pilot program, and any information disclosed by a participant during services, shall not result in entry into medical records, command notification, or referral, except as required to discharge a duty described in paragraph (3).

(f) **INFORMATION SECURITY.**—The Chief Information Officer of the Department of Defense shall establish alternative information security requirements for the anonymous telehealth platform used to deliver services under the pilot program, reflecting the anonymous design of the platform and the limited duration of the pilot program. Such requirements shall not include authorization under the Federal Risk and Authorization Management Program.

(g) **FUNDING.**—No additional funds are authorized to be appropriated to carry out the pilot program.

(h) **REPORTS.**—Not later than February 1 of each year following the date counseling services first become available under the pilot program, the Commander shall submit to the congressional defense committees an annual report evaluating utilization, impact on existing counseling capacity, cost considerations, readiness implications, and recommendations for continuation or expansion.

(i) **SUNSET.**—The authority under this section terminates on September 30, 2029, except that the Commander may extend the pilot program for up to two additional fiscal years upon notification to the congressional defense committees.

48. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
BARRAGÁN OF CALIFORNIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR
10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle B of title III, add the following new section:

SEC. 3__. ASSESSMENT OF GEOTHERMAL ENERGY POTENTIAL WITH RESPECT
TO MILITARY INSTALLATIONS.

(a) **ASSESSMENT.**—The Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of Energy, shall conduct an assessment of geothermal energy resources located on or underlying military installations.

(b) **CONTENTS.**—The assessment under subsection (a) shall include an identification of the following:

(1) Each military installation with significant geothermal energy potential.

(2) Opportunities to improve the energy resilience (as such term is defined in section 101(f) of title 10, United States Code) of military installations through geothermal energy development.

(3) Opportunities for collaboration between the Department of Defense and the Department of Energy with respect to resource characterization, technology demonstration, and future geothermal energy development.

(c) **REPORT.**—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report containing the results of the assessment under subsection (a).

49. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
BARRETT OF MICHIGAN OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle E of title X, insert the following:

SEC. 10. ACAS-X ACTION PLAN.

(a) ACAS-X ACTION PLAN.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the House of Representatives and Senate an action plan for advancing the deployment of the Airborne Collision Avoidance System-X (in this section referred to as “ACAS-X”), ACAS-Xa, ACAS-Xr, ACAS-Xo, ACAS-Xu, ACAS-sXu, ACAS-Xp.

(2) CONTENTS.—In developing the action plan under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall include—

(A) a strategic roadmap for the deployment of ACAS-X technology, including steps required for widespread adoption among military aircraft;

(B) actions and funding necessary to complete any applicable research, development, testing, evaluation, and standards development needed to support the certification of such technology;

(C) ACAS-X interoperability considerations for aircraft operators (including rotorcraft operators) equipped with ADS-B Out and ADS-B In equipment;

(D) an assessment of safety benefits for aircraft operators equipping with such technology; and

(E) any recommendations for administrative or legislative action, as determined appropriate by the Secretary, to advance such technology deployment.

(3) IMPLEMENTATION.—The Secretary may take actions, as appropriate, to implement the action plan developed under paragraph (1).

(4) BRIEFING.—Not later than 30 days after the date on which the Secretary submits the action plan under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall provide to the Committees on Armed Services of the House of Representatives and Senate a briefing on the contents of such action plan and any prospective actions to implement such plan.

(b) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) The term “ADS-B In” means onboard avionics equipment that receives and processes Automatic Dependent Surveillance-Broadcast transmissions that are broadcast in accordance with sections 91.225 and 91.227 of title 14, Code of Federal Regulations (or any successor regulations), and other aviation advisory information from ground stations, that provides the aircraft with awareness to the location of other aircraft and traffic advisories.

(2) The term “ADS-B Out”—

(A) has the meaning given such term in section 91.227 of title 14, Code of Federal Regulations; and

(B) includes equipment that broadcasts information from an aircraft in accordance with sections 91.225 and 91.227 of such title 14 (or any successor regulations).

50. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
BAUMGARTNER OF WASHINGTON OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE
FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle A of title II, add the following new section:

**SEC. 2_. FUNDING FOR REACTIVE MATERIAL TO ENHANCE THE LETHALITY OF
MUNITIONS.**

(a) **INCREASE.**—Notwithstanding the amounts set forth in the funding tables in division D, the amount authorized to be appropriated in section 201 for research, development, test and evaluation, Defense-wide, as specified in the corresponding funding table in section 4201, for industrial base analysis and sustainment support, line 233 (PE 0607210D8Z) for domestic manufacturing capability for advanced reactive materials is hereby increased by \$5,000,000 (to be available for the purpose of accelerating the manufacture of a reactive material to enhance the lethality of munitions).

(b) **OFFSET.**—Notwithstanding the amounts set forth in the funding tables in division D, the amount authorized to be appropriated in section 301 for operation and maintenance, Defense-wide, as specified in the corresponding funding table in section 4301, for defense media activity, line 330 is hereby reduced by \$5,000,000.

51. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
BEGICH OF ALASKA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle D of title XXVIII, add the following new section:

SEC. 28. LAND CONVEYANCE, JOINT BASE ELMENDORF-RICHARDSON,
ANCHORAGE, ALASKA.

(a) CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this section, but only after the completion of the appraisal under subsection (d)(1), the Secretary of the Air Force shall—

(1) determine whether the parcel of real property described in subsection (b), including any improvements thereon, is excess to the needs of Joint Base Elmendorf-Richardson, Anchorage, Alaska;

(2) if the Secretary determines such parcel is excess to such needs, declare that such parcel is excess to such needs; and

(3) take such actions as may be necessary to convey to the Municipality of Anchorage, Alaska (in this section referred to as the “Municipality”), all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to such parcel, in accordance with—

(A) the North Anchorage Land Agreement; and

(B) any agreement reached between the Municipality and Ektuna, Incorporated, during the one-year period beginning on the date the Secretary makes the declaration under paragraph (2).

(b) DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.—The parcel to be conveyed under subsection (a) consists of approximately 50 acres of land located on Joint Base Elmendorf-Richardson in Anchorage, Alaska, described as United States Survey Number 8690, Lot 2 Section 22, T14N R2W, Seward Meridian that is—

(1) located adjacent to the Anchorage Regional Landfill; and

(2) leased by the Municipality under the lease numbered USAF–PACAF–HJZH–18–2–0391 as of the date of the enactment of this section.

(c) TERMINATION OF LEASE.—Any authority granted under the lease referred to in subsection (b) shall terminate on the date on which the Secretary conveys the property under subsection (a).

(d) TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—

(1) APPRAISAL.—The fair market value of the property to be conveyed under subsection (a) shall be determined pursuant to an appraisal to be carried out—

(A) by an independent appraiser selected by the Secretary; and

(B) in accordance with—

(i) the Uniform Appraisal Standards for Federal Land Acquisitions; and

(ii) the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice.

(2) PAYMENT OF COSTS OF CONVEYANCE.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall require the Municipality to cover costs to be incurred by the Secretary, or to reimburse the Secretary for such costs incurred by the Secretary, to carry out the conveyance under subsection (a), including such costs related to land survey, environmental documentation, real estate due diligence such as appraisals, and any other administrative costs related to the conveyance.

(B) REFUND.—If amounts are collected from the Municipality under paragraph (1) in advance of the Secretary incurring the

actual costs, and the amount collected exceeds the costs actually incurred by the Secretary to carry out the conveyance, the Secretary shall refund the excess amount to the Municipality.

(C) TREATMENT OF AMOUNTS RECEIVED AS REIMBURSEMENT OF COSTS INCURRED.—

(i) CREDIT TO ACCOUNT.—Amounts received under paragraph (1) to reimburse the Secretary for costs incurred by the Secretary to carry out the conveyance under subsection (a) shall be credited to the fund or account used to cover those costs or to an appropriate fund or account available to the Secretary for the purpose for which the costs were paid.

(ii) AVAILABILITY.—Amounts credited to a fund or account under clause (i) shall—

(I) merge with amounts in such fund or account; and

(II) be available to the Secretary for the same purpose and subject to the same conditions and limitations as the amounts in such fund or account.

(D) DEPOSIT OF AMOUNTS RECEIVED AS PAYMENT.—Amounts received as consideration for the conveyance under subsection (a) shall be deposited in the special account in the Treasury established under section 572(b)(5) of title 40, United States Code.

(3) PRE-CONVEYANCE ENTRY.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary, under the terms described in subparagraph (B) and any additional terms and conditions the Secretary determines appropriate, may authorize the Municipality to enter the property to be conveyed under subsection (a) at no charge for pre-construction activities, including site characterization under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) and the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq.), before the property is conveyed under subsection (a).

(B) REQUIRED TERMS.—Any authorization granted under subparagraph (A) shall—

(i) be revocable at the discretion of the Secretary;

(ii) not be construed to convey any right, title, or interest in the property; and

(iii) require the Municipality to comply with installation access and safety requirements and such other requirements as the Secretary determines necessary to protect the interests of the United States.

(4) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The Secretary may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the conveyance under subsection (a) as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

(e) LIMITATION ON SOURCE OF FUNDS.—The Municipality may not use Federal funds to cover any portion of the costs required to be paid by the Municipality under this section.

(f) SAVINGS PROVISION.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to affect or limit the application of, or any obligation to comply with, any environmental law, including the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 and the Solid Waste Disposal Act (42 U.S.C. 6901 et seq.).

(g) NORTH ANCHORAGE LAND AGREEMENT DEFINED.—In this section, the term “North Anchorage Land Agreement” means the agreement entered into by the State of Alaska, the Municipality, and Eklutna, Incorporated, pursuant to section 1425 of the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act (Public Law 96–487; 94 Stat. 2515) (including any

amendments to that agreement as of the date of the enactment of this section).

52. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
BEGICH OF ALASKA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

In title XV, subtitle A, add at the end the following new section:

**SEC. 15_. CYBER INCIDENT REPORTING REQUIREMENTS FOR OPERATIONALLY
CRITICAL CONTRACTORS.**

Section 391 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (c), by amending paragraph (2) to read as follows:

“(2) **RAPID REPORTING.**—The procedures established pursuant to subsection (a) shall require each operationally critical contractor to report to the component of the Department designated pursuant to subsection (d)(2)(A)—

“(A) on a rapid basis, each cyber incident with respect to any network or information system of such contractor, including for each such incident—

“(i) an assessment by the contractor of the effect of the incident on the ability of the contractor to meet the contractual requirements of the Department;

“(ii) the technique or method used in such incident;

“(iii) a sample of any malicious software, if discovered and isolated by the contractor, involved in such incident; and

“(iv) a summary of the information compromised by such incident; and

“(B) promptly, but not later than 72 hours after discovery, each discovery within any network or information system of such contractor of any hardware, software, firmware, embedded technology, or update thereto that is manufactured by, is developed under the direction of, or includes source code or design contributions from, a covered Chinese entity, including for each such hardware, software, firmware, technology, or update—

“(i) a description of the hardware, software, firmware, technology, or update discovered and the network or system on which it resides;

“(ii) the function and integration level of such hardware, software, firmware, technology, or update;

“(iii) the date, method, and circumstances of discovery; and

“(iv) any immediate mitigation or isolation measures undertaken.”; and

(2) in subsection (e), by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(3) **COVERED CHINESE ENTITY DEFINED.**—The term ‘covered Chinese entity’ means an entity—

“(A) organized under the laws of, or primarily subject to the jurisdiction of, the People’s Republic of China;

“(B) owned by, controlled by, or subject to the direction of the Government of the People’s Republic of China or the Chinese Communist Party; or

“(C) identified on the list of Chinese military companies operating in the United States under section 1260H of the William M. (Mac) Thornberry National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2021 (Public Law 116–283; 10 U.S.C. 113 note), or any successor provision.”.

53. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
BEGICH OF ALASKA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

Page 1385, line 6, strike “Section” and insert “(a) IN GENERAL.—
Section”.

Page 1385, after line 21, insert the following new subsection:

(b) REPORT.—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, and annually thereafter for three years, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on implementation of the amendments made by this section. The Secretary shall include in such report—

(1) the total volume and value of fish, shellfish, and seafood products procured by commissaries, exchanges, and nonappropriated fund instrumentalities during the preceding fiscal year, disaggregated by domestic and foreign origin;

(2) the States and territories of the United States from which such products were sourced, including the volume and value attributable to each; and

(3) the steps taken by the Secretary to maximize procurement of domestically sourced seafood products, including from States and territories of the United States located within the area of responsibility of the United States Indo-Pacific Command.

54. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
BEGICH OF ALASKA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle B of title XVIII, add the following new section:

**SEC. 18. FEASIBILITY OF ORIGINAL EQUIPMENT MANUFACTURER
DESIGNATION OF SOURCES OF SUPPLY FOR GROUND SUPPORT
EQUIPMENT PARTS.**

(a) **BRIEFING REQUIRED.**—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Sustainment shall provide a briefing to the congressional defense committees on the feasibility of implementing a process under which an original equipment manufacturer may designate one or more of its current suppliers as a source of supply for parts produced by such supplier.

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—The briefing required under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) An assessment of the feasibility of establishing a process by which a supplier designated by an original equipment manufacturer as a source of supply for a part may be recognized within Department of Defense supply systems as a vendor for such part not later than 30 days after such designation.

(2) An assessment of the potential benefits of such a process with respect to—

(A) improving direct oversight and supervision of suppliers by original equipment manufacturers;

(B) increasing efficiency within the defense acquisition and sustainment process;

(C) expanding the availability of qualified sources of supply for parts and materials;

(D) reducing administrative burdens associated with supplier qualification and procurement activities; and

(E) improving readiness outcomes through more timely access to critical parts and materials.

(3) An assessment of the feasibility and benefits of ensuring that all suppliers of ground support equipment parts and materials are subject to—

(A) the certificate revocation requirements under section 44726 of title 49, United States Code; and

(B) the penalties under section 38 of title 18, United States Code, for purposes of preventing the acquisition of counterfeit ground support equipment parts and materials.

(4) Recommendations for any legislative, regulatory, policy, contracting, or information technology systems changes necessary to implement the process described in paragraph (1).

(c) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) The term “ground support equipment” means equipment, parts, and materials used to support the operation, maintenance, servicing, or sustainment of military aircraft and related systems.

(2) The term “original equipment manufacturer” means a manufacturer that designs, develops, produces, or maintains equipment or components acquired by the Department of Defense.

(3) The term “source of supply” means a supplier designated by an original equipment manufacturer as authorized to manufacture, produce, or provide a part for use in support of equipment produced or supported by such manufacturer.

55. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
BEGICH OF ALASKA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

Add at the end of subtitle B of title XVIII, the following new section:

SEC. 18__ . FEASIBILITY OF COMMON CERTIFICATIONS FOR NAVAL AVIATION
AND NAVAL SEA SYSTEMS SUPPLY CHAINS.

(a) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this section, the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Industrial Base Policy, in coordination with the Secretary of the Navy, shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the feasibility of establishing common certification standards for suppliers participating in the supply chains of the Naval Air Systems Command and the Naval Sea Systems Command.

(b) ELEMENTS.—The report required under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) An assessment of the current certification requirements for suppliers to the Naval Air Systems Command and the Naval Sea Systems Command, including an identification of certifications that are duplicative, overlapping, or could be standardized across both commands without compromising quality, safety, or security standards.

(2) An assessment of the feasibility of establishing a common certification framework under which a supplier certified to participate in the supply chain of one command would be recognized, without redundant qualification processes, as eligible to participate in the supply chain of the other command.

(3) An assessment of the potential effects of such a common certification framework with respect to—

(A) increasing competition among suppliers for contracts with the Naval Air Systems Command and the Naval Sea Systems Command;

(B) expanding access to a greater number of qualified suppliers for each command;

(C) reducing administrative and compliance burdens on suppliers, particularly small business concerns (as defined under section 3 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632)) and nontraditional defense contractors (as defined in section 3014 of title 10, United States Code), associated with maintaining separate certifications for each command;

(D) improving the sustainability and resilience of the naval supply chain industrial base; and

(E) any risks to quality assurance, safety, or national security that may result from certification standardization.

(4) Recommendations for any legislative, regulatory, or policy changes necessary to implement a common certification framework, including any changes to existing qualification requirements administered by the Defense Contract Management Agency or other relevant entities.

(c) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) The term “Naval Air Systems Command” means the systems command of the Department of the Navy responsible for research, development, acquisition, and sustainment of naval aviation systems.

(2) The term “Naval Sea Systems Command” means the systems command of the Department of the Navy responsible for research, development, acquisition, and sustainment of naval sea systems.

56. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
BEGICH OF ALASKA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle D of title XVI, add the following new section:

SEC. 16_. ASSESSMENT OF RESILIENT MISSILE DEFENSE SENSING
ARCHITECTURES.

(a) REPORT.—Not later than March 1, 2027, the Secretary of Defense, acting through the Director of the Missile Defense Agency, shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the strategy of the Department of Defense to develop and field resilient and distributed missile defense sensing architectures.

(b) ELEMENTS.—The report under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) An assessment of current and planned fixed missile defense sensing infrastructure and associated vulnerabilities in contested environments.

(2) An evaluation of modular, transportable, and rapidly deployable sensing capabilities, including their potential to restore degraded sensing coverage under operational stress conditions.

(3) A description of ongoing or planned efforts to incorporate modular open systems approaches and commercially available technologies into missile defense sensing architectures.

(4) An assessment of scalable radar integration concepts and the potential of such concepts to accelerate deployment timelines and improve operational resilience.

(5) An identification of programmatic, technical, or acquisition barriers to fielding distributed sensing architectures and recommended actions to address those barriers.

(6) Recommended near-term investments or pilot efforts to improve the survivability and reconstitution capacity of missile defense sensing networks.

(c) IMPLEMENTATION AUTHORITY.—The Secretary of Defense may use funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act to initiate pilot efforts or partnerships that support implementation of the recommendations contained in the report under subsection (a).

(d) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) The term “distributed sensing architecture” means a missile defense sensing approach that employs multiple geographically dispersed sensor nodes to reduce reliance on any single point of sensing infrastructure.

(2) The term “modular open systems approach” has the meaning given that term in section 4401 of title 10, United States Code.

57. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
BERGMAN OF MICHIGAN OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

Page 431, after line 8, insert the following new section:

**SEC. 6_. AUTHORIZATION OF MORE THAN ONE PERIOD OF REST AND
RECUPERATION ABSENCE FOR CERTAIN MEMBERS EXTENDING DUTY
AT DESIGNATED LOCATIONS OVERSEAS.**

Section 705(b) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

- (1) in paragraph (1), by striking “a period of”; and
- (2) in paragraph (2)—
 - (A) by striking “a period of”; and
 - (B) by inserting “one” before “round-trip”.

58. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
BERGMAN OF MICHIGAN OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle A of title II insert the following:

**SEC. 2_. FUNDING FOR NEXT GENERATION COMBAT VEHICLE ADVANCED
TECHNOLOGY.**

(a) **INCREASE.**—Notwithstanding the amounts set forth in the funding tables in division D, the amount authorized to be appropriated in section 201 for research, development, test, and evaluation, Army as specified in the corresponding funding table in section 4201, for advanced technology development for Next Generation Combat Vehicle Advanced Technology, Line 050, is hereby increased by \$7,000,000 (with the amount of such increase to be used to support Virtual Engineering and Army Readiness and Sustainment (VEARS)).

(b) **OFFSET.**—Notwithstanding the amounts set forth in the funding tables in division D, the amount authorized to be appropriated in section 301 for operation and maintenance, Navy, as specified in the corresponding funding table in section 4301, for Ship Depot Operations Support, Line 090, is hereby reduced by \$7,000,000.

59. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE BERGMAN OF MICHIGAN OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle A of title II insert the following:

SEC. 2_. FUNDING FOR RAPID AIRBORNE AND WATERBORNE CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL THREAT DETECTION.

(a) **INCREASE.**—Notwithstanding the amounts set forth in the funding tables in division D, the amount authorized to be appropriated in section 201 for research, development, test, and evaluation, Army, as specified in the corresponding funding table in section 4201, for applied research for Ground Technology, Line 012, is hereby increased by \$10,000,000 (with the amount of such increase to be used to support Rapid Airborne and Waterborne Chemical and Biological Threat Detection (RAW CBTD)).

(b) **OFFSET.**—Notwithstanding the amounts set forth in the funding tables in division D, the amount authorized to be appropriated in section 301 for operation and maintenance, Navy, as specified in the corresponding funding table in section 4301, for Ship Depot Operations Support, Line 090, is hereby reduced by \$10,000,000.

60. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
BERGMAN OF MICHIGAN OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle A of title II, add the following new section:

SEC. 2. FUNDING FOR THE RAPID PROTOTYPING PROGRAM.

(a) **INCREASE.**—Notwithstanding the amounts set forth in the funding tables in division D, the amount authorized to be appropriated in section 201 for Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation, as specified in the corresponding funding table in section 4201, for Rapid Prototyping Program is hereby increased by \$4,900,000.

(b) **OFFSET.**—Notwithstanding the amounts set forth in the funding tables in division D, the amount authorized to be appropriated in section 301 for Operation and Maintenance, Navy, as specified in the corresponding funding table in section 4301, for Ship Depot Operations Support is hereby reduced by \$4,900,000.

61. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE BERGMAN OF MICHIGAN OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle C of title V, add the following new section:

SEC. 5. MODIFICATIONS TO PAYMENT OF TUITION FOR OFF-DUTY TRAINING OR EDUCATION.

(a) AUTHORITY.—Section 2007 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in the heading, by inserting “**and institution fees**” after “**tuition**”;

(2) in subsection (a), by striking “tuition or expenses” and inserting “tuition, expenses, or institution fees”; and

(3) in subsection (c), by striking “tuition or expenses” each place it appears and inserting “tuition, expenses, or institution fees”.

(b) INCREASE IN PER-SEMESTER HOUR CAP.—The Secretary of Defense shall prescribe regulations increasing the limit on tuition assistance per-semester-hour under section 2007 of title 10, United States Code, to \$350.

(c) ANNUAL REPORT.—Section 2007 of title 10, United States Code, is further amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(h) The Secretary of Defense shall annually submit to the congressional defense committees a report on outcomes related to off-duty and voluntary education and training for members of the armed forces. Such report shall include—

“(1) the amount of funds used to provide such education and training;

“(2) the number of members of the armed forces receiving assistance with such education and training sections 2005, 2006a, and 2007 of this title;

“(3) an analysis of the compliance of the use of such funds with the requirements of sections 2005, 2006a, and 2007 of this title;

“(4) an analysis of the relationship between the use of funds to provide such education and training to members and the educational attainment, retention, mission readiness, and transition readiness of such members and the recruitment of new members; and

“(5) an analysis of the potential severing of an annual limit per member on the provision of such funds and a per-semester-hour limit.”.

62. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE BIGGS
OF ARIZONA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

Beginning page 1379, line 18, strike “means” and all that follows through page 1380, line 8, and insert “means a mining, metallurgical, or mineral engineering program accredited by the Accreditation Board for Engineering and Technology that is located at an institution of higher education, including a Tribal College or University.”.

63. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE BIGGS
OF ARIZONA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle A of title X, add the following new section:

**SEC. 10_. DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE SPENDING REDUCTIONS IN ABSENCE OF
SUBMITTED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS OR FAILURE TO ACHIEVE
UNQUALIFIED OR QUALIFIED INDEPENDENT AUDIT OPINION.**

(a) **APPLICABILITY.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Subject to paragraph (2), this section applies to the Department of Defense, including military departments and Defense Agencies thereof.

(2) **SEPARATE APPLICABILITY.**—If a military department or Defense Agency is identified by the Director of the Office of Management and Budget as required to have its own audited financial statement under section 3515 of title 31, United States Code, that military department and Defense Agency shall be treated separately from the Department of Defense for purposes of application of this section.

(b) **ADJUSTMENTS FOR FINANCIAL ACCOUNTABILITY.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—On March 2 of each fiscal year, the discretionary budget authority available for the Department of Defense (or a military department or Defense Agency covered by subsection (a)(2)) for such fiscal year shall be adjusted as provided in paragraph (2).

(2) **ADJUSTMENT.**—If the Department of Defense (or a military department or Defense Agency covered by subsection (a)(2)) has not submitted a financial statement for the previous fiscal year, or if such financial statement has not received either an unqualified or a qualified audit opinion by an independent external auditor, the discretionary budget authority available for the Department of Defense, the military department, or the Defense Agency (as the case may be) shall be reduced by .5 percent, with the reduction applied proportionately to each account (other than an account listed in subsection (d) or an account for which a waiver is made under subsection (d)).

(3) **MINIMIZES NATIONAL SECURITY EFFECTS.**—Consistent with applicable laws, the Secretary of Defense may make any reduction under paragraph (2) in a manner that minimizes any effect on national security.

(4) **DEFICIT REDUCTION.**—An amount equal to the total amount of any reduction under paragraph (2) shall be retained in the general fund of the Treasury for the purposes of deficit reduction.

(c) **ACCOUNTS EXCLUDED.**—The following accounts are excluded from any reductions referred to in subsection (b)(2):

(1) Military personnel, reserve personnel, and National Guard personnel accounts of the Department of Defense.

(2) The Defense Health Program account of the Department of Defense.

(d) **WAIVER.**—The President may waive subsection (b)(2) with respect to an account if the President certifies that applying the subsection to that account would harm national security or members of the Armed Forces who are deployed in combat zones.

(e) **REPORT.**—Not later than 60 days after the date on which an adjustment is made under subsection (b), the Director of the Office of Management and Budget shall submit to Congress a report that includes a description of the amount and account of each adjustment.

(f) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) The terms “financial statement” and “external independent auditor” have the meanings given those terms in section 3521(e) of title 31, United States Code.

(3) The term “unqualified”, with respect to the audit status of a financial statement, includes the characterizations clean and unmodified.

(2) The term “qualified”, with respect to the audit status of a financial statement, includes the characterization modified.

64. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE BIGGS OF SOUTH CAROLINA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle B of title XIV, insert the following new section:

SEC. 14 . GOVERNMENT-WIDE CRITICAL MINERAL PROCUREMENT AND RESALE AUTHORITIES FEASIBILITY STUDY.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of the Interior, the Secretary of Commerce, the Administrator of the General Services Administration, and the heads of other relevant Executive agencies, shall carry out a study on the feasibility of providing to the heads of all Executive agencies critical mineral procurement and resale authorities similar to those of the Secretary of Defense.

(b) **CONTENTS.**—The study required by subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) An assessment of the need of Executive agencies for critical mineral procurement and resale authorities similar to those of the Secretary of Defense.

(2) An evaluation of the legal, regulatory, and logistical barriers to providing to the heads of all Executive agencies critical mineral procurement and resale authorities similar to those of the Secretary of Defense.

(3) An analysis of the economic and strategic effects of allowing Executive agencies to resell excess critical minerals on the open market, including potential effects on the domestic supply chains and international trade.

(4) Recommendations for legislative or regulatory changes necessary to providing to the heads of all Executive agencies critical mineral procurement and resale authorities similar to those of the Secretary of Defense, including safeguards to prevent market disruption or misuse of proceeds resulting from the use of such authorities by such heads.

(c) **REPORT TO CONGRESS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the findings of the study required by subsection (a).

(2) **FORM.**—The report required by paragraph (1) shall be in an unclassified form, but may include as classified annex.

(d) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) The term “critical mineral” has the meaning given such term in section 7002(a) of the Energy Act of 2020 (30 U.S.C. 1606(a)).

(2) The term “critical mineral procurement and resale authority” includes any statutory authority of a Executive agency to acquire, stockpile, manage, sell, exchange, or otherwise dispose of critical minerals for purposes of national security, economic security, or emergency preparedness, including authorities under section 303 of the Defense Production Act of 1950 (50 U.S.C. 4533) and the Strategic and Critical Materials Stock Piling Act (50 U.S.C. 98 et seq.), and any other authority that the Secretary of Defense determines relevant.

(3) The term “Executive agency” has the meaning given such term in section 105 of title 5, United States Code.

65. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE BIGGS
OF SOUTH CAROLINA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

Page 52, line 11, insert “(A)” after “(i)(1)”.

Page 52, after line 14, insert the following:

“(B) The Secretary of the Air Force shall maintain a total aircraft
inventory of combat-coded fighter aircraft of—

“(i) not less than 1,369 aircraft by December 31, 2030; and

“(ii) not less than 1,558 aircraft by December 31, 2035.”.

66. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE BIGGS OF SOUTH CAROLINA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle F of title X, insert the following:

SEC. 10__. PILOT PROGRAM ON THE USE OF CLINICALLY TESTED DIGITAL FAITH-BASED PLATFORMS TO SUPPORT SPIRITUAL FITNESS AND READINESS.

(a) **PILOT PROGRAM REQUIRED.**—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall establish a pilot program to evaluate the use of clinically tested, commercially available digital faith-based platforms to support the modernization and digitization of the chaplaincies of the Armed Forces and to promote spiritual fitness, operational readiness, resilience, and overall mental health among members of the Armed Forces and their dependents. The Secretary shall design the pilot program to determine whether the platforms evaluated are capable of—

(1) augmenting the ability of military chaplains to provide spiritual care and counseling using established metrics for effectiveness and performance used by the Chaplain Corps today and included in the modernization efforts of the Secretary;

(2) measurably expanding access to voluntary spiritual support resources for geographically dispersed personnel, including deployed members of the Armed Forces;

(3) enhancing spiritual readiness consistent with total force fitness and holistic health initiatives and other applicable standards and metrics;

(4) improving measurable indicators of resilience, morale, and nonclinical mental health support; and

(5) extending access to spiritual wellness resources to military families and dependents, recognizing the integral role of family readiness in overall force resilience.

(b) **REQUIREMENTS.**—In carrying out the pilot program, the Secretary shall—

(1) evaluate and incorporate commercially available platforms supported by peer-reviewed evidence, specific to the platform under evaluation, demonstrating that engagement in religious or spiritual practice through the platform produces positive spiritual wellbeing, spiritual fitness, resilience, or mental health outcomes;

(2) ensure participation is voluntary and consistent with the constitutional protections regarding religious liberty and the prohibition on establishment of religion;

(3) ensure platforms meet applicable cybersecurity, privacy, and data protection standards of the Department;

(4) prioritize evaluation of platforms that—

(A) have a demonstrated record of user engagement and scalability across large and diverse populations;

(B) have a demonstrated record of providing tools that enable faith leaders and religious organizations to create, distribute, and measure engagement with digital spiritual care content; and

(C) provide engagement reporting and analytics sufficient to support the assessments required under subsection (d), including use by geographically dispersed personnel, deployed personnel, and military dependents;

(5) coordinate with the Chiefs of Chaplains of the military departments;

(6) conduct the pilot across not fewer than two military departments, including both active and reserve components; and

(7) carry out the pilot program using other transaction authority under section 4022 of title 10, United States Code, or procedures for the acquisition of commercial products and commercial services under part 12 of the Federal Acquisition Regulation, as the Secretary considers appropriate.

(c) DURATION; COMMENCEMENT.—The pilot program shall be conducted for a period of not less than 12 months. The Secretary shall commence participant enrollment not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(d) REPORT AND ASSESSMENT OF PROGRAM OF RECORD FEASIBILITY.—Not later than 120 days after completion of the pilot program, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report containing the results of the program and an assessment of whether digital faith-based platforms should be incorporated into the spiritual readiness and chaplaincy programs of the Department of Defense. The report shall include the following:

(1) An assessment of participation rates, user engagement, frequency of use, completion of platform content, and sustained use over the duration of the pilot.

(2) An assessment of the extent to which the pilot expanded access to spiritual support resources for members of the Armed Forces and military families who historically under-use traditional chaplain services, including personnel located in geographically dispersed locations and deployed environments, members of the reserve components, and military families.

(3) An assessment of changes in participant-reported measures of spiritual fitness, purpose, meaning, connectedness, resilience, and other indicators identified by the Department as relevant to total force fitness and holistic health initiatives.

(4) An assessment of whether digital faith-based platforms enhanced the ability of military chaplains to provide care, increased the reach of chaplaincy services, reduced barriers to engagement, or otherwise improved delivery of spiritual support across participating units.

(5) To the extent practicable, an assessment of changes in relevant readiness and resilience indicators among participants, including stress management, help-seeking behavior, social connectedness, morale, and other nonclinical indicators identified by the Secretary.

(6) A comparison of the costs and outcomes associated with digital delivery of spiritual readiness resources relative to traditional methods of providing comparable support, including an assessment of scalability across the active and reserve components.

(7) An assessment of cybersecurity, privacy, data protection, acquisition, contracting, and implementation considerations identified during execution of the pilot program.

(8) An assessment of whether digital faith-based platforms demonstrated sufficient effectiveness, user adoption, scalability, affordability, and mission relevance to warrant establishment of a program of record or other permanent capability within the Department. Such assessment shall include—

(A) recommended governance and oversight mechanisms;

(B) recommended funding levels and appropriations accounts;

(C) recommended lead organization or executive agent;

(D) recommended performance measures for future implementation; and

(E) any legislative authorities required to support Department-wide adoption.

(9) Recommendations regarding continuation, expansion, modification, competitive procurement, or termination of the capability.

(e) DEFINITION.—In this section, the term “digital faith-based platform”—

(1) means a commercially available digital platform the primary purpose of which is the creation, delivery, and facilitation of religious and spiritual content and practice; and

(2) includes tools for faith leaders and religious organizations.

67. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
BOEBERT OF COLORADO OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

In title VIII, subtitle A, add at the end the following new section:

**SEC. 8_. PROHIBITION ON ACQUIRING, PROCURING, OR LEASING NON-
TACTICAL ELECTRIC VEHICLE COMPONENTS PRODUCED BY CHILD
AND SLAVE LABOR.**

The Secretary of Defense may not acquire, procure, or lease non-tactical electric vehicles, advanced-biofuel-powered vehicles, hydrogen-powered vehicles, or any components or spare parts associated with such vehicles that are not in compliance with subpart 22.15 of the Federal Acquisition Regulation maintained under section 1303(a)(1) of title 41, United States Code (or any successor regulations), on the Prohibition of Acquisition of Products Produced by Forced or Indentured Child Labor.

68. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
BOEBERT OF COLORADO OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle E of title X, add the following new section:

**SEC. 10__. PLAN FOR PROTECTION OF MILITARY INSTALLATIONS LOCATED IN
THE UNITED STATES FROM UNMANNED AIRCRAFT THREATS.**

Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a comprehensive plan for the protection of all military installations located in the United States from threats posed by unmanned aircraft systems and unmanned aircraft (as such terms are defined in section 130i(m) of title 10, United States Code).

69. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
BOEBERT OF COLORADO OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle E of title X, insert the following:

SEC. 10__ . REPORT ON INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER EDUCATION RECEIVING
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FUNDS THAT HAVE HOSTED CONFUCIUS
INSTITUTES.

The Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report on
institutions of higher education that have—

- (1) received funding from the Department of Defense; and
- (2) hosted a Confucius Institute.

70. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
BOEBERT OF COLORADO OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle F of title X, add the following new section:

**SEC. 10_. PLAN ON RECAPITALIZATION OF F-16 AIRCRAFT OF 140TH WING OF
THE COLORADO AIR NATIONAL GUARD.**

Not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a comprehensive plan for the recapitalization of the F-16 aircraft of the 140th Wing of the Colorado Air National Guard.

71. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
BUCHANAN OF FLORIDA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle E of title X, add the following new section:

SEC. __. THREAT OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE-POWERED ATTACKS, SCAMS,
AND FRAUD.

(a) STUDY.—The Secretary of Defense shall conduct a study on the threat of artificial intelligence-powered attacks, scams, and fraud to United States military installations, operations, and personnel.

(b) EVALUATION OF USE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE BY FOREIGN ADVERSARIES.—In conducting the study required by subsection (a), the Secretary shall evaluate the use of artificial intelligence by foreign adversaries for surveillance and targeting.

(c) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than one year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report on the results of the study required by subsection (a).

72. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
BUCHANAN OF FLORIDA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle C of title III, add the following new section:

**SEC. 3__. IMPROVEMENTS TO MANAGEMENT OF TACTICAL VEHICLES OF THE
NAVY AND MARINE CORPS.**

The Secretary of the Navy shall improve the management of tactical vehicles of the Navy and the Marine Corps by—

- (1) establishing clearly defined responsibilities for vehicle commanders; and
- (2) implementing standardized procedures that enable first-line supervisors to identify, assess and mitigate operational risks relating to such vehicles during training and mission execution.

73. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
BUCHANAN OF FLORIDA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

Page 548, after line 21, insert the following new section:

SEC. 760A. CONTINUOUS GLUCOSE MONITORING PILOT PROGRAM.

(a) **PILOT PROGRAM.**—The Secretary of Defense shall carry out a pilot program under the TRICARE program under which the Secretary provides covered members with continuous glucose monitoring technology to assess the effects of real-time metabolic health tracking and early intervention on improving—

- (1) the health of the members; and
- (2) force readiness.

(b) **PARTICIPATION.**—The Secretary shall require a covered member to participate in the pilot program under subsection (a) if the Secretary identifies the member as being in either the Partially Medically Ready category or the Not Medically Ready category of the Individual Medical Readiness program.

(c) **DATA.**—

(1) **EXCLUSIVE USES.**—The Secretary may use the health information of a covered member collected under the pilot program under subsection (a) only for the purposes of—

- (A) carrying out the pilot program, including with respect to furnishing information to the Comptroller General of the United States pursuant to subsection (g)(1);
- (B) providing health care, including preventative care, to the member pursuant to chapter 55 of title 10, United States Code; and
- (C) conducting the Individual Medical Readiness program.

(2) **NO USE FOR MEDICAL DISCHARGES.**—The Secretary may not use the health information of a covered member collected under the pilot program under subsection (a) in separating or discharging the member from the Armed Forces under chapter 61 of title 10, United States Code.

(3) **PROHIBITION ON NONGOVERNMENTAL USE.**—A nongovernmental entity that provides continuous glucose monitoring technology under the pilot program under subsection (a), or otherwise provides services under the pilot program, may not—

- (A) retain any rights to health information of a covered member collected under the pilot program;
- (B) use such information except as authorized by this section; and
- (C) may not retain such information after such authorized use is completed.

(d) **PROVISION OF INFORMATION AND SERVICES.**—The Secretary shall provide covered members participating in the pilot program under subsection (a) with—

- (1) information regarding metabolic health awareness; and
- (2) education and services to improve force readiness.

(e) **TRAINING.**—The Secretary shall—

- (1) provide medical professionals of the military departments with in-person training on the background, procedures, and reporting requirements of the pilot program under subsection (a); and
- (2) establish shared educational resources and training materials that may be used by all the military departments.

(f) DURATION.—The Secretary shall carry out the pilot program under subsection (a) for a five-year period.

(g) REPORTS.—

(1) COMPTROLLER GENERAL.—

(A) INITIAL.—Not later than three years after the date on which the Secretary commences the pilot program under subsection (a), the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the House of Representatives and the Senate a report on the pilot program, including—

(i) a comparison of the rates of members in the Partially Medically Ready category or the Not Medically Ready category of the Individual Medical Readiness program during 2025 and during the third year in which the pilot program is carried out; and

(ii) recommendations to improve the pilot program.

(B) FINAL.—Not later than five years after the date on which the Secretary commences the pilot program under subsection (a), the Comptroller General shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the House of Representatives and the Senate a report on the pilot program, including—

(i) an assessment of the effectiveness of the recommendations under subparagraph (A)(ii); and

(ii) a determination by the Comptroller General regarding whether the pilot program should be extended.

(2) DOD.—Not later than five years after the date on which the Secretary commences the pilot program under subsection (a), the Secretary shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the House of Representatives and the Senate a report on the pilot program, including—

(A) data regarding the use and efficacy of the pilot program;

(B) how the pilot program affected force readiness; and

(C) any recommendations by the Secretary to improve the pilot program.

(h) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) The term “covered member” means a member of the Armed Forces serving on active duty who meets any of the following criteria:

(A) The member has been diagnosed with prediabetes.

(B) The member has been diagnosed with type 2 diabetes and does not take insulin.

(C) The member has had gestational diabetes.

(D) The member is overweight or obese.

(2) The term “Individual Medical Readiness program” means the program specified in Department of Defense Instruction 6025.19, or successor instruction.

(3) The term “TRICARE program” has the meaning given that term in section 1072 of title 10, United States Code.

74. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
BUCHANAN OF FLORIDA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle F of title X, add the following new section:
SEC. 10__. REPORT ON GO FOR GREEN NUTRITION PROGRAM.

Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the implementation of the Go for Green nutrition program at military dining facilities.

75. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
BUCHANAN OF FLORIDA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle D of title III, add the following new section:

SEC. 3__. ASSESSMENT OF CAPACITY FOR GROUND-SAFETY PROGRAMS WITH
RESPECT TO TACTICAL VEHICLE OPERATIONS.

(a) **ASSESSMENT.**—The Secretary of the Army shall conduct an assessment as to whether operational units of the Army have sufficient personnel, resources, and organizational capacity to effectively implement ground-safety programs with respect to tactical vehicle operations.

(b) **REPORT.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Army shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report containing the results of the assessment conducted under subsection (a).

76. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
BUCHANAN OF FLORIDA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

Add at the end of subtitle F of title XVIII, the following new section:
SEC. 18_. INVENTORY OF LEGACY SEMICONDUCTOR DEPENDENCIES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense shall conduct an inventory of legacy semiconductors that are required for the production, sustainment, maintenance, or modernization of a major defense acquisition program and submit to the congressional defense committees a plan to eliminate reliance on foreign single-source suppliers of such legacy semiconductors.

(b) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) The term “legacy semiconductor” means—

(A) a semiconductor device fabricated using process technologies of 28 nanometers or larger; or

(B) any semiconductor designated by the Secretary of Defense as a mature-node semiconductor critical to the sustainment, maintenance, or operation of a major defense acquisition program.

(2) The term “major defense acquisition program” has the meaning given in section 4201 of title 10, United States Code.

77. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
BUCHANAN OF FLORIDA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle E of title X, add the following new section:

SEC. 10__. ASSESSMENT OF INSTALLATION-WIDE FOOD PROGRAMS OF ARMY
AND NAVY.

Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Army and the Secretary of the Navy shall complete an assessment of installation-wide food programs (with respect to the military installations of the Army and Navy, respectively), consistent with the recommendation of the Comptroller General of the United States contained in the report of the Government Accountability Office titled “Department of Defense Food Program: Additional Actions Needed to Implement, Oversee, and Evaluate Nutrition Efforts for Service Members” (GAO-24-106155) and dated June 2024.

78. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
BUCHANAN OF FLORIDA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle D of title III, add the following new section:

SEC. 3__. REPORT ON REDUCTION OF TRAINING-RELATED ACCIDENTS ACROSS
MILITARY DEPARTMENTS.

Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on progress made by each military department to reduce training-related accidents, fatalities, and serious injuries. Such report shall include, with respect to each military department—

- (1) data trends, including year-over-year comparisons of such data;
- (2) an assessment of the effectiveness of any action taken in response to prior congressional concerns expressed regarding training safety; and
- (3) a description of ongoing and planned efforts to further reduce training-related incidents, improve risk management practices, and enhance the safety of the members of the Armed Forces during training operations.

79. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
BUCHANAN OF FLORIDA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle C of title VII, add the following new section:

**SEC. 7_. IDENTIFICATION OF BEST PRACTICES RELATING TO NUTRITION,
FITNESS, AND CHRONIC DISEASE PREVENTION PROGRAMS.**

The Secretary of Defense shall—

(1) identify best practices relating to nutrition, fitness, and chronic disease prevention programs of the Armed Forces; and

(2) share successful models for such programs throughout the Department of Defense.

80. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
BUCHANAN OF FLORIDA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle B of title XVII, add the following:

SEC. 17_. REPORT ON USAGE OF WEARABLE DEVICES.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report on—

(1) the usage of wearable devices by members of the Armed Forces for health, fitness, readiness, performance, training, recovery, and operational purposes, including when on deployment and when in combat;

(2) the effectiveness of such usage; and

(3) whether such usage should be expanded.

(b) **WEARABLE DEVICE DEFINED.**—In this section, the term “wearable device”—

(1) means a consumer or commercial technology device that is worn on the body of an individual and is capable of collecting, storing, or transmitting data related to the health, fitness, physiological condition, physical activity, sleep, recovery, location, or performance of such individual;

(2) includes smart watches, fitness trackers, smart rings, and similar devices; and

(3) does not include implanted medical devices or equipment issued solely for weapons operation or communications.

81. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
BURLISON OF MISSOURI OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle I of title V, insert the following new section:

SEC. 5__ SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING AWARD OF AWARD OF MEDAL OF
HONOR TO GREGORY MCMANUS FOR ACTS OF VALOR.

(a) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) the Secretary of Defense should review the acts of valor of Gregory McManus described in subsection (b) to determine whether to recommend to the President to award Gregory McManus the Medal of Honor for such acts of valor; and

(2) subject to the enactment of legislation to waive the time limitations in section 7274 of title 10, United States Code, the President should award the Medal of Honor to Gregory McManus for such acts of valor if the Secretary so recommends.

(b) ACTS OF VALOR DESCRIBED.—The acts of valor described in this subsection are the following:

(1) Chief Warrant Officer Gregory McManus distinguished himself for his brave acts of valor while serving in the United States Army by risking his life to save the lives of his fellow servicemembers.

(2) Chief Warrant Officer McManus deserves recognition for his acts of valor while serving as the commander of a single helicopter gunship on an important mission north of Chai Duc.

(3) Discovering an envoy of hundreds of enemy troops along the Cambodian border, Chief Warrant Officer McManus attacked the enemy without hesitation.

(4) Chief Warrant Officer McManus disregarded the tracers that rose to meet him, firing rockets the entire length of the convoy, confusing the enemy, and scattering the troop column.

(5) Chief Warrant Officer McManus then attacked an armored vehicle with a mounted machine gun, destroying it and a large artillery piece which it was towing.

(6) Over and over, Chief Warrant Officer McManus flew through heavy automatic weapons and machine gun fire to attack the enemy, only deciding to return when his ordinance was expended, and his ship had taken so much damage that further flight was inadvisable.

(7) With this noble deed, Chief Warrant Officer McManus was able to destroy the enemy unit and scattered the rest in disorder with a single ship.

(8) Disregarding the size and scope of the enemy troop's convoy, Chief Warrant Officer McManus put his own life in danger, all in the service of his country and members of the Armed Forces.

(9) Because of the heroic actions of Chief Warrant Officer McManus, countless American soldier's lives were saved.

(10) These actions of heroism by Chief Warrant Officer McManus deserves recognition and demonstrates this hero of the United States more than deserve the medal of honor.

82. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE CALVERT OF CALIFORNIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

In title X, subtitle E, add at the end the following new section:

SEC. 10_. INDEPENDENT REVIEW OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT EXECUTION BENCHMARKS.

(a) **REVIEW REQUIRED.**—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller), in coordination with the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Sustainment, shall enter into an agreement with an independent entity to review the financial management execution benchmarks used by the Department of Defense to assess obligation and expenditure performance across major appropriations.

(b) **MATTERS REVIEWED.**—The review required by subsection (a) shall assess—

(1) the origin, source, methodology, and authoritative basis of execution benchmarks currently used by the Department;

(2) the extent to which such benchmarks are published in, or traceable to, Department-wide policy, regulation, guidance, or other official issuance;

(3) whether such benchmarks remain relevant to modern acquisition and financial management practices, including rapid prototyping, software development, Other Transaction Agreements, milestone-based payments, program phase, and period of availability; and

(4) whether such benchmarks should be updated, segmented, or otherwise modified to better reflect differences among appropriations, acquisition pathways, contracting mechanisms, and program execution patterns.

(c) **REPORT.**—Not later than 180 days after the date on which the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) enters into the agreement under subsection (a), the Under Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the results of the review, including the findings of the review and any recommendations for changes to Department policy, regulation, guidance, or practice.

(d) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) The term “execution benchmark” means any metric, target, curve, rate, standard, threshold, or other measure used by the Department to assess, evaluate, compare, or characterize obligation or expenditure performance.

(2) The term “independent entity” means a federally funded research and development center, a nonprofit research organization, an institution of higher education, or any other entity with relevant expertise in defense financial management, acquisition, budgeting, and program execution, and no organizational conflict of interest.

83. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
CAMMACK OF FLORIDA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle B of title XVII, insert the following:

**SEC. 17_. REPORT ON INTERAGENCY COORDINATION TARGETING FENTANYL
AND PRECURSOR CHEMICALS.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary of Defense shall expand interagency coordination with respect to the targeting of fentanyl and precursor chemicals, including by establishing joint operations with the Secretary of Health and Human Services and the Attorney General.

(b) **REPORT.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the coordination required under subsection (a) that identifies, with respect to fentanyl and precursor chemicals, any gaps between agencies in detection, monitoring, and interdiction activities.

84. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
CAMMACK OF FLORIDA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle D of title X, add the following new section:

**SEC. 10_. STRATEGY FOR COUNTERING FOREIGN MALIGN INFLUENCE
ACTIVITIES CONDUCTED IN COGNITIVE DOMAIN.**

(a) **STRATEGY REQUIRED.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a strategy for identifying, assessing, and countering foreign malign influence activities conducted in the cognitive domain by foreign adversaries.

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—The strategy required under subsection (a) shall include—

(1) an assessment of threats posed by disinformation, psychological operations, propaganda, and other influence activities conducted by foreign adversaries targeting members of the Armed Forces, military families, and national security interests of the United States;

(2) a description of Department of Defense capabilities to counter such activities;

(3) an assessment of capability gaps, organizational challenges, and resource requirements that relate to countering such activities; and

(4) recommendations for improving Department efforts to identify, deter, and counter foreign malign influence activities in the cognitive domain.

(c) **FORM.**—The strategy required under subsection (a) shall be submitted in unclassified form but may include a classified annex.

85. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
CAMMACK OF FLORIDA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle B of title XVII, insert the following new section:
SEC. 17__. **GAO STUDY ON DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE RELIANCE ON CHINESE-
SOURCED MATERIALS.**

Not later than one year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General of the United States shall conduct a component-level assessment on the reliance of the Department of Defense on Chinese-sourced materials in munitions, microelectronics, and energy storage systems, including recommendations for alternative sourcing strategies.

86. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
CAMMACK OF FLORIDA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle B of title II, add the following new section:

**SEC. 2_. PRIORITIZATION OF PARTNERSHIPS WITH INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER
EDUCATION IN CERTAIN RESEARCH AREAS.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—In exercising the authority to enter into partnerships to support the research, development, test, and evaluation activities of the Department of Defense, the Secretary of Defense shall prioritize partnerships with institutions of higher education conducting research on hypersonics, biotechnology, and artificial intelligence.

(b) **REPORT.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report that identifies any ongoing partnerships with institutions of higher education as described in subsection (a).

87. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
CAMMACK OF FLORIDA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle A of title XVIII, insert the following new section:
SEC. 18_. ASSESSMENT OF MILITARY MEDICAL SUPPLY CHAINS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense shall conduct an assessment of the vulnerabilities to supply chains for the medical supplies and equipment used by the Department of Defense, included a detailed assessment of the reliance of the Department on sources located in China for active pharmaceutical ingredients and medical devices.

(b) REPORT.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the House of Representatives and the Senate a report on the findings of the assessment conducted under subsection (a).

(c) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) The term “active pharmaceutical ingredient” has the meaning given such term section 744A of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 379j–41).

(2) The term “medical device” has the meaning given the term “device” in section 201(h) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 321(h)).

88. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
CAMMACK OF FLORIDA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle B of title XVI, insert the following:

**SEC. 16_. ASSESSMENT OF FOREIGN ADVERSARY-CONTROLLED DIGITAL
PLATFORMS.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary of Defense shall conduct an assessment of risks posed by foreign adversary-controlled digital platforms to operational security, force protection, military personnel, and military installations.

(b) **REPORT.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the assessment required under subsection (a), including—

- (1) risks associated with the collection of data regarding members of the Armed Forces;
- (2) risks posed to operational security and force protection;
- (3) threats arising from foreign influence, information operations, and propaganda activities conducted through such platforms; and
- (4) recommendations to mitigate identified risks.

89. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
CAMMACK OF FLORIDA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle C of title II, add the following new section:

SEC. 2__ STRATEGY FOR THE ADOPTION AND INTEGRATION OF ARTIFICIAL
INTELLIGENCE.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of Commerce, shall develop a strategy regarding the adoption, fielding, integration, and scaling of artificial intelligence technologies across the Department of Defense and the defense industrial base.

(b) REPORT.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report that includes—

- (1) the strategy developed under subsection (a); and
- (2) with respect to artificial intelligence technologies, a description of any—
 - (A) barriers to adoption and integration;
 - (B) workforce and infrastructure requirements;
 - (C) opportunities to strengthen collaboration with private industry and institutions of higher education; and
 - (D) recommendations to improve the deployment of such technologies.

90. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
CAMMACK OF FLORIDA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle A of title VII, insert the following:

SEC. 7__. CONTINUITY OF MATERNITY CARE DURING PERMANENT CHANGE OF
STATION.

(a) REQUIREMENT.—The Secretary of Defense shall establish procedures to ensure continuity of care for pregnant and postpartum members of the Armed Forces and spouses of such members during a permanent change of station.

(b) REQUIREMENTS.—The procedures required under subsection (a) shall include—

- (1) coordination between the military medical treatment facilities at the relevant military installations;
- (2) timely transfer of medical records;
- (3) assignment of a receiving provider before the permanent change of station, to the extent practicable; and
- (4) measures to prevent interruption of prenatal, obstetric, postpartum, and behavioral health services.

(c) REPORT.—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the implementation of this section.

91. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
CAMMACK OF FLORIDA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle E of title X, insert the following:

**SEC. 10_. AUDIT OF CHINESE COMMUNIST PARTY-LINKED PARTICIPATION IN
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CONTRACTS AND SUPPLY CHAINS.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Comptroller General of the United States shall conduct an audit of the extent to which entities owned, controlled by, affiliated with, or subject to the influence of the Chinese Communist Party participate in Department of Defense contracts and supply chains.

(b) **MATTERS INCLUDED.**—The audit required under subsection (a) shall include—

(1) an assessment of the extent to which covered entities participate in Department of Defense contracts as prime contractors;

(2) an assessment of the extent to which covered entities participate in Department of Defense contracts as subcontractors, suppliers, affiliates, subsidiaries, or joint venture partners;

(3) identification of sectors of the defense industrial base with significant exposure to covered entities;

(4) an assessment of risks posed to military readiness, supply chain resilience, operational security, and national security;

(5) identification of any known relationships between covered entities and the People’s Liberation Army, Chinese intelligence services, or Chinese state-owned enterprises; and

(6) recommendations to reduce identified risks.

(c) **REPORT.**—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report containing the findings of the audit required under subsection (a).

(d) **COVERED ENTITY.**—In this section, the term “covered entity” means any entity that is—

(1) owned or controlled by the Government of the People’s Republic of China;

(2) owned or controlled by the Chinese Communist Party;

(3) identified on a list maintained pursuant to section 1260H of the William M. (Mac) Thornberry National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2021 (Public Law 116–283); or

(4) otherwise determined by the Comptroller General to be subject to the direction, ownership, control, or influence of the Chinese Communist Party.

92. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
CAMMACK OF FLORIDA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

Page 278, after line 11, insert the following new section:

SEC. 5_. REPORT ON EFFECT OF EQUIPMENT SHORTFALLS ON NATIONAL
GUARD ABILITY TO ASSIST IN DISASTER RESPONSE.

Chapter 9 of title 32, United States Code, is amended by adding at the
end the following new section:

**“§909. Report on effect of equipment availability to assist in disaster
response**

“Not later than March 31 of the next fiscal year, the Secretary of Defense, in
consultation with the Administrator of the Federal Emergency Management
Administration, shall submit to the Committee on Armed Services of the
House of Representatives and the Committee on Armed Services of the
Senate a report with respect to each fiscal year on—

“(1) equipment shortfalls in the National Guard of each State;

“(2) the effect of such shortfalls in the ability of the National Guard
of a State to provide assistance or conduct operations related to disaster
response, including with respect to hurricanes; and

“(3) recommendations for addressing the shortfalls, including
through modernization and reposition of equipment in disaster-prone
States.”.

93. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
CARBAJAL OF CALIFORNIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR
10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle E of title I, add the following new section:

SEC. 1. LIMITATION ON PROCUREMENT OF CUT FLOWERS AND CUT GREENS.

(a) REQUIREMENT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Funds appropriated or otherwise available to the Department of Defense may only be used for the procurement of a cut flower or cut green if the cut flower or cut green is grown in a qualifying area.

(2) APPLICABILITY.—This subsection shall apply to a procurement made or contracted for—

(A) in the United States; and

(B) on or after the date that is 1 year after the date of the enactment of this section.

(b) GIFTS FOR DISPLAYS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Department of Defense may only accept a gift of a cut flower or cut green that is not grown in a qualifying area from a covered entity for the purpose of displaying the cut flower or cut green if the origin of the cut flower or cut green is clearly displayed at the time of delivery.

(2) REQUIREMENT.—If the Department of Defense accepts a gift of a cut flower or cut green from a covered entity under paragraph (1), it shall clearly display the origin of the cut flower or cut green during the period of display of the cut flower or cut green.

(c) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) The term “covered entity” means—

(A) a foreign government; and

(B) an agent of a foreign principal (as defined section 1 of the Foreign Agents Registration Act of 1938 (22 U.S.C. 611)).

(2) The term “cut flower” means a flower removed from a living plant for decorative use.

(3) The term “cut green” means a green, foliage, or branch removed from a living plant for decorative use.

(4) The term “qualifying area” means—

(A) a State;

(B) the District of Columbia;

(C) a territory or possession of the United States; or

(D) an area subject to the jurisdiction of a federally recognized Indian Tribe.

94. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE CAREY
OF OHIO OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

Add at the end of subtitle G of title XXVIII, the following new section:
SEC. 28__. REPORT ON INTERGOVERNMENTAL SUPPORT AGREEMENTS FOR
CERTAIN DEFENSE INSTALLATIONS.

Not later than December 31, 2026, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on the use of intergovernmental support agreements (as defined in section 2679 of title 10, United States Code) for military installations funded by a working-capital fund (established pursuant to section 2208 such title).

95. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
CARSON OF INDIANA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle C of title VII, add the following new section:

SEC. 7_. REPORT ON CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED MEDICAL RESEARCH PROGRAMS.

(a) **REPORT.**—The Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees, and make publicly available on the internet website of the Department of Defense, a report on the effects and transition of research funded under the Congressionally Directed Medical Research Programs.

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—The report under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) An assessment of how research funded under the Congressionally Directed Medical Research Programs has contributed to prevention, diagnosis, treatment, rehabilitation, clinical care, operational medicine, or readiness outcomes.

(2) An identification of research funded under the Congressionally Directed Medical Research Programs with near-term potential to transition into the military health system, operational use by the military departments, or broader clinical practice.

(3) An assessment of barriers that may prevent promising research funded under the Congressionally Directed Medical Research Programs from reaching patients or being adopted for clinical or operational use.

(4) Recommendations to improve transition pathways for research funded under the Congressionally Directed Medical Research Programs.

(c) **FORM.**—The report under subsection (a) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex or annex with other controlled information.

96. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
CARSON OF INDIANA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

In section 1524, add at the end the following new subsection:

(h) PUBLIC AVAILABILITY OF UNCLASSIFIED SUMMARY.—For each report under subsection (g), the Secretary of Defense shall make available to the public an unclassified summary of the report, to the maximum extent practicable.

97. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
CARTER OF GEORGIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle B of title XVII, insert the following:

**SEC. 17_. PROHIBITION ON TELECOMMUNICATION AWARDS TO CERTAIN
ENTITIES SUBJECT TO FOREIGN INFLUENCE.**

Beginning on and after the date of the enactment of this section, the Secretary of Defense may not award a Department of Defense telecommunications contract to an entity if the Secretary determines that the entity is subject to foreign ownership, control, jurisdiction, or legal obligations that could require disclosure of Department of Defense information or Department of Defense network operational data to a foreign government.

98. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
CARTER OF LOUISIANA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

In title VI, subtitle E, add at the end the following new section:

SEC. 6_. PROHIBITION ON PROCUREMENT AND COMMISSARY SALES OF
SEAFOOD ORIGINATING OR PROCESSED IN THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC
OF CHINA.

(a) PROHIBITION ON PROCUREMENT OF SEAFOOD ORIGINATING OR
PROCESSED IN THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA FOR MILITARY
DINING FACILITIES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided by paragraph (2) or (3), the
Secretary of Defense may not enter into a contract for the procurement
of seafood that originates or is processed in the People's Republic of
China for use in military dining facilities, including galleys onboard
United States naval vessels.

(2) EXCEPTIONS.—

(A) UNDUE BURDEN.—The Secretary of Defense, or a
designee of the Secretary, may grant exceptions to the prohibition
under paragraph (1) to facilities on military installations located
outside of the United States if such prohibition would unduly
burden or prevent seafood from being served at such facility.

(B) UNITED STATES VESSELS VISITING FOREIGN PORTS.
—The Secretary of Defense, or a designee of the Secretary, may
grant exceptions to the prohibition under paragraph (1) to United
States vessels visiting foreign ports.

(3) WAIVER.—The Secretary of Defense may waive the prohibition
under paragraph (1).

(b) PROHIBITION ON SALES OF SEAFOOD ORIGINATING IN THE
PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA AT COMMISSARY STORES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 2484 of title 10, United States Code, is
amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(m) PROHIBITION ON SALES OF SEAFOOD ORIGINATING IN THE
PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided by paragraph (2), raw or
processed seafood or seafood products originating in the People's
Republic of China may not be sold at commissary stores.

“(2) WAIVER.—The Secretary of Defense may waive the prohibition
under paragraph (1).”.

(2) BRIEFING ON COMPLIANCE.—Section 2481(c)(4) of such title
is amended—

(A) in subparagraph (D), by striking “; and” and inserting a
semicolon;

(B) by redesignating subparagraph (E) as subparagraph (F);
and

(C) by inserting after subparagraph (D) the following new
subparagraph (E):

“(E) an assessment of compliance with the prohibition under section
2484(m) of this title; and”.

(3) TRANSITION RULES.—

(A) APPLICABILITY.—The prohibition under subsection (m) of
section 2484 of title 10, United States Code, as added by paragraph
(1), shall apply on and after the date that is 30 days after the date
of the enactment of this Act.

(B) DISPOSAL OF REMAINING STOCK.—The Director of the Defense Commissary Agency may determine how to dispose of any stock covered by the prohibition under subsection (m) of section 2484 of title 10, United States Code, as added by paragraph (1), that remains as of the date described in subparagraph (A).

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The prohibitions under this section, and the amendments made by this section, shall take effect 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

99. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE CASAR
OF TEXAS OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle H of title V, add the following new section:

**SEC. 5_. COMPTROLLER GENERAL STUDY OF CHILD CARE SERVICES
PROVIDED OR PAID FOR BY THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.**

(a) **STUDY.**—The Comptroller General of the United States shall conduct a study to assess the child care programs of the Department of Defense, including military child development centers, family home day care, the Military Child Care in Your Neighborhood program, and the Child Care in Your Home program.

(b) **REPORT.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report containing the results of the study required by subsection (a). Such report shall include the following information, disaggregated by covered Armed Force:

(1) The period of time military families in each priority category are on a waiting list from the time of submitting a request until the time of final approval.

(2) The percentage of military families that submitted a request for child care services and did not receive an offer within 90 days of the date requested.

(3) The average percentage of annual income a military family spends on child care per child.

(4) The percentage of military families that require more than one child care program to meet child care needs.

(5) The current amount of funds allocated to each covered Armed Force for the Military Child Care in Your Neighborhood and Child Care in Your Home programs.

(6) How much of the amount described in paragraph (5) is spent on

—

(A) administration; and

(B) child care services for military families.

(c) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) The term “covered Armed Force” means the Army, Navy, Air Force, Marine Corps, or Space Force.

(2) The terms “military child development center” and “family home day care” have the meaning given those terms in section 1800 of title 10, United States Code.

100. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE CASE
OF HAWAII OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle A of title XVI, add the following new section:

**SEC. 16_. STUDY ON INDO-PACIFIC CRITICAL COMMERCIAL SATELLITE
GROUND STATIONS.**

(a) **STUDY.**—The Secretary of the Air Force (acting through the Space Systems Command), in consultation with the Commander of the United States Indo-Pacific Command, shall conduct a comprehensive study on the security, physical, cyber, and geopolitical vulnerabilities of commercially owned and operated satellite ground-based nodes located within the Indo-Pacific region that are relevant to a potential crisis or conflict in the region.

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—The study under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) An assessment of key contractor owned and managed locations for both primary and backup capabilities in a crisis or conflict.

(2) An assessment of physical vulnerabilities to adversary attacks, including with respect to unmanned aerial systems and unconventional warfare sabotage.

(3) An analysis of potential cyber intrusions, remote information technology network breaches, signal jamming, and data spoofing targeting commercial uplink and downlink infrastructure.

(4) An evaluation of host-nation regulatory frameworks, legal authorities, and diplomatic pressures that could force a commercial operators to cease support for the military operations of the United States during a crisis or conflict.

(5) An assessment of the ability of contractors to quickly adjust minimum security requirements during a crisis or conflict.

(6) Recommendations for operational redundancies, expanded governmental security support during conflict, and treaty safeguards for essential commercial operations.

(c) **REPORT.**—

(1) **REQUIREMENT.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report containing the findings of the study and any recommendations for regulatory or congressional actions.

(2) **FORM.**—The report under paragraph (1) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

101. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE CASE
OF HAWAII OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle E of title XII, insert the following:

SEC. 12_. PLAN TO COUNTER PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA INFLUENCE AND
ACTIVITIES IN THE FREELY ASSOCIATED STATES.

(a) PLAN REQUIRED.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Under Secretary of Defense for Policy shall conduct a comprehensive analysis of PRC influence and activities in the Freely Associated States, and develop recommendations and a plan to counter such influence and activities.

(2) COORDINATION.—In developing the plan required under paragraph (1), the Under Secretary of Defense for Policy shall coordinate, as appropriate, with—

(A) the Secretary of State;

(B) the Director of National Intelligence;

(C) the Commander, United States Indo-Pacific Command; and

(D) the heads of other relevant Federal departments and agencies.

(3) ELEMENTS.—The plan required under paragraph (1) shall include the following:

(A) An assessment of the scope, nature and objectives of PRC diplomatic, economic, military and intelligence activities in each of the Freely Associated States.

(B) An identification of vulnerabilities within the FAS that the PRC has sought to exploit or may seek to exploit, including vulnerabilities related to economic development, governance and elite influence.

(C) A description of recommended actions by the Department of Defense, in coordination with the Department of State, the Intelligence Community and other relevant Federal departments and agencies, to counter PRC influence and activities in the FAS.

(D) An assessment of resources, authorities and personnel required to implement the plan.

(E) A description of proposed engagement with FAS governments and other regional partners to strengthen United States relationships and build resilience against PRC influence operations.

(b) REPORT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Under Secretary of Defense for Policy shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report setting forth the analysis and plan required under subsection (c).

(2) FORM.—The report required under paragraph (1) shall be submitted in unclassified form but may include a classified annex.

(c) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) The term “Freely Associated States” means the Federated States of Micronesia, the Republic of the Marshall Islands and the Republic of Palau.

(2) The term “Intelligence Community” has the meaning given that term in section 3(4) of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3003(4)).

102. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE CASE
OF HAWAII OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle D of title III, add the following new section:

SEC. 3. STUDY ON POTENTIAL ESTABLISHMENT OF STRATEGIC
INFRASTRUCTURE PARTNERSHIP INITIATIVE.

(a) STUDY.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Commander of the United States Indo-Pacific Command, shall conduct a study on the potential benefits of establishing an initiative, to be known as the “Strategic Infrastructure Partnership Initiative”, to conduct strategic assessments and leverage regional engagement to—

(1) advance the identification, development, and implementation of high-quality, resilient, and secure infrastructure projects across the area of responsibility of the United States Indo-Pacific Command;

(2) prioritize, with respect to such infrastructure projects, dual-use infrastructure (including ports, airfields, energy systems, digital networks, and logistics facilities) that supports civilian economic development and regional stability and security objectives;

(3) incorporate into the design and implementation of such infrastructure projects best practices for infrastructure resilience, including through the adoption of cybersecurity and physical security standards; and

(4) align assistance and security priorities by coordinating with the heads of relevant Federal departments and agencies, including the Director of the United States Trade and Development Agency, with respect to such infrastructure projects.

(b) REPORT.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Commander of the United States Indo-Pacific Command, shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report containing the results of the study required under subsection (a).

103. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
CISNEROS JR. OF CALIFORNIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE
FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle F of title V, add the following new section:

SEC. 5. ESTABLISHMENT OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AUTOMATED VICTIM NOTIFICATION SYSTEM.

(a) **ESTABLISHMENT.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall establish an automated notification system to ensure that a registered victim receives timely and accurate notification of each of the following:

(1) Any proceeding of a service clemency and parole board relating to the offense, not later than 30 days before the date of such proceeding.

(2) Any change in the confinement status of the offender, including release, transfer to a residential reentry center or halfway house, furlough, or escape.

(3) Any grant of clemency or parole with respect to the offender.

(4) The projected release date of the offender, not later than 60 days before such date, including notice of whether the offender has received good time credits for early release.

(b) **SYSTEM REQUIREMENTS.**—In establishing the automated notification system required by subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense shall ensure the system incorporates the notification capabilities available in existing Federal and State automated victim notification platforms, including capabilities equivalent to those provided by the Department of Justice Victim Notification System and State-based Victim Information and Notification Everyday programs. At a minimum, the system shall—

(1) provide each registered victim with a unique victim identification number and a personal identification number to enable secure access to case and custody information through an internet-accessible portal and a toll-free automated telephone call center, both available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, 365 days a year;

(2) allow a registered victim to elect to receive notifications through any combination of—

(A) automated telephone call, with persistent retry at regular intervals until receipt is confirmed by entry of the victim's personal identification number, or until a 48-hour threshold is reached, after which a written notification shall be mailed to the victim's address of record;

(B) electronic mail;

(C) short message service text message;

(D) a secure, dedicated mobile application available on commonly used mobile operating systems; or

(E) TTY or other accessible format for victims with hearing impairments;

(3) make notifications available in English and Spanish, and provide access to live interpretation services in not fewer than 10 additional languages through the toll-free call center;

(4) provide each registered victim with the ability to use the internet portal or toll-free call center to—

(A) search for and verify the current custody status and facility location of the offender;

(B) view the projected release date and any scheduled service clemency and parole board proceedings relating to the offense;

(C) update the victim's contact information; and

(D) discontinue participation in the notification program;

(5) continuously poll the military confinement records system for changes in offender custody status and transmit notifications to registered victims not later than 24 hours after a triggering event described in subsection (a);

(6) generate a confirmation record for each notification transmitted, which shall be retained in the confinement record of the offender;

(7) be accessible to victims regardless of the military department of the offender or the military correctional facility in which the offender is confined;

(8) protect the privacy and confidentiality of each registered victim by—

(A) encrypting all victim data at rest and in transit using encryption modules validated under Federal Information Processing Standard 140-3, or any successor standard, as certified by the National Institute of Standards and Technology;

(B) limiting access to victim registration data and notification records to authorized personnel with a demonstrated need to know, and maintaining an audit log of all access to such data;

(C) prohibiting the use of victim registration data for any purpose other than providing notifications authorized under this section, and prohibiting disclosure of such data to any person or entity not authorized under this section or applicable Federal law; and

(D) providing each registered victim with a written privacy notice, at the time of registration and upon any material change to the system's data practices, describing the categories of information collected, the purposes for which such information is used, the individuals authorized to access such information, and the procedures by which a victim may request correction or deletion of their registration data; and

(9) be designed, implemented, and operated in a manner consistent with the guidelines for protecting personally identifiable information set forth in National Institute of Standards and Technology Special Publication 800-122, or any successor publication.

(c) VERIFICATION BEFORE BOARD PROCEEDINGS.—(1) The Secretary of the military department concerned shall verify, before convening any proceeding of the applicable service clemency and parole board, that each registered victim, with respect to the offense at issue has been notified of the proceeding in accordance with subsection (a)(1). A record of such verification shall be included in the official record of the proceeding.

(2) If the Board determines that timely notice was not given or that the victim was not adequately informed of the nature of the proceeding, the Board shall continue the hearing for the time necessary to notify the victim of the time, place, and nature of the proceeding.

(3) Before making such determination, the Board shall make every effort to permit the fullest attendance possible by the victim and shall consider reasonable alternatives to the exclusion of the victim from the proceeding.

(d) COORDINATION.—In carrying out this section, the Secretary of Defense shall ensure that the system established under subsection (a) is consistent with—

(1) the rights of victims under section 806b of title 10, United States Code (article 6b of the Uniform Code of Military Justice); and

(2) the victim and witness assistance programs established under Department of Defense Instruction 1030.02, or any successor instruction.

(e) REPORT.—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on the implementation of this section, including—

(1) a description of the automated notification system established under subsection (a), including the delivery channels and languages

made available;

(2) the number of registered victims enrolled to receive notifications as of the date of the report;

(3) the number of notifications transmitted during the one-year period covered by the report, disaggregated by triggering event type and delivery channel; and

(4) any recommended legislative changes to further strengthen victim notification under section 806b of title 10, United States Code (article 6b of the Uniform Code of Military Justice).

(f) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) The term “registered victim” means a victim of an offense under chapter 47 of title 10, United States Code (the Uniform Code of Military Justice), who—

(A) has a right to receive notices under section 806b(a)(2) of such chapter (article 6b(a)(2)); and

(B) informed the Department of Defense of their desire to receive such notices—

(i) by submitting Department of Defense form 2705 (or a successor form); or

(ii) through another method determined appropriate by the Secretary of Defense.

(2) The term “service clemency and parole board” means a clemency and parole board established by the Secretary of a military department pursuant to sections 952 and 954 of title 10, United States Code.

104. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
CLEAVER OF MISSOURI OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle C of title VII, add the following new section:

SEC. 7. FUNDING FOR RESEARCH ON ALPHA-GAL SYNDROME.

(a) **INCREASE.**—Notwithstanding the amounts set forth in the funding tables in division D, the amount authorized to be appropriated in section 1405 for Combat and Operational Medicine Program, R&D research, as specified in the corresponding funding table in section 4501, is hereby increased by \$5,000,000 for alpha-gal syndrome research.

(b) **OFFSET.**—Notwithstanding the amounts set forth in the funding tables in division D, the amount authorized to be appropriated in section 1405 for Combat and Operational Medicine Program, R&D Advanced Development, as specified in the corresponding funding table in section 4501, is hereby reduced by \$5,000,000.

105. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE CLINE
OF VIRGINIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

Add at the end of subtitle E of title VIII, the following new section:

**SEC. 8_. ELIMINATION OF USE OF CERTAIN PAYMENT PROCESSING
EQUIPMENT, SYSTEMS, OR SERVICES.**

(a) **REVIEW.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this section, the Secretary of Defense shall complete a review of all retailers to determine if such retailers use covered equipment, systems, or services as a substantial or essential component of the performance of a contract to provide payment processing equipment, systems, or services for the Department of Defense.

(b) **GUIDANCE.**—Not later than 90 days after completing the review required by subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense shall issue guidance prohibiting the use of covered equipment, systems, or services by a retailer in a contract with the Department of Defense. Such policy and guidance shall direct the modification or termination of such a contract unless the retailer for such contract ceases use of covered equipment, systems, or services in a timely manner.

(c) **PROHIBITION.**—Effective January 1, 2027, the Secretary of Defense may not enter into a contract for payment processing equipment, systems, or services with a retailer that uses covered equipment, systems, or services as a substantial or essential component of the performance of such contract.

(d) **REPORT.**—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this section, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the House of Representatives and the Senate a written report on the implementation on the requirements of this section.

(e) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) The term “country of concern” means—

- (A) China;
- (B) Russia;
- (C) the Islamic Republic of Iran;
- (D) North Korea; and

(E) any other country designated by the Secretary of Defense, as posing a significant risk to the national security of the United States.

(2) The term “covered equipment, system, or service”—

(A) means a payment processing equipment, system, or service for which the application processor, source code, secure processor, or secure firmware is directly or indirectly developed, manufactured, provided, owned, controlled, or operated by—

- (i) an entity organized under the laws of a country of concern;
- (ii) an entity owned or controlled by the government of a country of concern;
- (iii) an entity subject to the direction, jurisdiction, or control of the government, military, or intelligence services of a country of concern;
- (iv) any subsidiary, affiliate, or successor entity of an entity described in clauses (i) through (iii); or

(v) an entity that the Secretary of Defense reasonably believes to be an entity owned or controlled by, or otherwise connected entity owned or controlled by a country of concern; and

(B) includes payment processing equipment, systems, or services substantially comprised of components, software, or technology supplied by an entity described in any of clauses (i) through (v) of subparagraph (A).

(3) The term “electronic fund transfer”—

(A) means any transfer of funds, other than a transaction originated by check, draft, or similar paper instrument, which is initiated through an electronic terminal (as defined in section 903 of the Electronic Fund Transfer Act (15 U.S.C. 1693a)), telephone, or computer or magnetic tape so as to order, instruct, or authorize a financial institution to debit or credit an account; and

(B) includes point-of-sale transfers, automated teller machine transactions, and direct deposits or withdrawals of funds from an account.

(4) The term “payment processing equipment, system, or service” means—

(A) a card, code, or other means of access to a consumer’s account, or any combination thereof, that may be used by the consumer to initiate electronic fund transfers; or

(B) an electronic device, other than a telephone operated by a consumer, through which a consumer may initiate an electronic fund transfer.

(5) The term “retailer” has the meaning given in section 4664 of title 10, United States Code.

106. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE CLOUD
OF TEXAS OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

Page 1341, line 22, strike “(b)” and insert “(c)”.

Page 1341, after line 21, insert the following:

(b) RECOVERY OF OUTLAYS.—Write-offs under subsection (a) shall be done in a manner that ensures any previous cash outlay from a revolving fund is recovered.

107. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
COURTNEY OF CONNECTICUT OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE
FOR 10 MINUTES

Add at the end of subtitle E of title XII the following:

SEC. 12_. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORIZATION OF SALE TO AUSTRALIA OF IN-SERVICE VIRGINIA CLASS SUBMARINES TO PROVIDE FOR SALE OF ADDITIONAL IN-SERVICE SUBMARINE IN LIEU OF NEW CONSTRUCTION SUBMARINE.

(a) **MODIFICATION OF AUTHORIZATION.**—Subsection (a) of section 1352 of the AUKUS Submarine Transfer Authorization Act (22 U.S.C. 10431 note) is amended—

(1) by striking “two” and inserting “three”; and

(2) by striking “, and transfer not more than one additional Virginia Class submarine to the Government of Australia on a sale basis”.

(b) **TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS.**—Such section is further amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) by striking “Effective beginning on” and all that follows through “the President” and inserting “The President”; and

(B) by striking “20-year period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act” and inserting “20-year period beginning on December 22, 2023”;

(2) in subsection (d)(4), by striking “the date of the enactment of this Act” and inserting “December 22, 2023”; and

(3) in subsection (i)(1)—

(A) in the matter preceding subparagraph (A), by striking “Not later than” and all that follows through “15 years” and inserting “Not later than July 1 of each year through 2039”; and

(B) in subparagraph (D), by striking “over the” and all that follows through “this Act” and inserting “through December 22, 2038”.

108. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE CRAIG
OF MINNESOTA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle A of title VII, add the following new section:

**SEC. 7__. COVERAGE OF CRANIAL ORTHOTIC DEVICES FOR DEFORMATIONAL
PLAGIOCEPHALY UNDER TRICARE PROGRAM.**

Section 1079(a) of title 10, United States Code, as amended by section
703, is further amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(22) Cranial orthotic devices (molding helmets) for deformational
plagiocephaly may be provided as part of a reconstructive medical
treatment.”.

109. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE CRANE
OF ARIZONA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle B of title XVII, insert the following:

SEC. 17_. ASSESSMENT OF COUNTER-SUBTERRANEAN TECHNOLOGIES.

(a) **ASSESSMENT.**—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, and annually thereafter for each of the subsequent five years, the Director of the Combating Terrorism Technical Support Office of the Department of Defense, in coordination with the Under Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering, shall convene an engagement with appropriate officials of the Department of Homeland Security, including the United States Border Patrol Subterranean Program Management Office, to—

(1) identify Department of Defense-developed or Department of Defense-sponsored technologies, capabilities, and research efforts relevant to the detection, mapping, monitoring, or mitigation of subterranean threats;

(2) assess opportunities to transition, adapt, test, or evaluate such technologies for operational use in detecting, monitoring, and countering illicit cross-border tunnel activity along the international borders of the United States;

(3) identify opportunities for collaboration relating to research, development, testing, evaluation, and technology transition activities concerning counter-subterranean capabilities; and

(4) identify barriers to interagency cooperation, technology transfer, testing, evaluation, or deployment of such capabilities.

(b) **ANNUAL REPORT AND BRIEFING.**—Not later than 90 days after each engagement required under subsection (a), the Director of the Combating Terrorism Technical Support Office shall submit a report and provide a briefing to the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Homeland Security of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs of the Senate that includes a description of—

(1) the technologies, capabilities, and research efforts discussed during the engagement;

(2) opportunities identified for further research, development, testing, evaluation, transition, or operational assessment activities;

(3) recommendations for improving coordination between the Department of Defense and Federal partners regarding counter-subterranean technologies; and

(4) any legislative, regulatory, or administrative barriers affecting the development, transition, or deployment of counter-subterranean capabilities.

110. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE CRANE
OF ARIZONA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle B of title V, add the following new section:

SEC. 5__. **REPORT ON EDUCATIONAL PARTNERSHIPS TO SUPPORT MILITARY
RECRUITMENT, READINESS, AND END STRENGTH REQUIREMENTS.**

(a) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of Education, shall submit to the congressional defense committees, the Committee on Education and Workforce of the House of Representatives, and the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions of the Senate a report on opportunities to strengthen military recruitment and support the readiness and end strength requirements of the Armed Forces through partnerships with secondary schools, institutions of higher education, and career and technical education programs.

(b) **MATTERS INCLUDED.**—The report required under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) An assessment of current and projected recruiting challenges affecting the Armed Forces and the ability of the military departments to meet authorized end strength requirements.

(2) An assessment of the extent to which academic preparedness, physical fitness, workforce readiness, and awareness of military service opportunities impact the pool of qualified applicants available to the Armed Forces.

(3) An evaluation of existing Department of Defense engagement with secondary schools, institutions of higher education, and career and technical education programs and the effectiveness of such engagement in supporting recruiting objectives and military readiness.

(4) An assessment of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps program and the Senior Reserve Officers' Training Corps program, including—

(A) participation levels and recent enrollment trends;

(B) geographic distribution and accessibility of those programs;

(C) barriers to program expansion, including in areas experiencing recruiting shortfalls; and

(D) opportunities to increase participation, improve awareness of military service pathways, and strengthen leadership development among participants.

(5) An assessment of opportunities to expand partnerships between the Department of Defense and educational institutions to support recruitment into mission-critical military career fields, including science, technology, engineering, mathematics, cybersecurity, aviation, logistics, healthcare, artificial intelligence, and other fields identified by the Secretary of Defense.

(6) Recommendations for improving coordination between the Department of Defense and the Department of Education to increase awareness of military service opportunities, scholarships, service academies, Senior Reserve Officers' Training Corps scholarships, military career pathways, and other programs that support military recruiting and readiness.

(7) An assessment of opportunities to align existing educational, workforce development, and career readiness programs with current and projected Armed Forces personnel requirements.

(8) Recommendations for legislative or administrative actions to strengthen the pipeline of qualified applicants for military service and

support long-term military readiness and end strength requirements.

(9) Recommendations to increase the attractiveness of military service as a career path and form of public service, including recommendations to improve awareness of military career opportunities, expand pathways to service through the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps and Senior Reserve Officers' Training Corps programs, strengthen educational and workforce development partnerships, and increase the pool of qualified applicants available to meet military readiness and end strength requirements.

(c) BRIEFING.—Not later than 30 days after submitting the report required under subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense shall provide a briefing to the congressional defense committees on the findings and recommendations contained in the report.

(d) FORM.—The report required under subsection (a) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

111. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE CRANE
OF ARIZONA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle B of title II, add the following new section:

**SEC. 2_. MOBILE DEVICE FORCE PROTECTION AGAINST COMMERCIAL
SURVEILLANCE THREATS.**

(a) **PILOT PROGRAM.**—

(1) **ESTABLISHMENT.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall establish a pilot program to evaluate mobile device force protection technologies intended to reduce the operational exposure of covered personnel arising from application-generated signals.

(2) **PARTICIPATION.**—The Secretary shall make participation in the pilot program available to the military departments, the combatant commands, and other covered organizations seeking to participate.

(3) **ACQUISITION AUTHORITIES.**—The Secretary shall, to the maximum extent practicable, use existing rapid acquisition authorities and other transaction authorities to minimize administrative barriers to participation in the pilot program.

(4) **MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS.**—The Secretary shall establish objective, outcome-based measures of effectiveness before the initiation of the pilot program..

(b) **MINIMUM OPERATIONAL REQUIREMENTS.**—A technology evaluated under the pilot program shall demonstrate the ability to—

(1) identify applications generating signals relevant to force protection;

(2) determine the destinations receiving such signals;

(3) characterize the categories of information transmitted in sufficient detail to assess operational exposure;

(4) distinguish legitimate application functionality from analytics, diagnostics, advertising, profiling, and related exploitation activities;

(5) maintain auditable records and generate commander-level reporting sufficient to support force protection decisions;

(6) actively control application-generated transmissions, including the ability to block, throttle, or otherwise govern which signals leave the device and the destinations to which they may be sent, and not merely to observe, characterize, or report them;

(7) enable the Department of Defense, and not solely a vendor, to independently verify the matters described in paragraphs (1) through (6) and to confirm measured reductions in operational exposure; and

(8) adapt as commercial surveillance techniques evolve.

(c) **TRANSITION AND OPERATIONAL ADOPTION.**—

(1) **DETERMINATION.**—Not later than 90 days after the evaluation of a technology is completed under the pilot program, the Secretary shall determine whether the evaluated technology demonstrated measurable reductions in operational exposure associated with commercial mobile surveillance.

(2) **ADOPTION.**—If the Secretary determines that the effectiveness of a technology evaluated under the pilot program has been adequately demonstrated, the Secretary may authorize operational deployment of the technology without initiating a duplicative requirements process.

(3) **TRANSITION PATHWAYS.**—The Secretary shall identify potential transition pathways for a technology before evaluating the technology under the pilot program.

(d) CONTINUOUS ASSESSMENT.—

(1) FRAMEWORK.—The Secretary shall establish a continuous assessment framework applicable to deployed mobile device force protection technologies, under which each such technology is reviewed not less frequently than annually to evaluate whether it continues to reduce operational exposure arising from commercial mobile surveillance.

(2) ANNUAL REPORT.—Not less frequently than annually, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report that summarizes the findings of the assessments conducted under paragraph (1), identifies emerging commercial surveillance techniques, documents the effectiveness of deployed technologies, and recommends modifications to standards and procurement approaches.

(e) DEGRADATION AND REASSESSMENT.—If the Secretary determines that a deployed mobile device force protection technology no longer provides meaningful protection against identified threats, the Secretary shall initiate a review of alternative capabilities using the authorities established under this section.

(f) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to require the use of a particular technical architecture, implementation methodology, or commercial vendor. The requirements established under this section are intended to define the operational outcomes necessary to support force protection and not to prescribe the means by which those outcomes are achieved.

(g) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) The term “application-generated signal” means any data transmitted from a mobile device by, or on behalf of, a software application, including data derived from device sensors, network and environmental observations, diagnostic and behavioral telemetry, and embedded software development kits, whether or not the data includes a persistent identifier.

(2) The term “covered personnel” means—

(A) members of the Armed Forces;

(B) civilian employees of the Department of Defense;

(C) contractors supporting the Department of Defense;

(D) employees of entities engaged in the development or manufacture of weapons systems or other defense articles; and

(E) any other category of personnel designated by the Secretary of Defense whose duties involve heightened operational security considerations.

(3) The term “mobile device force protection technology” means a technology or capability intended to identify, observe, characterize, audit, report upon, restrict, suppress, or otherwise manage application-generated signals that may contribute to operational surveillance or pattern-of-life reconstruction affecting covered personnel.

(4) The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of Defense.

112. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
CRAWFORD OF ARKANSAS OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

In title XVI, subtitle B, add at the end the following new section:

SEC. 1619. OFFICE FOR BOMBING PREVENTION.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subtitle A of title II of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 (6 U.S.C. 121 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

“SEC. 211. OFFICE FOR BOMBING PREVENTION.

“(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—There is established within the Office of Intelligence and Analysis of the Department an Office for Bombing Prevention (in this section referred to as the ‘Office’), which shall be headed by an individual in the Senior Executive Service with subject matter expertise in deterring, detecting, preventing, protecting against, mitigating, and responding to terrorist explosive threats and attacks.

“(b) PRINCIPAL RESPONSIBILITIES.—The head of the Office shall—

“(1) act as the primary coordinator for the Department of Homeland Security with all elements of the Department of Defense that have responsibilities for the disposal of explosive ordnance;

“(2) ensure the effectiveness of intelligence and other information sharing between such elements of the Department of Defense and the Department of Homeland Security, including the Federal, State, local, and tribal partners of the Department of Homeland Security; and

“(3) advise the Secretary on matters related to terrorist explosive threats and attacks in the United States.

“(c) FUNCTIONS.—The Office shall have the primary responsibility within the Department of Homeland Security for enhancing the ability of, and coordinating the efforts of, the United States to deter, detect, prevent, protect against, mitigate, and respond to terrorist explosive threats and attacks in the United States, including by the following:

“(1) Coordinating the efforts of the Department to counter terrorist explosive threats and attacks in the United States, including by—

“(A) developing, in consultation with the Under Secretary for Strategy, Policy, and Plans, the strategy of the Department against terrorist explosive threats and attacks, including efforts to support the security and preparedness of critical infrastructure and the public sector and private sector;

“(B) leading the prioritization of the efforts of the Department against terrorist explosive threats and attacks, including preparedness and operational requirements;

“(C) ensuring, in consultation with the Under Secretary for Science and Technology and the Administrator of the Federal Emergency Management Agency, the identification, evaluation, and availability of effective technology applications through field pilot testing and acquisition of such technology applications by the public sector to deter, detect, prevent, protect against, mitigate, and respond to terrorist explosive threats and attacks in the United States;

“(D) providing advice and recommendations to the Administrator of the Federal Emergency Management Agency regarding the effective use of grants authorized under section 2002; and

“(E) aligning, in consultation with the Assistant Secretary for the Countering Weapons of Mass Destruction Office, the efforts of

the Department related to terrorist explosive threats and attacks in the United States and weapons of mass destruction.

“(2) Engaging other Federal departments and agencies, including Sector Risk Management Agencies and relevant elements of the Department of Defense, regarding terrorist explosive threats and attacks in the United States.

“(3) Facilitating information sharing and decision support of the public and private sectors involved in deterrence, detection, prevention, protection against, mitigation of, and response to terrorist explosive threats and attacks in the United States, including, when appropriate, delivering information to the public and private sectors using open-source materials or downgrading the classification level of information.

“(4) Providing training, guidance, planning, assessments, and technical assistance to the public and private sectors, as appropriate, to help counter the risk of terrorist explosive threats and attacks in the United States. The training and technical assistance provided under this paragraph shall include—

“(A) support efforts to prepare for and respond to bomb threats or other acts concerning explosive threats and attacks in the United States; and

“(B) support of public and private sector preparedness for security of critical infrastructure, facilities, and special events, as appropriate.

“(5) Promoting security awareness, through educational outreach or secure information sharing, where appropriate, among the public sector, the private sector, and the general public regarding the risks posed by the misuse of explosive precursor chemicals and other bomb-making materials.

“(6) Conducting analysis and planning for the capabilities and requirements necessary for the public and private sectors, as appropriate, to deter, detect, prevent, protect against, mitigate, and respond to terrorist explosive threats and attacks in the United States, including by—

“(A) maintaining a database on capabilities and requirements, including capabilities and requirements of public safety bomb squads, explosive detection canine teams, special tactics teams, and public safety dive teams; and

“(B) applying the analysis derived from the database described in subparagraph (A) with respect to—

“(i) evaluating progress toward closing identified gaps relating to national strategic goals and standards related to deterring, detecting, preventing, protecting against, mitigating, and responding to terrorist explosive threats and attacks in the United States; and

“(ii) informing decisions relating to homeland security policy, assistance, training, research, development efforts, testing and evaluation, and related requirements regarding deterring, detecting, preventing, protecting against, mitigating, and responding to terrorist explosive threats and attacks in the United States.

“(7) Facilitating secure information sharing of sensitive material and promoting security awareness, including by—

“(A) operating and maintaining a secure information sharing system that allows sharing among and between the public and private sectors of critical information relating to explosive attack tactics, techniques, and procedures;

“(B) working with international partners, in consultation with the Office of International Affairs of the Department, to develop and share effective practices to deter, detect, prevent, protect against, mitigate, and respond to terrorist explosive threats and attacks in the United States;

“(C) executing national public awareness and vigilance campaigns relating to—

“(i) terrorist explosive threats and attacks in the United States;

“(ii) preventing explosive attacks; and

“(iii) activities and measures underway to safeguard the United States; and

“(D) working with relevant stakeholder organizations.

“(8) Providing any other assistance the Secretary determines necessary.”.

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of contents at the beginning of such Act is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 210G the following new item:

“Sec. 211. Office for Bombing Prevention.”.

(c) DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE SYNCHRONIZATION.—The Secretary of Defense shall ensure that—

(1) planning and operations of the Department of Defense are updated to reflect the changes made by this section in a timely manner; and

(2) access to appropriate defense and military intelligence information sharing is maintained during the transition from any existing office with the Department of Homeland Security to the Office of Bombing Prevention as established by this section in a way that would not impede a response in an emergency or on-going operations.

113. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE CRAWFORD OF ARKANSAS OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle A of title VI, add the following new section:

SEC. 6_. IMPROVEMENTS TO INCENTIVE PAY FOR EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL DUTY.

(a) **ESTABLISHMENT.**—Subchapter I of Chapter 5 of title 37, United States Code, is amended by inserting, after section 301e, the following new section:

“§301f. Incentive pay: explosive ordnance disposal duty

“(a) **ELIGIBILITY.**—(1) Subject to regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Defense, a regular member of a covered armed force is entitled to continuous monthly explosive ordnance disposal duty incentive pay in the amount specified in subsection (b)(1) if the member—

“(A) is entitled to basic pay;

“(B) holds (or is in training leading to) an explosive ordnance disposal duty designator; and

“(C) is in and remains in explosive ordnance disposal duty on a career basis.

“(2) Subject to regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Defense, a member of a covered armed force who is entitled to basic pay but is not entitled to continuous monthly explosive ordnance disposal duty incentive pay under paragraph (1) is entitled to explosive ordnance disposal duty incentive pay in the amount prescribed pursuant to subsection (b)(2) for any period during which such member performs explosive ordnance disposal duty under orders.

“(b) **RATES.**—(1) Continuous monthly explosive ordnance disposal duty incentive pay under subsection (a)(1) shall be in the following amounts:

“Years of explosive ordnance disposal duty (including training):	Monthly Rate
2 or fewer	\$125
Over 2	\$156
Over 3	\$188
Over 4	\$206
Over 6	\$650
Over 8	\$800
Over 10	\$1,000
Over 17	\$840
Over 22	\$585
Over 24	\$385
Over 25	\$250

“(2) Explosive ordnance disposal duty incentive pay under subsection (a) (2)—

“(A) shall be in amounts prescribed by the Secretary of Defense;

“(B) may not, for any month, exceed the maximum amount specified in paragraph (1); and

“(C) may not be less per day than the amount under subsection (d).

“(c) **COMPUTATION OF YEARS.**—Years of explosive ordnance disposal duty by a member shall be computed beginning with the effective date of the initial order to such member to perform explosive ordnance disposal duty.

“(d) APPLICABILITY TO CERTAIN DUTY IN THE RESERVE COMPONENTS.—Under regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Defense and to the extent provided for by appropriations, for each day that a member of a reserve component of a covered armed force who is entitled to compensation under section 206 of this title, performs, under orders, explosive ordnance disposal duty, such member is eligible for an increase in compensation equal to one-thirtieth of the continuous monthly incentive pay under subsection (b)(1) for a member of corresponding years of service entitled to basic pay.

“(e) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

“(1) The term ‘covered armed force’ means the Army, Navy, Marine Corps, Air Force, or Space Force.

“(2) The term ‘explosive ordnance disposal’ has the meaning given such term in section 2284 of title 10.

“(3) The term ‘explosive ordnance disposal duty’ means duty performed by a member of a covered armed force, under regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Defense, in explosive ordnance disposal.”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Section 301f of title 37, United States Code, as added by subsection (a), shall take effect on the date that is 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act and apply to explosive ordnance disposal duty performed on or after such date.

114. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
CRAWFORD OF ARKANSAS OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle F of title X, insert the following:

**SEC. 10_. PILOT PROGRAM ON THE ARMY NATIONAL GUARD AS THE HOMELAND
DEFENSE INTEGRATOR FOR DEFEATING EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE.**

(a) **PILOT PROGRAM AUTHORIZED.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary of the Army may conduct a pilot program to assess the feasibility and advisability of the development of a capability in support of Department of the Army missions within the National Guard through which the National Guard of a State remotely provides to the National Guard of other States (whether or not in the same Armed Force as the providing National Guard) explosive ordnance disposal capability through technical assistance in the planning, preparation, training, and response to accidents and incidents involving foreign and domestic explosive ordnance, as such term is defined in section 283(d) of title 10, United States Code.

(2) **ASSESSMENT PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT.**—For the purposes of the pilot program described in subsection (a), the Director of the Army National Guard shall, prior to commencing the pilot program, determine—

(A) which of the service component commands of the Army best supports the responsible combatant commands that defend the homeland including the United States Strategic Command, the United States Space Command, and the United States Northern Command;

(B) the command and support relationships of Army National Guard explosive ordnance disposal formations, and other military explosive ordnance disposal units, to the Army service component command identified pursuant to subparagraph (A);

(C) the required increase in the number of members of the National Guard performing full-time National Guard duty in the Active Guard and Reserve Program that may support or execute such homeland defense activities performed by the National Guard under section 904 of title 32, United States Code; and

(D) the crosswalk of designated Army National Guard explosive ordnance disposal units to that of the Department of Defense prioritized critical infrastructure listing with an emphasis on United States left of launch capability including missile production and storage facilities, missile launching equipment and positions, and command and control equipment generally recognized as lawful military objectives that may be targeted.

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—The pilot program described in subsection (a) may include the following:

(1) A secure net-worked capability, including joint worldwide intelligence communication system and secure internet protocol router networks, that enables the National Guard explosive ordnance disposal unit of a State to remotely provide command and control, intelligence, technical operations oversight, and explosives safety compliance without the need to deploy outside its home State.

(2) The development of policies, processes, procedures, program element and management decision packages, and authorities for use of such a capability, including with respect to the following:

(A) The roles and responsibilities of both requesting and deploying National Guards with respect to such explosive ordnance disposal capabilities as defined in section 2284(d)(2) of title 10, United States Code.

(B) Program management and governance structures for deployment and maintenance of such a capability.

(3) The conduct of at least three annual exercises known as “Ravens Challenge exercises” with the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, and Explosives and the Army which shall include the following:

(A) The participation of not fewer than the National Guards of two States.

(B) Circumstances designed to test and validate the policies, processes, procedures, program elements, and management decision packages and authorities pursuant to paragraph (2).

(c) CONSTRUCTION WITH CERTAIN CURRENT AUTHORITIES.—

(1) COMMAND AUTHORITIES.—Nothing in this section may be construed as affecting or altering the command authorities otherwise applicable to any unit of the National Guard participating in the pilot program.

(2) EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT ASSISTANCE COMPACT.—Nothing in the section may be construed as affecting or altering any current agreement under the Emergency Management Assistance Compact, or any other State agreements, or as determinant of the future content of any such agreement.

(d) EVALUATION METRICS.—The Director of the Army National Guard shall establish metrics to evaluate the effectiveness of the pilot program.

(e) TERM.—The pilot program under subsection (a) shall not terminate not later than five years (a future years defense program cycle) after the date of the commencement of the pilot program.

(f) REPORTS.—

(1) INITIAL REPORT.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the commencement of the pilot program, the Secretary of the Army shall submit to the defense and intelligence committees of Congress an initial report setting forth a description of the pilot program and such other matters in connection with the pilot program as the Secretary considers appropriate.

(2) FINAL REPORT.—Not later than 180 days after the termination of the pilot program, the Secretary of the Army shall submit to the defense and intelligence committees of Congress a final report. The report shall include the following:

(A) A description of the pilot program including any partnerships entered into under the pilot program.

(B) A summary of the assessment performed prior to the commencement of the pilot program in accordance with subsection (a)(2).

(C) A summary of the evaluation metrics established in accordance with subsection (d).

(D) An assessment of the effectiveness of the pilot program, and capability elements described in subsection (b).

(E) A description of the costs associated with implementation and conduct of the pilot program.

(F) A recommendation as to authorizing a permanent program modeled on the pilot program.

(G) An estimate of the costs on making the pilot program permanent and expanding it nationwide in accordance with the recommendation in subparagraph (F).

(H) Such recommendations for legislative or administrative action as the Secretary of the Army considers appropriate in light of the pilot program.

(g) STATE DEFINED.—In this section, the term “State” means—

(1) the several States;

- (2) the District of Columbia;
- (3) the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico;
- (4) American Samoa;
- (5) Guam;
- (6) the United States Virgin Islands; and
- (7) the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands.

115. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
CRAWFORD OF ARKANSAS OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle G of title V, add the following new section:

**SEC. 5. PILOT PROGRAM TO PROVIDE BENEFITS AND SERVICES TO ARMY
EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL MEMBERS WHO ARE SEPARATING.**

(a) **PILOT PROGRAM.**—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Army and the Secretary of Homeland Security shall jointly establish a pilot program to provide members of the Army who are separating under honorable conditions who are qualified for explosive ordnance disposal to attend the Criminal Investigator Training Program of the Federal Law Enforcement Training Centers.

(b) **REQUIREMENTS.**—In carrying out the pilot program required by subsection (a), the Secretary of the Army shall—

(1) require participants in the pilot program to be separated from the Armed Forces or on terminal leave, as determined by the Secretary;

(2) shall reimburse the Director of the Federal Law Enforcement Training Centers not more than \$50,000 per participant in the pilot program for not more than 24 participants per fiscal year; and

(3) shall not reimburse any other agency for any additional training provided to participants.

(c) **REPORTS.**—

(1) **ANNUAL REPORT.**—Not later than one year after the establishment of the pilot program required by subsection (a), and annually thereafter until the termination of the pilot program, the Secretary of the Army, in consultation with the Secretary of Homeland Security, shall submit to the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Homeland Security of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Homeland Security and Government Affairs of the Senate a report on the pilot program, including—

(A) the number of participants in the pilot program who successfully complete the Criminal Investigator Training Program;

(B) the recommendation of the Secretary as to whether the number of participants authorized to participate in the pilot program should be increased or decreased; and

(C) an assessment of the viability of expanding the pilot program to members of other Armed Forces with expertise in explosive ordnance disposal.

(2) **FINAL REPORT.**—Not later than 90 days after the termination of the pilot program required by subsection (a), the Secretary of the Army, in consultation with the Secretary of Homeland Security, shall submit to the committees described in paragraph (1) a report that includes—

(A) the elements described in subparagraphs (A) through (C) of paragraph (1); and

(B) the recommendation of the Secretary as to whether to make the pilot program permanent.

(d) **TERMINATION.**—The pilot program required by subsection (a) shall terminate on the date that is five years after the date on which such pilot program is established.

116. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
DAVIDSON OF OHIO OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle G of title XII of division A, add the following:

**SEC. 12_. STUDY AND REPORT ON ADVANCING UNITED STATES INTERESTS IN
THE WESTERN HEMISPHERE.**

(a) **FINDINGS.**—Congress finds that there are unconventional transnational threat networks that—

(1) are centered on, but not exclusive to, drug trafficking organizations; and

(2) the President's National Security Strategy has identified as challenges to the core national interests of the United States.

(b) **STUDY.**—The Secretary of Defense shall carry out a study of the major threat networks operating in the Western Hemisphere, with the purpose of revealing their principal leaders, capabilities, activities, methods, infrastructure, financial assets, and weapons, as well as how they overlap with drug trafficking organizations. In carrying out the study, the Secretary should prioritize such major threats that—

(1) threaten the homeland;

(2) abet international terrorism;

(3) smuggle illegal drugs or supply weapons;

(4) are heavily reliant on entities not located in the Western Hemisphere; or

(5) traffic human beings or facilitate mass migration.

(c) **REPORT.**—Not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate and the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives a report containing—

(1) all findings and determinations made in carrying out the study required under subsection (b);

(2) a comparison of the threats identified in such study to the global threat priorities of the Department of Defense; and

(3) an explanation of how the Department of Defense plans to coordinate with the heads of other appropriate departments and agencies of the United States to use the resources of the Department, in coordination with criminal prosecutions, diplomacy, and other tools, to dismantle the major threat networks studied under subsection (b).

117. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
DAVIDSON OF OHIO OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

Page 305, after line 7, insert the following new section:

SEC. 5. PROHIBITED DIETARY SUPPLEMENT INGREDIENTS AND
PERFORMANCE-ENHANCING SUBSTANCES.

(a) PROHIBITION.—Chapter 49 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 978 the following new section:

“§978a. Prohibited dietary supplement ingredients and performance-enhancing substances

“(a) PROHIBITED INGREDIENT AND SUBSTANCE LISTS.—The Secretary shall publish and, not less frequently than once every 90 days, shall update a list of—

“(1) dietary supplement ingredients prohibited for use by members of the armed forces; and

“(2) performance-enhancing substances prohibited for use by members of the armed forces.

“(b) REQUIRED FORMATS.—The Secretary shall publish the list under subsection (a)—

“(1) on an internet website where such list may be viewed in full without use of a search function;

“(2) in a searchable database; and

“(3) in a digital file that may be downloaded from such internet website in a common format.

“(c) COMMANDING OFFICER MAY ELECT NOT TO DISCIPLINE.—The commanding officer of a member of the armed forces who possesses or uses a dietary supplement containing an ingredient (other than a substance included in the schedule under section 202 of the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 812)) appearing on the list under subsection (a)(1)—

“(1) may elect not to subject such member to discipline if—

“(A) such possession or use is the first disciplinary offense committed by such member;

“(B) such commanding officer determines that such member satisfies the good faith standard under subsection (e); and

“(C) such member agrees to participate in education, counseling, or drug testing in lieu of discipline; and

“(2) may elect not to subject such member to administrative separation.

“(d) POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED INGREDIENT NOT DRUG ABUSE.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, possession of a dietary supplement containing an ingredient (other than a substance included in the schedule under section 202 of the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 812)) appearing on the list under subsection (a)(1) shall not constitute drug abuse for purposes of this title.

“(e) GOOD FAITH STANDARD.—A member of the armed forces satisfies the good faith standard under this subsection if such member—

“(1) possesses or uses a dietary supplement containing an ingredient (other than a substance included in the schedule under section 202 of the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 812)) appearing on the list under subsection (a)(1) without actual knowledge that such dietary supplement contains such ingredient;

“(2) purchases such supplement from a retail facility affiliated with the Department of Defense;

“(3) reasonably relies, prior to purchasing or using such supplement, on a search of the list under subsection (a)(1) that fails to identify such ingredient as prohibited under subsection (a)(1), including due to a misspelling or variation in the name of such ingredient on such list; or

“(4) otherwise demonstrates a reasonable belief that such supplement does not contain such ingredient.”.

(b) SECRETARY TO UPDATE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE INSTRUCTION.—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this section, the Secretary of Defense, acting through the Under Secretary for Personnel and Readiness, shall revise Department of Defense Instruction 6130.06 pursuant to section 978a of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a).

(c) SECRETARY TO UPDATE OPERATION SUPPLEMENT SAFETY INTERNET WEBSITE.—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this section, the Secretary shall—

(1) update the Operation Supplement Safety internet website to enhance functionality for—

(A) vendors of dietary supplements; and

(B) members of the Armed Forces; and

(2) review possible improvements to such internet website, including with respect to—

(A) search tools that employ—

(i) autofill functionality; and

(ii) autocorrect functionality;

(B) artificial intelligence tools that can—

(i) scan product labels; and

(ii) search such internet website for information on the ingredients found on such labels; and

(C) capacity to allow a user to register to receive a notification when a dietary supplement ingredient is added to the list under section 978a(a)(1) of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a).

(d) SECRETARY TO REVIEW DIETARY SUPPLEMENT SAFETY EDUCATION OPPORTUNITIES.—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this section, the Secretary shall review opportunities for incorporating into existing training programs for members of the Armed Forces education concerning—

(1) dietary supplement safety; and

(2) the list under section 978a(a) of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a).

(e) REPORTS.—

(1) INITIAL IMPLEMENTATION REPORT.—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this section, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report describing efforts made to ensure that retail facilities affiliated with the Department of Defense do not sell any products containing an ingredient appearing on the list under section 978a(a) of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a).

(2) FINAL IMPLEMENTATION REPORT.—Not later than two years after the date of the enactment of this section, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report describing steps taken to implement section 978a of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a).

(3) ANNUAL REPORTS.—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this section, and annually thereafter for a period of five years, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report—

(A) listing, for the one-year period ending on the date on which such report is submitted—

(i) the total number of administrative separation actions initiated for possession or use of a dietary supplement

containing an ingredient appearing on the list under section 978a(a)(1) of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a), disaggregated by—

(I) armed force;

(II) pay grade;

(III) characterization of discharge sought;

(IV) whether the member subject to the administrative separation action contested such action; and

(V) outcome; and

(ii) the number of commanding officers who elected not to subject a member of the Armed Forces to discipline under section 978a(c) of such title; and

(B) assessing the effectiveness of efforts to provide education relating to dietary supplement safety to members of the Armed Forces.

118. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE DAVIS
OF NORTH CAROLINA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle F of title X, insert the following:

**SEC. 10_. BRIEFING ON LOW COST, PLATFORM AGNOSTIC AUTONOMY MODULES
FOR SUAS.**

(a) **SENSE OF CONGRESS.**—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) there is a growing operational need for rapidly deployable, low cost, and attritable small unmanned aerial systems (in this section referred to as “sUAS”) capable of executing autonomous strike and reconnaissance missions.

(2) commercial sector advances now allow advanced artificial intelligence-enabled autonomy to run on inexpensive, widely fielded hardware, such as ruggedized Net Warrior Android based devices, mounted onto off the shelf drones;

(3) these capabilities can convert low cost platforms into autonomous delivery or one way attack systems without requiring complex or costly payload integration;

(4) it is encouraging that emerging platform agnostic autonomy kits that leverage onboard sensors and processors from commercially available devices to enable navigation, target recognition, and terminal engagement for sUAS operating in contested environments; and

(5) these capabilities offer the Department a rapid, scalable means of fielding attritable systems at a fraction of traditional cost.

(b) **BRIEFING.**—Not later than December 1, 2026, the Secretary of Defense shall provide to the congressional defense committees a briefing on opportunities to accelerate development and acquisition of low cost, platform agnostic autonomy modules for sUAS. The briefing shall include each of the following:

(1) A survey of commercially derived autonomy solutions that can be integrated onto inexpensive, non proprietary hardware.

(2) An assessment of Department of Defense integration pathways for enabling autonomous weapons delivery or ISR missions on off the shelf drones.

(3) Recommendations for rapid fielding, demonstrations, or procurement approaches that would allow the Services to acquire and deploy such capabilities at scale.

119. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE DAVIS
OF NORTH CAROLINA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle E of title X, insert the following:

SEC. 10. ARMY REPORT ON RESILIENT TACTICAL POWER AND BATTERY SAFETY.

(a) **FINDINGS.**—Congress finds the following:

(1) Army units operating at the tactical edge increasingly rely on resilient power generation, storage, distribution, and charging to support communications systems, sensor networks, unmanned systems, directed-energy counter-UAS capabilities, command-and-control nodes, tactical vehicles, and other mission equipment.

(2) Current fielded power solutions may not be optimized as integrated systems, limiting interoperability and increasing fuel, maintenance, and logistics burdens.

(3) Batteries are a critical part of this architecture, because lithium battery performance and safety depend heavily on subcomponents, including separator materials.

(4) Separator failure or degradation under high-rate cycling, cold-start pulse demand, elevated temperatures, extended storage, or mechanical and electrical abuse can contribute to internal short circuits, thermal events, and fault propagation in confined, manned, vehicle-integrated, or unmanned applications.

(5) Separator technologies and other subcomponents should be assessed by the Army alongside power generation, charging, distribution, and sustainment needs.

(b) **REPORT.**—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Army shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the House of Representatives and the Senate a report on the efforts of the Army to demonstrate, assess, and transition resilient tactical power architectures and safe lithium battery technologies for mission systems. The report shall include each of the following:

(1) A description of priority operational use cases for integrated tactical power and battery-dependent mission systems, including how hybrid tactical microgrids, control nodes, batteries, power electronics, charging, distribution, and energy storage would support unmanned systems, sensors, command-and-control nodes, directed-energy counter-unmanned aircraft systems, tactical vehicles, and other systems at the tactical edge.

(2) A roadmap for laboratory, field, and operational demonstrations, including—

(A) integration with Army generators, tactical vehicles, batteries, power electronics, and representative combat formations;

(B) use of modular open systems architectures;

(C) cyber and electromagnetic resilience requirements; and

(D) metrics for fuel efficiency, logistics burden, reliability, survivability, and lifecycle costs.

(3) An assessment of lithium battery designs, separator technologies, and related subcomponents that could improve high-rate cycling, cold-start performance, persistent low-draw operation, elevated-temperature endurance, long-duration storage, intrinsic fault containment, and propagation resistance, including relevant cooperative agreements, test standards, and representative Army mission profiles.

(4) A description of performance, safety, and sustainment testing for fielded batteries, including overcharge, crush, puncture, internal short-circuit, thermal exposure, thermal runaway, charging in extreme or austere environments, and procedures to keep batteries for unmanned systems and other mission equipment functional and charged in storage and regular use.

(5) A transition and sourcing plan for successful prototypes, validated battery designs, separator materials, and related subcomponents, including current and planned programs of record, existing platforms, candidate units and echelons for initial fielding, opportunities to leverage commercially proven domestic or allied battery and power electronics technologies, barriers to transition, and steps to secure domestic or allied production of separators, electrodes, electrolytes, cells, packs, and associated manufacturing capabilities.

120. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE DUNN
OF FLORIDA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle E of title I, add the following new section:

**SEC. 1_. MODIFICATION TO MULTIYEAR PROCUREMENT AUTHORITY FOR
DOMESTICALLY PROCESSED CRITICAL MINERALS.**

Section 152 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year
2024 (Public Law 118–31; 50 U.S.C. 98e–2) is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsection (e) as subsection (f); and

(2) by inserting after subsection (d) the following new subsection:

“(e) **CONSIDERATION OF RECYCLED AND REUSED MATERIALS.**—In
exercising the authority under this section, the Secretary of Defense shall
give special consideration the procurement of critical minerals derived from
recycled and reused minerals and metals, to the maximum extent
practicable.”.

121. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE DUNN
OF FLORIDA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

Add at the end of subtitle B of title XVIII, the following new section:

**SEC. 18_. REPORT ON DEPENDENCY ON CHINA FOR PROCESSING MATERIALS
FOR DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE SUPPLY CHAINS.**

(a) **METHODOLOGY.**—The Secretary of Defense shall develop and implement a methodology to identify and assess dependencies of the Department of Defense on the People’s Republic of China for the processing, refining, separation, alloying, magnet manufacturing, or other midstream production stages of materials critical to the defense industrial base.

(b) **REPORT.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this section, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report identifying priority defense supply chains with significant midstream processing exposure to China and recommendations for mitigation of such exposure.

122. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE DUNN
OF FLORIDA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

Add at the end of subtitle C of title VII, the following new section:

**SEC. 7__. MEDICAL SUPPLY CHAIN RISK IDENTIFICATION AND TRANSPARENCY
ENHANCEMENT.**

The Secretary of Defense shall—

(1) conduct a Department-wide risk assessment of the medical supply chain of the Department;

(2) develop a classified, prioritized list of high-risk critical medical products for which the United States is dependent on the People's Republic of China for precursor elements; and

(3) submit to Congress a report on the findings of the assessment and mitigation strategies, including recommendations for procurement, sourcing, and domestic manufacturing actions relating to such medical products to reduce reliance on adversarial supply chains for such products.

123. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE DUNN
OF FLORIDA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

Add at the end of subtitle F of title XVIII, the following new section:

**SEC. 18. CRITICAL MINERALS RECOVERY FROM UNCONVENTIONAL
FEEDSTOCKS.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of Energy and the Secretary of the Interior, shall support demonstration and early commercial deployment of technologies capable of recovering covered materials relevant to the defense industrial base from unconventional feedstocks, including tailings from mining operations, low-grade ores, industrial waste streams, and recycled materials.

(b) **ELIGIBLE TECHNOLOGIES.**—Technologies described in subsection (a) may include hydrometallurgical, solvent extraction, electrochemical, pyrometallurgical, separation and purification, biological, bioleaching, and other advanced recovery, processing, and refining technologies capable of recovering, upgrading, refining, or converting covered materials.

(c) **FORM OF SUPPORT.**—The Secretary of Defense may provide support under this section through—

(1) the authorities of title III of the Defense Production Act of 1950 (50 U.S.C. 4531 et seq.);

(2) grants, cooperative agreements, and other transactions;

(3) pilot projects, demonstration projects, and prototype projects;

(4) procurement contracts, purchase commitments, and offtake agreements;

(5) activities carried out under the Strategic Environmental Research and Development Program, the Environmental Security Technology Certification Program, Industrial Base Analysis and Sustainment activities, and other Department of Defense programs; and

(6) any other authority available to the Secretary.

(d) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) **COVERED MATERIAL.**—The term “covered material” means any mineral, element, substance, or material on the list of critical minerals published under section 7002(c) of the Energy Act of 2020 (30 U.S.C. 1606(c)), any critical material determined by the Secretary of Energy under section 7002(a)(2) of that Act, or any strategic and critical material under the Strategic and Critical Materials Stock Piling Act (50 U.S.C. 98 et seq.), including ores, oxides, intermediates, byproducts, and refined forms thereof.

(2) **UNCONVENTIONAL FEEDSTOCK.**—The term “unconventional feedstock” means any domestic source of covered materials other than newly mined, on-specification primary ore concentrate processed by conventional means, including:

(A) tailings, waste rock, and residues from current or legacy mining operations;

(B) low-grade, off-grade, or off-specification ores and concentrates;

(C) slags, drosses, anode slimes, leach residues, process liquors, and other byproducts, intermediate streams, or wastes from mineral processing, smelting, refining, or manufacturing operations;

(D) industrial waste streams, including energy, chemical, metallurgical, and defense-related industrial processes; and

(E) recycled, scrap, end-of-life, and urban-mined materials.

124. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
ELFRETH OF MARYLAND OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle H of title V, add the following new section:

SEC. 5. STUDY ON WEEKEND DRILL CHILD CARE PROGRAMS.

(a) **STUDY REQUIRED.**—The Secretary of each military department that carries out a child care program for members of reserve components during inactive duty training on weekends shall conduct a study on the effectiveness of such program and any challenges in executing such program.

(b) **REPORT.**—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, each Secretary of a military department described in subsection (a) shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report containing the results of the study required under subsection (a).

125. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
ELFRETH OF MARYLAND OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

Page 1378, line 8, strike "REPORT" and insert "BRIEFING".

Page 1378, line 10, strike "through 2030, the Secretary shall submit" and
insert "through 2035, the Secretary shall provide".

Page 1378, line 11, strike "report" and insert "briefing".

126. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
ESCOBAR OF TEXAS OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

Add at the end of subtitle G of title XXVIII, the following new section:

**SEC. 28. ASSESSMENT OF THE USE OF INTERGOVERNMENTAL SUPPORT
AGREEMENTS FOR UNSPECIFIED MINOR MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
PROJECTS.**

(a) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this section, each covered Assistant Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report assessing the potential use of intergovernmental support agreements (as defined in section 2679 title 10, United States Code) to carry out unspecified minor military construction projects under section 2805 of title 10, United States Code.

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—The report required under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) An assessment of the feasibility of applying revised maximum dollar thresholds for unspecified minor military construction projects carried out under intergovernmental support agreements as follows:

(A) \$15,000,000 for laboratory revitalization projects

(B) \$12,000,000 for projects carried out using amounts from the Operation and Maintenance account.

(2) A list of not more than 10 projects included in a facilities investment plan or facility support program of a military department that could be carried out under intergovernmental support agreements.

(c) **COVERED ASSISTANT SECRETARY DEFINED.**—In this section, the term “covered Assistant Secretary” means—

(1) the Assistant Secretary of the Army for Installations, Energy, and Environment;

(2) the Assistant Secretary of the Navy for Energy, Installations, and Environment; and

(3) the Assistant Secretary of the Air Force for Installations, Environment, and Energy.

127. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
ESCOBAR OF TEXAS OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle H of title V, add the following new section:

SEC. 5. REPORT ON STRENGTHENING THE MILITARY CHILD CARE
WORKFORCE.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 120 days after the date of enactment of this section, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report assessing workforce, readiness, and policy challenges associated with military child care and military child development centers.

(b) CONTENTS.—The report under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) An analysis of the current workforce of military child development centers, including—

(A) the percentage of employees who are military spouses; and

(B) the percentage of employees who are spouses of retired or separated members of the Armed Forces.

(2) A feasibility assessment of increasing the transferability of licenses or certifications for military spouses employed at military child development centers when accompanying a service member on a permanent change of station.

(3) An assessment of vacancy rates across military child development centers and the reasons for such vacancies.

(4) An assessment of the number of additional children who could be served by military child development centers if such centers were fully staffed.

(5) A feasibility assessment of permitting military spouses residing in on-post housing to provide childcare within their homes under a supervisory program coordinated by a military child development center.

(6) Recommendations for incentives to attract and retain military spouses in the military child care workforce, including—

(A) professional development opportunities;

(B) stipend or subsidy programs; and

(C) flexible scheduling options.

128. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE ESCOBAR OF TEXAS OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle C of title V, add the following new section:

SEC. 5_. UPDATE OF GUIDANCE AND EVALUATION OF JUNIOR RESERVE OFFICERS' TRAINING CORPS INSTRUCTOR PAY SCALE.

(a) **UPDATE OF GUIDANCE.**—Not later than 270 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretaries concerned (as that term is defined in section 101(a)(9) of title 10, United States Code), shall update Department of Defense guidance governing the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC) program to include—

(1) an evaluation plan to assess the effects of the JROTC Standardized Instructor Pay Scale (JSIPS), or any successor pay system, on recruitment and retention of JROTC instructors; and

(2) standardized metrics for measuring JROTC instructor recruiting outcomes and retention rates across the military services.

(b) **REQUIRED METRICS.**—The evaluation plan required under subsection (a) shall include, at a minimum, metrics relating to—

(1) instructor vacancy rates and time-to-hire;

(2) retention rates and length of service;

(3) geographic variation in recruiting and retention outcomes, including high-cost-of-living areas, rural, urban, and geographically isolated locations;

(4) comparisons between outcomes under the legacy pay system and the JSIPS;

(5) the identification of recruiting efforts used to attract instructor applicants, including data on how applicants learned about available instructor positions;

(6) the number of applicants who begin, complete, or withdraw from the instructor hiring process, including the interview and background investigation stages;

(7) the number of applicants who accept or decline instructor position offers, including to the extent practicable, information on the reasons offers were declined; and

(8) any other matters the Secretary determines appropriate.

(c) **REPORT TO CONGRESS.**—Not later than one year after the issuance of the updated guidance under subsection (a), and annually thereafter for two years, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives a report that includes—

(1) a description of the updated guidance and implementation status;

(2) baseline data and metrics collected using the metrics developed under subsection (a);

(3) an assessment of the effects of the pay scale on recruitment and retention; and

(4) any recommendations for legislative or administrative action.

129. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE EVANS
OF COLORADO OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle A of title VI add the following new section:

**SEC. 6. MODIFICATION OF IMPLEMENTATION DETERMINATION FOR SPECIAL
AND INCENTIVE PAY AUTHORITIES FOR MEMBERS OF RESERVE
COMPONENTS.**

Section 602(d) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year
2022 (Public Law 117-81; 37 U.S.C. 357 note) is amended—

(1) by striking “IMPLEMENTATION DATE” and all that follows
through “The Secretary shall not” and inserting “IMPLEMENTATION
DATE.—The Secretary shall not”;

(2) by striking paragraph (2); and

(3) by redesignating subparagraphs (A) and (B) as paragraphs (1)
and (2), respectively, and conforming the margins accordingly.

130. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE EZELL
OF MISSISSIPPI OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle A of title II, add the following new section:

**SEC. 2__. FUNDING FOR ULTRA-LIGHT GROUP 1 SMALL UNMANNED AIRCRAFT
SYSTEMS FOR SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES.**

(a) **INCREASE.**—Notwithstanding the amounts set forth in the funding tables in division D, the amount authorized to be appropriated in section 201 for research, development, test, and evaluation, Defense-wide, as specified in the corresponding funding table in section 4201, for intelligence systems development (PE 1160405BB), line 303, is hereby increased by \$10,000,000 (with the amount of such increase to be made available for ultra-light Group 1 small unmanned aircraft systems for the United States Special Operations Command).

(b) **OFFSET.**—Notwithstanding the amounts set forth in the funding tables in division D, the amount authorized to be appropriated in section 201 for research, development, test, and evaluation, Defense-wide, as specified in the corresponding funding table in section 4201, for Office of the Secretary of Defense, OUSD(C) IT development initiatives (PE 0605027D8Z), line 153, is hereby reduced by \$10,000,000.

131. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
FIGURES OF ALABAMA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle G of title XXVIII, add the following new section:
SEC. 28_. SENSE OF CONGRESS WITH RESPECT TO WARGAMING CENTER AT
MAXWELL AIR FORCE BASE, MONTGOMERY, ALABAMA.

It is the sense of Congress that the Secretary of the Air Force should authorize and prioritize the design and development of a state-of-the-art Combined All-Domain Wargaming Center at Maxwell Air Force Base, Montgomery, Alabama to enhance the strategic training, education, and simulation capabilities of the Department of Defense.

132. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE FINE
OF FLORIDA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle D of title X, add the following new section:

SEC. 10_. DISCLOSURE OF FOREIGN GIFTS MADE TO MILITARY COLLEGES.

Chapter 101 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

“§2018.Military colleges: disclosures of foreign gifts

“(a) DISCLOSURE REPORT REQUIRED.—(1) Whenever any military college receives a gift from a foreign source or enters into a covered contract, the value of which is \$50,000 or more, considered alone or in combination with all other gifts from or contracts with that foreign source in a calendar year, the military college shall submit a report to the Secretary of Defense.

“(2)(A) Each report to the Secretary required by this subsection shall include the following:

“(i) With respect to gifts received from, or contracts entered into with, a foreign source other than a foreign government, the aggregate dollar amount of such gifts and contracts attributable to a particular country.

“(ii) With respect to gifts received from, or contracts entered into with, a foreign government, the aggregate amount of such gifts and contracts received from such foreign government.

“(B) For purposes of subparagraph (A), the country to which a gift is attributable is—

“(i) with respect to a foreign source who is a natural person, the country of citizenship of the foreign source or, if the country of citizenship is unknown, the country in which the principal residence of the foreign source is located; and

“(ii) with respect to a foreign source that is a legal entity, the country of incorporation of the foreign source or, if the country of incorporation is unknown, the country in which the principal place of business of the foreign source is located.

“(3) Each report required under paragraph (1) shall be submitted to the Secretary not later than the earlier of the January 31 or July 31 immediately following the receipt of the gift or the entering into of the covered contract that causes a report to be required to be submitted under paragraph (1).

“(b) ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURES FOR RESTRICTED AND CONDITIONAL GIFTS.—Not later than 30 days after the date on which a military college receives a restricted or conditional gift or contract from a foreign source, the military college shall submit a report to the Secretary containing the following:

“(1) For a restricted or conditional gift or contract involving a foreign source other than a foreign government—

“(A) the amount of the gift or contract, the date on which the gift was received or the contract was entered into, and a description of the conditions or restrictions on the gift or contract;

“(B) with respect to a foreign source that is a natural person, the country of citizenship of the foreign source or, if the country of citizenship is unknown, the country in which the principal residence of the foreign source is located; and

“(C) with respect to a foreign source that is a legal entity, the country of incorporation of the foreign source or, if the country of incorporation is unknown, the country in which the principal place of business of the foreign source is located.

“(2) For a restricted or conditional gift or contract involving a foreign source that is a foreign government—

“(A) the amount of the gift or contract, the date on which the gift was received or the contract was entered into, a description of the conditions or restrictions on the gift or contract; and

“(B) the name of the foreign government.

“(c) PUBLIC AVAILABILITY.—The Secretary shall make publicly available on an Internet website of the Department of Defense each report required to be submitted under this section.

“(d) ENFORCEMENT.—(1) Whenever it appears that a military college has failed to comply with the requirements of this section, including any rule or regulation promulgated under this section, a civil action may be brought by the Attorney General, at the request of the Secretary, in an appropriate district court of the United States, or the appropriate United States court of any territory or other place subject to the jurisdiction of the United States, to request such court to compel compliance with the requirements of this section.

“(2) For knowing or willful failure to comply with the requirements of this section, including any rule or regulation promulgated thereunder, a military college shall pay to the Treasury of the United States the full costs to the United States of obtaining compliance, including all associated costs of investigation and enforcement.

“(e) ANNUAL REPORT.—Not later than March 1 of each year, the Secretary shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the House of Representatives and the Senate a report on foreign gifts to military colleges during the preceding year. Each report shall include, for the year covered by the report, an identification of each foreign gift for which disclosure was required under subsection (a) or subsection (b) and for each such gift—

“(1) the foreign government or foreign source that provided the gift;

“(2) the military college that received the gift;

“(3) the dollar value of the gift; and

“(4) the purpose of the gift.

“(f) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

“(1) The term ‘covered contract’ means any agreement for the acquisition by purchase, lease, or barter of property or services by a foreign source for the direct benefit or use of any of the parties to the agreement.

“(2) The term ‘foreign source’ means—

“(A) a foreign government, including an agency of a foreign government;

“(B) a legal entity, governmental or otherwise, created solely under the laws of a foreign state or multiple foreign states;

“(C) an individual who is not a citizen or a national of the United States; and

“(D) an agent, including a subsidiary or affiliate of a foreign legal entity, acting on behalf of an individual or entity described in subparagraph (A), (B), or (C).

“(3) The term ‘gift’—

“(A) means any gift of money, property, travel, or lodging expenses; and

“(B) with respect to a military college, includes gifts given to faculty, staff, and trustees of the military college.

“(4) The term ‘military college’ means—

“(A) a senior military college described in section 2111a(f) of this title; or

“(B) a military junior college as defined for purposes of section 2107a of this title.

“(5) The term ‘restricted or conditional gift or contract’ means an endowment, gift, grant, contract, award, or property of any kind that includes provisions regarding—

“(A) the employment, assignment, or termination of faculty;

“(B) the establishment of a department, center, research or lecture program, or new faculty position;

“(C) the selection or admission of a student; or

“(D) the award of a grant, loan, scholarship, fellowship, or other form of financial aid restricted to students of a specified country, religion, sex, ethnic origin, or political opinion.”.

133. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
FISCHBACH OF MINNESOTA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR
10 MINUTES

In title XVIII, subtitle A, add at the end the following new section:

SEC. 18 . ASSESSMENT OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE SUPPLY CHAIN
VULNERABILITIES RELATED TO DISPLAYS USED IN DEFENSE
SYSTEMS.

(a) ASSESSMENT REQUIRED.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall conduct an assessment of supply chain vulnerabilities related to displays used in weapon systems and platforms of the Department of Defense and shall submit that assessment to the congressional defense committees.

(b) ELEMENTS.—The assessment shall include—

(1) identification of weapon systems and platforms dependent on displays sourced from the People’s Republic of China or Taiwan;

(2) assessment of risks of supply disruption and potential impacts of such disruption on operations;

(3) evaluation of the capabilities of the United States and allied nations for manufacturing advanced display technologies;

(4) analysis of emerging display technologies, including MicroLED displays; and

(5) recommendations for reducing any dependence of the Department on foreign sources for displays in critical weapon systems and platforms.

(c) FORM.—The assessment shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

134. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
FITZGERALD OF WISCONSIN OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR
10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle C of title VII, add the following new section:

SEC. 7__. RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS ON OPTIMAL PROTECTION STRATEGIES
FOR PREVENTING TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY IN MEMBERS OF THE
ARMED FORCES.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall seek to enter into an agreement with a multi-university research center focused on physics-based neutralization of threats to human tissues and organs to conduct research on understanding and preventing traumatic brain injuries in members of the Armed Forces.

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—The research conducted under subsection (a) shall seek to achieve the following:

(1) Determine critical cell-based injury thresholds in the brain due to blunt, blast, or directed energy exposures.

(2) Identify critical molecular injury pathways for developing a holistic understanding of brain injury and its neurodegenerative sequelae.

(3) Define force and energy transmission from outside the head to the brain.

(4) Define factors that influence subject-specific relative risk of injury.

(5) Develop exposure monitors to predict onset of brain injury.

(6) Develop countermeasure systems to optimally protect members of the Armed Forces from brain injury stemming from multimodal threats and operations in complex environments.

135. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE FONG
OF CALIFORNIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle B of title XVII, insert the following new section:

**SEC. 17__ STUDY RELATING TO DEVELOPMENT OF SUPERSONIC AIRSPACE
CORRIDOR.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary of Defense shall conduct a study relating to establishing a supersonic airspace corridor between the airspace of the R-2508 Complex and the broad ocean area.

(b) **CONSIDERATIONS.**—In conducting the study under subsection (a), the Secretary shall consider the following:

(1) The feasible geographical parameters of the corridor.

(2) The effect of military and commercial aircraft systems in the corridor, including both manned and unmanned systems, reaching speeds up to Mach 4.9.

(3) The requirements to carry out launch and operations at all elevations, including bidirectional operations.

(4) The requirements needed to—

(A) activate the corridor with not more than 10 days of notice;
and

(B) keep the corridor active for up to 4 hours at a time.

(c) **CONSULTATION.**—In conducting the study under subsection (a), the Secretary shall consult with—

(1) authorities at the military installations in the R-2508 Complex, any relevant tenants, and the local space port to determine the demand for and requirements needed to the establish the corridor; and

(2) the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration and any other Federal, State, or local authority the Secretary determines appropriate.

(d) **SUBMISSION TO CONGRESS.**—Not later than March 1, 2027, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees the results of the study conducted under subsection (a).

136. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE FONG
OF CALIFORNIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle F of title X, insert the following:

SEC. 10__. BRIEFING ON TEST MISSION ACCIDENT AT EDWARDS AIR FORCE
BASE.

(a) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) the United States is indebted to the service and dedication of Col. Gregory Watson, Lt. Col. Gabriel Estrella, Retired Lt. Col. Miles Middleton, Maj. Alexander Davis, Maj. Robert Dee, Maj. Brad Hovey, Jeromy Smith, and Christopher Rischar, who lost their lives in the B-52 Stratofortress crash on June 15, 2026; and

(2) the people of the United States should honor their memories and remember the sacrifice they and their families have made.

(b) BRIEFING.—Following the completion of the investigation into the B-52 Stratofortress crash on June 15, 2026, the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of the Air Force, shall provide to the congressional defense committees a briefing on the crash.

137. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE FONG
OF CALIFORNIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle C of title VII, insert the following:

SEC. 7__. BRIEFING ON MEDICAL AND SAFETY RESOURCES AT EDWARDS AIR
FORCE BASE.

Not later than February 1, 2027, the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of the Air Force, shall provide to the congressional defense committees a briefing on the status and availability of medical and safety resources at Edwards Air Force Base for members of the Armed Forces, civilian employees of the Department of Defense, and contractor personnel. The briefing shall include the following:

- (1) The status of sufficient medical and safety resources.
- (2) Whether sufficient emergency response assets exist to support lifesaving treatment.
- (3) Any actions that need to be taken to improve the health and safety of such members, employees, and contractors.

138. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
FOSTER OF ILLINOIS OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle B of title XXXI, add the following new section:

**SEC. 31__DESIGNATION OF NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION
AS TECHNICAL NUCLEAR FORENSICS LEAD.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 3211(b) of the National Nuclear Security Administration Act (50 U.S.C. 2401(b)) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(7) To lead the technical nuclear forensics efforts of the United States.”.

(b) **RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.**—The amendment made by this section may not be construed to alter the functions vested in any department or agency of the Federal Government by statute other than the National Nuclear Security Administration pursuant to such amendment.

139. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE FRY OF SOUTH CAROLINA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

In title XVIII, subtitle A, add at the end the following new section:

SEC. 18_. REPORT ON NATIONAL SECURITY IMPLICATIONS OF RESTRICTIONS ON THE CROSS-BORDER MOVEMENT OF RARE EARTH ELEMENTS CONTAINING SCRAP EQUIPMENT AND COMPONENTS.

(a) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—Not later than a one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Sustainment shall provide to the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate a report on the national security implications of imposing restrictions on the cross-border movement, export, or transfer of rare-earth-element-containing scrap equipment, devices, components, assemblies, and related materials generated in the United States. The Under Secretary may prepare the report in coordination with the Secretary of Commerce, the Secretary of State, the Secretary of Energy, and the Secretary of Homeland Security, other appropriate agencies, and may consult with domestic recyclers and processors, manufacturers, State and tribal governments, and other stakeholders.

(b) **CONTENTS.**—The report shall include—

(1) an overview of the defense systems and supply chains that rely on rare earth permanent magnets and the estimated volume of rare-earth-element-containing scrap originating from the defense industrial base;

(2) the estimated quantity of rare-earth-element that could feasibly be recovered annually from domestically generated scrap;

(3) the extent to which rare-earth-element-containing scrap generated domestically is exported to foreign countries for recycling and processing;

(4) the extent to which scrap exports support rare-earth-element refining or magnet production in foreign countries of concern, such as the People's Republic of China;

(5) an assessment of current and projected capacity of domestic facilities to collect, process, and refine rare-earth-element-containing scrap materials;

(6) an evaluation of the potential impacts of imposing export restrictions on rare-earth-element-containing scrap on the defense industrial base, domestic recycling and processing capacity, and supply chain resilience for defense systems;

(7) identification of gaps in federal data collection regarding the export of rare-earth-element-containing scrap and recommendations to address said gaps; and

(8) recommendations for legislative or administrative actions to strengthen domestic recovery and processing of rare earths from scrap materials, including potential mechanisms for restricting export of rare-earth-element-containing scrap to support defense supply chain resilience.

140. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
GONZALEZ OF TEXAS OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

Add at the end of subtitle G of title XXVIII, the following new section:

**SEC. 28_. REPORT ON POWERTRAIN FACILITY AND FACILITY MODERNIZATION
AT CORPUS CHRISTI ARMY DEPOT, CORPUS CHRISTI, TEXAS.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this section, the Secretary of Defense shall provide to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on the status of Powertrain Engines Assembly Facility completion and facility modernization at Corpus Christi Army Depot, Corpus Christi, Texas.

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—The report required under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) An accounting of the \$60,000,000 provided for the Corpus Christi Army Depot in section 4601 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2026 (Public Law 119–60; 139 Stat. 1535) for the project titled “COST TO COMPLETE—POWERTRAIN FACILITY (ENGINE ASSEMBLY)”.

(2) An accounting of the \$174,000,000 provided under section 20010 of Public Law 119–21 (139 Stat. 124) for facility modernization and workload at Corpus Christi Army Depot.

141. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
GOODEN OF TEXAS OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

In title XV, amend section 1541 to read as follows:

**SEC. 1541. ROADMAP FOR MODERNIZATION OF TOP SECRET AND SPECIAL
ACCESS PROGRAM NETWORK ARCHITECTURES.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this section, the Secretary of Defense shall develop and submit to the congressional defense committees, and begin implementation of, a roadmap for the modernization of Department of Defense networks that process, store, or transmit information that is classified at the level of top secret or is designated as being within a special access program.

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—The roadmap required under subsection (a) shall include the following elements:

(1) An assessment of the current architecture, capacity, security posture, and technical limitations of such networks, including identification of major capability gaps, cybersecurity risks, infrastructure limitations, and technical debt.

(2) Target or reference architectures for modernized environments for such networks, including enterprise-level and component-level networks, as appropriate, with a preference for modern cloud-based platforms.

(3) Milestones and timelines for transition from current environments to the target or reference architectures.

(4) Plans to improve resilience, survivability, and operations of such networks in contested, degraded, or disconnected environments.

(5) Plans to improve interoperability and data sharing across such networks and relevant mission partner environments, as appropriate, with priority on real time cross domain, multi-level data sharing both up and down classifications.

(6) An assessment of high-performance computing and distributed computing requirements, whether locally or in cloud environments, necessary to support real-time sensor data fusion, advanced analytics, and artificial intelligence capabilities.

(7) An assessment of the extent to which such networks support the operational requirements of combatant commands, including the ability to enable integration with joint and mission partner environments.

(8) Identification of governance, roles, and responsibilities for modernization of such networks across the Department.

(9) Estimated resource requirements necessary to implement the roadmap.

(10) Identify and establish baseline domain-specific requirements and capabilities across elements of the Department, platform-as-a-service providers, and cloud service providers.

(c) **ANNUAL REPORT.**—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this section, and annually thereafter for each of the next five years, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on progress in implementing the roadmap required under subsection (a).

(d) **REPORT ELEMENTS.**—Each report submitted under subsection (c) shall include the following:

(1) Progress made toward roadmap milestones and modernization goals.

(2) Updates to the roadmap, as appropriate.

(3) Major risks, delays, or challenges affecting implementation.

(4) Budgetary resources requested and obligated for modernization of such networks.

(5) Any recommendations that the Secretary considers appropriate for legislative or funding actions to implement the roadmap.

(e) FORM OF ROADMAP AND REPORTS.—The roadmap required by subsection (a) and the reports required by subsection (c) shall be submitted in classified form, but may include an unclassified summary.

142. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE GOSAR
OF ARIZONA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle B of title X, insert the following:

SEC. 10__. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING NAMING A WARSHIP THE USS
PHOENIX.

It is the sense of Congress that the Secretary of the Navy should name one of the new warships the "USS Phoenix" as a memorial to the 1,177 members of the Armed Forces serving on the USS Arizona who were lost on December 7, 1941, in the attack on Pearl Harbor, Hawaii.

143. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE GOSAR
OF ARIZONA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle E of title VIII, insert the following new section:

SEC. 8_. REPORT ON SUPPLY OF RARE EARTH MATERIALS AND ELEMENTS.

Not later than one year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of the Interior, shall submit to Congress a report on the supply of rare earth materials and elements extracted, processed, and refined from secure sources of supply to develop and produce advanced technologies of the Department of Defense.

144. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE GOSAR
OF ARIZONA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle F of title XVIII, insert the following:

SEC. 18__. REPORT ON USE OF COPPER BY ARMED FORCES.

Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this section, the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of the Interior, shall submit to Congress a report that includes—

- (1) an assessment of the annual copper requirements of the Armed Forces;
- (2) an evaluation of the extent of the reliance of the Armed Forces on foreign sources of copper; and
- (3) an identification of the effect that increased domestic mining and refining of copper may have on military readiness of the Armed Forces.

145. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE GOSAR
OF ARIZONA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle F of title XVIII, add the following new section:

**SEC. 18_. PREFERENCE FOR DOMESTICALLY SOURCED URANIUM AND OTHER
CRITICAL MINERALS BY DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AND NATIONAL
NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION.**

(a) **PREFERENCE.**—In carrying out programs of the Department of Defense and the National Nuclear Security Administration authorized under this Act, the Secretary of Defense and the Administrator for Nuclear Security shall, to the maximum extent practicable, give preference to the sourcing of uranium and other critical minerals that are mined and processed in the United States.

(b) **REPORT.**—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary and the Administrator shall jointly submit to the congressional defense committees a report containing an assessment of—

(1) the domestic supply of uranium and other critical minerals for purposes of this section; and

(2) the dependence of the Department of Defense and the National Nuclear Security Administration on foreign countries of concern for such materials.

(c) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) The term “critical mineral” means any mineral on the list of critical minerals of the United States Geological Survey titled “Final 2025 List of Critical Minerals” (90 Fed. Reg. 50494), dated November 7, 2025, as in effect on the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) The term “foreign country of concern” means a foreign country designated as such by the Secretary of Defense or the Administrator for Nuclear Security for purposes of this section.

146. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE GOSAR
OF ARIZONA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

Amend section 2831 to read as follows:

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SEC. 2831. WITHDRAWAL AND RESERVATION OF LANDS LOCATED ON THE YUMA PROVING GROUND, ARIZONA, TO SUPPORT MILITARY READINESS AND SECURITY.

The Military Land Withdrawals Act of 2013 (title XXIX of Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 1025) is amended by adding at the end the following new subtitle:

“Subtitle H—Yuma Proving Ground, Arizona

“SEC. 2999B. WITHDRAWAL AND RESERVATION OF PUBLIC LAND.

“(a) **WITHDRAWAL.**—Subject to valid existing rights and except as otherwise provided in this subtitle, the public land (including interests in the land) described in subsection (b), and all other areas within the boundary of the land depicted on the map described in that subsection that may become subject to the operation of the public land laws, is withdrawn from—

“(1) all forms of entry, appropriation, and disposal under the public land laws;

“(2) location, entry, and patent under the mining laws; and

“(3) disposition under all laws relating to mineral and geothermal leasing.

“(b) **DESCRIPTION OF LAND.**—The public land (including interests in the land) referred to in subsection (a) consists of—

“(1) the approximately 21,782.981 acres of Federal land—

“(A) generally depicted as ‘Highway 95 - Requested Withdrawal Area’ on of the map titled ‘U.S. Army Yuma Proving Ground Withdrawal Highway 95 Withdrawal Area’, sheet 2 of 3, dated March 12, 2025; and

“(B) excluding the approximately 800 acres of subsurface estate owned by the State of Arizona within the area generally depicted as ‘Surface Only Withdrawal/Subsurface Owned by Non-Federal Entity’ on the map described in subparagraph (A); and

“(2) the approximately 249.29 acres of Federal land generally depicted as ‘Howard Cantonment - Requested Withdrawal Area’ on the map titled ‘U.S. Army Yuma Proving Ground Withdrawal Howard Cantonment Withdrawal Area’, sheet 3 of 3, dated March 12, 2025.

“(c) **RESERVATION; PURPOSE.**—The land described in subsection (b) is reserved for use by the Secretary of the Army for the purposes specified in Public Land Order No. 848 of July 1, 1952, and as authorized under section 2914.

“SEC. 2999C. MANAGEMENT OF WITHDRAWN AND RESERVED LAND.

“(a) **APPLICABLE LAWS.**—Except for defense-related purposes managed by the Secretary of the Army in accordance with section 2999B(c), the Secretary of the Interior shall manage the land withdrawn and reserved by section 2999B in accordance with—

“(1) subtitle A and this subtitle;

“(2) the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1701 et seq.); and

“(3) any other applicable law.

“(b) **AUTHORIZED ACTIVITIES.**—To the extent consistent with applicable law and Executive orders, the land withdrawn and reserved by section 2999B may be managed in a manner that permits the following activities:

“(1) Conservation of wildlife and wildlife habitat.

“(2) Preservation of cultural properties.

“(3) Management of wild horses and burros.

“(4) Control of predatory and other animals.

“(5) Recreation, public access, and hunting.

“(6) Prevention and appropriate suppression of brush and range fires resulting from non-military activities.

“(c) **NONDEFENSE USES.**—Subject to subsection (d), all nondefense-related uses of the land withdrawn and reserved by section 2999B, shall be subject to any conditions and restrictions that the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of the Army jointly determine to be necessary to permit the defense-related use of the land for the purposes described in this section.

“(d) **ISSUANCE OF LEASES AND OTHER LAND USE AUTHORIZATIONS.**

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary of the Interior shall be responsible for the issuance of any lease, easement, right-of-way, permit, license, or other instrument authorized by law with respect to any activity that traverses both—

“(A) the public land withdrawn and reserved by section 2999B; and

“(B) any other land in the vicinity of the land withdrawn and reserved by section 2999B that is not under the administrative jurisdiction of the Secretary of the Army.

“(2) **CONSENT REQUIRED.**—Except as specified in section 2999E, any lease, easement, right-of-way, permit, license, or other instrument issued under paragraph (1) shall—

“(A) only be issued with the consent of the Secretary of the Army; and

“(B) be subject to such conditions as the Secretary of the Army may require with respect to the land withdrawn and reserved by section 2999B.

“SEC. 2999D. ASSIGNMENT OF MANAGEMENT RESPONSIBILITY TO SECRETARY OF THE ARMY.

“(a) **AUTHORITY TO ASSIGN MANAGEMENT RESPONSIBILITY.**—The Secretary of the Interior may assign the management responsibilities for the land withdrawn and reserved by section 2999B to the Secretary of the Army.

“(b) **APPLICABLE LAW.**—On assignment of the management responsibility under subsection (a), the Secretary of the Army shall manage the land in accordance with—

“(1) subtitle A and this subtitle;

“(2) title I of the Sikes Act (16 U.S.C. 670a et seq.);

“(3) the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1701 et seq.);

“(4) cooperative management arrangements entered into by the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of the Army; and

“(5) any other applicable law.

“SEC. 2999E. UTILITY CORRIDOR.

“(a) **ISSUANCE OF UTILITY RIGHTS-OF-WAY.**—Notwithstanding subsections (c) and (d) of section 2999C, the Secretary of the Interior may issue rights-of-way within the Bureau of Land Management designated Parker-Blaisdell Utility Corridor under the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1701 et seq.) for any critical regional-grid level utility infrastructure to include above-ground transmission lines, consistent with the Memorandum of Understanding between the United States Department of the Interior Bureau of Land Management Yuma Field Office and United States Army Garrison Yuma Regarding the Yuma Proving Ground Highway 95 Withdrawal, dated February 28, 2025.

“(b) **LIMITATION ON DELEGATION.**—The authority to issue a right-of-way under subsection (a) may not be delegated below the level of the Bureau of Land Management State Director.

“(c) **ARMY CONSENT NOT REQUIRED.**—The decision to issue a right-of-way under subsection (a) is not subject to consent by the Secretary of the Army; however, the Secretary of the Interior, in consultation with the Secretary of the Army, shall incorporate conditions in any right-of-way issued under subsection (a) as much as practicable to minimize impacts to the mission of the Army.

“(d) **LIMITATION.**—The authority to issue rights-of-way under subsection (a) may not be assigned to the Secretary of the Army.

“**SEC. 2999F. DURATION OF WITHDRAWAL AND RESERVATION.**

“The withdrawal and reservation of public land made by section 2999B shall be in effect for—

“(1) an indefinite period; or

“(2) until the Secretary of the Army determines that there is no longer a military need for the withdrawal and reservation.”.

147. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
GOTTHEIMER OF NEW JERSEY OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE
FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle F of title XII, add the following:

**SEC. 12_. STUDY AND REPORT ON INTERNATIONAL SECURITY MEASURES ON
THE BORDER BETWEEN GAZA AND EGYPT.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State, shall conduct a study on steps that Israel, Egypt, and the United States can take to enhance international security measures on the border between Gaza and Egypt to ensure Hamas and other actors do not use tunnels or methods via the Mediterranean Sea to smuggle weapons and illicit goods.

(b) **REPORT.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report that contains the results of the study.

(2) **MATTERS TO BE INCLUDED.**—The report required by this subsection shall include a description and map indicating existing tunnels on the border between Gaza and Egypt.

(3) **DEFINITION.**—In this subsection, the term “appropriate congressional committees” means—

(A) the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives; and

(B) the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate.

148. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
GOTTHEIMER OF NEW JERSEY OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE
FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle J of title V, add the following new section:

SEC. 5. ASSESSMENT OF ANTISEMITISM IN THE SERVICE ACADEMIES.

(a) **INCLUSION.**—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall ensure that the Defense Organizational Climate Survey (hereinafter, “DEOCS”) includes specific questions to assess the prevalence, nature, and impact of antisemitism in the Service Academies (as such term is defined in section 347 of title 10, United States Code). The questions included shall be designed to gather detailed information on the following:

- (1) Instances of antisemitic behavior, language, and symbols.
- (2) Perceptions of antisemitism among members, cadets, and midshipmen.
- (3) The impact of antisemitism on unit cohesion, morale, and readiness.
- (4) The effectiveness of current policies and training programs in addressing antisemitism.

(b) **REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.**—

(1) **ANNUAL REPORT.**—The Secretary of Defense shall submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act, and annually thereafter, detailing the following:

- (A) The findings from the antisemitism assessment.
- (B) Steps taken to address identified issues related to antisemitism.
- (C) Recommendations for additional actions to mitigate antisemitism in the Armed Forces.

(2) **INCLUSION IN EXISTING REPORT.**—The results of the antisemitism assessment shall be included in the annual report on the results of the DEOCS.

149. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
GOTTHEIMER OF NEW JERSEY OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE
FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle A of title II, add the following new section:

SEC. 2. FUNDING FOR NATIONAL DEFENSE EDUCATION PROGRAM.

(a) **INCREASE.**—Notwithstanding the amounts set forth in the funding tables in division D, the amount authorized to be appropriated in section 201 for research, development, test, and evaluation, Defense-wide, as specified in the corresponding funding table in section 4201, for basic research, National Defense Education Program, line 6, is hereby increased by \$5,000,000 (with the amount of such increase to be used to strengthen and expand STEM education opportunities and workforce initiatives targeted at military students).

(b) **OFFSET.**—Notwithstanding the amounts set forth in the funding tables in division D, the amount authorized to be appropriated in section 4301 for Operation and Maintenance, Defense-wide, for Washington Headquarters Services, line 510, as specified in the corresponding funding table in section 4301, is hereby reduced by \$5,000,000.

150. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
GOTTHEIMER OF NEW JERSEY OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE
FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle G of title V, add the following new section:

SEC. 5. ADDITIONAL FUNDING FOR SKILLBRIDGE.

(a) **FUNDING.**—Notwithstanding the amounts set forth in the funding tables in division D, the amount authorized to be appropriated in section 4301 for administration and service-wide activities for the Office of the Secretary of Defense, line 480, as specified in the corresponding funding table in section 4301, is hereby increased by \$5,000,000 for the Skillbridge program.

(b) **OFFSET.**—Notwithstanding the amounts set forth in the funding tables in division D, the amount authorized to be appropriated in section 4301 for administration and service-wide activities for Washington Headquarters Services, line 510, as specified in the corresponding funding table in section 4301, is hereby reduced by \$5,000,000.

151. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
GRAVES OF MISSOURI OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle C of title VII, add the following new section:

SEC. 7. IMPROVEMENTS TO PILOT PROGRAM ON WASTEWATER
SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM OF THE DEPARTMENT.

Section 733 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2026 (Public Law 119–60; 10 U.S.C. 1071 note) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by inserting “or covered drugs” after “infectious diseases”;

(2) in subsection (c), by striking “two-year” and inserting “five-year”;
and

(3) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(d) COVERED DRUGS DEFINED.—In this section, the term ‘covered drugs’ means any drug the Secretary determines appropriate to identify under the pilot program, such as synthetic opioids or harmful stimulants included in counterfeit pills.”.

152. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
GRAVES OF MISSOURI OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of title XXXV, insert the following:

Subtitle D—Coast Guard

SEC. 35. VICE ADMIRALS.

Section 305(a)(1)(A) of title 14, United States Code, is amended—

- (1) by striking “five” and inserting “6” in each place it appears; and
- (2) in clause (ii) by striking “one position shall oversee personnel management, workforce, and dependent support, training, and related matters; and” and inserting the following: two of such positions shall include—

“(I) one that oversees personnel management, workforce and dependent support, training, and related matters; and

“(II) one that oversees design, planning, engineering, construction, rebuilding, and improvement of and program management for cutters, small boats, aircraft and shoreside infrastructure; and”.

SEC. 35. CHIEF PREVENTION OFFICER.

Section 312 of title 14 is amended—

- (1) by redesignating subsections (a), (b), (c), (d), (e), (f) and (g) as subsections (f), (g), (h), (i), (j), (k), and (l), respectively; and

- (2) by inserting the following:

“(a) **IN GENERAL.**—There shall be in the Coast Guard a Chief Prevention Officer selected by the Commandant who shall serve in the grade of a Rear Admiral (Upper Half) or higher. At a minimum, the Chief Prevention Officer shall serve at the Assistant Commandant level.

“(b) **RESPONSIBILITIES.**—The Chief Prevention Officer shall be responsible for carrying out the duties set forth in section 504(c).

“(c) **AUTHORITIES.**—In addition to the duties described in subsection (b), the Chief Prevention Officer shall—

“(1) be the sole office for the Coast Guard to promulgate regulations and agency policy regarding all Coast Guard duties in marine safety, security, and stewardship, subject only to the Commandant’s directives;

“(2) make recommendations to the Commandant for the adoption of new methodologies or technologies that should be leveraged for marine safety, security, and stewardship; and

“(3) coordinate with Coast Guard research and development and have the authority to enter into memorandums of agreement or similar with public or private entities for the purpose of testing and assessing new technology.

“(d) **STAFF.**—Under the direction of the Chief Prevention Officer, a civilian from the Senior Executive Service (career reserved) shall serve as the Deputy Chief Prevention Officer.

“(e) **LIMITATIONS.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary of the department in which the Coast Guard is operating is prohibited from removing or redesignating the Chief Prevention Officer position, to include the Deputy Chief Preservation Officer, unless otherwise permitted by an express Act of Congress.

“(2) **FINAL AGENCY ACTION.**—The Chief Prevention Officer, or his or her designee, has the sole authority to make agency decisions regarding marine safety, security, and stewardship in accordance with

his or her duties. These decisions shall be considered final agency action, and may only be appealed up to the Commandant of the Coast Guard.”

SEC. 35. CYBER COORDINATION AND SUPPORT IN FOREIGN TERRITORIES.

Chapter 7 of title 14, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“§723. Cyber coordination in foreign territories

“(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary, acting through the Commandant, may coordinate with and provide support to a foreign entity for cyber operations prevention and response including cyber assessments, audits, inspections, and operations related to a marine transportation system and assets located outside the United States that have a nexus to the United States Marine Transportation System, or illegal, unreported, and unregulated fishing.

“(b) **COORDINATION.**—The Secretary may provide support under subsection (a) after coordination with the Secretary of State.

“(c) **REIMBURSEMENT AUTHORITY.**—The Secretary may require reimbursement from a foreign entity for costs incurred by the Coast Guard for assistance provided under subsection (a).

“(d) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

“(1) **FOREIGN ENTITY.**—The term ‘foreign entity’ includes foreign governments and intergovernmental organizations the Secretary considers appropriate with consent from the Secretary of State.

“(2) **MARINE TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM.**—The term ‘marine transportation system’ means a navigable water transportation system, including the vessels, ports (and intermodal connections thereto), and shipyards and other vessel repair facilities that are components of that system.

“(3) **UNITED STATES MARINE TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM.**—The term ‘United States Marine Transportation System’ has the meaning given the term ‘marine transportation system’ in section 3516 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (49 U.S.C. 109 note).”

SEC. 35. AUTHORITY TO ENTER INTO TRANSACTIONS OTHER THAN CONTRACTS, COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS, AND GRANTS.

Section 1158 of title 14, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in the section heading, by striking “**contracts and grants**” and inserting “**contracts, cooperative agreements, and grants**”;

(2) by amending subsection (a) to read as follows:

“(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Subject to subsections (b) and (c), the Commandant may enter into transactions (other than contracts, cooperative agreements, and grants) to operate, test, and acquire cost-effective technology for the purpose of meeting the mission needs of the Coast Guard, including—

“(1) transactions for prototype projects; and

“(2) follow-on production contracts or transactions awarded under subsection (f) for the purpose of transitioning technology that has been successfully operated, tested, and evaluated using transactions (other than contracts, cooperative agreements, and grants) involving the Coast Guard, the Department of Homeland Security, a consortium of United States industry and academic institutions, or the Department of Defense (including any military department or component of the Department of Defense).”;

(3) by redesignating subsection (f) as subsection (g); and

(4) by inserting after subsection (e) the following:

“(f) **FOLLOW-ON PRODUCTION CONTRACTS AND TRANSACTIONS.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—A transaction entered into under subsection (a) may provide for the award of a follow-on production contract or transaction to the participants in the transaction.

“(2) **COMPETITIVE PROCEDURES.**—Notwithstanding the requirements of chapter 221 of title 10 and even if explicit notification was not listed within the request for proposal for the transaction, a follow-on production contract or transaction provided for in a transaction

under subsection (a) may be awarded to the participants in the transaction without the use of competitive procedures if—

“(A) competitive procedures were used for the selection of parties for participation in the transaction; and

“(B) the participants in the transaction successfully completed the prototype project provided for in the transaction.

“(3) DETERMINATION.—A follow-on production contract or transaction may be awarded, pursuant to this subsection, when the Commandant determines that an individual prototype or prototype subproject as part of a consortium is successfully completed by the participants.”.

SEC. 35 .COAST GUARD ACADEMY ADJUNCT PROFESSORS.

Chapter 19 of title 14, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“§1949.Adjunct professors

“(a) IN GENERAL.—

“(1) DETERMINATION.—If the Commandant determines that there is a need for adjunct professors and the need is not of permanent duration, the Commandant may enter into contracts under paragraph (2).

“(2) CONTRACTS.—Subject to a determination under paragraph (1), the Commandant may enter into personal service contracts with individuals to provide services as adjunct professors at the Academy.

“(b) LIMITATION.—At no time shall the number of individuals with whom the Commandant has entered into personal service contracts under subsection (a), exceed 5 percent of the entirety of the instructional staff, part-time and full-time, at the Academy.

“(c) CONTRACT REQUIREMENTS.—Each contract entered into pursuant to subsection (a)—

“(1) shall be approved by the Commandant;

“(2) shall be for delivery of not more than 12 credits per semester, for not more than 3 years; and

“(3) shall be subject to the availability of appropriations.

“(d) EXTENSIONS AND RENEWALS.—Each individual covered by a contract under subsection (a)(2) shall be limited to 2 contracts.”.

SEC. 35 . DESIGNATION OF OFFICERS WITH PARTICULAR EXPERTISE IN HIGHLY SKILLED PROFESSIONAL FIELDS.

Section 2132 of title 14, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in the section heading, by striking “**military justice or healthcare**” and inserting “**military justice, healthcare, or other highly skilled professional fields**”;

(2) in subsection (a)—

(A) in paragraph (1), by striking “or” at the end;

(B) in paragraph (2), by striking the period and inserting “; or”;

and

(C) by adding at the end, the following new paragraph:

“(3) other highly skilled professional fields.”;

(3) in subsection (b), by striking “section 2126” and inserting “regulations prescribed by the Secretary”; and

(4) by adding at the end, the following new subsection:

“(c) DEFINITION OF OTHER HIGHLY SKILLED PROFESSIONAL FIELDS.—For purposes of this section, the term ‘other highly skilled professional fields’ means professional occupational specialties that require advanced technical expertise, or highly specialized training as determined appropriate by the Secretary, or a professional certification.”.

SEC. 35 .THE EFFECT OF FAILURE OF SELECTION FOR PROMOTION.

(a) REGULAR LIEUTENANTS; SEPARATION FOR FAILURE OF SELECTION FOR PROMOTION; CONTINUATION.—Section 2143 of title 14, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsections (b) and (c) as subsection (c) and (d) respectively;

(2) in subsection (d), as so redesignated, by striking “subsection (b)” and inserting “subsection (c)”; and

(3) by inserting after subsection (a) the following:

“(b) COMPLETION OF ACTIVE DUTY SERVICE OBLIGATION.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—If an officer is subject to discharge under paragraphs (1) or (2) of subsection (a) and, as of the date on which the officer is to be discharged under such subsection, the officer has not completed the officer’s active duty service obligation, the officer shall be retained on active duty until completion of such active duty service obligation, and then be discharged under subsection (a)(1), unless sooner retired or discharged under another provision of law.

“(2) WAIVER.—The Secretary may waive the applicability of paragraph (1) to any officer if the Secretary determines that completion of the active duty service obligation of that officer is not in the best interest of the service.”.

(b) REGULAR LIEUTENANT COMMANDERS AND COMMANDERS; RETIREMENT FOR FAILURE OF SELECTION FOR PROMOTION.—Section 2145 of title 14, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsections (b) and (c) as subsections (c) and (d), respectively;

(2) in subsection (d)(2), as so redesignated, by striking “subsection (b)” and inserting “subsection (c)”; and

(3) by inserting after subsection (a) the following:

“(b) COMPLETION OF ACTIVE DUTY SERVICE OBLIGATION.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—If an officer is subject to discharge under paragraph (1) or (2) of subsection (a) and, as of the date on which the officer is to be discharged under such subsection, the officer has not completed the officer’s active duty service obligation, the officer shall be retained on active duty until completion of such active duty service obligation, and then be discharged under paragraph (1) or (2) of subsection (a), unless sooner retired or discharged under another provision of law.

“(2) WAIVER.—The Secretary may waive the applicability of paragraph (1) to any officer if the Secretary determines that completion of the active duty service obligation of that officer is not in the best interest of the service.”.

SEC. 35. SERVICE CREDIT FOR NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION OR THE PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE.

Section 2152 of title 14, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking “Any regular” and inserting—

“(a) IN GENERAL.—Any regular”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(b) SERVICE CREDIT.—For purposes of subsection (a), active commissioned service in the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration or the Public Health Service shall be credited as active commissioned service in the armed forces for purposes of determining the retirement eligibility and computing the retired pay of a member of the armed forces.”.

SEC. 35. REMOTE APPEARANCE BEFORE A BOARD OF INQUIRY.

Section 2162 of title 14, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (3) by striking “allowed” and inserting “subject to subsection (b), allowed”;

(2) in the matter preceding paragraph (1) by striking “Each Officer” and inserting the following:

“(a) IN GENERAL.—Each Officer”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new subsection (b):

“(b) REMOTE APPEARANCE.—The Secretary may determine that, in exceptional circumstances, the appearance of an officer before the proceedings of a board of inquiry under section 2159 of this title may be via a means other than in person.”.

SEC. 35. CAPTAIN OF THE PORT AUTHORITIES OVER UNMANNED MARITIME SYSTEMS.

Subchapter I of chapter 700 of title 46, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“§ 70008. Captain of the port authorities over unmanned maritime systems

“(a) IN GENERAL.—For the purposes of sections 70002, 70022, 70051, and 70116, and any regulations or orders issued thereunder, an unmanned maritime system shall be treated as a vessel.

“(b) ENFORCEMENT.—Any violations involving an unmanned maritime system shall be subject to enforcement and penalties under sections 70036, 70052, 70019, and 70120, as appropriate.

“(c) SPECIAL AUTHORITY BEYOND TERRITORIAL SEA.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Commandant of the Coast Guard is authorized to order an unmanned maritime system, consistent with customary international law, to operate or anchor in a manner the Commandant directs if the Commandant considers such order necessary

—
“(A) for the promotion of safety of life and property on the artificial islands, installations, or other devices referred to in section 4(a) of the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act (43 U.S.C. 1333(a)), and the waters adjacent thereto;

“(B) protection of the marine environment; or

“(C) protection of sovereign rights with respect to marine scientific research in the Exclusive Economic Zone and on the outer Continental Shelf.

“(2) VIOLATION.—A violation of an order issued under this subsection shall be treated as a violation under this chapter and section 70036 shall apply.

“(d) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

“(1) UNMANNED MARITIME SYSTEM.—The term ‘unmanned maritime system’ means a self-propelled watercraft that navigates on the surface or subsurface of the water and is designed to operate without human operators onboard or a tether to a vessel.

“(2) MARINE ENVIRONMENT.—The term ‘marine environment’ has the meaning given the term in section 70031 of title 46, United States Code.

“(3) EXCLUSIVE ECONOMIC ZONE.—The term ‘Exclusive Economic Zone’ has the meaning given the term ‘Exclusive Economic Zone of the United States’ in Presidential Proclamation 5030.

“(4) OUTER CONTINENTAL SHELF.—The term ‘outer Continental Shelf’ has the meaning given the term in section 2(a) of the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act (43 U.S.C. 1331(a)).”.

SEC. 35. TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS TO SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS.

Section 4901 of title 46, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (a) by striking “passengers” and inserting “passengers for hire”;

(2) in subsection (b)(3) by striking “(43 U.S.C. 1331(a)).” and inserting “(43 U.S.C. 1331(a)).”; and

(3) by redesignating the second subsection (g) as subsection (i).

SEC. 35. RECEPTION FACILITIES.

Section 6(a)(3) of the Act to Prevent Pollution from Ships (33 U.S.C. 1905(a)(3)) is amended to read as follows:

“(3) The Secretary, after consultation with the Administrator and appropriate Federal agencies, shall prescribe regulations setting criteria for determining the adequacy of reception facilities for receiving ozone depleting substances, equipment containing such substances, and exhaust gas cleaning residues at a port or terminal, and stating any additional measures and requirements as are appropriate to ensure such adequacy. Persons in charge of ports and terminals shall provide reception facilities, or ensure that reception facilities are available, in accordance with those regulations. The Secretary, after consultation with the Administrator, may prescribe regulations to certify, and may issue

certificates to the effect, that a port's or terminal's facilities for receiving ozone depleting substances, equipment containing such substances, and exhaust gas cleaning residues from ships are adequate.”.

SEC. 35_. SUPPORT.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Chapter 19 of title 14, United States Code, is amended by inserting after subchapter III the following:

“SUBCHAPTER IV—SUPPORT

(b) **SUPPORT FOR COAST GUARD ACADEMY.**—Section 953 of title 14, United States Code, is—

- (1) redesignated as section 1951 of such title;
- (2) transferred to appear after subchapter IV of such title; and
- (3) amended by striking—
 - (A) subsection (h); and
 - (B) “the athletic programs of” each place it appears, except in subsection (d)(3).

(c) **MIXED-FUNDED PROGRAMS.**—Section 954 of title 14, United States Code, is—

- (1) redesignated as section 1952 of such title;
- (2) transferred to appear after section 1951 of such title (as redesignated by this Act); and
- (3) amended by—
 - (A) striking the section heading and inserting “**MIXED-FUNDED PROGRAMS OF THE COAST GUARD ACADEMY**”;
 - (B) in subsection (a) by striking “athletic or recreational extracurricular”; and
 - (C) in subsection (b)—
 - (i) by striking “athletic or recreational extracurricular” each place it appears; and
 - (ii) by striking “an” and inserting “a”.

(d) **AUTHORIZATION FOR USE OF COAST GUARD ACADEMY FACILITIES AND EQUIPMENT BY QUALIFIED ORGANIZATIONS.**—Section 1908 of title 14, United States Code, is—

- (1) redesignated as section 1953 of such title;
- (2) transferred to appear after section 1952 of such title (as redesignated by this Act); and
- (3) amended—
 - (A) by striking the section title and inserting “**AUTHORIZATION FOR USE OF COAST GUARD ACADEMY FACILITIES AND EQUIPMENT BY QUALIFIED ORGANIZATIONS**”; and
 - (B) by striking subsection (f); and
 - (C) by striking “covered foundation” and inserting “qualified organization” each place it appears.

(e) **PARTICIPATION IN FEDERAL, STATE, OR OTHER EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH GRANTS.**—Section 1906 of title 14, United States Code—

- (1) is redesignated as section 1954 of such title;
- (2) transferred to appear after section 1953 of such title (as redesignated by this Act); and
- (3) amended by striking paragraph (5).

(f) **QUALIFIED ORGANIZATION.**—Subchapter IV of chapter 19 of title 14, United States Code, as added by this Act, is further amended by inserting after section 1954 the following:

“§1955. Qualified organization

“(a) **QUALIFIED ORGANIZATION DEFINED.**—In this subchapter, the term ‘qualified organization’ means an organization—

- “(1) that is a charitable, scientific, or educational organization that operates under section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and exempt from taxation under subsection (a) of such section;
- “(2) for which authorization under sections 1033(a) and 1589(a) of title 10 may be provided; and
- “(3) that the Secretary determines operates exclusively to support—

“(A) recruiting activities with respect to the Coast Guard Academy;

“(B) parent or alumni development in support of the Coast Guard Academy;

“(C) academic, leadership, or character development of Coast Guard Academy cadets;

“(D) institutional development of the Coast Guard Academy;

“(E) athletics in support of the Coast Guard Academy; or

“(F) academic research, including applying for and administering Federal, State, or other educational research grants on behalf of the Coast Guard Academy.”.

SEC. 35. REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS ON INTEGRATION OF AUTONOMOUS AND REMOTELY OPERATED VESSELS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 12 months after the date of enactment of this Act, the Commandant of the Coast Guard shall submit to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate a report on the safe integration of autonomous and remotely operated vessels into the marine transportation system of the United States.

(b) **CONSULTATION.**—In preparing the report under subsection (a), the Commandant shall consult with—

(1) the National Merchant Marine Personnel Advisory Committee established under section 15103 of title 46, United States Code;

(2) the National Merchant Mariner Medical Advisory Committee established under section 15104 of title 46, United States Code; and

(3) vessel operators, builders, classification societies, licensed maritime labor organizations, unlicensed maritime labor organizations, and longshore labor organizations.

(c) **CONTENTS.**—The report required under subsection (a) shall include—

(1) an assessment of the provisions of title 46, United States Code, and the laws codified in title 33, United States Code, and the regulations issued thereunder, that condition the operation, manning, or navigation of a vessel on the performance of a function by an individual onboard and that may impact the safe operation of autonomous or remotely operated vessels, including—

(A) the manning and complement requirements under sections 8101 and 8301 of title 46, United States Code;

(B) the look-out requirement under the International Navigational Rules Act of 1977 (33 U.S.C. 1601 et seq.) and the Inland Navigational Rules under title 33, Code of Federal Regulations, as in effect on the date of enactment of this Act;

(C) the vessel design, construction, inspection, operation, and management requirements under chapters 32 and 33 of title 46, United States Code; and

(D) the vessel and facility security requirements under chapter 701 of title 46, United States Code;

(2) for each provision identified under paragraph (1), a determination of whether the provision may be addressed by the Secretary under existing authority, including through equivalency or alternative compliance determinations, or requires additional statutory authority;

(3) recommendations for any statutory or regulatory amendments the Commandant determines necessary or advisable to permit the safe operation of autonomous or remotely operated vessels on a basis providing a level of safety and security equivalent to or greater than that provided by a conventionally crewed vessel, including proposed legislative changes for any recommended statutory amendment;

(4) an analysis of the evolving role of merchant mariners in operating and supporting such vessels, both onboard and from remote

locations, including effects on mariner training, credentialing, and the maritime workforce; and

(5) a description of how the recommendations relate to the safety and equivalency framework of the International Maritime Organization International Code of Safety for Maritime Autonomous Surface Ships, to support interoperability for vessels of the United States operating on international voyages.

(d) **INTERIM BRIEFING.**—Not later than 6 months after the date of enactment of this Act, the Commandant shall brief the Committees described in subsection (a) on the progress of the report.

(e) **RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.**—Nothing in this section authorizes the operation of any vessel or modifies any requirement of title 46, United States Code, or the laws codified in title 33, United States Code.

SEC. 35. REMOTELY CREWED OFFSHORE SUPPLY VESSEL PILOT PROGRAM.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 120 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Commandant of the Coast Guard shall establish a pilot program to allow operation of remotely crewed offshore supply vessels described in subsection (b) in the Gulf of America.

(b) **ELIGIBLE VESSELS.**—Eligible vessels that may participate in the pilot program shall be limited to coastwise qualified vessels documented and endorsed under section 12112 of title 46, United States Code, which are remotely operated offshore supply vessels that have been built or repaired in United States shipyards within 5 years of the date of enactment of this Act, do not exceed 6,000 deadweight tons, and are not longer than 350 feet in overall length.

(c) **WAIVER OF CERTAIN REQUIREMENTS.**—The Commandant shall modify or waive applicable vessel design and construction regulations regarding crew accommodations and related requirements, as necessary, to allow the vessels operating in the pilot program described in subsection (a) to operate in the Gulf of America while ensuring navigation safety and the reliable, safe and secure operation of such vessels.

(d) **GEOGRAPHIC LIMITATIONS.**—The vessels operating under the pilot program established under subsection (a) shall only be allowed to operate remotely within the Gulf of America beyond 12 nautical miles from shore.

(e) **OPERATING REQUIREMENTS.**—All operating functions of vessels operating under the pilot program established under subsection (a), including navigation, engineering, and vessel monitoring, shall be performed by credentialed United States citizens under standards established by the Coast Guard. The crew complement for each vessel shall be identical in size and certification to the currently required crew complement for vessels of this type, size and power.

(f) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—During the course of the pilot program established under subsection (a), the Commandant shall provide semiannual reports on the pilot program to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate, which shall include—

(1) a description on the number of vessels participating in the pilot program;

(2) the number of voyages conducted by such vessels; and

(3) any information deemed relevant by the Commandant on the operation of such vessels in the pilot program.

(g) **LENGTH OF PILOT PROGRAM.**—The pilot program described in subsection (a) is authorized for a period of 5 years commencing on the date on which the Coast Guard establishes such pilot program.

SEC. 35. CHANGE OF HOMEPORTS OR AIR STATIONS.

Section 910 of title 14, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“§910. Change of homeports or air stations

“(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Except as provided in subsection (b), the Secretary of the department in which the Coast Guard is operating when not operating as a service in the Navy may not permanently—

“(1) close any Coast Guard station or unit; or

“(2) change the homeport of any cutters or the air station to which any aircraft is assigned.

“(b) NOTIFICATION TO CONGRESS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 6 months before taking any permanent action under subsection (a)(1), or 90 days before taking any permanent action under subsection (a)(2), the Secretary of the department in which the Coast Guard is operating when not operating as a service in the Navy shall notify the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate, in writing, of such a closure or change.

“(2) CONTENTS.—The Secretary shall include in any notification under paragraph (1) an evaluation of the impacts of such a closure or change on—

“(A) spending from the Coast Guard;

“(B) the Operations and Support Account;

“(C) the Procurement, Construction, and Improvement Account;

“(D) the Environmental Restoration Account;

“(E) Coast Guard operations; and

“(F) the ability of the infrastructure of receiving communities, if any, to support forces, missions, and personnel as a result of such closure or change.

“(c) LIMITATION.—This section shall not apply to the closure of a Coast Guard station or unit or a realignment with respect to a Coast Guard station or unit, if the President certifies to the Congress in writing that such closure or change is implemented for reasons of national security.

“(d) PUBLIC COMMENT.—Before taking any closure or change action under this section, temporary or otherwise, the Commandant shall provide an opportunity for public comment and for public meetings in the area of the Coast Guard station or unit with regard to the decision to close such station or subunit.

“(e) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

“(1) COAST GUARD STATION OR UNIT.—The term ‘Coast Guard station or unit’ means a base, station, yard, center, homeport facility for any ship or cutter, or other facility under the jurisdiction of the of Coast Guard, including any leased facility, which is located within any of the several States, the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, American Samoa, the Virgin Islands, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, or Guam.

“(2) CLOSURE; CHANGE.—The terms ‘closure’ or ‘change’ includes any action which both reduces and relocates functions and civilian personnel positions, but does not include a reduction in force resulting from workload adjustments, reduced personnel or funding levels, or skill imbalances for a period of more than 30 days in a calendar year.”.

SEC. 35 ARCHITECTURAL AND ENGINEERING SERVICES AND CONSTRUCTION DESIGN; DESIGN-BUILD SELECTION PROCEDURES.

Subchapter I of chapter 11 of title 14, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“§ 1112. Architectural and engineering services and construction design

“(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may obtain architectural and engineering services and may carry out construction design in connection with the construction of facilities authorized under section 4902(2)(A).

“(b) NOTIFICATION.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—In the case of architectural and engineering services and construction design to be undertaken under subsection (a) for which the estimated cost exceeds \$5,000,000, the Secretary shall notify the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate of the scope of the proposed project and the

estimated cost of such services before the initial obligation of funds for such services.

“(2) TIMING OF OBLIGATION.—The Secretary may obligate funds for services described in paragraph (1) only after the end of the 14-day period beginning on the date on which the notification is provided to the Committees under paragraph (1).

“§1113.Design-build selection procedures

“Unless the traditional acquisition approach of design-bid-build established under chapter 11 of title 40 is used, or another acquisition procedure authorized by law is used, when entering into a contract for the design and construction of facilities authorized under section 4902(2)(A), the Secretary shall use the two-phase selection procedures authorized in subsections (a) through (e) of section 3241 of title 10, if such project is determined appropriate for the use of such a two-phase project when the criteria use included in section 3241(b) are applied to the project.”.

153. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
HARRIGAN OF NORTH CAROLINA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE
FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle B of title II, add the following new section:

**SEC. 2. MODIFICATIONS TO JOINT ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE RESEARCH,
DEVELOPMENT, AND TRANSITION ACTIVITIES.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 238 of the John S. McCain National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2019 (Public Law 115–232; 10 U.S.C. 4061 note prec.) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) by amending paragraph (1) to read as follows:

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary of Defense shall maintain within the Department of Defense a set of activities, led by the Chief Digital and Artificial Intelligence Officer (referred to in this section as the ‘CDAO’), to coordinate the efforts of the Department to develop, mature, and transition artificial intelligence technologies into operational use, and to prepare the Department for the national security implications of artificial general intelligence and other forms of highly advanced artificial intelligence.”; and

(B) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(3) **ARTIFICIAL GENERAL INTELLIGENCE PREPAREDNESS.**—The set of activities established under paragraph (1) shall include a dedicated initiative (referred to in this section as the ‘AGI Preparedness Initiative’) to—

“(A) study and prepare for the national security implications of artificial general intelligence;

“(B) analyze strategic competition with the People’s Republic of China and other adversaries with respect to artificial general intelligence and highly advanced artificial intelligence; and

“(C) develop countermeasures against adversary artificial intelligence-enabled military capabilities.”;

(2) in subsection (d)—

(A) in paragraph (2)—

(i) in subparagraph (J), by striking “and” at the end;

(ii) in subparagraph (K), by striking the period at the end and inserting a semicolon; and

(iii) by adding at the end the following new subparagraphs:

“(L) review relevant industry, scientific, and classified documents describing artificial general intelligence and other forms of highly advanced artificial intelligence, including the preparedness frameworks, scaling policies, and risk management frameworks of advanced artificial intelligence developers;

“(M) develop and maintain an internal set of definitions for the Department that characterizes tiers of artificial general intelligence to inform intelligence collection requirements, program and procurement requirements, and national strategy around advanced artificial intelligence competition, with emphasis on describing the capabilities of artificial intelligence systems with the most significant impacts for national security and strategic competition, including chemical, biological, radiological, and nuclear capabilities, advanced cyber capabilities, model autonomy, strategic deception, advanced research and development capabilities in military domains, and advanced research and development capabilities for producing increasingly powerful artificial intelligence;

“(N) develop and conduct unclassified and classified scenario exercises, wargames, tabletop exercises, and other similar efforts to understand how artificial general intelligence and advanced artificial intelligence capabilities could present acute national security risks or crises, pose risks to existing Department operational plans, or create strategic opportunities for the United States;

“(O) develop preparedness plans detailing governmental response strategies to scenarios described in subparagraph (N), including detailed information describing how the Department would coordinate with relevant United States entities, including advanced artificial intelligence developers, compute cluster providers, and relevant government officials, in the event of an acute national security risk or crisis;

“(P) identify potential gaps in the Department’s authorities, relationships, personnel, or other factors that could affect the Department’s ability to address scenarios described in subparagraph (N) or execute plans described in subparagraph (O);

“(Q) develop a detailed approach to limit the proliferation of artificial general intelligence and other highly advanced artificial intelligence systems by defining artificial intelligence systems with critical capabilities that would pose a grave national security threat if acquired or stolen by adversaries, reviewing and potentially drawing from approaches derived from Department of Energy practices for handling Restricted Data and Formerly Restricted Data, as well as approaches for handling other national security information;

“(R) assess the value of creating a centralized, highly secure, Department-led project to develop artificial general intelligence or other highly advanced artificial intelligence in a secure environment, including examination of the chain-of-command, size and location of such project, resources and personnel required, cyber and physical security protocols, counterintelligence and anti-espionage measures against the People’s Republic of China and other foreign adversaries, contingency and emergency response plans, and geopolitical considerations; and

“(S) prepare strategies to protect the weights of advanced artificial intelligence systems, core insights required to develop or deploy advanced artificial intelligence systems, and other sensitive technical information from highly resourced adversaries, including nation-states.”; and

(B) by adding at the end the following new paragraphs:

“(4) ADVERSARY ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE ANALYSIS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The CDAO shall establish a dedicated analytical cell to continuously monitor, assess, and report on the progress of the People’s Republic of China and other adversaries designated by the Secretary in developing artificial general intelligence and advanced artificial intelligence capabilities for military and intelligence applications.

“(B) DUTIES.—Such analytical cell shall—

“(i) coordinate with the Defense Intelligence Agency, the National Security Agency, the Central Intelligence Agency, and other elements of the intelligence community to ensure timely access to classified reporting on adversary artificial intelligence development programs;

“(ii) produce, not less frequently than annually, classified assessments of adversary artificial intelligence military capabilities, including identified applications in autonomous weapons systems, command and control, intelligence analysis, cyber operations, electronic warfare, and information operations;

“(iii) develop and maintain a classified database of identified People’s Republic of China artificial intelligence military programs, key personnel, institutional relationships, supply chain dependencies, and assessed capability timelines;

“(iv) assess the effectiveness of existing United States technology control and denial measures in constraining adversary artificial intelligence capabilities and recommend modifications as needed, in coordination with other relevant interagency bodies;

“(v) develop specific countermeasure recommendations and identify vulnerabilities in adversary artificial intelligence systems that could be exploited to maintain United States military advantage; and

“(vi) identify strategies for improving strategic competition relating to advanced artificial intelligence, including strategies to ensure United States leadership in global artificial intelligence competition and strategies to avoid or mitigate national security threats from such competition.

“(C) PERSONNEL.—The analytical cell required by this paragraph shall include personnel with demonstrated expertise in People’s Republic of China military modernization, technical artificial intelligence competence, and intelligence analysis.

“(5) COUNTERMEASURE DEVELOPMENT.—The CDAO shall, in coordination with the Under Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering and the Director of the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency, develop and maintain a classified program of research and development focused on countermeasures against adversary artificial intelligence-enabled military capabilities, including autonomous weapons systems, artificial intelligence-directed cyber operations, artificial intelligence-enhanced intelligence collection, and artificial intelligence-enabled command and control systems.

“(6) WORKFORCE REQUIREMENTS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this paragraph, the CDAO shall develop and submit to the congressional defense committees minimum qualification standards for personnel assigned to artificial general intelligence preparedness functions under this section, including requirements for technical expertise in machine learning, neural network architectures, computational infrastructure, and artificial intelligence safety and alignment research.

“(B) HIRING AUTHORITY.—The CDAO shall establish a competitive hiring authority, consistent with section 1599f of title 10, United States Code, to recruit and retain individuals with demonstrated expertise in advanced artificial intelligence research and development from private industry, academia, and national laboratories.

“(C) TECHNICAL STAFF.—Not less than 50 percent of the technical staff assigned to artificial general intelligence preparedness functions under this section shall possess graduate-level education or equivalent professional experience in artificial intelligence, machine learning, computer science, or a directly related field.

“(D) EXCHANGE PROGRAM.—The Secretary of Defense shall establish an exchange program with leading artificial intelligence research laboratories and companies to provide personnel assigned to functions under this section with rotational assignments of not less than 90 days to maintain current technical expertise.

“(E) REPORT.—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this subparagraph, and annually thereafter, the CDAO shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the

status of workforce recruitment and retention for functions under this section, including the number of billets authorized and filled, attrition rates, average tenure, and an assessment of the competitiveness of Department compensation relative to the private sector for comparable artificial intelligence positions.

“(7) SENIOR LEADER ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE EDUCATION.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The CDAO, in coordination with the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, shall develop and implement an artificial intelligence education program for all general and flag officers, members of the Senior Executive Service within the Department, and combatant command staff principals.

“(B) ELEMENTS.—Such program shall include—

“(i) technical foundations of current artificial intelligence capabilities and limitations;

“(ii) the current state and projected trajectory of artificial general intelligence development;

“(iii) adversary artificial intelligence military capabilities and doctrine, with emphasis on People’s Republic of China programs;

“(iv) artificial intelligence-enabled operational concepts and their implications for force design and employment; and

“(v) artificial intelligence safety, alignment, and control challenges relevant to military applications.

“(8) OPERATIONAL INTEGRATION.—The CDAO shall, in coordination with the Joint Staff and the combatant commands, develop a plan to integrate artificial general intelligence preparedness findings into joint operational planning processes, including updates to contingency plans, campaign plans, and global force management allocation plans, not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this paragraph.

“(9) INTERAGENCY COORDINATION ON EXPORT CONTROLS AND TECHNOLOGY PROTECTION.—The CDAO shall coordinate with the Bureau of Industry and Security of the Department of Commerce, the Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States, the Department of Energy, and other relevant interagency bodies to—

“(A) assess the effectiveness of technology control measures in constraining adversary access to artificial intelligence capabilities;

“(B) recommend modifications to export control lists, end-use restrictions, and investment screening criteria as needed to address emerging artificial intelligence-related threats; and

“(C) ensure that classification guidance developed under subsection (d)(2)(G) is updated to address artificial general intelligence and advanced artificial intelligence systems.”;

(3) by redesignating subsections (f) and (g) as subsections (g) and (h), respectively;

(4) by inserting after subsection (e) the following new subsection:

“(f) REPORTS, BRIEFINGS, AND ACCOUNTABILITY.—

“(1) INITIAL REPORT AND BRIEFING.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this subsection, the Secretary of Defense shall—

“(A) submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report detailing—

“(i) the organizational structure, staffing requirements, and initial objectives of the AGI Preparedness Initiative described in subsection (a)(3);

“(ii) a comprehensive assessment of the performance of the CDAO in executing the duties established under this section since the date of the original enactment of this section, including an identification of specific deficiencies in organizational

structure, personnel qualifications, interagency coordination, and operational impact; and

“(iii) the initial objectives and milestones for the duties added by the amendments to this section made by title II of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2027; and

“(B) provide to such Committees a briefing on the matters set forth in the report.

“(2) PERIODIC REPORTS AND BRIEFINGS.—Not later than 180 days after the submission of the initial report under paragraph (1), and annually thereafter, the Secretary of Defense shall—

“(A) submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on the activities carried out under this section since the date of the last report under this paragraph, including—

“(i) any findings, assessments, and recommendations with respect to the national security implications of artificial general intelligence and advanced artificial intelligence;

“(ii) the progress of the CDAO against each benchmark established under paragraph (3); and

“(iii) a classified annex containing specific assessments of People’s Republic of China artificial intelligence military capabilities, the effectiveness of current export control measures with respect to adversary artificial intelligence development, and identified countermeasure priorities; and

“(B) provide to such Committees a briefing on the matters set forth in the report.

“(3) PERFORMANCE METRICS AND ACCOUNTABILITY.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this paragraph, the CDAO shall submit to the congressional defense committees a set of measurable performance benchmarks and milestones for each duty described in subsection (d).

“(B) ELEMENTS.—Each report required under paragraph (2) shall include a detailed assessment of progress against each benchmark established under subparagraph (A), including specific explanations for any benchmarks not met and corrective actions planned.

“(4) POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS.—The CDAO shall provide policy recommendations to the Secretary of Defense, the President, and Congress relating to the national security implications of artificial general intelligence and advanced artificial intelligence, including recommendations for legislative action.”; and

(5) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(i) ADDITIONAL DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

“(1) The term ‘artificial general intelligence’ means artificial intelligence systems that match or exceed human expert performance across a wide variety of domains, including chemical, biological, radiological, and nuclear applications, cyber offense, model autonomy, persuasion, research and development, and self-improvement.

“(2) The term ‘CDAO’ means the Chief Digital and Artificial Intelligence Officer of the Department of Defense, or such successor official as the Secretary of Defense may designate.”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The heading of section 238 of the John S. McCain National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2019 (Public Law 115–232; 10 U.S.C. 4061 note prec.) is amended by striking “JOINT ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, AND TRANSITION ACTIVITIES” and inserting “DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AND ARTIFICIAL GENERAL INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES”.

(c) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this section or the amendments made by this section shall be construed to limit or otherwise affect any authority of the Secretary of Defense or the Chief Digital and

Artificial Intelligence Officer under section 238 of the John S. McCain National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2019 (Public Law 115–232; 10 U.S.C. note prec. 4061), as in effect on the day before the date of the enactment of this Act.

154. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
HARRIS OF MARYLAND OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle A of title VII, add the following new section:

**SEC. 7_. TRICARE COVERAGE FOR ANESTHESIA FOR IN-OFFICE DENTAL
PROCEDURES FOR PEDIATRIC DENTISTRY FOR CHILDREN AGE 5 AND
UNDER.**

Section 1079(a)(1)(B) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “or for pediatric patients age 5 or under, only institutional and anesthesia services may be provided” and inserting “or for pediatric patients age 5 or under, only institutional services and anesthesia services (including at a dental clinic with respect to such pediatric patients) may be provided”.

155. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE HERN
OF OKLAHOMA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle B of title X, add the following new section:

**SEC. 10_. REPORT ON TRANSITION TO LIGHT-EMITTING DIODE LIGHTING ON
NAVAL VESSELS.**

(a) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Navy shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the House of Representatives and the Senate a report on the plans of the Department of the Navy to transition to light-emitting diode (LED) lighting onboard naval vessels.

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—The report required under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) An assessment of the benefits LED light fixtures provide for the survivability of naval vessels relative to traditional incandescents.

(2) An evaluation of existing shipboard light fixtures for which qualified LED retrofit kits are available, and an identification of fixtures for which LED retrofit kits require development.

(3) A plan to transition the procurement of incandescent light bulbs by the Department to the procurement of LED retrofit kits.

(4) An analysis of the production capacity of the supplier base to provide LED retrofit kits at an increased rate of supply.

(5) A plan to discontinue the procurement of LED light fixtures that do not meet applicable military specifications.

156. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE HILL
OF ARKANSAS OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

In title XV, subtitle C, add at the end the following new section:

SEC. 15_. COMPTROLLER GENERAL ASSESSMENT ON SHARING OF CYBER
INTELLIGENCE WITH STATE, LOCAL, TRIBAL, TERRITORIAL, AND
PRIVATE SECTOR PARTNERS.

(a) ASSESSMENT.—The Comptroller General of the United States shall conduct an assessment of sharing of cyber-related intelligence by the Department of Defense with State, local, Tribal, territorial, and private sector partners.

(b) REPORT.—

(1) SUBMISSION.—Not later than 60 days after the date on which the Comptroller General completes the assessment under subsection (a), the Comptroller General shall submit to the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate a report on the results of the assessment.

(2) ELEMENTS.—The report under paragraph (1) shall include the following:

(A) With respect to each element of the Department of Defense, a summary of the capabilities and practices of the element for sharing cyber-related intelligence with State, local, Tribal, territorial, and private sector partners.

(B) An assessment of the effects of such sharing, including the timeliness, level of detail, and the degree to which intelligence is provided in a format that allows for technical correlation and network defense actions versus general situational awareness.

(C) An assessment of the deconfliction and coordination processes between elements regarding such sharing.

(D) A description of the role and effectiveness of the Department of Defense in such deconfliction and coordination process.

(E) An assessment of how the security clearances of such partners affect such sharing.

(F) An assessment of the legal, policy, and infrastructure barriers that prevent cleared private sector partners from operationalizing classified threat intelligence, including—

(i) the lack of accredited, state-run facilities where partners can securely correlate classified government-provided indicators against their own proprietary network data; and

(ii) the lack of statutory liability protections (such as State-level tort claims extensions) for private sector entities acting on classified intelligence provided by the government.

(G) Recommendations for improvements for such sharing.

157. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE HILL
OF ARKANSAS OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

In subtitle B of title XVII, add at the end the following:

SEC. 17_. EXTENSION OF THE DEFENSE PRODUCTION ACT OF 1950.

Section 717(a) of the Defense Production Act of 1950 (50 U.S.C. 4564(a)) is amended by striking “2026” and inserting “2031”.

Page 1281, beginning on line 3, strike “chapter 83 of title 41, United States Code, and the Defense Production Act of 1950 (50 U.S.C. 4501 et seq.)” and insert “and chapter 83 of title 41, United States Code”.

Page 1375, beginning on line 9, strike “under title III of the Defense Production Act of 1950 (50 U.S.C. 4531 et seq.) and”.

Page 1378, beginning on line 25, strike “under title III of the Defense Production Act of 1950 (50 U.S.C. 4531 et seq.) or”.

158. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE HILL
OF ARKANSAS OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle D of title XXVIII, add the following new section:

SEC. 28. LAND EXCHANGE, CAMP PIKE AND CAMP JOSEPH T. ROBINSON,
ARKANSAS.

(a) EXCHANGE AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary of the Army (referred to in this section as the “Secretary”) may convey to the State of Arkansas all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to a parcel of real property, including any improvements thereon, consisting of approximately 16.02 acres at Camp Pike, North Little Rock, Arkansas, containing the facility commonly known as the 90th Readiness Division Headquarters and other real property north of Arkansas Avenue road as is determined by the survey under subsection (d)(1).

(b) CONSIDERATION.—

(1) As consideration for the conveyance under subsection (a), the State of Arkansas may convey to the United States all right, title, and interest of the State of Arkansas in and to a parcel of real property, including any improvements thereon, consisting all or part of approximately 68.16 acres at Camp Joseph T. Robinson, North Little Rock, Arkansas, containing the facility commonly known as the Chappell Armory and such additional adjoining lands as is determined by the survey under subsection (d)(1).

(2) The exchange of real property under this section shall be for parcels of approximately equal value, as determined by the Secretary through an appraisal acceptable to the Secretary. Pursuant to section 18240 of title 10, United States Code, no monetary consideration may be provided or accepted by either party to equalize the value of the properties exchanged.

(c) EXTINGUISHMENT OF REVERSIONARY INTEREST.—In connection with the exchange authorized by this section, the Secretary shall extinguish the reversionary interest of the United States, created by the Act of June 30, 1950 (64 Stat. 311, chapter 429), applicable to the property to be conveyed by the State of Arkansas under subsection (b)(1).

(d) SURVEYS AND PROPERTY DESCRIPTIONS.—

(1) DETERMINATION.—The exact acreage and legal descriptions of the real property to be exchanged under this section shall be determined by surveys satisfactory to the Secretary.

(2) COSTS.—The State of Arkansas shall be responsible for all costs associated with the exchange authorized by this section, including the costs of surveys, appraisals, and any other administrative or environmental documentation required to complete the exchange.

(e) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The Secretary may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the conveyances under this section as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

(f) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to affect or limit the application of, or any requirement for, environmental remediation under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq.) or any other law.

159. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
HOUCHIN OF INDIANA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle B of title II, add the following new section:

**SEC. 2__ PILOT PROGRAM ON USE OF SUBSCRIPTION-BASED FUNDING MODEL
AT MAJOR RANGE AND TEST FACILITY BASE.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 270 days after the date of the enactment of this Act and subject to the availability of appropriations for such purpose, the Under Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering shall, acting through the Director of the Test Resource Management Center, commence carrying out a pilot program to determine the feasibility, effectiveness, and operational impacts of implementing a subscription-based funding model for test and evaluation facilities.

(b) **REQUIREMENTS.**—The pilot program required by subsection (a) shall

—
(1) be conducted during the two-year period beginning on the date of the commencement of the pilot program;

(2) include not fewer than two cyber-physical test and training ranges designated by the Director of the Test Resource Management Center;

(3) include at least one cyber-physical test and training range operated by, or under the authority of, the National Guard of a State;

(4) provide for participation by military departments, defense agencies, combat support agencies, federally funded research and development centers, and such other Department of Defense entities as the Director considers appropriate; and

(5) evaluate the applicability of subscription-based funding to cyber, cyber-physical, electronic warfare, modeling and simulation, and integrated test environments associated with the participating ranges.

(c) **SUBSCRIPTION-BASED FUNDING MODEL.**—For purposes of the pilot program required by subsection (a), the Under Secretary shall establish a funding structure under which participating organizations pay recurring subscription fees in exchange for access to specified range capabilities, infrastructure, services, test environments, cybersecurity resources, data management capabilities, and related support functions, in lieu of or in combination with traditional reimbursable or direct-user funding mechanisms. The Under Secretary shall ensure that any subscription fees are commensurate with the services provided.

(d) **ELEMENTS.**—In carrying out the pilot program required by subsection (a), the Under Secretary shall—

(1) establish subscription tiers or other recurring funding arrangements designed to support baseline operational, sustainment, modernization, and cybersecurity costs of participating ranges;

(2) assess the extent to which a subscription-based model improves funding predictability, resource utilization, infrastructure availability, and mission readiness;

(3) evaluate impacts on range scheduling, access, interoperability, and support for developmental testing, operational testing, training, experimentation, and rapid prototyping activities;

(4) identify authority constraints or other challenges associated with broader implementation of such a model;

(5) measure effects on cost recovery, user demand, and long-term sustainment of cyber-physical range capabilities; and

(6) develop recommendations regarding whether and how a subscription-based funding model could be expanded to additional test and evaluation facilities.

(e) SELECTION OF PARTICIPATING RANGES.—In selecting ranges for participation in the pilot program required by subsection (a), the Under Secretary shall prioritize cyber-physical ranges that—

- (1) support joint testing, training, or experimentation activities;
- (2) integrate operational technology, cyber, communications, electronic warfare, or weapon-system testing capabilities; and
- (3) can provide representative data regarding the scalability of subscription-based funding approaches across test and evaluation facilities.

(f) REPORT.—Not later than 270 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Under Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives a report describing the following:

- (1) The actions taken under subsection (a).
- (2) The status of implementation of integration required by such subsection.
- (3) Any exceptions to full integration under subsection (b)(2).
- (4) The reasons for the exceptions described in paragraph (3).

(g) BRIEFING.—Not later than 30 days after the date on which the Under Secretary submits the report required by subsection (f), the Under Secretary shall provide the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives a briefing on the matters covered by the report.

160. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
HOUCHIN OF INDIANA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

Add at the end of subtitle D of title XXVIII the following new section:
**SEC. 28_. REMOVAL OF CONDITIONS ON USE OF CERTAIN LAND CONVEYED TO
THE STATE OF INDIANA.**

Section 2858 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1996 (division B of Public Law 104–106; 110 Stat. 571), as amended by section 2838 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1998 (division B of Public Law 105–85; 111 Stat. 2006) and section 2843(i) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1999 (division B of Public Law 105–261; 112 Stat. 2217), is further amended—

(1) in subsection (b), by striking “The conveyances” and inserting “Except as provided in subsection (d), the conveyances”; and

(2) by striking subsection (d) and inserting the following:

“(d) **CONDITION ON RELEASE OF COVENANTS.**—On the condition that the State convey approximately 80 acres of prior park property to the Indiana Army Ammunition Plan Reuse Authority, the Reuse Authority convey approximately 100 acres of prior industrial use property to the State, and the use of that 100 acres will be restricted by covenant to recreational purposes, the Secretary may release the covenant that requires the 80 acres of prior park property to be used for recreational purposes.”.

161. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
HOUCHIN OF INDIANA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle B of title II, add the following:

SEC. 2__. **SECURE ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE DATA CENTER DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM.**

(a) **DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM.**—The Secretary of Defense shall, acting through the Under Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering and in consultation with the Director of the National Security Agency and the head of the Center for Artificial Intelligence Standards and Innovation at the Department of Commerce, carry out a program to construct, prototype, and perform testing and evaluation on highly secure data centers, and to assess security requirements for such facilities, focused on—

- (1) resisting attacks by nation-state adversaries;
- (2) securing the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of artificial intelligence models and inference pipelines; and
- (3) assessing whether existing security frameworks are sufficient to protect artificial intelligence capabilities supporting classified workloads.

(b) **FOCUS.**—The program required by subsection (a) shall be focused on

—
(1) prototyping technologies and security controls needed to protect artificial intelligence data centers from attacks by nation-state adversaries;

(2) protecting model weights and other sensitive assets from theft, sabotage, or unauthorized access;

(3) assessing physical security, cybersecurity, supply chain, insider threat, and incident response requirements for such facilities;

(4) prototyping secure inference-only clusters or devices designed to prevent unauthorized model weight extraction or modification, including mechanisms to securely or cryptographically verify that only authorized workloads are executed; and

(5) developing plans, budgets, and cost estimates and recommended courses of action for constructing or retrofitting such facilities.

(c) **BRIEFING AND REPORT.**—

(1) **BRIEFING.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall provide to the congressional defense committees a briefing on progress made under the program required by subsection (a), including key findings from prototype activities, threats, vulnerabilities, capability gaps, and resourcing requirements.

(2) **REPORT.**—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the results of the program required by subsection (a), including—

(A) prototype results, technologies, or operational measures needed to improve the security of artificial intelligence data centers; and

(B) recommendations for further investments to address threats from nation-state adversaries.

162. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
HOUCHIN OF INDIANA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle B of title III, add the following new section:

SEC. 3. REPORT ON PFAS DESTRUCTION AND WATER RECLAMATION.

(a) **REPORT.**—Not later than June 1, 2027, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on available technology that leverages recent developments in single-pass high PFAS-destruction technology in water.

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—The report described in subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) A list and a description of available technology that allows—

(A) an air-water interface to serve as the primary heat transfer surface; and

(B) for the core operating temperature to enable single-pass high PFAS-destruction technology to ensure rapid destruction of per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances in water.

(2) A proposal to establish a pilot program under which single-pass high PFAS destruction technology shall be tested for Department of Defense uses.

(3) A list of potential locations that could host such a pilot program.

(4) Any other matters the Secretary determines relevant.

(c) **SINGLE-PASS HIGH PFAS-DESTRUCTION TECHNOLOGY DEFINED.**

—In this section, the term “single-pass high PFAS-destruction technology” means a technology that—

(1) destroys per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances;

(2) is portable and able to operate in remote areas; and

(3) can process up to 20,000 gallons of water per day.

163. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
HOULAHAN OF PENNSYLVANIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE
FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle B of title VII, add the following new section:

**SEC. 7__. PILOT PROGRAM TO TEST STANDALONE TECHNOLOGY TO IMPROVE
EFFICIENCIES IN SUPPLY-CHAIN MANAGEMENT, MEDICAL READINESS,
AND MEDICAL PROCESSES.**

(a) **ESTABLISHMENT.**—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of the Act, the Secretary of Defense, acting through the Director of the Defense Health Agency, shall carry out a pilot program to test and evaluate existing standalone technologies to assess whether such technologies accomplish the following:

- (1) Improving efficiencies in medical supply-chain management and in military medical readiness.
- (2) Streamlining medical processes.
- (3) Improving recordation accuracy.
- (4) Reducing rates of needlestick injury.
- (5) Enhancing retention rates of military health care providers.

(b) **DURATION.**—The Secretary shall carry out the pilot program for a five-year period.

164. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE HOYLE
OF OREGON OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle I of title V, add the following new section:

**SEC. 5_. STUDY ON TIMELY PROCESS OF AWARD AND DECORATION
RECOMMENDATIONS.**

(a) **STUDY REQUIRED.**—The Secretary of Defense shall conduct a study on the process for reviewing recommendations for the award of medals, decorations, or other honors to members of the Armed Forces.

(b) **REPORT.**—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report containing the results of the study, including any recommendations of the Secretary to ensure the process for reviewing recommendations for the award of medals, decorations, or other honors to members of the Armed Forces is timely, consistent, and transparent.

165. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE HUDSON OF NORTH CAROLINA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle E of title VIII, insert the following:

SEC. 8__. **REPORT ON CONTRACTORS SEEKING COMPENSATORY RELIEF FROM INFLATION.**

(a) **FINDINGS; SENSE OF CONGRESS.**—

(1) **FINDINGS.**—Congress makes the following findings:

(A) During the COVID-19 pandemic, Department of Defense contractors faced historic inflation for goods and services they provided to the Department of Defense after signing initial firm-fixed price contracts.

(B) In the amendments made to Public Law 85–804 (50 U.S.C. 1431) by section 822 of the James M. Inhofe National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2023 (Public Law 117–263) and in section 826 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2024 (Public Law 118–31; 10 U.S.C. note prec. 4601), Congress provided increased flexibility to the Department of Defense to modify contracts to provide extraordinary relief due to the effects of inflation.

(C) Despite such authority, numerous contractors still incurred increased costs for multi-year projects and seek economic price adjustments to stay solvent.

(2) **SENSE OF CONGRESS.**—It is the sense of Congress that the Department of Defense should reimburse low- to mid-tier suppliers for increased production costs caused from inflation to avoid disincentivizing their participation in Government contracting

(b) **REPORT.**—Not later than June 1, 2027, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the plan of the Department to rectify legal claims from contractors that seek compensatory relief from inflation.

166. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
HUIZENGA OF MICHIGAN OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle B of title XVII, insert the following:

SEC. 17_. LIMITATION REGARDING CERTAIN EXPORT CONTROLS.

Section 38(l)(4)(B) of the Arms Export Control Act (22 U.S.C. 2778(l)(4)(B)) is amended by inserting before the semicolon “, excluding subclauses (I), (II), and (III)”.

167. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE JACK
OF GEORGIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

Page 532, line 10, strike “An agreement entered into under this section”
and insert “A fixed indemnity supplemental benefit plan provided under the
pilot program”.

168. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE JACKSON OF TEXAS OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

In title VIII, subtitle A, add at the end the following new section:

SEC. 8. INSIDER THREAT RISK ASSESSMENT AND VOLUNTARY GUIDANCE FOR CERTAIN ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE CONTRACTORS.

(a) ASSESSMENT AND ISSUANCE OF VOLUNTARY GUIDANCE ON INSIDER THREAT RISK REDUCTION.—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall issue voluntary guidance for covered artificial intelligence contractors to reduce insider threat, espionage, and other personnel-related security risks to sensitive artificial intelligence systems and associated development infrastructure.

(2) **ASSESSMENT AND UPDATED GUIDANCE.**—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall—

(A) complete an assessment of the risks described in paragraph (1); and

(B) update the guidance issued under such paragraph based on the findings of that assessment.

(3) **CONTENTS.**—The guidance required under paragraph (1), including any updated guidance issued under paragraph (2)(B), shall, at a minimum—

(A) identify categories of roles, functions, models, training infrastructure, and systems that warrant enhanced security measures;

(B) recommend a risk-based framework based on the sensitivity of access and associated national security risks; and

(C) address personnel vetting, access controls, auditing, monitoring, anomaly detection, and protection against insider threats and espionage.

(4) **RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.**—Nothing in this subsection shall be construed to require a covered artificial intelligence contractor to adopt any measure, practice, personnel policy, access restriction, or other safeguard described in the guidance issued under paragraph (1).

(5) **CONSULTATION.**—In carrying out this subsection, the Secretary may consult with covered artificial intelligence contractors, appropriate intelligence and counterintelligence officials, appropriate elements of the Department of Defense, and other relevant experts.

(b) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than July 1, 2028, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees an unclassified report, which may include a classified annex, on the implementation of this section.

(2) **ELEMENTS.**—The report shall include—

(A) a summary of the findings of the assessment conducted under subsection (a)(2)(A);

(B) an assessment of gaps and challenges in mitigating the risks identified in such assessment;

(C) a summary of the voluntary guidance issued under subsection (a), including the initial guidance and any updated guidance issued under subsection (a)(2)(B); and

(D) any recommendation for additional authorities, resources, or policy the Secretary determines appropriate.

(c) DEFINITION.—The term “covered artificial intelligence contractor” means a contractor that develops, maintains, or operates artificial intelligence systems for the Department of Defense and has access to artificial intelligence systems, models, development infrastructure, or related technical information.

169. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
JACOBS OF CALIFORNIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle E of title X, add the following new section:

SEC. 10__. **QUARTERLY REPORTING REQUIREMENT FOR NATIONAL SECURITY
CAPITAL FORUM.**

Section 1092 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2025 (Public Law 118–159; 10 U.S.C. 149 note) is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(f) **REPORTS.**—Not later than the end of each fiscal quarter, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report describing activities of the forum established under subsection (a) during such fiscal quarter and the goals of such forum in accordance with this section for the subsequent fiscal quarter.”.

170. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
JOHNSON OF SOUTH DAKOTA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE
FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle E of title I, add the following new section:

**SEC. 1__ ASSESSMENT OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE DISPLAY SUPPLY CHAIN
VULNERABILITIES.**

(a) **ASSESSMENT REQUIRED.**—The Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of Commerce, shall conduct an assessment of supply chain vulnerabilities related to displays used in Department of Defense weapon systems and platforms.

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—The assessment shall include—

(1) identification of defense systems dependent on displays sourced from the People’s Republic of China or Taiwan;

(2) assessment of supply disruption risks and potential operational impacts;

(3) evaluation of domestic or allied manufacturing capabilities for advanced display technologies;

(4) analysis of emerging display technologies, including microLED displays; and

(5) recommendations for reducing foreign dependence in critical display applications.

(c) **REPORT.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the results of the assessment conducted under subsection (a).

(d) **FORM.**—The report under subsection (c) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

171. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE JOYCE
OF OHIO OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle C of title VII, insert the following new section:

**SEC. 7. PILOT PROGRAM ON PRE-PROGRAMMING OF SUICIDE PREVENTION
RESOURCES INTO SMART DEVICES ISSUED TO MEMBERS OF THE
ARMED FORCES.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall commence the conduct of a pilot program under which the Secretary—

(1) pre-downloads the Virtual Hope Box application of the Defense Health Agency, or such successor application, on the covered devices of members of the Armed Forces;

(2) pre-programs the National Suicide Hotline number and Veterans Crisis Line number into the contacts for such covered devices; and

(3) provides training, as part of the training on suicide awareness and prevention conducted throughout the Department of Defense, on the preventative resources described in paragraphs (1) and (2).

(b) **DURATION.**—The Secretary of Defense shall carry out the pilot program under this section for a two-year period.

(c) **SCOPE.**—The Secretary of Defense shall determine the appropriate scope of individuals participating in the pilot program under this section to best represent each Armed Force and to ensure a relevant sample size.

(d) **IDENTIFICATION OF OTHER RESOURCES.**—In carrying out the pilot program under this section, the Secretary of Defense shall coordinate with the Director of the Defense Health Agency and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to identify other useful technology-related resources for use in the pilot program.

(e) **REPORT.**—Not later than 30 days after the date on which the pilot program under this section terminates, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the House of Representatives and the Senate a report on the pilot program, including recommendations by the Secretary relating to expanding the scope of future pilot programs to include members of the Armed Forces who do not possess covered devices.

(f) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) The term “covered device” means a smart device (including a mobile phone) that is issued to an individual by the Secretary of Defense or the Secretary of an Armed Force.

(2) The term “Veterans Crisis Line” means the toll-free hotline for veterans established under section 1720F(h) of title 38, United States Code.

172. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE KEAN
OF NEW JERSEY OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle A of title II, add the following new section:

**SEC. 2_. FUNDING FOR SOFTWARE-DEFINED AND AI-POWERED COUNTER
UNMANNED AERIAL SYSTEMS.**

(a) **INCREASE.**—Notwithstanding the amounts set forth in the funding tables in division D, the amount authorized to be appropriated in section 201 for research, development, test, and evaluation, Army, as specified in the corresponding funding table in section 4201, for Landmine Warfare/Barrier—Eng Dev (PE 0604808A), line 122, is hereby increased by \$10,000,000 for software-defined and AI-powered counter unmanned aerial systems.

(b) **OFFSET.**—Notwithstanding the amounts set forth in the funding tables in division D, the amount authorized to be appropriated in section 301 for operation and maintenance, Defense-wide, as specified in the corresponding funding table in section 4301, for the Office of the Secretary of Defense, line 480, is hereby reduced by \$10,000,000.

173. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE KING-
HINDS OF NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS OR A DESIGNEE,
DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle B of title III, insert the following:

**SEC. 3_. GEOTHERMAL RESOURCE CONFIRMATION FOR SAIPAN UNDER
ENERGY RESILIENCE AND CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROGRAM.**

(a) **ASSESSMENT REQUIRED.**—As part of the Energy Resilience and Conservation Investment Program, the Secretary of Defense shall conduct an assessment of the viability of geothermal resources through drilling and other means on the island of Saipan in the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, for the purposes of improving the energy security of Department of Defense facilities and operations. Such assessment shall include each of the following:

(1) The collection and analysis of geophysical, geochemical, and subsurface data relevant to geothermal resource viability.

(2) Field investigations, including geophysical surveys and test well drilling, to confirm the capacity of geothermal resources.

(3) An evaluation of the potential of geothermal resources to support resilient power for Department of Defense activities on Saipan, as well on Tinian via undersea cable.

(b) **EXECUTION; COORDINATION.**—In carrying out the assessment under subsection (a), the Secretary—

(1) may act through the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Energy, Installations and Environment, the United States Army Corps of Engineers, or such other component of the Department of Defense as the Secretary considers appropriate; and

(2) shall coordinate, as appropriate, with the Secretary of the Interior, the Secretary of Energy, the Commonwealth Utilities Corporation, and the Government of the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands.

(c) **REPORT.**—Upon the completion of the assessment required under subsection (a), the Secretary shall submit to the Committee as on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives a report on the findings of the assessment, including a determination regarding the viability of geothermal resources and recommended next steps.

174. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE KING-
HINDS OF NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS OR A DESIGNEE,
DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

Add at the end of subtitle A of title XVII the following:

SEC. 17 . INTERNAL BASE HARDENING ASSESSMENTS AND LOGISTICS-NODE
THREAT MITIGATION.

(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) COVERED INSTALLATION.—The term “covered installation” means a military installation, as defined in section 2801 of title 10, United States Code, that the Secretary of Defense designates as having significant logistics, port, intermodal, or contractor-operated interfaces with commercial transportation, warehousing, or supply chain infrastructure.

(2) COVERED LOGISTICS NODE.—The term “covered logistics node” means any of the following that is located on, adjacent to, or used in support of operations at a covered installation:

(A) A strategic seaport, as defined in section 54301(a)(12)(E) of title 46, United States Code.

(B) A defense access road, as described in section 210 of title 23, United States Code.

(C) A node of the Defense Transportation System, as that term is defined in Department of Defense Directive 4500.09E and Joint Publication 4-01.

(D) Any other logistics facility that the Secretary of Defense designates as a covered logistics node for purposes of this section.

(b) INTERNAL BASE HARDENING ASSESSMENTS.—

(1) ASSESSMENT.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this section, and annually thereafter for a period not to exceed three years, the Secretary of Defense shall conduct a comprehensive internal base hardening assessment of each covered installation.

(2) SCOPE.—Each assessment under paragraph (1) shall examine vulnerabilities arising from—

(A) commercial shipping, drayage, and trucking activity on, adjacent to, or in support of the covered installation;

(B) covered logistics nodes;

(C) contractor and vendor access to the covered installation, including subcontractor and vendor supply chains;

(D) the pre-positioning of materiel by foreign adversaries of the United States, hostile state services, transnational organized crime groups, or terrorist organizations on or adjacent to the covered installation; and

(E) opportunities for reconnaissance, sabotage, or rapid attack from within or adjacent to the perimeter of the covered installation.

(3) MITIGATION PLANS.—For each vulnerability identified in an assessment under paragraph (1), the Secretary of Defense shall develop and implement a risk-based mitigation plan that includes defined milestones, timelines, and performance measures.

(4) REPORT.—

(A) In General—Not later than 18 months after the date of the enactment of this Act, and annually thereafter for four years, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report describing—

- (i) the assessments completed under paragraph (2);
- (ii) the mitigation plans developed, including timelines, milestones, and performance measures, and the status of implementation of each plan; and
- (iii) any additional authorities or resources required.

(B) FORM.—Each report required under this section shall be submitted in unclassified form and may contain a classified annex.

(5) GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTABILITY OFFICE REVIEW.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of submission of the first report described in paragraph (4), the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit a report to the congressional defense committees reviewing the assessments and mitigation plans required in paragraphs (1) and (3) of this subsection.

(B) FORM.—This report may be submitted in classified form.

175. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
LAHOOD OF ILLINOIS OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

Add at the end of subtitle B of title XVIII, the following new section:

SEC. 18_. PROHIBITION ON ACQUISITION OF CERTAIN SILICON CARBIDE-BASED SEMICONDUCTORS MANUFACTURED IN CHINA.

(a) **RESTRICTIONS ON SILICON CARBIDE WAFER SOURCING.**—The Secretary of Defense shall revise section 252.225 of the Department of Defense Supplement to the Federal Acquisition Regulation to prohibit the procurement of semiconductors, other than commercially available off-the-shelf items (as defined in section 104 of title 41, United States Code), that are manufactured on silicon carbide wafers that are manufactured in the Peoples Republic of China. Such revision may provide the authority for the Secretary to issue a waiver on a case-by-case basis.

(b) **BRIEFING ON FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this section, the Secretary of Defense and the Deputy Secretary of Defense shall conduct a review, and provide to the congressional defense committees a briefing on the results of such a review, of domestic manufacturers of silicon carbide wafers that are critical to the Department of Defense and that are facing challenges due to Chinese exports of such wafers.

(c) **BRIEFING ON ESTABLISHMENT OF COMMON FOUNDRIES.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this section, the Secretary of Defense provide to the congressional defense committees a briefing that evaluates the merits of encouraging major prime contractors in the defense industrial base to consolidate silicon carbide semiconductor design and fabrication operations in common commercial foundries to encourage economies of scale and quality improvements.

(d) **CONSULTATION WITH THE CHIPS PROGRAM OFFICE.**—The Secretary shall provide information to the Secretary of Commerce and other appropriate Federal agency heads on the use of Research and Development amounts to develop silicon carbide-related manufacturing technology for manufacturing high-purity single crystal silicon carbide boules, ingots, and wafers at 300mm scale for purposes of—

- (1) developing next-generation high-voltage technology; and
- (2) advancing state-of-the-art packaging power modules.

(e) **POLICY ON INTERAGENCY DELIBERATIONS.**—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this section, the Secretary of Defense shall issue a policy for recommendations for interagency consideration in deliberations on—

- (1) encouraging allied and partner countries to ensure the silicon carbide substrate supply chains of such countries for national security systems and critical infrastructure do not include Chinese-manufactured silicon carbide wafers; and
- (2) developing an integrated strategic plan to apply trade enforcement actions to prevent China from dominating the silicon carbide semiconductor manufacturing industry, resulting in the dependency of the United States on Chinese sources for silicon carbide substrates, chips, and power modules.

176. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
LANGWORTHY OF NEW YORK OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR
10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle A of title VII, insert the following new section:

**SEC. 7_. EDUCATION ON NEWBORN SCREENING OPTIONS FOR TRICARE
BENEFICIARIES.**

(a) **EDUCATION REQUIREMENT.**—The Secretary of Defense shall ensure that covered beneficiaries receiving prenatal care through the military health system are provided educational information regarding newborn screening.

(b) **CONTENTS.**—The educational information provided under subsection (a) shall include—

(1) a description of newborn screening and the purpose of such screening in identifying certain congenital and genetic conditions in infants;

(2) information regarding conditions recommended by the Secretary of Health and Human Services for newborn screening in infants;

(3) notice that newborn screening panels may vary depending on the State or location in which an infant is born; and

(4) information describing options for discussing and obtaining supplemental newborn screening for conditions recommended by the Secretary of Health and Human Services for newborn screening in infants that may not be included in the applicable State newborn screening panel.

(c) **PROVISION OF INFORMATION.**—The Secretary shall ensure that the educational information described in subsection (a) is made available to covered beneficiaries receiving prenatal care through—

(1) military medical treatment facilities; and

(2) TRICARE network providers furnishing prenatal care.

(d) **REPORT.**—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this section, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the House of Representatives and the Senate a report on the feasibility of ensuring that newborns of covered beneficiaries receive screening for all conditions recommended by the Secretary of Health and Human Services for newborn screening in infants, including conditions not included in the applicable State newborn screening panel. Such report shall include—

(1) an assessment of the feasibility of providing such screening through military medical treatment facilities and private sector care under TRICARE;

(2) an estimate of the costs associated with providing such screening;

(3) an assessment of the administrative and logistical requirements associated with identifying gaps in State newborn screening panels and providing supplemental screening; and

(4) options for implementing such screening, including through reimbursement, contracting, or other mechanisms.

(e) **COVERED BENEFICIARY DEFINED.**—In this section, the term “covered beneficiary” has the meaning given the term in section 1072 of title 10, United States Code.

177. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE LEE OF NEVADA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle H of title V, add the following new section:

SEC. 5_. SUPPORT FOR EXPANDING EARLY CHILD CARE OPTIONS FOR MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES AND THEIR FAMILIES.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary of Defense may—

(1) direct the Secretaries of the military departments—

(A) to identify gaps between existing early child care needs and available eligible child care providers;

(B) to use resources of the Department of Defense to support eligible child care providers in recruitment and retention of employees, including through professional development and financial incentives for such employees; and

(C) to seek to enter into an interagency partnership with a Federal agency with the ability to place national service participants and volunteers trained in education services, including senior volunteer programs, at military child development centers in accordance with applicable national service laws and with all the benefits accorded to such participants and volunteers; and

(2) provide training and resource subsidies to eligible child care providers and networks of such providers.

(b) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) **ELIGIBLE CHILD CARE PROVIDER.**—The term “eligible child care provider” has the meaning given that term in section 658P of the Child Care and Development Block Grant Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. 9858n).

(2) **MILITARY CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER.**—The term “military child development center” has the meaning given that term in section 1800 of title 10, United States Code.

178. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE LEVIN
OF CALIFORNIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle B of title XXVIII, add the following:

SEC. 28_. NOTIFICATION TO TENANTS OF COMPLAINT DATABASE.

Section 2894a(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new sentence: "Upon entering into or renewing a lease for a housing unit, the landlord responsible for such unit shall provide a notice to the tenant of such unit of the database established by this section."

179. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE LEVIN
OF CALIFORNIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

Page 1449, line 8, insert “The first such report shall include data on the extent to which each Secretary of a military department has met such minimum requirements for each of fiscal years 2022 through 2026”.

180. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
LICCARDO OF CALIFORNIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

Add at the end of subtitle A of title XVI the following new section:

SEC. 16_. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON DISTRIBUTED COMMERCIAL RADAR
SATELLITE CONSTELLATIONS.

It is the sense of Congress that the Secretary of Defense should continue to support the development, integration, and operational use of distributed commercial radar satellite constellations, including Maritime Moving Target Indicator and Airborne Moving Target Indicator capabilities, while fostering robust competition among small business commercial providers to ensure innovation, cost-effective capability delivery, industrial base diversity, and resilient support to national security space systems and the intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance requirements of the combatant commands.

181. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE LUCAS
OF OKLAHOMA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle E of title I, add the following new section:

SEC. 1__. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON FIELDING OF COMBAT COLLABORATIVE
AIRCRAFT CAPABILITIES TO SUPPORT WARFIGHTERS.

It is the sense of Congress that the Secretary of Defense should take such steps as may be necessary to prioritize funding for the procurement of combat collaborative aircraft to ensure there is a minimal viable fielded and operational combat collaborative aircraft capability by the end of fiscal year 2028 to meet operational requirements of combatant commanders.

182. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE LUNA
OF FLORIDA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle E of title X, add the following new section:

SEC. 10_. PLAN FOR DOMAIN AWARENESS AT THE SOUTHERN LAND BORDER.

(a) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) **COMMON OPERATING PICTURE.**—The term “common operating picture” means an integrated, interoperable, near-real-time geospatial and temporal display and repository of multi-source sensor, analytic, and operational data and intelligence designed to improve situational awareness, deconfliction, and coordinated response among participating agencies.

(2) **DOMAIN AWARENESS.**—The term “domain awareness” means the aggregate understanding of activities, actors, and conditions across the air, land, maritime, cyber, and space domains relevant to border security.

(3) **SOUTHERN LAND BORDER.**—The term “southern land border” means the land border of the United States adjacent to Mexico.

(b) **PLAN REQUIRED.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall develop and submit to the congressional defense committees a plan for the Department of Defense to contribute to a common operating picture to improve domain awareness at the southern land border.

(2) **COMMUNICATION.**—Not later than 60 days after developing the plan required by paragraph (1), the Secretary of Defense shall transmit the plan to such interagency partners as the Secretary considers appropriate.

(3) **ELEMENTS.**—The plan required by paragraph (1) shall include the following:

(A) A description of the proposed architecture, data sources (including Department of Defense and non-Department of Defense sensors), technical standards for interoperability, and cybersecurity and data protection measures for the common operating picture described in such paragraph.

(B) A description of the procedures and authorities for data sharing.

(C) A concept of operations describing how a common operating picture would support operational coordination, deconfliction, and information sharing among Federal, State, Tribal, local, and authorized international partners.

(D) A phased implementation schedule with milestones and timelines for operationalizing the common operating picture at the southern land border, including benchmarks for capability deployment and full operational capability.

(E) An identification of resource requirements, estimated costs, and funding sources, and a plan for inclusion of requirements for the common operating picture in the annual budget justification materials of the Department of Defense.

(F) Plans for training, sustainment, and technical support for users across participating agencies.

(G) Metrics and procedures for—

(i) assessing effectiveness; and

(ii) periodic review and continuous improvement.

(4) FORM.—The plan required by paragraph (1) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may contain a classified annex as necessary.

183. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
LUTTRELL OF TEXAS OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

Page 1185, strike line 8 through line 5 on page 1187, and insert the following:

(C) in subsection (c)(1)(D), by inserting “, including if such capability and process employs artificial intelligence” before the semicolon; and

184. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
LUTTRELL OF TEXAS OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

Add at the end of subtitle C of title VII the following new section:

**SEC. 7_. EXTENSION OF STUDY ON TREATMENT OF CERTAIN CONDITIONS
USING CERTAIN PSYCHEDELIC SUBSTANCES.**

Section 723 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2024 (Public Law 118–31; 10 U.S.C. 1074 note) is amended—

(1) in subsection (d), by striking “three years” and inserting “nine years”;

(2) by redesignating subsection (e) as subsection (f); and

(3) by inserting after subsection (d) the following new subsection:

“(e) **PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE.**—The Secretary shall seek to extend the performance of research conducted using funding awarded under this section to September 30, 2033.”.

185. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE LYNCH
OF MASSACHUSETTS OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle D of title XVIII, add the following:

**SEC. 18_. REPORT ON BARRIERS FOR SMALL AND MEDIUM-SIZED BUSINESSES
COMPETING FOR CLASSIFIED CONTRACTS.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on barriers to entry for small and medium-sized businesses seeking to compete for classified contracts with the Department of Defense.

(b) **MATTERS TO BE INCLUDED.**—Such report shall include the following:

(1) An examination of any barriers that impede the ability of such businesses to obtain and maintain the security clearances and access to networks necessary to compete for classified contracts that require such clearances.

(2) An examination of any other barriers that impede the ability of such businesses that are qualified and have subject-matter expertise to compete for classified contracts with the Department of Defense.

(3) Recommendations for how to lower such barriers to entry and better allow such businesses to compete for such contracts.

186. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
MAGAZINER OF RHODE ISLAND OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE
FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle B of title XVII, insert the following:
SEC. 17__. SENSE OF CONGRESS.

It is the sense of Congress that the United States reaffirms its unwavering commitment to the AUKUS partnership with Australia and the United Kingdom as vital to maintaining a safe and secure world. Congress supports robust investment in the United States submarine industrial base to promote the AUKUS partnership, including through expanded workforce training, shipyard modernization, and supply chain development.

187. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
MANNION OF NEW YORK OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle E of title X, insert the following:

**SEC. 10_. REPORT ON PLANNED INVESTMENT IN ADVANCED SENSOR AND
ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS SPECIFIC TO COLLABORATIVE
COMBAT AIRCRAFT.**

Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Air Force shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the planned investment in advanced sensor and electronic warfare systems specific to collaborative combat aircraft. Such shall include Air Force Plans for development and integration of foundational sensing, electronic warfare, and autonomy-enabling payloads for the collaborative combat aircraft with an emphasis on the following:

- (1) Cost-Effective Scalability, with a focus on commercial off-the-shelf, multi-function technologies.
- (2) Operational readiness and survivability.
- (3) Strategic advantage and supply chain security, specifically regarding domestic engineering and production capabilities.

188. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE MAST
OF FLORIDA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

Page 430, strikes lines 12 through 15 and insert the following:

- (A) in subsection (b)—
 - (i) in paragraph (5), by striking “and in subsection (f)”;
 - (ii) by striking paragraph (6);
- (B) in subsection (d)—
 - (i) in paragraph (1), by striking “subsections (b) and (g)” each place it appears and inserting “subsections (b) and (f)”;
 - (ii) in paragraph(2), by striking “subsection (b)(3), subsection (f), and the second sentence of subsection (g)” and inserting “subsection (b)(3)”;
- (C) by striking subsection (f);
- (D) by redesignating subsections (g) and (h) as subsections (f) and (g), respectively; and
- (E) in subsection (f) (as so redesignated), by striking the second sentence.

189. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE MAST
OF FLORIDA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle F of title VI, add the following new section:

**SEC. 6. INDEPENDENT STUDY ON MILITARY PERSONNEL TEMPO, WORKLOAD,
AND COMPENSATION IN COMPARISON TO CIVILIANS WITH SIMILAR
DUTIES.**

(a) **INDEPENDENT STUDY.**—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall seek to enter into an agreement with a federally funded research and development center with expertise in matters relating to military and civilian personnel responsibilities and compensation to conduct a study on military personnel tempo, workload demands, and compensation in comparison to civilian counterparts in comparable professions.

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—The study required under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) An assessment of average weekly and annual work hours performed by members of the Armed Forces, and civilians if available, in all military career fields, including those with operational, administrative, training, and deployment-related duties.

(2) A comparative analysis of work hours, personnel tempo, and workload demands, if available, between military personnel and civilians in comparable professions, including professions relating to aviation, explosive ordnance disposal, medical, diving, cyber, nuclear, law enforcement, special operations forces communities, and technical specialties.

(3) An assessment of the effects of deployment cycles, training rotations, field exercises, shipboard operations, alert requirements, shift work, and other operational demands on total military workload.

(4) An assessment of the cumulative time burden associated with military accession training, professional military education, qualification requirements, readiness training, and advanced specialty training pipelines.

(5) An assessment of workload and personnel tempo within specialized military communities, including aviation, explosive ordnance disposal, medical, diving, cyber, nuclear, law enforcement, special operations forces communities, and technical specialties.

(6) An assessment of the impact of military-specific obligations on members of the Armed Forces and military families, including permanent change of station requirements, family separation, deployment frequency, irregular work schedules, and fitness and training requirements.

(7) A comparative analysis of military compensation relative to civilian compensation when accounting for cumulative workload demands and military-specific service obligations.

(8) Identification of any limitations associated with direct comparisons between military and civilian professions, including recommendations for appropriate methodologies, assumptions, and future data collection efforts.

(9) Recommendations, if any, for improving the measurement and tracking of personnel tempo, workload, and workforce use by the Department of Defense.

(c) **REPORTS.**—

(1) **SUBMISSION TO SECRETARY OF DEFENSE.**—The agreement entered into in accordance with subsection (a) shall include a

requirement that—

(A) not later than 2 years after the date of the enactment of this Act, the federally funded research and development center that is party to the agreement shall submit to the Secretary of Defense a report containing the results study conducted under subsection (a); and

(B) not less than every 180 days until the final report required by subparagraph (A) is submitted, such federally funded research and development center shall provide to the Secretary of Defense and the congressional defense committees an interim report on the progress and results of such study.

(2) SUBMISSION OF FINAL REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 30 days after the date on which the Secretary of Defense receives the report required under paragraph (1)(A), the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a copy of the report and any comments of the Secretary with respect to the report.

(d) FORM.—The reports required under subsection (c) shall be submitted in unclassified form.

(e) PERSONNEL TEMPO DEFINED.—In this Act, the term “personnel tempo” means the amount of time a member of the Armed Forces is engaged in official duties at a location or under circumstances that make it infeasible for such member to spend off-duty time in the housing in which the member resides.

190. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
MCCAUL OF TEXAS OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

In section 221(e)—

(1)

in paragraph (2), strike “and” at the end;

(2)

in paragraph (3), strike the period at the end and insert “; and”; and

(3)

add at the end the following:

(4) specific statutory changes necessary to allow for rapid development, testing, and operational evaluation of unmanned systems and counter-unmanned system platforms within the designated corridors, including draft legislative text necessary to expedite development for such systems.

191. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
MCDONALD RIVET OF MICHIGAN OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE
FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle C of title V, add the following new section:

SEC. 5. **EXPANSION OF PERSONALIZED FINANCIAL AND HOUSING
COUNSELING FOR MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 992 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)(1)—

(A) by redesignating subparagraphs (D) and (E) as subparagraphs (E) and (F), respectively; and

(B) by inserting after subparagraph (C) the following new subparagraph:

“(D) practices relating to financial management, home buying and selling, renting during changing permanent station, rental planning, home loans available through the programs of the Department of Veterans Affairs, and other financial services that are routinely offered to private sector home loans;”;

(2) by redesignating subsection (d) through (f) as subsections (e) through (g), respectively; and

(3) by inserting after subsection (c) the following new subsection:

“(d) **INDIVIDUALIZED FINANCIAL AND HOUSING COUNSELING.**—(1) Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this subsection, the Secretary of Defense shall establish a program in accordance with this section and Department of Defense Instruction 1322.34, or any successor guidance, to provide one-on-one counseling tailored to the needs of each member of the armed forces on the following topics:

“(A) Credit management.

“(B) Budgeting.

“(C) Anti-predatory lending practices.

“(D) Changes of permanent station and rental planning.

“(E) Home loans available through the programs of the Department of Veterans Affairs.

“(F) Protections under the Servicemembers Civil Relief Act (50 U.S.C. 3901 et seq.) and section 987 of this title.

“(2) For the purposes of carrying out paragraph (1), the Secretary shall seek to enter an agreement with a counseling service organization that—

“(A) is a HUD-approved counseling agency (as defined in section 106(h) of the House and Urban Development Act of 1968 (12 U.S.C. 1701x(h)));

“(B) is organized as a tax-exempt entity under section 501(c)(19) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, defined by the Internal Revenue Service as a Veteran Service Organization serving the military-connected community;

“(C) has expertise in financial literacy, housing stability, and home loan benefits for veterans; and

“(D) is capable of developing, administering, maintaining, and providing specialized training and certification for HUD-Certified Housing Counselors serving members of the armed forces, veterans, and their families.”.

(b) **REGULATIONS.**—The Secretary of Defense may prescribe such regulations as are necessary to carry out the amendments made by subsection (a).

(c) REPORT.—Not later than 2 years after the date on which the services required under subsection (d) of section section 992 of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a), are established, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on the implementation of such services, including—

(1) the number of members of the Armed Forces who received counseling for housing stability, home loan benefits for veterans, or rental planning;

(2) the rate of completion of the counseling services offered under the pilot program; and

(3) indicators of financial stress and housing instability for members of the Armed Forces participating in the pilot program and any metrics for mitigating risks to the members participating.

192. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
MCDOWELL OF NORTH CAROLINA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE
FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle A of title XVII, insert the following:

SEC. 17__ TAIWAN-FIVE EYES CYBER DEFENSE COOPERATION INITIATIVE.

(a) **SENSE OF CONGRESS.**—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State and the Director of National Intelligence, should pursue expanded cooperation between Taiwan and the Five Eyes partners on cyber defense; and

(2) such cooperation should include bilateral and multilateral training exercises, law enforcement training, and the negotiation of memoranda of understanding to expand joint cyber-defense exercises.

(b) **STRATEGY REQUIRED.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 270 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of State and the Director of National Intelligence, shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a strategy to support the cyber defense of Taiwan through coordination with the Five Eyes partners. The strategy shall include the following:

(A) A framework for facilitating, where appropriate and to the extent consistent with United States law and policy, bilateral and multilateral cyber-defense training exercises involving Taiwan and one or more Five Eyes partners, including through the use of the authority provided in section 5503(b) of the James M. Inhofe National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2023 (22 U.S.C. 3353(b)).

(B) A plan to support law enforcement training programs for Taiwan focused on cyber-enabled threats, including those targeting critical infrastructure, financial systems, and electoral processes.

(C) Identification of opportunities to encourage the negotiation of memoranda of understanding among Taiwan and Five Eyes partners to expand joint cyber-defense exercises, threat-information sharing, and personnel exchanges.

(D) An assessment of lessons learned from Indo-Pacific partners, and recommendations on how applicable practices could be adapted to support Taiwan.

(E) A description of resources, authorities, and personnel required to implement the strategy, including any additional authorities the Secretary of Defense determines necessary.

(2) **FORM.**—The strategy described in paragraph (1) shall be transmitted in an unclassified form and may contain a classified annex.

(c) **PILOT EXERCISE PROGRAM.**—Pursuant to section 5503(b) of the James M. Inhofe National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2023 (22 U.S.C. 3353(b)), the Secretary of State and the Secretary of Defense may carry out a pilot program to conduct multilateral cyber-defense exercises that include the participation of Taiwan and one or more Five Eyes partners.

(d) **BRIEFING.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, and annually thereafter for a period not to exceed 5 years, the Secretary of Defense shall provide to the appropriate congressional committees a briefing on—

(1) the implementation of the strategy required under subsection (b);

(2) the exercises carried out under the pilot program under subsection (c); and

(3) any impediments to expanded cyber-defense cooperation among Taiwan and the Five Eyes partners.

(e) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES DEFINED.—In this section, the term “appropriate congressional committees” means—

(1) the Committees on Armed Services, Foreign Relations, and Intelligence of the Senate; and

(2) the Committees on Armed Services, Foreign Affairs, and the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives.

193. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
MCDOWELL OF NORTH CAROLINA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE
FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle B of title XVII, add the following new section:

SEC. 17. ANNUAL REPORT ON MILITARY POWER AND ILLICIT ACTIVITIES OF CERTAIN DRUG CARTELS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, and annually thereafter until the date specified in subsection (f), the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State, the Director of National Intelligence, and the Attorney General, shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report on the military power and illicit activities of the cartels specified in subsection (b). Each such report shall include each of the following:

(1) A detailed assessment of the organizational structure, leadership hierarchy, and key operational figures of each cartel, including, with respect to any individuals affiliated with the cartel, the roles of such individuals in conducting military and paramilitary activities.

(2) An evaluation of the military and paramilitary capabilities of each cartel, including the size, structure, and sophistication of the armed forces or militias of the cartel, including—

(A) a description of the types and quantities of weapons, equipment, and technology (including drones, encrypted communications, and advanced surveillance systems) used by the cartel; and

(B) an assessment of the recruitment, training, and operational tactics of the cartel, including an identification of any cross-border operations and coordination with other criminal or terrorist organizations.

(3) A description of the geographic areas, both within the United States and internationally, where the cartels operate or exert control of territory or influence, including the control of such cartels over border regions and smuggling routes.

(4) An assessment of the direct and indirect threats posed by the cartels to the national security of the United States and its allies.

(5) An assessment of efforts to address the threats posed by the military power of cartels by the governments of countries identified as major drug transit or major illicit drug producing countries pursuant to section 706(1) of the Foreign Relations Authorization Act, Fiscal Year 2003 (Public Law 107–228).

(6) A summary of current efforts by the Armed Forces, law enforcement, and intelligence community of the United States to counter the activities of the cartels, including interagency coordination and cooperation with foreign governments.

(7) Recommendations for additional authorities, resources, or strategies to enhance the efforts of the United States to disrupt and dismantle the military capabilities of the cartels.

(b) **SPECIFIED CARTELS.**—A cartel specified in this subsection is any organization or entity that is engaged in the production or trafficking of narcotics and that—

(1) the Secretary of State has designated as a foreign terrorist organization pursuant to section 219 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1189);

(2) is subject to sanctions under Executive Order 13224 (50 U.S.C. 1701 note, relating to blocking property and prohibiting transactions

with persons who commit, threaten to commit, or support terrorism);

(3) is subject to sanctions under Executive Order 14059 (relating to imposing sanctions on foreign persons involved in the global illicit drug trade); or

(4) is determined to be a transnational criminal organization pursuant to the Fentanyl Eradication and Narcotics Deterrence Act (division E of Public Law 118–50; 21 U.S.C. 2341 note).

(c) **FORM OF REPORT.**—The report required under subsection (a) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

(d) **PUBLIC AVAILABILITY.**—The unclassified portion of the report required under subsection (a) shall be made publicly available on a website of the Department of Defense.

(e) **APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES DEFINED.**—In this section, the term “appropriate congressional committees” means—

(1) the congressional defense committees;

(2) the congressional intelligence committees;

(3) the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, the Committee on Foreign Affairs, and the Committee on the Judiciary of the House of Representatives; and

(4) the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation, the Committee on Foreign Relations, and the Committee on the Judiciary of the Senate.

(f) **DATE SPECIFIED.**—The date specified in this subsection is December 31, 2030.

194. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE MEJIA
OF NEW JERSEY OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle C of title II, add the following new section:

**SEC. 2_. REPORT ON GUN-LAUNCHED ELECTROMAGNETIC OBSCURATION
CAPABILITIES FOR NAVAL SYSTEMS.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than March 1, 2027, the Secretary of the Navy, in coordination with the Chief of Naval Research and the Commander of the Naval Surface and Undersea Warfare Centers, shall submit to the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives a report on the feasibility and operational utility of integrating electromagnetic obscurant payloads into existing 5-inch naval cargo rounds.

(b) **CONTENTS.**—The report under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) An assessment of the feasibility of integrating radiofrequency chaff or other electromagnetic obscurant payloads into existing 5-inch naval cargo rounds and Mk 45 naval gun systems.

(2) An assessment of the operational utility of gun-launched electromagnetic obscurant capabilities for degrading adversary radar tracking, targeting, and sensor fusion in contested maritime environments.

(3) A description of ongoing or planned prototyping, testing, or live-fire demonstration activities related to ship-launched electromagnetic countermeasure payload delivery.

(4) An assessment of integration considerations, including shipboard storage, handling, payload dispersion, range, and compatibility with existing naval gun systems.

(5) An assessment of opportunities to leverage existing cargo munition technologies, infrastructure, or cross-service technical expertise relevant to electromagnetic payload delivery.

(c) **FORM.**—The report required under subsection (a) shall be submitted in unclassified form but may include a classified annex.

195. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
MEUSER OF PENNSYLVANIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR
10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle I of title V, add the following new section:

SEC. 5__. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING AWARD OF MEDAL OF HONOR TO
ROBERT J. GRAHAM FOR ACTS OF VALOR.

It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) the Secretary of Defense should review the acts of valor of Robert J. Graham as a Captain in the Air Force on May 1, 1966, to determine whether to recommend to the President to award Robert J. Graham the Medal of Honor for such acts of valor; and

(2) subject to the enactment of legislation to waive the time limitations in section 9274 of title 10, United States Code, the President should award the Medal of Honor to Robert J. Graham for such acts of valor if the Secretary so recommends.

196. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
MILLER-MEEKS OF IOWA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle B of title I, add the following new section:

SEC. 1. REPORT ON PRODUCTION OF 155MM ARTILLERY AMMUNITION.

Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Army shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report that includes—

- (1) an explanation of the status of efforts to produce 155mm artillery ammunition for the Department of Defense; and
- (2) recommendations, if any, for accelerating the production of such ammunition to support the Army's minimum production goal of 100,000 rounds per month for each type of 155mm artillery round.

197. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
MILLER-MEEKS OF IOWA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle B of title I, add the following new section:

**SEC. 1__ SENSE OF CONGRESS ON DOMESTIC PRODUCTION OF ARTILLERY
PROJECTILES.**

It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) maintaining robust domestic production of M795 155mm artillery projectiles is critical to ensuring the operational effectiveness of the Army; and

(2) the Secretary of Defense should take such steps as may be necessary to ensure that the United States has sufficient stockpiles of such projectiles to protect the interests of the United States and its allies and partners throughout the world.

198. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
MILLER-MEEKS OF IOWA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle F of title X, insert the following:

SEC. 10__. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING ROCK ISLAND ARSENAL CHILD
CARE DEVELOPMENT CENTER.

It is the sense of Congress that the Department of Army should prioritize the Rock Island Arsenal Defense Alliance request for the Rock Island Arsenal Child Care Development Center to support the readiness of the workforce.

199. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
MILLER-MEEKS OF IOWA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle B of title III, add the following new section:

SEC. 3__ STUDY ON ENERGY FUEL SOURCE CONVERSION AT THE IOWA ARMY
AMMUNITION PLANT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this section, the Secretary of the Army shall, using funds otherwise made available to the Army, conduct a study to assess the feasibility and cost-effectiveness of converting the energy fuel source at the Iowa Army Ammunition Plant from propane to natural gas.

(b) ELEMENTS.—The study under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) A determination of the current annual propane consumption at the Iowa Army Ammunition Plant, including consumption by use category and seasonal variation.

(2) An estimate of the equivalent annual natural gas demand required to meet the energy needs of the plant.

(3) An analysis of the projected costs and savings associated with converting from propane to natural gas, including any capital costs for the conversion of plant infrastructure.

(4) An assessment of the energy reliability and resilience implications of such a conversion.

(5) An evaluation of the availability of natural gas supply to the plant, including any infrastructure, such as pipeline access, that would be required to deliver natural gas to the facility.

(c) SUBMISSION.—Not later than 30 days after the completion of the study under subsection (a), the Secretary of the Army shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report containing the findings of such study.

200. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE MIN OF CALIFORNIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle E of title X, add the following new section:

SEC. 10_. STRATEGY AND REPORT ON STORAGE, SUSTAINMENT, AND DEPLOYMENT OF COLLABORATIVE COMBAT AIRCRAFT.

(a) **STRATEGY AND REPORT REQUIRED.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Air Force, in consultation with the Commander of United States Transportation Command, shall—

(1) develop a comprehensive strategy for the storage, sustainment, deployment, and operational support of CCA; and

(2) submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on the strategy developed under paragraph (1).

(b) **CONTENTS.**—The report required under subsection (a)(2) shall include the following:

(1) A plan for the storage and sustainment of CCA that addresses requirements associated with Agile Combat Employment and Agile Combat Deployment operational concepts of the Department of the Air Force.

(2) An assessment of—

(A) the extent to which CCA are capable of deployment alongside crewed fighter aircraft traveling from within the continental United States to forward theaters of operation under contested logistics conditions; and

(B) the mobility feasibility of deploying CCA in the manner described in subparagraph (A).

(3) A description of methods used to store CCA as of the date of the submission of the report, disaggregated by location type, including—

(A) depots located within the continental United States;

(B) forward operating hubs; and

(C) dispersed austere sites.

(4) For each location specified in paragraph (3), a summary of—

(A) environmental requirements;

(B) security requirements; and

(C) shelf-life considerations associated with storing CCA at such location.

(5) A description of the forward support footprint required for each CCA detachment, including—

(A) personnel;

(B) tools;

(C) test equipment; and

(D) communications support requirements.

(6) A model addressing expected—

(A) attrition of CCA; and

(B) repair requirements for CCA.

(7) A summary of plans of the Department of the Air Force for lifecycle sustainment of CCA, including—

(A) locations at which major maintenance, overhaul, and repair operations will be conducted;

(B) plans for spare component pools; and

(C) expected turnaround times required to prepare CCA for redeployment.

(8) A summary identifying which components of CCA—

(A) may be repaired in the event such component becomes inoperable; and

(B) must be replaced.

(9) For each component identified under paragraph (8)(B), an estimated timeline for replacement.

(10) A cost estimate for the sustainment of CCA, including identification of—

(A) the average cost-per-flight-hour for CCA operations;

(B) the average cost-per-mission involving CCA; and

(C) the primary drivers of sustainment costs.

(11) A summary of operational assumptions derived from relevant war games involving CCA, including identified operational gaps, sustainment shortfalls, or risks.

(12) An assessment of requirements associated with deploying CCA at scale in operational theaters, including requirements relating to—

(A) sealift;

(B) airlift;

(C) prepositioning;

(D) fuel;

(E) spare components; and

(F) maintenance manpower.

(13) An assessment of whether deployment of CCA—

(A) improves overall utilization or capacity of applicable ships, containers, or transportation platforms;

(B) decreases demand for space on such ships, containers, or transportation platforms; and

(C) creates new logistical or sustainment burdens within operational theaters.

(14) An assessment of—

(A) the marginal costs associated with moving, storing, and sustaining CCA at scale; and

(B) the primary factors inhibiting deployment of CCA in the Indo-Pacific region.

(15) Resource requirements and an implementation timeline for the strategy developed under subsection (a)(1), including budget estimates, force structure assumptions, and major milestones.

(c) **FEDERALLY FUNDED RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CENTER SUPPORT.**—In carrying out the strategy and report requirements under this section, the Secretary of the Air Force may leverage the support of one or more federally funded research and development centers with expertise in logistics, force design, contested sustainment, autonomous systems, or defense mobility planning.

(d) **FORM.**—The report submitted pursuant to subsection (a)(2) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

(e) **CCA DEFINED.**—In this section, the term “CCA” means autonomous, uncrewed collaborative combat aircraft of the Department of the Air Force.

201. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
MOOLENAAR OF MICHIGAN OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR
10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle D of title III, insert the following new section:

SEC. 3__. **REPORT ON ACCELERATING MILITARY POSTURE WITHIN AREA OF
RESPONSIBILITY OF UNITED STATES INDO-PACIFIC COMMAND.**

Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Commander of United States Indo-Pacific Command shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on options to accelerate the posture of the Armed Forces within the area of responsibility of such Command. Such report shall include the following:

(1) A comprehensive list of each planned or underway initiative to establish or enhance access by the Armed Forces to locations within such area of responsibility, including access to headquarters, military installations, prepositioned stocks, and forward operating locations within such area.

(2) For each initiative listed pursuant to paragraph (1)—

(A) an expected timeline for the completion of the initiative, including an identification of major milestones during the periods ending in 2030, 2035, and 2040, respectively;

(B) a description of any opportunities to accelerate such expected timeline;

(C) an analysis of any financial, diplomatic, logistical, or other impediment to such accelerated timeline;

(D) recommendations to overcoming any such impediment, including an identification of resources required; and

(E) an evaluation of the operational and strategic benefits of such acceleration.

(3) A prioritized list of candidate initiatives, and corresponding locations, suitable for the acceleration described in paragraph (2), including an identification of any resources or actions required for such acceleration.

202. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
MOOLENAAR OF MICHIGAN OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR
10 MINUTES

Add at the end of subtitle B of title XVII the following new section:

SEC. __. AMENDMENTS TO REQUIREMENTS FOR RAILROAD FREIGHT CARS
PLACED INTO SERVICE IN THE UNITED STATES AND TRANSPORTING
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CARGO.

Section 20171 of title 49, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) by redesignating paragraphs (5) through (12), as paragraphs
(6) through (13), respectively; and

(B) by inserting after paragraph (4) the following:

“(5) DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CARGO.—The term
'Department of Defense cargo' means the movement of goods by a rail
carrier arranged for, by, or on behalf of any of the armed forces, as
defined in section 101 of title 10.”;

(2) in subsection (b)(1) by striking “A railroad” and inserting “Expect
as required in subsection (d), a railroad”;

(3) in subsection (c)(3)(B) by striking “Association of American
Railroad’s Umler system” and inserting “Association of American
Railroads’ Umler system”; and

(4) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(d) REQUIREMENTS FOR RAILROAD FREIGHT CARS TRANSPORTING
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CARGO.—For railroad freight cars transporting
Department of Defense cargo, the requirements of subsection (b) shall apply
as follows:

“(1) For the 1-year period beginning on the date of enactment of the
National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2027, a railroad
freight car produced during the 2-year period immediately prior to such
date of enactment.

“(2) For the 1-year period beginning 1 year after such date of
enactment, a railroad freight car produced during the 5-year period
immediately prior to such date of enactment.

“(3) For the 1-year period beginning 2 years after such date of
enactment, a railroad freight car produced during the 10-year period
immediately prior to such date of enactment.

“(4) For the 1-year period beginning 3 years after such date of
enactment, a railroad freight car produced during the 15-year period
immediately prior to such date of enactment.

“(5) On and after the date that is 4 years after such date of
enactment, a railroad freight car.”.

203. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE MOORE
OF ALABAMA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle A of title X, insert the following:

SEC. 10__ . OTHER TRANSACTION AUTHORITY REPORTING.

Any project carried out by the Department of Defense using other transaction authority under section 4021 of title 10, United States Code, shall be reported in the same manner as other Department of Defense expenditures for inclusion in the searchable public website established by the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006 (31 U.S.C. 6101 note; Public Law 109–282).

204. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE MOORE
OF NORTH CAROLINA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

In title XV, subtitle B, add at the end the following new section:

SEC. 15. ARMY QUANTUM READINESS AND ADVANCED COMPUTING
INITIATIVE.

(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—The Secretary of the Army shall establish an Army Quantum Readiness and Advanced Computing Initiative to prepare the Department of the Army for the integration of quantum computing, quantum-enabled technologies, and advanced computing capabilities into future operational, intelligence, logistics, and command-and-control missions.

(b) OBJECTIVES.—The Initiative shall—

(1) identify Army operational applications of quantum computing, quantum sensing, quantum networking, and quantum-enabled artificial intelligence;

(2) assess infrastructure requirements necessary to support future quantum and advanced computing capabilities, including power, cooling, networking, cybersecurity, and secure facilities;

(3) evaluate acquisition pathways capable of accelerating the transition of quantum technologies from research and development into operational use;

(4) identify opportunities to leverage partnerships among Army laboratories, academic institutions, federally funded research and development centers, and private industry;

(5) develop a workforce strategy to support future Army quantum and advanced computing requirements; and

(6) establish pilot projects to evaluate advanced computing infrastructure supporting future quantum-enabled capabilities.

(c) QUANTUM READINESS DEMONSTRATION ACTIVITIES.—The Secretary may establish demonstration activities to evaluate—

(1) advanced computing architectures supporting quantum technologies;

(2) quantum-enabled artificial intelligence applications;

(3) post-quantum cybersecurity capabilities;

(4) resilient and secure networking architectures; and

(5) infrastructure necessary to support future Army quantum capabilities.

(d) REPORT.—Not later than 270 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Army shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report describing—

(1) Army quantum readiness requirements;

(2) recommended infrastructure investments;

(3) workforce and educational requirements;

(4) recommended pilot and demonstration activities; and

(5) legislative or acquisition authorities necessary to accelerate Army quantum readiness.

205. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE MOORE
OF UTAH OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

Add at the end of title XI of division A the following:

SEC. 11__. CODIFICATION OF DIRECT HIRE AUTHORITY FOR DOMESTIC
DEFENSE INDUSTRIAL BASE FACILITIES, MAJOR RANGE AND TEST
FACILITIES BASE, AND OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF OPERATIONAL
TEST AND EVALUATION.

(a) CODIFICATION.—Chapter 81 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

“§ 1599k. Direct hire authority for domestic defense industrial base facilities, Major Range and Test Facilities Base, and Office of the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation

“(a) DEFENSE INDUSTRIAL BASE FACILITY AND MRTFB.—The Secretary of Defense may appoint, without regard to the provisions of subchapter I of chapter 33 of title 5, other than sections 3303 and 3328 of such title, qualified candidates to positions in the competitive service at any defense industrial base facility or the Major Range and Test Facilities Base, including to Navy Supervisor of Shipbuilding, Conversion, and Repair positions.

“(b) OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION.—The Secretary of Defense may, acting through the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation, appoint qualified candidates possessing an advanced degree to scientific and engineering positions within the Office of the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation without regard to the provisions of subchapter I of chapter 33 of title 5, other than sections 3303 and 3328 of such title.

“(c) DEFINITION OF DEFENSE INDUSTRIAL BASE FACILITY.—In this section, the term ‘defense industrial base facility’ means any Department of Defense depot, arsenal, or shipyard located within the United States and includes supporting units of a facility at an installation or base.”;

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

“1599k. Direct hire authority for domestic defense industrial base facilities, Major Range and Test Facilities Base, and Office of the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation.”.

(c) CONFORMING REPEAL.—Section 1125 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2017 (10 U.S.C. 1580 note prec.) is repealed.

206. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE MOORE
OF UTAH OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle F of title X, add the following new section:

**SEC. 10_. DESIGNATION OF LEAD AGENCY SERVICE DELIVERY OFFICIAL FOR
THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.**

(a) **DESIGNATION OF LEAD AGENCY SERVICE DELIVERY OFFICIAL.**—The Secretary of Defense shall designate a senior official of the Department of Defense to serve as the Lead Agency Service Delivery Official for the Department, in accordance with section 323(b) of title 5, United States Code.

(b) **DESIGNATION OF MILITARY DEPARTMENT OFFICIALS.**—The Secretary of Defense shall require each Secretary of a military department to designate a senior official responsible for coordinating service delivery improvement activities within such military department.

(c) **REPORT.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the implementation of service delivery improvement activities by the Department. Such report shall include—

(1) an identification of each official designated pursuant to subsection (b) or (c), including a description of the respective authorities, responsibilities, and reporting relationships of such officials;

(2) an assessment of the programs, benefits, services, and functions of the Department that may be appropriate for designation as a high impact service provider, as such term is defined in section 321 of title 5, United States Code, including any such services that directly affect members of the Armed Forces and their families;

(3) a description of efforts to collect and incorporate feedback from recipients of benefits and services of the Department;

(4) a description of performance measures used to assess the accessibility, efficiency, timeliness, and quality of the delivery of such benefits and services;

(5) a description of standards established for the collection, management, and reporting of such delivery performance information across the Department; and

(6) any recommendations for additional authorities or resources needed to improve the delivery of benefits and services across the Department.

207. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE MORAN
OF TEXAS OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle H of title V, add the following new section:

**SEC. 5_. LIMITATION ON PROVISION OF FUNDS TO ELEMENTARY AND
SECONDARY SCHOOLS HOSTING CONFUCIUS INSTITUTES AND
CLASSROOMS.**

(a) **LIMITATION.**—None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2027 for the Department of Defense may be provided to an elementary school or secondary school that hosts a Confucius Institute or Classroom, other than amounts provided directly to students as educational assistance.

(b) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) The term “Confucius Institute or Classroom” means a cultural institute or educational partnership directly or indirectly funded by the Government of the People’s Republic of China.

(2) The terms “elementary school” and “secondary school” have the meanings given those terms in section 8101 of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 7801).

208. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
MORRISON OF MINNESOTA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR
10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle B of title XVII, insert the following:

SEC. 17__. REPORT ON AVERAGE RESPONSE TIMES TO REQUESTS FOR DD-214S.

Not later than 180 days after enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Archivist of the United States, shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives a report that includes each of the following:

(1) The average response time, over the three-year period preceding the date of the submission of the report, to provide a replacement Certificate of Release or Discharge from Active Duty (DD Form 214) to a veteran, next of kin, or other authorized representative upon receipt of required documentation to grant such request.

(2) The average response time, over such three-year period, to notify a veteran, next of kin, or other authorized representative who requests a Certificate of Release or Discharge from Active Duty (DD Form 214) that the request cannot be granted due to a lack of required documentation.

209. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
MULLIN OF CALIFORNIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle E of title X, insert the following:

SEC. 10. REPORT ON FUNDING BARRIERS FOR SUAS.

(a) **SENSE OF CONGRESS.**—Congress recognizes there is unprecedented demand within the Department of Defense for acquisition and fielding of small unmanned aircraft systems (in this section referred to as “sUAS”), particularly for units slated for overseas deployments.

(b) **REPORT.**—Not later than March 31, 2027, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate a report that includes—

- (1) the reason or reasons for prohibiting use of operation and maintenance fund for the purchase of sUAS participating in a program of record;
- (2) any broader challenges to the procurement of sUAS, including lack of authority or funding sources within the Department; and
- (3) a description of any legislative action required to address this issue.

210. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
NEGUSE OF COLORADO OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

Add at the end of subtitle C of title VII the following new section:

**SEC. 7__. INCLUSION ON A WEBSITE OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE OF
CERTAIN INFORMATION WITH RESPECT TO A PATIENT BOOKING AN
APPOINTMENT AT A MILIARY MEDICAL TREATMENT FACILITY.**

Section 1073b(b)(1) of title 10, United States Code, as amended by section 737, is further amended—

(1) by striking “The Secretary” and inserting “(A) The Secretary”;
and

(2) by striking “Such data” and all that follows and inserting the following new subparagraph:

“(B) The data made available under subparagraph (A) shall include the following:

“(i) The core performance metrics adopted by the Secretary under section 728 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2017 (Public Law 114–328; 130 Stat. 2234).

“(ii) The average number of days between the date an individual books an appointment at a military medical treatment facility and the date of such appointment.

“(iii) The percentage of referrals of an individual to another health care facility that are made not later than one business day after the date on which such individual contacted the military medical treatment facility.”.

211. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
NEGUSE OF COLORADO OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle B of title II, add the following new section:

**SEC. 2_. COMPREHENSIVE SHARED DATABASE FOR RESEARCH AND
DEVELOPMENT.**

(a) **ESTABLISHMENT.**—The Secretary of Defense shall, in collaboration with Federal laboratories, establish a comprehensive shared database of research and technology developed to help improve public and private cooperation in order to benefit national security. Such database shall meet necessary security standards, as determined by the Secretary.

(b) **FEDERAL LABORATORIES.**—In establishing the database under subsection (a), the Secretary shall collaborate with Federal laboratories that focus on a variety of technologies, sectors, and needs within the national security sector.

212. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
NEGUSE OF COLORADO OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

Add at the end of subtitle C of title VII the following new section:

SEC. __. **REPORT AND STANDARDS ON TIMELINESS OF ELECTRONIC HEALTH
RECORDS DURING TRANSITION FROM THE ARMED FORCES.**

(a) **REPORT.**—

(1) **REQUIREMENT.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the timeliness of providing members of the Armed Forces who are separating from active duty with a copy of the electronic health records of the members.

(2) **ELEMENTS.**—The report under paragraph (1) shall include the following, with respect to the one-year period preceding the date of the report:

(A) The average number of days following separation from active duty for a member to receive the electronic health records of the member.

(B) The most number of days a member has waited to receive such records.

(C) An explanation for delays in providing members such records.

(b) **STANDARDS.**—Not later than 270 days after the date on which the Secretary submits the report under subsection (a)(1), the Secretary shall establish standards to ensure that a member of the Armed Forces who is separating from active duty receives the electronic health records of the member within a reasonable period.

(c) **REASONABLE PERIOD DEFINED.**—In this section, the term “reasonable period”, with respect to the standards established under subsection (b), means a period that the Secretary of Defense determines appropriate after taking into account—

(1) the findings of the report under subsection (a)(1); and

(2) the views of members of the Armed Forces who are separating from active duty, veterans, and other individuals the Secretary determines appropriate.

213. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE NEHLS
OF TEXAS OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle A of title II, add the following new section:

**SEC. 2_. FUNDING FOR BLAST OVERPRESSURE MITIGATION DEVICES FOR
SMALL AND MEDIUM CALIBER WEAPONS.**

(a) **INCREASE.**—Notwithstanding the amounts set forth in the funding tables in division D, the amount authorized to be appropriated in section 4201 for Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation, Defense-wide, as specified in the corresponding funding table in section 4201, for Warrior Systems, line 305, is hereby increased by \$5,000,000 (with the amount of such increase to be used for blast overpressure mitigation devices for small and medium caliber weapons).

(b) **OFFSET.**—Notwithstanding the amounts set forth in the funding tables in division D, the amount authorized to be appropriated in section 4201 for Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation, Army, as specified in the corresponding funding table in section 4201, line 128 for Artillery Systems—EMD is hereby reduced by \$5,000,000.

214. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE NEHLS
OF TEXAS OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

In title XV, subtitle A, add at the end the following:

**SEC. 15_. INTERIM DATA PROTECTION MEASURES FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF
DEFENSE.**

(a) **DATA PROTECTION REVIEW.**—The Secretary of Defense shall ensure that, not later than 45 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, each portfolio acquisition executive initiates a narrow, expeditious review of each program of record assigned to such executive to determine whether it is feasible and practicable to incorporate into the program of record cryptographic protection described in subsection (b) in a manner that does not require replacement, modification, or augmentation of existing chips, cryptographic cards, radios, hardware security modules, or other physical components.

(b) **CRYPTOGRAPHIC PROTECTION.**—The cryptographic protection described in this subsection is utility-based, software-only data packet level cryptographic protection that—

(1) advances data protection for the program of record toward the migration to post quantum cryptography on or before December 31, 2030, as directed in the memorandum of the Chief Information Officer dated November 18, 2025 (relating to “Preparing for Migration to Post Quantum Cryptography”);

(2) is, or is compatible with, a lattice-based, symmetric, asymmetric, or hybrid cipher capable of providing security strength of or exceeding Advanced Encryption Standard with a 256-bit key (AES-256), including post-quantum security key encapsulation at greater than 1024-bit and digital signature mechanisms and other parameters defined by the Chief Information Officer;

(3) is capable of directly combining cryptographic key material with access controls and authorization constraints or policies controlled by the Department of Defense, a multi-factor key for user identity management and device authentication through the encryption process at the data or key level, and can guarantee provenance between the sender and the receiver of data;

(4) provides capability for variable symmetric encryption strengths of at least 512-bit with minimal degradation of encryption decryption speed; and

(5) provides full key custody and control to the data owner within the Department of Defense, consistent key sovereignty, including no requirement for key escrow, replication, derivation, or retention of cryptographic keys by third-party vendors.

(c) **APPROVAL.**—Software providing the cryptographic protection described in subsection (b) shall be approved by the Chief Information Officer of the Department of Defense before it is deployed in any program of record.

(d) **FUTURE PROGRAMS OF RECORD.**—The Secretary of Defense shall ensure that each program of record established after the date of the enactment of this Act incorporates cryptographic protection described in subsection (b) from inception, to the extent it is feasible and practicable to do so.

(e) **POOLED IMPLEMENTATION.**—The Chief Information Officer is authorized to direct pooled acquisitions of licenses for cryptographic protection described in subsection (b) for use by programs of record across one or more programs in one or more military departments or other elements of the Department.

(f) **VENDOR LOCK.**—The Secretary of Defense shall ensure, in acquiring any cryptographic protection described in subsection (b), that the Department retains the legal and technical capability to decrypt, access, and migrate its encrypted data upon termination of the contract, without cost and without extending the contract.

(g) **MULTI-YEAR TERM.**—A contract for cryptographic protection described in subsection (b) may have a multi-year term if the contract contains a clause for the Department of Defense to opt out of the contract every two years.

(h) **RELATIONSHIP TO EXISTING GUIDANCE.**—The Secretary of Defense shall ensure that this section is implemented in a manner that is consistent with, and seeks to advance, the zero-trust initiatives and classified network protection requirements of the Department of Defense.

215. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
NEWHOUSE OF WASHINGTON OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE
FOR 10 MINUTES

Add at the end of subtitle B of title VIII, the following new section:

SEC. 8. PROCUREMENT AND USE OF DOMESTIC SILICON ANODE MATERIALS.

(a) **PROGRAMS FOR BATTERY CELLS AND BATTERY SYSTEMS.**—With respect to a program to procure, test, or approve a battery cell or battery system, the Secretary of Defense shall prioritize, to the extent feasible, the use of silicon anode materials manufactured in the United States or provided by domestic suppliers in such programs if such materials meet or enhance performance, safety, or cost requirements as set forth by the Department.

(b) **PROCUREMENT OF BATTERY CELLS AND BATTERY SYSTEMS.**—The Secretary of Defense shall, to the maximum extent practicable, procure battery cells and battery systems incorporating silicon anode materials manufactured in the United States or provided by domestic suppliers using intellectual property developed in the United States.

(c) **COORDINATION.**—The Secretary of Defense shall coordinate with the Director of the Defense Logistics Agency and each Secretary of a military department to take such action as may be necessary to align procurement policies, qualification standards, and supply chain strategies for domestic production of silicon anode materials technologies and to reduce reliance on foreign-sourced silicon anode materials for battery cells and battery systems.

216. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
NORMAN OF SOUTH CAROLINA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE
FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle D of title III, add the following new section:

SEC. 3__. REQUIREMENT TO PROVIDE BRIEFING ON INSECT REPELLENT
UNIFORM TREATMENTS.

(a) REQUIREMENT.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Army shall provide a briefing to the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives and the Senate regarding validated insect repellent available to the Army on the date of the enactment of this Act.

(b) ELEMENTS.—The briefing under paragraph (1) shall include the following:

- (1) Ongoing evaluations to validate fabric treatments to improve insect repellency for combat uniforms.
- (2) An analysis of fabric treatment and garment treatment performance results.
- (3) Established research and development programs to improve uniform insect repellency.

217. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
NORMAN OF SOUTH CAROLINA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE
FOR 10 MINUTES

In subtitle B of title XVII, add at the end the following:

SEC. 17_. PROHIBITION ON USE OF CCP-LINKED FINANCIAL SERVICES
PROVIDERS ON DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE DEVICES.

(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) The term “control” means beneficially owning, either directly or through one or more companies, more than 25 percent of the voting securities of an entity.

(2) The term “CCP-linked financial services provider” means—

(A) a broker or dealer that is a member of a national securities association and registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission that is organized under the laws of the People’s Republic of China, is controlled by an entity organized under the laws of the People’s Republic of China, or is controlled by a national of the People’s Republic of China who resides in the People’s Republic of China;

(B) an investment adviser registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 that is organized under the laws of the People’s Republic of China, is controlled by an entity organized under the laws of the People’s Republic of China, or is controlled by a national of the People’s Republic of China who resides in the People’s Republic of China; or

(C) any other entity providing financial, investment, or payment services that is organized under the laws of the People’s Republic of China, is controlled by an entity organized under the laws of the People’s Republic of China, or is controlled by a national of the People’s Republic of China who resides in the People’s Republic of China.

(3) The term “Department of Defense device” means any computing device, mobile device, or communications equipment issued by the Department of Defense or any component thereof.

(b) PROHIBITION.—Except as provided in subsection (c), no officer or employee of the Department of Defense, member of the Armed Forces, or contractor personnel operating a Department of Defense device may download, install, or use any application, platform, or software interface operated by a CCP-linked financial services provider on any Department of Defense device.

(c) EXCEPTION.—Subsection (b) shall not apply to any use in connection with a law enforcement investigation, intelligence activity, national security operation, cybersecurity research activity, or enforcement or supervisory action conducted in an official capacity and authorized by the Secretary of Defense or the Secretary’s designee.

218. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE NUNN
OF IOWA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

In title XVIII, subtitle A, add at the end the following new section:

**SEC. 18. PROHIBITIONS RELATING TO COVERED DISTRIBUTED LEDGER
TECHNOLOGY AND BLOCKCHAIN EQUIPMENT OR SERVICES.**

(a) **PROHIBITION ON ACQUISITION.**—The Secretary of Defense may not acquire, or enter into, extend, or renew a contract or other agreement for, any equipment, system, or service that uses covered distributed ledger technology and blockchain equipment or services as—

(1) a substantial or essential component of such equipment, system, or service; or

(2) critical technology as part of such equipment, system, or service.

(b) **PROHIBITION ON LOAN AND GRANT FUNDS.**—

(1) **PROHIBITION.**—The Secretary of Defense may not obligate or expend loan or grant funds to acquire, or to enter into, extend, or renew a contract or other agreement for, any equipment, system, or service described in subsection (a).

(2) **PRIORITIZATION.**—In implementing the prohibition under paragraph (1), the Secretary of Defense, in administering a loan, grant, or subsidy program, shall prioritize available funding and technical support to assist affected entities as is reasonably necessary for those affected entities to cease use of covered distributed ledger technology and blockchain equipment or services, to acquire replacement equipment and services, and to ensure that communications service to users and customers is sustained.

(c) **RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.**—Nothing in subsection (a) or (b) shall be construed to—

(1) prohibit the Secretary of Defense from acquiring from an entity, or entering into, extending, or renewing a contract or other agreement with an entity for, a service that connects to the facilities of a third party, such as blockchain protocols or interconnection arrangements; or

(2) apply to wireless telecommunications equipment or third-party validators that cannot route or redirect user data traffic or permit visibility into any user data or packets that such equipment transmits or otherwise handles.

(d) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The prohibitions under subsections (a) and (b) shall take effect on the date that is two years after the date of the enactment of this section.

(e) **WAIVER AUTHORITY.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Except as provided in paragraph (2), beginning on the effective date under subsection (d), the Secretary of Defense may, upon request of an entity, issue a waiver of the requirements under subsection (a) with respect to such entity for a period of not more than two years.

(2) **REQUIREMENTS.**—The Secretary of Defense may only provide a waiver under this subsection if the entity seeking the waiver—

(A) provides a compelling justification for the additional time to implement the requirements of this section; and

(B) submits to the Secretary of Defense, who shall not later than 30 days thereafter submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives, a full and complete description of the presence of covered distributed ledger technology and blockchain equipment or services in the entity's supply chain

and a phase-out plan to eliminate such covered distributed ledger technology and blockchain equipment or services.

(3) ELEMENTS OF THE INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY.—Beginning on the effective date under subsection (d), a head of an element of the intelligence community may waive the requirements under subsection (a) if such head determines the waiver is in the national security interests of the United States.

(f) DEFINITIONS.—In this Act:

(1) The term “covered distributed ledger technology and blockchain equipment or services” means distributed ledger technology and blockchain equipment or services of or originating from a foreign adversary, including any of the following companies or subsidiaries thereof:

- (A) The Blockchain-based Services Network.
- (B) The Spartan Network.
- (C) The Conflux Network.
- (D) iFinex, Inc.
- (E) Red Date Technology Co., Ltd.

(2) The term “executive agency” has the meaning given the term in section 133 of title 41, United States Code.

(3) The term “foreign adversary” has the meaning given such term in section 7.2 of title 15, Code of Federal Regulations.

(4) The term “intelligence community” has the meaning given the term in section 3 of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3003).

219. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE NUNN
OF IOWA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle C of title II, add the following new section:

**SEC. 2__PLAN TO SUPPORT ADVANCED MANUFACTURING AND MATERIALS FOR
HYPERSONICS RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT.**

(a) **PLAN REQUIRED.**—The Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Sustainment shall develop a plan to develop a comprehensive set of advanced manufacturing practices and advanced materials for hypersonics research and development.

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—At a minimum, the plan under subsection (a) shall—

(1) address—

(A) intelligence-optimized robotic forming;

(B) additive and subtractive manufacturing methods;

(C) precision joining (laser, e-beam, friction stir) for dissimilar metals and metal/CMC interfaces; and

(D) advanced materials and processing; and

(2) define qualification artifacts (process allowable, non-destructive inspection procedures, and digital-thread data standards) and pilot lines executed by consortia of primes, startups, and Federal labs, with TRL/MRL, cost, and lead-time objectives.

(c) **REPORT.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Sustainment shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on the plan developed under subsection (a).

220. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE NUNN
OF IOWA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

Page 381, after line 10, insert the following new section:

**SEC. 5. GAO STUDY AND REPORT ON MENTAL HEALTH EFFECTIVENESS OF
TRANSITION ASSISTANCE PROGRAM AND SOLID START PROGRAM.**

(a) **STUDY REQUIRED.**—The Comptroller General of the United States shall conduct a study on the effectiveness of TAP and the Solid Start program in identifying, counseling, and supporting members of the Armed Forces and veterans regarding mental health risks and transition-related stressors during their separation from the Armed Forces.

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—The study required under subsection (a) shall include an assessment of the following:

(1) The extent to which counseling provided under TAP includes comprehensive information regarding post-traumatic stress disorder, traumatic brain injury, anxiety disorders, depression, chronic pain, sleep disorders, suicidal ideation, and other mental health conditions associated with service in the Armed Forces.

(2) The effectiveness of TAP curriculum in educating separating members on the risk factors of suicide, including signs of severe relationship strain, isolation, unemployment, or loss of community support systems.

(3) The baseline performance metrics and outreach success rates of the Solid Start program during the first year following separation from the Armed Forces, with a specific focus on the program's success in facilitating veterans' enrollment in the system of annual patient enrollment under section 1705 of title 38, United States Code.

(4) The degree of interagency data-sharing and coordination between the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to track warm handoffs for high-risk members of the Armed Forces separating from active duty.

(5) Gaps in current pre-separation counseling and post-separation outreach related to substance use disorder resources, including alcohol and prescription opioid abuse counseling.

(c) **REPORT.**—Not later than 18 months after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report containing the findings and recommendations of the Comptroller General based on the study conducted under subsection (a), including administrative or legislative recommendations to reduce veteran suicide during the first year following separation from the Armed Forces.

(d) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) The term “appropriate congressional committee” means—

(A) the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives;

(B) the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate;

(C) the Committee on Veterans' Affairs of the House of Representatives; or

(D) the Committee on Veterans' Affairs of the Senate.

(2) The term “Solid Start program” means the program under section 6320 of title 38, United States Code.

(3) The term “TAP” means the Transition Assistance Program under sections 1142 and 1144 of title 10, United States Code.

221. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE NUNN
OF IOWA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

Add at the end of subtitle G of title XXVIII, the following new section:

**SEC. 28_. REPORT ON UNDERUTILIZED AIR NATIONAL GUARD AIRFIELD
INFRASTRUCTURE.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this section, the Secretary of the Air Force shall, in consultation with the Chief of the National Guard Bureau and the Commander of United States Strategic Command, submit to the congressional defense committees a report evaluating the feasibility, infrastructure readiness, and cost-benefits of co-locating permanent, manned flying missions at Air National Guard installations that currently possess active runways but lack manned active aircraft.

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—The report required under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) An inventory of Air National Guard installations described in subsection (a) that possess active runway infrastructure of 9,000 feet or greater, secure military enclaves, and proximity to regional training corridors or geographic commands.

(2) An assessment of the capacity of such joint-use commercial airfield infrastructure, including taxiways and secure ramp space, to host permanent or distributed strategic assets.

(3) An evaluation of the workforce readiness and technical expertise, including cyber, intelligence, and remotely piloted aircraft operations, to support advanced manned flying missions.

(4) An assessment of alternative or distributed basing options required to support strategic long-range strike systems in the event that total procurement exceeds the initial program of record.

222. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE NUNN
OF IOWA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle D of title XVIII, add the following:

SEC. 18__. REPORT ON ALIGNING SMALL BUSINESS INVESTMENT COMPANY
PROGRAM WITH DEFENSE INDUSTRIAL BASE REQUIREMENTS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, and annually thereafter for 5 years, the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Administrator of the Small Business Administration, shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report that—

(1) identifies priority capital-intensive defense industrial base sectors, including—

- (A) munitions and energetics;
- (B) forgings and castings;
- (C) propulsion components;
- (D) specialty chemicals; and

(E) other critical manufacturing sectors identified by the Secretary of Defense;

(2) assesses the extent to which investments made through the SBIC program are aligned with the sectors identified under paragraph (1);

(3) maps, to the extent practicable, SBIC-backed portfolio companies to defense-relevant production and manufacturing activities, including those supporting—

- (A) facility construction and expansion;
 - (B) tooling, industrial equipment, and advanced manufacturing capabilities;
 - (C) workforce development associated with production scaling;
- and
- (D) supply chain resilience and domestic sourcing of critical inputs;

(4) assesses the extent to which the SBIC program is contributing to

- (A) increased production capacity and throughput;
- (B) reduced production timelines and bottlenecks;
- (C) expanded surge capacity and mobilization readiness; and
- (D) mitigation of supply chain vulnerabilities, including reliance on foreign entities of concern;

(5) describes the types of capital deployed through SBIC funds in defense-relevant sectors, including equity investments, subordinated debt, and other financing structures, and evaluates whether such capital is suited to capital-intensive manufacturing requirements;

(6) identifies barriers to greater SBIC participation in defense industrial base sectors, including—

- (A) risk-return profiles associated with capital-intensive manufacturing investments;
- (B) statutory or regulatory limitations within the SBIC program;
- (C) information asymmetries between the Department of Defense and private fund managers; and

(D) challenges related to contracting timelines, demand signals, or program stability; and

(7) provides recommendations to improve alignment between the SBIC program and defense industrial base requirements.

(b) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) The term "SBIC" means a small business investment company (as defined in section 103 of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958 (15 U.S.C. 662)).

(2) The term "SBIC program" means the small business investment company program of the Small Business Administration.

223. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE NUNN
OF IOWA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle F of title XII, add the following new section:

**SEC. 12. ANNUAL REPORT ON TAIWAN CAPABILITIES AND INTELLIGENCE
SUPPORT.**

Section 1248 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2022 (Public Law 117–81) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) by striking “fiscal year 2027, the Secretary of State and the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Director of National Intelligence and the heads of other relevant Federal departments and agencies, shall jointly” and inserting “fiscal year 2031, the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of State and the Director of National Intelligence, shall”;

(B) in paragraph (2), by striking “ability of Taiwan” and inserting “readiness of the Taiwan military”;

(C) by redesignating paragraph (16) as paragraph (19); and

(D) by adding after paragraph (15) the following:

“(16) An assessment regarding how Japan, the Republic of Korea, the Philippines, Vietnam, and Australia would likely respond to contingencies, including—

“(A) a military strike or invasion of Taiwan or an offshore island of Taiwan, including Kinmen, Matsu, Wuciou, Taiping Island, and Penghu;

“(B) a commercial blockade of Taiwan in which international vessels are subjected to search or seizure by the People’s Liberation Army;

“(C) a major cyberattack against the critical infrastructure of Taiwan; and

“(D) a seizure of one or more of Taiwan’s offshore islands or territorial claims.

“(17) Guidance for representatives from the military of Taiwan to attend, as observers and as appropriate, joint military exercises led by the United States, such as the biennial Rim of the Pacific exercise.

“(18) An assessment of the feasibility of using economic tools, including export controls, sanctions, and tariffs, to deter China from carrying out the actions described in subparagraphs (A) through (D) of paragraph (16).”; and

(2) in subsection (b)—

(A) in paragraph (2), by striking “; and” and inserting a semicolon;

(B) in paragraph (3), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following:

“(4) an assessment of how the United States Armed Forces could marshal resources to respond to the actions described in subparagraphs (A) through (E) of subsection (a)(16), based on the force posture and stockpiles of the Armed Forces.”.

224. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE NUNN
OF IOWA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle E of title XII, insert the following:

SEC. 12__. **STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIP ON DEFENSE INDUSTRIAL PRIORITIES
BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES AND TAIWAN.**

The Secretary of Defense shall seek to establish a partnership between the Department of Defense and appropriate counterparts of Taiwan in order to—

- (1) enhance market opportunities for United States-based and Taiwan-based defense technology companies;
- (2) bolster Taiwan's defense industrial base;
- (3) harmonize global security posture through emerging technology;
- (4) counter the Chinese Communist Party and Chinese Communist Party-aligned adversarial proxy group development of dual-use defense technologies; and
- (5) in coordination with appropriate counterpart offices of the Taiwan Ministry of National Defense—
 - (A) enable coordination on defense industrial priorities;
 - (B) streamline emerging defense technology research and development;
 - (C) create more pathways to market for defense technology startups; and
 - (D) collaborate on the coordinated development of dual-use defense capabilities, such as the following:
 - (i) Drones.
 - (ii) Microchips.
 - (iii) Directed energy weapons.
 - (iv) Artificial Intelligence.
 - (v) Missile technology.
 - (vi) Intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance technology.

225. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE NUNN
OF IOWA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle E of title XII, add the following new section:

**SEC. 12. JOINT UNITED STATES-TAIWAN RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST,
AND EVALUATION PROGRAM.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary, in coordination with the Director of the Defense Security Cooperation Agency and in consultation with the Secretary of State, shall seek to carry out with Taiwan a joint research, development, test, and evaluation program (in this section referred to as the “Program”) to accelerate the development and transition of unmanned aircraft systems and counter-UAS systems.

(b) **PROGRAM ACTIVITIES.**—In carrying out the Program, the Secretary may, with respect to unmanned aircraft systems and counter-UAS systems—

(1) research, develop, test, and evaluate such systems, and related enabling technologies, jointly with Taiwan, including by prototyping;

(2) fund the development of critical components of such systems, including sensors, autonomy software, control systems, communications modules, and propulsion technologies;

(3) test, evaluate, and experiment with respect to such systems in relevant operational environments jointly with Taiwan;

(4) integrate systems developed under the Program into exercises, demonstrations, and operational use; and

(5) transition systems and technologies developed under the Program to production using available authorities.

(c) **PRIORITIES.**—In carrying out the Program, the Secretary shall prioritize systems and technologies that—

(1) address capability gaps identified by the Commander of the United States Indo-Pacific Command;

(2) demonstrate the capability to transition to production and operational use within 24 to 36 months; and

(3) support interoperability and integration with respect to the United States Armed Forces and the military forces of Taiwan.

(d) **COORDINATION.**—The Secretary shall ensure that activities under the Program—

(1) are coordinated with the Commander of the United States Indo-Pacific Command;

(2) leverage contributions from Taiwan, including financial and in-kind support; and

(3) align with existing security cooperation and technology development programs.

(e) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, and annually thereafter for 5 years, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees, the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives, and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate a report that—

(1) identifies activities funded under the Program and the funding levels of such activities;

(2) evaluates progress toward testing, production, or operational use of systems developed under the Program;

(3) describes contributions to the Program from Taiwan, including financial and in-kind support;

(4) evaluates alignment of the Program with operational requirements of the United States Indo-Pacific Command; and

(5) identifies barriers to transitioning systems and technologies developed under the Program and provides recommendations to address such barriers.

(f) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) COUNTER-UAS SYSTEM; UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEM.—The terms “counter-UAS system” and “unmanned aircraft system” have the meanings given such terms in section 44801 of title 49, United States Code.

(2) SECRETARY.—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of Defense, acting through the Under Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering.

226. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
OBERNOLTE OF CALIFORNIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR
10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle C of title III, add the following new section:

**SEC. 3__ PILOT PROGRAM ON INTEGRATED ROAD AND RAILROAD LOGISTICS
CAPABILITIES FOR UNITED STATES TRANSPORTATION COMMAND.**

(a) **ESTABLISHMENT.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense, acting through the Commander of the United States Transportation Command, shall establish a pilot program to assess the operational utility of integrated road and railroad logistics capabilities at the initial and final stages of the transportation process, to improve the resilience, throughput, and safety of surface distribution in support of joint force operations, including in austere and contested environments.

(b) **ACTIVITIES.**—The pilot program under subsection (a) shall, to the maximum extent practicable and consistent with applicable safety and security requirements, include the following activities:

(1) The demonstration and evaluation of surface logistics platforms capable of—

(A) operating on public roads and on standard-gauge rail infrastructure, including yards, spurs, sidings, and railheads; and

(B) transitioning between such modes without requiring the construction of new fixed terminal infrastructure.

(2) The demonstration and evaluation of capabilities to transport standardized cargo platforms, including loads compatible with international intermodal containers (commonly referred to as “ISO containers”) and other modular payloads, between public roads and rail infrastructure in a manner that reduces reliance on multiple specialized assets for handling cargo and reduces cargo dwell time at railheads and yards.

(3) The assessment of plans for the operational deployment of such platforms, capabilities, and associated systems, for—

(A) distribution to military installations and use with respect to depots;

(B) port and strategic mobility support activities; and

(C) distributed logistics in austere and contested environments where infrastructure is damaged, constrained, or unavailable.

(4) The demonstration and evaluation of a software-enabled capability to coordinate transportation on public roads and rail infrastructure at the initial and final stages of the transportation process, including to coordinate the scheduling of yard and terminal availability, mission assignment, and exception management, and to generate auditable performance data on such activities.

(5) The assessment of cybersecurity and data integrity measures for any networked command-and-control, dispatch, or audit logging function relating to such pilot program, including authentication, encryption in transit, access controls, and tamper-evident recordkeeping, consistent with applicable cybersecurity policies of the Department of Defense.

(6) The evaluation of safety considerations (including with respect to human involvement in supervision activities, as appropriate) and compliance pathways for operations on or adjacent to rail infrastructure and in controlled environments, including geofenced areas.

(c) **LOCATIONS.**—The Commander of the United States Transportation Command shall carry out the pilot program under subsection (a) at one or

more locations selected by the Commander. Such locations may include the following:

(1) A strategic distribution node, port, or other surface distribution hub supporting the Defense Transportation System.

(2) A railhead located at a military installation, a depot, a location at which logistics readiness activities are conducted, or another facility of the Department of Defense with recurring surface distribution requirements.

(d) METRICS AND EVALUATION.—In carrying out the pilot program under subsection (a), the Commander of the United States Transportation Command shall establish metrics and, to the maximum extent practicable, collect and assess performance data for the activities conducted under such pilot program. Such data may include the following:

(1) Cargo dwell time and throughput.

(2) Labor hour requirements and safety incidents.

(3) Operational availability and maintenance burden.

(4) Energy use and fuel consumption.

(5) Integration burden with existing logistics information systems.

(6) Cybersecurity observations and data integrity outcomes.

(e) TERMINATION.—The pilot program under subsection (a) shall terminate on the date that is two years after the date on which the pilot program is established.

(f) REPORT.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the termination of the pilot program under subsection (e), the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report containing a description of the results of such pilot program, including the following:

(1) A summary of the activities conducted under such pilot program and the locations selected pursuant to subsection (c).

(2) An assessment of the performance of such activities against the metrics established pursuant to subsection (d).

(3) An identification of any safety or regulatory constraint encountered in carrying out such activities, including as a result of railroad operating rules.

(4) An assessment of cybersecurity and data integrity considerations.

(5) A cost-informed assessment of the scalability of such pilot program and related sustainment considerations.

(6) Recommendations regarding future experimentation relating to the capabilities demonstrated and evaluated under such pilot program or similar capabilities, the development of related requirements, and potential transition pathways for such capabilities.

227. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
OBERNOLTE OF CALIFORNIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR
10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle F of title XVIII, add the following new section:

SEC. 18_. ASSESSMENT OF INDUSTRIAL BASE FOR TURBOJET PYROTECHNIC
DEVICES.

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:

(1) Turbojet pyrotechnic devices are critical for the operation of aerial targets and unmanned systems used in military training and testing, and weapon systems.

(2) Current supply chain lead times of 18 to 36 months exceed the Department of Defense's 12-month requirement, creating a production bottleneck that poses risks to readiness and operational effectiveness.

(b) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than March 1, 2027, the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Sustainment, in consultation with the Secretary of the Army and the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Industrial Base Policy, shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report that, with respect to turbojet pyrotechnic devices—

(1) assesses—

(A) projected demand through fiscal year 2030, including with respect to initiators, igniters, pyroflares, turbine start cartridges, and other similar pyrotechnic devices;

(B) current United States production capacity, scalability, and lead times;

(C) supply chain vulnerabilities and impacts on readiness; and

(D) potential commercial or dual-use applications; and

(2) provides recommendations to reduce supply chain lead times, diversify suppliers, and strengthen the industrial base.

(c) IMPLEMENTATION AUTHORITY.—The Secretary of Defense may initiate pilot efforts or partnerships that support implementation of the recommendations in the report under subsection (b).

228. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE OGLES
OF TENNESSEE OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle E of title XII, insert the following:

**SEC. 12_. PLAN REQUIRED FOR FULL PARTICIPATION BY TAIWAN IN THE RIM
OF THE PACIFIC EXERCISE.**

Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall create a plan for the naval forces of Taiwan to fully participate in the Rim of the Pacific exercise conducted in 2028.

229. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE OWENS
OF UTAH OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle B of title I, add the following new section:

**SEC. 1__ REPORT ON FIELDING OF LINK 16 TACTICAL DATA LINK NETWORKING
CAPABILITIES ON UH-60M AND CH-47F AIRCRAFT.**

Not later than December 1, 2026, the Secretary of the Army shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the efforts of the Army to field Link 16 tactical data link networking capabilities on UH-60M and CH-47F aircraft. The report shall include—

- (1) a description of how Link 16 tactical data link networking capabilities on the AH-64E, UH-60M, and CH-47F aircraft contribute to the Next Generation Command and Control efforts of the Army; and
- (2) such other information as the Secretary determines appropriate.

230. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
PAPPAS OF NEW HAMPSHIRE OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR
10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle C of title II, add the following new section:

SEC. 2__. STRATEGY FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF FRICTION STIR ADDITIVE
MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGIES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this section, the Under Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering shall submit to the congressional defense committees a comprehensive report outlining the strategy of the Department of Defense for the research, development, and deployment of friction stir additive manufacturing technologies.

(b) ELEMENTS.—The report required by subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) An assessment of the strategic importance of developing and deploying friction stir additive manufacturing technologies for national defense, including their potential to enhance supply chain resilience, manufacturing agility, and operational readiness.

(2) A detailed summary of current and planned Department of Defense programs and initiatives that are supporting the development, testing, or implementation of friction stir additive manufacturing technologies.

(3) An analysis of key areas of operational impact of such technologies, including—

(A) expeditionary manufacturing and sustainment capabilities;

(B) deployable micro-factory systems for forward operating bases or contested environments; and

(C) development of ultra-large-scale friction stir additive manufacturing techniques for critical defense infrastructure and platforms.

231. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
PATRONIS OF FLORIDA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle I of title V, add the following new section:

**SEC. 5_. AUTHORIZATION FOR AWARD OF MEDAL OF HONOR TO CERTAIN
BATTLE OF MIDWAY TORPEDO SQUADRON COMMANDERS AND DIVE
BOMBER FLIGHT LEADS FOR ACTS OF VALOR.**

(a) **AUTHORIZATION.**—Notwithstanding the time limitations specified in sections 8298(a) and 8300 of title 10, United States Code, or any other time limitation with respect to the awarding of certain medals to persons who served in the Armed Forces, the President is authorized to award the Medal of Honor, under section 8291 of such title, to Commander Clarence W. McClusky, Lieutenant Commander Max F. Leslie, Lieutenant Commander Eugene E. Lindsey, Lieutenant Commander Lance E. Massey, Lieutenant Commander John C. Waldron, and Lieutenant Richard H. Best for the acts of valor described in subsection (b).

(b) **ACTS OF VALOR DESCRIBED.**—The acts of valor described in this subsection are the actions of the individuals described in subsection (a) on June 4, 1942, as members of the Navy, during combat operations near Midway Atoll that led to the sinking of four enemy aircraft carriers.

232. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
PETTERSEN OF COLORADO OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR
10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle A of title XVI, add the following new section:

**SEC. 16. REPORT ON OPTICAL COMMUNICATIONS AND NETWORKING
STANDARDS FOR NATIONAL SECURITY SPACE ARCHITECTURES.**

(a) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Air Force, acting through the Chief of Space Operations and in coordination with the Assistant Secretary of the Air Force for Space Acquisition and Integration, the Commander of Space Systems Command, and the Director of the Space Warfighting Analysis Center, shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the governance, maintenance, validation, implementation, and future development of optical communications and networking standards for national security space architectures.

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—The report required under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) A description of the governance structure for such standards, including the roles and responsibilities of relevant Department of Defense organizations and participating industry and allied stakeholders.

(2) A description of the process by which such standards are developed, reviewed, validated, approved, published, maintained, and incorporated into acquisition programs and requirements.

(3) An assessment of the extent to which current and planned standards support cross-vendor interoperability, backward compatibility where practicable, and participation by qualified commercial providers.

(4) A description of technical validation processes, testing activities, and mechanisms for incorporating operational lessons learned and industry feedback into future standards revisions.

(5) An assessment of how such standards are being incorporated into United States Space Force architecture planning, acquisition activities, and allied and partner engagements.

(6) An assessment of risks associated with transitioning between versions of such standards, including impacts on competition, acquisition timelines, interoperability, and mission assurance.

(7) Identification of any legislative, policy, authority, or resource gaps that limit the Department's ability to sustain and evolve such standards.

(c) **BRIEFING.**—Not later than 30 days after submitting the report required under subsection (a), the Secretary of the Air Force shall provide a briefing to the congressional defense committees on the findings and recommendations contained in the report.

(d) **FORM.**—The report required under subsection (a) shall be submitted in unclassified form but may include a classified annex.

233. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
PFLUGER OF TEXAS OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle F of title X, insert the following:

**SEC. 10_. PILOT PROGRAM FOR INTEGRATED WARFIGHTER COGNITIVE
PERFORMANCE AND READINESS.**

(a) **SENSE OF CONGRESS.**—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) long-term exposure to high-stress operational environments compromises cognitive performance, sleep quality, and psychological resilience, creating conditions for reduced readiness, impaired decision-making, increased behavioral health risk, and greater potential for anxiety, depression, and other stress-related conditions among members of the Armed Forces;

(2) the Secretary of Defense’s May 6, 2026, directive establishing Warfighter Performance Optimization as a core Department of Defense priority directs a data-driven, outcomes-focused approach to scaling proven human performance capabilities across the force and mandates development of minimum standards for human performance programs;

(3) commanders across multiple military services have independently invested in cognitive performance capabilities from local budgets due to existing programs have not consistently delivering measurable readiness outcomes, demonstrating demand for scalable, evidence-based solutions that bridge the gap between research and real-world military performance; and

(4) commercially available, scientifically researched capabilities with documented real-world military performance, including measurable improvements in cognitive performance, sleep quality, stress resilience, and clinical care utilization, are immediately available for deployment and scaling through existing Federal acquisition pathways, with minimal cost or requirements to development of a new program, in direct support of the Department’s warfighter performance optimization priorities.

(b) **ESTABLISHMENT OF PILOT PROGRAM.**—Not later than March 1, 2027, the Secretary of Defense shall establish a pilot program to modernize existing military resilience and performance programs in support of the warfighter performance optimization priorities of the Department of Defense. The program shall be designed to provide members of the Armed Forces and their dependents with an integrated cognitive performance and readiness capability that combines live performance training, cognitive training tools and technologies, always-on digital support, live coaching, care navigation, sleep optimization, and on-installation cognitive readiness infrastructure. In selecting capabilities for the pilot program, the Secretary shall give priority to commercially or federally available capabilities with documented success and prior performance in military or high-stress operational environments.

(c) **ELEMENTS.**—The pilot program under subsection (b) shall be designed to include the following elements:

(1) The demonstration of a substantial body of scientifically researched and evidence-based published evidence of measurable improvements in cognitive performance outcomes, including sustained attention, reaction time under stress, and stress regulation, in military or high-stress operational populations, including evidence derived from alpha-competitive and high-consequence operational environments such as military aviation, special operations, and elite performance contexts, demonstrating real-world military efficacy.

(2) The demonstration of military cultural competence across all personnel delivering services, including coaches, facilitators, and support staff, through documented training requirements specific to military populations, operational environments, and families of members of the Armed Forces.

(3) Content and curriculum developed in partnership with experts with documented service in military operational leadership roles, aligned to service-specific resilience and readiness frameworks and the unique demands of military life, deployment cycles, and family support, and continuously updated to reflect advances in cognitive performance science.

(4) The delivery of cognitive performance capabilities through—

(A) a holistic approach encompassing education, training, leadership development, and culture, providing tactical tools and skill sets applicable across the full spectrum of military service, any area of operations, and all phases of a member's career; and

(B) multiple complementary modalities including in-person instruction by certified facilitators, on-installation cognitive performance environments, and always-on digital access, ensuring continuity of support regardless of operational tempo, deployment status, or geographic location.

(5) Interactive and contextualized live cognitive performance training—

(A) provided by specialized training teams with expert knowledge of cognitive performance and how to apply skills across the phases of a military career, focused on development of a high-performance mindset to increase readiness, warfighter lethality, and leadership under stress, with certified facilitators embedded at installations to sustain program culture and common language within units between training events; and

(B) that is delivered in-person at installations when operationally feasible, with alternative delivery modalities available for deployed or distributed environments.

(6) Cognitive training tools and resources, including technologies and structured skill-building environments, designed to improve resilience, focus, decision making, and recovery under pressure, with documented peer-reviewed evidence of measurable improvements in cognitive performance outcomes including attention, stress regulation, and reaction time.

(7) A commercially available digital component with demonstrated adoption by millions of users across civilian and enterprise populations, providing continuous on-demand access to cognitive performance support, sleep optimization, stress regulation, mental health and wellness content, and mental fitness resources, including content developed in partnership with experts with documented service in military operational leadership roles, accessible to members of the Armed Forces and their family members between and independent of scheduled training events, including in deployed environments.

(8) Evidence-based sleep optimization resources and interventions with documented peer-reviewed evidence of measurable improvements in sleep onset, sleep quality, and insomnia reduction, accessible to members of the Armed Forces and their families on demand.

(9) On-demand access, at any time during the day or night, to live subclinical coaching support with response times less than two minutes on average as well as through scheduled video and text based sessions for members of the Armed Forces and their families, with demonstrated rapid-access connection capability, with military cultural competence training required of all coaching personnel as a condition of employment.

(10) Validated assessments and structured care navigation connecting members of the Armed Forces to existing military and Department support resources, including base-level services, crisis

pathways, and clinical referral infrastructure aligned to co-created protocols, optimizing the use of existing investments rather than duplicating such investments.

(11) Evidence-based cognitive readiness environments at participating installations using sensory and cognitive stimulation technologies with documented peer-reviewed evidence of measurable improvements in stress recovery and cognitive performance outcomes following use.

(12) Outcome tracking and data collection capabilities across its integrated components, including biometric, assessment-based, and clinical utilization measures of cognitive readiness, designed to support Department-wide warfighter performance reporting requirements.

(13) Commercial availability and contractability under existing Federal acquisition pathways, with a preference for capabilities with documented prior performance in military environments, to enable rapid deployment following program authorization without delay to execution.

(d) METRICS.—The Secretary shall evaluate the pilot program using the following metrics:

(1) Cognitive performance outcomes, including sustained attention, reaction time under stress, and validated measures of stress and resilience.

(2) Sleep quality indicators, including member survey data and sleep medication usage rates.

(3) Behavioral health referral and counseling center usage rates at participating installations.

(4) Related clinical care wait times and limited duty assignment rates attributable to stress and behavioral health conditions.

(5) Use and results of cognitive training tools and technologies, including data on attention, focus, relaxation, and workload regulation.

(6) The level of engagement of members of the Armed Forces and their families, including number of sessions and average hours of substantive program use per active participant.

(7) Program cost efficiency indicators, including estimated reductions in clinical care use and behavioral health-related attrition.

(e) REPORT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than one year after the commencement of the pilot program under subsection (b), the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives a report on the implementation and outcomes of the program. Such report shall include—

(A) the evaluation of the pilot program using the metrics under subsection (d);

(B) an assessment of whether the program reduced attrition and improved cognitive performance and resilience among participating members of the Armed Forces; and

(C) a recommendation as to whether the Department of Defense should expand the program across additional installations or populations.

(2) FORM.—The report required under this subsection shall be submitted in unclassified form but may contain a classified annex.

234. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
PFLUGER OF TEXAS OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

Page 275, after line 2, insert the following new section:

**SEC. 5__LIMITED CONSIDERATION OF SATISFACTORY NON-REGULAR SERVICE
TOWARDS SERVICE-IN-GRADE REQUIREMENT OF A RESERVE OFFICER
WHO RETIRES VOLUNTARILY.**

Section 1370(b)(1) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A), by striking “; and” and inserting a semicolon;

(2) in subparagraph (B), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(C) in the case of a reserve officer, such period may include satisfactory non-regular service, but not for purposes of calculating—

“(i) the retired pay or other benefits from the United States to which such reserve officer would have been entitled based upon military service; or

“(ii) any benefit to which any other person may become entitled based on such military service.”.

235. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
PFLUGER OF TEXAS OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle A of title VII, add the following new section:

SEC. 7_. IMPROVEMENT TO CONTINUATION OF TRANSITIONAL HEALTH CARE.

Section 1145(a)(4) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

- (1) by striking “Except as” and inserting “(A) Except as”; and
- (2) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(B) In carrying out this subsection, the Secretary of Defense shall ensure that a member may enroll in the transitional health care under paragraph (1) by not later than two business days after the date on which the 180-day transition period begins.”.

236. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
PFLUGER OF TEXAS OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

Page 1295, line 25, strike “(f)” and insert “(g)”.

Page 1297, line 1, strike “(g)” and insert “(h)”.

Page 1295, after line 25, insert the following:

(e) **HARDWARE AND FIRMWARE ASSURANCE PILOT PROGRAM.**—

(1) **ESTABLISHMENT.**—Not later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this section, the Secretary of Defense, acting through the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Industrial Base Policy, shall carry out a pilot program in partnership with relevant private entities to conduct a third-party hardware evaluation and an independent analysis of emerging technologies capable of independently verifying the composition and integrity of commercial electronic devices procured through defense supply chains without reliance on hardware bills of materials, software bills of materials, or similar records.

(2) **ELEMENTS.**—In carrying out the pilot program established under this section, the Secretary shall—

(A) identify such technologies for inclusion in the pilot program that perform non-destructive chipset-level interrogation to identify counterfeit, substituted, or compromised components within commercially-sourced equipment;

(B) identify military installations where such technologies can assess equipment—

(i) in use; and

(ii) that has been acquired but not yet deployed; and

(C) ensure, to the extent feasible, that a variety of types of commercially-sourced equipment are included in the pilot program.

(3) **REPORT.**—Not later than 180 days after the date on which the pilot program commences, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the results of the pilot program, including recommendations for broader implementation and an assessment of costs and benefits.

237. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
PFLUGER OF TEXAS OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle C of title V, add the following new section:

SEC. 5_. STRATEGY TO IMPROVE ACADEMIC OUTCOMES AT THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE ACADEMY.

Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Superintendent of the United States Air Force Academy shall submit to the congressional defense committees and the Chairman of the Board of Visitors of the Academy a report containing a strategy to improve academic outcomes at the Academy. Such report shall include—

(1) an assessment of the Cadet Honor Code and any recommendations of the Superintendent to update the Cadet Honor Code to address artificial intelligence, large language models, and other emerging technology;

(2) an assessment of the effects of banning the use of mobile phones, smart watches, and other internet-connected devices in academic settings, including a review of publicly available data and outcomes from academic institutions other than military service academies that have implemented such a ban; and

(3) any other policies or modifications to methods of instruction that the Superintendent considers appropriate to improve academic outcomes in support of the Air Force Core Values of “Integrity First. Service Before Self. Excellence in All We Do”.

238. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
PFLUGER OF TEXAS OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle D of title V, add the following new section:

SEC. 5__. REDESIGNATION OF DEAN OF THE FACULTY OF UNITED STATES AIR
FORCE ACADEMY AS PROVOST AND DEAN OF THE FACULTY.

Chapter 953 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in section 9431(b)(2), by striking “Dean of the Faculty” and
inserting “Provost and Dean of the Faculty”; and

(2) in section 9435—

(A) in the heading, by inserting “**Provost and**” before “**Dean**”;

(B) in subsection (a)—

(i) in the first sentence, by striking “Dean of the Faculty”
and inserting “Provost and Dean of the Faculty”; and

(ii) by striking “the Dean” each place it appears in the
second and third sentences and inserting “the Provost and
Dean”; and

(C) in subsection (b), by striking “Dean of the Faculty” and
inserting “Provost and Dean of the Faculty”;

239. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
PFLUGER OF TEXAS OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle F of title X, add the following new section:

SEC. 10_. REVOCATION OF SECURITY CLEARANCES FOR CERTAIN PERSONS.

(a) **PROHIBITION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary of Defense shall suspend or revoke a security clearance or eligibility for access to classified information for any retired or separated member of the Armed Forces or civilian employee of the Department of Defense who engages in an activity described in subsection (b).

(b) **ACTIVITIES DESCRIBED.**—The activities described in this subsection are lobbying activities or lobbying contacts for or on behalf of any entity that is—

(1) identified by the Secretary of Defense in the most recent report submitted under section 1260H of the William M. (Mac) Thornberry National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2021 (10 U.S.C. 113 note) as a Chinese military company; and

(2) included in the Non-SDN Chinese Military-Industrial Complex Companies List published by the Department of the Treasury.

(c) **WAIVER.**—The Secretary of Defense may, for periods not to exceed 180 days, waive the application of the prohibition in subsection (a) for an individual if the Secretary certifies to the congressional defense committees that doing so is in the national security interest of the United States.

(d) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) The term “congressional defense committees” has the meaning given the term in section 101(a) of title 10, United States Code.

(2) The term “lobbying activities” has the meaning given such term in section 3 of the Lobbying Disclosure Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1602).

(3) The term “lobbying contact” has the meaning given such term in section 3 of the Lobbying Disclosure Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1602), except that clause (iv) of paragraph (8)(B)(iv) of such section shall not apply.

240. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
PLASKETT OF VIRGIN ISLANDS OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE
FOR 10 MINUTES

Add at the end of subtitle F of title XXVIII the following new section:
SEC. 28_. FORWARD OPERATING BASE READINESS ASSESSMENT, ST. CROIX.

The Secretary of Defense to assess the feasibility of using appropriate facilities on the island of St. Croix as a forward operating base for the Army, the Air Force, and the Marine Corps.

241. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
PLASKETT OF VIRGIN ISLANDS OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE
FOR 10 MINUTES

Add at the end of subtitle F of title XXVIII the following new section:
SEC. 28_. FORWARD OPERATING BASE READINESS ASSESSMENT, ST. THOMAS.

The Secretary of Defense to assess the feasibility of using appropriate facilities on the island of St. Thomas as a forward operating base for the Navy.

242. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
RANDALL OF WASHINGTON OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR
10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle C of title XVI, add the following new section:
**SEC. 16__. EXTENSION OF ANNUAL ASSESSMENT OF CYBER RESILIENCE OF
NUCLEAR COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM.**

Section 499(e) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking
“December 31, 2032” and inserting “December 31, 2035”.

243. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
RASKIN OF MARYLAND OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle F of title VI, add the following new section:

**SEC. 6__DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE MILITARY RETIREMENT FUND: PAYMENT
OF RETIRED PAY FOR ALL MEMBERS OF THE UNIFORMED SERVICES.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 1463 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “retired lists” and all that follows through “Space Force” and inserting “retired lists of the uniformed services”;

(2) in paragraph (2), by striking “armed forces” and inserting “uniformed services”; and

(3) in paragraph (4)—

(A) by striking “Department of Defense and the Department of Homeland Security” and inserting “Departments of Defense, Homeland Security, Commerce, and Health and Human Services”;

(B) by striking “armed forces” and inserting “uniformed services”;

(C) by striking “and section” and inserting “section”; and

(D) by inserting “, subtitle C of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Commissioned Officer Corps Act of 2002 (33 U.S.C. 3041 et seq.), and section 229 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 429)” after “Public Law 96–402”.

(b) **CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.**—

(1) **FUND PURPOSE; DEFINITION.**—Section 1461 of such title is amended—

(A) in subsection (a), by striking “the Department of Defense and the Coast Guard” and inserting “the uniformed services”; and

(B) in subsection (b)—

(i) in paragraph (2)—

(I) by striking “armed forces” and inserting “uniformed services”; and

(II) by striking “; and” and inserting a semicolon;

(ii) in paragraph (3), by striking the period at the end and inserting a semicolon; and

(iii) by adding at the end the following new paragraphs:

“(4) a program under subtitle C of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Commissioned Officer Corps Act of 2002 (33 U.S.C. 3041 et seq.); and

“(5) a program under section 211 or 221 of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 212, 213a).”.

(2) **DETERMINATION OF CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE FUND.**—Section 1465 of such title is amended—

(A) in subsection (a), by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(3) Not later than January 1, 2027, the Board of Actuaries, in consultation with the Secretaries of the departments specified in section 1463(a)(4) of this title, shall determine the amount that is the value on the date of such determination of future benefits payable from the Fund that are attributable to service in the commissioned corps of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration and of the Public Health Service performed before such date. That amount is the original National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration and Public Health Service unfunded liability of

the Fund. The Board shall determine the period of time over which the original National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration and Public Health Service unfunded liability should be liquidated and shall determine an amortization schedule for the liquidation of such liability over that period. Contributions to the Fund for the liquidation of the original National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration and Public Health Service unfunded liability in accordance with such schedule shall be made as provided in section 1466(b) of this title.”;

(B) in subsection (b)—

(i) in paragraph (1)—

(I) in the matter preceding subparagraph (A)—

(aa) by striking “Secretary of the department in which the Coast Guard is operating” and inserting “Secretaries of the departments specified in section 1463(a)(4) of this title”; and

(bb) by striking “Department of Defense and Coast Guard” and inserting “uniformed services”;

(II) in subparagraph (A)(ii), by striking “Armed Forces” and inserting “uniformed services”; and

(III) in subparagraph (B)(ii), by striking “armed forces” and inserting “uniformed services”;

(ii) in paragraph (2), by inserting “, the Department of Health and Human Services Retirement Pay account for Commissioned officers, and the Department of Commerce NOAA Corps Retirement Pay account for Commissioned officers” after “Coast Guard Retired Pay account”; and

(iii) in paragraph (3), by striking “Department of Defense and Coast Guard”;

(C) in subsection (c)—

(i) in paragraph (1)—

(I) in the matter preceding subparagraph (A), by striking “Secretary of the department in which the Coast Guard is operating” and inserting “Secretaries of the departments specified in section 1463(a)(4) of this title”;

(II) in subparagraph (A), by striking “Armed Forces” and inserting “uniformed services”; and

(III) in subparagraph (B), by striking “armed forces” and inserting “uniformed services”; and

(ii) in paragraphs (2) and (3), by striking “Secretary of the department in which the Coast Guard is operating” both places it appears and inserting “Secretaries of the departments specified in section 1463(a)(4) of this title”; and

(D) in subsection (e), by striking “The Secretary of Defense and, with regard to the Coast Guard, the Secretary of the department in which the Coast Guard is operating shall” and inserting “The Secretary of a department specified in section 1463(a)(4) of this title shall, with regard to the respective department”.

(3) PAYMENTS INTO THE FUND.—Section 1466 of such title is amended—

(A) in subsection (a)—

(i) in the matter preceding paragraph (1)—

(I) by striking “Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of the department in which the Coast Guard is operating, with respect to the Coast Guard” and inserting “Secretaries of the departments specified in section 1463(a)(4) of this title”; and

(II) by striking “the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of the department in which the Coast Guard is operating” and inserting “such Secretaries”;

(ii) in paragraph (1)(B), by inserting “, the commissioned corps of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration,

or the Commissioned Corps of the Public Health Service” after “Coast Guard”; and

(iii) in paragraph (2)(B), by striking “armed forces” and inserting “uniformed services”;

(B) in subsection (b)—

(i) in paragraph (1), by striking “armed forces under the jurisdiction of the Secretary of a military department” and inserting “uniformed services”; and

(ii) in paragraph (2), by striking “Coast Guard” and inserting “Coast Guard, commissioned corps of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, or the Commissioned Corps of the Public Health Service”; and

(C) in subsection (c)—

(i) in paragraph (1), by striking “Secretary of Defense” and inserting “Secretaries of the departments specified in section 1463(a)(4) of this title”;

(ii) in paragraph (2)(A), by striking “the Department of Defense and the Coast Guard” and inserting “each uniformed service”; and

(iii) in paragraph (3), by striking “Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of the Department in which the Coast Guard is operating” and inserting “Secretaries of the departments specified in section 1463(a)(4) of this title”.

(4) RETIRED SERVICEMAN'S FAMILY PROTECTION PLAN.—Subchapter I of chapter 73 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(A) in section 1444(a), by striking “armed forces, the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, and the Public Health Service” and inserting “uniformed services”;

(B) by striking “armed forces” each place it appears and inserting “uniformed services”;

(C) by striking “an armed force” both places it appears and inserting “a uniformed service”;

(D) by striking “armed force” each place it appears and inserting “uniformed service”; and

(E) in the headings to sections 1431 and 1432 by striking “**armed forces**” both places it appears and inserting “**uniformed services**”.

(5) SURVIVOR BENEFIT PLAN.—Section 1449(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “armed force” and inserting “uniformed service”.

(6) NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION COMMISSIONED OFFICER CORPS ACT OF 2002.—Section 261(a) of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Commissioned Officer Corps Act of 2002 (Public Law 107-372; 33 U.S.C. 3071) is amended—

(A) by redesignating paragraphs (21) through (27) as paragraphs (22) through (28), respectively; and

(B) by inserting, after paragraph (20), the following new paragraph (21):

“(21) Chapter 74, relating to the Department of Defense Military Retirement Fund.”.

(7) PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE ACT.—Section 221(a) of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 213a(a)) is amended—

(A) by redesignating paragraphs (6) through (21) as paragraphs (7) through (22), respectively; and

(B) by inserting, after paragraph (5), the following new paragraph (6):

“(6) Chapter 74, Department of Defense Military Retirement Fund.”.

244. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
ROGERS OF ALABAMA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle B of title I, add the following new section:

**SEC. 1_. MINIMUM INVENTORY REQUIREMENT FOR STRYKER A1 COMBAT
VEHICLES.**

(a) **MINIMUM INVENTORY REQUIREMENT.**—During the period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act and ending on December 31, 2030, the Secretary of the Army shall ensure that the Army maintains a minimum inventory of not fewer than 1,524 deployable Stryker DVH A1 combat vehicles in its Stryker Brigade Combat Teams.

(b) **EXCEPTION.**—The requirement under subsection (a) shall not apply to individual Stryker DVH A1 combat vehicles that the Secretary of the Army determines, on a case-by-case basis, to be no longer mission capable and uneconomical to repair because of accidents, mishaps, or excessive material degradation.

245. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE ROSE
OF TENNESSEE OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle E of title X, add the following new section:

**SEC. 10_. REPORT ON RED FLAGS MISSED IN FRAUD SCHEME PERPETRATED BY
JANET YAMANAKA MELLO.**

(a) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of War shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on the fraud scheme perpetrated by Janet Yamanaka Mello, a civilian employee of the Department of the Army, who was indicted and pleaded guilty to stealing over \$100,000,000 in 4-H Military Partnership Grant program funds.

(b) **CONTENTS OF REPORT.**—The report required under subsection (a) shall include each of the following:

(1) A description of the breakdown in the supervision of Mello, including any failures of management or oversight that contributed to the ability of Mello to carry out the fraud scheme undetected.

(2) A description of the breakdown in accountability with respect to the loss of the stolen funds, including any failures to ensure that such funds were actually being spent for the purposes for which such funds were intended.

(3) A description of the failure to ensure that financial program managers, such as Mello, are not able to funnel Government funds to themselves or their own entities.

(4) An identification of any other red flags or warning signs that were missed or ignored by employees of the Department of War, including any instances of whistleblower retaliation or suppression of concerns.

(5) An assessment of the policies and procedures of the Department of War and Department of the Army, as of the date of the enactment of this Act, designed to prevent employees from perpetrating similar fraud schemes in the future.

(6) Recommendations for improvements to the policies, procedures, and oversight of the Department of War and Department of the Army to prevent employees from perpetrating similar fraud schemes in the future.

(7) A description of any disciplinary or administrative actions taken against any individuals or entities found to have contributed to the ability of Mello to carry out the fraud scheme.

(8) A description of any changes made, or planned to be made, to the financial management and oversight processes of the Department of War and the Department of the Army as a result of the fraud scheme perpetrated by Mello.

(9) An assessment of the effects of the fraud scheme perpetrated by Mello on the programs and operations of the Department of War and the Department of the Army.

(10) Any other information the Secretary of War determines relevant to understanding the fraud scheme perpetrated by Mello and preventing employees from perpetrating similar fraud schemes in the future.

(c) **PUBLIC AVAILABILITY.**—The Secretary of War shall make the report required under subsection (a) publicly available on an appropriate website of the Department of War.

246. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE RUIZ
OF CALIFORNIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle E of title VIII, add the following new section:

**SEC. 8_. OVERSIGHT OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE COMPLIANCE WITH
CERTAIN REQUIREMENTS FOR DOMESTIC FOOD SUPPLY CHAINS.**

(a) **AUDITS.**—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, and on a quarterly basis thereafter, the Inspector General of the Department of Defense shall conduct an audit to determine the extent of compliance with the requirements of section 4862 of title 10, United States Code, with respect to the procurement of items described in subsection (b)(1)(A) of such section.

(b) **REPORTS TO CONGRESS.**—Not later than 60 days after the conclusion of each audit under subsection (a), the Inspector General shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the House of Representatives and the Senate a report containing the results of such audit.

247. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
SALAZAR OF FLORIDA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle B of title X, add the following new section:

**SEC. 10_. CONVEYANCE OF CERTAIN RETIRED NAVAL VESSELS TO STATE OF
FLORIDA FOR USE AS OFFSHORE REEFS.**

(a) **CONVEYANCE.**—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Navy shall convey to the State of Florida all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to—

(1) the U.S.S. Underwood (FFG–36), or another similar vessel from the OLIVER HAZARD PERRY–class guided missile frigates that the Secretary of the Navy has classified as “stricken”;

(2) a decommissioned TICONDEROGA–class guided-missile cruiser that the Secretary of the Navy has classified as “stricken”;

(3) the U.S.S. Freedom (LCS–1), the U.S.S. Independence (LCS–2), or another decommissioned littoral combat ship that the Secretary of the Navy has classified as “stricken”; or

(4) the U.S.S. Fort McHenry (LSD–43) or another similar vessel from the WHIDBEY ISLAND–class dock landing ship that the Secretary of the Navy has classified as “stricken”.

(b) **CONDITION OF CONVEYANCE.**—Any conveyance of a vessel under subsection (a) shall be subject to the condition that the State of Florida sink such vessel for use as an offshore artificial reef.

(c) **CONVEYANCE AT NO COST TO UNITED STATES.**—Any conveyance of a vessel under subsection (a) shall be made at no cost to the United States. The full cost of such conveyance shall be borne by the State of Florida.

(d) **ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.**—The Secretary may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with a conveyance of a vessel under subsection (a) as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

248. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
SALINAS OF OREGON OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle D of title III, add the following new section:

**SEC. 3__ STUDY AND REPORT ON USE OF NEXT-GENERATION GEOTHERMAL
TECHNOLOGIES TO SUPPORT ENERGY CAPABILITIES OF THE
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary of Defense shall carry out a study to evaluate the potential and feasibility of implementing next-generation geothermal technologies to meet the power needs of the Department of Defense and strengthen national security.

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—The study under subsection (a) shall evaluate—

(1) the potential for next-generation geothermal technologies to be used to meet energy resilience requirements under section 2920 of title 10, United States Code;

(2) the long-term cost of increasing the use of next-generation geothermal technologies to meet Department of Defense power needs, including cost estimates for—

- (A) point-of-use power production;
- (B) reduced transmission requirements;
- (C) reduced reliance on fuel costs; and
- (D) reduced reliance on fuel delivery; and

(3) how next-generation geothermal technologies may be used to—

- (A) provide district heating and cooling for military quarters, hospitals, or other relevant facilities of the Department of Defense;
- (B) reduce exposure of the military departments to cybersecurity threats;

(C) reduce the reliance of the military departments on vulnerable supply chains; and

(D) improve natural disaster resiliency on military installations and in areas in geographic proximity to military installations.

(c) **REPORT.**—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report that includes the results of the study under subsection (a).

249. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
SCHOLTEN OF MICHIGAN OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle C of title VII, add the following new section:

SEC. 7_. ASSESSMENT OF NONINVASIVE FOCUSED ULTRASOUND
TECHNOLOGIES.

The Secretary of Defense shall conduct an assessment of applications of noninvasive focused ultrasound technologies, including histotripsy, within the military health system, including an examination of—

- (1) opportunities to use such technologies to improve outcomes and reduce recovery times; and
- (2) the effect of the use of such technologies on military readiness.

250. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
SCHOLTEN OF MICHIGAN OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle B of title X, add the following new section:

SEC. 10__. **STUDY TO EVALUATE ALTERNATIVE MATERIALS AND EMERGING
HULL TECHNOLOGIES WITH RESPECT TO NAVAL VESSELS.**

(a) **STUDY.**—The Secretary of Defense shall conduct a study to evaluate the use of alternative materials and emerging hull technologies with respect to naval vessels, which shall include a determination of whether any such materials or technologies improve—

- (1) durability;
- (2) fuel efficiency;
- (3) operational readiness; or
- (4) resilience against extreme weather conditions.

(b) **REPORT.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate a report detailing the results of the study conducted under subsection (a).

251. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
SCHOLTEN OF MICHIGAN OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

Add at the end of title XI of division A the following:

SEC. 11_. REPORT ON WORKFORCE SHORTAGES AMONG AVIATION
MAINTENANCE TECHNICIANS SUPPORTING MILITARY AIRCRAFT AND
MAINTENANCE.

Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall assess workforce shortages among aviation maintenance technicians supporting military aircraft and maintenance and submit a report on such assessment to the congressional defense committees.

252. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
SCHOLTEN OF MICHIGAN OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

Page 952, after line 25, insert the following new section:

SEC. 10_ STUDY ON TOOL AND DIE CASTING INDUSTRY.

The Secretary of Defense shall conduct a study assessing the condition of the tool and die casting industry in the United States during fiscal year 2026, including—

- (1) how many tool and die casting facilities in the United States opened during such fiscal year;
- (2) how many such facilities closed during such fiscal year;
- (3) the economic impact of the tool and die casting industry in the United States;
- (4) any shortage in the tool and die casting workforce in the United States;
- (5) development opportunities for such workforce; and
- (6) other opportunities to increase tool and die casting capabilities in the United States.

253. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
SCHOLTEN OF MICHIGAN OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle C of title VII, add the following:

SEC. 7__ . STUDY ON USE OF ROUTINE NEUROIMAGING MODALITIES IN
DIAGNOSIS, TREATMENT, AND PREVENTION OF BRAIN INJURY DUE TO
BLAST PRESSURE EXPOSURE DURING COMBAT AND TRAINING.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense shall conduct a study on the feasibility and effectiveness of the use of routine neuroimaging modalities in the diagnosis, treatment, and prevention of brain injury among members of the Armed Forces due to one or more blast pressure exposures during combat and training.

(b) REPORTS.—

(1) INTERIM REPORT.—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives an interim report on the methods and action plan for the study under subsection (a).

(2) FINAL REPORT.—Not later than two years after the date on which the Secretary begins the study under subsection (a), the Secretary shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on the results of such study.

254. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
SCHOLTEN OF MICHIGAN OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle C of title XXVIII, add the following new section:
SEC. 28_. STUDY ON CONSTRUCTION OF CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTERS.

The Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a recommendation for a strategy for military construction projects for a sufficient number of child development centers (as defined in section 2871 of title 10, United States Code) as necessary to eliminate wait lists for members of the Armed Forces seeking childcare at such child development centers.

255. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
SCHWEIKERT OF ARIZONA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle A of title X, insert the following:

SEC. 10_. USE OF TECHNOLOGY USING ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE TO
FACILITATE AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS OF THE
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FOR FISCAL YEAR 2026.

(a) USE OF AI TECHNOLOGY FOR AUDITS.—The Secretary of Defense, the Secretary of the Army, the Secretary of the Navy, and the Secretary of the Air Force shall encourage, to the greatest extent practicable, the use of technology that uses artificial intelligence or machine learning for the purpose of facilitating audits of the financial statements of the Department of Defense.

(b) IMPLEMENTATION OF AI TECHNOLOGY FOR AUDITS.—The Director of the Chief Digital and Artificial Intelligence Office of the Department, in coordination with the Under Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering and the Inspector General of the Department, shall oversee the adoption of artificial intelligence and machine learning technologies in support of financial management and enterprise business operations.

256. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE SCOTT
OF GEORGIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

In title XVI, add at the end the following new subtitle:

Subtitle F—Matters Relating To Adversary Supply Chains

SEC. 16_. STRATEGY FOR DISRUPTION OF ADVERSARY DEFENSE-INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY CHAINS.

(a) **STRATEGY REQUIRED.**—Not later than March 1, 2027, and annually after through March 1, 2032, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees and the congressional intelligence committees a strategy for identifying and disrupting foreign supply chains supporting the defense-industrial production capacity of peer adversaries.

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—The strategy required by subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) Identification of critical foreign dependencies supporting the production by such adversaries of threat systems, including—

- (A) artillery systems;
- (B) ammunition;
- (C) missile systems;
- (D) armored vehicle systems;
- (E) Command, Control, Communications, Computers, Cyber, Intelligence, Surveillance, Reconnaissance, and Targeting (C5ISRT) systems;
- (F) chemical and biological weapons of mass destruction (CBWMD) systems;
- (G) advanced kinetic and non-kinetic weapon systems; and
- (H) unmanned systems.

(2) An assessment of vulnerabilities associated with reliance by such adversaries on goods and infrastructure, including—

- (A) imported raw materials;
- (B) specialized metallurgy;
- (C) precision machine tools;
- (D) artificial intelligence enablers;
- (E) energy infrastructure;
- (F) big data analytics;
- (G) propellant precursors; and
- (H) transportation infrastructure.

(3) An identification of opportunities for coordination with allies and partners regarding disruption of adversary supply chains, including—

- (A) export controls;
- (B) supply-chain monitoring;
- (C) sanctions enforcement; and
- (D) industrial security cooperation.

(4) Recommendations for improving the support provided by the Department of Defense to interagency efforts to monitor and disrupt adversary defense-industrial supply chains.

SEC. 16_. DEFENSE INDUSTRIAL INTELLIGENCE INTEGRATION CELL.

(a) **ESTABLISHMENT.**—The Director of the Defense Intelligence Agency shall establish a Defense Industrial Intelligence Integration Cell (in this section referred to as the “Cell”).

(b) **DUTIES.**—The duties performed by the Cell shall include—

- (1) mapping the defense-industrial supply chains of adversaries;

(2) assessing vulnerabilities within the military production networks of adversaries;

(3) supporting operational planning of the combatant commands relating to industrial disruption;

(4) supporting interagency analysis of export controls and sanctions; and

(5) assessing foreign dependency risks associated with adversary weapons production.

(c) **COORDINATION.**—The Cell shall coordinate with entities including—

(1) the Office of Foreign Assets Control of the Department of the Treasury;

(2) the Bureau of Industry and Security of the Department of Commerce;

(3) the Defense Technology Security Administration;

(4) the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency;

(5) the Department of State;

(6) the Department of Energy;

(7) the Federal Bureau of Investigation; and

(8) appropriate allied and partner intelligence organizations.

SEC. 16. ASSESSMENT OF ADVERSARY ARTILLERY AND MUNITIONS PRODUCTION CAPACITY.

(a) **ASSESSMENT REQUIRED.**—Not later than March 1, 2027, and annually thereafter through March 1, 2032, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report assessing the production capacity and capabilities of adversary artillery, long-range strike systems, unmanned aerial systems, and missile systems.

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—The assessment required by subsection (a) shall include

(1) estimated annual production capacity for artillery systems, ammunition, and long range strike systems;

(2) assessment of adversary barrel replacement capacity;

(3) identification of foreign material dependencies associated with artillery and long-range strike system production;

(4) assessment of transportation and logistics constraints affecting production and distribution; and

(5) implications for United States and allied operational planning.

257. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE SCOTT
OF GEORGIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

Add at the end of subtitle B of title XVI the following new section:

**SEC. 16_. PROTECTION OF IDENTITIES OF CERTAIN MEMBERS OF THE ARMED
FORCES WHO ARE SPECIAL OPERATORS OR PERFORM SENSITIVE
ACTIVITIES.**

(a) **CRIMINAL PENALTY.**—Section 601 of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3121) is amended as follows:

(1) In subsection (a)—

(A) by striking “a covert agent” and inserting “a covert agent or protected defense individual”;

(B) by striking “identifying such covert agent” and inserting “identifying such covert agent or such protected defense individual”;

(C) by striking “identifies such covert agent” and inserting “identifies such covert agent or such protected defense individual”;
and

(D) by inserting after “United States,” the following: “or that the United States is taking affirmative measures to conceal such individual’s service in a sensitive unit or participation in a Department of Defense sensitive activity,”.

(2) In subsection (b)—

(A) by striking “a covert agent and” and inserting “a covert agent or protected defense individual and”;

(B) by striking “identifying such covert agent” and inserting “identifying such covert agent or such protected defense individual”;

(C) by striking “identifies such covert agent” and inserting “identifies such covert agent or such protected defense individual”;
and

(D) by inserting after “United States,” the following: “or that the United States is taking affirmative measures to conceal such protected defense individual’s service in a sensitive unit or participation in a Department of Defense sensitive activity,”.

(3) In subsection (c)—

(A) by striking “covert agents” and inserting “covert agents or protected defense individuals”;

(B) by striking “as a covert agent” and inserting “as a covert agent or protected defense individual”; and

(C) by inserting after “to the United States,” the following: “or that the United States is taking affirmative measures to conceal such individual’s service in a sensitive unit or participation in a Department of Defense sensitive activity,”.

(b) **DEFENSES AND EXCEPTIONS.**—Section 602 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 3122) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) by striking “It is a defense to a prosecution under section 601” and inserting “(1) With respect to a prosecution under section 601 relating to the identity of a covert agent, it is a defense”; and

(B) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(2) With respect to a prosecution under section 601 relating to the identity of a protected defense individual, it is a defense before the commission of the offense with which the defendant is charged, the United States had publicly acknowledged or revealed that the individual, in the course of serving in the Armed Forces, served in a sensitive unit or participated in a Department of Defense sensitive activity.”;

(2) in subsection (b), by inserting “or protected defense individuals” after “covert agents”; and

(3) in subsection (d), by inserting after “to disclose information that solely identifies himself as a covert agent” the following: “or as an individual who, in the course of serving in the Armed Forces or being employed by the Department of Defense, served in a sensitive unit or participated in a Department of Defense sensitive activity”.

(c) DEFINITIONS.—Section 605 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 3126) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraphs:

“(11) The term ‘protected defense individual’ means the following:

“(A) A member of the special operations forces of the Armed Forces serving in a sensitive unit.

“(B) A member of the Armed Forces or civilian personnel of the Department of Defense who is serving in a sensitive unit or who participates in a sensitive activity.

“(12) The term ‘sensitive activity’ has the meaning given the term ‘Department of Defense sensitive activity’ in section 130g of title 10, United States.

“(13) The term ‘sensitive unit’ has the meaning given that term in section 130b of title 10, United States Code.”.

(d) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—Section 601 of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3121) is amended in the heading by adding at the end the following: “, AND CERTAIN OTHER INDIVIDUALS” (and by conforming the table of contents at the beginning of such Act accordingly).

258. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE SCOTT
OF GEORGIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

Add at the end of subtitle E of title XVIII, the following new section:

**SEC. 18__ ESTABLISHMENT OF SCHEDULE V FOR VETERAN EMPLOYMENT
REPORTING BY DEFENSE CONTRACTORS.**

Chapter 367 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

**“§ 4755. Schedule V for veteran employment reporting by defense
contractors**

“(a) **ESTABLISHMENT.**—The Secretary of Defense shall develop and implement a standardized disclosure schedule, to be known as ‘Schedule V’, to be included in the terms of award of any contract, subcontract, or grant—

“(1) where the estimated annual value of such contract, subcontract, or grant is greater than or equal to \$20,000,000; or

“(2) if the awardee of such contract, subcontract, or grant has an average annual revenue greater than or equal to \$1,000,000,000.

“(b) **DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENTS.**—An awardee of a contract, subcontract, or grant described in subsection (a) shall, on an annual basis, disclose on Schedule V veteran employment and retention date, including—

“(1) the number of veterans hired annually for the previous three years;

“(2) the number of those veterans retained 12 and 24 months after initial hire date; and

“(3) a summary of the awardee’s veteran retention initiatives, if any.

“(c) **SUBCONTRACTING AND INDIRECT VENDORS.**—The Secretary shall ensure Schedule V requirements apply, to the greatest extent practicable, to an indirect supplier or subcontractor of an awardee, where such supplier or subcontractor is performing a work under the awardee’s contract, subcontract, or grant with estimated annual value greater than or equal to \$20,000,000 or with an average annual revenue greater than or equal to \$1,000,000,000.

“(d) **PUBLIC ACCESSIBILITY.**—The disclosures required under subsection (c) shall be submitted to the relevant contracting officer or grant officer and made publicly available through the Federal Procurement Data System or another centralized Government database designated by the Secretary.

“(e) **IMPLEMENTATION.**—The Secretary shall issue regulations to implement this section not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this section. These regulations may include exemptions for small business concerns (as defined under section 3 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632)) and flexibilities for pilot implementation of the requirements of this section.”.

259. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE SCOTT
OF GEORGIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle B of title IV, add the following new section:

**SEC. 4_. REMOVAL OF END STRENGTH LIMITATION FOR NATIONAL GUARD
SUPPORT FOR COUNTERDRUG ACTIVITIES.**

Section 112 of title 32, United States Code, is amended—

- (1) by striking subsection (e); and
- (2) by redesignating subsections (f) and (g) as subsections (g) and (h), respectively.

260. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE SCOTT
OF GEORGIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

Page 278, after line 11, insert the following new section:

SEC. 5. CONTINUATION IN OFFICE OF VICE CHIEF OF THE NATIONAL GUARD
BUREAU WHEN NECESSARY TO ENSURE LEADERSHIP CONTINUITY.

(a) EXTENSION OF TERM WHEN POSITION OF CHIEF OF THE
NATIONAL GUARD BUREAU IS VACANT.—Section 10505(a)(3) of title 10,
United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A), by striking “subparagraph (B)” and
inserting “subparagraphs (B) and (C)”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(C) If upon the date on which the term of the Vice Chief of the
National Guard Bureau would otherwise expire the position of Chief
of the National Guard Bureau is vacant and a replacement for the
position of Vice Chief of the National Guard Bureau has not been
confirmed by the Senate, the term of the Vice Chief of the National
Guard Bureau shall be extended until the date on which the Senate
has confirmed a nominee for either position.”.

(b) INCLUSION AS A MEMBER OF THE JOINT REQUIREMENTS
OVERSIGHT COUNCIL.—Section 181 of such title is amended—

(1) in subsection (c)(1), by adding at the end the following new
subparagraph:

“(G) The Vice Chief of the National Guard Bureau.”; and

(2) in subsection (d), by striking paragraph (4).

261. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE SCOTT
OF GEORGIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of title IX, add the following:

**SEC. 9_. COAST GUARD INPUT TO THE JOINT REQUIREMENTS OVERSIGHT
COUNCIL.**

Section 181(d) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(6) INPUT FROM COMMANDANT OF COAST GUARD.—The Council shall seek, and strongly consider, the views of the Commandant of the Coast Guard regarding Coast Guard capabilities in support of national defense.”.

262. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE SCOTT
OF GEORGIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle D of title X, add the following new section:

SEC. 10__ . AUTHORITY TO MAINTAIN DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY LIBRARY.

Chapter 803 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

“§8030.Department of the Navy: library

“(a) **AUTHORITY.**—The Secretary of the Navy may maintain in the Department of the Navy a library as a centralized institution dedicated to preserving, curating, and providing access to historical records, technical documents, and educational resources pertinent to the mission and heritage of the Department of the Navy.

“(b) **MISSION.**—The mission of a library maintained under this section shall include the following:

“(1) Collecting and preserving naval historical records, manuscripts, artifacts, and publications.

“(2) Supporting research, education, and training for historians, the general public, and personnel of the Department of the Navy.

“(3) Enhancing the institutional knowledge and operational readiness of the Department of the Navy through access to technical, strategic, operational, and doctrinal resources.

“(4) Promoting public understanding of the contributions of the Navy and Marine Corps to national defense and maritime history.

“(5) Supporting such other missions, functions, activities, and requirements of the Department of the Navy as the Secretary of the Navy considers appropriate.”.

263. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE SCOTT
OF GEORGIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle B of title X, insert the following new section:

SEC. 10__. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING NAMING AN AIRCRAFT CARRIER
AFTER THE UNITED STATES.

(a) **FINDINGS.**—Congress finds the following:

(1) The first USS United States was one of the original six frigates authorized by the Naval Act of 1794, launched in 1797. It saw action in the Quasi-War with France and the War of 1812.

(2) In addition to the frigate, there was a proposed Civil War ironclad that never got built, and the aircraft carrier USS United States (CVA-58) was canceled in 1949.

(b) **SENSE OF CONGRESS.**—It is the sense of Congress that the Secretary of the Navy should name an aircraft carrier USS United States.

264. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE SCOTT
OF GEORGIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of title IX, add the following:

**SEC. 9_. ELIGIBILITY OF CHIEF OF THE NATIONAL GUARD BUREAU FOR
APPOINTMENT AS CHAIRMAN OF THE JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF.**

Section 152(b)(1)(B) of title 10, United States Code, as amended by section 902 of this title, is further amended by striking “or the Commandant of the Coast Guard” and inserting “the Commandant of the Coast Guard, or the Chief of the National Guard Bureau”.

265. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE SCOTT
OF GEORGIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle B of title VI, add the following new section:

SEC. 6. PROHIBITION ON CHARGING MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES FOR MEALS WHILE SERVING ON NAVAL VESSELS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 402 of title 37, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsection (i) as subsection (j); and

(2) by inserting after subsection (h) the following new subsection:

“(j) **PROHIBITION ON CHARGING MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES FOR MEALS WHILE SERVING ON NAVAL VESSELS.**—A member of the armed forces assigned to duty on a naval vessel of the United States shall not be required to pay any charge for meals provided to the member while the vessel is underway or deployed from the homeport of the vessel.”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by subsection (a) shall take effect on October 1, 2026, and apply to meals provided to a member of the Armed Forces on or after that date.

266. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE SCOTT
OF GEORGIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

Add at the end of subtitle G of title XXVIII, the following new section:

**SEC. 28_. REPORT ON BIOLOGICAL READINESS OF MILITARY INSTALLATIONS
UNDER THE JURISDICTION OF THE SECRETARY OF THE ARMY.**

(a) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—Not later than March 31, 2027, the commanding officer of the United States Army Installation Management Command shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the readiness of military installations under the jurisdiction of the Secretary of the Army to detect, respond to, mitigate, and sustain operations during biological threat conditions.

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—The report shall include—

(1) an assessment of current biological detection, warning, mitigation, emergency response, and continuity-of-operations capabilities at military installations under the jurisdiction of the Secretary of the Army;

(2) an assessment of the extent to which biological resilience has been incorporated into installation readiness, force protection, emergency management, continuity-of-operations, and facility operations plans;

(3) an assessment of capability gaps, resource constraints, policy barriers, and acquisition challenges affecting installation biological readiness;

(4) an assessment of opportunities to employ commercial and other mature technologies, including air monitoring, filtration, treatment, detection, and facility-level warning systems, to improve biological security and resilience; and

(5) a five-year implementation and budget plan for improving biological readiness across military installations under the jurisdiction of the Secretary of the Army, including consideration of ASHRAE Standard 241 titled “Control of Infectious Aerosols” and related commercial best practices.

(c) **FORM.**—The report shall be submitted in both classified and unclassified forms.

267. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE SCOTT
OF GEORGIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

In title XV, subtitle A, add at the end the following new section:

SEC. 15__. **REPORT ON INTEGRATION OF COMMERCIAL CYBER CAPABILITIES
INTO THE JOINT CYBER WARFIGHTING ARCHITECTURE.**

(a) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—Not later than March 1, 2027, the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Cyber Policy, in coordination with the Commander of the United States Cyber Command, shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the integration of commercial cyber capabilities into the Joint Cyber Warfighting Architecture.

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—The report required under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) A description of actions taken by United States Cyber Command to incorporate commercial technologies and capabilities into operational cyber activities.

(2) An assessment of the role of commercially developed capabilities, including the PATHFINDER initiative, in supporting targeting, access, operational agility, and other offensive cyber mission requirements.

(3) An assessment of how commercially developed capabilities may complement, enhance, or be integrated into the Joint Cyber Warfighting Architecture.

(4) A description of any challenges, limitations, or barriers to the integration of commercial cyber capabilities into operational cyber architectures and activities.

(5) A detailed accounting of planned funding for commercially developed cyber capabilities, including capabilities associated with the PATHFINDER initiative, across the future-years defense program, identified by appropriation account, budget activity, program element, and, where applicable, project line.

(6) Any recommendations for improving the integration and operational employment of commercially developed cyber capabilities within the Department of Defense.

268. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE SCOTT
OF GEORGIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle D of title XVI, add the following new section:

SEC. 16__. **REPORT ON MODERNIZATION OF THE AEGIS ASHORE MISSILE
DEFENSE SITE AT DEVESSELU, ROMANIA.**

(a) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Commander of the United States European Command shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on options to modernize the missile defense capabilities of the Aegis Ashore site located in Deveselu, Romania.

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—The report under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) An assessment of requirements to improve the capability of the site to defend against advanced ballistic missile threats.

(2) An assessment of requirements to improve the capability of the site to defend against maneuvering and hypersonic missile threats.

(3) An assessment of options for integrating counter-unmanned aircraft systems capabilities.

(4) An assessment of options for advanced sensing, tracking, and command-and-control capabilities.

(5) An assessment of force protection requirements and enhancement options for the site.

(6) An assessment of opportunities to improve integration with regional air and missile defense architectures.

(7) An assessment of opportunities for greater cooperation with Romania relating to infrastructure, logistics, force protection, training, sustainment, and other host-nation support requirements associated with the continued operation and modernization of the site.

(8) An assessment of estimated costs, implementation timelines, and operational benefits associated with any modernization option identified in the report.

(9) Any other matter the Secretary of Defense determines appropriate to improve the effectiveness, survivability, readiness, or interoperability of the Aegis Ashore site.

269. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE SCOTT
OF GEORGIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle D of title V, add the following new section:

SEC. 5. JOINT SPECIAL OPERATIONS UNIVERSITY.

Chapter 108 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 2165 the following new section:

“§2166. Joint Special Operations University

“(a) **ESTABLISHMENT.**—There is a Joint Special Operations University in the Department of Defense.

“(b) **FUNCTIONS.**—The Joint Special Operations University shall—

“(1) provide relevant joint special operation-peculiar education programs that strengthen the impact of special operations on the armed forces and the United States;

“(2) provide joint special operation-peculiar staff education and development programs tailored to the needs of joint special operations forces headquarters and commanders;

“(3) provide education and academic enabling programs required for special operations mission and activities for which no solution exists in an armed force to address critical special operations knowledge gaps;

“(4) provide accredited graduate-level programs, professional military education, and specialized short courses for special operations forces of the armed forces and associated personnel;

“(5) conduct and coordinate research and analysis on the full range of special operations activities to inform Department of Defense policy and strategy;

“(6) serve as a hub for collaboration with academic institutions, allied countries, and interagency partners to advance special operations capabilities;

“(7) provide outreach and education to enhance understanding of special operations roles within the Department of Defense and the Federal Government; and

“(8) provide input to service and joint professional military education institutions to ensure special operations capabilities and activities are incorporated into curricula and courses.

“(c) **ADMINISTRATION.**—(1) Subject to the authority, direction, and control of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Special Operations and Low-Intensity Conflict, the Commander of United States Special Operations Command shall oversee the Joint Special Operations University.

“(2) The Commander of United States Special Operations Command shall appoint a President of the Joint Special Operations University, who shall be a senior officer of the armed forces or a civilian with expertise in special operations and education, to manage the operations and academic programs of the Joint Special Operations University.”.

270. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE SCOTT
OF GEORGIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle E of title X, add the following new section:

SEC. 10 . NAVAL CONSTRUCTION FORCE READINESS AND CONTESTED LOGISTICS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Chapter 807 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting at the end the following:

“§ 8064. Naval construction force prepositioned equipment and readiness requirements

“(a) **REQUIREMENT.**—The Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff shall provide a Report to Congress on Combatant Commander requirements for Naval Construction Force to support contested logistics and expeditionary infrastructure requirements.

“(b) **ELEMENTS.**—The requirement under subsection (a) shall include the following:

“(1) Identification of mission requirements by combatant command.

“(2) Current and future force structure and capability mix.

“(3) Integration with joint logistics, theater opening, and expeditionary basing operations.

“(4) Infrastructure and equipment requirements.

“(5) Identified capability gaps.

“§ 8065. Naval construction force equipment and personnel readiness requirements

“(a) **REQUIREMENT.**—Not later than March 1, 2027, the Secretary of the Navy shall provide a brief/report to Congress on Naval Construction Force support to combatant commanders, to include:

“(1) Theater-aligned, prepositioned expeditionary construction equipment sets to enable the rapid employment of the Naval Construction Force in support of combatant command operational requirements.

“(2) A comprehensive baseline and recapitalization plan for Civil Engineer Support Equipment and other mission-essential equipment of the Naval Construction Force.

“(3) An assessment of the mobilization readiness of the reserve component of the Naval Construction Force.

“(b) **ELEMENTS.**—The requirement under subsection (a) shall include the following:

“(1) Identification of priority geographic locations for such equipment.

“(2) Equipment configurations necessary to support—

“(A) expeditionary airfield damage repair;

“(B) austere port and waterfront repair;

“(C) fuel, water, and power generation systems; and

“(D) expeditionary basing and logistics node establishment.

“(3) Defined readiness standards, including equipment availability, serviceability, and deployment timelines.

“(4) Integration with strategic mobility and sealift requirements.

“(5) Identification of capability gaps and associated resource requirements.

“(6) Recapitalization and Readiness Metrics—

“(A) inventory age, condition, and service life data;

“(B) mission-capable rates and readiness trends;

“(C) identification of high-risk equipment categories affecting readiness;

“(D) a prioritized recapitalization schedule with cost estimates;
and

“(E) identification of supply chain and sustainment risks.

“(7) Reserve Component Mobilization Readiness elements—

“(A) time required to achieve mission-ready status upon mobilization;

“(B) availability of equipment upon activation;

“(C) training equivalency between active and reserve units;

“(D) reliance on cross-leveling of personnel and equipment; and

“(E) barriers to rapid deployment.

“(8) A plan to reduce mobilization timelines and improve readiness.

“(c) ANNUAL UPDATES.—The Secretary of the Navy shall submit an annual update to the congressional defense committees on—

“(1) the requirements under subsection (a);

“(2) specific shortfalls and associated funding requirements; and

“(3) alignment with Combatant Commander Naval Construction Force requirements.”.

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of contents for chapter 807 of such title is amended by inserting at the end the following new items:

“8064. Naval construction force prepositioned equipment and readiness requirements.

“8065. Naval construction force equipment and personnel readiness requirements.”.

271. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE SCOTT
OF GEORGIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle B of title X, add the following new section:

SEC. __. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON NAMING A FUTURE NAVAL VESSEL USS
SHANGRI-LA.

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:

(1) The April 18, 1942, Doolittle Raid against the Japanese homeland was one of the most daring and consequential operations of World War II, demonstrating American resolve during a critical period of the war.

(2) Following the raid, President Franklin D. Roosevelt, when asked where the aircraft had originated, famously replied that they had come from “Shangri-La”, a reference that helped protect operational security and became permanently associated with the raid and its participants.

(3) The Secretary of the Navy subsequently named the aircraft carrier USS Shangri-La (CV-38), which served with distinction during World War II, the Cold War, and the Vietnam War.

(4) The legacy of the Doolittle Raiders, USS Shangri-La (CV-38), and the generations of sailors and aviators who served aboard that vessel represents an enduring example of courage, innovation, and determination in the defense of the United States.

(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that the Secretary of the Navy should give favorable consideration to naming a future naval vessel USS Shangri-La in honor of the Doolittle Raiders and the proud service of USS Shangri-La (CV-38).

272. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE SCOTT
OF GEORGIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle D of title XII, insert the following:

SEC. 12__. UNITED STATES-POLAND SPECIAL OPERATIONS COOPERATION
PROGRAM.

(a) **ESTABLISHMENT.**—The Secretary of Defense shall establish a United States-Poland Special Operations Cooperation Program.

(b) **PURPOSE.**—The purpose of the Program shall be to enhance cooperation between the special operations forces of the United States and the Republic of Poland through the development, integration, and exchange of knowledge, doctrine, training, and operational lessons related to—

- (1) special operations;
- (2) irregular warfare;
- (3) unconventional warfare;
- (4) support to territorial defense forces;
- (5) countering hybrid warfare activities;
- (6) operations in contested environments; and
- (7) lessons learned from contemporary armed conflicts relevant to such activities.

(c) **ACTIVITIES.**—The Program may include the following activities:

- (1) Development and dissemination of doctrine, concepts, tactics, techniques, and procedures.
- (2) Collection, analysis, and publication of operational lessons learned.
- (3) Joint training, seminars, workshops, and professional military education activities.
- (4) Operational experimentation and assessments of emerging technologies applicable to special operations missions.
- (5) Exchanges of military personnel, instructors, and subject matter experts.
- (6) Such other activities as the Secretary of Defense determines necessary to carry out the purposes of the Program.

273. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE SCOTT
OF GEORGIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle D of title XII, insert the following:

SEC. 12__. **REPORT ON MOLDOVA AIRSPACE DEFENSE REQUIREMENTS AND
OPTIONS.**

(a) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—Not later than March 1, 2027, the Commander of United States European Command shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on options to support the development of the territorial airspace awareness and defensive capabilities of the Republic of Moldova using existing Department of Defense security cooperation authorities.

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—The report required under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) An assessment of the current air surveillance, counter-unmanned aircraft system, and short-range air defense capabilities of the Republic of Moldova, including identified capability gaps and operational shortfalls.

(2) An assessment of options to improve Moldova’s airspace awareness through radar coverage, sensor networks, communications infrastructure, and command-and-control capabilities.

(3) An assessment of training, personnel, sustainment, and institutional requirements associated with potential security cooperation activities to enhance Moldova’s airspace awareness and defensive capabilities.

(4) A description of feasible support activities that could be conducted under existing Department of Defense authorities, including section 333 of title 10, United States Code, military exercises, training activities, the State Partnership Program, and other applicable security cooperation programs.

(5) An assessment of opportunities for coordination with allies and partners to support Moldova’s airspace awareness and defensive capabilities.

(6) A description of any additional authorities the Secretary of Defense determines may be necessary to improve support for the development of Moldova’s airspace awareness and defensive capabilities.

(c) **FORM.**—The report required under subsection (a) shall be submitted in unclassified form but may include a classified annex.

274. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE SCOTT
OF GEORGIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle B of title I, add the following:

SEC. 1_. MULTIYEAR PROCUREMENT AUTHORITY FOR ARMORED MULTI-PURPOSE VEHICLES.

(a) **AUTHORITY FOR MULTIYEAR PROCUREMENT.**—Subject to section 3501 of title 10, United States Code, the Secretary of the Army may enter into one or more multiyear contracts, beginning with the fiscal year 2027 program year, for the procurement of armored multipurpose vehicles.

(b) **CONDITION FOR OUT-YEAR CONTRACT PAYMENTS.**—A contract entered into under subsection (a) shall provide that any obligation of the United States to make a payment under the contract for a fiscal year after fiscal year 2027 is subject to the availability of appropriations or funds for that purpose for such later fiscal year.

(c) **AUTHORITY FOR ADVANCE PROCUREMENT.**—The Secretary of the Army may enter into one or more contracts, beginning in fiscal year 2028, for advance procurement associated with the vehicles for which authorization to enter into a multiyear procurement contract is provided under subsection (a), which may include procurement of economic order quantities of material and equipment for such vehicles when cost savings are achievable.

275. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE SCOTT
OF GEORGIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle C of title I, add the following new section:

SEC. 1. MINIMUM INVENTORY OF P-8A POSEIDON AIRCRAFT.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary of the Navy shall ensure that the total number of P-8A Poseidon aircraft in the inventory of the Navy is not less than 136 aircraft.

(b) **AUTHORITY TO INCREASE PLANNED PROCUREMENT.**—Beginning on October 1, 2026, the Secretary of the Navy may increase the total planned procurement of P-8A Poseidon aircraft to beyond 136 aircraft.

(c) **LIMITATION.**—None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for the Department of Defense may be obligated or expended to retire, divest, transfer to a nonoperational status, place in storage, prepare for retirement, or otherwise reduce the total active inventory of P-8A Poseidon aircraft below the number specified in subsection (a).

(d) **WAIVER.**—The Secretary of Defense may waive the limitation under subsection (c) if the Secretary certifies to the congressional defense committees that—

(1) the reduction is required due to the loss of an aircraft through combat, accident, or other unforeseen circumstance; and

(2) the reduction will not materially degrade the ability of the Navy to conduct maritime patrol, antisubmarine warfare, antisurface warfare, intelligence, surveillance, reconnaissance, and fleet support missions.

276. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE SCOTT
OF GEORGIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle A of title XVII, add the following new section:

**SEC. 17_. EXTENSION OF SPECIAL EMERGENCY PROCUREMENT AUTHORITY TO
SPECIAL OPERATIONS PROCUREMENT.**

(a) **COVERAGE OF SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES.**—Section 1903 of title 41, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(d) **SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES PROCUREMENT.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The authorities provided in subsections (b) and (c) apply with respect to a procurement of property or services that are to be used for operations and activities of special operations forces.

“(2) **APPLICABILITY OF OVERSEAS THRESHOLDS.**—For the purposes of this section—

“(A) a contract to be awarded with respect to such a procurement shall be deemed to be awarded and performed outside of the United States; and

“(B) a purchase to be made under such a procurement shall be deemed to be made outside of the United States.

“(3) **DEFINITION.**—In this subsection, the term ‘special operations forces’ has the meaning given such term in section 167(j) of title 10.”

(b) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—Subsection (b) of such section is amended by inserting “or (d)” after “subsection (a)”.

277. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE SCOTT
OF GEORGIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle D of title I, add the following new section:

**SEC. 1_. LIMITATION ON RETIREMENT OF E-11 BATTLEFIELD AIRBORNE
COMMUNICATIONS NODE AIRCRAFT.**

None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2027 for the Department of the Air Force may be obligated or expended to retire, divest, place in storage, transfer to nonoperational status, or prepare for retirement any E-11 Battlefield Airborne Communications Node aircraft.

278. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE SELF
OF TEXAS OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle E of title XII, add the following new section:

**SEC. 12_. ANNUAL REPORT ON SPACE CAPABILITIES OF THE PEOPLE'S
REPUBLIC OF CHINA.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, and annually thereafter through the date that is three years after the date of submission of the first report under this subsection, the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Commerce shall jointly submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report on covered space capabilities of the People's Republic of China.

(b) **FORM.**—Each report under subsection (a) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

(c) **PUBLIC AVAILABILITY.**—Not later than the date on which the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Commerce submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report under subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Commerce shall jointly make the unclassified portion of the report publicly available on websites of the Department of Defense and the Department of Commerce.

(d) **CONTENTS.**—Each report under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) An inventory of covered space capabilities of the People's Republic of China, including a description, to the extent practicable, of capabilities of PRC-affiliated entities relating to the following:

(A) Launch systems, including space launch vehicles, launch sites, and launch support infrastructure.

(B) Satellites and spacecraft, including for—

(i) intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance;

(ii) navigation and positioning; and

(iii) communications.

(C) Space stations and human spaceflight capabilities.

(D) Ground systems, including command and control, tracking, telemetry, and data receiving and processing.

(2) An assessment of the readiness of the covered space capabilities described in paragraph (1), including an assessment of operational status, surge capacity, sustainment, resiliency, and the ability to support military and civil missions.

(3) An assessment of counterspace capabilities of the People's Republic of China, including capabilities of PRC-affiliated entities relating to the following:

(A) Kinetic and nonkinetic antisatellite capabilities.

(B) Electronic warfare, including jamming and spoofing.

(C) Cyber capabilities affecting space systems, ground systems, and associated networks.

(D) Rendezvous and proximity operations and other capabilities that could be used to interfere with or degrade the operation of spacecraft.

(4) An assessment of the space industrial base and space-related supply chains of the People's Republic of China, including significant dependencies, chokepoints, and constraints.

(5) An assessment of pathways by which the People's Republic of China and PRC-affiliated entities acquire space-related technology, expertise, components, and services, including through licit and illicit means.

(6) A description of material changes during the one-year period preceding the date of the report in the covered space capabilities, readiness, counterspace capabilities, industrial base, and technology acquisition pathways described in paragraphs (1) through (5).

(7) If the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Commerce include a classified annex under subsection (b), such annex shall include additional information the Secretaries determine appropriate, including information necessary to describe capabilities, readiness, or intent with greater specificity, consistent with the protection of sources and methods.

(e) CONSULTATION.—In preparing each report under subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Commerce shall consult with the Director of National Intelligence and the heads of other Federal departments and agencies the Secretaries determine appropriate.

(f) SUBMISSION OF CLASSIFIED ANNEX.—The Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Commerce shall submit any classified annex included with a report under subsection (b) only to the appropriate congressional committees, and shall submit such annex in accordance with applicable congressional and executive branch procedures for the handling of classified information.

(g) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) The term “appropriate congressional committees” means the following:

(A) The Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives.

(B) The Committee on Armed Services of the Senate.

(C) The Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives.

(D) The Committee on Appropriations of the Senate.

(E) The Committee on Science, Space, and Technology of the House of Representatives.

(F) The Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate.

(2) The term “control” means the power, directly or indirectly, whether exercised or exercisable, to determine, direct, or decide important matters affecting an entity.

(3) The term “covered space capabilities” means space-related capabilities, systems, infrastructure, or services, whether civil or military, relating to launch, satellites and spacecraft, space stations and human spaceflight, intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance, navigation and positioning, communications, or ground systems.

(4) The term “People’s Republic of China” includes the Government of the People’s Republic of China, the Chinese Communist Party, and the People’s Liberation Army.

(5) The term “PRC-affiliated entity” means any entity, wherever organized or operating, that—

(A) is organized under the laws of the People’s Republic of China, including the laws of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region or the Macao Special Administrative Region;

(B) is owned or controlled by one or more PRC persons, the Government of the People’s Republic of China, the Chinese Communist Party, or the People’s Liberation Army; or

(C) acts on behalf of, at the direction of, or for the benefit of the Government of the People’s Republic of China, the Chinese Communist Party, or the People’s Liberation Army.

(6) The term “PRC person” means—

(A) a citizen or national of the People’s Republic of China;

(B) an entity organized under the laws of the People’s Republic of China, including the laws of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region or the Macao Special Administrative Region; or

(C) an entity owned or controlled by a person described in subparagraph (A) or (B).

279. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
SESSIONS OF TEXAS OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

Page 806, after line 22, insert the following new subsection:

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1005 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2024 (Public Law 118–31; 137 Stat. 379; 10 U.S.C. 240a note) is amended by inserting “and for three consecutive fiscal years thereafter” after “2028”.

Page 806, line 23, strike “(a)” and insert “(b)”.

Page 807, line 5, strike “(b)” and insert “(c)”.

Page 807, line 6, strike “(a)” and insert “(b)”.

Page 807, line 11, strike the closing period and insert “, and for three consecutive fiscal years thereafter.”.

Page 808, line 5, strike “(c)” and insert “(d)”.

Page 808, line 6, strike “(a)” and insert “(b)”.

Page 808, line 9, strike “(d)” and insert “(e)”.

Page 808, line 10, strike “(a)” and insert “(b)”.

280. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE SEWELL OF ALABAMA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle XXVI, add the following new section:

SEC. 26. EXTENSION AND MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT FISCAL YEAR 2022 PROJECT AT SUMPTER SMITH AIR NATIONAL GUARD BASE, BIRMINGHAM, ALABAMA.

(a) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2022 (division B of Public Law 117-81; 135 Stat. 2161) the authorization set forth in the table in subsection (c), as provided in section 2604 of that Act (135 Stat. 2179), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2028, or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2029, whichever is later.

(b) MODIFICATION OF PROJECT AMOUNTS.—

(1) PROJECT AUTHORIZATION.—The authorization table in section 2604 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2022 (division B of Public Law 117-81; 135 Stat. 2179) is amended in the item relating to Sumpter Smith Air National Guard Base, Alabama, by striking the dollar amount and inserting “\$15,000,000”.

(2) FUNDING AUTHORIZATION.—The funding table in section 4601 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2022 is amended in the item relating to Sumpter Smith Air National Guard Base, Alabama—

(A) by striking the dollar amount and inserting “\$15,000,000”;

and

(B) by striking “security and”.

(c) TABLE.—The table referred to in subsection (a) is as follows:

Air National Guard: Extension of 2022 Project Authorization

State/Country	Installation or Location	Project	Original Authorized Amount
Alabama	Sumpter Smith Air National Guard Base	Services Training Facility	\$7,500,000

281. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
SHREVE OF INDIANA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

Add at the end of subtitle A of title XVI the following new section:

SEC. 16. GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM MODERNIZATION.

(a) **FINDINGS.**—Congress finds the following:

(1) The Global Positioning System (in this section referred to as “GPS”) is a critical national asset that underpins military operations, civilian infrastructure, and economic activities. While newer satellites are part of the constellation, the GPS constellation still depends on many aging satellites, 15 of which are operating well beyond their design life with limited signal technologies in the older satellites.

(2) Modernizing the GPS Enterprise is an essential national priority to ensure the continued reliability and security of the system in the face of growing threats and aging and delayed GPS infrastructure.

(3) Adversaries are interfering with, jamming, or spoofing GPS signals, posing risks to national defense and public safety. Commercial satellite positioning, navigation, and timing systems can augment and complement GPS operations globally. Terrestrial systems, which depend on ground-based transmitters, can provide signals to receivers within a localized area during disruption despite being more geographically limited.

(4) Rapidly transitioning to more resilient systems like GPS III F, to include monitoring and declaring the L5 signal as “healthy” can significantly reduce these vulnerabilities and enhance operational effectiveness. A transition plan accounting for both the satellites that transmit positioning, navigation, and timing signals and the ground control and monitoring systems that command and control the constellation and update timing and other data is necessary to avoid capability gaps and ensure continuity of essential services as legacy elements are phased out and new capabilities are fielded.

(5) Federal agency execution delays in updating GPS constellation and infrastructure has slowed progress toward modernization, and successfully executing Space Policy Directive–7 is necessary to align efforts across the government and accelerate the deployment of next-generation GPS capabilities.

(b) **SENSE OF CONGRESS.**—It is the sense of Congress that modernizing GPS is a national priority to safeguard military readiness, protect critical infrastructure, and maintain economic competitiveness and the as-yet unimplemented system required by section 312 of title 49, United States Code, remains no less crucial to the protection of United States security and prosperity than when it was mandated in 2018.

(c) **MODERNIZED GPS ENTERPRISE.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the President shall accelerate the transition to a modernized GPS Enterprise to enhance the resilience and security of GPS.

(2) **COMPONENTS.**—The acceleration of the transition to a modernized GPS Enterprise required by paragraph (1) shall include—

(A) a clear timeline for the development and deployment of a full constellation of 24 GPS III F satellites and associated ground control segment upgrades;

(B) a clear timeline for the upgrade of the control segment to meet the needs of the modernized constellation and to mitigate threats, along with a plan to maintain service while the upgrades progress;

(C) prioritization of the L5 signal for civilian and military applications to augment legacy frequencies and provide additional resilience;

(D) coordination with the Secretary of Defense, the Secretary of Transportation, and other heads of relevant departments and agencies of the Federal Government to expedite system upgrades;

(E) strategies developed in coordination with the Secretary of Transportation to further incentivize critical infrastructure assets to mitigate risks associated with GPS signal interference, jamming, and spoofing, including considering the integration of commercial satellite positioning, navigation, and timing systems; and

(F) strategies to accelerate the deployment of military GPS user equipment, Increments 1 and 2, and the integration of new receivers into weapons systems.

(d) REPORT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, and annually thereafter for a period not to exceed three years, the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of Transportation for commercial uses and with respect to any system required under section 312 of title 49, United States Code, and the protection of critical infrastructure, shall submit to the congressional defense committees, the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate, the Committee on Science, Space, and Technology of the House of Representatives, and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives a report that includes—

(A) a detailed schedule and assessment of progress made toward transitioning to the modernized GPS and positioning, navigation, and timing enterprise;

(B) an evaluation of interagency coordination efforts, including challenges in implementing system upgrades necessary for such modernization, except spectrum referenced in section 312(d) of title 49, United States Code, associated with LORAN infrastructure necessary for use in any system required in such section 312;

(C) a description of strategies to counter GPS military and civil signal interference, jamming, and spoofing, from state and nonstate actors along with their effectiveness;

(D) funding allocations, expenditures, and any additional resource needs for the Department of Defense and Department of Transportation to meet modernization objectives; and

(E) recommendations for legislative or administrative actions to further encourage critical infrastructure upgrades, modern commercial satellite positioning, navigation, and timing systems, and other efforts to enhance GPS security, reliability, and resilience.

(2) FORM.—The report required under paragraph (1) shall be submitted in an unclassified form, but may contain a classified annex.

(e) APPLICABILITY.—Nothing in this section with respect to the coordination of spectrum allocation applies to any spectrum referenced in section 312(d) of title 49, United States Code, associated with LORAN infrastructure necessary for use in any system required in section 312.

282. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
SHREVE OF INDIANA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

In title XVIII, subtitle A, add at the end the following new section:

**SEC. 18_. FEASIBILITY STUDY ON COMBATANT COMMAND CRITICAL MINERALS
MANAGEMENT.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary of Defense shall conduct a study assessing the feasibility of enabling commanders of unified combatant commands to independently identify, acquire, and dispose of critical minerals to enhance operational resilience and decentralized management of resources.

(b) **CONTENTS.**—The study required by subsection (a) shall include the following: —

(1) An assessment of the feasibility and effectiveness of providing commanders of unified combatant commands authority to independently identify, acquire, and dispose of critical minerals through contracts, cooperative agreements, or other mechanisms to address vulnerabilities in the supply chains for critical minerals.

(2) An assessment of how commanders of unified combatant commands could use the authority described in paragraph (1) to develop and implement plans for the decentralized management of resources for the areas of responsibility of the unified combatant command of the commander, including advantages, challenges, and scalability across the Department of Defense of such decentralized management of resources.

(3) An estimate of the costs associated with providing the authority described in paragraph (1) and potential sources of funds to pay for such costs, including amounts authorized for the Department of Defense or the Combatant Commander Initiative Fund under section 166a of title 10, United States Code, and amounts from the disposal of critical minerals under such authority.

(4) An identification of the risks to the security of the supply chain of critical minerals, operational readiness, and partnerships between the United States and other countries resulting from providing commanders of unified combatant commands the authority described in paragraph (1), and strategies to mitigate such risks.

(5) Specific recommendations on whether to provide the authority described in paragraph (1) under a pilot program or a permanent grant of authority and any necessary changes to law or regulation required to provide such authority in the manner recommended.

(c) **REPORT.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees and the Comptroller General of the United States a report on the findings of the study required by subsection (a).

(d) **EVALUATION.**—Not later than 180 days after the date on which the Secretary of Defense submits the report required under subsection (c) to the Comptroller General of the United States, the Comptroller General shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report assessing the findings and recommendations in the report submitted to the Comptroller General under such subsection, including an evaluation of the benefits, risks, and costs of providing commanders of unified combatant commands authority to independently identify, acquire, and dispose of critical minerals.

(e) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) The term “critical mineral” means a mineral designated by the Secretary of Defense as a critical mineral.

(2) The term “unified combatant command” has the meaning given such term in section 161 of title 10, United States Code.

283. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE SMITH
OF NEW JERSEY OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle E of title X, add the following new section:

SEC. 10__. REVIEW AND REPORT ON UAS ACTIVITY IN THE VICINITY OF
CRITICAL INSTALLATIONS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Inspector General of the Department of Defense shall conduct a review of potential foreign adversary connected unmanned aircraft system (UAS) activity over critical military installations, vessels, aircraft, and the homeland, and other matters.

(b) **REPORT.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Inspector General shall submit to the relevant committees a report on—

(1) UAS activity over military installations in the United States and abroad;

(2) UAS activity in close proximity to sensitive national security installations;

(3) instances of UAS activity in the vicinity of United States military and law enforcement vessels and aircraft;

(4) the process for deploying counter-UAS systems to assist State and local law enforcement;

(5) instances where the Department of Defense deployed counter-UAS systems to assist State and local law enforcement;

(6) instances where the Department of Defense received requests for counter-UAS assistance from Installation Commanders;

(7) whether the Department of Defense possess appropriate capabilities to supply all installations with counter-UAS technology;

(8) whether Installation Commanders possess the capability and authorities to address UAS incursions to installations and in the immediate vicinity of installations; and

(9) an analysis of near-peer actors who possess the capabilities to conduct such activities.

(c) **DEFINITION OF RELEVANT COMMITTEE.**—In this section, the term “relevant committees” means—

(1) the Committees on Armed Services of the House of Representatives and the Senate;

(2) the Committee on Homeland Security of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Homeland Security and Government Affairs of the Senate;

(3) the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate;

(4) the Committee on the Judiciary of the House of Representatives and the Committee on the Judiciary of the Senate;

(5) the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate;

(6) the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate; and

(7) the Committee on Oversight and Accountability of the House of Representatives.

(d) **FORM.**—The report required under subsection (b) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

284. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE SMITH
OF WASHINGTON OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

Page 279, after line 4, insert the following new section:

SEC. 5. CHANGE IN EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR OFFICERS: NOTIFICATION;
CONSULTATION.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Not less than 180 days before the Secretary of Defense changes any criterion used in the evaluation of an officer of a covered Armed Force, the Secretary shall—

(1) submit to the covered committees a written explanation of such proposed change; and

(2) consult, in conversation, with the covered committees regarding such proposed change.

(b) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) The term “covered Armed Force” means the Army, Navy, Marine Corps, Air Force, or Space Force.

(2) The term “covered committees” means the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives.

285. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE SOTO
OF FLORIDA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle F of title XVII, add the following new section:

**SEC. 18_. FUNDING FOR FAN-OUT WAFER LEVEL PACKAGING PROGRAM OF
INDUSTRIAL BASE ANALYSIS AND SUSTAINMENT PROGRAM.**

(a) **INCREASE.**—Notwithstanding the amounts set forth in the funding tables in division D, the amount authorized to be appropriated in section 201 for research, development, test, and evaluation, Defense-wide, line 233, as specified in the corresponding funding table in section 4201, is hereby increased by \$10,000,000 for the fan-out wafer level packaging program of the Industrial Base Analysis and Sustainment Program of the Department of Defense.

(b) **OFFSET.**—Notwithstanding the amounts set forth in the funding tables in division D, the amount authorized to be appropriated in section 301 for operation and maintenance Defense-wide, line 480, as specified in the corresponding funding table in section 4301, for the Office of the Secretary of Defense, is hereby reduced by \$10,000,000.

286. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE SOTO
OF FLORIDA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle A of title II, add the following new section:

**SEC. 2_. FUNDING FOR HIGH-HYPERSONIC DETONATION PROPULSION
RESEARCH AND FLIGHT DEMONSTRATIONS.**

(a) **INCREASE.**—Notwithstanding the amounts set forth in the funding tables in division D, the amount authorized to be appropriated in section 201 for research, development, test, and evaluation, Air Force, as specified in the corresponding funding table in section 4201, for Aerospace Systems Technologies (PE 0602203F), line 008, is hereby increased by \$5,000,000 for the acceleration of high-hypersonic detonation propulsion research and flight demonstrations.

(b) **OFFSET.**—Notwithstanding the amounts set forth in the funding tables in division D, the amount authorized to be appropriated in section 301 for operation and maintenance, Defense-wide, as specified in the corresponding funding table in section 4301, for administration and service-wide activities, Office of the Secretary of Defense, line 480, is hereby reduced by \$5,000,000.

287. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE SOTO
OF FLORIDA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle A of title II, add the following new section:

**SEC. 2_. INCREASE IN FUNDING FOR SENSITIVE COMPARTMENTED
INFORMATION FACILITIES.**

(a) **INCREASE.**—Notwithstanding the amounts set forth in the funding tables in division D, the amount authorized to be appropriated in section 201 for research, development, test, and evaluation, Air Force, as specified in the corresponding funding table in section 4201, for Future AF Capabilities Applied Research (PE 0602020F), line 003, is hereby increased by \$1,200,000 for Secure Research Facilities in fast-growing metropolitan areas located in proximity to aerospace industries and facilities.

(b) **OFFSET.**—Notwithstanding the amounts set forth in the funding tables in division D, the amount authorized to be appropriated in section 301 for operation and maintenance, Defense-wide, as specified in the corresponding funding table in section 4301, for administration and service-wide activities, Office of the Secretary of Defense, line 480, is hereby reduced by \$1,200,000.

288. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
STEFANIK OF NEW YORK OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle B of title II, add the following new section:

SEC. 2. DEVELOPMENT, TEST, EVALUATION, DEMONSTRATION, AND
TRANSITION TO PRODUCTION OF ALTERNATIVE LOW-COST WEAPON
SYSTEMS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense, acting through the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Sustainment and in coordination with Secretaries of the military departments and the Director of the Missile Defense Agency, shall develop, test, evaluate, demonstrate, and transition to production, as appropriate, a portfolio of alternative, affordable, low-cost weapon systems in each of the following categories:

- (1) Hypersonic strike systems.
- (2) Cruise missiles.
- (3) Shoulder-launched missiles.
- (4) Extended range munitions.
- (5) Mid-range integrated air and missile defense interceptors.
- (6) Exoatmospheric interceptors.
- (7) Short-range integrated air and missile defense interceptors.
- (8) Medium-range air-to-air missiles.
- (9) Autonomous systems, counter-unmanned systems, and loitering munitions.

(b) REQUIREMENTS.—In carrying out subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense shall—

(1) employ innovative acquisition strategies, including rapid prototyping, digital engineering, modular open-system architectures, commercial-off-the-shelf components where feasible, and other cost-reduction initiatives to achieve significantly lower unit costs than current baseline systems while maintaining operationally relevant performance;

(2) prioritize expendable designs optimized for attritable, high-volume employment, compatibility with existing launch platforms, logistics infrastructure, and command-and-control networks, and the ability to complement existing programs of record;

(3) ensure systems are designed to enable production at scale in significantly greater quantities than current program of record systems through the prioritization of manufacturing ease and speed;

(4) include technology maturation, risk reduction, testing, and transition planning to low-rate initial production not later than fiscal year 2030 for each category of weapon system specified in subsection (a);

(5) establish specific cost and performance targets through competitive analysis, market research, prototyping, and experimentation;

(6) maximize the use of commercial acquisition procedures, commercial solutions openings, other transaction authority, and other rapid acquisition authorities to increase participation by nontraditional defense contractors, commercial suppliers, and small businesses;

(7) treat autonomous systems described in subsection (a)(9) as consumable combat equipment, including for purposes of acquisition, budgeting, sustainment, inventory, training, fielding, expenditure, replenishment, repair, upgrade, and replacement, unless the Secretary of Defense, or a covered designee, determines in writing that such treatment is inappropriate based on cost, complexity, expected service

life, safety requirements, operational role, or replenishment requirements; and

(8) ensure that autonomous systems described in subsection (a)(9) are not subjected to acquisition, sustainment, inventory, training, or fielding requirements applicable to aircraft, vessels, or enduring major platforms solely on the basis that such systems operate in the air, on the surface of the sea, or undersea, unless the Secretary of Defense, or a covered designee, determines in writing that such requirements are necessary based on safety, operational, or legal requirements.

(c) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) The term “alternative low-cost” systems means weapon systems in the categories listed in subsection (a) that are designed to achieve unit procurement costs significantly lower than existing program of record systems while delivering the minimum requirements set forth by the Secretary.

(2) The term “autonomous systems” means unmanned, remotely operated, optionally unmanned, autonomous, or semiautonomous systems, including associated software, sensors, payloads, communications equipment, and mission autonomy capabilities, that operate in the air, on land, on or below the surface of the sea, or across multiple domains.

(3) The term “counter-unmanned systems” means systems designed to detect, track, and defeat unmanned platforms through kinetic, directed energy, electronic warfare, cyber, or other means.

(4) The term “covered designee” means the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Sustainment, the Under Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering, the Secretary of a military department, or a service acquisition executive.

(5) The term “cruise missiles” means turbojet-powered missiles designed for standoff precision strikes against land or sea targets at ranges generally exceeding 250 nautical miles, with simplified guidance, propulsion, and airframe designs.

(6) The term “exoatmospheric interceptors” means kinetic hit-to-kill interceptors designed for ballistic missile defense in the exoatmosphere, with engagement ranges generally exceeding 300 nautical miles (or equivalent altitudes above 50 nautical miles) and closing speeds of not less than Mach 8.

(7) The term “extended range munitions” means ground-launched precision munitions designed for extended standoff strikes at ranges generally exceeding 50 nautical miles.

(8) The term “hypersonic strike systems” means systems capable of Mach 5 or greater flight with maneuverability, designed for long-range precision strikes against time-sensitive, defended, or high-value targets at ranges generally exceeding 400 nautical miles.

(9) The term “loitering munitions” means expendable unmanned aerial systems designed to loiter in a target area and deliver kinetic effects against surface targets, capable of autonomous or semiautonomous terminal guidance.

(10) The term “medium-range air-to-air missiles” means guided missiles providing beyond-visual-range air-to-air intercept capability with diverse target-set engagement potential, performing intercepts at not less than 60 nautical miles and with a maximum speed of not less than Mach 3.

(11) The term “mid-range integrated air and missile defense interceptors” means interceptors optimized for integrated air and missile defense against cruise missiles, aircraft, and short- to medium-range ballistic missiles, with engagement ranges of not less than 30 nautical miles and speeds of not less than Mach 3.5.

(12) The term “short-range integrated air and missile defense interceptors” means interceptors for terminal defense against aircraft, cruise missiles, and unmanned aerial systems, with engagement ranges

of not less than five nautical miles and maximum speeds of not less than Mach 2.

(13) The term “shoulder-launched missiles” means man-portable or vehicle-launched missiles providing fire-and-forget or command-guided antiarmor capability at ranges of not less than one nautical mile.

289. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
STEUBE OF FLORIDA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

In title XVI, subtitle B, add at the end the following new section:

**SEC. 16__OPEN-SOURCE INTELLIGENCE INTEGRATION INTO DEPARTMENT OF
DEFENSE COLLECTION MANAGEMENT.**

(a) **RECOGNITION AND INTEGRATION OF OPEN-SOURCE
INTELLIGENCE.**—The Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence and
Security shall—

(1) ensure that the Department of Defense recognizes open-source
intelligence as a source of intelligence collection;

(2) integrate open-source intelligence into the processes of the
Department for intelligence collection management, including processes
for requirements development, prioritization, and tasking;

(3) maintain procedures for identifying and validating intelligence
requirements that may be satisfied through open-source intelligence;

(4) maintain guidance for tasking, coordination, and deconfliction of
open-source intelligence collection activities across the Department;

(5) identify roles and responsibilities for elements of the Department
conducting open-source intelligence collection, including the Defense
Intelligence Agency, the military departments, and the combatant
commands; and

(6) maintain alignment of open-source intelligence collection
activities with policies of the Department and of the intelligence
community for collection of publicly available information and
commercially available information.

(b) **INTEGRATION WITH COLLECTION MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS.**—The
Under Secretary shall ensure that open-source intelligence collection is
incorporated into the Department's intelligence collection management
systems, including systems used to manage requirements, tasking, and
coordination across intelligence disciplines.

(c) **TRACKING AND ACCOUNTABILITY MECHANISMS.**—The Under
Secretary shall ensure that open-source intelligence collection activities are
incorporated into and trackable within the processes of the Department for
collection management and shall establish mechanisms to track
requirements, tasking, and performance of such activities.

(d) **INSTRUCTION.**—The Under Secretary shall ensure that Department of
Defense Instruction 3325.08 (relating to intelligence collection management),
or any successor instruction, incorporates the requirements of this section.

(e) **IMPLEMENTATION.**—This section shall be implemented not later than
180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

290. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
STEUBE OF FLORIDA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle D of title X, add the following new section:

SEC. 10_. CEREMONIAL HORSES ADOPTION PROGRAM OF THE ARMY.

Section 2583(c) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “A military animal” and inserting,
“Except as provided in paragraph (3), a military animal”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(3) If the Secretary of the Army determines that an adoption or transfer of a horse used in any ceremonial horse program of the Army is justified under subsection (a), the Secretary shall follow the recommended priority order in paragraph (1) except that the Secretary shall give first priority to making the horse available for transfer to a State, local, municipal, or Tribal law enforcement agency capable of humanely caring for the horse (including by demonstrating the capability to adequately care, house, and train the horse).”.

291. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
TENNEY OF NEW YORK OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle B of title VIII, insert the following new section:

**SEC. 8__. AMENDMENT TO REQUIREMENT FOR DOMESTIC STAINLESS STEEL
FLATWARE AND DINNERWARE.**

Section 842 of the Servicemember Quality of Life Improvement and National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2025 (Public Law 118–159; 10 U.S.C. 4862 note) is amended by striking subsection (c).

292. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE THOMPSON OF PENNSYLVANIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle A of title II, add the following new section:

SEC. 2_. FUNDING FOR ADVANCED NAVAL CASTING FOR HARDWARE AND OPERATIONAL REPAIRS.

(a) **INCREASE.**—Notwithstanding the amounts set forth in the funding tables in division D, the amount authorized to be appropriated in section 201 for research, development, test, and evaluation, Navy, as specified in the corresponding funding table in section 4201, for Ship Concept Advanced Design (PE 0603563N), line 044, is hereby increased by \$16,000,000 for Advanced Naval Casting for Hardware and Operational Repairs.

(b) **OFFSET.**—Notwithstanding the amounts set forth in the funding tables in division D, the amount authorized to be appropriated in section 301 for operation and maintenance, Navy, as specified in the corresponding funding table in section 4301, for Administration, line 410, is hereby reduced by \$16,000,000.

293. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
THOMPSON OF PENNSYLVANIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE
FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle A of title II, add the following new section:

SEC. 2__. **FUNDING FOR ACCELERATING THE ADOPTION OF NAVAL AVIATION
ADVANCED MANUFACTURING PROCESSES.**

(a) **INCREASE.**—Notwithstanding the amounts set forth in the funding tables in division D, the amount authorized to be appropriated in section 201 for research, development, test, and evaluation, Navy, as specified in the corresponding funding table in section 4201, for Navy Advanced Manufacturing (PE 0604286N), line 084, is hereby increased by \$15,000,000 for Accelerating the Adoption of Naval Aviation Advanced Manufacturing Processes.

(b) **OFFSETS.**—

(1) Notwithstanding the amounts set forth in the funding tables in division D, the amount authorized to be appropriated in section 201 for research, development, test, and evaluation, Defense-wide, as specified in the corresponding funding table in section 4201, for Cyber Operations Technology Support, line 293, is hereby reduced by \$5,000,000.

(2) Notwithstanding the amounts set forth in the funding tables in division D, the amount authorized to be appropriated in section 201 for research, development, test, and evaluation, Navy, as specified in the corresponding funding table in section 4201, for Marine Corps Ground Combat/Support System, line 057, is hereby reduced by \$10,000,000.

294. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
THOMPSON OF PENNSYLVANIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE
FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle A of title II, add the following new section:

SEC. 2_. FUNDING FOR AIR FORCE MATERIALS RESEARCH.

(a) **INCREASE.**—Notwithstanding the amounts set forth in the funding tables in division D, the amount authorized to be appropriated in section 201 for research, development, test, and evaluation, Air Force, as specified in the corresponding funding table in section 4201, for applied research, materials (PE 0602102F), line 005, is hereby increased by \$6,400,000 to secure U.S. silicon carbide for national security and critical technologies.

(b) **OFFSET.**—Notwithstanding the amounts set forth in the funding tables in division D, the amount authorized to be appropriated in section 301 for operation and maintenance, Air Force, as specified in the corresponding funding table in section 4301, for other servicewide activities, line 410, is hereby reduced by \$6,400,000.

295. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
THOMPSON OF PENNSYLVANIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE
FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle A of title II, add the following new section:

**SEC. 2_. FUNDING FOR UNIVERSITY INNOVATIVE MATERIALS PROCESSING
MODERNIZATION RESEARCH.**

(a) **INCREASE.**—Notwithstanding the amounts set forth in the funding tables in division D, the amount authorized to be appropriated in section 201 for research development, test, and evaluation, Defense-wide, as specified in the corresponding funding table in section 4201, for Defense-wide manufacturing science and technology program (PE 0603680D8Z), line 062, is hereby increased by \$5,000,000 for university innovative materials processing modernization research.

(b) **OFFSET.**—Notwithstanding the amounts set forth in the funding tables in division D, the amount authorized to be appropriated in section 301 for operation and maintenance, Defense-wide, as specified in the corresponding funding table in section 4301, for Washington Headquarters Services, line 510, is hereby reduced by \$5,000,000.

296. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
THOMPSON OF PENNSYLVANIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE
FOR 10 MINUTES

In title XV, add at the end the following new section:

SEC. 15_. REPORT ON THREAT OF CYBER ATTACKS ON UNIVERSITY-
AFFILIATED RESEARCH CENTERS.

The Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report on the threat of cyber attacks, including cyber attacks carried out with the assistance of artificial intelligence, on university-affiliated research centers. The report shall include a plan for the Department of Defense to provide assistance to such centers against the threat of such attacks.

297. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
THOMPSON OF PENNSYLVANIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE
FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle B of title XVII, add the following new section:
SEC. 17_. PARTNERSHIP WITH SCOUTING AMERICA.

The Secretary of Defense shall maintain a partnership between the Department of Defense and Scouting America in the manner in which such partnership has historically been maintained.

298. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
TURNER OF OHIO OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle B of title VII, add the following new section:

SEC. 7_. IMPROVEMENT TO THE TRICARE COMPETITIVE PLANS
DEMONSTRATION PROJECT.

Section 707 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year
2024 (Public Law 118–31; 10 U.S.C. 1073a note) is amended—

- (1) by redesignating subsection (b) as subsection (c); and
- (2) by inserting after subsection (a) the following new subsection:

“(b) EXTENSION.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall seek to extend contracts
under the TRICARE Competitive Plans Demonstration Project to carry
out the project until the date on which the next generation of TRICARE
managed support contracts are fully awarded, transitioned, and placed
into operational status.

“(2) CONSIDERATIONS.—In exercising the authority under
paragraph (1), the Secretary shall consider—

“(A) beneficiary health and quality of care; and

“(B) any disruption associated with changes in enrollment in the
TRICARE program.”.

299. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
TURNER OF OHIO OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle A of title II, add the following new section:

**SEC. 1. FUNDING FOR AUTONOMOUS HIGH-ALTITUDE LONG-ENDURANCE
AIRCRAFT AND PSEUDO-SATELLITES.**

(a) **INCREASE.**—Notwithstanding the amounts set forth in the funding tables in division D, the amount authorized to be appropriated in section 201 for research, development, test, and evaluation, Defense-wide, as specified in the corresponding funding table in section 4201, for the Rapid Prototyping Program (PE 604331D8Z), line 111, is hereby increased by \$5,000,000 for the development, prototyping, and demonstration of autonomous High-Altitude Long-Endurance Aircraft (HALE) and High-Altitude Pseudo-Satellites (HAPS) capable of providing resilient intelligence, surveillance, reconnaissance, communications, and sensing capabilities in contested environments.

(b) **OFFSET.**—Notwithstanding the amounts set forth in the funding tables in division D, the amount authorized to be appropriated in section 201 for research, development, test, and evaluation, Defense-wide, as specified in the corresponding funding table in section 4201, for JADC2 Development and Experimentation Activities (PE 0604122D8Z), line 169, is hereby reduced by \$5,000,000.

300. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE VAN
DUYNE OF TEXAS OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

Page 582, line 22, insert “, and long-term care” before “pharmacies”.

Page 583, line 6, strike “and specialty pharmacies” and insert “specialty,
and long-term care pharmacies”.

Page 583, beginning line 12, strike “and specialty pharmacies” and insert
“specialty, and long-term care pharmacies”.

E061226.020.xml

301. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE VAN
DUYNE OF TEXAS OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

Add at the end of title XI of division A the following:

SEC. 11_. EXTENSION OF POSTAGE STAMP FOR BREAST CANCER RESEARCH.

Section 414(h) of title 39, United States Code, is amended by striking
“2027” and inserting “2037”.

302. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE VAN
EPPS OF TENNESSEE OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle C of title II, add the following new section:

SEC. __. ANNUAL REPORT ON THE TRANSITION OF SUCCESSFUL PROTOTYPE PROJECTS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than the last day of each of fiscal years 2027 through 2031, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on the transition of successful prototype projects into procurement, follow-on production, or operational deployment.

(b) **ANNUAL REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.**—Each report required under subsection (a) shall include the following information:

(1) The average time between the successful completion of a prototype project and a determination regarding procurement, follow-on production, operational deployment, additional testing, or program termination.

(2) The number and percentage of successful prototype projects that transitioned into procurement, follow-on production, or programs of record during the preceding year.

(3) The number and percentage of successful prototype projects that failed to transition into procurement or production capabilities during the preceding year.

(4) Explanations for delays exceeding 365 days between prototype completion and procurement or production decisions.

(5) Data on prototype projects funded through the Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR) Program, Other Transaction Authority (OTA) agreements, the Defense Innovation Unit (DIU), and other defense innovation and prototyping initiatives.

(6) Data broken down by military department, defense agency, and technology category, including artificial intelligence, autonomy, cyber capabilities, microelectronics, advanced manufacturing, and other critical emerging technologies.

(c) **ONE-TIME REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.**—In addition to the information required under subsection (b), the final report required under subsection (a) shall include the following information:

(1) An identification of acquisition, contracting, budgeting, testing, or requirements-related bottlenecks that delay the transition of innovative technologies into operational capability.

(2) Recommendations to improve procurement efficiency and accelerate transition timelines for prototype projects.

(3) An assessment of barriers faced by small businesses and nontraditional defense contractors participating in defense innovation programs, including challenges related to financing, cybersecurity compliance, intellectual property protection, and access to follow-on procurement opportunities.

(d) **SUCCESSFUL PROTOTYPE PROJECT DEFINED.**—In this section, the term “successful prototype project” means a prototype project that achieved the operational, technical, or mission requirements identified by the sponsoring military department or defense agency.

(e) **FORM OF REPORT.**—Each report required under subsection (a) shall be submitted in unclassified form but may include a classified annex.

303. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE VAN
EPPS OF TENNESSEE OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

Page 1337, after line 13, insert the following:

SEC. 18_. INCREASED MAXIMUM PRODUCTION CAPACITY PLANS FOR KEY
MUNITIONS AND WEAPONS SYSTEMS.

(a) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on increased maximum production capacity for each covered system.

(b) CONTENTS.—The report under subsection (a) shall include, for each covered system, the following:

(1) The current maximum production level and the maximum production level that could be established in the following fiscal year.

(2) A description of the specific steps required to increase maximum production capacity, including capital equipment acquisitions, facility modifications, workforce expansion, and supply chain development.

(3) An identification of the leading challenges and bottlenecks that would constrain production increases, including single-source supplier dependencies, long-lead material shortfalls, workforce certification requirements, facility or tooling limitations, and critical subcontractor and supplier dependencies necessary to achieve surge production capacity.

(4) The estimated timeline for achieving production at each of the following capacity levels relative to the current contract rate, together with the assumptions underlying each timeline estimate:

(A) 150 percent of the current production rate.

(B) 200 percent of the current production rate.

(C) 500 percent of the current production rate.

(5) The estimated cost to the Government of the actions necessary to achieve each capacity level identified under paragraph (4), expressed as —

(A) the estimated additional contract cost per covered system at each such level; and

(B) the estimated total capital and nonrecurring investment required.

(c) FORM.—The report under subsection (a) may be submitted in classified form.

(d) COVERED SYSTEM DEFINED.—In this section, the term “covered system” means—

(1) each munition or weapon system for which multiyear procurement authority is provided under this Act, including each system described in sections 1839 and 1840 of this Act; and

(2) any other system, as determined by the Secretary of Defense.

304. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE VAN
EPPS OF TENNESSEE OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle D of title III, add the following new section:

SEC. 3__. REPORT ON CERTAIN WATER AND WASTEWATER INFRASTRUCTURE
SYSTEMS AT MILITARY INSTALLATIONS.

(a) **REPORT.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report identifying any water and wastewater infrastructure system—

- (1) that is located at a military installation;
- (2) the age of which exceeds 60 years;
- (3) that the Secretary determines requires substantial deferred maintenance, or replacement, to maintain compliance with applicable environmental or public health requirements; and
- (4) the failure of which the Secretary determines—
 - (A) presents a significant risk to installation readiness, force health protection, continuity of operations, or mission execution; and
 - (B) would result in significant contingency operating costs.

(b) **MATTERS.**—The report under subsection (a) shall include the following:

- (1) For each system identified pursuant to such report, the following information:
 - (A) The age and condition of the system.
 - (B) The estimated cost to maintain, restore, modernize, or replace the system.
 - (C) The estimated contingency operating costs associated with the failure of the system.
 - (D) An assessment of the effect such failure would have with respect to installation readiness, force health protection, continuity of operations, and mission execution.
 - (E) An assessment of the effect such failure would have with respect to members of the Armed Forces, military families, and quality of life at the military installation, including such effects on access to safe drinking water, sanitation, housing, healthcare, and other essential services.
- (2) A prioritized ranking across the systems so identified, based on an assessment of the lifecycle condition of such systems, the operational risks, and effects on installation readiness, that would result from the failure of such systems, and the compliance requirements specified in subsection (a)(3) applicable to such systems.
- (3) Recommendations for addressing, through maintenance, restoration, modernization, or replacement activities, the systems ranked as the highest priority pursuant to paragraph (2).

305. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE VAN
ORDEN OF WISCONSIN OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle H of title V, add the following new section:

**SEC. 5_. DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE EDUCATION ACTIVITY EMERGENCY
RESPONSE MAPPING.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Chapter 108 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 2164d the following new section:

**“SEC. 2164e. PROCUREMENT OF EMERGENCY RESPONSE MAPS FOR SCHOOLS
OPERATED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE EDUCATION ACTIVITY.**

“(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary of Defense, acting through the Director of the Department of Defense Education Activity, shall prioritize the procurement of emergency response maps for Defense Dependent Schools to ensure, to the greatest extent practicable, compatibility with public safety systems in the event of an emergency.

“(b) **REQUIREMENTS.**—Maps procured under subsection (a) shall—

“(1) be—

“(A) in a digital file format accessible through a standard or open-source file reader or image viewer on a laptop computer, tablet computer, smartphone, or other mobile platform;

“(B) verified through on-site walkthrough inspections by the entity producing the mapping data;

“(C) developed in coordination with industry partners possessing demonstrated expertise in emergency response mapping for schools;

“(D) capable of being updated, printed, and shared electronically;

“(E) accessible without a subscription fee or other restriction;
and

“(F) verified for accuracy by a walkthrough inspection;

“(2) integrate with software used by Federal, State, and local public safety agencies;

“(3) not be stored in a data center outside of the United States;

“(4) display information oriented true north and on a coordinate grid;

and

“(5) depict any floor of such site or such portion through overlaid, aerial imagery.

“(c) **DEFENSE DEPENDENT SCHOOL DEFINED.**—In this section, the term ‘Defense Dependent School’ means—

“(1) a school operated under the Defense Dependents’ Education System, as authorized under the Defense Dependents Education Act of 1978 (20 U.S.C. 921 et seq.); or

“(2) a Department of Defense domestic dependent elementary and secondary school, as authorized under section 2164 of this title.”.

(b) **BRIEFING.**—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall provide to the congressional defense committees a briefing on the plan of the Department of Defense Education Activity for procuring emergency response maps under section 2164e of title 10, United States Code, as added by this section.

306. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
VASQUEZ OF NEW MEXICO OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle C of title XXVIII, add the following new section:

**SEC. 28_. EXPANSION OF PRIORITY LIST FOR THE PUBLIC SCHOOLS ON
MILITARY INSTALLATIONS PROGRAM.**

The Secretary of Defense shall revise the notice titled “Department of Defense Program for Construction, Renovation, Repair or Expansion of Public Schools Located on Military Installations” (76 Fed. Reg. 55883 et seq.; published September 9, 2011) to ensure that not fewer than 90 public schools included on the 2018 Public Schools on Military Installations (PSMI) Priority List (approved April 15, 2019) are eligible to submit requests for competitive grants awarded pursuant to such program.

307. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
VINDMAN OF VIRGINIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle C of title VII, add the following new section:

**SEC. 7_. REPORT ON EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES CAPABILITIES AT
MILITARY INSTALLATIONS.**

(a) **REPORT.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on emergency medical services capabilities at military installation.

(b) **MATTERS INCLUDED.**—The report under subsection (a) shall include an assessment of emergency medical services capabilities at military installations, including—

(1) the number and distribution of emergency medical services response units;

(2) ambulance capabilities and equipment levels;

(3) staffing levels and certification levels of emergency medical personnel;

(4) availability of advanced life support services;

(5) integration with military medical treatment facilities and local civilian hospitals; and

(6) an evaluation of systems used to track naloxone distribution, including—

(A) the degree of integration of such tracking systems between emergency medical services reporting systems and medical record systems;

(B) procedures for documenting naloxone administration during emergency responses; and

(C) recommendations for establishing a standardized Department-wide tracking framework.

308. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
WAGNER OF MISSOURI OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

In title XVI, subtitle B, add at the end the following new section:

SEC. 16_. OPEN-SOURCE INTELLIGENCE DEFINITIONS.

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:

(1) Publicly available information, including commercially available information, has become increasingly important to intelligence analysis, strategic warning, economic security, and understanding adversarial activities.

(2) Consistent governance, oversight, and safeguarding standards are necessary to support the lawful and effective use of publicly available information and commercially available information across the intelligence community.

(b) ESTABLISHMENT OF DEFINITIONS.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence and Security shall, in coordination with the Director of National Intelligence, establish definitions for the terms listed in subsection (c).

(c) TERMS.—The terms referred to in subsection (b) are—

- (1) open-source intelligence;
- (2) commercially available information; and
- (3) publicly available information.

(d) APPLICABILITY.—In establishing the definitions as required by subsection (b), the Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence and Security, in coordination with the Director of National Intelligence, shall ensure such definitions may be applicable across the Department of Defense and the intelligence community.

(e) BRIEFING REQUIRED.—Not later than 30 days after the date of the establishment of the definitions required by subsection (b), the Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence and Security shall provide to the congressional defense committees and the congressional intelligence committees a briefing on the rationale behind such definitions.

(f) DEFINITIONS.—In this section, the terms “congressional intelligence committees” and “intelligence community” have the meanings given those terms in section 3 of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3003).

309. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
WALKINSHAW OF VIRGINIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR
10 MINUTES

In title XV, subtitle A, add at the end the following:

**SEC. 15_. DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CLOUD SECURITY AUTHORIZATION
RECIPROCITY PILOT PROGRAM.**

(a) **ESTABLISHMENT.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Chief Information Officer of the Department of Defense shall, in consultation with the Director of the Defense Information Systems Agency, establish a pilot program on cloud security authorization reciprocity to assess the feasibility and advisability of creating a reciprocal compliance baseline between the Federal Risk and Authorization Management Program (“FedRAMP”) for civilian agency networks and the Cloud Computing Security Requirements Guide (“CC SRG”) of the Department of Defense for Department of Defense networks and national security systems.

(b) **SELECTION OF SOLUTIONS.**—Under the pilot program required by subsection (a), the Chief Information Officer shall select a minimum of five cybersecurity solutions authorized at the FedRAMP high impact level and perform an accelerated assessment to validate them for CC SRG impact level 5.

(c) **REPORT TO CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than one year after the date of the establishment of the pilot program required by subsection (a), the Chief Information Officer shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the findings of the Chief Information Officer with respect to the pilot program.

(2) **CONTENTS.**—The report submitted under paragraph (1) shall cover the following:

(A) The findings described in paragraph (1), including a detailed analysis of benefits, risks, and any specific security control gaps.

(B) A strategy and implementation plan to establish a reciprocal compliance mechanism for CC SRG and FedRAMP cybersecurity requirements.

(C) An assessment of the feasibility and advisability of expanding the number of authorized cybersecurity solutions to participate in the pilot program.

(3) **FORM.**—The report submitted under paragraph (1) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

310. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
WEBSTER OF FLORIDA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle F of title VI, add the following new section:

SEC. 6_. REPORT ON MILITARY CAMPING AND RECREATIONAL PARK PROGRAM.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than March 1, 2027, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report describing how each military department administers military camping and recreational parks to ensure such parks are equally accessible to all persons authorized to use such parks.

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—The report required under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) A list of military camping and recreational vehicle parks that includes the location of each park and an identification of the military department that administers such park.

(2) An estimate of the average number of members of the Armed Forces and veterans who use each facility in a year, disaggregated by members of an active component, members of a reserve component, and veterans.

(3) A list of such parks that allow users to stay more than 30 days during any 90-day period.

(4) A list of such parks that allow users to live at a park full-time.

(5) An explanation of how the Department of Defense policy on recreational vehicle parks complies with industry safety standards.

(6) An explanation of the differences between the policies that apply to such parks and the policies that apply to other morale, welfare, and recreation facilities of the Department of Defense that do not allow persons to stay more than 30 days.

(7) A description of any additional authorities or resources the Secretary determines are necessary to increase capacity and to ensure such parks are equally accessible to all persons authorized to use such parks.

311. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
WOMACK OF ARKANSAS OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of subtitle E of title X, add the following new section:

**SEC. 10_. REPORT ON COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH BASING LOCATIONS FOR F-35
AIRCRAFT.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary of Defense shall conduct an analysis to evaluate the full life-cycle costs associated with proposed or potential basing locations for F-35 aircraft expected to be delivered to the Department of Defense during the period of fiscal years 2030 through 2035.

(b) **CONTENTS.**—The analysis under subsection (a) shall include—

(1) an evaluation of the costs of military construction, infrastructure upgrades, and simulator procurement and installation associated with proposed basing locations for the F-35 aircraft described in subsection (a) compared to those costs at existing Air Force and Air National Guard installations currently hosting fighter aircraft operations, including installations supporting United States formal training and foreign military training missions;

(2) identification of any military construction, infrastructure, and simulator costs for the F-35 aircraft described in subsection (a) that are already programmed or funded in the budget of the President (as submitted as submitted to Congress pursuant to section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code) or the most recent future-years defense program submitted to Congress under section 221 of title 10, United States Code; and

(3) an estimate of any current or expected reductions in funding to support F-35 basing at military installations.

(c) **REPORT.**—Not later than 210 days after the date of the enactment of this section, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the results of the analysis conducted under subsection (a).

312. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE ZINKE
OF MONTANA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of subtitle B of title II, add the following new section:

**SEC. 2_. MODIFICATION TO USE OF PARTNERSHIP INTERMEDIARIES TO
PROMOTE DEFENSE RESEARCH AND EDUCATION.**

Section 4124(f) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by inserting “or Department of Defense laboratory” after “Center”
each place it appears;

(2) by redesignating paragraph (2) as paragraph (3);

(3) by inserting after paragraph (1) the following new paragraph:

“(2) A Department of Defense laboratory may pay the Federal costs of a
contract, memorandum of understanding, or other transaction entered into
under this subsection out of funds available to the laboratory for the support
of technology transfer and transition functions, research and development, or
operation and maintenance.”; and

(4) in paragraph (3)(B), as so redesignated, by adding “, or that can
assist a Center or Department of Defense laboratory with technology
transition, either out of, or into, the Center or laboratory” before the
semicolon.

PART B—TEXT OF AMENDMENTS TO H.R. 8595 MADE IN ORDER

1. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE BOEBERT OF COLORADO OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

Page 4, beginning on line 24, strike “, of which not less than \$287,800,000 shall be for the Fulbright Program”.

2. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
CAMMACK OF FLORIDA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of the bill (before the short title), insert the following:

SEC. __. None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this Act may be made available to finalize any rule or regulation that meets the definition of section 804(2)(A) of title 5, United States Code.

D061726.123.xml

3. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE CAMMACK OF FLORIDA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

Page 230, line 16, after the dollar amount, insert “(increased by \$5,000,000)”.

4. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE CRANE
OF ARIZONA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of the bill (before the short title), insert the following:

SEC. __. None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this Act may be used to furnish military education and training absent reimbursement pursuant to 22 U.S.C. 2347(b).

5. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE CRANE
OF ARIZONA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of the bill (before the short title), insert the following:

SEC. __. None of the funds in this Act may be used to provide funding
to the National Endowment for Democracy.

D061726.103.xml

6. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE FINE OF FLORIDA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

Page 42, strike line 6 through line 10.

7. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE KIM OF CALIFORNIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

Page 22, line 15, after the first dollar amount, insert “(reduced by \$2,000,000) (increased by 2,000,000)”.

8. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
MASSIE OF KENTUCKY OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of the bill (before the short title), insert the following:

NO FUNDS FOR ISRAEL

SEC. __. (a) None of the funds made available under this Act shall be obligated or expended for Israel.

(b) The amount otherwise made available by this Act for “Foreign Military Financing Program” is hereby reduced by \$3,300,000,000.

9. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
MASSIE OF KENTUCKY OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

At the end of the bill (before the short title), insert the following:

SEC. __. (a) None of the funds made available under this Act shall be obligated or expended for Jordan.

(b) The amounts otherwise made available by this Act for the following accounts are hereby reduced by the following amounts:

- (1) "Foreign Military Financing Program", \$475,000,000.
- (2) "National Security Investment Programs", \$500,000,000.
- (3) "Global Health Programs", \$845,100,000.
- (4) "Security Sector Programs", \$50,000,000.
- (5) "Funds Appropriated to the President International Military Education and Training", \$50,000,000.
- (6) "Nonproliferation, anti-terrorism, demining, and related programs", \$29,900,000.
- (7) "United States Emergency Refugee and Migration Assistance Fund", \$50,000,000.
- (8) "Democracy Fund", \$100,000,000.

10. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE PERRY
OF PENNSYLVANIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

Page 17, line 5, after the dollar amount, insert “(reduced by
\$17,000,000)”.

Page 257, line 5, after the dollar amount, insert “(increased by
\$17,000,000)”.

11. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE PERRY OF PENNSYLVANIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

Page 18, strike lines 12 through 18.

12. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE PERRY
OF PENNSYLVANIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

Page 32, line 1, after the first dollar amount, insert “(reduced by \$410,500,000)”.

Page 257, line 5, after the dollar amount, insert “(increased by \$410,500,000)”.

13. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE PERRY OF PENNSYLVANIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

Page 33, strike lines 1 through 19.

14. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE PERRY OF PENNSYLVANIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

Page 40, beginning line 4, strike the proviso.

15. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE PERRY OF PENNSYLVANIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

Page 42, strike lines 16 through 19.

16. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE PERRY
OF PENNSYLVANIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

Page 42, line 24, after the dollar amount, insert “(reduced by
\$32,417,000)”.

Page 257, line 5, after the dollar amount, insert “(increased by
\$32,417,000)”.

17. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
PLASKETT OF VIRGIN ISLANDS OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE
FOR 10 MINUTES

Page 34, line 17, after the dollar amount insert “(reduced by \$1,000,000)
(increased by \$1,000,000)”.

18. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
PLASKETT OF VIRGIN ISLANDS OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE
FOR 10 MINUTES

Page 30, line 19, after the dollar amount insert “(reduced by \$1,000,000)
(increased by \$1,000,000)”.

19. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE ROY OF TEXAS OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

Page 227, beginning on line 1, strike “, and shall promote the integration of women into the police and other security forces”.

20. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE ROY OF TEXAS OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of the bill (before the short title), insert the following:

SEC. __. None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this Act may be made available to the United Nations.

D061626.003.xml

21. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE ROY OF TEXAS OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

Strike section 7027(a).

22. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE ROY OF TEXAS OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

Page 44, line 19, insert “and” after the comma at the end.

Page 44, beginning line 20, strike “, and ‘Tropical Forest and Coral Reef Conservation’”.

23. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE ROY OF TEXAS OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

At the end of the bill (before the short title), insert the following:

SEC. __. None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this Act may be made available for the Middle East Partnership Initiative.

24. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE ROY OF TEXAS OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

Page 193, line 5, strike "30 percent" and insert "50 percent".

061626.022.xml

25. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
STANTON OF ARIZONA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

Page 7, line 16, after the dollar amount, insert “(reduced by \$5,000,000)
(increased by \$5,000,000)”.

26. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
STANTON OF ARIZONA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

Page 172, line 10, after the dollar amount, insert “(reduced by
\$5,000,000) (increased by \$5,000,000)”.

27. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
STEUBE OF FLORIDA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

Page 168, line 16, strike "50 percent" and insert "100 percent".

28. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE
TENNEY OF NEW YORK OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10
MINUTES

Page 20, line 12, after the dollar amount, insert “(reduced by \$1,000,000)”.

Page 20, line 12, after the dollar amount, insert “(increased by \$1,000,000)”.

29. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE TRAN
OF CALIFORNIA OR A DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

Page 31, line 6, after the dollar amount insert the following: “(reduced
by \$5,000,000) (increased by \$5,000,000)”.

E062826.001.xml

PART C—TEXT OF AMENDMENT TO H. RES. 1383 CONSIDERED AS
ADOPTED

In the penultimate clause of the preamble, strike “Amiercans” and insert “Americans”.