Suspend the Rules and Pass the Bill, H.R. 4503, With An Amendment

(The amendment strikes all after the enacting clause and inserts a new text)

119TH CONGRESS 1ST SESSION H.R.4503

To improve environmental reviews and authorizations through the use of interactive, digital, and cloud-based platforms, and for other purposes.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

July 17, 2025

Mr. Johnson of South Dakota (for himself and Mr. Peters) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Natural Resources

A BILL

- To improve environmental reviews and authorizations through the use of interactive, digital, and cloud-based platforms, and for other purposes.
 - 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
 - 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
 - 3 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.
 - This Act may be cited as the "ePermit Act".
 - 5 SEC. 2. FINDINGS.
 - 6 The Congress finds that—

1	(1) coordination between Federal, State, and
2	local agencies and project sponsors is critical to en-
3	suring the timely and effective completion of envi-
4	ronmental reviews and authorizations, including
5	through the sharing of relevant information, align-
6	ment of environmental review timelines, and integra-
7	tion of authorizations, while maintaining compliance
8	with applicable statutory and regulatory require-
9	ments;
10	(2) digital strategies for environmental reviews
11	have proven to make the community engagement
12	process more accessible, available, and transparent
13	to all stakeholders, especially the communities in
14	which new projects are built;
15	(3) establishing robust data architectures will
16	ensure data integrity, improve transparency, reduce
17	costs, and enhance the ability of the Federal Govern-
18	ment to serve the public;
19	(4) Federal agency use of modern software that
20	can track the full lifecycle of environmental reviews
21	and authorizations is critical for—
22	(A) effective project management and
23	process improvement;
24	(B) enabling workflow automation, trans-
25	parency, and tracking; and

1	(C) simplifying reporting requirements;
2	(5) modern business process management sys-
3	tems that track Federal agency workflows and
4	produce vendor neutral, interoperable event, task,
5	and other milestone data that can be shared with
6	other Federal agency systems can reduce costs and
7	improve performance for Federal agencies respon-
8	sible for environmental reviews and authorizations;
9	(6) case and project management systems—
10	(A) are essential tools for managing the
11	tasks and activities associated with environ-
12	mental reviews and authorizations; and
13	(B) provide Federal agencies more data
14	and insight into such environmental reviews
15	and authorizations;
16	(7) well-defined business rules can enable proc-
17	ess automation that allows Federal agencies respon-
18	sible for environmental reviews or authorizations to
19	expedite routine tasks and workflows, and improve
20	transparency and accuracy of project timeline esti-
21	mates, which in turn can help project sponsors bet-
22	ter plan for application preparation and project de-
23	livery milestones;
24	(8) taking a standardized, digital-first perspec-
25	tive to environmental reviews and authorizations at

1	Federal agencies responsible for environmental re-
2	views or authorizations will improve document qual-
3	ity, lead to more concise reports, enable the reuse
4	and accessibility of the data underpinning Federal
5	agency analyses and decisions, and enable objective,
6	technology-assisted evaluation of environmental im-
7	pacts, analysis, and documentation, and accelerate
8	future environmental reviews and authorizations;
9	(9) Federal agencies responsible for environ-
10	mental reviews or authorizations, project sponsors,
11	and the public should have access to up-to-date in-
12	formation on accurate timelines and the status of
13	environmental reviews and authorizations; and
14	(10) allowing for seamless information exchange
15	among Federal agencies and between Federal agen-
16	cies and project sponsors will increase predictability
17	and efficiency of environmental review and author-
18	ization schedules for project sponsors.
19	SEC. 3. ESTABLISHMENT OF DATA STANDARDS.
20	(a) In General.—Not later than 60 days after the
21	date of enactment of this Act, the Chair of the Council
22	on Environmental Quality, in consultation with the Fed-
23	eral Permitting Improvement Steering Council, the Chief
24	Information Officers Council, the Office of Management
25	and Budget, and other relevant stakeholders and Federal

1	agencies, shall develop, publish, and iteratively update
2	data standards for the collection and curation of author-
3	ization data by Federal agencies, which shall be used to—
4	(1) assist with environmental reviews and au-
5	thorizations;
6	(2) organize, define, and standardize various
7	concepts, formats, and protocols that are included in
8	environmental reviews and authorizations; and
9	(3) reduce the need for redundant environ-
10	mental reviews by creating a shared vocabulary and
11	software systems that will support vendor neutrality,
12	data interoperability, workflow automation, and
13	automatic data exchange between Federal agencies.
14	(b) Inclusions.—The data standards developed,
15	published, and iteratively updated under subsection (a)
16	shall include the following:
17	(1) A standardized taxonomy that allows Fed-
18	eral agencies to identify and track data types, rela-
19	tionships, and values.
20	(2) Comprehensive categories for data, such
21	as—
22	(A) projects;
23	(B) processes;
24	(C) environmental documents;
25	(D) public comments;

1	(E) geospatial information;
2	(F) public engagement events, as applica-
3	ble by process or Federal agency;
4	(G) case events; and
5	(H) milestones to ensure clarity and uni-
6	formity.
7	SEC. 4. DEVELOPMENT OF PROTOTYPE TOOLS.
8	The Chair of the Council on Environmental Quality,
9	in consultation with the Administrator of General Serv-
10	ices, the Federal Permitting Improvement Steering Coun-
11	cil, the Chief Information Officers Council, the Director
12	of the Office of Management and Budget, and other rel-
13	evant stakeholders and Federal agencies, shall design,
14	test, and build prototype tools for environmental reviews
15	and authorizations that will assist Federal agencies in im-
16	plementing the minimum functional requirements de-
17	scribed in section 5. The Chair of the Council on Environ-
18	mental Quality shall prioritize designing, testing, and
19	building tools under this section that—
20	(1) support authorization case or project man-
21	agement systems that manage tasks, milestones, and
22	activities associated with environmental reviews and
23	authorizations, and provide Federal agencies more
24	data and insight into such reviews and authoriza-
25	tions:

1	(2) enable—
2	(A) application submission and tracking
3	portals used by project sponsors, enabling
4	greater transparency; and
5	(B) public comment opportunity tracking
6	portals to increase transparency;
7	(3) facilitate automated applications, environ-
8	mental reviews. and authorizations;
9	(4) allow data exchange between Federal agen-
10	cy systems; and
11	(5) accelerate complex environmental reviews.
12	SEC. 5. PUBLICATION OF GUIDANCE FOR IMPLEMENTA-
13	TION OF DATA STANDARDS AND MINIMUM
13 14	TION OF DATA STANDARDS AND MINIMUM FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS.
14 15	FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS.
14 15	FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS. (a) Publication.—Not later than 120 days after the
14 15 16 17	FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS. (a) Publication.—Not later than 120 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Chair of the Council
14 15 16 17	FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS. (a) PUBLICATION.—Not later than 120 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Chair of the Council on Environmental Quality shall publish guidance for how
14 15 16 17 18	FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS. (a) Publication.—Not later than 120 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Chair of the Council on Environmental Quality shall publish guidance for how each Federal agency responsible for environmental reviews
14 15 16 17 18	FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS. (a) PUBLICATION.—Not later than 120 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Chair of the Council on Environmental Quality shall publish guidance for how each Federal agency responsible for environmental reviews or authorizations implements—
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS. (a) Publication.—Not later than 120 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Chair of the Council on Environmental Quality shall publish guidance for how each Federal agency responsible for environmental reviews or authorizations implements— (1) the data standards published under section
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS. (a) PUBLICATION.—Not later than 120 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Chair of the Council on Environmental Quality shall publish guidance for how each Federal agency responsible for environmental reviews or authorizations implements— (1) the data standards published under section 3; and
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS. (a) PUBLICATION.—Not later than 120 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Chair of the Council on Environmental Quality shall publish guidance for how each Federal agency responsible for environmental reviews or authorizations implements— (1) the data standards published under section 3; and (2) the following minimum functional require-

1	review and authorization data among Federal
2	agencies.
3	(B) Automated project screening to assist
4	frontline staff with reviewing project sponsor
5	provided information for completeness and ac-
6	curacy and determining if a categorical exclu-
7	sion or other general authorization applies to an
8	action. Automated project screening may not be
9	used by the Council on Environmental Quality
10	or a Federal agency to unlawfully restrict any
11	activities on Federal lands.
12	(C) Public availability of screening criteria
13	and related decision models.
14	(D) Automated case or project manage-
15	ment tools which include a repository of rel-
16	evant data and metadata that enable advanced
17	tracking, reporting, and optimization to aid
18	workflows.
19	(E) Integrated geographic information sys-
20	tem analysis tools which incorporate geospatial
21	data layers and models for each resource ana-
22	lyzed as part of an environmental review or au-
23	thorization for a given study area.
24	(F) Document management tools that pre-
25	serve metadata associated with geospatial anal-

1	ysis, modeling, and other analytic processes
2	conducted during an environmental review or
3	authorization, to support future reviews and en-
4	able Artificial Intelligence-assisted analysis of
5	past decisions.
6	(G) Automated comment compilation and
7	analysis tools, including services for comment
8	categorization and response that handle the
9	lifecycle of comment submission, analysis, cat-
10	egorization and response with Artificial Intel-
11	ligence support where appropriate.
12	(H) Administrative record management
13	tools that maintain both portable document for-
14	mats and data-rich repositories accessible to
15	both machine and human users.
16	(I) Common or interoperable Federal agen-
17	cy services that integrate shared services,
18	shared applications, and common user experi-
19	ences for Federal agency staff, project sponsors,
20	and the public.
21	(b) Inclusions.—The guidance published under this
22	section shall include the following:
23	(1) Guidelines for cloud-based storage, data
24	sharing protocols, and application programming
25	interfaces to enable the Council on Environmental

1	Quality to work with Federal agencies to use author-
2	ization data to aid Federal agencies in modernizing
3	their environmental reviews and authorizations and
4	for iterative development of the authorization portal.
5	(2) Provisions that support scalability and
6	adaptability of the minimum requirements to emerg-
7	ing technologies.
8	SEC. 6. IMPLEMENTATION OF DATA STANDARDS AND MIN-
9	IMUM FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS.
10	(a) Implementation.—The head of each Federal
11	agency responsible for environmental reviews or authoriza-
12	tions shall—
13	(1) not later than 90 days after the date of en-
14	actment of this Act—
15	(A) compare existing Federal agency sys-
16	tems for environmental reviews and authoriza-
17	tions under their authority with the data stand-
18	ards published under section 3 and the min-
19	imum functional requirements described in sec-
20	tion 5(a)(2) and report findings from such com-
21	parison to the Council on Environmental Qual-
22	ity;
23	(B) assess whether existing Federal agency
24	technological capabilities are consistent with the
25	data standards published under section 3 and

1	the minimum functional requirements described
2	in section $5(a)(2)$;
3	(C) submit to the Council on Environ-
4	mental Quality a report that estimates the com-
5	pletion dates for implementing the data stand-
6	ards published under section 3 and the min-
7	imum functional requirements described in sec-
8	tion $5(a)(2)$; and
9	(D) submit to the Council on Environ-
10	mental Quality, in consultation with the Council
11	on Environmental Quality, an implementation
12	plan that—
13	(i) describes how the Federal agency
14	will implement the data standards pub-
15	lished under section 3 and the minimum
16	functional requirements described in sec-
17	tion $5(a)(2)$; and
18	(ii) describes how, to the extent the
19	Federal agency determines necessary to
20	meet relevant statutory requirements, the
21	Federal agency will adopt or implement
22	the prototype tools tested, designed, and
23	built under section 4; and
24	(2) not later than 180 days after the date of
25	enactment of this Act, begin implementing the data

1	standards published under section 3 and the min-
2	imum functional requirements described in section
3	5(a)(2).
4	(b) Report.—Not less frequently than twice each
5	year, the Chief Information Officer of each Federal agen-
6	cy, in consultation with the Chief Environmental Review
7	and Permitting Officer of each Federal agency, shall sub-
8	mit to the Council on Environmental Quality and the Di-
9	rector of the Office of Management and Budget a report
10	on the progress of the Federal agency towards meeting
11	the requirements of subsection (a).
12	SEC. 7. UNIFIED INTERAGENCY DATA SYSTEM.
13	(a) In General.—
14	(1) Unified interagency data system.—To
15	the maximum extent practicable, the Chair of the
16	Council of Environmental Quality and the head of
17	each Federal agency responsible for environmental
18	reviews or authorizations shall iteratively develop
19	and maintain a unified interagency data system con-
20	sisting of interconnected Federal agency systems
21	and shared services for environmental reviews and
22	authorizations.
23	(2) Authorization Portal.—
24	(A) In general.—The shared services de-
25	veloped and maintained under paragraph (1)

1	shall include a common interactive, digital,
2	cloud-based authorization portal, which shall—
3	(i) be designed in a manner consistent
4	with—
5	(I) the recommendations of the
6	Council on Environmental Quality in-
7	cluded in the study submitted pursu-
8	ant to section 110 of the National En-
9	vironmental Policy Act of 1969 (42
10	U.S.C. 4336d) titled "Council on En-
11	vironmental Quality Report to Con-
12	gress on the Potential for Online and
13	Digital Technologies to Address
14	Delays in Reviews and Improve Public
15	Accessibility and Transparency under
16	42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C)"; and
17	(II) the minimum functional re-
18	quirements described in section
19	5(a)(2);
20	(ii) serve as a platform for tracking
21	and displaying real-time data on environ-
22	mental reviews and authorizations made
23	available through application programming
24	interfaces or other reporting mechanisms
25	from Federal agency systems that are com-

1	pliant with the data standards and data
2	architecture described in this Act;
3	(iii) be supported by a decentralized,
4	cross-network digital infrastructure soft-
5	ware that ensures vendor neutrality and
6	interoperability of data and models across
7	Federal agencies;
8	(iv) include a mechanism for the dis-
9	semination of relevant information (such
10	as a notice of intent for public comment,
11	public meetings, project statuses, or a no-
12	tice of intent to begin an environmental re-
13	view) to local communities, as applicable;
14	(v) allow a project sponsor to submit
15	all necessary documentation for environ-
16	mental reviews and authorizations in one
17	unified and secure portal;
18	(vi) support interactive, digital, and
19	cloud-based tools enabling applicants to
20	edit documents and collaborate with rel-
21	evant Federal agencies in real time;
22	(vii) support visual features, including
23	video, animation, geographic information
24	system displays, interactive maps, and
25	three-dimensional renderings;

1	(viii) provide for the exchange of in-
2	formation to and from Federal agency data
3	systems via an application programming
4	interface or another reporting mechanisms;
5	(ix) allow for the submission of
6	geospatial data associated with project lo-
7	cation, footprint, and impact;
8	(x) support automatic documentation
9	of submission and process timelines; and
10	(xi) allow the following metrics to be
11	tracked over time—
12	(I) estimates of achieved effi-
13	ciencies, such as reductions in the
14	time between receipt of applications
15	and final authorization decisions;
16	(II) comparisons of authorization
17	timelines before and after the imple-
18	mentation of this Act;
19	(III) usage of the authorization
20	portal and other statistics from the
21	Digital Analytics Program;
22	(IV) metrics on the number of
23	public comments received, responses
24	provided, and community meetings
25	held;

1	(V) the number of projects sub-
2	ject to litigation based on authoriza-
3	tion deficiencies or inefficiencies;
4	(VI) a list of Federal agencies
5	that are not yet fully compliant with
6	the data standards published under
7	section 3 and the minimum functional
8	requirements described in section
9	5(a)(2), along with their progress to-
10	ward compliance; and
11	(VII) examples or repositories of
12	Federal agency-developed digital
13	workflows enabled by the implementa-
14	tion of this Act, including visualiza-
15	tions of data sharing, authorizations
16	and decision logic, and environmental
17	reviews.
18	(B) Administrative support.—The Ad-
19	ministrator of General Services shall host the
20	authorization portal as a shared service for
21	Congress, Federal agencies, and the public.
22	(C) Accessability.—The authorization
23	portal shall be accessible to Congress, Federal
24	agencies, and the public, with appropriate safe-
25	guards to protect sensitive or classified informa-

1	tion and information restricted by user type as
2	appropriate.
3	(D) Public accessibility.—To the ex-
4	tent practicable and consistent with other law,
5	the authorization portal shall provide public ac-
6	cess to non-sensitive data, including authoriza-
7	tion timelines, location, project type, environ-
8	mental reviews, and mitigation measures.
9	(E) Congressional access and over-
10	SIGHT.—
11	(i) IN GENERAL.—The authorization
12	portal shall provide Congress with direct
13	access to aggregated performance data and
14	other analytics to enable real-time over-
15	sight of Federal agencies.
16	(ii) Artificial intelligence sup-
17	PORT SYSTEMS AND TRAINING MATE-
18	RIALS.—Congress shall have access to the
19	data, fine-tuning procedures, and prompt
20	configurations specifically created or
21	adapted for Artificial Intelligence systems
22	used to support environmental review or
23	authorization activities, excluding propri-
24	etary or general pretraining materials un-

1	related to such agency-specific
2	customization.
3	(iii) Technical assistance.—The
4	Council on Environmental Quality shall
5	provide to Congress technical assistance
6	upon request to ensure effective use of the
7	authorization portal and Artificial Intel-
8	ligence systems for oversight purposes.
9	(3) Cybersecurity and compliance consid-
10	ERATIONS.—The authorization portal shall be de-
11	signed to promote vendor neutral interoperability,
12	reduce redundancy, and ensure compliance and co-
13	ordination with other laws, including—
14	(A) section 552a of title 5, United States
15	Code (commonly referred to as the Privacy Act
16	of 1974), and subchapter II of chapter 35 of
17	title 44, United States Code;
18	(B) the Federal Risk and Authorization
19	Management Program established under section
20	3608 of title 44, United States Code; and
21	(C) the Cybersecurity and Infrastructure
22	Security Agency of the Department of Home-
23	land Security, for a case in which the project is
24	in coordination with a Federal agency with
25	stringent security requirements.

1 (b) Deadlines.—

- 2 (1) Shared services pilot.—Not later than 3 one year after the date of enactment of this Act, the 4 Council on Environmental Quality shall oversee pi-5 loting of shared services for environmental reviews 6 and authorizations, including the authorization por-7 tal under subsection (a)(2).
- 9 PLEMENTATION.—To the maximum extent practicable, not later than December 1, 2027, the Chair of the Council on Environmental Quality shall develop and implement the unified interagency data system required under subsection (a)(1).
- 14 (c) Report.—Not less frequently than annually, the 15 Chair of the Council on Environmental Quality, in consultation with the Federal Permitting Improvement Steer-16 ing Council, the Chief Information Officers Council, and other relevant stakeholders and Federal agencies, shall 18 19 submit to the Committee on Natural Resources of the 20 House of Representatives and the Committee on Environ-21 ment and Public Works of the Senate a report on the 22 Council on Environmental Quality's progress on developing a unified interagency data system under subsection

24

(a).

SEC. 8. AUTHORITY TO ENTER INTO CONTRACTS.

- 2 Subject to the availability of appropriations, the
- 3 Council on Environmental Quality may enter into con-
- 4 tracts and other arrangements for analyses, services, and
- 5 products with Federal agencies, private organizations, and
- 6 businesses, and make such payments as determined nec-
- 7 essary by the Council on Environmental Quality to carry
- 8 out the provisions of this Act.

9 SEC. 9. CLARIFYING RULEMAKING AUTHORITY.

- Nothing in this Act shall be construed to authorize
- 11 the Council on Environmental Quality or a Federal agency
- 12 to impose additional regulatory processes or requirements
- 13 beyond those expressly stipulated under the National En-
- 14 vironmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.)
- 15 or any other law.

16 SEC. 10. SAVINGS CLAUSE.

- To the extent that a data system, technology, or tool
- 18 developed or incorporated into a unified interagency data
- 19 system under this Act is not limited by project type, the
- 20 data system, technology, or tool shall not have its use be
- 21 restricted by project type.

22 SEC. 11. DEFINITIONS.

- 23 In this Act:
- 24 (1) AUTHORIZATION.—The term "authoriza-
- 25 tion" means any license, permit, approval, finding,
- determination, or other administrative decision

1	issued by an agency and any interagency consulta-
2	tion that is required or authorized under Federal
3	law in order to site, construct, reconstruct, or com-
4	mence operations of a project administered by a
5	Federal agency.
6	(2) AUTHORIZATION DATA.—The term "author-
7	ization data" means—
8	(A) any data relevant for a Federal agency
9	to—
10	(i) determine the effect on the envi-
11	ronment of an action for which an author-
12	ization is required by the Federal agency
13	and
14	(ii) determine whether to issue such
15	authorization; and
16	(B) any community input or public com-
17	ment on such determinations.
18	(3) Data architecture.—The term "data ar-
19	chitecture" means the design and organization of
20	data systems, including frameworks for data storage
21	processing, and exchange.
22	(4) Data standards.—The term "data stand-
23	ards" means agreed-upon specifications for data for-
24	mats, structures, and definitions to ensure consist-
25	ency and vendor neutral interoperability.

1	(5) Environmental review.—The term "en-
2	vironmental review" means any Federal agency pro-
3	cedures or processes for—
4	(A) applying a categorical exclusion; or
5	(B) preparing an environmental assess-
6	ment, an environmental impact statement, or
7	another document required under the National
8	Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C.
9	4321 et seq.).
10	(6) Federal agency.—The term "Federal
11	agency" has the meaning given the term "agency"
12	in section 551 of title 5, United States Code.
13	(7) Federal permitting improvement
14	STEERING COUNCIL.—The term "Federal Permitting
15	Improvement Steering Council" has the meaning
16	given the term "Council" in section 41001 of the
17	FAST Act (42 U.S.C. 4370m).